Introduction to Equivariant Cohomology in Algebraic Geometry

Dave Anderson*

November 30, 2011

Abstract

Introduced by Borel in the late 1950's, equivariant cohomology encodes information about how the topology of a space interacts with a group action. Quite some time passed before algebraic geometers picked up on these ideas, but in the last twenty years, equivariant techniques have found many applications in enumerative geometry, Gromov-Witten theory, and the study of toric varieties and homogeneous spaces. In fact, many classical algebro-geometric notions, going back to the degeneracy locus formulas of Giambelli, are naturally statements about certain equivariant cohomology classes.

These lectures survey some of the main features of equivariant cohomology at an introductory level. The first part is an overview, including basic definitions and examples. In the second lecture, I discuss one of the most useful aspects of the theory: the possibility of localizing at fixed points without losing information. The third lecture focuses on Grassmannians, and describes some recent positivity results about their equivariant cohomology rings.

^{*}Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0902967.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14F43; Secondary 14M15, 14N15, 05F05

Key words and phrases. equivariant cohomology, localization, Grassmannian, Schubert variety, Schur function.

Contents

1	Lec	ture One: Overview	2
	1.1	The Borel construction	3
	1.2	Approximation spaces	4
	1.3	Functorial properties	6
	1.4	Fiber bundles	8
	1.5	Two notions	8
2	Lec	ture Two: Localization	0
	2.1	Restriction maps	C
	2.2	Gysin maps	1
	2.3	First localization theorem	2
	2.4	Equivariant formality	3
	2.5	Integration formula (Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne)	4
	2.6	Second localization theorem	5
3	Lec	ture Three: Grassmannians and Schubert calculus 10	6
	3.1	Pre-history: Degeneracy loci	6
	3.2	The basic structure of Grassmannians	7
	3.3	Fixed points and weights	8
	3.4	Schubert classes in H_T^*X	g
	3.5	Double Schur functions	C
	3.6	Positivity	2
	3.7	Other directions	5

1 Lecture One: Overview

A general principle of mathematics holds that one should exploit symmetry to simplify a problem whenever possible. A common manifestation of symmetry is the action of a Lie group G on a topological space X — and when one is interested in understanding the cohomology ring H^*X , the equivariant cohomology H^*_GX is a way of exploiting this symmetry.

Topologists have long been interested in a sort of converse problem: given some topological (or cohomological) information about X, what can one say about the kinds of group actions X admits? For example, must there be fixed points? How many? It was in this context that Borel originally

defined what is now called *equivariant cohomology*, in his 1958–1959 seminar on transformation groups [Bo].

The goal of these lectures is to give a quick introduction to equivariant cohomology in the context of algebraic geometry. We will review the basic properties of H_G^*X and give some examples of applications.

Ackowledgements. I learned much of what I know about equivariant cohomology from William Fulton, and the point of view presented here owes a debt to his lectures on the subject. I am grateful to the organizers of IM-PANGA for arranging the excellent conference in which these lectures took place. These notes were assembled with the help of Piotr Achinger, and I thank him especially for assistance in typing, researching literature, and clarifying many points in the exposition. I also thank the referee for valuable input and careful reading.

1.1 The Borel construction

Let G be a complex linear algebraic group, and let X be a complex algebraic variety with a left G-action. The construction Borel introduced in [Bo] goes as follows. Find a contractible space $\mathbb{E}G$ with a free (right) G-action. (Such spaces exist, and are universal in an appropriate homotopy category; we will see concrete examples soon.) Now form the quotient space

$$\mathbb{E}G \times^G X := \mathbb{E}G \times X / (e \cdot g, x) \sim (e, g \cdot x).$$

Definition 1.1 The **equivariant cohomology** of X (with respect to G) is the (singular) cohomology of $\mathbb{E}G \times^G X$:

$$H_G^*X := H^*(\mathbb{E}G \times^G X).$$

(We always use singular cohomology with \mathbb{Z} coefficients in these notes.)

The idea behind the definition is that when X is a free G-space, we should have $H_G^*X = H^*(G\backslash X)$. To get to this situation, we replace X with a free G-space of the same homotopy type. From a modern point of view, this is essentially the same as taking the cohomology of the quotient stack $[G\backslash X]$ (see, e.g., [Be]).

General facts about principal bundles ensure that this definition is independent of the choice of space $\mathbb{E}G$; for instance, $\mathbb{B}G = \mathbb{E}G/G$ is unique up to homotopy.

When X is a point, $\mathbb{E}G \times^G \{ \text{pt} \} = \mathbb{B}G$ usually has nontrivial topology, so $H_G^*(\text{pt}) \neq \mathbb{Z}!$ This is a key feature of equivariant cohomology, and $H_G^*(\text{pt}) = H^*\mathbb{B}G$ may be interpreted as the ring of characteristic classes for principal G-bundles. Other functorial properties are similar to ordinary cohomology, though. (In fact, $H_G^*(-)$ is a generalized cohomology theory, on a category of reasonable topological spaces with a left G-action.)

Example 1.2 Let $G = \mathbb{C}^*$. The space $\mathbb{E}G = \mathbb{C}^{\infty} \setminus \{0\}$ is contractible, and G acts freely, so $\mathbb{E}G = \mathbb{E}G/G = \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$. We see that

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\mathrm{pt}) = H^*\mathbb{P}^\infty \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t],$$

where $t = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\infty}}(-1))$ is the first Chern class of the tautological bundle.

1.2 Approximation spaces

The spaces $\mathbb{E}G$ and $\mathbb{B}G$ are typically infinite-dimensional, so they are not algebraic varieties. (This may partly account for the significant lag before equivariant techniques were picked up by algebraic geometers.) However, there are finite-dimensional, nonsingular algebraic varieties $\mathbb{E}_m \to \mathbb{B}_m = \mathbb{E}_m/G$ which serve as "approximations" to $\mathbb{E}G \to \mathbb{B}G$. This works because of the following lemma:

Lemma 1.3 Suppose \mathbb{E}_m is any (connected) space with a free right G-action, and $H^i\mathbb{E}_m = 0$ for 0 < i < k(m) (for some integer k(m)). Then for any X, there are natural isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X) \simeq H^i(\mathbb{E}G \times^G X) =: H^i_G X,$$

for i < k(m).

Example 1.4 For $G = \mathbb{C}^*$, take $\mathbb{E}_m = \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}$, so $\mathbb{B}_m = \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$. Since \mathbb{E}_m is homotopy-equivalent to the sphere S^{2m-1} , it satisfies the conditions of the lemma, with k(m) = 2m - 1 in the above lemma. Note that $k(m) \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$, so any given computation in H_G^*X can be done in $H^*(\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X)$, for $m \gg 0$.

We have $\mathbb{B}_m = \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, so $\mathbb{E}_m \to \mathbb{B}_m$ is an intuitive choice for approximating $\mathbb{E}G \to \mathbb{B}G$.

