

# On the topological decomposition of the hypersurfaces in projective toric manifolds

Wei WANG

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University  
Shanghai, P. R. China, 200433

December, 2011

## Abstract

In this paper, we want to discuss the topology of the non-singular hypersurface  $Y^n$  with complex dimension  $n$  in a projective toric manifold  $X^{n+1}$ . When  $n$  is odd, our main results are a decomposition of  $Y^n \cong Y' \sharp s(S^n \times S^n)$  as a connected sum of  $s$  copies of  $S^n \times S^n$  with a differential manifold  $Y'$  such that  $b_n(Y') = 0$  or  $2$ . When  $n$  is even and the degree of  $Y$  in  $X$  is big enough, we find that  $Y$  also admits such a decomposition  $Y' \sharp s(S^n \times S^n)$ , where  $Y'$  satisfy  $b_n(Y') - |\text{sign}(Y')| = b_n(X) \pm \text{sign}(H^n(X))$ , where  $\text{sign}(H^n(X))$  is the signature of a certain bilinear form defined on  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Projective toric manifold and its hypersurfaces

**Definition 1.1.** *A toric variety is a normal algebraic variety  $X$  containing the algebraic torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  as a Zariski open subset in such a way that the normal action  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  on itself extends to an action on  $X$ .*

*In this paper, we call  $X$  a **projective toric manifold** if  $X$  is a compact, smooth toric variety that admits a holomorphic embedding into a certain  $\mathbb{C}P^N$ .*

The algebraic topology of projective toric manifold has been fully studied by many people and many results can be found in these two classical books [4],[5]. In this paper, what we need are the following two propositions ([5], page 56,101,102).

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a projective toric manifold, then  $X$  is simply connected and the odd dimension homology groups of  $X$  vanish, i.e.  $H_{\text{odd}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 1.3.**  *$H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$  can be generated by the projective toric submanifolds of  $X$ , i.e. there exist smooth toric submanifolds  $\{X_i\}$  with  $x_i = [X_i] \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$  such that the homomorphism*

$$\sum \mathbb{Z}x_i \longrightarrow H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

---

<sup>1</sup>MSC(2000): 57 R 19, 57 R 65

<sup>2</sup>Keywords: Removing handles, Connected sum, Hypersurfaces, Toric manifolds

is surjective.

Then we introduce the hypersurface of a projective toric manifold. Let  $X$  be a projective toric manifold. For any holomorphic embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ , let  $F_1$  be a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$ , we get a subvariety  $i : Y = F_1 \cap X \hookrightarrow X$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  is called a **hypersurface** of  $X$ . By Bertini's theorem, for a generic hyperplane  $F_1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^N$ ,  $Y$  is smooth.

Given such a hypersurface  $Y$  of  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ , we can also construct the smooth hypersurface  $i_d : Y_d \hookrightarrow X$  of  $X$  with  $(i_d)_*[Y_d] = d(i_*[Y])$ ,  $0 < d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, we can take  $Y_d := F_d \cap X$ , where  $F_d$  is a generic hypersurface of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$  with degree  $d$  and it is well-known that  $Y_d$  is also a smooth hypersurface of  $X$ .

In this paper, all the hypersurfaces we consider are smooth and when we say a hypersurface  $Y_d$ , it always means  $Y_d$  is a smooth hypersurface.

Similar to the degree of a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , we can define the degree of a (smooth) hypersurface in  $X$ . Let  $\alpha_Y$  be the element of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\alpha_Y \cap [X] = i_*[Y]$ . We define the **degree** of a hypersurface  $Y$  in  $X$  by

$$\deg Y := \langle \alpha_Y^{n+1}, [X] \rangle$$

For the hypersurface  $Y_d$ , we have relation  $d\alpha_Y = \alpha_{Y_d}$  and we have  $\deg Y_d = d^{n+1}\deg Y$ .

## 1.2 Main results

Let  $X^{n+1}$  be a projective toric manifold with complex dimension  $n+1$ ,  $n > 2$ . Let  $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$  be the hypersurface of  $X$  with complex dimension  $n$  and  $i_d : Y_d \hookrightarrow X$  be the hypersurface with  $(i_d)_*[Y_d] = d(i_*[Y])$ ,  $0 < d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In this paper, we want to discuss the topological decomposition of the hypersurface  $Y_d$ . Our main results are:

**Theorem 1.4.** *When  $n$  is odd, for any integer  $d > 0$ , we have decomposition:*

$$Y_d \cong Y'_d \# s_d(S^n \times S^n)$$

where the  $n$ -th Betti number  $b_n(Y'_d) = 0$  or  $2$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *When  $n$  is even, for sufficiently big  $d \gg 0$ , we have decomposition:*

$$Y_d \cong Y'_d \# s_d(S^n \times S^n)$$

with  $s_d = \frac{b_n(Y_d) - b_n(X) - |\text{sign}(Y_d) - \text{sign}(H^n(X))|}{2}$ , here  $\text{sign}(Y_d)$  is the classical signature of  $Y_d$  and  $\text{sign}(H^n(X))$  is the signature of the bilinear form defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(X) \otimes H^n(X) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \langle x \cup y \cup \alpha_{Y_d}, [X] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have limit estimate:

$$0 < \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2s_d}{\deg Y_d} = \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2s_d}{d^{n+1}\deg Y} = 1 - 2^{n+1}(2^{n+1} - 1) \frac{B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{(n+1)!} < 1$$

here  $B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$  is the  $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -th Bernoulli number.

For  $Y'_d$ , we have relations  $b_n(Y'_d) = b_n(Y_d) - 2s_d$  and  $\text{sign}(Y_d) = \text{sign}(Y'_d)$ . By the limit estimates in proposition 4.4, we know  $|\text{sign}(Y_d)| = |\text{sign}(Y'_d)|$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $d \rightarrow +\infty$ . From theorem 1.5, we can deduce that

**Corollary 1.6.** *When  $n$  is even and  $d$  is big enough:*

$$b_n(Y'_d) - |\text{sign}(Y'_d)| = b_n(X) \pm |\text{sign}(H^n(X))|$$

**Remark 1.7.** Let  $F$  be a nonsingular algebraic hypersurface in complex projective space, in [8], Kulkarni and Wood proved that there is a differentiable connected sum decomposition

$$F = M \sharp k(S^n \times S^n)$$

where  $b_n(M) = 0$  or  $2$  for  $n$  odd, and  $b_n(M) - |\text{sign}(M)| = b_n(\mathbb{C}P^n) \pm \text{sign}(H^n(\mathbb{C}P^{n+1})) = 0$  or  $2$  for  $n$  even.

