

Obstructions for two-vertex alternating embeddings of graphs in surfaces

Bojan Mohar ^{*†} Petr Škoda

Department of Mathematics,
Simon Fraser University,
8888 University Drive,
Burnaby, BC, Canada.

Abstract

A class of graphs that lies strictly between the classes of graphs of genus (at most) $k - 1$ and k is studied. For a fixed orientable surface \mathbb{S}_k of genus k , let \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k be the minor-closed class of graphs with terminals x and y that either embed into \mathbb{S}_{k-1} or admit an embedding Π into \mathbb{S}_k such that there is a Π -face where x and y appear twice in the alternating order. In this paper, the obstructions for the classes \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k are studied. In particular, the complete list of obstructions for \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 is presented.

1 Introduction

For a simple graph G , let $g(G)$ be the *genus* of G , that is, the minimum k such that G embeds into the orientable surface \mathbb{S}_k . Similarly $\hat{g}(G)$ stands for the *Euler genus* of G . A *combinatorial embedding* Π of G is a pair (π, λ) where π assigns each vertex $v \in V(G)$ a cyclic permutation of edges adjacent

^{*}Supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant (Canada), by the Canada Research Chair program, and by the Research Grant P1-0297 of ARRS (Slovenia).

[†]On leave from: IMFM & FMF, Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

to v called the *local rotation* around v and the function $\lambda : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ describes the signature of edges when Π is non-orientable. A Π -*face* is a walk in G around a face of Π (for a formal definition see for example [9]). Vertices v_1, \dots, v_k are Π -*cofacial* if there is a Π -face where the vertices v_1, \dots, v_k appear in some order.

For an edge e of G , the two standard graph operations, *deletion of e* , $G - e$, and *contraction of e* , G/e , are called *minor operations* and are denoted by $G * e$ when no distinction is necessary. A graph H is a *minor* of G if H is obtained from a subgraph of G by a sequence of minor operations. A family of graphs \mathcal{C} is *minor-closed* if, for each graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$, all minors of G belong to \mathcal{C} . A graph G is a (*minimal*) *obstruction* for a family \mathcal{C} if G does not belong to \mathcal{C} but for every edge e of G , both $G - e$ and G/e belong to \mathcal{C} . The well-known result of Robertson and Seymour [12] asserts that the list of obstructions is finite for every minor-closed family of graphs.

For a fixed surface \mathbb{S}_k , the graphs that embed into \mathbb{S}_k form a minor-closed family and it is of general interest to understand the obstructions $\text{Forb}(\mathbb{S}_k)$ for these families. Unfortunately, $\text{Forb}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ already contains thousands of graphs and is not yet determined [6]. We approach the problem by studying graphs in $\text{Forb}(\mathbb{S}_k)$ of small connectivity (see [10]).

In this paper we study a phenomenon that arises when joining two graphs by two vertices. Given graphs G_1 and G_2 such that $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{x, y\}$, the union of G_1 and G_2 , that is the graph $(V(G_1) \cup V(G_2), E(G_1) \cup E(G_2))$, is an *xy-sum* of G_1 and G_2 (or a *2-sum* if the vertices are not important). Sometimes, we also call G to be an *xy-sum* of G_1 and G_2 even if the edge xy is an edge of G_1 or G_2 but is not present in G . To determine the genus of the *xy-sum* of G_1 and G_2 , it is necessary to know if G_1 (and G_2) has a minimum genus embedding Π such that there is a Π -face in which x and y appear twice in the alternating order (see [4, 5]). For vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, we say that G is *xy-alternating on \mathbb{S}_k* if $g(G) = k$ and G has an embedding Π of genus k with a Π -face $W = v_1 \dots v_l$ and indices i_1, \dots, i_4 such that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq l$, $v_{i_1} = v_{i_3} = x$, and $v_{i_2} = v_{i_4} = y$.

A graph G is *k-connected* if G has at least $k + 1$ vertices and G remains connected after deletion of any $k - 1$ vertices. A graph has *connectivity k* if it is k -connected but not $(k + 1)$ -connected.