Example 1.5 Similarly, for a torus $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, take

$$\mathbb{E}_m = (\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\})^{\times n} \to (\mathbb{P}^{m-1})^{\times n} = \mathbb{B}_m.$$

We see that $H_T^*(\operatorname{pt}) = H_T^*((\mathbb{P}^{\infty})^n) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, with $t_i = c_1(\mathcal{O}_i(-1))$. (Here $\mathcal{O}_i(-1)$) is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ by projection on the *i*th factor.)

The above example is part of a general fact: For linear algebraic groups G and H, one can always take $\mathbb{E}(G \times H) = \mathbb{E}G \times \mathbb{E}H$. Indeed, $G \times H$ acts freely (on the right) on the contractible space $\mathbb{E}G \times \mathbb{E}H$.

Example 1.6 Consider $G = GL_n$, and let $\mathbb{E}_m := M_{m \times n}^{\circ}$ be the set of full rank $m \times n$ matrices, for m > n. This variety is k(m)-connected, for k(m) = 2(m-n). Indeed, $M_{m \times n}^{\circ}$ is the complement of a closed algebraic set of codimension (m-1)(n-1) in $M_{m \times n}$, and it is a general fact that $\pi_i(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus Z) = 0$ for $0 < i \le 2d-2$ if Z is a Zariski closed subset of codimension d. See [Fu2, §A.4]. It follows that the maps

$$\mathbb{E}_m \to \mathbb{B}_m = Gr(n, \mathbb{C}^m)$$

approximate $\mathbb{E}G \to \mathbb{B}G$, in the sense of Lemma 1.3. We have

$$H_G^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \dots, e_n],$$

where $e_i = c_i(S)$ is the *i*th Chern class of the tautological bundle over the Grassmannian.

Since any linear algebraic group G embeds in some GL_n , the above example gives a construction of approximations \mathbb{E}_m that works for arbitrary G.

Example 1.7 The partial flag manifold $Fl(1, 2, ..., n; \mathbb{C}^m)$ parametrizes nested chains of subspaces $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_n \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, where dim $E_i = i$. There is also an infinite version, topologized as the limit taking $m \to \infty$.

If $B \subset GL_n$ is the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{m} = M_{m \times n}^{\circ}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{m} = Fl(1, 2, \dots, n; \mathbb{C}^{m})$$

so $\mathbb{B}B$ is the partial (infinite) flag manifold $Fl(1, 2, ..., n; \mathbb{C}^{\infty})$.

Remark 1.8 The idea of approximating the infinite-dimensional spaces $\mathbb{E}G$ and $\mathbb{B}G$ by finite-dimensional ones can be found in the origins of equivariant cohomology [Bo, Remark XII.3.7]. More recently, approximations have been used by Totaro and Edidin-Graham to define equivariant Chow groups in algebraic geometry.

1.3 Functorial properties

Equivariant cohomology is functorial for equivariant maps: given a homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G'$ and a map $X \xrightarrow{f} X'$ such that $f(g \cdot x) = \varphi(g) \cdot f(x)$, we get a pullback map

$$f^* \colon H_{G'}^* X' \to H_G^* X,$$

by constructing a natural map $\mathbb{E} \times^G X \to \mathbb{E}' \times^{G'} X'$.

There are also equivariant Chern classes and equivariant fundamental classes:

• If $E \to X$ is an equivariant vector bundle, there are induced vector bundles $\mathbb{E}_m \times^G E \to \mathbb{E}_m \times^G X$. Set

$$c_i^G(E) = c_i(\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X) \in H_G^{2i}X = H^{2i}(\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X)$$

for $m \gg 0$.

• When X is a nonsingular variety, so is $\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X$. If $V \subseteq X$ is a G-invariant subvariety of codimension d, then $\mathbb{E}_m \times^G V \subseteq \mathbb{E}_m \times^G X$ has codimension d. We define

$$[V]^G = [\mathbb{E}_m \times^G V] \in H_G^{2d} X = H^{2d} (\mathbb{E}_m \times^G X),$$

again for $m \gg 0$. (Any subvariety of a nonsingular variety defines a class, using e.g. Borel-Moore homology.)

In fact, the Chern classes could be defined directly as $c_i^G(E) = c_i(\mathbb{E}G \times^G E)$, but for $[V]^G$ one needs the approximation spaces. (Of course, one has to check that these definitions are compatible for different \mathbb{E}_m 's.)

In the special case $X = \operatorname{pt}$, an equivariant vector bundle E is the same as a representation of G. Associated to any representation, then, we have characteristic classes $c_i^G(E) \in H_G^{2i}(\operatorname{pt})$.

Example 1.9 Let $L_a = \mathbb{C}$ be the representation of \mathbb{C}^* with the action

$$z \cdot v = z^a v$$

where a is a fixed integer. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_m \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} L_a \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m-1}}(-a)$$

as line bundles on $\mathbb{B}_m = \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$, so $c_1^{\mathbb{C}^*}(L_a) = at \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. (This also explains our choice of generator for $H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[t]$: we want t to correspond to the standard representation, L_1 .)

Example 1.10 Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ act on $E = \mathbb{C}^n$ by the standard action. Then

$$c_i^T(E) = e_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in H_T^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n],$$

where e_i is the *i*-th elementary symmetric function. To see this, note that

$$\mathbb{E}_m \times^T E \simeq \mathscr{O}_1(-1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{O}_n(-1)$$

as vector bundles on $\mathbb{B}_m = (\mathbb{P}^{m-1})^n$.

Problem 1.11 Let T be the maximal torus in GL_n , and let E be an irreducible polynomial GL_n -module. The above construction assigns to E its equivariant Chern classes $c_i^T(E)$, which are *symmetric* polynomials in variables t_1, \ldots, t_n . What are these polynomials?

Since they are symmetric polynomials, we can write

$$c_i^T(E) = \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(t),$$

where s_{λ} are the *Schur polynomials* (which are defined in §3 below). A theorem of Fulton and Lazarsfeld implies that the integers a_{λ} are in fact *nonnegative*, as was observed by Pragacz in [P, Corollary 7.2]. This provides further motivation for the problem: we are asking for a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients a_{λ} .

1.4 Fiber bundles

The formation of $\mathbb{E} \times^G X$ is really an operation of forming a fiber bundle with fiber X. The map $X \xrightarrow{\rho}$ pt becomes $\mathbb{E} \times^G X \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{B}$ (via the first projection); ordinary cohomology H^*X is an algebra over $H^*(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e., a ring), while H^*_GX is an algebra over $H^*_G(\text{pt})$; and one can generally think of equivariant geometry as the geometry of bundles. From this point of view, many statements about equivariant cohomology are essentially equivalent to things that have been known to algebraic geometers for some time—for instance, the Kempf-Laksov formula for degeneracy loci is the same as a "Giambelli" formula in $H^*_TGr(k,n)$.

Example 1.12 (Equivariant cohomology of projective space) Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ act on \mathbb{C}^n in the usual way, defining an action on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This makes $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)}(1)$ a T-equivariant line bundle. Write $\zeta = c_1^T(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$.