Our theorem is a generalization of their theorem to the case of hypersurfaces in projective toric manifolds.

## 2 Basic idea of removing handles

### 2.1 Geometric point of view

Choose a point  $(x, y) \in S^n \times S^n$  and there are two embedded spheres:  $S_1 := S^n \times \{y\}$ ,  $S_2 := \{x\} \times S^n \hookrightarrow S^n \times S^n$  with properties:

- (1).  $S_1$  intersects  $S_2$  transversally at one point  $(x, y)$ .
- (2). The normal bundles of  $S_1, S_2$  in  $S^n \times S^n$  are trivial.
- (3). Denote  $\eta_1 := S_1 \times D^n \subset S^n \times S^n$  and  $\eta_2 := S_2 \times D^n \subset S^n \times S^n$  by the closure of their normal bundles, we see  $\eta_1 \cup \eta_2$  is a manifold with boundary  $S^{2n-1}$  and

$$S^n \times S^n = (\eta_1 \cup \eta_2) \cup_{S^{2n-1}} D^{2n}$$

Conversely, let  $M^{2n}$  be a smooth manifold and  $S_1, S_2$  be two embedded  $n$ -spheres of  $M^{2n}$  with:

- (1).  $S_1$  intersects  $S_2$  transversally at one point.
- (2). The normal bundles of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are trivial.

We denote  $\xi_1 := S_1 \times D^n$ ,  $\xi_2 := S_2 \times D^n$  by the closure of their normal bundles. Observe that  $\eta_1 \cup \eta_2 \cong \xi_1 \cup \xi_2$  and we get:

$$M \cong (M - \xi_1^\circ \cup \xi_2^\circ) \cup_{S^{2n-1}} (\eta_1 \cup \eta_2) \cong M' \sharp S^n \times S^n$$

where  $M' = (M - \xi_1^\circ \cup \xi_2^\circ) \cup_{S^{2n-1}} D^{2n}$ . This is the basic idea of removing handles from a  $2n$ -manifold ([12]). Next we want to realize this idea by algebraic topology.

### 2.2 Homological point of view

From the point of view of homology, let  $M^{2n}$  be a simply connected smooth closed manifold of dimension  $2n$ ,  $n > 2$  and  $h : \pi_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$  be the Hurewicz map. For every

$\alpha, \beta \in h(\pi_n(M)) \subset H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$  with intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$ , by Whitney's embedding theory and Whitney's trick ([10], p142), there are two embedding  $n$ -spheres  $f_\alpha, f_\beta : S^n \hookrightarrow M^{2n}$  with:

- (1). The homology elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are represented by  $f_\alpha, f_\beta$ , i.e.  $(f_\alpha)_*[S^n] = \alpha, (f_\beta)_*[S^n] = \beta$
- (2). The spheres  $f_\alpha(S^n)$  and  $f_\beta(S^n)$  intersect transversally at only one point.

Following the geometric idea of removing handles, the next question is how to determine the normal bundles. In general, the normal bundles of  $f_\alpha(S^n), f_\beta(S^n)$  are not easy to determine.

In this paper, the situation seems relatively simpler: let  $K \subset h(\pi_n(M))$  be a free Abel group such that each element  $\alpha \in K$  can be represented by an embedded  $n$ -sphere  $f_\alpha : S^n \hookrightarrow M$  with **stable trivial** normal bundle.

When  $n$  is even, for the embedding  $f_\alpha$  representing  $\alpha \in K$ , the normal bundle of  $f_\alpha$  is just determined by the self-intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \alpha$  of  $\alpha$ . Indeed,  $\alpha \cdot \alpha = 0$  if and only if the normal bundle of  $f_\alpha$  is trivial. So, if we could find a free subgroup  $\oplus_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \oplus \beta_i)$  of  $K$  with intersection matrix  $\oplus_{i=1}^s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , topologically,  $M$  admits a decomposition:

$$M \cong M' \#_s (S^n \times S^n)$$

When  $n$  is odd, the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \alpha$  is always zero and can not determine the normal bundle of  $f_\alpha$ , we need two techniques.

**Technique 1:** find a quadratic function  $\psi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  with:

- (1).  $\psi(\alpha + \beta) = \psi(\alpha) + \psi(\beta) + (\alpha \cdot \beta)_2$ , where  $(\alpha \cdot \beta)_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is the mod 2 class of the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is also the definition of the quadratic function over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2).  $\psi(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha$  can be represented by an embedded  $n$ -sphere  $f_\alpha$  with trivial normal bundle.

For any free subgroup  $\oplus_{i=0}^s (\mathbb{Z}\alpha'_i \oplus \beta'_i)$  of  $K$  with intersection matrix  $\oplus_{i=0}^s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , by the standard results of the quadratic function ([15], p172), we can find a new basis  $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$  of this subgroup such that the intersection matrix of  $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\beta_0 \oplus \{\oplus_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \oplus \beta_i)\}$  is still  $\oplus_{i=0}^s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\psi(\alpha_i) = \psi(\beta_i) = 0, i \neq 0, \psi(\alpha_0) = \psi(\beta_0) = 0$  or  $1$ . In this case, although we can not determine the value of  $\psi(\alpha_0)$ , at least, we have decomposition:

$$M \cong M' \#_s (S^n \times S^n)$$

In general, the quadratic function  $\psi$  is not always exist on  $K$  and we need the second technique.

**Technique 2:** find an embedded  $n$ -sphere  $g : S^n \hookrightarrow M$  with:

- (1).  $g_*[S^n] = 0 \in H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- (2). The normal bundle  $\eta_g$  of  $g : S^n \hookrightarrow M$  is isomorphic to the tangent bundle  $TS^n$  of  $S^n$ .

