

PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL EXTENSION AND TODD CLASS

Denis PERROT

Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1,
CNRS, UMR 5208 Institut Camille Jordan,
43, bd du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

perrot@math.univ-lyon1.fr

November 9, 2018

Abstract

Let M be a closed manifold. Wodzicki shows that, in the stable range, the cyclic cohomology of the associative algebra of pseudodifferential symbols of order ≤ 0 is isomorphic to the homology of the cosphere bundle of M . In this article we develop a formalism which allows to calculate that, under this isomorphism, the Radul cocycle corresponds to the Poincaré dual of the Todd class. As an immediate corollary we obtain a purely algebraic proof of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for elliptic pseudodifferential operators on closed manifolds.

Keywords: Pseudodifferential operators, K -theory, cyclic cohomology.

MSC 2000: 19D55, 19K56, 58J42.

1 Introduction

Let M be a closed, not necessarily orientable, smooth manifold and denote by $\text{CL}(M)$ the algebra of classical, one-step polyhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators on M . The space of smoothing operators $L^{-\infty}(M)$ is a two-sided ideal in $\text{CL}(M)$, and we call the quotient $\text{CS}(M) = \text{CL}(M)/L^{-\infty}(M)$ the algebra of *formal symbols* on M . The multiplication on $\text{CS}(M)$ is the usual \star -product of symbols. One thus gets an extension of associative algebras

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-\infty}(M) \rightarrow \text{CL}(M) \rightarrow \text{CS}(M) \rightarrow 0 . \quad (1)$$

An “abstract index problem” then amounts to the computation of the corresponding excision map $HP^\bullet(L^{-\infty}(M)) \rightarrow HP^{\bullet+1}(\text{CS}(M))$ in periodic cyclic cohomology [9]. In even degree, $HP^0(L^{-\infty}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is generated by the usual trace of smoothing operators, whereas in odd degree $HP^1(L^{-\infty}(M)) \cong 0$. Using zeta-function renormalization, one shows (see for instance [10]) that the image of the trace under the excision map is represented by the following cyclic one-cocycle over $\text{CS}(M)$,

$$c(a_0, a_1) = \int \bar{a}_0[\log q, a_1] \quad (2)$$

for any two formal symbols $a_0, a_1 \in \text{CS}(M)$. Here the bar integral denotes the Wodzicki residue [12], which is a trace on $\text{CS}(M)$, and $\log q$ is a log-polyhomogeneous symbol associated to a fixed positive elliptic symbol $q \in$

$\text{CS}(M)$ of order one. Notice that the bilinear functional c was originally introduced by Radul in the context of Lie algebra cohomology [11]. A direct computation shows that c is in fact a cyclic one-cocycle over $\text{CS}(M)$, and that its cyclic cohomology class does not depend on the choice of q . Hence the class $[c] \in \text{HP}^1(\text{CS}(M))$ is completely canonical, in the sense that it only depends on M . On the other hand the cyclic cohomology of $\text{CS}(M)$ is known [13], and corresponds to the ordinary homology (with complex coefficients) of a certain manifold. A natural question therefore is to identify the class $[c]$. In the present paper we give the answer for its image in the periodic cyclic cohomology of the subalgebra $\text{CS}^0(M) \subset \text{CS}(M)$, the formal symbols of order ≤ 0 . The result is stated as follows. The leading symbol map gives rise to an algebra homomorphism $\lambda : \text{CS}^0(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^*M)$, where S^*M is the cosphere bundle of M . This allows to pullback any homology class of S^*M to the periodic cyclic cohomology of the symbol algebra:

$$\lambda^* : H_\bullet(S^*M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{HP}^\bullet(\text{CS}^0(M)) . \quad (3)$$

Wodzicki shows that λ^* is an *isomorphism*, provided that the natural locally convex topology of $\text{CS}^0(M)$ is taken into account [13]. Our main result is the following theorem (6.8), which holds in the algebraic setting or the locally convex setting regardless to Wodzicki's isomorphism.

Theorem 1.1 *Let M be a closed manifold. The periodic cyclic cohomology class of $[c] \in \text{HP}^1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ is*

$$[c] = \lambda^*([S^*M] \cap \pi^* \text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)) , \quad (4)$$

where $\text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the Todd class of the complexified tangent bundle, and $\pi : S^*M \rightarrow M$ is the cosphere bundle endowed with its canonical orientation and fundamental class $[S^*M] \in H_\bullet(S^*M)$.

We give a purely algebraic proof of this theorem. The central idea is to introduce the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\text{CL}(M, E)$ of pseudodifferential operators acting on differential forms, that is, on the sections of the exterior bundle $E = \Lambda T^*M$, and view the corresponding algebra of formal symbols $\text{CS}(M, E)$ as a bimodule over itself. Using this bimodule structure we develop a formalism of abstract Dirac operators. This leads to the construction of cyclic cocycles for the subalgebra $\text{CS}^0(M) \subset \text{CS}(M, E)$. These cocycles are given by algebraic analogues of the JLO formula [6], and are all cohomologous in $\text{HP}^\bullet(\text{CS}^0(M))$. By choosing genuine Dirac operators we obtain both sides of equality (4). Let us mention that the JLO formula in the right-hand-side provides a representative of the Todd class as a closed differential form over M

$$\text{Td}(iR/2\pi) = \det \left(\frac{iR/2\pi}{e^{iR/2\pi} - 1} \right) \quad (5)$$

where R is the curvature two-form of an affine torsion-free connection on M . Hence our method gives an “explicit formula” for the class $[c]$. In the same way, we also prove that the cyclic cohomology class of the Wodzicki residue vanishes in $\text{HP}^0(\text{CS}^0(M))$.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1 we obtain the Atiyah-Singer index formula for elliptic pseudodifferential operators [1]. If Q is an elliptic operator acting on the sections of a (trivially graded) vector bundle over M , its leading symbol is an invertible matrix g with entries in the commutative algebra $C^\infty(S^*M)$, hence it defines a class in the algebraic K -theory $K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$. Its Chern character in $H^\bullet(S^*M, \mathbb{C})$ is represented by the closed differential form of odd degree

$$\text{ch}(g) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k!}{(2k+1)!} \text{tr} \left(\frac{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k+1}}{(2\pi i)^{k+1}} \right). \quad (6)$$

Corollary 1.2 (Index theorem) *Let Q be an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order ≤ 0 acting on the sections of a trivially graded vector bundle over M , with leading symbol class $[g] \in K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$. Then the Fredholm index of Q is the integer*

$$\text{Ind}(Q) = \langle [S^*M], \pi^* \text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \cup \text{ch}([g]) \rangle. \quad (7)$$

This is a direct consequence of the fact that the class $[c] \in HP^1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ of the residue cocycle is the image of the operator trace $\text{Tr} : L^{-\infty}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ under the excision map of the fundamental extension

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-\infty}(M) \rightarrow \text{CL}^0(M) \rightarrow \text{CS}^0(M) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

In fact (4) and the index formula are equivalent. Hence our method gives a new algebraic proof of the index theorem. This should however not be confused with what is usually called an *algebraic index theorem* ([8]). The latter calculates the cyclic cohomology class of the canonical trace on a (formal) deformation quantization of the algebra of smooth functions on a symplectic manifold, and relates it to the Todd class. In the special case of the symplectic manifold T^*M , one may take the algebra of smoothing operators $L^{-\infty}(M)$ as a deformation quantization of the commutative algebra of functions over T^*M and obtain in this way the usual index theorem. This is *not* what we are doing here. In fact our approach is in some sense opposite, because instead of working with the operator ideal $L^{-\infty}(M) \subset \text{CL}^0(M)$ we directly deal with the quotient algebra of formal symbols $\text{CS}^0(M)$. As a consequence, we drop the delicate analytic issues inherent to the highly non-local algebra $L^{-\infty}(M)$ and its operator trace, and entirely transfer the index problem on the algebra $\text{CS}^0(M)$ endowed with the residue cocycle (2). The computation is purely local because only a finite number of terms in the asymptotic expansion of symbols contribute to the index, which relates our approach to the Connes-Moscovici residue index formula [4]. For this reason our formalism is well-adapted (and in fact motivated by) the study of more general index problems appearing in non-commutative geometry [3], for which a genuine extension of algebras and the corresponding residue cocycle are available. This includes higher equivariant index theorems for non-isometric actions of non-compact groups, higher index theorems on Lie groupoids, and so on. These ideas will be developed elsewhere.

Here is a brief description of the paper. In section 2 we recall basic things about pseudodifferential operators. In section 3 we look at $\text{CS}(M, E)$ as a bimodule over itself and introduce the relevant spaces of operators acting on

it. In section 4 a canonical trace is defined by means of the Wodzicki residue. Section 5 introduces generalized Dirac operators acting on $\text{CS}(M, E)$. Theorem 1.1 is proved in section 6 by means of the algebraic JLO formula, and the index theorem is deduced in section 7.

All manifolds are supposed to be Hausdorff, paracompact, smooth and without boundary.

2 Pseudodifferential operators

Let M be a n -dimensional manifold. We denote by $C^\infty(M)$ (resp. $C_c^\infty(M)$) the space of smooth complex-valued (resp. compactly supported) functions over M . A linear map $A : C_c^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every coordinate chart (x^1, \dots, x^n) over an open subset $U \subset M$, there exists a smooth function $a \in C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(A \cdot f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{U \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} a(x, p) f(y) dy dp \quad (9)$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$. We use the notation $i = \sqrt{-1}$. For any multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, the symbol a has to satisfy the estimate

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta a(x, p)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + \|p\|)^{m-|\beta|} \quad (10)$$

for some constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$, $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\partial_p = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ are the partial derivatives with respect to the variables $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, and $\|p\|$ is the euclidian norm of $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that (x, p) is the canonical coordinate system on the cotangent bundle $T^*U \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$. In addition, A is a *classical* (one-step polyhomogeneous) pseudodifferential operator of order m if its symbol in any coordinate chart has an asymptotic expansion as $\|p\| \rightarrow \infty$ of the form

$$a(x, p) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{m-j}(x, p) \quad (11)$$

where the functions $a_{m-j} \in C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are homogeneous of degree $m-j$ with respect to the variable p . For any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\text{CL}^m(M)$ the space of all classical pseudodifferential operators of order $\leq m$. One has $\text{CL}^m(M) \subset \text{CL}^{m'}(M)$ whenever $m \leq m'$. Define as usual the space of all classical pseudodifferential operators and the space of smoothing operators, respectively

$$\text{CL}(M) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \text{CL}^m(M), \quad \text{L}^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \text{CL}^m(M). \quad (12)$$

Two operators in $\text{CL}(M)$ are equal modulo smoothing operators if and only if their asymptotic expansions (11) agree in all coordinate charts. The space of *formal classical symbols* $\text{CS}(M)$ is defined via the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{L}^{-\infty}(M) \rightarrow \text{CL}(M) \rightarrow \text{CS}(M) \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

Thus, a formal symbol of order m corresponds to a formal series as the right-hand-side of (11) in any local chart, which fulfills complicated gluing formulas

under coordinate-change. $\text{CS}(M)$ is of course the union, for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, of the subspaces $\text{CS}^m(M)$ of formal symbols of order $\leq m$. Recall that $\text{CS}^m(M)$ is *complete*, in the sense that any formal series of homogeneous functions a_{m-j} is the formal symbol of some pseudodifferential operator. We denote by $\text{PS}(M) \subset \text{CS}(M)$ the subalgebra of formal symbols which are polynomial with respect to the variable p in any chart. $\text{PS}(M)$ is isomorphic to the space of differential operators on M .

The composition of pseudodifferential operators is not always defined, unless these operators are properly supported. This happens in particular when M is compact. In that case, $\text{CL}(M)$ becomes a filtered associative algebra, i.e. $\text{CL}^m(M) \cdot \text{CL}^{m'}(M) \subset \text{CL}^{m+m'}(M)$, and $\text{L}^{-\infty}(M)$ is a two-sided ideal. Hence (13) is actually an exact sequence of associative algebras. The product of two formal symbols $a, b \in \text{CS}(M)$ in a local chart is the \star -product

$$(ab)(x, p) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_p^\alpha a(x, p) \partial_x^\alpha b(x, p) \quad (14)$$

where the sum runs over all multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$. Notice that, in contrast with $\text{CL}(M)$, the product in $\text{CS}(M)$ is defined without any condition on the support (compact or not) of the symbols.

If E is a (possibly \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) complex vector bundle over M , the algebra of classical pseudodifferential operators $\text{CL}(M, E)$ acting on the smooth sections of E is defined analogously. The only difference is that over a local chart which also trivialises E , the symbol becomes a function of (x, p) with values in the matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ where k is the rank of E . One has the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{L}^{-\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow \text{CL}(M, E) \rightarrow \text{CS}(M, E) \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

$\text{PS}(M, E) \subset \text{CS}(M, E)$ denotes the algebra of polynomial symbols with respect to p . It is isomorphic to the algebra of differential operators acting on the smooth sections of E . In the sequel we will essentially focus on the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded bundle $E = \Lambda T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$, the exterior algebra of the complexified cotangent bundle of M . The smooth sections of E are the complex differential forms over M . Consider the (real) vector bundle $TM \oplus T^*M$ endowed with its canonical inner product. Then E is a spinor representation of the complexified Clifford algebra bundle $C(TM \oplus T^*M)$. In other words, the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C(TM \oplus T^*M)$. We use this identification in order to find a set of generators for the algebra $\text{PS}(M, E)$ in a local coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) over an open $U \subset M$. For each i we view x^i as the multiplication operator of a differential form by the function x^i , and ip_i as the Lie derivative of a differential form with respect to the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. For all indices i, j they fulfill the usual Canonical Commutation Relations

$$[x^i, x^j] = 0, \quad [x^i, p_j] = i\delta_j^i, \quad [p_i, p_j] = 0 \quad (16)$$

($i = \sqrt{-1}$), and generate the even part of the algebra of differential operators $\text{PS}(U, E)$. The odd generators are defined by the operators

$$\psi^i = \mu(dx^i), \quad \bar{\psi}_i = \iota(\partial_{x^i}), \quad (17)$$

where μ is exterior multiplication by a differential form (on the left) and ι is interior multiplication by a vector field (on the left). These are odd sections of the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$ over U , and their *graded* commutators (hence anticommutators) fulfill the Clifford relations (Canonical Anticommutation Relations)

$$[\psi^i, \psi^j] = 0, \quad [\psi^i, \bar{\psi}_j] = \delta_j^i, \quad [\bar{\psi}_i, \bar{\psi}_j] = 0 \quad (18)$$

while the commutators between x, p on one hand and $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ on the other hand all vanish. The odd operators $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ generate a basis of sections for $\text{End}(E)$ over U . Hence a differential operator $a \in \text{PS}(M, E)$ is represented over U as a function $a(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ which depends polynomially on the even variable p . Since the odd variables generate a finite-dimensional algebra, a is also a polynomial with respect to $\psi, \bar{\psi}$. In the same way, any symbol $a \in \text{CS}(M, E)$ of order m is locally represented as a formal series, over $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of functions $a_{m-j}(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ which are homogeneous of degree $m-j$ with respect to p and polynomial with respect to the odd variables $\psi, \bar{\psi}$.

