A Proof That Zeilberger Missed: A New Proof Of An Identity By Chaundy And Bullard Based On The Wilf-Zeilberger Method

YiJun Chen

Abstract

In this paper, based on the Wilf-Zeilberger theory, a very succinct new proof of an identity by Chaundy and Bullard is given.

In their paper [4], T. H. Koornwinder and M. J. Schlosser have given detailed explanations of the historical developments, different proofs (in fact, they provide seven different proofs), and different generalizations of the identity

$$1 = (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k, \qquad (1)$$

which is attributed to Chaundy and Bullard. In particular, we can learn in [4] that the special case where m = n plays a key role in Daubechies's theory of wavelets of compact support(see [2]or [3]). A very succinct probabilistic proof of this special case was given by Zeilberger (see [6]). As pointed out in [4], the same argument in [6] can also be used when $m \neq n$.

In this paper, I will give a new proof of the identity (1) based on the Wilf-Zeilberger method (or WZ method for short, see [5]). This is a very succinct beautiful proof which Zeilberger missed. I will use the following proposition (see [1]) to prove identity (1). Given a WZ pair (F(n,k), G(n,k)), that is

$$F(n+1,k) - F(n,k) = G(n,k+1) - G(n,k),$$
(2)

then for all $m, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[G(j,m+1) - G(j,0) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{m} F(0,k).$$
(3)

Now set

$$F(n,k) = \binom{n+k}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+1}$$

and

$$R(n,k) = -\frac{k}{n+1}, G(n,k) = R(n,k)F(n,k).$$

It is easy to verify that (F(n,k), G(n,k)) is a WZ pair and that the following statements are true.

- (a) For all $j \in N_0$, G(j, 0) = 0.
- (b) For all $m \in N_0$, $\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(0,k) = 1 x^{m+1}$.

By (3), (a), and (b), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(n,k) = -x^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{m+j}{j} (1-x)^{j} + 1 - x^{m+1}$$
$$= -x^{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{m+j}{j} (1-x)^{j} + 1.$$

Finally, we have

$$(1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k$$

= $-x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k + 1 + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k$
= 1,

and the proof is complete.

References

- [1] Y. J. Chen, WZ method and a formula for the partial sum of a binomial series (to appear).
- [2] I. Daubechies, Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988) 909–996.
- [3] —, Ten Lectures On Wavelets, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 61, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [4] T. H. Koornwinder, M. J. Schlosser, On an identity by Chaundy and Bullard.
 I, Indag. Math.(N.S.) 19 (2008) 239–261.
- [5] H. S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger, Rational functions certify combinatorial identities, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990) 147–158.
- [6] D. Zeilberger, On an identity of Daubechies, Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993) 487.

School of Mathematical Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, P.R.China chenyijun73@yahoo.com.cn

A Proof That Zeilberger Missed: A New Proof Of An Identity By Chaundy And Bullard Based On The Wilf-Zeilberger Method

YiJun Chen

Abstract

In this paper, based on the Wilf-Zeilberger theory, a very succinct new proof of an identity by Chaundy and Bullard is given.

In their paper [4], T. H. Koornwinder and M. J. Schlosser have given detailed explanations of the historical developments, different proofs (in fact, they provide seven different proofs), and different generalizations of the identity

$$1 = (1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k, \qquad (1)$$

which is attributed to Chaundy and Bullard. In particular, we can learn in [4] that the special case where m = n plays a key role in Daubechies's theory of wavelets of compact support(see [2]or [3]). A very succinct probabilistic proof of this special case was given by Zeilberger (see [6]). As pointed out in [4], the same argument in [6] can also be used when $m \neq n$.

In this paper, I will give a new proof of the identity (1) based on the Wilf-Zeilberger method (or WZ method for short, see [5]). This is a very succinct beautiful proof which Zeilberger missed. I will use the following proposition (see [1]) to prove identity (1). Given a WZ pair (F(n,k), G(n,k)), that is

$$F(n+1,k) - F(n,k) = G(n,k+1) - G(n,k),$$
(2)

then for all $m, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[G(j,m+1) - G(j,0) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{m} F(0,k).$$
(3)

Now set

$$F(n,k) = \binom{n+k}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n+1}$$

and

$$R(n,k) = -\frac{k}{n+1}, G(n,k) = R(n,k)F(n,k).$$

It is easy to verify that (F(n,k), G(n,k)) is a WZ pair and that the following statements are true.

- (a) For all $j \in N_0$, G(j, 0) = 0.
- (b) For all $m \in N_0$, $\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(0,k) = 1 x^{m+1}$.

By (3), (a), and (b), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} F(n,k) = -x^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{m+j}{j} (1-x)^{j} + 1 - x^{m+1}$$
$$= -x^{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{m+j}{j} (1-x)^{j} + 1.$$

Finally, we have

$$(1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k$$

= $-x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k + 1 + x^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k}{k} (1-x)^k$
= 1,

and the proof is complete.

References

- [1] Y. J. Chen, WZ method and a formula for the partial sum of a binomial series (to appear).
- [2] I. Daubechies, Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988) 909–996.
- [3] —, Ten Lectures On Wavelets, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 61, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [4] T. H. Koornwinder, M. J. Schlosser, On an identity by Chaundy and Bullard.
 I, Indag. Math.(N.S.) 19 (2008) 239–261.
- [5] H. S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger, Rational functions certify combinatorial identities, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990) 147–158.
- [6] D. Zeilberger, On an identity of Daubechies, Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993) 487.

School of Mathematical Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, P.R.China chenyijun73@yahoo.com.cn