If we could find such an embedding  $g$ , for every element  $\alpha \in K$  which is represented by an embedding  $f_\alpha$ , if the stable trivial normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  is not trivial, by Wall's technique ([15], p167), there exists a new embedding  $f'_\alpha$  with normal bundle  $\eta_{f'_\alpha}$  such that:

- (1).  $f'_\alpha = f_\alpha + g \in \pi_n(M)$
- (2).  $F(\eta_{f'_\alpha}) = F(\eta_{f_\alpha}) + F(\eta_g)$  where  $F$  is the isomorphism:

$$\{n \text{ dimensional stable trivial vector bundles over } S^n\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi_{n-1}(SO(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(SO))$$

It is known that  $\text{Ker}(\pi_{n-1}(SO(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(SO)) = 0$ ,  $n = 1, 3, 7$  and  $\text{Ker}(\pi_{n-1}(SO(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(SO)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  with generator  $F(TS^n)$ ,  $n$  odd  $\neq 1, 3, 7$ . ([2], p88).

In this case, modifying by this embedding  $g$ , we can make every element  $\alpha \in K$  represented by an embedding with trivial normal bundle. So, for any free subgroup  $\oplus_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \oplus \beta_i)$  of  $K$  with intersection matrix  $\oplus_{i=1}^s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $M$  admits a decomposition:

$$M \cong M' \#_s (S^n \times S^n)$$

These are the basic tools to removing ( $n$  dimensional) handles from a  $2n$ -manifold. In the next two sections, we will apply these tools to prove our main theorems.

### 3 Odd case

#### 3.1 Wu class, quadratic function, and Kervaire invariant

Given a smooth manifold  $(M^n, \partial M)$ , the Steenrod operator  $Sq = \sum_{i=0} Sq^i : H^*(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  determines a linear form on  $H^*(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ :

$$H^*(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$x \mapsto \langle Sq(x), [M] \rangle$$

where  $[M] \in H_n(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the fundamental class of the Poincaré pair  $(M, \partial M)$ . Since the cup product induces the isomorphism  $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \text{Hom}(H^*(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , there exists a unique element  $v(M) = 1 + v_1(M) + v_2(M) + \dots \in H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that for each  $x \in H^*(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ :

$$\langle v(M) \cup x, [M] \rangle = \langle Sq(x), [M] \rangle, \quad \langle v_i(M) \cup x, [M] \rangle = \langle Sq^i x, [M] \rangle$$

**Definition 3.1.**  $v(M) = \sum_{i=0} v_i(M)$  is called the Wu class of  $M$ .

By the definition, we see  $v_i(M) = 0 \iff Sq^i : H^{n-i}(M, \partial M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is zero.

In his paper [3], Browder gave a geometric definition of Kervaire invariant, which is equivalent to his original definition of Kervaire invariant in [1]. This geometric definition is very close to the original definition of Kervaire, which is defined by the Arf invariant of a certain quadratic function, (cf [7]). First, it is known in [3] that:

**Proposition 3.2.** For any  $x \in H_n(M^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we can find an embedded  $N^n \subset M^{2n}$  with  $[N] = x$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $M^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W^{2n+q}$ ,  $W$  connected and  $y \in H_{n+1}(W, M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we can find  $N \subset M$  representing  $\partial y \in H_n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , i.e  $[N] = \partial y$  with  $N = \partial V$ , here  $i : V \subset W \times [0, 1]$  is a connected submanifold with  $i_*[V] = y$ , where  $[V] \in H_{n+1}(V, \partial V, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the fundamental class. Furthermore,  $V$  meets  $W \times 0$  transversally in  $N \subset M$ .

We see, in this case, the normal bundle of  $N$  in  $W \times 0$  has a normal  $q$ -frame ( $N \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W$ ). The obstruction to extending this frame to a normal  $q$ -frame on  $V \subset W \times [0, 1]$  lies in  $H^{i+1}(V, N, \pi_i(V_{n+q,q}))$  and  $\pi_i(V_{n+q,q}) = 0, q < n, \pi_n(V_{n+q,q}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . We find the last and only one obstruction  $\sigma \in H^{n+1}(V, N, \pi_n(V_{n+q,q})) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Definition 3.4.** For the element  $x = \partial y \in H_n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , where  $\partial : H_{n+1}(W, M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $y \in H_{n+1}(W, M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we define:  $\psi(x) = \langle \sigma, [V] \rangle$ , which is denoted briefly by  $\sigma$  for convenience.

We see this definition seems not intrinsic, it depends on the choice of  $N$  and  $V$ . We should put some condition to make  $\psi$  well-defined. Browder proved ([3]):

**Proposition 3.5.** The obstruction to extend a  $q$ -frame defines a quadratic form:

$$\psi : Ker(H_n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_n(W, \mathbb{Z}_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

if and only if  $v_{n+1}(W) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** For the embedding  $\phi(S^n) \in M^{2n}, n$  odd, if  $\phi(S^n)$  is nullhomotopic in  $W$ , then  $\psi([\phi(S^n)]) = 0$  if and only if the normal bundle of  $\phi(S^n)$  is trivial.

**Definition 3.7.** If  $Ker(H_n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_n(W, \mathbb{Z}_2))$  is non-singular under the intersection pair, we define the Kervaire invariant  $k$  by its Arf invariant of the quadratic form  $\psi$ .

### 3.2 Proof of the odd case I

Let  $X^{n+1}$  be a projective toric manifold with complex dimension  $n > 2$ , odd, and  $i : Y^n \hookrightarrow X^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of  $X^{n+1}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.**  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  is spherical and every element  $\alpha \in H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  can be represented by an embedding  $f_\alpha : S^n \hookrightarrow Y$  such that the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  of  $f_\alpha$  is stable trivial.

*Proof.* First, by Lefschetz's hyperplane section theorem and Proposition 1.2., we know  $(X, Y)$  is  $n$ -connected and  $H_n(X) = 0$ . We have exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{n+1}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X) = 0 \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow h_Y & & \uparrow \\ \pi_{n+1}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi_n(i)} & \pi_n(X) \end{array}$$

From this diagram, we observe that  $h_Y : \pi_n(Y) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$  is surjective and for every element  $\alpha \in H_n(Y)$ , by the Whitney embedding theorem, we can choose an embedding  $f_\alpha : S^n \hookrightarrow Y$  to represent  $\alpha$  such that  $i \circ f_\alpha$  is nullhomotopic in  $X$ , i.e.  $\pi_n(i)([f_\alpha]) = 0$ .