Let us end this paragraph with the effect of a coordinate change (or local diffeomorphism) γ on the generators $(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ of $\text{CS}(U, E)$. If one puts $\gamma(x^i) = y^i$ for all i , then

$$\gamma(\psi^i) = \mu(dy^i) = \mu\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j\right) = \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} \psi^j \quad (19)$$

where we use Einstein's convention of summation over repeated indices. In the same way

$$\gamma(\bar{\psi}_i) = \iota(\partial_{y^i}) = \iota\left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \partial_{x^j}\right) = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \bar{\psi}_j. \quad (20)$$

Finally, the identification of ip_i with the Lie derivative $\iota(\partial_{x^i}) \circ d + d \circ \iota(\partial_{x^i}) = \bar{\psi}_i \circ d + d \circ \bar{\psi}_i$ yields

$$\gamma(p_i) = -i(\gamma(\bar{\psi}_i) \circ d + d \circ \gamma(\bar{\psi}_i)) = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i d\left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right) \bar{\psi}_j.$$

Since the exterior derivative is $d = \psi^k \partial_{x^k}$, and ψ^k commutes with functions of x , one has

$$\gamma(p_i) = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right) \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j. \quad (21)$$

3 The bimodule of formal symbols

Let M be an n -dimensional manifold and consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra of formal symbols $\text{CS}(M, E)$ with $E = \Lambda T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$. We view $\text{CS}(M, E)$ as a left $\text{CS}(M, E)$ -module and right $\text{PS}(M, E)$ -module: the left action of a formal symbol $a \in \text{CS}(M, E)$ and the right action of a polynomial symbol $b \in \text{PS}(M, E)$ on a vector $\xi \in \text{CS}(M, E)$ read

$$a_L \cdot \xi = a\xi, \quad b_R \cdot \xi = \pm \xi b, \quad (22)$$

where the sign \pm depends on the respective parities of b and ξ : it is $-$ if both b and ξ are odd, $+$ otherwise. The left action of a induces a representation of $\text{CS}(M, E)$ in the algebra of linear endomorphisms $\text{End}(\text{CS}(M, E))$. The

right action of b induces a representation of the opposite algebra $\text{PS}(M, E)^{\text{op}}$ in $\text{End}(\text{CS}(M, E))$. The left and right actions commute in the graded sense, whence an algebra homomorphism from the (graded) tensor product $\text{CS}(M, E) \otimes \text{PS}(M, E)^{\text{op}}$ to $\text{End}(\text{CS}(M, E))$. This homomorphism is not injective. Its range defines a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra

$$\mathcal{L}(M) = \text{Im}(\text{CS}(M, E) \otimes \text{PS}(M, E)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{CS}(M, E))) . \quad (23)$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}(M)$ is linearly generated by products $a_L b_R$ with $a \in \text{CS}(M, E)$ and $b \in \text{PS}(M, E)$. Let (x^1, \dots, x^n) be a local coordinate system over an open subset $U \subset M$. The function x^i is a symbol (of order zero) in $\text{PS}(U, E)$, so that x_L^i and x_R^i are elements of $\mathcal{L}(U)$. Moreover for any $\xi \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ one has

$$(x_L^i - x_R^i) \cdot \xi = [x^i, \xi] = i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial p_i} , \quad (24)$$

whence $x_L^i - x_R^i = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$. In the same way the conjugate coordinate p_i is a symbol (of order one) in $\text{PS}(U, E)$, so p_{iL} and p_{iR} are elements of $\mathcal{L}(U)$, and for any $\xi \in \text{CS}(U, E)$,

$$(p_{iL} - p_{iR}) \cdot \xi = [p_i, \xi] = -i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x^i} , \quad (25)$$

whence $p_{iL} - p_{iR} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. The situation is analogous for the odd coordinates ψ^i and $\bar{\psi}_i$, and one finds that $\psi_L^i - \psi_R^i$ is the partial derivative with respect to $\bar{\psi}_i$, while $\bar{\psi}_{iL} - \bar{\psi}_{iR}$ is the partial derivative with respect to ψ^i . If $b \in \text{PS}^k(M, E)$ is a differential operator of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write, locally over U

$$b(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi}) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k b_\alpha(x, \psi, \bar{\psi}) p^\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n b_{\alpha, \eta, \theta}(x) p^\alpha \psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta ,$$

where $b_{\alpha, \eta, \theta}$ are functions of the only variable x , and α, η, θ are multi-indices. Using formula (14) for the star-product, one finds

$$(b_{\alpha, \eta, \theta})_R \cdot \xi = \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} (\partial_x^\beta b_{\alpha, \eta, \theta})_L \cdot \partial_p^\beta \xi$$

for any $\xi \in \text{CS}(M, E)$. Since left and right actions commute, the operator b_R reads

$$b_R = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n \frac{(-i)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} (\partial_x^\beta b_{\alpha, \eta, \theta})_L (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R p_R^\alpha \partial_p^\beta$$

Using the identity $p_R = p_L + i\partial_x$, one concludes that a generic element $a_L b_R \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ can be expressed, locally over a subset $U \subset M$, as a series

$$a_L b_R = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n (s_{\alpha, \beta, \eta, \theta})_L (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta , \quad (26)$$

for some coefficients $s_{\alpha, \beta, \eta, \theta} \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ and finite $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice however that the converse is not true: a series (26) with arbitrary coefficients $s_{\alpha, \beta, \eta, \theta}$ does not necessarily come from an element of $\mathcal{L}(M)$.

Now let $\mathcal{S}(M) = \mathcal{L}(M)[[\varepsilon]]$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra of *formal power series* in the indeterminate ε , with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}(M)$. The generator ε has trivial grading. An element of $\mathcal{S}(M)$ is therefore an infinite sum $s = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k \varepsilon^k$ where each coefficient s_k is given by a series of the form (26) in any local chart. We can view $\mathcal{S}(M)$ as an algebra of linear operators acting on the space of formal series $\text{CS}(M, E)[[\varepsilon]]$. This algebra is filtered by the subalgebras $\mathcal{S}_k(M) = \mathcal{S}(M)\varepsilon^k$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we define a subspace $\mathcal{D}^m(M) \subset \mathcal{S}(M)$ as follows. An element $s = \sum s_k \varepsilon^k$ is in $\mathcal{D}^m(M)$ if and only if in any local chart over $U \subset M$,

$$s_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n (s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta}^m)_L (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \quad (27)$$

where $s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta}^m \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ is a symbol of order $\leq m + (k + |\beta| - 3|\alpha|)/2$. Moreover we set $\mathcal{D}_k^m(M) = \mathcal{D}^m(M) \cap \mathcal{S}_k(M)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the subscript k counts the minimal power of ε appearing in a formal series. Observe that in local coordinates, the partial derivative ∂_x always appears with at least one power of ε . Here are some examples: $1 \in \mathcal{D}_0^0(M)$, $\text{CS}^m(M, E)_L \subset \mathcal{D}_0^m(M)$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}_1^{-1/2}(M)$, $\varepsilon \partial_x \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(U)$, $\partial_p \in \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(U)$, and $\varepsilon \partial_x \partial_p \in \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(U)$. One obviously has $\mathcal{D}^m(M) \subset \mathcal{D}^{m'}(M)$ whenever $m \leq m'$, and we set $\mathcal{D}(M) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}^m(M)$. The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(M)$.

Lemma 3.1 *The inclusion $\mathcal{D}_k^m(M) \mathcal{D}_{k'}^{m'}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}_{k+k'}^{m+m'}(M)$ holds in all degrees $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a unital, \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, bi-filtered algebra.*

Proof: Since ψ_R and $\bar{\psi}_R$ play no role in the filtration degrees, it suffices to show that, in a local coordinate system over U , the composition $s \circ s'$ of two operators

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k (s_{k,\alpha,\beta}^m)_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \in \mathcal{D}^m(U), \\ s' &= \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha'|=0}^{k'} \sum_{|\beta'|=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k'} (s_{k',\alpha',\beta'}^{m'})_L \partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_p^{\beta'} \in \mathcal{D}^{m'}(U) \end{aligned}$$

is in $\mathcal{D}^{m+m'}(U)$. Note that the commutator $[\partial_p, \]$ on a symbol decreases the order by one, whereas the commutator $[\partial_x, \]$ leaves the order unaffected. Hence we can write the composition $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \circ (s_{k',\alpha',\beta'}^{m'})_L$ as a sum

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \circ (s_{k',\alpha',\beta'}^{m'})_L = \sum_{|\gamma|=0}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{|\delta|=0}^{|\beta|} (t_{k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m',\alpha,\beta})_L \partial_x^\gamma \partial_p^\delta$$

where $t_{k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m',\alpha,\beta}$ is a symbol of order $\leq m' - |\beta| + |\delta| + (k' + |\beta'| - 3|\alpha'|)/2$. Then

$$s \circ s' = \sum_{k,k',|\beta|,|\beta'| \geq 0} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\alpha'|=0}^{k'} \sum_{|\gamma|=0}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{|\delta|=0}^{|\beta|} \varepsilon^{k+k'} (s_{k,\alpha,\beta}^m t_{k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m',\alpha,\beta})_L \partial_x^{\gamma+\alpha'} \partial_p^{\delta+\beta'}$$

The symbol $s_{k,\alpha,\beta}^m t_{k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m',\alpha,\beta}$ has order $\leq m + m' - |\beta| + |\delta| + \frac{1}{2}(k + k' + |\beta| + |\beta'| - 3|\alpha| - 3|\alpha'|) = m + m' + \frac{1}{2}(k + k' + |\delta + \beta'| - 3|\gamma + \alpha'|) - \frac{3}{2}(|\alpha| - |\gamma|) - \frac{1}{2}(|\beta| - |\delta|)$.

For fixed indices $k, k', \alpha', \beta', \gamma, \delta$ this order is a strictly decreasing function of $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$. Moreover $\frac{3}{2}(|\alpha| - |\gamma|) \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}(|\beta| - |\delta|) \geq 0$. Hence by completeness of the space of symbols, the series

$$u_{k,k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m+m'} = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\gamma|}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} s_{k,\alpha,\beta}^m t_{k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m',\alpha,\beta}$$

converges to a symbol of order $\leq m + m' + \frac{1}{2}(k + k' + |\delta + \beta'| - 3|\gamma + \alpha'|)$. It follows that

$$s \circ s' = \sum_{k,k' \geq 0} \sum_{|\alpha'|=0}^{k'} \sum_{|\gamma|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\delta|=0}^{|\beta|} \varepsilon^{k+k'} (u_{k,k',\alpha',\beta',\gamma,\delta}^{m+m'})_L \partial_x^{\gamma+\alpha'} \partial_p^{\delta+\beta'}$$

is indeed an element of $\mathcal{D}^{m+m'}(U)$. This shows the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^m(M)\mathcal{D}^{m'}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}^{m+m'}(M)$. Furthermore $\mathcal{S}_k(M)\mathcal{S}_{k'}(M) \subset \mathcal{S}_{k+k'}(M)$ is obvious, one concludes that $\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)\mathcal{D}_{k'}^{m'}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}_{k+k'}^{m+m'}(M)$. ■

Definition 3.2 An operator $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(M)$ of even parity is called a generalized Laplacian if in any coordinate system over an open set $U \subset M$ it reads

$$\Delta \equiv i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_1^0(U) \quad (28)$$

(summation over repeated indices).

Lemma 3.3 A generalized Laplacian exists over any manifold M .

Proof: It is actually a consequence of the existence of Dirac operators (section 5) but we can give a direct proof by looking at the behaviour of the canonical “flat” Laplacian $i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ under a coordinate change $x^i \mapsto \gamma(x^i) = y^i$ over U . One has $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = i(p_{iL} - p_{iR})$ hence

$$\gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = i\gamma(p_{iL}) - i\gamma(p_{iR}).$$

Recall that $\gamma(p_i) = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j$. The operators $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j)_L$ and $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j)_R$ belong to $\mathcal{D}^0(U)$, so that

$$\gamma\left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) \equiv -\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j\right)_L + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j\right)_R \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_1^0(U).$$

Then we use the expansion

$$\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j\right)_R = \varepsilon \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} \left(\partial_x^\beta \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right)_L (p_j)_R \partial_p^\beta.$$

Since $\varepsilon p_R \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(U)$ and $\partial_p \in \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(U)$, the terms in the right-hand side belong to $\mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(U)$ whenever $|\beta| \geq 1$. Thus we only retain the principal term $|\beta| = 0$:

$$\gamma\left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) \equiv \varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right)_L (-p_{jL} + p_{jR}) \equiv i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(U).$$

We proceed in the same way with $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = -ix_L^i + ix_R^i$:

$$\gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = -i\gamma(x^i)_L + i\gamma(x^i)_R = -iy_L^i + iy_R^i.$$

We use the expansion

$$y_R^i = \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} (\partial_x^\beta y^i)_L \partial_p^\beta.$$

Since $\partial_p^\beta \in \mathcal{D}_0^{-|\beta|/2}(U)$, we only retain the principal terms $|\beta| = 1$ in the following sum:

$$\gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = i \sum_{|\beta|=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} (\partial_x^\beta y^i)_L \partial_p^\beta \equiv \left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U).$$

Finally we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) \gamma\left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) &\equiv \left(\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}\right) \left(i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}\right) \\ &\equiv i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \text{ mod } (\mathcal{D}_0^{-1} \mathcal{D}_1^1 + \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the operator $i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is invariant modulo $\mathcal{D}_1^0(U)$ under coordinate change. Now let (c_I) be a partition of unity associated to an atlas (U_I, x_I) on M . Denoting by Δ_I the canonical flat Laplacian in local coordinates x_I , the sum

$$\Delta = \sum_I (c_I)_L \Delta_I$$

globally defines a generalized Laplacian on M . ■

Observe that a generalized Laplacian Δ carries at least one power of ε , hence any formal power series of Δ is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{S}(M)$. For example, for any parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the exponential

$$\exp(t\Delta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \Delta^k \tag{29}$$

is an invertible element of $\mathcal{S}(M)$, with inverse $\exp(-t\Delta)$. However, these elements do not belong to $\mathcal{D}(M)$. We define an automorphism σ_Δ^t of the algebra $\mathcal{S}(M)$ as follows:

$$\sigma_\Delta^t(s) = \exp(t\Delta) s \exp(-t\Delta) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}(M). \tag{30}$$

Clearly $\sigma_\Delta^t \circ \sigma_\Delta^{t'} = \sigma_\Delta^{t+t'}$ so the map $t \mapsto \sigma_\Delta^t$ defines a one-parameter group of automorphisms.

Lemma 3.4 *For any generalized Laplacian Δ , the automorphism group σ_Δ preserves the subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(M)$. More precisely one has $[\Delta, \mathcal{D}_k^m(M)] \subset \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^m(M)$ and $\sigma_\Delta^t(\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)) = \mathcal{D}_k^m(M)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof: In local coordinates $\Delta = i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + r$ with $r \in \mathcal{D}_1^0$. Hence for any $s \in \mathcal{D}_k^m$

$$[\Delta, s] = i\varepsilon(\partial_x s \partial_p + \partial_p s \partial_x + \partial_x \partial_p s) + [r, s].$$

One has $\varepsilon \partial_x s \partial_p \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{m-1}$, $\varepsilon \partial_p s \partial_x \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^m$, $\varepsilon \partial_x \partial_p s \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{m-3/2}$, $rs \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^m$ and $sr \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^m$. Hence $[\Delta, \mathcal{D}_k^m(M)] \subset \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^m(M)$ as claimed.