Claim 1 We have

$$H_T^* \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n][\zeta]/(\zeta^n + e_1(t)\zeta^{n-1} + \dots + e_n(t))$$

= $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n][\zeta]/(\prod_{i=1}^n (\zeta + t_i)).$

Proof. Pass from the vector space \mathbb{C}^n to the vector bundle $E = \mathbb{E}_m \times^T \mathbb{C}^n$ on \mathbb{B}_m . We have

$$\mathbb{E}_m \times^T \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}(E)$$
 and $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1),$

all over \mathbb{B}_m . The claim follows from the well-known presentation of $H^*\mathbb{P}(E)$ over $H^*\mathbb{B}$, since

$$e_i(t) = c_i^T(\mathbb{C}^n) = c_i(E)$$
 and $\zeta = c_1^T(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)) = c_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)),$

as in Example 1.10.

1.5 Two notions

There are two general notions about equivariant cohomology to have in mind, especially when G is a torus.

The first notion is that equivariant cohomology determines ordinary cohomology. From the fiber bundle picture, with the commutative diagram

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{E} \times^G X$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\text{pt} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}.$$

 H_G^*X is an algebra over $H_G^*(\operatorname{pt})$, and restricting to a fiber gives a canonical map $H_G^*X \to H^*X$, compatible with $H_G^*(\operatorname{pt}) \to H^*(\operatorname{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In nice situations, we will have:

$$H_G^*X \to H^*X$$
 is *surjective*, with kernel generated by the kernel of $H_G^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

The second notion is that equivariant cohomology is determined by information at the fixed locus. By functoriality, the inclusion of the fixed locus $\iota\colon X^G\hookrightarrow X$ gives a restriction (or "localization") map $\iota^*\colon H_G^*X\to H_G^*X^G$. In nice situations, we have:

$$\iota^* \colon H_G^* X \to H_G^* X^G$$
 is injective.

Example 1.13 Both of these notions can fail, even when G is a torus. For example, take $G = X = \mathbb{C}^*$, where G acts on itself via left multiplication. One the one hand,

$$H^1_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{C}^*) = H^1((\mathbb{C}^{\infty} \setminus \{0\}) \times^{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C}^*) = H^1(\mathbb{C}^{\infty} \setminus \{0\}) = 0,$$

but on the other hand, $H^1(\mathbb{C}^*) = H^1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$, so the first notion fails. Since the action has no fixed points, the second cannot hold, either. However, we will see that the "nice" situations, where both notions do hold, include many interesting cases.

When the second notion holds, it provides one of the most powerful techniques in equivariant theory. To get an idea of this, suppose X has finitely many fixed points; one would never expect an injective restriction map ι^* in ordinary cohomology, by degree reasons! Yet in many situations, all information about H_T^*X is contained in the fixed locus. This will be the topic of the next lecture.

2 Lecture Two: Localization

From now on, we will consider only tori: $G = T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Since it comes up often, it is convenient introduce notation for the equivariant cohomology of a point:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_T = H_T^*(\mathrm{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n].$$

2.1 Restriction maps

If X is a T-space and $p \in X$ is a fixed point, then the inclusion $\iota_p \colon \{p\} \to X$ is equivariant, so it induces a map on equivariant cohomology

$$\iota_p^* \colon H_T^* X \to X_T^*(\{p\}) = \Lambda.$$

Example 2.1 Let E be an equivariant vector bundle of rank r on X, with E_p the fiber at p. Then $\iota_p^*(c_i^T(E)) = c_i^T(E_p)$, as usual. Now E_p is just a representation of T, say with weights (characters) χ_1, \ldots, χ_r . That is, $E_p \simeq \mathbb{C}^r$, and $t \cdot (v_1, \ldots, v_r) = (\chi_1(t)v_1, \ldots, \chi_r(t)v_r)$ for homomorphisms $\chi_i \colon T \to \mathbb{C}^*$. So $c_i^T(E_p) = e_i(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r)$ is the ith elementary symmetric polynomial in the χ 's.

In particular, the top Chern class goes to the product of the weights of E_p :

$$\iota_p^*(c_r(E)) = \chi_1 \cdots \chi_r.$$

Example 2.2 Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with the standard action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, so

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \cdot [x_1, \ldots, x_n] = [t_1 x_1, \ldots, t_n x_n].$$

The fixed points of this action are the points

$$p_i = [\underbrace{0, \dots, 0, 1}_{i}, 0, \dots, 0]$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The fiber of the tautological line bundle $\mathscr{O}(-1)$ at p_i is the coordinate line $\mathbb{C} \cdot \varepsilon_i$, so T acts on $\mathscr{O}(-1)_{p_i}$ by the character t_i , and on $\mathscr{O}(1)_{p_i}$ by $-t_i$. In the notation of Example 1.9, $\mathscr{O}(-1)_{p_i} \simeq L_{t_i}$ and $\mathscr{O}(1)_{p_i} \simeq L_{-t_i}$. Setting $\zeta = c_1^T(\mathscr{O}(1))$, we see that

$$\iota_{p_i}^* \zeta = -t_i.$$

Exercise 2.3 Show that the map of Λ -algebras

$$\Lambda[\zeta]/\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\zeta+t_{i}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\oplus n}$$

$$\zeta \longmapsto (-t_{1},\ldots,-t_{n})$$

is injective. (By Examples 1.12 and 2.2, this is the restriction map $H_T^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^T = \bigoplus H_T^*(p_i)$.)

2.2 Gysin maps

For certain kinds of (proper) maps $f: Y \to X$, there are Gysin pushforwards

$$f_*\colon H_T^*Y\to H_T^{*+2d}X,$$

as in ordinary cohomology. Here $d = \dim X - \dim Y$. We'll use two cases, always assuming X and Y are nonsingular varieties.

- 1. Closed embeddings. If $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a T-invariant closed embedding of codimension d, we have $\iota_*: H_T^*Y \to H_T^{*+2d}X$. This satisfies:
 - (a) $\iota_*(1) = \iota_*[Y]^T = [Y]^T$ is the fundamental class of Y in $H_T^{2d}X$.
 - (b) (self-intersection) $\iota^*\iota_*(\alpha) = c_d^T(N_{Y/X}) \cdot \alpha$, where $N_{Y/X}$ is the normal bundle.
- 2. Integral. For a complete (compact) nonsingular variety X of dimension n, the map $\rho: X \to \operatorname{pt}$ gives $\rho_*: H_T^*X \to H_T^{*-2n}(\operatorname{pt})$.

Example 2.4 Let T act on \mathbb{P}^1 with weights χ_1 and χ_2 , so $t \cdot [x_1, x_2] = [\chi_1(t)x_1, \chi_2(t)x_2]$). Let $p_1 = [1, 0], p_2 = [0, 1]$ as before. Setting $\chi = \chi_2 - \chi_1$, the tangent space $T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^1$ has weight χ :

$$t \cdot [1, a] = [\chi_1(t), \chi_2(t)a] = [1, \chi(t)a].$$

Similarly, $T_{p_2}\mathbb{P}^1$ has weight $-\chi$. So $\iota_{p_1}^*[p_1]^T=c_1^T(T_{p_1}\mathbb{P}^1)=\chi$, and $\iota_{p_2}[p_2]^T=-\chi$. (And the other restrictions are zero, of course.) From Example 2.2, we know $\iota_{p_1}^*\zeta=-\chi_1=\chi-\chi_2$ and $\iota_{p_1}^*\zeta=-\chi_2=-\chi-\chi_1$, so

$$[p_1]^T = \zeta + \chi_2$$
 and $[p_2]^T = \zeta + \chi_1$

in $H_T^*\mathbb{P}^1$.