Second, we want to show the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  is stable trivial. We have bundle identity:

$$TX|S^n = (i \circ f_\alpha)^*TX = TS^n \oplus \eta_{f_\alpha} \oplus \eta_Y^X|S^n$$

here  $\eta_Y^X$  is the normal bundle of  $i : Y \rightarrow X$ . Since  $\eta_Y^X$  is a complex line bundle, it is known that  $\eta_Y^X \cong i^*L_Y$ , where  $L_Y$  is a complex line bundle over  $X$  with Euler class  $e(L_Y) \cap [X] = i_*[Y]$ .

Since  $i \circ f_\alpha$  is nullhomotopic, the bundle identity becomes:

$$\epsilon^{2n+2} = (i \circ f_\alpha)^*TX = TS^n \oplus \eta_{f_\alpha} \oplus (i \circ f_\alpha)^*L_Y = \epsilon^{n+1} \oplus \eta_{f_\alpha}$$

here  $\epsilon$  is the trivial real 1-bundle.  $\square$

**Proof of the odd case I:** For the complex line bundle  $L_Y$  in the above lemma, consider  $W = D(-L_Y)$ , where  $-L_Y$  is the stable inverse bundle of  $L_Y$ , i.e.  $L_Y \oplus -L_Y$  is trivial, and  $D(-L_Y)$  is the disk bundle of  $-L_Y$ . Then for the embedding:  $Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow W$ , we see the normal bundle of  $Y$  in  $W$  is trivial and we get  $Y \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W$  for some  $q > 0$ .

Observe that  $\text{Ker}(H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_n(W, \mathbb{Z}_2)) = H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and by proposition 3.5, if the Wu class  $v_{n+1}(W) = 0$ , there is a quadratic function  $\psi' : H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  and we also obtain a quadratic function on  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\psi : H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Furthermore, by proposition 3.6, we know  $\psi(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  is trivial.

Since  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  is unimodular, by technique 2 in subsection 2.2,  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\beta_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \oplus \beta_i)$ ,  $s = \frac{b_n(Y)-2}{2}$  with intersection matrix  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\psi(\alpha_i) = \psi(\beta_i) = 0, i \neq 0$ . Topologically, we get decomposition:

$$Y \cong Y' \sharp s(S^n \times S^n)$$

where  $b_n(Y') = 2$ . If the Kervaire (Arf) invariant  $k$  of  $\psi$  or  $\psi'$  vanishes, we can make  $b_n(Y') = 0$ .

So we finish the proof of the odd case when  $v_{n+1}(W) = 0$ . When  $v_{n+1}(W) \neq 0$ , the quadratic function  $\psi$  is not necessary well-defined and we will use technique 2 to deal with it in next subsection.

### 3.3 Proof of the odd case II

In his paper [3], Browder proved:

**Theorem 3.9** (Browder). *Suppose  $M^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W$ ,  $n \neq 1, 3$  or  $7$ ,  $W$  is 1-connected.  $(W, M)$  is  $n$ -connected and suppose  $v_{n+1}(W) \neq 0$ . Then there exists an embedded  $S^n \subset M^{2n}$  and  $U^{n+1} \subset M^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$  with  $\partial U = S^n$  such that the normal bundle  $\xi$  to  $S^n$  in  $M^{2n}$  is nontrivial, but  $\xi \oplus \epsilon^1$  is trivial, where  $\epsilon^1$  is the trivial one dimensional real vector bundle. Hence  $S^n$  is homologically trivial (mod 2) with nontrivial normal bundle.*

**Remark 3.10.** It seems we can use this theorem to find the embedding sphere in technique 2. But the shortage is: the embedding sphere  $S^n$  is only mod 2 trivial. We want to add some condition to make it work in integral homology.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Under the same hypothesis of Browder's theorem above, if we further assume that  $H_{n+1}(W, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated by the element  $\{x_i\}$  such that each  $x_i$  can be represented by an oriented closed manifold  $N_i$ , i.e  $[N_i] = x_i$ . Then there exist an embedded  $S^n \subset M^{2n}$  such that  $[S^n] = 0 \in H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and the normal bundle  $\xi$  to  $S^n$  in  $M^{2n}$  is non-trivial but stable trivial.*

*Proof.* We follow Browder's proof (Step 2 to Step 7 is almost unchanged):

Step 1: Since  $v_{n+1}(W) \neq 0$ , we know  $Sq^{n+1} : H^{n+q-1}(W, \partial W, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not zero. By assumption,  $\exists N_i$  such that  $Sq^{n+1}y_i \neq 0$ , where  $y_i \cap [W] = [N_i]$ .

Step 2: For convenience, we denote  $N_i$  by  $N$  and  $y_i$  by  $y$ . Let  $N_0 = N - \text{int}D^{n+1}$ , we see  $\partial N_0 = S^{n+1}$  and  $N_0$  is homotopic to an  $n$ -complex. Since  $(W, M)$  is  $n$ -connected,  $\exists f : N_0 \rightarrow M$  such that the diagram is commutative up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

Step 3: Let  $g = f|_{\partial N_0} : S^n \rightarrow M$ , we see  $g_*[S^n] = 0 \in H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $M$  is 1-connected, by Whitney's theorem, we can make  $g$  homotopic to an embedding and we still denote it by  $g$ . Since  $g$  is nullhomotopic in  $W$ , then the normal bundle of  $g(S^n)$  is stable trivial. We wish to show that the normal bundle of this sphere is not trivial.

Step 4: We make the map  $f : N_0 \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^q \times [-1, 0]$  homotopic to an embedding  $g_0 : N_0 \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^q \times [-1, 0]$  such that  $g_0|_{\partial N_0} = g$ . And we extend  $g : S^n \hookrightarrow M$  to  $\tilde{g} : D^{n+1} \subset W \times [0, 1]$  which meets  $W \times 0$  transversally in  $g(S^n)$ . Then we get an embedding  $g_1 : N_0 \cup_{S^n} D^{n+1} \cong N \hookrightarrow W \times [-1, 1]$ , which is isotopic to the origin  $N \subset W$ .