To determine minimal obstructions of connectivity 2, we need to know which graphs are minimal *not xy-alternating* (see [10]). For $k \geq 1$, let \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k be the class of graphs with *terminals* x and y that are either embeddable in \mathbb{S}_{k-1} or are *xy-alternating on \mathbb{S}_k* . When performing minor operations on *graphs*

with terminals, we do not allow a contraction identifying two terminals to a single vertex. Also, when contracting an edge joining a terminal and a non-terminal vertex, the new vertex is a terminal. Thus the number of terminals of a minor is the same as of the original graph. A homomorphism of two graphs with terminals is an isomorphism if it is a graph isomorphism and (non-)terminals are mapped onto (non-)terminals. In particular, automorphisms that switch the terminals are considered. Under these restrictions, \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k is a minor-closed family of graphs with two terminals. Let \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k be the set of minimal obstructions for \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k , that is, a graph G belongs to \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k if $G \notin \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$ and, for each edge $e \in E(G)$ and each allowed minor operation $*$, $G * e \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$. It is shown in Sect. 2 that \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k is finite for each $k \geq 1$. Note that each vertex of a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k has degree at least 3 unless it is a terminal.

A *Kuratowski graph* is a graph isomorphic to K_5 , the complete graph on five vertices, or to $K_{3,3}$, the complete bipartite graph on three and three vertices. For a fixed Kuratowski graph K , a *Kuratowski subgraph* in G is a minimal subgraph of G that contains K as a minor. A *K-graph* L in G is a subdivision of K_4 or $K_{2,3}$ that can be extended to a Kuratowski subgraph in G . We are using extensively the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 1 (Kuratowski [8]). *A graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a Kuratowski subgraph.*

We also use the following classical theorem (see [9, Theorem 6.3.1]).

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected graph and C a cycle in G . Let G' be a graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex joined to all vertices of C . Then G can be embedded in plane with C as an outer cycle unless G contains an obstruction of the following type:*

- (a) *a pair of disjoint crossing paths,*
- (b) *a tripod, or*
- (c) *a Kuratowski subgraph contained in a 3-connected block of G' distinct from the 3-connected block of G' containing C .*

Let G be a 2-connected graph. Each vertex of degree different from 2 is a *branch vertex*. A *branch* of G is a path in G whose endvertices are branch vertices and such that each intermediate vertex has degree 2.

Let H be a subgraph of G . An *H-bridge* in G is a subgraph of G which is either an edge not in H but with both ends in H , or a connected component

of $G - V(H)$ together with all edges which have one end in this component and the other end in H . For a H -bridge B , the *interior* of B , B° , is the set $E(B) \cup (V(B) \setminus V(H))$ containing the edges of B and the vertices inside B . Thus, $G - B^\circ$ is the graph obtained from G by deleting B .

Let B be an H -bridge in G . The vertices in $V(B) \cap V(H)$ are called *attachments* of B . The bridge B is a *local bridge* if all attachments of B lie on a single branch of H .

Let C be a cycle of a fixed orientation and u and v two vertices in C . The *segment* $C[u, v]$ is the path P in C from u to v (in the given orientation of C). Similarly, $C(u, v)$ denotes P without the endvertices and any combination of brackets can be used to indicate which endvertices are included in the path. Let P be a segment of C and B a C -bridge whose attachments are contained in P . The *support* of B in P is the smallest subsegment of P that contains all attachments of B .

For a cycle C , two C -bridges *avoid* each other if there are vertices u and v such that all attachments of one bridge lie on $C[u, v]$ and all attachments of the other bridge lie on $C[v, u]$. Otherwise, they *overlap*. A C -bridge B is *planar* if $C \cup B$ is planar. Let \mathcal{B} be a set of C -bridges. The *bridge-overlap graph* of \mathcal{B} has vertex set \mathcal{B} and two bridges are adjacent if they overlap. We use the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph that consists of a cycle C and a set \mathcal{B} of planar C -bridges. Then G is planar if and only if the bridge-overlap graph of \mathcal{B} is bipartite.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we study the classes \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k in general. The rest of the paper is focused on the class \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 . A basic classification of \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 is shown in Sec. 3 and the complete list of \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 is provided in the subsequent chapters. The paper is concluded in Sec. 7 where the main theorem is proven.

2 General properties

In this section we present some general results about graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k , where $k \geq 1$. In the following, G/xy is the underlying simple graph of the multigraph obtained by identifying vertices x and y . Note that the edge xy does *not* have to be present and, if $xy \in E(G)$, we delete xy before identifying x and y . Let v_{xy} be the vertex obtained after the identification. For a graph G with

terminals x and y , let G^+ denote the graph $G + xy$ if $xy \notin E(G)$ and the graph G otherwise. We will use the following lemma (see [9, Prop. 6.1.2.]).