Exercise 2.5 More generally, show that if T acts on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} with weights χ_1, \ldots, χ_n , then

$$[p_i]^T = \prod_{j \neq i} (\zeta + \chi_j)$$

in $H_T^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Example 2.6 If $p \in Y \subseteq X$, with X nonsingular, and p a nonsingular point on the (possibly singular) subvariety Y, then

$$\iota_p^*[Y]^T = c_d^T(N_p) = \prod_{i=1}^d \chi_i$$

in $\Lambda = H_T^*(p)$, where the χ_i are the weights on the normal space N_p to Y at p.

2.3 First localization theorem

Assume that X is a nonsingular variety, with finitely many fixed points. Consider the sequence of maps

$$\bigoplus_{p \in X^T} \Lambda = H_T^* X^T \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_T^* X \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H_T^* X^T = \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} \Lambda.$$

The composite map $\iota^*\iota_*$: $\bigoplus \Lambda \to \bigoplus \Lambda$ is diagonal, and is multiplication by $c_n^T(T_pX)$ on the summand corresponding to p.

Theorem 2.7 Let $S \subseteq \Lambda$ be a multiplicative set containing the element

$$c := \prod_{p \in X^T} c_n^T(T_p X).$$

(a) The map

$$S^{-1}\iota^* \colon S^{-1}H_T^*X \to S^{-1}H_T^*X^T$$
 (*)

is surjective, and the cokernel of ι_* is annihilated by c.

(b) Assume in addition that H_T^*X is a free Λ -module of rank at most $\#X^T$. Then the rank is equal to $\#X^T$, and the above map (*) is an isomorphism. *Proof.* For (a), it suffices to show that the composite map $S^{-1}(\iota^* \circ \iota_*) = S^{-1}\iota^* \circ S^{-1}\iota_*$ is surjective. This in turn follows from the fact that the determinant

$$\det(\iota^* \circ \iota_*) = \prod_p c_n^T(T_p X) = c$$

becomes invertible after localization.

For (b), surjectivity of $S^{-1}\iota^*$ implies rank $H_T^*X \geq \#X^T$, and hence equality. Finally, since $S^{-1}\Lambda$ is noetherian, a surjective map of finite free modules of the same rank is an isomorphism.

2.4 Equivariant formality

The question arises of how to verify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7. To this end, we consider the following condition on a T-variety X:

(EF) H_T^*X is a free Λ -module, and has a Λ -basis that restricts to a \mathbb{Z} -basis for H^*X .

Using the Leray-Hirsch theorem, this amounts to degeneration of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $\mathbb{E} \times^T X \to \mathbb{B}$. A space satisfying the condition (EF) is often called **equivariantly formal**, a usage introduced in [GKM].

One common situation in which this condition holds is when X is a non-singular projective variety, with X^T finite. In this case, the Białynicki-Birula decomposition yields a collection of T-invariant subvarieties, one for each fixed point, whose classes form a \mathbb{Z} -basis for H^*X . The corresponding equivariant classes form a Λ -basis for H^*_TX restricting to the one for H^*X , so (EF) holds. Moreover, since the basis is indexed by fixed points, H^*_TX is a free Λ -module of the correct rank, and assertion (b) of Theorem 2.7 also holds.

Corollary 2.8 The "two notions" from §1.5 hold for a nonsingular projective T-variety with finitely many fixed points:

$$H_T^*X \to H^*X$$
 and $H_T^*X \hookrightarrow H_T^*X^T$.

Remark 2.9 Condition (EF) is not strictly necessary to have an injective localization map $S^{-1}H_T^*X \to S^{-1}H_T^*X^T$. In fact, if one takes $S = \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$, no hypotheses at all are needed on X: this map is always an isomorphism (though the rings may become zero); see [H, $\S IV.1$].

On the other hand, this phenomenon is peculiar to torus actions. For example, the group B of upper-triangular matrices acts on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} with only one fixed point. However, since B admits a deformation retract onto the diagonal torus T, the ring $H_B^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = H_T^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a free module over $\Lambda_B = \Lambda_T$. There can be no injective map to $H_B^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^B = H_B^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \Lambda$, even after localizing. (The difference is that $H_B^*(X \setminus X^B)$ is not necessarily a torsion Λ_B -module when B is not a torus.)

2.5 Integration formula (Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne)

Theorem 2.10 Let X be a compact nonsingular variety of dimension n, with finitely many T-fixed points. Then

$$\rho_* \alpha = \sum_{p \in X^T} \frac{\iota_p^* \alpha}{c_n^T T_p X}$$

for all $\alpha \in H_T^*X$.

Proof. Since $\iota_* \colon S^{-1}H_T^*X^T \to H_T^*X$ is surjective, it is enough to assume $\alpha = (\iota_p)_*\beta$, for some $\beta \in H_T^*(p) = \Lambda$. Then the LHS of the displayed equation is $\rho_*\alpha = \rho_*(\iota_p)_*\beta = \beta$. (The composition $H_T^*(p) \xrightarrow{(\iota_p)_*} H_T^*X \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.) The RHS is

$$\sum_{q \in X^T} \frac{\iota_q^*(\iota_p)_* \beta}{c_n^T T_q X} = \frac{\iota_p^*(\iota_p)_* \beta}{c_n^T T_p X} = \beta,$$

using the self-intersection formula for the last equality.

Example 2.11 Take $X = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, with the standard action of T via character t_1, \ldots, t_n , and let $\zeta = c_1^T(\mathcal{O}(1))$. Then one computes

$$\rho_*(\zeta^k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < n-1, \text{ by degree: } H_T^{2k-2(n-1)}(\text{pt}) = 0; \\ 1 & \text{if } k = n-1, \text{ by ordinary cohomology.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, using the localization formula, we obtain

$$\rho_*(\zeta^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-t_i)^k}{\prod_{j \neq i} (t_j - t_i)},$$

yielding a nontrivial algebraic identity!

Remark 2.12 Ellingsrud and Strømme [ES] used this technique, with the aid of computers, to find the answers to many difficult enumerative problems, e.g., the number of twisted cubics on a Calabi-Yau three-fold.

As an illustrative exercise, one could compute the number of lines passing through to four given lines in \mathbb{P}^3 . (Use localization for the action of $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ on the Grassmannian Gr(2,4).) A more challenging problem is to compute number of conics tangent to five given conics in \mathbb{P}^2 , via localization for the action of $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ on the space of complete conics; see, e.g., [Br2, p. 15].