Next we show $\exp(t\Delta)\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)\exp(-t\Delta) \subset \mathcal{D}_k^m(M)$ for all m, k . Replacing t by $-t$ then gives the inverse inclusion. For $s \in \mathcal{D}_k^m(M)$ consider the identity

$$\exp(t\Delta) s \exp(-t\Delta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^l}{l!} s^{(l)}$$

where $s^{(l)}$ denotes the l -th power of the derivation $[\Delta, \cdot]$ on s . By induction one has $s^{(l)} \in \mathcal{D}_{k+l}^m(M)$ for any $l \geq 0$ so that the infinite sum over l gives a well-defined element of $\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\Delta + s$ be a perturbation of a generalized Laplacian Δ , with $s \in \mathcal{D}_1^0(M)$. Then the Duhamel formula holds in $\mathcal{S}(M)$:*

$$\exp(\Delta + s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} \exp(t_0\Delta) s \exp(t_1\Delta) s \dots s \exp(t_k\Delta) dt, \quad (31)$$

where $\Delta_k = \{(t_0, \dots, t_k) \mid \sum_{i=0}^k t_i = 1\}$ is the standard k -simplex and $dt = dt_0 \dots dt_{k-1}$.

Proof: Since the exponential of a generalized Laplacian is defined by its formal power series, the identity (31) which holds at a formal level makes sense in $\mathcal{S}(M)$. Indeed $s \in \mathcal{D}_k^0$ carries at least one power of ε , so that the product $\exp(t_0\Delta)s \exp(t_1\Delta)s \dots s \exp(t_k\Delta)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_k(M)$, and its expansion in powers of ε has polynomial coefficients with respect to (t_0, \dots, t_k) . Hence the integral over the simplex Δ_k gives a well-defined element of $\mathcal{S}_k(M)$, and the infinite sum over k converges in $\mathcal{S}(M)$. \blacksquare

Notice that the Duhamel formula can be rewritten by means of the automorphism group σ_Δ as follows:

$$\exp(\Delta + s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(s) \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+t_1}(s) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s) \exp(\Delta) dt \quad (32)$$

Fix a generalized Laplacian Δ and consider the following vector subspace of $\mathcal{S}(M)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \mathcal{D}(M) \exp \Delta \quad (33)$$

Proposition 3.6 *$\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -bimodule and does not depend on the choice of generalized Laplacian. We call $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the bimodule of trace-class operators.*

Proof: $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is clearly a left $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -module. Moreover by Lemma 3.4, one has $\mathcal{D}(M) \exp(\Delta) \mathcal{D}(M) = \mathcal{D}(M) \sigma_\Delta^1(\mathcal{D}(M)) \exp \Delta = \mathcal{D}(M) \exp \Delta$ hence $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a right $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -module. Further on, if Δ and Δ' are two Laplacians, then

$\Delta' = \Delta + s$ with $s \in \mathcal{D}_1^0(M)$. We know that $\sigma_\Delta^t(s) \in \mathcal{D}_1^0(M)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so the series

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(s) \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+t_1}(s) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s) dt$$

converges in $\mathcal{D}^0(M)$. Hence $\exp \Delta' = S \exp \Delta$ by the Duhamel formula. In the same way $\exp \Delta = S' \exp \Delta'$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}(M) \exp \Delta' = \mathcal{D}(M) \exp \Delta$, and $\mathcal{T}(M)$ does not depend on the choice of generalized Laplacian. ■

$\mathcal{T}(M)$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(M)$. For example the product $\exp(\Delta) \exp(\Delta) = \exp(2\Delta)$ does not belong to the space of trace-class operators.

4 Canonical trace

Let M be a closed manifold. The Wodzicki residue ([12]) is a canonical trace on the algebra of classical pseudodifferential operators $\text{CL}(M)$. It is in fact the unique trace (up to a numerical factor) on $\text{CL}(M)$ when the manifold has dimension $n > 1$. The Wodzicki residue vanishes on $\text{CL}^m(M)$ whenever $m < -n$, hence vanishes on the ideal of smoothing operators $L^{-\infty}(M)$, so that it is really a trace on the algebra of formal symbols $\text{CS}(M)$. Wodzicki gives a concrete formula for the residue of a symbol $a \in \text{CS}^n(M)$ in terms of its expansion $a(x, p) = \sum_j a_{m-j}(x, p)$ in a local system of canonical coordinates over an open subset $U \subset M$. Let $\omega = dp_i \wedge dx^i$ be the symplectic two-form on the cotangent bundle $T^*U \subset T^*M$ (summation over repeated indices). Then T^*U is canonically oriented by the volume form $\omega^n/n! = dp_1 \wedge dx^1 \dots dp_n \wedge dx^n$. The cosphere bundle S^*U inherits this orientation. The Wodzicki residue of a symbol $a(x, p)$ with compact x -support over U is the integral of a $(2n-1)$ -form

$$\int a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^*U} \iota(L) \cdot \left(a_{-n}(x, p) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \right), \quad (34)$$

where a_{-n} is the degree $-n$ component of the symbol and $L = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is the fundamental vector field on T^*U . We can write

$$\iota(L) \cdot \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = (\iota(L) \cdot \omega) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \frac{\eta \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

where $\eta = p_i dx^i$ is the canonical one-form on T^*U . It is non-trivial to check that the Wodzicki residue is a trace and does not depend on the choice of coordinate system. Hence such expressions can be patched together using a partition of unity, allowing to define the residue of a symbol a with arbitrary support. If E is a (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) complex vector bundle over M , one defines analogously the Wodzicki residue as a (graded) trace on the algebra $\text{CS}(M, E)$: at each point (x, p) the symbol $a_{-n}(x, p)$ is now a endomorphism acting on the fibre E_x , so (34) has to be modified according to

$$\int a = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^*U} \iota(L) \cdot \left(\text{tr}_s(a_{-n}(x, p)) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \right), \quad (35)$$

where tr_s is the (graded) trace of endomorphisms. We focus on $E = \Lambda T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$. In a local coordinate system over U we know that a basis of sections of $\text{End}(E)$ is

provided by all products of ψ^i or $\bar{\psi}_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ among themselves, taking the Clifford relations (18) into account. A symbol $a \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ may thus be decomposed into a finite sum over multi-indices $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$,

$$a(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi}) = \sum_{\eta, \theta} a^{\eta, \theta}(x, p) \psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta, \quad (36)$$

where the coefficients $a^{\eta, \theta}$ are functions of x and p only. It is easy to see that the graded trace of endomorphisms, which acts on polynomials $\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta$, vanishes whenever $(|\eta|, |\theta|) \neq (n, n)$ and is normalized as follows on the polynomial of highest weight:

$$\text{tr}_s(\psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n \dots \bar{\psi}_1) = (-1)^n. \quad (37)$$

An equivalent normalization is $\text{tr}_s(\Pi) = 1$ where $\Pi = \bar{\psi}_1 \psi^1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n \psi^n$ is the projection operator from the space of differential forms $\Omega^*(U)$ to the subspace of scalar functions $\Omega^0(U)$.

In section 3 we introduced the algebra $\mathcal{S}(M)$ acting on the space of formal power series $\text{CS}(M, E)[[\varepsilon]]$, its subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(M) \subset \mathcal{S}(M)$, and the $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -bimodule of trace-class operators $\mathcal{T}(M) \subset \mathcal{S}(M)$. By means of the Wodzicki residue, our goal now is to construct a graded trace on $\mathcal{T}(M)$, that is, a linear map $\mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishing on the subspace of graded commutators $[\mathcal{D}(M), \mathcal{T}(M)]$. We start by doing this locally on an open subset $U \subset M$. Choose a coordinate system (x, p) over U and fix the canonical “flat” Laplacian $\Delta = i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$. For all multi-indices α and β set

$$\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \cdot \exp \left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} (p_i - q_i)(x^i - y^i) \right) \Big|_{\substack{x=y \\ p=q}} \quad (38)$$

For example one has

$$\langle \exp \Delta \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \partial_{x^i} \exp \Delta \rangle = 0 = \langle \partial_{p_j} \exp \Delta \rangle, \quad \langle \partial_{x^i} \partial_{p_j} \exp \Delta \rangle = \frac{i}{\varepsilon} \delta_i^j$$

and more generally with a polynomial $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta$ the formula involves all possible contractions between ∂_x and ∂_p . In particular

$$\langle \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} \partial_{p_k} \partial_{p_l} \exp \Delta \rangle = \left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 (\delta_i^k \delta_j^l + \delta_i^l \delta_j^k).$$

Notice that $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$ vanishes unless $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. We define similarly a contraction map for the polynomials in the odd variables $\psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$. If $(\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R$ is a generic product with multi-indices η, θ set

$$\langle (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \rangle = (-1)^n \text{tr}_s(\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta). \quad (39)$$

Hence from the normalization (37) holds $\langle \psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n \dots \bar{\psi}_1 \rangle = 1$, and the contraction vanishes on polynomials of lower degree. The even and odd contractions assemble in a linear map

$$\langle\langle \rangle\rangle : \mathcal{T}(U) \rightarrow \text{CS}(U, E)[[\varepsilon]] \quad (40)$$

defined as follows. Let $s = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k \varepsilon^k$ belong to $\mathcal{D}^m(U)$, so that $s \exp \Delta$ is a generic element of $\mathcal{T}(U)$. We can write, for all components $s_k \in \mathcal{L}(U)$,

$$s_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n (s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta})_L (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta$$

with $s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta} \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ a symbol of order $\leq m + (k + |\beta| - 3|\alpha|)/2$. Set

$$\langle\langle s_k \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta} \langle (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \rangle \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle.$$

Observe that the sum over α is finite, as is the sum over β because of the contractions $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$. Hence $\langle\langle s_k \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle$ is a polynomial of degree at most k in the indeterminate ε^{-1} , with coefficients in $\text{CS}(U, E)$. Consequently $\langle\langle s_k \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle \varepsilon^k$ is a polynomial in ε of degree at most k , with coefficients in $\text{CS}(U, E)$. However it is not at all obvious that the sum

$$\langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle\langle s_k \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle \varepsilon^k \quad (41)$$

makes sense even in the space of formal series $\text{CS}(U, E)[[\varepsilon]]$. The completeness of the space of symbols is an essential ingredient of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *$\langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle$ is a well-defined element of $\text{CS}(U, E)[[\varepsilon]]$ for any $s \in \mathcal{D}(U)$.*

Proof: Let $s \in \mathcal{D}^m(U)$. For each power $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have to show that the coefficient of ε^l in the formal series

$$\langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^k \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\eta|=0}^n \sum_{|\theta|=0}^n s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta} \langle (\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \rangle \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle \varepsilon^k$$

is a well-defined element of $\text{CS}(U, E)$. The contraction $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$ forces $|\beta| = |\alpha|$, hence the symbol $s_{k,\alpha,\beta,\eta,\theta}$ has order $\leq m + k/2 - |\alpha|$. Moreover $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$ brings a factor $\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}$. It follows that for fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the coefficient of ε^l in the above series is proportional to

$$a_l = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k-l} a_{k,\alpha}$$

where $a_{k,\alpha}$ is a symbol of order $\leq m + k/2 - |\alpha| = m + l - k/2$. Since m and l are fixed, the order of $a_{k,\alpha}$ is a strictly decreasing function of k , hence a_l converges in $\text{CS}(U, E)$. \blacksquare

Let $\mathcal{D}_c(U) \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$ and $\mathcal{T}_c(U) \subset \mathcal{T}(U)$ be the subspaces of operators with compact x -support on U . Any element of $\mathcal{T}_c(U)$ reads $s \exp \Delta$ for some $s \in \mathcal{D}_c(U)$, and $\mathcal{T}_c(U)$ is a $\mathcal{D}(U)$ -bimodule.

Lemma 4.2 Let $\langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle[n] \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ be the coefficient of ε^n , $n = \dim M$, in the formal series $\langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle$. The linear map $\text{Tr}_s^U : \mathcal{T}_c(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\text{Tr}_s^U(s \exp \Delta) = \int \langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle[n], \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{D}_c(U), \quad (42)$$

is a graded trace on the space of compactly-supported trace-class operators viewed as a $\mathcal{D}(U)$ -bimodule.

Proof: In fact we will show that the map $\mathcal{T}_c(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$ defined by

$$s \exp \Delta \mapsto \int \langle\langle s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle$$

is a graded trace. Selecting the coefficient of ε^n then yields Tr_s^U . By linearity it is sufficient to check the trace property on operators $s \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ which depend *polynomially* on ε and the partial derivatives ∂_x and ∂_p . So let $s = s_k \varepsilon^k$,

$$s_k = a_L(\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta$$

be such an operator, for some $a \in \text{CS}(U, E)$ and multi-indices $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \theta$. It is enough to show that

$$\int \langle\langle (s \exp \Delta) s' \rangle\rangle = \pm \int \langle\langle s' s \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle \quad (43)$$

in the following cases: $s' = b_L$ for a symbol $b \in \text{CS}(U, E)$, or $s' = \partial_x, \partial_p, \psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$. The sign must be $-$ if s and s' are both odd, $+$ otherwise. Since the contraction map involves the supertrace on the Clifford algebra generated by $\psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$, (43) is obvious when $s' = \psi_R$ or $\bar{\psi}_R$. Then for $s' = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ one has

$$\int \langle\langle [s', s \exp \Delta] \rangle\rangle = \int \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i} \langle(\psi^\eta \bar{\psi}^\theta)_R \rangle \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle \varepsilon^k$$

The Wodzicki residue vanishes on the derivative $\partial a / \partial x^i$, hence (43) is verified. The case $s' = \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i}$ is similar. It remains to deal with the case $s' = b_L$ for a symbol b . If $F(\partial_x, \partial_p)$ is any formal power series with respect to the variables $X = \partial_x$ and $P = \partial_p$, one has the identity

$$F(\partial_x, \partial_p) \circ b_L = \sum_{|\gamma|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\delta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma! \delta!} (\partial_x^\gamma \partial_p^\delta b)_L \partial_X^\gamma \partial_P^\delta F(\partial_x, \partial_p).$$

Applying this to the series $F(\partial_x, \partial_p) = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta$ one gets

$$\langle (a_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta) b_L \rangle = \sum_{|\gamma|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\delta|=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma! \delta!} \langle (a \partial_x^\gamma \partial_p^\delta b)_L \partial_X^\gamma \partial_P^\delta (\partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta) \rangle.$$

But the contraction map vanishes on a derivative $\partial_X F(\partial_x, \partial_p)$ or $\partial_P F(\partial_x, \partial_p)$. This only selects the terms $|\gamma| = |\delta| = 0$:

$$\langle (a_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta) b_L \rangle = \langle (ab)_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle = ab \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle.$$

Finally, the Wodzicki residue is a trace on the algebra of (compactly supported) symbols, hence

$$\int \langle (a_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta) b_L \rangle = \int ba \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle = \int \langle b_L a_L \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle .$$

This shows that (43) is verified for $s' = b_L$ as well. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.3 *The map Tr_s^U does not depend on the choice of coordinate system (x, p) over T^*U . Hence using a partition of unity relative to an open covering of M , such maps can be patched together, giving rise to a canonical graded trace*

$$\text{Tr}_s : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (44)$$

on the $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -bimodule of trace-class operators.

Proof: First observe that under an *affine* change of coordinates $x^i \mapsto y^i$, $p_i \mapsto \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j$, the flat laplacian $\Delta = i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is invariant, as well as Eq. (38). It follows that the contraction map $\mathcal{T}(U) \rightarrow \text{CS}(U, E)[[\varepsilon]]$ is equivariant under affine transformations. Since the Wodzicki residue is also invariant, it follows that the trace Tr_s^U is invariant under affine transformations.