Lemma 4. *Let G be an xy -sum of graphs G_1 and G_2 . If G_2^+ is planar, then each embedding of G_1^+ into a surface can be extended to an embedding of G into the same surface.*

Proof. Since G_2^+ is planar, there is a planar embedding of G_2 such that x and y are on the infinite face. A given embedding Π of G_1^+ can be extended into the embedding of G by embedding G_2 into a Π -face incident with the edge xy . \square

In the sequel, we shall use another graph G^* obtained from a given graph G with given terminals x and y . The graph G^* is obtained as an xy -sum of G and $K_5 - xy$ (the graph obtained from K_5 with two terminals x and y by deleting the edge xy). We will use a characterization of xy -alternating graphs by Decker et al. [5], that a graph G with terminals x and y is xy -alternating if and only if $g(G^*) = g(G)$. They also proved the following theorem:

Theorem 5 (Decker, Glover, and Huneke [5]). *If G is an xy -sum of graphs G_1 and G_2 , then*

$$g(G) = \min\{g(G_1^+) + g(G_2^+) - \epsilon(G_1)\epsilon(G_2), g(G_1) + g(G_2) + 1\} \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon(G) = 1$ if G^+ is xy -alternating and $\epsilon(G) = 0$ otherwise.

Note that both K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ are xy -alternating on the torus for any pair of vertices x and y (see Fig. 1).

For a graph G and a vertex x of G , the graph G' is obtained by *splitting* G at x if x is replaced by two adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 and edges incident with x in G are distributed arbitrarily to x_1 and x_2 in G' . By doing the same except that x_1 and x_2 are non-adjacent, a resulting graph G' is said to be obtained by *cutting* of G at x .

Suppose that a graph G is embedded in some surface \mathbb{S} . Let γ be a simple closed curve in \mathbb{S} that intersects the embedded graph G only at vertices of G . The number of vertices in $\gamma \cap V(G)$ is called the *width* of γ (with respect to the embedded graph). If γ intersects G at a vertex z , then it separates the edges incident with z into two parts, γ -sides at z , according to their appearance in the local rotation around z . The graph obtained by cutting G at each vertex v in $\gamma \cap V(G)$ using the γ -sides to partition the edges is said




Figure 1: Kuratowski graphs and their two-vertex alternating embeddings in the torus.

to be obtained by *cutting* G along γ . The curve γ also induces the *cutting* of the surface \mathbb{S} along γ , and the cut graph is embedded in the cut surface. A curve is *orientizing* for a Π -embedded graph G if cutting G along γ yields an orientable embedding of the resulting graph using the embedding induced by Π . The *orientizing face-width* of G is the minimum width of an orientizing curve.

The next lemma outlines three characterizations of \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k .

Lemma 6. *Let G be a graph with terminals x and y . If G does not embed into \mathbb{S}_{k-1} , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k .
- (ii) G has an embedding Π into \mathbb{N}_{2k-1} with an orientizing 1-sided simple closed curve γ of width 2 going through x and y .
- (iii) G can be cut at x and y so that the resulting graph embeds into \mathbb{S}_{k-1} with x_1, y_1, x_2 and y_2 appearing on a common face (in the stated order).
- (iv) G^* embeds into \mathbb{S}_k .

The proof of Lemma 6 uses the following result by Archdeacon and Huneke [2].

Lemma 7. *Let G be a Π -embedded graph and W a Π -facial walk. If two vertices x and y appear twice in W in the alternating order x, y, x, y , then*

there exists an embedding Π' of G of Euler genus $\widehat{g}(\Pi) - 1$ such that every Π -facial walk is Π' -facial except for W which turns into two Π' -facial walks W_1 and W_2 , each of which contains both x and y . Moreover, the curve γ passing through x and y and the faces W_1 and W_2 is 1-sided in Π' and the signatures of edges in Π' differ from Π only by switching the signatures of a γ -side at x and a γ -side at y .

Proof of Lemma 6. The equivalence of (i) and (iv) was proven by Decker et al. [5].

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since G does not embed into \mathbb{S}_{k-1} , it Π -embeds into \mathbb{S}_k with x and y alternating in a Π -face W . By Lemma 7, there is an embedding Π' of Euler genus $2k - 1$ with two Π' -faces W_1 and W_2 , both containing x and y . The curve γ obtained by connecting vertices x and y in both faces W_1 and W_2 is the sought 1-sided curve of width 2. Since the signatures of edges in Π are positive, the edges of negative signature in Π' form two γ -sides of x and y (respectively). Thus cutting G along γ yields an orientable embedding and γ is orientizing.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Cutting along the 1-sided orientizing curve γ yields an orientable embedding Π of genus $k - 1$. Since γ is 1-sided, the vertices obtained by cutting G along y lie on a common face in the interlaced order.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Take an embedding Π of the resulting graph G' into \mathbb{S}_{k-1} with x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 on a common face W . Let $G'' = G' + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2$. We extend Π to an embedding Π' of G'' into \mathbb{S}_k by embedding the new edges into W (and adding a handle). The number of faces of Π' stays the same but the number of edges is increased by two. Thus $g(\Pi') = g(\Pi) + 1$. By contracting the edges x_1x_2 and y_1y_2 , we obtain G and its xy -alternating embedding in \mathbb{S}_k . \square