2.6 Second localization theorem

A remarkable feature of equivariant cohomology is that one can often characterize the image of the restriction map $\iota^* \colon H_T^*X \to H_T^*X^T$, realizing H_T^*X as a subring of a direct sum of polynomial rings. To state a basic version of the theorem, we use the following hypothesis. For characters χ and χ' appearing as weights of T_pX , for $p \in X^T$, assume:

(*). if χ and χ' occur in the same T_pX they are relatively prime in Λ .

Condition (*) implies that for each $p \in X^T$ and each χ occurring as a weight for T_pX , there exists a unique T-invariant curve $E = E_{\chi,p} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ through p, with $T_pE \simeq L_\chi$. By Example 2.4, $E^T = \{p,q\}$, and T_qE has character $-\chi$.

Theorem 2.13 Let X be a nonsingular variety with X^T finite, and assume (*) holds. Then an element

$$(u_p) \in \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} \Lambda = H_T^* X^T$$

lies in $\iota^* H_T^* X$ if and only if, for all $E = E_{\chi,p} = E_{-\chi,q}$, the difference $u_p - u_q$ is divisible by χ .

As with the other localization theorems, the idea of the proof is to use the Gysin map and self-intersection formula, this time applied to the compositions

$$H_T^*X^T \to H_T^*X^\chi \to H_T^*X \to H_TX^\chi \to H_T^*X^T,$$

where X^{χ} is a union of invariant curves E_{χ} for a fixed character χ . For a detailed proof, see [Fu2, §5].

The theorem is originally due to Chang and Skjelbred [CS]. It was more recently popularized in an algebraic geometry context by Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson [GKM]. The utility of this characterization is that it makes H_T^*X a combinatorial object: the ring can be computed from the data of a graph whose vertices are the fixed points X^T and whose edges are the invariant curves $E = E_{\chi,p} = E_{-\chi,q}$.

3 Lecture Three: Grassmannians and Schubert calculus

3.1 Pre-history: Degeneracy loci

Let X be a Cohen-Macaulay variety, and let E be a rank n vector bundle on X, admitting a filtration

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \ldots \subset E_n = E$$
, where rank $E_i = i$.

Let F be a rank r vector bundle, and let $\varphi \colon E \to F$ be a surjective morphism. Given a partition $\lambda = (r \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n-r} \geq 0)$, the associated degeneracy locus is defined as

$$D_{\lambda}(\varphi) = \{ x \in X \mid \operatorname{rank}(E_{r-\lambda_i+i}(x) \xrightarrow{\varphi(x)} F(x)) \le r - \lambda_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-r \} \subseteq X.$$

Since these schemes appear frequently in algebraic geometry, it is very useful to have a formula for their cohomology classes. Such a formula was given by Kempf and Laksov [KL], and independently by Lascoux [L]:

Theorem 3.1 (Kempf-Laksov) Set k = n - r. When codim $D_{\lambda} = |\lambda| := \sum \lambda_i$, we have

$$[D_{\lambda}] = \Delta_{\lambda}(c(F - E)) := \det(c_{\lambda_{i}+j-i}(i))$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} c_{\lambda_{1}}(1) & c_{\lambda_{1}+1}(1) & \cdots & c_{\lambda_{1}+k-1}(1) \\ c_{\lambda_{2}-1}(2) & c_{\lambda_{2}}(2) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{\lambda_{k}-k+1}(k) & \cdots & \cdots & c_{\lambda_{k}}(k) \end{vmatrix}$$

in H^*X , where $c_p(i) = c_p(F - E_{r-\lambda_i+i})$.

Here the notation c(A-B) = c(A)/c(B) means the formal series expansion of $(1+c_1(A)+c_2(A)+\cdots)/(1+c_1(B)+c_2(B)+\cdots)$, and c_p is the degree p term.

The proof of this theorem starts with a reduction to the Grassmannian bundle $\pi \colon \mathbf{Gr}(k, E) \to X$. Since φ is surjective, the subbundle $K = \ker(\varphi) \subseteq E$ has rank k, and it defines a section $\sigma \colon X \to \mathbf{Gr}(k, E)$ such that $\sigma^*S = K$. (Here $S \subseteq \pi^*E$ is the tautological rank k bundle on $\mathbf{Gr}(k, E)$.)

In fact, the theorem is equivalent to a formula in equivariant cohomology. The universal base for rank n bundles with filtration is $\mathbb{B}B$; see Example 1.7. Consider the following diagram:

$$\mathbf{Gr}(k,E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(k,\mathscr{E})$$

$$\pi \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{B}B.$$

Writing $\mathscr{E}_1 \subset \mathscr{E}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{E}_n = \mathscr{E}$ for the tautological sequence on $\mathbb{B}B = Fl(1,2,\ldots,n;\mathbb{C}^{\infty})$, the map f is defined by $E_i = f^*\mathscr{E}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The map g is defined by $F = g^*\mathscr{F}$, where $\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{F}$ is the universal quotient on $\mathbf{Gr}(k,\mathscr{E})$. Now a formula for D_{λ} in H^*X can be pulled back from a universal formula for a corresponding locus Ω_{λ} in $H^*\mathbf{Gr}(k,\mathscr{E}) = H_B^*Gr(k,\mathbb{C}^n) = H_T^*Gr(k,\mathbb{C}^n)$. We will see how such a formula can be deduced combinatorially, using equivariant localization.

Remark 3.2 Some extra care must be taken to ensure that the bundles E_i and F are pulled back from the algebraic approximations \mathbb{B}_m ; see, e.g., [G1, p. 486].

3.2 The basic structure of Grassmannians

The Grassmannian $X = Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is the space of k-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n and can be identified with the quotient

$$M_{n\times k}^{\circ}/GL_k$$

of the set of full-rank n by k matrices by the action of GL_k by right multiplication. The groups $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset B \subset GL_n$ act on X by left multiplication.

For any k-element subset $I \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, we denote by U_I the set of all k-dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n whose projection on the subspace

spanned by the vectors $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^n . It follows that $U_I \simeq \mathbb{C}^{k(n-k)}$ and U_I is open in X. Indeed, U_I can be identified with the set of $k \times (n-k)$ matrices M whose square submatrix on rows I is equal to the $k \times k$ identity matrix. For $I = \{2, 4, 5\}$, we have

$$U_I = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

In particular, dim X = k(n - k).

The topology of $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is easily studied by means of the decomposition into *Schubert cells*: Each point $p \in X$ has an "echelon" form, that is, it can be represented by a full rank $n \times k$ matrix such as

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote the set of rows with 1's by I (so in the example above, $I = \{2,4,5\}$) and call it the *pivot* of the corresponding subspace. For any k-element subset $I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$, the set Ω_I° of points with pivot I forms a cell, isomorphic to an affine space of dimension equal to the number of stars in the matrix. These are called *Schubert cells*, and they give a cell decomposition of X.

Summarizing, we have

$$\Omega_I^{\circ} \stackrel{\text{closed}}{\hookrightarrow} U_I \stackrel{\text{open}}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Note that Ω_I° and U_I are T-stable.