Now let γ be any (smooth) change of coordinates. By linearity it is enough to show that, if $t \in \mathcal{T}(U)$ has support in an arbitrary small neighborhood of a point $x_0 \in U$, then $\text{Tr}_s^U(t) = \text{Tr}_s^U(\gamma(t))$. After composition with an appropriate affine transformation, we can even suppose that γ leaves the point x_0 and its tangent space $T_{x_0}U$ fixed. Then there exists a small neighborhood V of x_0 such that the restriction of γ to the domain V is a diffeomorphism homotopic to identity. Hence we only need to show that the trace Tr_s^U is invariant under infinitesimal transformations induced by vector fields on U . This follows from the fact that such transformations are given by commutators. Indeed let $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in \text{Vect}(U)$ be any smooth vector field and consider the following symbol $L_X \in \text{PS}(U, E)$:

$$L_X = iX^j p_j + \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^k} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j .$$

As an operator on the smooth sections of E (that is, the differential forms over U), L_X corresponds to the Lie derivative along X . One easily checks that its induced action on the generators of the algebra $\text{CS}(U, E)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} [L_X, x^i] &= X^i , & [L_X, p_i] &= -\frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^i} p_j + i \frac{\partial^2 X^j}{\partial x^i \partial x^k} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j , \\ [L_X, \psi^i] &= \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^k} \psi^k , & [L_X, \bar{\psi}_i] &= -\frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^i} \bar{\psi}_j , \end{aligned}$$

which are the correct transformation laws. Further on, the induced action on the algebra $\mathcal{S}(U)$ is given by the commutator with $(L_X)_L + (L_X)_R \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. Restricting this action to the subspace of trace-class operators $\mathcal{T}(U)$ shows that the trace Tr_s^U vanishes on Lie derivatives. \blacksquare

We end this section with a useful formula in local coordinates (x, p) over $U \subset M$. Let $R = (R_j^i)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$. We suppose

that R has no term of degree zero with respect to ε . Hence the Todd series of R and its determinant are well-defined as formal power series in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]])$ and $\mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$ respectively:

$$\frac{R}{e^R - 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{12}R^2 + \dots, \quad \text{Td}(R) = \det\left(\frac{R}{e^R - 1}\right). \quad (45)$$

We consider the operator $s = p_{iL}R_j^i \partial_{p_j} = p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p$ as a formal perturbation of the flat Laplacian $\Delta = i\varepsilon \partial_x \cdot \partial_p$. Note however that $\Delta + s$ is not a generalized Laplacian. Then by the Duhamel formula,

$$\exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} (\sigma_{\Delta}^{t_0}(s) \sigma_{\Delta}^{t_0+t_1}(s) \dots \sigma_{\Delta}^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s) \exp \Delta) dt$$

is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{S}(U)$. There is an explicit formula computing the contraction of this series with an arbitrary polynomial in the derivatives ∂_x and ∂_p :

Lemma 4.4 *For any multi-indices α and β holds*

$$\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \rangle = \text{Td}(R) s(R, p) \quad (46)$$

where the symbol $s(R, p) \in \text{CS}(U, E)[[\varepsilon]]$ is a polynomial in p :

$$s(R, p) = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp\left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} q \cdot R \cdot (x - y) + \frac{i}{\varepsilon} (p - q) \cdot \frac{R}{1 - e^{-R}} \cdot (x - y)\right) \Big|_{\substack{x=y \\ p=q}}$$

Proof: The operator $\exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \exp(-\Delta)$ can be expanded as a formal power series in R , whose coefficients depend polynomially on p_L and the partial derivatives ∂_x, ∂_p . Thus one has

$$\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \rangle = \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta H_\varepsilon(R, x, y, p, q) \Big|_{\substack{x=y \\ p=q}}$$

where $H_\varepsilon(R, x, y, p, q) = \exp(\Delta + p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \exp(-\Delta) (\exp(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} (p - q) \cdot (x - y)))$. We introduce a deformation parameter $t \in [0, 1]$ and replace R by tR . The function $H_\varepsilon(tR, x, y, p, q)$ is viewed as a formal power series in t . For $t = 0$ it reduces to

$$H_\varepsilon(0, x, y, p, q) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} (p - q) \cdot (x - y)\right).$$

We are going to show that $H_\varepsilon(tR, x, y, p, q)$ fulfills a differential equation of first order with respect to t . One has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp(\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p)^{(n)} \exp(\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p)$$

where the superscript (n) denotes the derivation $X \mapsto [\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p, X]$ applied n times. Hence $(p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p)^{(1)} = [\Delta, p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p] = i\varepsilon \partial_x \cdot R \cdot \partial_p = i\varepsilon R_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}$. Furthermore

$$(p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p)^{(n)} = [p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p, (p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p)^{(n-1)}] = i\varepsilon (-t)^{n-1} \partial_x \cdot R^n \cdot \partial_p$$

for all $n \geq 2$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp(\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p) \\ &= \left(p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p - i\varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_x \cdot \frac{(-tR)^n}{t(n+1)!} \cdot \partial_p \right) \exp(\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p) \\ &= \left(p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p + t^{-1}\Delta + i\varepsilon \partial_x \cdot \frac{e^{-tR} - 1}{t^2 R} \cdot \partial_p \right) \exp(\Delta + p \cdot tR \cdot \partial_p) \end{aligned}$$

It is important to note that, by construction, the t -expansion of the differential operator $p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p + t^{-1}\Delta + i\varepsilon \partial_x \cdot \frac{e^{-tR} - 1}{t^2 R} \cdot \partial_p$ only involves non-negative powers of t . Hence the function H_ε is a solution of the differential equation

$$\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + p \cdot R \cdot \partial_p + t^{-1}\Delta + i\varepsilon \partial_x \cdot \frac{e^{-tR} - 1}{t^2 R} \cdot \partial_p \right) H_\varepsilon(tR, x, y, p, q) = 0$$

and is uniquely specified, as a formal power series in t , by its value at $t = 0$. A routine computation shows that the Ansatz

$$H_\varepsilon(tR, x, y, p, q) = \text{Td}(tR) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} q \cdot tR \cdot (x - y) + \frac{i}{\varepsilon} (p - q) \cdot \frac{tR}{1 - e^{-tR}} \cdot (x - y)\right)$$

is this unique solution. \blacksquare

Let us apply this lemma in some particular cases. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \rangle &= \text{Td}(R) \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \right\rangle &= \frac{i}{\varepsilon} \text{Td}(R) (p \cdot R)_i \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Observe that the right-hand-side of the second equation contains no negative power of ε because R brings at least one factor ε . One thus gets the identity

$$\left\langle \left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (p_L \cdot R)_i \right) \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \right\rangle = 0. \quad (48)$$

More generally for any multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$:

$$\left\langle (i\varepsilon \partial_x + p_L \cdot R)^\alpha \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \right\rangle = 0. \quad (49)$$

5 Dirac operators

Let M be an n -dimensional manifold and $E = \Lambda T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$. The space of smooth sections of E is isomorphic to the space $\Omega^*(M)$ of complex differential forms over M . The exterior multiplication of $\Omega^*(M)$ on the sections of E (from the left) gives rise to an homomorphism of algebras

$$\mu : \Omega^*(M) \rightarrow \text{PS}^0(M, E). \quad (50)$$

Remark that the algebra $\text{PS}^0(M, E)$ of differential operators of order zero is isomorphic to the algebra of smooth sections of the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$. The map μ is injective. In a local coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) over $U \subset M$,

the image of a k -form $\alpha = \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x) dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ is the endomorphism $\mu(\alpha) = \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x) \psi^{i_1} \dots \psi^{i_k}$. Also, the operation of interior multiplication by vector fields on the sections of E gives rise to an injective linear map

$$\iota : \text{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \text{PS}^0(M, E) . \quad (51)$$

In local coordinates the image of a vector field $X = X^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the endomorphism $\iota(X) = X^i(x) \bar{\psi}_i$. In the sequel we consider $\Omega^*(M)$ and $\text{Vect}(M)$ as subspaces of the algebra of differential operators $\text{PS}(M, E)$. Finally, we introduce another subspace $\text{SPS}^1(M, E) \subset \text{PS}^1(M, E)$ of differential operators, characterized by their expression in any local coordinate system over U as follows:

$$a \in \text{SPS}^1(U, E) \Leftrightarrow a(x, p) = a^i(x) p_i + a_j^i(x) \psi^j \bar{\psi}_i + b(x) \quad (52)$$

where $a_i, a_j^i, b \in \Omega^0(U)$ are scalar functions. $\text{SPS}^1(M)$ is the space of differential operators of order one, even parity, and *scalar* leading symbol. This definition is coordinate-independent, because under a coordinate change $x^i \mapsto y^i$ one has $p_i \mapsto \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j$, $\psi^i \mapsto \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^j} \psi^j$ and $\bar{\psi}_i \mapsto \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \bar{\psi}_j$. Moreover, one easily checks that the commutator $[\text{SPS}^1(M, E), \text{SPS}^1(M, E)]$ is again in $\text{SPS}^1(M, E)$. Thus $\text{SPS}^1(M, E)$ is a Lie algebra.

As before we denote $\mathcal{L}(M)$ the subalgebra of linear operators on the vector space $\text{CS}(M, E)$, generated by left multiplication by $\text{CS}(M, E)$ and right multiplication by $\text{PS}(M, E)$. In other words $\mathcal{L}(M) = \text{CS}(M, E)_L \text{PS}(M, E)_R$. From the discussion above we can also form various subspaces of $\mathcal{L}(M)$, for instance $\text{SPS}^1(M, E)_L \Omega^1(M)_R$ or $\Omega^0(M)_L \text{Vect}(M)_R$. The latter operators are easy to characterize in local coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R &\Leftrightarrow s = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k + s_{\alpha i j}^k \psi^j \bar{\psi}_k + s_{\alpha i})_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha \\ r \in \Omega^0(U)_L \text{Vect}(U)_R &\Leftrightarrow r = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (r_\alpha^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

for some scalar functions $s_{\alpha i}^k, s_{\alpha i j}^k, s_{\alpha i}, r_\alpha^i \in \Omega^0(U)$. From these expressions it is clear that $\text{SPS}^1(M, E)_L \Omega^1(M)_R \subset \mathcal{D}_0^1(M)$ and $\Omega^0(M)_L \text{Vect}(M)_R \subset \mathcal{D}_0^0(M)$. We are now ready to define Dirac operators as particular elements of $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

Definition 5.1 *Suppose that $\nabla \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and $\bar{\nabla} \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ are odd operators on $\text{CS}(M, E)$ such that, in any local coordinate system over $U \subset M$,*

$$\nabla = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + s , \quad \bar{\nabla} = \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + r , \quad (54)$$

with $s \in \text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R$ and $r \in \Omega^0(U)_L \text{Vect}(U)_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U)$. The sum

$$D = i\varepsilon \nabla + \bar{\nabla} \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(M) + \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(M) \quad (55)$$

is called a generalized Dirac operator on M .

Hence the operator $i\varepsilon \nabla$ is locally the sum of its leading part $i\varepsilon \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(U)$ and a perturbation term $i\varepsilon s \in \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(U)$. Similarly $\bar{\nabla}$ is locally the sum of its

leading part $\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \in \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(U)$ and a perturbation

$$r = \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (r_{\alpha}^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U) .$$

In fact $\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = i \bar{\psi}_{iR} (x_R^i - x_L^i)$ so that $\bar{\nabla} \in \Omega^0(M)_L \text{Vect}(M)_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(M)$.

The existence of ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ as global operators on M is not a priori obvious. In order to understand the above definition, we first examine the behaviour of $\psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ under a coordinate change $x^i \mapsto \gamma(x^i) = y^i$. One has

$$\gamma\left(\psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \gamma(\psi_{iR}^i) \gamma(ip_{iL} - ip_{iR}) = i \gamma(\psi^i)_R \gamma(p_i)_L - i \gamma(p_i \psi^i)_R .$$

$ip_i \psi^i$ is the symbol of the exterior derivative d on differential forms (see Example 5.3), hence it is invariant under coordinate change. This can also be checked by direct computation, with $\gamma(p_i) = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j$ and $\gamma(\psi^i) = \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^l} \psi^l$. One thus has

$$\gamma\left(\psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = i \left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^l} \psi^l\right)_R \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j\right)_L - i (p_i \psi^i)_R .$$

Write $-i(p_i \psi^i)_R = \psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - ip_{iL} \psi_{iR}^i$ and use the commutation of left and right actions:

$$\gamma\left(\psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - ip_{iL} \psi_{iR}^i + \left(i \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} p_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j\right)_L \left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^l} \psi^l\right)_R . \quad (56)$$

The right-hand side reads $\psi_{iR}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + s$ with $s \in \text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R$. We can choose a partition of unity (c_I) relative to an atlas (U_I, x_I) of M and define a global operator ∇ by:

$$\nabla = \sum_I (c_I)_L (\psi_I^i)_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I^i} . \quad (57)$$

Then (56) shows that ∇ has the required form in any local coordinate system. In order to build a global operator $\bar{\nabla}$, we proceed analogously and examine how the local operator $\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ transforms under coordinate change. One has

$$\gamma\left(\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = \gamma(\bar{\psi}_{iR}) \gamma(i(x_R^i - x_L^i)) = i \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \bar{\psi}_j\right)_R (y_R^i - y_L^i) .$$

This still belongs to the subspace $\Omega^0(U)_L \text{Vect}(U)_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(U)$. Then use the expansion $y_R^i = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (\partial_x^{\alpha} y^i)_L \partial_p^{\alpha}$:

$$\gamma\left(\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = i \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \bar{\psi}_j\right)_R \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (\partial_x^{\alpha} y^i)_L \partial_p^{\alpha} .$$

For $|\alpha| \geq 2$ the terms of the series belong to $\mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U)$. Keeping only the first term ($|\alpha| = 1$) one gets

$$\gamma\left(\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \bar{\psi}_j\right)_R \left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^k}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \text{ mod } \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U) .$$

But $(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i})_R = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (\partial_x^\alpha \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i})_L \partial_p^\alpha$ equals $(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i})_L$ modulo $\mathcal{D}_0^{-1/2}(U)$, so

$$\gamma\left(\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) \equiv \bar{\psi}_{jR} \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^k}\right)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \equiv \bar{\psi}_{jR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \pmod{\mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U)}.$$

Hence we have $\gamma(\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}) = \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + r$ with $r \in \Omega^0(U)_L \text{Vect}(U)_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(U)$. As before we obtain a global operator $\bar{\nabla}$ on M by gluing local pieces $\bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ together by means of a partition of unity. This shows that generalized Dirac operators always exist.

Proposition 5.2 *Let D be a generalized Dirac operator on M . Then $-D^2$ is a generalized Laplacian (Definition 3.2).*

Proof: $D = i\varepsilon\nabla + \bar{\nabla}$ so $D^2 = \bar{\nabla}^2 + i\varepsilon[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] - \varepsilon^2\nabla^2$. Since $\bar{\nabla} \in \Omega^0(M)_L \text{Vect}(M)_R$, one has $\bar{\nabla}^2 = 0$ (indeed $\Omega^0(M)$ is a commutative algebra, and vector fields anticommute in $\text{PS}^0(M, E)$). Then choose a local coordinate system and write $\nabla = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + s$ with $s \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^1$. One has $(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^2 = 0$ hence

$$\nabla^2 = \left(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + s\right)^2 = [\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, s] + s^2.$$

Recall that $\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and s are odd, so the commutator is taken in the graded sense. Let us decompose s as a sum of generic elements $a_L b_R$, with $a \in \text{SPS}^1$ (even) and $b \in \Omega^1$ (odd). Then ψ^i and b commute in the graded sense so

$$[\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, a_L b_R] = \psi_R^i [\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, a_L b_R] = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i}\right)_L \psi_R^i b_R + a_L \psi_R^i \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial x^i}\right)_R.$$

Hence $[\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, s] \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^2$. Then writing $s = \sum_I a_L^I b_R^I$, one has

$$s^2 = \sum_{I, J} a_L^I a_L^J b_R^I b_R^J = - \sum_{I, J} (a^I a^J)_L (b^J b^I)_R = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I, J} [a^I, a^J]_L (b^J b^I)_R$$

because b^I and b^J are anticommuting one-forms. SPS^1 is a Lie algebra, hence $[a^I, a^J] \in \text{SPS}^1$ and $s^2 \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^2$. This shows that $\nabla^2 \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^2 \subset \mathcal{D}_0^1$ and $-\varepsilon^2\nabla^2 \in \mathcal{D}_2^0$.

