A COHOMOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF BOGOMOLOV'S INSTABILITY

GABRIELE DI CERBO

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of Bogomolov's instability theorem. Furthermore we prove that it is equivalent to a statement which characterizes when the first cohomology group of a suitable divisor does not vanish.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of stable vector bundles on surfaces the following theorem, known as Bogomolov's instability theorem, plays a central role:

Theorem 1.1 (Bogomolov). Let X be a smooth projective surface and V be a rank 2 vector bundle on X. If $c_1(V)^2 > 4c_2(V)$ then V is unstable.

For the original proof we refer to [1], see also [9]. This theorem was later proved by quite different techniques in [5] and [8]. Furthermore Reider used Theorem 1.1 to study adjoint linear series on surfaces and to derive his famous theorem, [10]. The first cohomological proof of Reider's theorem was given by Sakai in [11]. His proof uses ideas of Serrano [12], and generalizes Reider's theorem to normal surfaces. The key point in Sakai's proof is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Sakai). Let D be a big divisor with $D^2 > 0$ on a smooth projective surface X. If $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)) \neq 0$ then there exists an effective divisor E such that

- (1) D 2E is big;
- $(2) \ (D-E) \cdot E \le 0.$

As shown in [11] Theorem 1.2 can be easily derived from Theorem 1.1. Moreover Sakai gave an alternative proof based on Miyaoka's vanishing theorem for the Zariski decomposition of a divisor. Later Ein and Lazarsfeld show how to apply the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem to prove a part of Reider's theorem in [2]. Based on these new techniques Fernández del Busto gave an elegant proof of Bogomolov's inequality which uses only the Kawamata-Viehweg theorem, see [3]. For a survey on these results we refer to [6].

Date: December 06, 2011.

On the other hand, Mumford shows that we can use Bogomolov's theorem for rank 2 vector bundles to give a short proof of a generalized Kodaira vanishing for surfaces, see [4]. This vanishing theorem is a little less general than the theorem of Kawamata-Viehweg. These results suggest that there should be a connection between Bogomolov's instability and some vanishing theorem.

In this note we prove

Theorem 1.3. Bogomolov's instability theorem is equivalent to Theorem 1.2.

Furthermore, using Sakai's proof of Theorem 1.2, one gets a new proof of Bogomolov's instability theorem which is entirely cohomological.

We now outline the proof of Theorem 1.3. After twisting the vector bundle V with a line bundle we can assume that V has a global section. Using this section we have that the extension class of the vector bundle is a nontrivial since V is locally free. The first step of our proof follows Fernández del Busto's argument [3]. At this point we follow a different strategy. The numerical condition of Bogomolov's inequality allows us to apply Theorem 1.2 and we show directly that the divisor Egives the destabilizing subsheaf.

2. Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let D = P + N be the Zariski decomposition of D and write $N = \sum \alpha_j E_j$ with each α_j positive and rational. By Sakai's lemma, Example 9.4.12 in [7], we know that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D - \lfloor N \rfloor)) = 0$ so $\lfloor N \rfloor > 0$. Consider the following sequence of divisors:

$$D_0 = D - \lfloor N \rfloor, \dots, D_k = D_{k-1} + E_{j_k}, \dots, D_n = D.$$

If $D_k \cdot E_{j_k} > 0$ for any k, we get the vanishing of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D))$. Thus we can collect all the E_{j_k} 's with positive intersection to construct a sequence D_0, \ldots, D_k such that $(D - D_k) \cdot E_j \leq 0$ for all irreducible components E_j of $D - D_k$. Now a computation shows that $E := D - D_k$ is the required divisor.

Corollary 2.1. Let D and E be as above then

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D - E)) = 0.$$

Proof. By the above construction

$$H^1(X, K_X + D_0) = H^1(X, K_X + D_k)$$

Since $D_0 = D - \lfloor N \rfloor$ and $D_k = D - E$, the result follows from Sakai's lemma. \Box

In conclusion we recall two results which will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a birational morphism between smooth projective surfaces and \tilde{L} a divisor on Y. Set $L := f_*\tilde{L}$, if $\tilde{L}^2 > 0$ and L is big then \tilde{L} is big.

Proof. Lemma 3 in [11].

Proposition 2.3. Let $f: \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism between smooth projective surfaces. Let \widetilde{D} be a divisor on \widetilde{X} such that $\widetilde{D}^2 > 0$. Suppose there is a divisor \widetilde{E} which satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.2 and let $D := f_*\widetilde{D}, E := f_*\widetilde{E}$ and $\alpha := D^2 - \widetilde{D}^2$. If D is nef and E effective we have

$$0 \leq D \cdot E < \alpha/2.$$

Proof. See Proposition 2 in [11].

3. Main Theorem

We can now prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. As mentioned before, Theorem 1.2 can be easily proved using Bogomolov's instability, see [11] p. 307.

We now want to show that Theorem 1.2 implies Bogomolov's theorem. Since the inequality in Theorem 1.1 is invariant under twisting with a line bundle we can assume that V is globally generated, det(V) is ample and $c_2(V) > 0$. Taking a general section s of V we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to V \to L \otimes I_Z \to 0,$$

where $L := \det(V)$ and Z is the zero locus of s. Then we have $c_2(V) = |Z|$, the length of Z.