3.3 Fixed points and weights

Let $p_I \in U_I$ be the origin, that is, the point corresponding to the subspace spanned by $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$. Working with matrix representatives, the following basic facts are easy to prove: sees that

- The T-fixed points in X are precisely the points p_I . In particular, $\#X^T = \binom{n}{k}$.
- The weights of T on $T_{p_I}X = T_{p_I}U_I \simeq U_I$ are $\{t_j t_i \mid i \in I, j \notin I\}$.
- The weights of T on $T_{p_I}\Omega_I^{\circ}$ are $\{t_j t_i \mid i \in I, j \notin I, i > j\}$.
- The weights of T on $N_{\Omega_I/X,p_I}$ are $\{t_j t_i \mid i \in I, j \notin I, i < j\}$.

Example 3.3 With $I = \{2, 4, 5\}$, one sees that $t_4 - t_3$ is a weight on $T_{p_I}\Omega_I^{\circ}$:

$$z \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_3 a & 0 \\ 0 & z_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z_3}{z_4} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The other weights can be determined in a similar manner.

3.4 Schubert classes in H_T^*X

The closure $\Omega_I := \overline{\Omega_I^{\circ}}$ of a Schubert cell is called a *Schubert variety*. It is a disjoint union of all Schubert cells Ω_J° for $J \leq I$ with respect to the *Bruhat order*:

$$J \leq I \text{ iff } j_1 \leq i_1, j_2 \leq i_2, \ldots, j_k \leq i_k.$$

Since the Schubert cells have even (real) dimension, it follows that the classes of their closures form bases for H^*X and H_T^*X :

$$H^*X = \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z} \cdot [\Omega_I]$$
 and $H_T^*X = \bigoplus_I \Lambda \cdot [\Omega_I]^T$.

In particular, H_T^*X is free over Λ , of the correct rank, so the localization theorems apply.

Let us record two key properties of Schubert classes. From the description of weights, and from Example 2.6, it follows that

$$\iota_{p_I}^*[\Omega_I]^T = \prod_{i \in I} (t_j - t_i). \tag{1}$$

Moreover, since $p_J \in \Omega_I$ if and only if $J \leq I$ we see that

$$\iota_{p_I}^* [\Omega_I]^T = 0 \quad \text{if } J \nleq I. \tag{2}$$

It turns out that the Schubert classes are unique solutions to a corresponding interpolation problem:

Proposition 3.4 (Knutson-Tao [KT], Fehér-Rimányi [FR]) Relations (1) and (2) determine $[\Omega_I]^T$ as a class in H_T^*X .

3.5 Double Schur functions

Every k-element subset $I \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ can be represented in a form of a Young diagram: one first draws a rectangle with k rows and n-k columns, then draws a path from the upper-right to the lower-left corner; at i-th step, we go downwards if $i \in I$ and to the left otherwise. Counting the number of boxes in each row, we obtain a partition $\lambda = \lambda(I) = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_k \ge 0)$.

Example 3.5 With n = 7 and k = 3, the subset $I = \{2, 4, 5\}$ corresponds to the partition $\lambda = (3, 2, 2)$, whose Young diagram is below:



A semistandard Young tableau (SSYT for short) on a Young diagram λ is a way of filling the boxes of λ with numbers from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that they are weakly increasing along rows (going to the right) and strictly increasing along columns (going downwards). We write $SSYT(\lambda)$ for the set of all SSYT on the diagram λ .

Definition 3.6 The **double Schur function** associated to λ is a polynomial in two sets of variables, $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots)$, defined by the formula

$$s_{\lambda}(x|u) = \sum_{S \in SSYT(\lambda)} \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} \left(x_{S(i,j)} - u_{S(i,j)+j-i} \right).$$

Here S(i, j) is the i, j-entry of the tableau S, using matrix coordinates on the diagram λ .

Example 3.7 There are 8 semistandard Young tableaux on the diagram $\lambda = \square$,

so the double Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda}(x|u) = (x_1 - u_1)(x_1 - u_2)(x_2 - u_1) + (x_1 - u_1)(x_1 - u_2)(x_3 - u_2)$$

$$+ (x_1 - u_1)(x_2 - u_3)(x_2 - u_1) + (x_1 - u_1)(x_2 - u_3)(x_3 - u_2)$$

$$+ (x_1 - u_1)(x_3 - u_4)(x_2 - u_1) + (x_1 - u_1)(x_3 - u_4)(x_3 - u_2)$$

$$+ (x_2 - u_2)(x_2 - u_3)(x_3 - u_2) + (x_2 - u_2)(x_3 - u_4)(x_3 - u_2).$$

It is not obvious from the definition, but in fact $s_{\lambda}(x|u)$ is symmetric in the x variables. A nice discussion of some properties of these functions can be found in [Ma, 6th Variation]; see also [CLL] for a more recent study. A crucial fact for us is that they solve the same interpolation problem as the Schubert classes:

Proposition 3.8 (Molev-Sagan [MoS], Okounkov-Olshanski [OO]) Set

$$u_i = -t_{n+1-i}, \quad t_i^{\lambda} = -t_{i_i},$$

where $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$ is the subset corresponding to λ . Then

$$s_{\lambda}(t^{\lambda}|u) = \prod_{i \in I} (t_j - t_i)$$

and

$$s_{\lambda}(t^{\mu}|u) = 0$$
 if $\mu \not\supseteq \lambda$.

One can deduce a "Giambelli" formula for the Schubert classes. When λ is the partition corresponding to a subset I, let us write $\Omega_{\lambda} = \Omega_{I}$ for the corresponding Schubert variety. Now observe that the stars in the echelon matrix form of Ω_{λ}° naturally fit into the *complement* of the diagram λ inside the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Therefore the codimension of Ω_{λ} is equal to $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}$, the number of boxes in λ . Moreover, $J \leq I$ with respect to the Bruhat order if and only if $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ as diagrams, where μ is the partition corresponding to J.

Corollary 3.9 Let S be the tautological subbundle on $X = Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$, let x_1, \ldots, x_k be the equivariant Chern roots of the dual bundle S^{\vee} , and let t_1, \ldots, t_n be the standard weights of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Using the substitution from Proposition 3.8, we have

$$[\Omega_{\lambda}]^T = s_{\lambda}(x|u)$$

in H_T^*X .

Proof. Using Propostions 3.4 and 3.8, it suffices to observe that the weights of $S|_{p_J}$ are $t_1^{\mu}, \ldots, t_k^{\mu}$ if μ is the partition corresponding to J, so $s_{\lambda}(t^{\mu}|u)$ is equal to the restriction $\iota_J^* s_{\lambda}(x|u)$.