Finally we compute the graded commutator $[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}]$. Write $\bar{\nabla} = \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + r$ with $r \in \Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$. One has $[\nabla, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = [\psi_R^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] + [s, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}]$, where

$$[\psi_R^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = [\psi_R^j, \bar{\psi}_{iR}] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = -[\bar{\psi}_i, \psi^j]_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

As before decompose s as a sum of generic elements $a_L b_R \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^1$. Since $b = \sum_i b_i(x) \psi^i \in \Omega^1$ does not depend on p ,

$$[a_L b_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = a_L [b_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR}] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \bar{\psi}_{iR} \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i}\right)_L b_R = -a_L b_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i}\right)_L (b \bar{\psi}_i)_R$$

One has $a_L b_{iR} \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^0$, hence $a_L b_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^0 \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{1/2}$. Moreover $(\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i})_L (b \bar{\psi}_i)_R \in \Omega_L^0 \text{PS}_R^0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0^0$. Then $[i\varepsilon\nabla, r] \in [\mathcal{D}_1^1, \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}] \subset \mathcal{D}_1^0$ so that finally $i\varepsilon[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] \equiv -i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \pmod{\mathcal{D}_1^0}$. In conclusion

$$D^2 \equiv -i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \pmod{(\mathcal{D}_1^0 + \mathcal{D}_2^0)} \equiv -i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \pmod{\mathcal{D}_1^0}$$

which shows that $-D^2$ is a generalized Laplacian. \blacksquare

Example 5.3 Let d be the exterior derivative of differential forms over M . Hence $d \in \text{PS}(M, E)$ is a differential operator of order one. Its right multiplication on $\text{CS}(M, E)$ defines an element of odd degree $d_R \in \mathcal{L}(M)$. In a local coordinate system over U one has $d = ip_i \psi^i$, hence

$$d_R = i(p_i \psi^i)_R = i\psi_R^i p_{iR} = -\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + ip_{iL} \psi_R^i \quad (58)$$

with $p_{iL} \psi_R^i \in \text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R$. This shows that $\nabla = -d_R$ is a possible choice. Adding any $\bar{\nabla}$, the generalized Dirac operator $D = -i\varepsilon d_R + \bar{\nabla}$ thus obtained will be called a *de Rham-Dirac* operator on M . Note that $\nabla = -d_R$ is completely canonical, only the $\bar{\nabla}$ part requires some choice.

Proposition 5.4 *Let $D = -i\varepsilon d_R + \bar{\nabla}$ be a de Rham-Dirac operator on M . In a local coordinate system over an open set $U \subset M$, the associated generalized Laplacian reads*

$$\begin{aligned} -D^2 &= i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (a_{\alpha}^i)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right)^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left(p_{iL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (a_{\alpha}^i p_i)_L \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right)^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left((\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (b_{\alpha}^i)_L (\psi^j \bar{\psi}_i)_R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right)^{\alpha} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where $a_{\alpha}^i, b_{\alpha}^i \in \Omega^0(U)$ are scalar functions.

Proof: Since $d^2 = 0$ and $\bar{\nabla}^2 = 0$ one has $-D^2 = i\varepsilon [d_R, \bar{\nabla}]$. In a local coordinate system one can write $\bar{\nabla} \equiv \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \text{ mod } \Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$. Let us calculate

$$[d_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = i[(p_j \psi^j)_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = -i[\bar{\psi}_i, p_j \psi^j]_R \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - i\bar{\psi}_{iR} [(p_j \psi^j)_R, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}].$$

One has $[\bar{\psi}_i, p_j \psi^j]_R = (p_j [\bar{\psi}_i, \psi^j])_R = p_{iR}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{iR} [(p_j \psi^j)_R, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = -\bar{\psi}_{iR} \psi_R^i = (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R$, so that

$$[d_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}] = -ip_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - i(\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - ip_{iL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - i(\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R.$$

This gives the three principal terms in (59). The other terms, which are perturbations, come from the commutator of d_R with $\Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$. Indeed, a generic element in $\Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$ can be expanded as $\sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (a_{\alpha}^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^{\alpha}$, with $a_{\alpha}^i \in \Omega^0$. One has

$$[d_R, (a_{\alpha}^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^{\alpha}] = (a_{\alpha}^i)_L ([d_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR}] \partial_p^{\alpha} - \bar{\psi}_{iR} [d_R, \partial_p^{\alpha}])$$

where $[d_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR}] = i[(p_j \psi^j)_R, \bar{\psi}_{iR}] = -i[\bar{\psi}_i, \psi^j]_{RPjR} = -ip_{iR} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - ip_{iL}$. Moreover $[d_R, \partial_p^\alpha] = i[(p_j \psi^j)_R, \partial_p^\alpha] = i\psi_R^j [p_{jR}, \partial_p^\alpha]$ is a sum of terms proportional to $\psi_R^j \partial_p^\beta$ for all multi-indices β such that $|\beta| = |\alpha| - 1$. Hence we can write

$$[d_R, (a_\alpha^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^\alpha] = (a_\alpha^i)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \partial_p^\alpha - i(a_\alpha^i p_i)_L \partial_p^\alpha - i \sum_{|\beta|=|\alpha|-1} (b_{\beta j}^i)_L (\psi^j \bar{\psi}_i)_R \partial_p^\beta$$

where the terms of the right-hand-side contribute to the first, second and third line of (59) respectively. \blacksquare

Remark 5.5 For any generalized Dirac operator $D = i\varepsilon\nabla + \bar{\nabla}$, we can write

$$\nabla = -d_R + s \quad \text{with} \quad s \in \text{SPS}^1(M, E)_L \Omega^1(M)_R \quad (60)$$

globally on M . This property completely characterizes the class of operators ∇ without reference to any local coordinate system.

Example 5.6 We now give another important example of generalized Dirac operator related to a choice of torsion-free affine connection Γ on M . Such a connection is characterized in any local coordinate system over $U \subset M$ by its Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{ij}^k(x)$, for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, which are symmetric with respect to the lower indices ij . Under a coordinate transformation $x^i \mapsto \gamma(x^i) = y^i$ the Christoffel symbols change according to

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) \mapsto \gamma \Gamma_{ij}^k(x) = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial^2 y^l}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial y^p}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial y^q}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{pq}^l(y). \quad (61)$$

In the given coordinate system we define a ‘‘covariant derivative’’ operator acting on $\text{CS}(U, E)$:

$$\nabla_i^\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x))_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L - (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_R \right). \quad (62)$$

Note that it is not quite a derivation on the algebra $\text{CS}(U, E)$, because x and p do not commute, however its action on the generators $x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi}$ is what we expect from a covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_i^\Gamma(x^k) = \delta_i^k, \quad \nabla_i^\Gamma(p_j) = \Gamma_{ij}^k p_k, \quad \nabla_i^\Gamma(\psi^k) = -\Gamma_{ij}^k \psi^j, \quad \nabla_i^\Gamma = \Gamma_{ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k.$$

We say that a generalized Dirac operator $D = i\varepsilon\nabla + \bar{\nabla}$ is *affiliated to the connection* Γ is in any coordinate system one has

$$\nabla = \psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma + s, \quad (63)$$

where the remainder s has an expansion of the form

$$s = \psi_R^i \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2}^\infty (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k)_L \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^\infty (s_{\alpha ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j + s_{\alpha i})_L \partial_p^\alpha \right) \quad (64)$$

for some scalar functions $s_{\alpha i}^k, s_{\alpha ij}^k, s_{\alpha i} \in \Omega^0(U)$. Observe that s belongs to $\text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^0(U)$. In order to check that this definition makes

sense, one has to inspect the transformation law of $\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma$ under a coordinate change γ . Using the symmetry $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k$ one has

$$\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \psi_R^i (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) p_k)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \psi_R^i (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L .$$

We already know that $\gamma(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \equiv \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \pmod{\text{SPS}^1(U, E)_L \Omega^1(U)_R}$, but a closer examination of Equation (56)

$$\gamma\left(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - ip_{iL} \psi_R^i + \left(i \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} p_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \psi^q \bar{\psi}_k\right)_L \left(\frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i} \psi^i\right)_R$$

gives, by means of the expansion $\left(\frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i} \psi^i\right)_R = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i}\right)_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) &= \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} p_k \frac{\partial^2 y^l}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial^2 y^l}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j\right)_L \psi_R^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \left(i \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} p_k \partial_x^\alpha \frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i}\right)_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \psi^q \bar{\psi}_k \partial_x^\alpha \frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i}\right)_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

We used the identities $-ip_i + i \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} p_k \frac{\partial y^l}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial^2 y^l}{\partial x^k \partial x^i}$ and $\psi^j \bar{\psi}_k = \delta_k^j - \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j$ in order to simplify the first line. Since commutators with p are proportional to derivations with respect to x , the above expression reads

$$\gamma\left(\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \psi_R^i \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial^2 y^l}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L\right) + s' ,$$

where the remainder s' has an expansion of the form (64). In the same way, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\psi_R^i (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x))_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L\right)\right) &= \\ \psi_R^i \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l} \frac{\partial y^p}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial y^q}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{pq}^l(y)\right)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L\right) &+ s'' \end{aligned}$$

with a remainder s'' of the form (64). Hence $\gamma(\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma) = \psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\gamma\Gamma} + s$, and using a partition of unity we can build a global operator ∇ on M with the wanted property. The following proposition, which is an analogue of the Lichnerowicz formula, relates the square of the corresponding Dirac operator to the curvature tensor of the connection Γ , whose components in local coordinates are

$$R_{lij}^k = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jl}^k}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{il}^k}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{im}^k \Gamma_{jl}^m - \Gamma_{jm}^k \Gamma_{il}^m . \quad (65)$$

Proposition 5.7 *Let D be a Dirac operator affiliated to a torsion-free affine connection Γ on M . In a local coordinate system over an open set $U \subset M$, the associated generalized Laplacian reads*

$$\begin{aligned} -D^2 &= i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + (\Gamma_{ij}^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + u + v\right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\psi^i \psi^j)_R (R_{lij}^k)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L\right) + w\right) \quad (66) \end{aligned}$$

where R_{lij}^k are the components of the curvature tensor, and

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} \left((u_{\alpha i})_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (u_{\alpha}^k p_k)_L + (u_{\alpha i}^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R + (u_{\alpha})_L \right) \partial_p^{\alpha} \\ v &= \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (v_{\alpha i}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^i)_L \partial_p^{\alpha} \\ w &= (\psi^i \psi^j)_R \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (w_{\alpha ij}^k p_k)_L \partial_p^{\alpha} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (w_{\alpha lij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l + w_{\alpha ij})_L \partial_p^{\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{\alpha i}, u_{\alpha}^k, u_{\alpha i}^k, u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha i}^k, w_{\alpha ij}^k, w_{\alpha lij}^k, w_{\alpha ij} \in \Omega^0(U)$ are scalar functions.

Proof: Since $\bar{\nabla}^2 = 0$ one has $-D^2 = -i\varepsilon[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] + \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2$. In a local coordinate system $\nabla = \psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\Gamma} + s$ and $\bar{\nabla} = \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} + r$ with

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \psi_R^i \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k)_L \partial_p^{\alpha} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j + s_{\alpha i})_L \partial_p^{\alpha} \right) \\ r &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (r_{\alpha}^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^{\alpha} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] = [\psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\Gamma}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] + [\psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\Gamma}, r] + [s, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] + [s, r]$. We compute each commutator of the right hand side separately. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} &[\psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\Gamma}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] = \\ &[\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] + [(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] + [(\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \psi_R^i, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] . \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$[\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] = [\psi_R^i, \bar{\psi}_{kR}] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} = -[\bar{\psi}_k, \psi^i]_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] \\ &= (\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L [\psi_R^i, \bar{\psi}_{kR}] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \bar{\psi}_{kR} (\Gamma_{ij}^l)_L [p_l, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ &= -(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - (\Gamma_{ij}^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[(\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \psi_R^i, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] = -(\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} -i\varepsilon[\psi_R^i \nabla_i^{\Gamma}, \bar{\psi}_{kR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}] &= i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + i\varepsilon (\Gamma_{ij}^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ &\quad + i\varepsilon (\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + i\varepsilon (\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} . \end{aligned}$$

The first and second term appear in the first line of (66), while the third and fourth terms contribute to u and v respectively. We continue with the commutator $[\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma, r]$:

$$[\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, r] = \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} [\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, (r_\alpha^j)_L \bar{\psi}_{jR}] \partial_p^\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{\partial r_\alpha^j}{\partial x^i} \right)_L \psi_R^i \bar{\psi}_{jR} - (r_\alpha^i)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \partial_p^\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, r] &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} [(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \psi_R^i, (r_\alpha^j)_L \bar{\psi}_{jR} \partial_p^\alpha] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{\infty} (a_\alpha^k p_k)_L \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} ((a_\alpha^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R + (a_\alpha)_L) \partial_p^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for some scalar functions $a_\alpha^k, a_{\alpha i}^k, a_\alpha$, and

$$[(\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \psi_R^i, r] = - \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j r_\alpha^i)_L \partial_p^\alpha.$$

Hence $[\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma, r]$ can be absorbed inside $u + v$. Further on, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\psi}_{jR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, s] &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} [\bar{\psi}_{jR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k)_L \psi_R^i] \partial_p^\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L [\bar{\psi}_{jR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \psi_R^i] \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i})_L [\bar{\psi}_{jR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \psi_R^i] \partial_p^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} \left((s_{\alpha i}^j)_L \bar{\psi}_{jR} \psi_R^i - (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) \partial_p^\alpha \\ &- \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \partial_p^\alpha - \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i})_L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \partial_p^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

The first and third series of the right-hand-side can be absorbed inside u , whereas the second series counts for v . Instead of computing the commutator $[s, r]$ explicitly, we only need to remark that $s \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^1 \cap \mathcal{D}_0^0$ and $r \in \Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^1, \Omega_L^0 \text{Vect}_R] &\subset [\text{SPS}_L^1, \Omega_L^0] \text{PS}_R^0 + \text{SPS}_L^1 [\Omega_R^1, \text{Vect}_R] \\ &\subset \Omega_L^0 \text{PS}_R^0 + \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $[s, r] \in (\Omega_L^0 \text{PS}_R^0 + \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^0) \cap \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}$ can be absorbed inside $u + v$. Now we look at

$$\nabla^2 = (\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma + s)^2 = (\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma)^2 + [\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma, s] + s^2.$$

A routine computation gives

$$[\nabla_i^\Gamma, \nabla_j^\Gamma] = (R_{lij}^k)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L - (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_R \right).$$

Consequently, the Bianchi identity $(R_{lij}^k)_L(\psi^l\psi^i\psi^j)_R = 0$ implies

$$(\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^i \psi_R^j [\nabla_i^\Gamma, \nabla_j^\Gamma] = \frac{1}{2} (\psi^i \psi^j)_R (R_{lij}^k)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L \right).$$