Step 5:  $M \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W \times 0$  define a  $q$ -frame of the normal bundle of  $g(S^n) \subset M \subset W \times 0$ . We know the obstruction  $\sigma \in \pi_{n+1}(V_{n+1, q})$  to extend this  $q$ -frame to  $D^{n+1}$  is zero if and only if the normal bundle of  $g(S^n)$  is trivial.

Step 6: Now assume the normal bundle of  $g(S^n)$  is trivial and we get a  $q$ -frame on  $D^{n+1}$ :

$$D^{n+1} \times D^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W \times [0, 1]$$

such that  $D^{n+1} \times 0 \times 0 = \tilde{g}(D)$  and  $S^n \times D^n \times 0$  is the normal bundle of  $g(S^n)$ . Let  $V = M \times [-1, 0] \cup_{S^n \times D^n} D^{n+1} \times D^n$ , then we get  $V \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W \times [-1, 1]$ ,  $g_1(N) \subset \text{int}V \times \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Step 7: Let  $Y = W \times [-1, 1]/\partial(W \times [-1, 1])$  we get:

$$Y \xrightarrow{a} \Sigma^q V / \partial V \xrightarrow{b} T(\eta_N \oplus \epsilon)$$

where  $\eta_N$  is the normal bundle of  $N$  in  $W$ . Let  $U$  be the mod 2 Thom class of  $\eta_N \oplus \epsilon$ , we get:  $(ba)^*U = \Sigma x \in H^{n+q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $(Sq^{n+1}(x))[W] = Sq^{n+1}(\Sigma x)[Y] \neq 0$ . Also,  $(Sq^{n+1}(b^*U))(\Sigma^q[V]) \neq 0$  and  $Sq^{n+1}(\Sigma^{-q}(b^*U))[V] \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $Sq^{n+1}(\Sigma^{-q}(b^*U)) = 0$  since  $\Sigma^{-q}(b^*U) = 0 \in H^n(V, \partial V, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of the odd case II:** When  $v_{n+1}(W) \neq 0$ , in our case  $Y \times \mathbb{R}^q \subset W = D(-L)$ , by proposition 1.3, we see  $H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the toric submanifolds which are certainly oriented and  $W = D(-L_Y)$  is the disk bundle over  $X$ , whose homology group is also generated by these toric submanifolds. Then all the conditions of theorem 3.11 are satisfied. Thus, there exists an embedding sphere  $g : S^n \hookrightarrow Y$  such that  $g_*[S^n] = 0$  and the normal bundle  $\eta_g \cong TS^n$ .

By the technique 2 in section 2 and lemma 3.8, we have topological decomposition:

$$Y \cong Y' \sharp s(S^n \times S^n)$$

where  $b_n(Y') = 0$ . Then we finish the proof of theorem 1.4.



## 4 Even case

### 4.1 Intersection form and signature

Let  $X^{n+1}$  be a projective toric manifold with complex dimension  $n + 1$ ,  $n > 2$ , even, and  $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$  be a hypersurface of  $X$ . Since  $n$  is even, the  $n$ -th homology group  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  admits a unimodular symmetric intersection form:

$$H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

Since  $(X, Y)$  is  $n$ -connected and  $H_{\text{odd}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , like the odd case, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_n(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow h_Y & & \uparrow \\ & & \pi_{n+1}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi_n(i)} & \pi_n(X) \end{array}$$

The **vanishing cycles**  $\text{Ker}(i_*) \subset H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  is what we mainly concerned, because:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Each element  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(i_*)$  can be represented by an embedding  $f_\alpha : S^n \hookrightarrow Y$  such that  $f_\alpha[S^n] = \alpha$  and the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  of  $f_\alpha$  is stable trivial.*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_n(X, Y) \cong H_n(X, Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ker}(i_*)$ , we see for each element  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(i_*)$ , there exists an embedding  $f_\alpha$  representing  $\alpha$  and  $\pi_n(i)(f_\alpha) = 0 \in \pi_n(X)$ .

The proof of the stable triviality of the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  is similar to the proof in lemma 3.8.  $\square$

When we restrict the intersection form of  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $\text{Ker}(i_*)$ , we get:

**Proposition 4.2.** *The intersection form on  $\text{Ker}(i_*)$  is of type even, i.e. for any  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(i_*)$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \alpha$  is even.*

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(i_*)$ , by lemma 4.1., we can use an embedding  $f_\alpha$  to represent it. It is known that  $\alpha \cdot \alpha = \langle e(\eta_{f_\alpha}), [S^n] \rangle$ , where  $e(\eta_{f_\alpha})$  is the Euler class of the normal bundle  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$ . Furthermore,  $\langle e(\eta_{f_\alpha}), [S^n] \rangle$  is even if and only if the  $n$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_n(\eta_{f_\alpha})$  of  $\eta_{f_\alpha}$  is zero and this is just proved in lemma 4.1.  $\square$

The intersection pair on  $H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  is equivalent to the cup product on  $H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, [Y] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

through the Poincaré duality  $PD : H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  and we also have exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}(X, Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

We see the intersection form  $(\text{Ker}(i_*), \cdot)$  is equivalent to  $(PD^{-1}(\text{Ker}(i_*)), \cup)$  and the reason why we use the language of cohomolgy instead of homology is:

**Lemma 4.3.**  $PD^{-1}(Ker(i_*)) = (i^*H^n(X))^\perp$

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha \in Ker(i_*)$  and  $\beta \in H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have:

$$\langle PD^{-1}(\alpha) \cup i^*\beta, [Y] \rangle = \langle i^*\beta, \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta, i_*\alpha \rangle = 0$$

we get  $PD^{-1}(Ker(i_*)) \subset (i^*H^n(X))^\perp$ .