Finally, to relate this formula to the Kempf-Laksov formula, consider the sequence of vector bundles on \boldsymbol{X}

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow E_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow E_n = \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q,$$

where the E_i are trivial bundles, spanned by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_i\}$, and $Q = \mathbb{C}^n/S$ is the universal quotient bundle. We claim that

$$\Omega_{\lambda} = D_{\lambda}(\varphi).$$

This is a standard fact, and the main point is that $D_{\lambda}(\varphi)$ is irreducible; see, e.g., [Fu1]. One way to see this it as follows. The group B of upper-triangular matrices acts on X, and it preserves the E_i , so it also acts on each $D_{\lambda}(\varphi)$. On the other hand, from the matrix representatives, it is easy to see that Ω°_{μ} is the B-orbit of p_J (for J corresponding to μ , as usual). One checks that the conditions defining D_{λ} are satisfied by p_J if and only if $J \leq I$, and it follows that D_{λ} is the union of the cells Ω°_{μ} for $\mu \supseteq \lambda$.

Remark 3.10 A determinantal formula for $s_{\lambda}(x|u)$ can be proved directly by algebraic means; see [Ma, 6.7]. Using this, one obtains a new proof of the Kempf-Laksov formula.

A similar proof that the double Schur functions represent Schubert classes is given in [Mi, §5].

3.6 Positivity

Since the Schubert classes form a Λ -basis for H_T^*X , we can write

$$[\Omega_{\lambda}]^T \cdot [\Omega_{\mu}]^T = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) [\Omega_{\nu}]^T, \tag{3}$$

for some polynomials $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) \in \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, homogeneous of degree $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|$. Under the map $H_T^*X \to H^*X$, one recovers the structure constants $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(0)$ for ordinary cohomology. In fact, the integers $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ are nonnegative—using the Kleiman-Bertini theorem, they count the number of points in a transverse intersection of generic translates of three Schubert varieties. (There are also many combinatorial formulas for these numbers, which are called the *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients*.)

A remarkable fact about the equivariant coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$ is that they also exhibit a certain positivity property:

Theorem 3.11 The polynomial
$$c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$$
 lies in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[t_2-t_1, t_3-t_2, \dots, t_n-t_{n-1}]$.

This is a special case of a theorem of W. Graham [G2], who used a degeneration argument. We will sketch a different proof based on a transversality argument, from [A].

Sketch of proof. First we observe that there are equivariant Poincaré dual classes, given by the *opposite* Schubert varieties. Specifically, let \widetilde{E}_i be the span of $\{e_n, e_{n-1}, \ldots, e_{n+1-i}\}$, and the sequence

$$\widetilde{E}_1 \hookrightarrow \widetilde{E}_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \widetilde{E}_n = \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}} Q.$$

Define $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}$ to be the degeneracy locus $D_{\widetilde{\lambda}}(\widetilde{\varphi})$ for this sequence, where $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is the partition whose shape (rotated 180 degrees) is the complement to λ inside the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Equivalently, let \widetilde{B} be the subgroup of lower triangular matrices; then $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}$ is the closure of the cell $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}^{\circ}$ obtained as the \widetilde{B} -orbit of p_I .

From the description as a \widetilde{B} -orbit closure, it is easy to see that the intersection $\Omega_{\lambda} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}$ consists of the single point p_I , and is transverse there. Applying the integration formula, we see that

$$\rho_*([\Omega_{\lambda}]^T \cdot [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mu}]^T) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

in Λ , and applying this to both sides of (3),

$$c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = \rho_*([\Omega_{\lambda}]^T \cdot [\Omega_{\mu}]^T \cdot [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\nu}]^T).$$

The idea of the proof is find a subvariety Z in the approximation space $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T X$ whose class is equal to $[\Omega_{\lambda}]^T \cdot [\Omega_{\mu}]^T \cdot [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\nu}]^T$, for a special choice of approximation $\mathbb{E}_m \to \mathbb{B}_m$. Pushing forward the class of such a Z yields an effective class in $H^*\mathbb{B}_m$, which corresponds to $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$.

To set this up, fix an isomorphism $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ using the following basis for the character group:

$$t_1 - t_2, t_2 - t_3, \ldots, t_{n-1} - t_n, t_n.$$

(The reason for this choice will become clear later.) Now for $m \gg 0$, take $\mathbb{B}_m = (\mathbb{P}^m)^n$, and write $M_i = \mathscr{O}_i(-1)$ for the pullback via the *i*th projection, as in Example 1.5. Identifying $H^*\mathbb{B}_m$ with $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, we have $c_1(M_i) = t_i - t_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $c_1(\mathscr{O}_n(-1)) = t_n$.

Note that every effective class in $H^*\mathbb{B}_m$ is a nonnegative linear combination of monomials in $t_2 - t_1, \ldots, t_n - t_{n-1}, -t_n$, so if we find Z as above, the positivity theorem will be proved. (In fact, the whole setup may be pulled back via the projection onto the first n-1 factors of $\mathbb{B}_m = (\mathbb{P}^m)^n$, so that t_n does not contribute, and the class lies in the claimed subring of Λ .)

To find Z, we construct a group action on the approximation space. First some notation. Set $L_i = M_i \otimes M_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n$, so $c_1(L_i) = t_i$. Using the standard action of T on \mathbb{C}^n , we have $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T \mathbb{C}^n = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n = E$ as vector bundles on \mathbb{B}_m . Moreover, the flags used to define the Schubert varieties are replaced with $E_i = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_i$ and $\widetilde{E}_i = L_n \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n+1-i}$, and

$$\Omega_{\lambda} = \mathbb{E}_m \times^T \Omega_{\lambda}$$
 and $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{E}_m \times^T \widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}$

are corresponding degeneracy loci.

The key observation is that the vector bundle $End(E) = \bigoplus_{i,j} L_j^{\vee} \otimes L_i$ has global sections in "lower-triangular matrices", since when $i \geq j$, the line bundle $L_j^{\vee} \otimes L_i = M_j^{\vee} \otimes \cdots M_{i-1}^{\vee}$ is globally generated. The bundle of invertible endomorphisms $Aut(E) \subset End(E)$ is a group scheme over \mathbb{B}_m , and its group of global sections Γ_0 maps surjectively onto \widetilde{B} by evaluation at each fiber. Including the action of the group $G = (PGL_{m+1})^{\times n}$ acting transitively on the base \mathbb{B}_m , it follows that the opposite Schubert bundles $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\lambda}$ are the orbits for a connected group $\Gamma = G \rtimes \Gamma_0$ acting on $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T X$.

An application of the Kleiman-Bertini theorem guarantees that there is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $Z = \Omega_{\lambda} \cap \gamma \cdot \Omega_{\mu} \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_{\nu}$ is a proper intersection, so it has codimension $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| + \dim X$ in $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T X$. By construction, $[Z] = [\Omega_{\lambda}] \cdot [\Omega_{\mu}] \cdot [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\nu}] = [\Omega_{\lambda}]^T \cdot [\Omega_{\mu}]^T \cdot [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\nu}]^T$, so we are done.

Remark 3.12 A similar argument works to establish positivity for any homogeneous space X = G/P, so one can recover the general case of Graham's

theorem. An intermediate approach is to observe that the group Γ has a unipotent subgroup with finitely many orbits on $\mathbb{E}_m \times^T X$, so a theorem of Kumar and Nori [KN] guarantees positivity.