This the leading term in the second line of (66). Then we have

$$[\psi_R^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}, s] = (\psi^l \psi^i)_R \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \left(\frac{\partial s_{\alpha i}^k}{\partial x^l} p_k \right)_L \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \left(\frac{\partial s_{\alpha ij}^k}{\partial x^l} \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j + \frac{\partial s_{\alpha i}}{\partial x^l} \right)_L \partial_p^\alpha \right)$$

and

$$[(\Gamma_{ij}^l p_l)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, s] = (\psi^i \psi^j)_R \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2} (b_{\alpha ij}^k p_k)_L \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} (b_{\alpha ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l + b_{\alpha ij})_L \partial_p^\alpha \right)$$

for some scalar functions $b_{\alpha ij}^k, b_{\alpha lij}^k, b_{\alpha ij}$, and

$$[(\Gamma_{ij}^l \bar{\psi}_l \psi^j)_L \psi_R^i, s] = (\psi^i \psi^j)_R \sum_{|\alpha|=1} (c_{\alpha lij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L \partial_p^\alpha$$

for some other scalar functions $c_{\alpha lij}^k$. Hence $[\psi_R^i \nabla_i^\Gamma, s]$ can be absorbed inside w . Finally one easily checks that s^2 is also of the form w . \blacksquare

6 Algebraic JLO formula

We first recall Connes' definition of periodic cyclic cohomology [2]. Let \mathcal{A} be a trivially-graded associative \mathbb{C} -algebra. Form the unitalized algebra $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, even if \mathcal{A} already has a unit. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ denote by $CC^k(\mathcal{A})$ the space of $(k+1)$ -linear maps $\mathcal{A}^+ \times \mathcal{A}^{\times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $CC^0(\mathcal{A})$ the space of linear maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The Hochschild operator $b : CC^k(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow CC^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined on a k -cochain $\varphi_k \in CC^k(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b\varphi_k(a_0, \dots, a_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \varphi_k(a_0, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \varphi_k(a_{k+1} a_0, \dots, a_k) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

for any $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}^+$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$. The Connes operator $B : CC^k(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow CC^{k-1}(\mathcal{A})$ reads

$$B\varphi_k(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{i(k-i)} \varphi_k(a_i, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}). \quad (68)$$

One checks $b^2 = B^2 = bB + Bb = 0$. The direct sum $CP^\bullet(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty CC^k(\mathcal{A})$ endowed with the boundary operator $b + B$ is therefore a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complex. The cohomology $HP^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$, of this complex is the periodic cyclic cohomology of \mathcal{A} . Thus, an even periodic cyclic cocycle over \mathcal{A} is a *finite* collection $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{2n})$ of homogeneous cochains such that

$$b\varphi_k + B\varphi_{k+2} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < 2n, \quad b\varphi_{2n} = 0. \quad (69)$$

An odd periodic cyclic cocycle is a finite collection $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_{2n+1})$ verifying analogous relations.

Example 6.1 (Connes [2]) If M is a compact manifold, any homology class $[C_k] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{C})$ represented by a k -dimensional closed de Rham current C_k gives rise to a periodic cyclic cohomology class over the commutative algebra $C^\infty(M)$ by setting

$$\varphi_k(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \frac{c_k}{k!} \langle C_k, a_0 da_1 \dots da_k \rangle, \quad \forall a_i \in C^\infty(M), \quad (70)$$

where c_k is a normalization factor depending on the parity of k . We choose $c_{2k} = 1/(2\pi i)^k$ and $c_{2k+1} = 1/(2\pi i)^{k+1}$ for compatibility with the usual normalization of characteristic classes in de Rham cohomology. Then one checks $b\varphi_k = 0 = B\varphi_k$ so that $[\varphi_k] \in HP^{k \bmod 2}(C^\infty(M))$ is represented by a homogeneous cochain of degree k . One thus gets a linear map

$$H_\bullet(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow HP^\bullet(C^\infty(M)) \quad (71)$$

for any compact manifold. In fact, Connes shows that this is an *isomorphism* [2], provided that cyclic cohomology is defined through continuous cochains with respect to the natural locally convex topology of $C^\infty(M)$. Since we are not concerned with analytical issues in this paper, the fact that (71) is an isomorphism will be irrelevant for us.

Example 6.2 Consider the non-commutative algebra $CS^0(M)$ of formal symbols of order ≤ 0 on a closed manifold M . The leading symbol gives rise to an algebra homomorphism $\lambda : CS^0(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^*M)$ to the commutative algebra of functions over the cosphere bundle S^*M . Since cyclic cohomology pullbacks under homomorphisms, one gets, modulo composition with (71), a canonical map

$$\lambda^* : H_\bullet(S^*M) \rightarrow HP^\bullet(CS^0(M)). \quad (72)$$

In fact, Wodzicki shows that this is an *isomorphism* [13], provided the natural locally convex topology of $CS^0(M)$ is taken into account. Again, we will not use the fact that λ^* is an isomorphism.

Now fix a closed n -dimensional manifold M . We will construct some cyclic cocycles over the algebra $CS^0(M)$ using Dirac operators as defined in section 5.1. By construction $CL^0(M)$ is an algebra of operators on the space $C^\infty(M)$. We can view $CL^0(M)$ as an algebra of operators on the space of sections of the vector bundle $E = \Lambda T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$: indeed its action on the zero-forms $C^\infty(M) = \Omega^0(M)$ can be extended by zero on $\Omega^k(M)$, $\forall k \geq 1$. Therefore one has a canonical homomorphism of $CL^0(M)$ into the even part of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $CL^0(M, E)$. It descends to an homomorphism $\pi : CS^0(M) \rightarrow CS^0(M, E)$. In a local coordinate system we can write

$$\pi(a)(x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi}) = a(x, p)\Pi \quad \forall a \in CS^0(M), \quad (73)$$

where $\Pi = \bar{\psi}_1 \psi^1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n \psi^n$ is the Clifford section corresponding to the projection operator from $\Omega^*(M)$ onto $\Omega^0(M)$. Then we can compose π with the left representation of $CS^0(M, E)$ as endomorphisms on the vector space $CS(M, E)$. This yields an injective homomorphism of algebras

$$\rho : CS^0(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_0^0(M), \quad \rho(a) = (a\Pi)_L \quad \forall a \in CS^0(M). \quad (74)$$

We are now ready to introduce the following algebraic version of the JLO cocycle [6]. It involves the graded trace on the algebra of trace-class operators $\mathcal{T}(M)$ introduced in section 4.

Proposition 6.3 *Let $D = i\varepsilon\nabla + \bar{\nabla} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ be a generalized Dirac operator. The homogeneous cochains over the algebra $\text{CS}^0(M)$*

$$\varphi_k^D(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \int_{\Delta_k} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0)e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, \rho(a_1)]e^{-t_1 D^2} \dots [D, \rho(a_k)]e^{-t_k D^2}) dt \quad (75)$$

defined for all $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$, are the components of an even periodic cyclic cocycle φ^D and vanish whenever $k > 2n$, $n = \dim M$. Moreover, the periodic cyclic cohomology class $[\varphi^D] \in \text{HP}^0(\text{CS}^0(M))$ does not depend on D .

Proof: The graded trace of a trace-class operator $s \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ vanishes if the Clifford part of s is not of highest weight, that is, if s is not proportional to the product $(\psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n)_L (\psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n)_R$ in local coordinates. Hence in the computation of φ_k^D , we should only retain the terms which bring at least n powers of ψ_L (resp. of ψ_R) and exactly the same powers of $\bar{\psi}_L$ (resp. of $\bar{\psi}_R$), because we have to take into account the possible lowering of powers coming from commutators $[\psi^i, \bar{\psi}_j] = \delta_j^i$. All other combinations of $\psi_L, \bar{\psi}_L, \psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$ will vanish under the graded trace. In fact the right sector $\psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$ will be our main interest. One has

$$[D, \rho(a)] = i\varepsilon[\nabla, (a\Pi)_L] + [\bar{\nabla}, (a\Pi)_L] .$$

The first term brings a factor $\varepsilon\psi_R$, whereas the second term brings a factor $\bar{\psi}_R$. We define the *pseudodifferential order* of an operator according to the following rule: a_L is of order m for any symbol $a \in \text{CS}^m(M, E)$, the operators $\psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R, \varepsilon$ are of order 0, while ∂_p is of order -1 and ∂_x of order $+1$. From these rules one sees that the operator $i\varepsilon[\nabla, (a\Pi)_L]$ has order ≤ 0 , and $[\bar{\nabla}, (a\Pi)_L]$ has order ≤ -1 . In the same way we inspect the generalized Laplacian

$$-D^2 = -i\varepsilon[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] + \varepsilon^2\nabla^2 .$$

From the proof of Proposition 5.2 we know that $\nabla^2 \in \text{SPS}_L^1 \Omega_R^2$, hence $\varepsilon^2\nabla^2$ has pseudodifferential order ≤ 1 and brings a factor $\varepsilon^2\psi_R\psi_R$. Similarly one has $-i\varepsilon[\nabla, \bar{\nabla}] = \Delta + u$ where $\Delta = i\varepsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is the flat Laplacian in local coordinates. u has order ≤ 0 and its right sector is proportional to either $\varepsilon\psi_R\bar{\psi}_R$ or 1. We treat $-D^2$ as a perturbation of the flat Laplacian. A Duhamel expansion of the exponentials $\exp(-t_i D^2)$ appearing in the cochain φ^D leads to the computation of terms like

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) \exp(t_0 \Delta) X_1 \exp(t_1 \Delta) \dots X_k \exp(t_k \Delta)) = \\ \int \langle\langle (a_0\Pi)_L \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(X_1) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(X_k) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n] \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = \varepsilon^2\nabla^2$, or $X_i = u$, or $X_i = i\varepsilon[\nabla, (a_j\Pi)_L]$, or $X_i = [\bar{\nabla}, (a_j\Pi)_L]$ for some $a_j \in \text{CS}^0(M)$. In order to achieve an exact balance between the powers of ψ_R and $\bar{\psi}_R$, we see that the number \bar{l} of factors $[\bar{\nabla}, (a_j\Pi)_L]$ should equal $l + 2m$, where l is the number of factors $i\varepsilon[\nabla, (a_j\Pi)_L]$ and m the number of

factors $\varepsilon^2 \nabla^2$. The pseudodifferential order of each X_i is not modified by the action of the modular group σ_Δ because

$$[\Delta, X_i] = i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial^2 X_i}{\partial x^j \partial p_j} \right).$$

The contractions $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$ also preserve the pseudodifferential order (∂_x and ∂_p are simultaneously contracted). It follows that the pseudodifferential order of the symbol $\langle \langle \rho(a_0) \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(X_1) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0 + \dots + t_{k-1}}(X_k) \exp \Delta \rangle \rangle [n]$ is $\leq -\bar{l} + m = -l - m$, and its Wodzicki residue vanishes unless $-l - m \geq -n$ ($n = \dim M$). The latter condition implies $l \leq n - m$ and $\bar{l} \leq n + m$, so $l + \bar{l} \leq 2n$. This means that φ_k^D vanishes whenever it involves more than $2n$ commutators $[D, \rho(a)]$, that is, whenever $k > 2n$.

Hence φ^D is a cochain in the periodic complex $CP^\bullet(\text{CS}^0(M))$. The cocycle identity $b\varphi_k^D + B\varphi_{k+2}^D = 0$ then follows from well-known algebraic manipulations which we do not need to reproduce here, see [6]. Finally observe that given two operators D_0 and D_1 the linear homotopy

$$D = tD_1 + (1-t)D_0, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

is a Dirac operator for all t . It is again a classical result that the cocycles φ^{D_0} and φ^{D_1} are related by a transgression formula of JLO type (see for instance [5]). One shows as above that the transgressed cochain, in our case, lies in the periodic complex. Hence the periodic cyclic cohomology class of φ^D does not depend on D . \blacksquare

Proposition 6.4 *Let $D = -i\varepsilon d_R + \bar{\nabla}$ be a de Rham-Dirac operator. Then φ_0^D is the Wodzicki residue on $\text{CS}^0(M)$, while the other components φ_k^D vanish for $k > 0$. Hence $[\varphi^D]$ is the periodic cyclic cohomology class of the Wodzicki residue.*

Proof: Let us first look at the commutator $[D, \rho(a)]$. Since $\rho(a) = (a\Pi)_L$ belongs to the left sector, it commutes with d_R , so that

$$[D, \rho(a)] = [\bar{\nabla}, (a\Pi)_L].$$

By definition $\bar{\nabla} \in \Omega^0(M)_L \text{Vect}(M)_R$ is proportional to $\bar{\psi}_R$ and not to ψ_R . Thus $[D, \rho(a)]$ brings a factor $\bar{\psi}_R$. On the other hand, the generalized Laplacian $-D^2$ is given by Formula (59), and brings either $(\psi\bar{\psi})_R$ or 1 in the right sector. This means that whenever some commutators $[D, \rho(a)]$ appear, the graded trace must vanish because the $\bar{\psi}_R$'s cannot be balanced with the same amount of ψ_R 's. Hence $\varphi_k^D = 0$ whenever $k > 0$, and the only remaining component is

$$\varphi_0^D(a) = \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a) \exp(-D^2)) = \text{Tr}_s((a\Pi)_L \exp(-D^2)).$$

We work in local coordinates (x, p) over $U \subset M$ and suppose that the symbol a has x -support contained in U (the general case follows by linearity). Write $-D^2 = \Delta + s$, where $\Delta = i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is the canonical flat Laplacian, and the remainder s is given by Equation (59):

$$s = \varepsilon \left(p_{iL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R + \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} \left(i(a_\alpha^i)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (a_\alpha^i p_i)_L \right) \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (b_{\alpha j}^i)_L (\psi^j \bar{\psi}_i)_R \partial_p^\alpha \right)$$

for some scalar functions $a_\alpha^i, b_{\alpha j}^i \in \Omega^0(U)$. Our goal is to show that the series over the multi-index α do not contribute to φ_0^D . We use a Duhamel expansion for $\exp(-D^2)$:

$$\varphi_0^D(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k} \text{Tr}_s((a\Pi)_L \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(s) \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+t_1}(s) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s) \exp \Delta) dt$$

Now rewrite the product $\sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(s) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s)$ by moving all the derivation operators ∂_x and ∂_p to the right, in front of $\exp \Delta$. The graded trace would vanish if the resulting powers of ∂_x and ∂_p are not exactly equal, because it involves the contractions $\langle \partial_x \partial_p \exp \Delta \rangle$. We remark that all the terms in s except $(\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R$ bring a power of ∂_p strictly higher than the power of ∂_x . However, a ∂_p can be absorbed by commutation with p_L when it moves to the right, and a ∂_x can appear from $\sigma_\Delta^t(p_L) = p_L + t[\Delta, p_L] = p_L + i\varepsilon t \partial_x$. A rapid inspection shows that an exact balance between ∂_x and ∂_p cannot occur if either $(i(a_\alpha^i)_L \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (a_\alpha^i p_i)_L) \partial_p^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq 2$, or $(b_{\alpha j}^i)_L (\psi^j \bar{\psi}_i)_R \partial_p^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ appears. Thus we can keep the only relevant part $\varepsilon(p_{iL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R)$ of s in the product $\sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(s) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(s)$, and write

$$\varphi_0^D(a) = \text{Tr}_s \left((a\Pi)_L \exp \left(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p + \varepsilon (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R \right) \right).$$

$(\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R$ commutes with $\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p$, hence the exponential splits as the product of $\exp(\varepsilon (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R)$ and $\exp(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p)$. Expanding $\exp(\varepsilon (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_i)_R)$ in powers of ε , only the term of order n survives because it involves the product of all ψ_R 's and $\bar{\psi}_R$'s, and the higher powers of ε are ignored by the graded trace. One finds