Since V is locally free, the above extension is nontrivial and then

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L) \otimes I_Z) \neq 0.$$

Let $\pi: Y \to X$ be the blow up of X at all points in Z. Let E_j be the exceptional curve over $x_j \in Z$, then

$$H^{1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(K_{Y} + \pi^{*}L - 2\sum_{j} E_{j})) = H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(K_{X} + L) \otimes I_{Z}) \neq 0.$$

Define $\widetilde{L} := \pi^* L - 2 \sum_j E_j$. Thus, we have

$$\widetilde{L}^2 = (\pi^* L)^2 + 4\sum_j E_j^2 = c_1^2(V) - 4c_2(V) > 0$$

so \widetilde{L} is big by Lemma 2.2.

By applying Theorem 1.2 we get an effective divisor \widetilde{E}_s such that

- (1) $\widetilde{L} 2\widetilde{E}_s$ is big;
- (2) $(\widetilde{L} \widetilde{E}_s) \cdot \widetilde{E}_s \le 0.$

Note that \widetilde{E}_s depends on the section s that we choose at the beginning. Let $E_s := \pi_* \widetilde{E}_s$. We want to show that, for any s, E_s passes through at least one point of Z. Let $\widetilde{E}_s := \pi^* E_s + \sum a_i E_i$, where E_i are the exceptional divisors. It suffices to show that there exists an index i such that $a_i < 0$. Write $\widetilde{L} - \widetilde{E}_s = \pi^* W_s - \sum (a_i + 2)E_i$ where $W_s := L - E_s$. Thus by (2) we have

$$E_s \cdot W_s + \sum_i a_i(a_i + 2) \le 0.$$

Then if we show that $E_s \cdot W_s > 0$, we must have a negative a_i and then $x_i \in \text{Supp}(E_s)$. By construction $L = E_s + W_s$, $L \cdot E_s > 0$ and

$$L \cdot W_s = (L - 2E_s) \cdot L + L \cdot E_s = (L - 2E_s) \cdot \pi^* L + L \cdot E_s > 0$$

by (1). From the Hodge index theorem we get $E_s \cdot W_s > 0$.

Now we need a result in [3], called the uniform multiplicity property. See also [6].

Lemma 3.1. Choosing s and E_s generally we can assume that the multiplicity of E_s at every point of Z is the same.

Since for any s exists $x \in Z$ such that $x \in \text{Supp}(E_s)$, by the uniform multiplicity property, we can choose s and E_s generally such that $Z \subset \text{Supp}(E_s)$. For simplicity, we denote this divisor by E.

 $Z \subset \text{Supp}(E)$ implies that the multiplication by E defines a map $\mathcal{O}_X(L-E) \to \mathcal{O}_X(L) \otimes I_Z$. Since the cohomology group in Corollary 2.1 vanishes this map lifts to an injective map $\mathcal{O}_X(L-E) \to V$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_X(L-E)$ is a subsheaf of V. It remains to prove that V is unstable. This is equivalent to showing:

$$(L-2E)^2 > 0,$$
 $(L-2E) \cdot L > 0.$

For the first inequality we consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(L-E) \to V \to \mathcal{O}_X(E) \otimes I_{Z'} \to 0$$

for some zero dimensional scheme Z'. Then $c_1(V) = L$ and $c_2(V) = (L-E) \cdot E + |Z'|$ and by hypothesis we get

$$(L - 2E)^2 > 4|Z'| > 0.$$

For the second one we note that

$$\alpha = c_1^2(V) - c_1^2(V) + 4c_2(V) = 4c_2(V),$$

and Proposition 2.3 gives the following

$$L \cdot E < 2c_2(V).$$

Then

$$L^2 > 4c_2(V) > 2L \cdot E.$$

Acknowledgments

First I would like to express my gratitude to Professor János Kollár for his constant support and many enlightening discussions. I also would like to thank Professor Robert Lazarsfeld, Luca Di Cerbo and the referee for constructive comments on the paper.

References

- Bogomolov F., Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties, Math. USSR Isvestija 13 (1979), 499-555.
- [2] Ein L., Lazarsfeld R., Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear series on smooth projective threefolds, Journ. of Am. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), 875-903.
- [3] Fernández del Busto G., Bogomolov instability and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, J. Alg. Geom. 4 (1995), 693-700.
- [4] Friedman R., Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles, Universitext, Springer (1998).
- [5] Gieseker D., On a theorem of Bogomolov on Chern classes of stable bundles, Amer. J. Math. 101 no. 1 (1979), 77-85.
- [6] Lazarsfeld R., Lectures on linear series, Complex Algebraic Geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Series, vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997), 161-219.
- [7] Lazarsfeld R., Positivity in Algebraic Geometry II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 49, Berlin: Springer (2004).
- [8] Miyaoka Y., The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety, Algebraic Geometry (Sendai 1995), Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 10, Kinokuniya, Tokyo; North Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, 449-476.
- [9] Reid M., Bogomolov's theorem c₁² ≤ 4c₂, Proc. Internat. Symposium on Alg. Geom., Kyoto (1977), 633-643.
- [10] Reider I., Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces, Ann. Math. 127 (1988), 309-316.
- [11] Sakai F., Reider-Serrano's method on normal surfaces, Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings: L'Aquila 1988, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 1417 (1990), 301-319.
- [12] Serrano F., Extension of morphisms defined on a divisor, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 395-413.

Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544-1000

gdi@math.princeton.edu