On the other hand, for any  $PD^{-1}(\gamma) \in (i^*H^n(X))^\perp$ , we see

$$\langle PD^{-1}(\gamma) \cup i^*H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}), [Y] \rangle = \langle i^*H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}), \gamma \rangle = \langle H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}), i_*\gamma \rangle = 0$$

Since  $H_n(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$  are free Abel groups, we get  $i_*\gamma = 0$ .  $\square$

Next, we want to discuss some limit estimates about the  $n$ -th Betti number and the signature of the pair  $(H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cup)$ . Recall that  $i_d : Y_d \hookrightarrow X^{n+1}$  is the hypersurface of the toric manifold  $X$  with  $(i_d)_*[Y_d] = d(i_*[Y])$  and  $deg Y_d = \langle \alpha_Y^{n+1}, [X] \rangle = d^{n+1}deg Y$ , where  $\alpha_{Y_d} \cap [X] = (i_d)_*[Y_d]$ . We have proposition:

**Proposition 4.4.** *We have limits:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_n(Y_d)}{deg Y_d} &= \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_n(Y_d)}{d^{n+1}deg Y} = 1 \\ 0 < \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|sign(Y_d)|}{b_n(Y_d)} &= 2^{n+2}(2^{n+2} - 1) \frac{B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{(n+1)!} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For the first limit, we know the Euler number  $\chi(Y_d)$  of  $Y_d$  equals  $b_n(Y_d) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j b_j(X)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(Y_d) &= \langle c_n(Y_d), [Y_d] \rangle = \langle \frac{c(TX)}{1 + d\alpha_Y}, [X] \rangle \\ &= d^{n+1} \langle \alpha_Y^{n+1}, [X] \rangle + O(d^n) \end{aligned}$$

here  $c(TX)$  and  $c_n(Y_d)$  are the Chern classes. We have:

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\chi(Y_d)}{d^{n+1}} = \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_n(Y_d)}{d^{n+1}} = deg Y$$

and we get:

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_n(Y_d)}{deg Y_d} = 1$$

For the second limit, we have identity:

$$sign(Y_d) = \langle \tanh(d\alpha_Y)L(X), [X] \rangle$$

where  $L(X) = L_1(X) + L_2(X) + \dots$  is the  $L$ -class of  $X$  and  $\tanh(d\alpha_Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{j-1} 2^{2j} (2^{2j} - 1) \frac{B_j}{(2j)!} (d\alpha_Y)^{2j-1}$ . Observe that:

$$sign(Y_d) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{n+2} (2^{n+2} - 1) \frac{B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{(n+2)!} d^{n+1} deg Y + O(d^n)$$

we have limit:

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|sign(Y_d)|}{b_n(Y_d)} = 2^{n+2}(2^{n+2} - 1) \frac{B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{(n+1)!}$$

Furthermore, when  $j > 1$ , we see:

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^{2j}} + \frac{1}{3^{3j}} + \dots = \frac{B_j(2\pi)^{2j}}{2(2j)!} < \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

$$\frac{B_j 2^{2j}(2^{2j} - 1)}{(2j)!} < \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{2^{2j}(2^{2j} - 1)}{(2\pi)^{2j}} < \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{4^j}{\pi^{2j}} < 1$$

□

**Corollary 4.5.**  $\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} b_n(Y_d) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} sign(Y_d) = +\infty$ . When  $d$  is big enough,  $(H_n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cdot)$  is indefinite.

## 4.2 Proof of the even case

Let  $(H, \langle, \rangle)$  be a unimodular symmetric bilinear form over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $F$  be a nonzero subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H/F$  is free and the map  $F \rightarrow Hom(F, \mathbb{Z})$  induced by  $\langle, \rangle$  is injective. Denote  $E = F^\perp := \{x \in H \mid \langle x, F \rangle = 0\}$ , we have:

**Theorem 4.6.** *If  $rank H \geq \max\{4rank F, 2rank F + 5\}$ , then  $E$  admits an orthogonal decomposition:*

$$(E, \langle, \rangle) \cong (A, \langle, \rangle) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i, \langle, \rangle))$$

where the intersection matrix of  $\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & c_i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c_i = 0$  or  $1$ . For  $(A, \langle, \rangle)$ , there are two possibilities:

- (1).  $(A, \langle, \rangle)$  is definite and  $rank A \geq \max\{3rank F, rank F + 5\}$
- (2).  $rank A < \max\{3rank F, rank F + 5\}$

We'll prove the even case first and the proof of this theorem will be given in the next subsection.

**Proof of the even case: Step 1:** For the bilinear symmetric space  $(H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cup)$ , we know  $PD^{-1}(Ker((i_d)_*)) = (i^*H^n(X))^{\perp}$ . We want to show the injectivity of the map  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Hom(H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  induced by the cup product in  $H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Since  $Y_d$  is the hypersurface of  $X$ , the hard Lefschetz theorem ([11]) tell us that the cohomology element  $\alpha_{Y_d}$  representing  $Y_d$  induces an injective map:

$$\cup \alpha_{Y_d} : H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

For  $i^*H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cup \alpha_{Y_d}} & H^{n+2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Hom(H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \\ i_d^* \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \\ i_d^* H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Hom(H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

indeed, for any  $x, y \in H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $x(y) = \langle i^*x \cup i^*y, [Y_d] \rangle = \langle x \cup y \cup \alpha_{Y_d}, [X] \rangle = (x \cup \alpha_{Y_d})(y)$ .

Furthermore, we see the restriction of  $(H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cup)$  to  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is just the bilinear form defined in theorem 1.5.

Thus we get a pair  $(H_d, \cup) = (H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cup)$  with a free subgroup  $F := i^*H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

- (1).  $F^\perp = PD^{-1}(Ker(i_d)_*) = E_d$  with even type (proposition 4.2, lemma 4.3).
- (2). If  $d$  is big enough,  $rank H_d > Max\{4rank F, 2rank F + 5\}$  (proposition 4.4)

**Step 2:** By the algebraic decomposition theorem 4.6,

$$(Ker(i_d)_*, \cdot) \cong (E_d, \cup) \cong A_d \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^{s_d} (\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i, \langle, \rangle))$$

where the intersection matrix of  $\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & c_i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c_i = 0$  or  $1$

By proposition 4.2,  $Ker((i_d)_*)$  is of type even,  $c_i$  must be zero. Since  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} |sign H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z})| = +\infty$ , when  $d$  is big enough, the possibility (2) of theorem 4.6 can not happen, and  $A_d$  is definite.