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0^D(a) &= \text{Tr}_s \left((a\Pi)_L \varepsilon^n (\psi^1 \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n)_R \exp \left(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p \right) \right) \\ &= \int \text{tr}_s(a\Pi) \langle \langle \varepsilon^n (\psi^1 \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n)_R \exp \left(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p \right) \rangle \rangle [n]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the graded trace on the Clifford algebra, $\text{tr}_s(a\Pi) = a$ and $\langle (\psi^1 \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n)_R \rangle = (-1)^n \text{tr}_s(\psi^1 \bar{\psi}_1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n) = 1$ so that

$$\varphi_0^D(a) = \int a \langle \exp \left(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p \right) \rangle [0].$$

Then we apply Lemma 4.4 to the matrix $R = \varepsilon \text{Id}$. This yields the formal power series in ε

$$\langle \exp \left(\Delta + \varepsilon p_L \cdot \partial_p \right) \exp(-\Delta) \rangle = \text{Td}(\varepsilon \text{Id}) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{e^\varepsilon - 1} \right)^n,$$

whose coefficient of degree zero is $\text{Td}(\varepsilon \text{Id})[0] = 1$. Therefore $\varphi_0^D(a)$ is the Wodzicki residue as claimed. \blacksquare

Theorem 6.5 *The periodic cyclic cohomology class of the Wodzicki residue vanishes in $HP^0(\text{CS}^0(M))$ for any closed manifold M .*

Proof: Let Γ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to a given Riemannian metric on M , and let $D = i\varepsilon \nabla + \bar{\nabla}$ be a generalized Dirac operator affiliated

to Γ . We will show that all the components of the corresponding cocycle φ^D vanish. The theorem is then a consequence of Propositions 6.3 and 6.4. In a local coordinate system ∇ is expressed in terms of the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k of the connection:

$$\nabla = \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) p_k)_L \psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j)_L \psi_R^i + s .$$

The remainder s can be expanded in power series of the partial derivative ∂_p ,

$$s = \sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha i}^k p_k)_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^{\infty} (s_{\alpha ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^j + s_{\alpha i})_L \psi_R^i \partial_p^\alpha$$

where $s_{\alpha i}^k, s_{\alpha ij}^k$ and $s_{\alpha i}$ are scalar functions of x . As in the proof of Proposition 6.3 we look at the pseudodifferential order of these operators. The leading part $\psi_R^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ of ∇ has order $+1$, the two sub-leading terms have order ≤ 0 , while the remainder s has order ≤ -1 . We calculate, for any $a \in \text{CS}^0(M)$,

$$[i\varepsilon \nabla, \rho(a)] = [i\varepsilon \nabla, (a\Pi)_L] = i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i} \Pi \right)_L \psi_R^i + i\varepsilon \left(\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) p_k \frac{\partial a}{\partial p_j} \Pi \right)_L \psi_R^i + \dots$$

We only write the terms of order 0, and ignore the dots of order -1 . In the same way

$$\bar{\nabla} = \bar{\psi}_{iR} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + r$$

has a leading term of order -1 , and the remainder r of order -2 can be expanded as $\sum_{|\alpha|=2}^{\infty} (r_\alpha^i)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} \partial_p^\alpha$ for some scalar functions r_α^i . Hence

$$[\bar{\nabla}, \rho(a)] = [\bar{\nabla}, (a\Pi)_L] = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i} \Pi \right)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR} + \dots$$

is of order -1 and we ignore the dots of order -2 . On the other hand, the generalized Laplacian $-D^2$ is given by (66). Keeping only the leading terms we write

$$\begin{aligned} -D^2 &= i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + (\Gamma_{ij}^k)_L (\psi^i \bar{\psi}_k)_R \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (\psi^i \psi^j)_R (R_{lij}^k)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L \right) + \dots , \end{aligned}$$

where the dots have the form of the leading terms but involve higher powers of the partial derivative ∂_p (hence have strictly lower order). We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.3 and consider $-D^2 = \Delta + u$ as a perturbation of the flat Laplacian $\Delta = i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$. A Duhamel expansion of the exponentials $\exp(-t_i D^2)$ appearing in the cochain φ^D leads to the computation of terms like

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) \exp(t_0 \Delta) X_1 \exp(t_1 \Delta) \dots X_k \exp(t_k \Delta)) = \\ \int \langle \rho(a_0) \sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(X_1) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0 + \dots + t_{k-1}}(X_k) \exp \Delta \rangle [n] \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = u$ or $X_i = [D, \rho(a_j)]$ for some $a_j \in \text{CS}^0(M)$. In particular X_i has pseudodifferential order ≤ 0 , and this order is not modified by the action of the modular group σ_Δ because

$$[\Delta, X_i] = i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial^2 X_i}{\partial x^j \partial p_j} \right).$$

Now observe that in the above expressions for $-D^2$ and $[D, \rho(a)]$, a factor $\varepsilon\psi_R$ always appears together with a pseudodifferential order ≤ 0 , whereas a factor $\bar{\psi}_R$ always appears together with a pseudodifferential order ≤ -1 . The contraction map on the odd variables $\psi_R, \bar{\psi}_R$ selects the only part of $\sigma_\Delta^{t_0}(X_1) \dots \sigma_\Delta^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}(X_k)$ containing the product $(\psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n \dots \bar{\psi}_1)_R$. This part has order $\leq -n$. Moreover, the dots in the above expressions for $-D^2$ and $[D, \rho(a)]$ contribute to an order $< -n$. A crucial consequence is that we only need to keep the leading terms of all quantities and ignore the dots because the Wodzicki residue vanishes on symbols of order $< -n$ (recall that the contractions $\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_p^\beta \exp \Delta \rangle$ do not affect the pseudodifferential order). Another crucial consequence is that all the derivatives $\partial X_i / \partial x^j$ appearing in the action of the modular group can be neglected, because these terms also contribute to an overall order $< -n$. Hence *all functions of the variable x behave like constants*. This drastically simplifies the computation of φ^D . One has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k^D(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \\ \int_{\Delta_k} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) \sigma_{-D^2}^{t_0}([D, \rho(a_1)]) \dots \sigma_{-D^2}^{t_0+\dots+t_{k-1}}([D, \rho(a_k)]) \exp(-D^2)) dt \end{aligned}$$

If we localize the supports of the symbols a_i around a point $x_0 \in U$ and choose a coordinate system in which $\Gamma_{ij}^k(x_0) = 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [D, \rho(a)] &\simeq i\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i} \Pi \right)_L \psi_R^i + \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i} \Pi \right)_L \bar{\psi}_{iR}, \\ -D^2 &\simeq \Delta + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (\psi^i \psi^j)_R (R_{ij}^k)_L \left(p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} + (\bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L \right) \end{aligned}$$

because we only keep the leading terms and ignore the x -derivatives of Γ_{ij}^k , hence $\Gamma_{ij}^k \simeq \Gamma_{ij}^k(x_0) = 0$. For notational simplicity set $R_i^k = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (R_{ij}^k)_L (\psi^i \psi^j)_R$ and recall that it behaves like a constant with respect to x . The generator of the modular group σ_{-D^2} is the commutator with $-D^2$. Its iterated actions on $X = [D, \rho(a)]$ read

$$\begin{aligned} -[D^2, X] &\simeq [\Delta + R_i^k p_{kL} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l}, X] \simeq \frac{\partial X}{\partial p_i} \left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + R_i^k p_{kL} \right) \\ [D^2, [D^2, X]] &\simeq \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + R_i^k p_{kL} \right) \left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + R_j^l p_{lL} \right) \\ &\quad + R_i^j \frac{\partial X}{\partial p_i} \left(i\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + R_j^l p_{lL} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the term $(R_{ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l)_L$ multiplied by $\rho(a) = (a\Pi)_L$ vanishes, because $R_{ij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l \Pi = R_{ij}^k (\delta_k^l - \psi^l \bar{\psi}_k) \Pi = R_{kij}^k \Pi$, and since Γ is by hypothesis a

Riemannian connection, $R_{kij}^k = 0$. More generally

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-D^2}^t(X) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \underbrace{[D^2, \dots [D^2, X] \dots]}_k \\ &\simeq X + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^k P_{\alpha}(X) (i\varepsilon \partial_x + p_L \cdot R)^{\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

where α is a multi-index and $P_{\alpha}(X)$ is a linear combination of the partial p -derivatives of X . Since we drop the x -derivatives, the operator $(i\varepsilon \partial_x + p_L \cdot R)^{\alpha}$ commutes with all operators under the graded trace so it can be moved to the right in front of $\exp(-D^2)$. Moreover $\rho(a)$ brings a factor Π_L and we know that $R_{lij}^k \bar{\psi}_k \psi^l \Pi = 0$, so we may replace everywhere $-D^2$ by $\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p$. Then identities (49) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) \sigma_{-D^2}^{t_0} ([D, \rho(a_1)]) \dots \sigma_{-D^2}^{t_0 + \dots + t_{k-1}} ([D, \rho(a_k)]) \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p)) \\ = \int \langle\langle \rho(a_0) [D, \rho(a_1)] \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \exp(\Delta + p_L \cdot R \cdot \partial_p) \rangle\rangle [n]\end{aligned}$$

The integral over $(t_0, \dots, t_k) \in \Delta_k$ simply brings a factor $1/k!$. Lemma 4.4 applied to the matrix then gives

$$\rho_k^D(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \int \langle\langle \rho(a_0) [D, \rho(a_1)] \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \text{Td}(R) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n].$$

We have to select the coefficient of ε^n in this expression. ε always comes with a factor ψ_R and the graded trace on the Clifford algebra selects the only polynomial $(\psi^1 \dots \psi^n \bar{\psi}_n \dots \bar{\psi}_1)_R$, hence the variables ψ_R and $\bar{\psi}_R$ behave as if they anticommute. We make the identification with differential forms $\varepsilon \psi_R^i \leftrightarrow dx^i$ and $\bar{\psi}_{iR} \leftrightarrow dp_i - \Gamma_{ij}^k p_k dx^j$ over T^*U , which is consistent with the action of a coordinate change. Locally in our coordinate system one has $\Gamma_{ij}^k \simeq 0$ so that

$$[D, \rho(a)] \leftrightarrow \left(i \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i} dx^i + \frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i} dp_i \right) \Pi, \quad \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} R_{lij}^k (\psi^i \psi^j)_R \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} R_{lij}^k dx^i \wedge dx^j = R_i^k.$$

To be more precise, if we multiply the bracket by the volume form of the cotangent bundle $\omega^n/n! = dp_1 \wedge dx^1 \dots dp_n \wedge dx^n$, and compare it to the normalization condition $\langle\langle \bar{\psi}_1 \psi^1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n \psi^n \rangle\rangle_R = (-1)^n$, one finds the equality of $2n$ -forms over T^*U (the subscript vol denotes the top-component of a differential form)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle \rho(a_0) [D, \rho(a_1)] \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \text{Td}(R) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n] \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \\ (-1)^{n;k-n} (a_0 da_1 \dots da_k \text{Td}(R) \Pi)_{\text{vol}} + \text{terms of order } < -n\end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right-hand-side is a scalar symbol of order $\leq -n$, times the volume form. We claim that this symbol in fact has order $< -n$. Indeed the product $a_0 da_1 \dots da_k$ brings n partial derivatives with respect to the variables (p_1, \dots, p_n) . Writing its leading symbol in polar coordinates $(\|p\|, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, one sees that it is proportional to $\|p\|^{1-n}$ times a partial derivative $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \|p\|}$. The latter has order ≤ -2 . Hence the Wodzicki residue vanishes. \blacksquare

We now deal with the Radul cocycle. Let $q \in \text{CS}^1(M)$ be a symbol of order one, with positive and invertible leading symbol. The logarithm $\log q$ is no longer classical, but belongs to the larger class of log-polyhomogeneous symbols: its asymptotic expansion in a local coordinate system (x, p) reads

$$(\log q)(x, p) = \log \|p\| + q'_0(x, p) \quad (76)$$

where $q'_0 \in \text{CS}^0(M)$ is a classical symbol of order ≤ 0 . It is easy to check that the commutator (for the \star -product) of $\log q$ with any classical symbol $a \in \text{CS}^m(M)$ is in $\text{CS}^{m-1}(M)$. In fact $[\log q, a]$ has an expansion

$$[\log q, a] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} a^{(k)} q^{-k} \quad (77)$$

where $a^{(k)} \in \text{CS}^m(M)$ denotes the k -th power of the derivation $[q, \]$ on a . Thus $[\log q, \]$ is an outer derivation on the algebra of classical symbols $\text{CS}(M)$. The Radul cocycle [11] is the bilinear map $c : \text{CS}(M) \times \text{CS}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by means of the Wodzicki residue

$$c(a_0, a_1) = \oint a_0 [\log q, a_1] , \quad \forall a_i \in \text{CS}(M) . \quad (78)$$

The expansion (77) shows that the Wodzicki residue vanishes on commutators $[\log q, a]$ for any classical symbol a . Hence the Wodzicki residue is trace on $\text{CS}(M)$ which is closed with respect to the derivation $[\log q, \]$. Elementary algebraic manipulations show the antisymmetry property $c(a_0, a_1) = -c(a_1, a_0)$. Moreover the Hochschild coboundary of c is

$$bc(a_0, a_1, a_2) = c(a_0 a_1, a_2) - c(a_0, a_1 a_2) + c(a_2 a_0, a_1) = 0$$

for all $a_i \in \text{CS}(M)$. Thus c is a cyclic one-cocycle. Originally c was introduced as a two-cocycle over the Lie algebra $\text{CS}(M)$, with commutator as Lie bracket, but the cyclic cocycle property is actually stronger. From now on we view c as a cyclic one-cocycle over the subalgebra $\text{CS}^0(M) \subset \text{CS}(M)$ of symbols of order ≤ 0 .

Then we extend the commutator $[\log q, \]$ to a derivation on the algebra $\mathcal{L}(M) \subset \text{End}(\text{CS}(M, E))$ as follows. Recall that $\mathcal{L}(M)$ is generated by left multiplications a_L for all symbols $a \in \text{CS}(M, E)$, and right multiplications b_R for all polynomial symbols $b \in \text{PS}(M, E)$. Then extend $q \in \text{CS}^1(M)$ to an elliptic positive symbol $\tilde{q} \in \text{CS}^1(M, E)$ of scalar type and set

$$\delta(a_L b_R) = ([\log \tilde{q}, a])_L b_R \quad \forall a \in \text{CS}(M, E) , \quad b \in \text{PS}(M, E) . \quad (79)$$

Since the left representation $a \mapsto a_L$ is faithful, $\delta : \mathcal{L}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M)$ is well-defined. It is clearly a derivation. In an obvious fashion we extend it to a derivation, still denoted δ , on the algebra of formal power series $\mathcal{S}(M) = \mathcal{L}(M)[[\varepsilon]]$ by setting $\delta\varepsilon = 0$. It has good properties with respect to the subspaces $\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)$. Indeed in a local coordinate system over $U \subset M$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\partial_p) &= i\delta(x_R - x_L) = -i([\log \tilde{q}, x])_L \in \text{CS}^{-1}(U, E)_L \\ \delta(\partial_x) &= i\delta(p_L - p_R) = i([\log \tilde{q}, p])_L \in \text{CS}^0(U, E)_L \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

and also $\delta(\text{CS}^m(M, E)_L) \subset \text{CS}^{m-1}(M, E)_L$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that $\delta(\mathcal{D}_k^m(M)) \subset \mathcal{D}_k^{m-1/2}(M)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_1^{1/2}(M)$ is a generalized Laplacian, one has

$$\delta \exp(\Delta) = \int_0^1 e^{t\Delta} \delta \Delta e^{(1-t)\Delta} dt = \int_0^1 \sigma_\Delta^t(\delta \Delta) \exp(\Delta) dt$$

hence δ restricts to a derivation on the $\mathcal{D}(M)$ -bimodule $\mathcal{T}(M)$ of trace-class operators. The analogue of expansion (77) for δ shows that the graded trace $\text{Tr}_s : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is δ -closed.