Remark 3.13 From the point of view of degeneracy loci, the coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$ are universal structure constants. That is, for a fixed degeneracy problem $E_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow E_n = E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F$ on an arbitrary (Cohen-Macaulay) variety X, the subring of H^*X generated by the classes $[D_{\lambda}(\varphi)]$ has structure constants $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(u)$, where $u_i = c_1(E_i/E_{i-1})$ is the pullback of t_i by the classifying map $X \to \mathbb{B}B$. The positivity theorem therefore implies a corresponding positivity for intersections of degeneracy loci on any variety.

3.7 Other directions

A great deal of recent work in algebraic geometry involves equivariant techniques, either directly or indirectly. Without pretending to give a complete survey of this work, we conclude by mentioning a small sampling of these further applications.

Generalized Schubert calculus. In the case of the Grassmannian, there are combinatorial formulas for the equivariant coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$, due to Knutson and Tao [KT] and Molev [Mo]. However, the question remains open for other homogeneous spaces G/P, even in the case of ordinary cohomology! It can happen that the extra structure present in equivariant cohomology simplifies proofs; such is the case in [KT]. Some formulas for ordinary cohomology of cominiscule G/P were given by Thomas and Yong [TY].

Degeneracy locus formulas. Extending the Kempf-Laksov formula, there are formulas for $(skew-)symmetric\ degeneracy\ loci$, due to Józefiak-Lascoux-Pragacz [JLP], Harris-Tu [HT], Lascoux-Pragacz [LP], and others. These are equivalent to equivariant Giambelli formulas in the Lagrangian and orthogonal Grassmannians, which are homogeneous spaces Sp_{2n}/P and SO_{2n}/P . Working directly in equivariant cohomology, Ikeda et al. have given equivariant Giambelli formulas for these spaces [IMN].

Thom polynomials. The theory of Thom polynomials is one of the origins of equivariant techniques in algebraic geometry; see, e.g., [FR]. These

are universal polynomials related to singularities of mappings, and can be interpreted as equivariant classes of certain orbit closures: for an algebraic group G acting linearly on a vector space V, one has $[\overline{G} \cdot v]^T$ in $H_T^*(V) \simeq \Lambda$. They are difficult to compute in general, and have been studied recently by Fehér-Rimányi [FR], Kazarian [K], and Pragacz-Weber [PW], among others. An important special case is where G is the product of two groups of upper-triangular matrices, acting on the space of $n \times n$ matrices; a detailed combinatorial study of this was carried out by Knutson-Miller [KM].

References

- [A] D. Anderson, "Positivity in the cohomology of flag bundles (after Graham)," arXiv:0711.0983.
- [Be] K. Behrend, "Cohomology of stacks," in *Intersection theory and mod-uli*, 249–294, ICTP Lect. Notes, XIX, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004.
- [Bo] A. Borel et al., Seminar on transformation groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 46, Princeton, 1960.
- [Br1] M. Brion, "Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions," Transform. Groups 2 (1997), no. 3, 225–267.
- [Br2] M. Brion, "Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory," notes by Alvaro Rittatore, in *Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, 1–37, Kluwer, 1998.
- [CS] T. Chang and T. Skjelbred, "The topological Schur lemma and related results," Ann. Math. 100 (1974), 307–321.
- [CLL] W. Y. C. Chen, B. Li, and J. D. Louck, "The flagged double Schur function," J. Algebraic Combin. 15 (2002), no. 1, 7–26.
- [ES] G. Ellingsrud and S. A. Strømme, "Bott's formula and enumerative geometry," J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), no. 1, 175–193.
- [FR] L. M. Fehér and R. Rimányi, "Schur and Schubert Polynomials as Thom Polynomials – Cohomology of Moduli Spaces," Cent. European J. Math. 4 (2003) 418–434.

- [Fu1] W. Fulton, Young Tableaux, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [Fu2] W. Fulton, Equivariant cohomology in algebraic geometry, lectures at Columbia University, notes by D. Anderson, 2007. Available at www.math.washington.edu/~dandersn/eilenberg.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, "Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem," *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83.
- [G1] W. Graham, "The class of the diagonal in flag bundles," J. Differential Geometry 45 (1997), 471–487.
- [G2] W. Graham, "Positivity in equivariant Schubert calculus," Duke Math. J. 109 (2001), 599–614.
- [HT] J. Harris and L. Tu, "On symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties," *Topology* **23** (1984) No. 1, 71–84.
- [H] W. Y. Hsiang, Cohomology Theory of Topological Transformation Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [IMN] T. Ikeda, L. Mihalcea, and H. Naruse, "Double Schubert polynomials for the classical groups," Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 1, 840–886.
- [JLP] T. Józefiak, A. Lascoux, and P. Pragacz, "Classes of determinantal varieties associated with symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **45** (1981), no. 3, 662–673.
- [K] M. Kazarian, "Thom polynomials," in Singularity theory and its applications, 85–135, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 43, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.
- [KL] G. Kempf and D. Laksov "The determinantal formula of Schubert calculus," *Acta Math.* **132** (1974), 153–162.
- [KM] A. Knutson and E. Miller, "Gröbner geometry of Schubert polynomials," Ann. Math. 161 (2005), 1245–1318.
- [KT] A. Knutson and T. Tao, "Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians," *Duke Math. J.* **119** (2003), no. 2, 221–260.

- [KN] S. Kumar and M. V. Nori, "Positivity of the cup product in cohomology of flag varieties associated to Kac-Moody groups," *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1998, no. 14, 757–763.
- [L] A. Lascoux, "Puissances extérieures, déterminants et cycles de Schubert," Bull. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), 161–179.
- [LP] A. Lascoux and P. Pragacz, "Schur Q-functions and degeneracy locus formulas for morphisms with symmetries," in *Recent progress in intersection theory (Bologna, 1997)*, 239–263, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [Ma] I. G. Macdonald, "Schur functions: theme and variations," Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (Saint-Nabor, 1992), 5–39, Publ. Inst. Rech. Math. Av. 498, Strasbourg, 1992.
- [Mi] L. Mihalcea, "Giambelli formulae for the equivariant quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 5, 2285–2301.
- [Mo] A. Molev, "Littlewood-Richardson polynomials," *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), no. 11, 3450–3468.
- [MoS] A. I. Molev and B. E. Sagan "A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 4429–4443.
- [OO] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski "Shifted Schur functions," Algebra i Analiz 9 (1997), no. 2, 73–146; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 9 (1998), no. 2, 239–300.
- [P] P. Pragacz, "Symmetric polynomials and divided differences in formulas of intersection theory," in *Parameter spaces (Warsaw, 1994)*, 125–177, Banach Center Publ., 36, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1996.
- [PW] P. Pragacz and A. Weber, "Positivity of Schur function expansions of Thom polynomials," Fund. Math. 195 (2007), no. 1, 85–95.
- [TY] H. Thomas and A. Yong, "A combinatorial rule for (co)miniscule Schubert calculus," Adv. Math. 222 (2009), no. 2, 596–620.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195