**Step 3:** By the process of removing handles of the even case in section 2, we see

$$Ker(i_d)_* = A_d \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^{s_d} (\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i)$$

where the intersection matrix of  $\oplus_{i=1}^{s_d} (\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i)$  is  $\oplus_{s_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and we get:

$$Y_d \cong Y_d' \sharp_{s_d} (S^n \times S^n)$$

Since  $A_d$  is definite and  $sign(\mathbb{Z}x_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}y_i) = 0$ , we get identiy  $2s_d = rank(Ker(i_d)_*) - |sign(Ker(i_d)_*)|$ . Also, since  $Ker(i_d)_* = (H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}))^\perp \subset H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z})$  and the restriction of  $(H^n(Y_d, \mathbb{Z}), \cup)$  to  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is just the bilinear form defined in theorem 1.5, we get

$$2s_d = b_n(Y_d) - b_n(X) - |sign(Y_d) - sign(H^n(X))|$$

For the limit estimate, we have:

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2s_d}{deg Y_d} = \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2s_d}{b_n(Y_d)} = 1 - \lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|sign(Y_d)|}{b_n(Y_d)}$$

### 4.3 Proof of the algebraic decomposition theorem

In order to prove theorem 4.6., we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Assume  $E$  satisfy  $rank E \geq 3rank F$ , we can choose a basis  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{r+h}\}$  of  $Hom(E, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}f_i \rightarrow Hom(E, \mathbb{Z})/E$  is surjective,  $r \leq rank F$ , and  $\oplus_{j=1}^h \mathbb{Z}f_{r+j} \subset E \subset Hom(E, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $h \geq 2r$ .*

*Proof.* First, since  $H/F$  is free, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle} & Hom(H, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & Hom(F, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle} & Hom(E, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & Hom(E, \mathbb{Z})/E & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since  $H/E \cong \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z})/E \cong \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ , we see  $\text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective and  $\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/E$  is also surjective.

Second,  $\text{rank Hom}(F, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rank } F$ , we can choose  $\text{rank } F$  elements  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}g_1 + \mathbb{Z}g_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}g_{\text{rank } F} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/E$  is surjective. Then there is a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}g_1 + \mathbb{Z}g_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}g_{\text{rank } F} \subset N \subset \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/N$  free and  $r = \text{rank } N = \text{rank}(\mathbb{Z}g_1 + \mathbb{Z}g_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}g_{\text{rank } F}) \leq \text{rank } F$ .

Third, Since  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/N$  is free, let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  be a basis of  $N$  and extend it to a basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r, f'_{r+1}, \dots, f'_{r+h}\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$ . We know  $N \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/E$  is surjective, then for any  $f'_{r+i}$ , we can find  $f_{r+i} = f'_{r+i} - \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} f_j$  with  $[\sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} f_j] = [f'_{r+i}] \in \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})/E$ , we see  $f_{r+i} \in E$ .

Thus we obtain a basis  $\{f_1 \cdots f_r, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r+h}\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\{f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r+h}\} \subset E \subset \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Assume  $E$  is indefinite and  $\text{rank } E \geq \text{Max}\{3\text{rank } F, \text{rank } F + 5\}$ , we can find two elements  $x, y \in E$  with  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ ,  $\langle y, y \rangle = 0$  or  $1$ .*

*Proof.* First, by the lemma above, we have a basis  $\{f_1 \cdots f_r, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r+h}\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}f_{r+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_{r+2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_{r+h} \subset E \subset \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $h > \text{max}\{2r, 5\}$ . Let  $\{f_1^*, \dots, f_{r+h}^*\}$  be the dual of the basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{r+h}\}$  in  $\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})^* = E$ . Define:

$$D = \mathbb{Z}f_{r+1}^* \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_{r+h}^* \subset E = \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z})^*$$

Second, when  $D$  is indefinite, since  $\text{rank } D \geq 5$ , it is known from Meyer's theorem that there exists an indivisible element  $x \in D$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  ([8], p255). Then we can also choose an element  $y' \in \mathbb{Z}f_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_{r+h} \subset E$  such that  $\langle x, y' \rangle = 1$ . Let  $y = y' - [\frac{\langle y', y' \rangle}{2}]x'$ , we have  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ ,  $\langle y, y \rangle = 0$  or  $1$ .

Third, when  $D$  happens to be definite, define  $D' := \mathbb{Z}(f_{r+1}^* - c_1 f_1^*) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{r+2}^* - c_2 f_1^*) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{r+3}^* - c_3 f_2^*) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{r+4}^* - c_4 f_2^*) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{3r-1}^* - c_{2r-1} f_r^*) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{3r}^* - c_{2r} f_r^*) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}(f_{r+h}^* - c_h f_r^*)$ .

If we can choose proper  $\{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  to make  $D'$  indefinite, we can still find an indivisible element  $x \in D'$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , and we can also find  $y \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^h \mathbb{Z}f_{r+j} \in E$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ . So, all we need to do is to prove the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Following lemma 4.8, suppose  $D$  is definite, we can choose proper  $\{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  to make  $D'$  indefinite.*

*Proof.* Assume  $D$  is positive definite under  $\langle, \rangle$ . Consider the real space  $\overline{E} := E \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\overline{D} := D \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\overline{D}' := D' \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\{f_1^*, \dots, f_{r+h}^*\}$  be the Euclidean orthogonal standard basis of  $\overline{E}$ . Define:

$$F : \overline{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\sum a_i f_i^* \mapsto \sum a_i a_j \langle f_i^*, f_j^* \rangle$$

Observe that  $F$  is just the the extension of the map  $: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, x \mapsto \langle x, x \rangle$  to  $\overline{E}$ .

Note that  $E$  is indefinite and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -unimodular under  $\langle, \rangle$ , since by assumption  $H$  is unimodular and  $F$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -unimodular. Then we can find a  $v \in \overline{E}$  such that  $F(v) < 0$  and the Euclidean norm

$|v| = 1$ , i.e.  $v = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i f_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^h b_j f_{r+j}^*$ ,  $\sum a_i^2 + \sum b_j^2 = 1$ . Since  $D$  is definite, we see  $F(\overline{D} - \{0\}) > 0$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ .

In the Euclidean norm with orthogonal standard basis  $\{f_i^*\}$ , we have decomposition  $\overline{E} = \overline{D'} \oplus (\overline{D'})^\perp$ . By calculation, we see  $(\overline{D'})^\perp$  has a standard orthogonal basis:

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{D'})^\perp = \text{span}\{ & \frac{f_1^* + c_1 f_{r+1}^* + c_2 f_{r+2}^*}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + c_2^2}}, \frac{f_2^* + c_3 f_{r+3}^* + c_4 f_{r+4}^*}{\sqrt{1 + c_3^2 + c_4^2}} \\ & \dots, \frac{f_{r-1}^* + c_{2r-3} f_{3r-3}^* + c_{2r-2} f_{3r-2}^*}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-3}^2 + c_{2r-2}^2}}, \frac{f_r^* + c_{2r-1} f_{3r-1}^* + c_{2r} f_{3r}^* + \dots + c_h f_{r+h}^*}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-1}^2 + \dots + c_h^2}} \} \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, denote this basis by  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$ .

For the vector  $v = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i f_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^h b_j f_{r+j}^*$ , we can decompose  $v = v_1 + v_2$  such that  $v_1 \in \overline{D'}$  and  $v_2 \in (\overline{D'})^\perp$ . By calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 = & \frac{a_1 + c_1 b_{r+1} + c_2 b_{r+2}}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + c_2^2}} g_1 + \dots + \frac{a_{r-1} + c_{2r-3} b_{3r-3} + c_{2r-2} b_{3r-2}}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-3}^2 + c_{2r-2}^2}} g_{r-1} \\ & + \frac{a_r + c_{2r-1} b_{3r-1} + c_{2r} b_{3r} + \dots + c_h b_{r+h}}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-1}^2 + \dots + c_h^2}} g_r \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum a_i^2 + \sum b_j^2 = 1$ , for  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we can choose proper  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  ([8], p256) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{a_1 + c_1 b_{r+1} + c_2 b_{r+2}}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2 + c_2^2}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{r}, \dots, \left| \frac{a_{r-1} + c_{2r-3} b_{3r-3} + c_{2r-2} b_{3r-2}}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-3}^2 + c_{2r-2}^2}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{r} \\ \left| \frac{a_r + c_{2r-1} b_{3r-1} + c_{2r} b_{3r} + \dots + c_h b_{r+h}}{\sqrt{1 + c_{2r-1}^2 + \dots + c_h^2}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{r} \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F$  is continuous and  $F(v) < 0$ , if the Euclidean norm of  $v_2 = v - v_1$  is small enough, then the element  $v_1 \in \overline{D'}$  satisfy  $F(v_1) < 0$ . Furthermore,  $D'$  is not negative definite, since  $D$  is positive and  $\text{rank} D' = \text{rank} D, 2\text{rank} D > \text{rank} E = \text{rank} D + \text{rank} F$ , thus we see  $D'$  is indefinite. □

**Proof of theorem 4.6.:** We use induction on  $\text{rank} H$ , since  $\text{rank} H \geq \text{Max}\{4\text{rank} F, 2\text{rank} F + 5\}$ , we get  $\text{rank} E \geq \text{Max}\{3\text{rank} F, \text{rank} F + 5\}$ . If  $E$  is definite, we've done. If  $E$  is indefinite, then by the lemmas we've just proved, there exist two elements  $x, y \in E$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0, \langle x, y \rangle = 1, \langle y, y \rangle = 0$  or  $1$ .

We get orthogonal decomposition under  $\langle, \rangle$ :

$$H = H' \oplus (\mathbb{Z}x \oplus \mathbb{Z}y), \quad E = E' \oplus (\mathbb{Z}x \oplus \mathbb{Z}y)$$

Observe that  $F \subset H'$  and  $E \cap H' = E' = F^\perp \subset H'$ , by the induction, we've finished our proof.

## References

- [1] Browder, William, The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalization. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 90 1969 157C186.
- [2] Browder, William, Surgery on simply-connected manifolds. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 65*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. ix+132 pp
- [3] Browder, William, Complete intersections and the Kervaire invariant. *Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1978 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Aarhus, Aarhus, 1978)*, pp. 88C108, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 763, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [4] Buchstaber, Victor M.; Panov, Taras E. Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics. *University Lecture Series, 24*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. viii+144 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3186-0
- [5] Fulton, William, Introduction to toric varieties. *Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131*. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. xii+157 pp. ISBN: 0-691-00049-2
- [6] Griffiths, Phillip; Harris, Joseph, Principles of algebraic geometry. Reprint of the 1978 original. *Wiley Classics Library*. John Wiley Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [7] Kervaire, Michel A.; Milnor, John W. Groups of homotopy spheres. I. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 77 1963 504C537.
- [8] Kulkarni, Ravindra S.; Wood, John W. Topology of nonsingular complex hypersurfaces. *Adv. in Math.* 35 (1980), no. 3, 239C263.
- [9] Milnor, John W.; Stasheff, James D. Characteristic classes. *Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. vii+331 pp.
- [10] Ranicki, Andrew, Algebraic and geometric surgery. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. xii+373 pp. ISBN: 0-19-850924-3
- [11] Voisin, Claire, Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. Reprint of the 2002 English edition. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 76*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [12] Wood, John W. Removing handles from non-singular algebraic hypersurfaces in  $CP_{n+1}CP_{n+1}$ . *Invent. Math.* 31 (1975), no. 1, 1C6.
- [13] Wood, J. W. Complete intersections as branched covers and the Kervaire invariant. *Math. Ann.* 240 (1979), no. 3, 223C230.
- [14] Libgober, A. S.; Wood, J. W. On the topological structure of even-dimensional complete intersections. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 267 (1981), no. 2, 637C660.
- [15] Wall, C. T. C. Classification of  $(n-1)$ -connected  $2n$ -manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 75 1962 163C189.

Wei WANG  
School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Fudan University,  
Shanghai 200433, P.R. China.  
Email: weiwang@amss.ac.cn