Proposition 6.6 *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ be a generalized Dirac operator and δ the derivation associated to an elliptic positive symbol $\tilde{q} \in \text{CS}^1(M, E)$. The homogeneous cochains over the algebra $\text{CS}^0(M)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k^{D, \delta}(a_0, \dots, a_k) = & \quad (81) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \int_{\Delta_k} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, \rho(a_1)] e^{-t_1 D^2} \dots \delta \rho(a_i) e^{-t_i D^2} \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] e^{-t_k D^2}) dt \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \int_{\Delta_{k+1}} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, \rho(a_1)] e^{-t_1 D^2} \dots \delta D e^{-t_i D^2} \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] e^{-t_k D^2}) dt \end{aligned}$$

defined for all $k \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, are the components of an odd periodic cyclic cocycle $\varphi^{D, \delta}$ and vanish whenever $k > 2n + 1$, $n = \dim M$. Moreover, the periodic cyclic cohomology class $[\varphi^{D, \delta}] \in \text{HP}^1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ does not depend on D nor \tilde{q} .

Proof: Analogous to Proposition 6.3. Details are left to the reader. \blacksquare

Proposition 6.7 *Let $D = -i\epsilon d_R + \bar{\nabla}$ be a de Rham-Dirac operator. Then the first component $\varphi_1^{D, \delta} = c$ is the Radul cocycle on $\text{CS}^0(M)$, while the other components $\varphi_k^{D, \delta}$ vanish for $k > 1$. Hence $[\varphi^{D, \delta}]$ is the periodic cyclic cohomology class of $[c]$.*

Proof: We proceed as in Proposition 6.4. The commutator $[D, \rho(a)]$ only brings $\bar{\psi}_R$ which cannot be balanced by ψ_R , hence $\varphi_k^{D, \delta}$ vanishes whenever $k > 1$. The only non-zero component is

$$\varphi_1^{D, \delta}(a_0, a_1) = \int_0^1 \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-tD^2} \delta \rho(a_1) e^{(t-1)D^2}) dt .$$

Observe that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-tD^2} \delta \rho(a_1) e^{(t-1)D^2}) = -\text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-tD^2} [D^2, \delta \rho(a_1)] e^{(t-1)D^2}) .$$

The identity $[D^2, \delta \rho(a_1)] = D[D, \delta \rho(a_1)] + [D, \delta \rho(a_1)]D$ and the graded trace property yield

$$-\text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0) e^{-tD^2} [D^2, \delta \rho(a_1)] e^{(t-1)D^2}) = \text{Tr}_s([D, \rho(a_0)] e^{-tD^2} [D, \delta \rho(a_1)] e^{(t-1)D^2})$$

This quantity vanishes because the commutators $[D, \rho(a)]$ are proportional to $\bar{\psi}_R$. Hence $\text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0)e^{-tD^2}\delta\rho(a_1)e^{(t-1)D^2})$ does not depend on t and we can rewrite the integral $\varphi_1^{D,\delta}$ in terms of its integrand at $t = 0$:

$$\varphi_1^{D,\delta}(a_0, a_1) = \text{Tr}_s(\rho(a_0)\delta\rho(a_1)e^{-D^2}) = \text{Tr}_s((a_0[\log q, a_1]\Pi)_L e^{-D^2}).$$

The computation is now completely analogous to Proposition 6.4 and one finds

$$\varphi_1^{D,\delta}(a_0, a_1) = \int a_0[\log q, a_1]$$

as claimed. \blacksquare

Choose an affine torsion-free connection on the tangent bundle TM , and let $R \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(TM))$ be its curvature two-form. The Todd class of the complexified tangent bundle $\text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the cohomology class of even degree represented by the closed differential form

$$\text{Td}(iR/2\pi) = \det\left(\frac{iR/2\pi}{e^{iR/2\pi} - 1}\right), \quad (82)$$

where the determinant acts on the sections of the endomorphism bundle of $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$.

Theorem 6.8 *Let M be a closed manifold. The periodic cyclic cohomology class of $[c] \in HP^1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ is*

$$[c] = \lambda^*([S^*M] \cap \pi^*\text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M)), \quad (83)$$

where λ^* is the pullback (72) induced by the leading symbol homomorphism, $\text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{C})$ is the Todd class of the complexified tangent bundle, and $\pi : S^*M \rightarrow M$ is the cosphere bundle endowed with its canonical orientation and fundamental class $[S^*M] \in H_\bullet(S^*M)$.

Proof: We apply verbatim the proof of Theorem 6.5. We can replace the commutator $[D, \rho(a)]$ by $i\varepsilon(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^i}\Pi)_L\psi_R^i + (\frac{\partial a}{\partial p_i}\Pi)_L\bar{\psi}_{iR}$ in a local coordinate system, and consider $R_l^k = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}(R_{ij}^k)_L(\psi^i\psi^j)_R$ as independent of x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k^{D,\delta}(a_0, \dots, a_k) &= \\ & \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{k!} \int \langle\langle \rho(a_0)[D, \rho(a_1)] \dots \delta\rho(a_i) \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \text{Td}(R) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^i}{(k+1)!} \int \langle\langle \rho(a_0)[D, \rho(a_1)] \dots \delta D \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \text{Td}(R) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n] \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right-hand-side vanishes. Indeed, the bracket selects the polynomial $(\bar{\psi}_1 \dots \bar{\psi}_n)_R$ which brings n derivatives with respect to p , and $\delta\rho(a) = ([\log q, a]\Pi)_L$ is of order -1 . Hence the symbol under the Wodzicki residue has order $< -n$ and disappears. We are left with the second term involving δD . Recall that $(\log q)(x, p) = \log \|p\| + q'_0(x, p)$ where q'_0 is a classical symbol of order ≤ 0 . At leading order one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta D &= -i\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial x^i}\right)_L\psi_R^i - \left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial p_i}\right)_L\bar{\psi}_{iR} + \dots \\ &= -i\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial q'_0}{\partial x^i}\right)_L\psi_R^i - \left(\frac{\partial q'_0}{\partial p_i} + \frac{p^i}{\|p\|^2}\right)_L\bar{\psi}_{iR} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $p^i = \delta^{ij} p_j$. The leading term proportional to ψ_R (resp. $\bar{\psi}_R$) is of order ≤ 0 (resp. ≤ -1), and the dots proportional to ψ_R (resp. $\bar{\psi}_R$) are of order < 0 (resp. < -1). The bracket under the residue is expressed by means of differential forms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle \rho(a_0)[D, \rho(a_1)] \dots \delta D \dots [D, \rho(a_k)] \text{Td}(R) \exp \Delta \rangle\rangle [n] \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \\ & -(-1)^{n; k+1-n} \left(a_0 da_1 \dots \left(dq'_0 + \frac{p^i dp_i}{\|p\|^2} \right) \dots da_k \text{Td}(R) \Pi \right)_{\text{vol}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The leading part is a symbol of order $\leq -n$, while the dots of order $< -n$ are killed by the Wodzicki residue. One shows as in the proof of 6.5 that the term $a_0 da_1 \dots dq'_0 \dots da_k$ is also killed. Hence the only remaining term is proportional to $p^i dp_i / \|p\|^2$. At leading order we can view a_0, \dots, a_k as scalar functions over the cosphere bundle. Since $\text{tr}_s(\Pi) = 1$ the residue becomes the integral of a $(2n-1)$ -form (remark that it is globally defined)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k^{D, \delta}(a_0, \dots, a_k) &= \frac{(-1)^{n; k+1-n}}{(2\pi)^n k!} \int_{S^*M} \iota(L) \cdot \left(\frac{p^i dp_i}{\|p\|^2} \wedge a_0 da_1 \dots da_k \text{Td}(R) \right) \\ &= \frac{i^{k+n+1}}{(2\pi)^n k!} \int_{S^*M} a_0 da_1 \dots da_k \text{Td}(R) \end{aligned}$$

where $L = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ is the fundamental vector field on T^*M . The dimension of S^*M equals $2n-1$ and the parity of the cochain is actually odd, so one gets

$$\varphi_{2k+1}^{D, \delta}(a_0, \dots, a_{2k+1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{k+1} (2k+1)!} \int_{S^*M} a_0 da_1 \dots da_{2k+1} \text{Td}(iR/2\pi)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This is precisely the pullback, under the morphism λ , of the degree $2k+1$ component of the de Rham cycle $[S^*M] \cap \text{Td}(iR/2\pi)$. \blacksquare

7 Atiyah-Singer index theorem

An immediate corollary of Theorem 6.8 is the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, which computes the index of an elliptic pseudodifferential operator on a closed manifold M , in terms of local data. We consider the algebra $\text{CL}^0(M)$ of scalar pseudodifferential operators of order ≤ 0 as an extension of the algebra $\text{CS}^0(M)$ of formal symbols, with kernel the algebra of smoothing operators:

$$(E) : \quad 0 \rightarrow L^{-\infty}(M) \rightarrow \text{CL}^0(M) \rightarrow \text{CS}^0(M) \rightarrow 0 . \quad (84)$$

An operator $Q \in \text{CL}^0(M)$ is elliptic if and only if its leading symbol is invertible, or equivalently, if its formal symbol is invertible in $\text{CS}^0(M)$. Thus Q has a parametrix $P \in \text{CL}^0(M)$ which is an inverse modulo smoothing operators, that is $PQ - 1$ and $QP - 1$ are in $L^{-\infty}(M)$. The obstruction of perturbing Q to an exactly invertible operator in $\text{CL}^0(M)$ is measured by the *index map* of the extension (E) in algebraic K -theory

$$\text{Ind}_E : K_1(\text{CS}^0(M)) \rightarrow K_0(L^{-\infty}(M)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad (85)$$

cf. [7]. Indeed the formal symbol of Q is invertible hence defines a class $[Q]$ in the algebraic K -theory group $K_1(\text{CS}^0(M))$, and its image under the map (85) coincides with the Fredholm index of Q as a bounded operator on $L^2(M)$. In [10] we presented a general procedure allowing to compute local index formulas associated to extensions. In the simple case of pseudodifferential operators on a closed manifold, the calculation of the index reduces to the Radul cocycle evaluated on Q and its parametrix (more precisely, on their formal symbols):

$$\text{Ind}_E([Q]) = c(P, Q) . \quad (86)$$

In terms of Connes' pairing between K -theory and cyclic cohomology [2], the above formula is precisely the pairing of $[Q] \in K_1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ with the cyclic cohomology class $[c] \in \text{HP}^1(\text{CS}^0(M))$. Since by Theorem 6.8, this class is a pullback under the leading symbol map $\lambda : \text{CS}^0(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^*M)$, we are able to express the index of Q in terms of its leading symbol which is an invertible function $g \in C^\infty(S^*M)$. This is not surprising because the algebra $\text{CS}^0(M)$ is a pro-nilpotent extension of $C^\infty(S^*M)$, and this implies an isomorphism of the algebraic K -theory groups $K_1(\text{CS}^0(M)) \cong K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$. In fact one has a diagram of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-\infty}(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{CL}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{CS}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{CL}^{-1}(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{CL}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(S^*M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are isomorphisms both at the K -theoretic and periodic cyclic cohomology levels. Thus the index map of (E) should really be viewed as a map

$$\text{Ind}_E : K_1(C^\infty(S^*M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} , \quad (87)$$

sending the leading symbol class $[g] \in K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$ to the Fredholm index of Q . Of course, everything extends to pseudodifferential operators acting on the sections of a (trivially graded) complex vector bundle over M , the leading symbols being matrix-valued functions over S^*M . In order to state the index formula we need to recall that any class $[g] \in K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$, represented by an invertible matrix-valued function g , has a Chern character in the cohomology $H^\bullet(S^*M, \mathbb{C})$ of odd degree represented by the closed differential form

$$\text{ch}(g) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k!}{(2k+1)!} \text{tr} \left(\frac{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k+1}}{(2\pi i)^{k+1}} \right) . \quad (88)$$

Corollary 7.1 (Index theorem) *Let Q be an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order ≤ 0 acting on the sections of a trivially graded vector bundle over M , with leading symbol class $[g] \in K_1(C^\infty(S^*M))$. Then the Fredholm index of Q is the integer*

$$\text{Ind}(Q) = \langle [S^*M], \pi^* \text{Td}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) \cup \text{ch}([g]) \rangle . \quad (89)$$

Proof: If $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_{2n-1})$ is an odd $(b+B)$ -cocycle over $\text{CS}^0(M)$, its pairing with the K -theory class $[Q] \in K_1(\text{CS}^0(M))$ reads ([3])

$$\langle [\varphi], [Q] \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k k! (\varphi_{2k+1} \otimes \text{tr})(P, Q, \dots, P, Q)$$

where, strictly speaking, Q and its parametrix P should be replaced by their formal symbols. If φ is the pullback of an odd homology class $[C] \in H_\bullet(S^*M, \mathbb{C})$ under the leading symbol map λ , the above formula factors through the leading symbols $g = \lambda(Q)$ and $g^{-1} = \lambda(P)$. Using the identity $dg^{-1} = -g^{-1}dg g^{-1}$ one gets

$$\langle [\varphi], [Q] \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(2\pi i)^{k+1} (2k+1)!} \langle C_{2k+1}, \text{tr}(g^{-1} dg (dg^{-1} dg)^k) \rangle = \langle [C], \text{ch}([g]) \rangle .$$

Applying this formula to the periodic cyclic cohomology class of c given by Theorem 6.8 gives the desired formula for $\text{Ind}(Q) = \langle [c], [Q] \rangle$. ■

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, I. M. Singer: The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds, *Bull. AMS* **69** (1963) 422-433.
- [2] A. Connes: Non-commutative differential geometry, *Publ. Math. IHES* **62** (1986) 41-144.
- [3] A. Connes: *Non-commutative geometry*, Academic Press, New-York (1994).
- [4] A. Connes, H. Moscovici: The local index formula in non-commutative geometry, *GAFSA* **5** (1995) 174-243.
- [5] K. Ernst, P. Feng, A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski: Quantum K -theory, II. Homotopy invariance of the Chern character, *J. Funct. Anal.* **90** (1990) 355-368.
- [6] A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski, K. Osterwalder: Quantum K -theory, I. The Chern character, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988) 1-14.
- [7] J. Milnor: *Algebraic K-theory*, Ann. Math. Studies **72** Princeton University press (1974).
- [8] R. Nest, B. Tsygan: Algebraic index theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **172** (1995) 223-262.
- [9] V. Nistor: Higher index theorems and the boundary map in cyclic cohomology, *Doc. Math. J. DMV* **2** (1997) 263-295.
- [10] D. Perrot: Extensions and renormalized traces, preprint arXiv:0908.1757, to appear in *Math. Annalen* (available online).
- [11] A. O. Radul: Lie algebras of differential operators, their central extensions and W -algebras, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** (1991) 25-39.
- [12] M. Wodzicki: *Non-commutative residue*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1283**, Springer Verlag (1987).
- [13] M. Wodzicki: Report on the cyclic homology of symbols, preprint IAS (1987), unpublished.