The classical result of Robertson and Seymour [11] asserts that the set of obstructions for each minor-closed family of graphs is finite. In particular, this implies that $\text{Forb}(\mathbb{S}_k)$ is finite for each $k \geq 0$. A *topological obstruction* G for \mathbb{S}_k is a graph with no vertices of degree 2 that does not embed in \mathbb{S}_k but each proper subgraph of G does. Since minors and *topological minors* (H is a *topological minor* of G if G contains a subdivision of H as a subgraph) are closely related, the set $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ of topological obstructions is also finite for each $k \geq 0$ (see [9, Prop. 6.1.1.]). Unfortunately, since the graphs in the classes \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k have terminals, the result of Robertson and Seymour does not directly apply and thus it is not clear *a priori* whether the sets \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k are finite.

The next lemma shows that the graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k are derived from graphs in $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ and thus the finiteness of $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ implies the finiteness of \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k .

Lemma 8. *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k$. Then precisely one of the graphs G , G^+ , or G^* belongs to $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$.*

Proof. For each $e \in E(G)$ (possibly $e = xy$), we have $G - e \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$. Therefore, we have that $g(G - e) \leq k$ and, if $g(G - e) = k$, then $G - e$ is xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_k . If $g(G) > k$, then $G \in \text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$, since $g(G - e) \leq k$ for each $e \in E(G)$. Thus we may assume that $g(G) = k$ and G is *not* xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_k .

Suppose that $g(G^+) > g(G)$. For each $e \in E(G)$, we have $G - e \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$, hence either $g(G - e) = k - 1$ and thus $g(G^+ - e) = k$, or $G - e$ is xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_k and then $g(G^+ - e) = k$ since the edge xy can be embedded into the xy -alternating face. Therefore, $G^+ \in \text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$.

Suppose now that $g(G^+) = g(G)$. We shall show that $G^* \in \text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$. Since G is not xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_k , $g(G^*) > g(G)$ by Lemma 6. For $e \in E(G)$, either $g(G - e) = k - 1$ and thus $g(G^* - e) \leq k$ by (1), or $G - e$ is xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_k and so $g(G^* - e) = k$ also by (1). Let H be the xy -bridge of G^* induced by the edges not in G , which is isomorphic to K_5 minus an edge. For $e \in E(H)$, since $H^+ - e$ is planar, Lemma 4 gives that $g(G^* - e) = g(G^+) = g(G) = k$. This shows that $G^* \in \text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$.

In conclusion, G , G^+ , or G^* belongs to $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$, and it is clear that only one of these graphs is in $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ since they are topological minors of each other. \square

Lemma 8 has the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 9. *For $k \geq 1$, the class of graphs \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k is finite.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all graphs with two terminals obtained from graphs $H \in \text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ by declaring two vertices of H to be terminals (in all possible ways), by declaring two adjacent vertices to be terminals and deleting the edge joining them or by removing a bridge isomorphic to K_5 minus an edge and declaring its two vertices of attachments to be terminals. By Lemma 8, $\mathcal{F}_{xy}^k \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof since $\text{Forb}^*(\mathbb{S}_k)$ is finite. \square

Lemma 10. *For $k \geq 1$, let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k$. If G is not embeddable into \mathbb{S}_k , then $xy \notin E(G)$, G is xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_{k+1} and an obstruction for \mathbb{S}_k .*

Proof. If $xy \in E(G)$, then $G - xy \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$. Since $g(G) > k$, we have $g(G - xy) = k$ and thus $G - xy$ has an xy -alternating embedding in \mathbb{S}_k . But then G also embeds in \mathbb{S}_k . This contradiction shows that $xy \notin E(G)$.

Since $G * e \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^k$ for every edge $e \in E(G)$ and each minor operation $*$, $G * e$ embeds into \mathbb{S}_k . Hence G is an obstruction for \mathbb{S}_k .

Let us construct an xy -alternating embedding in \mathbb{S}_{k+1} . Let $e = uv$ be an arbitrary edge in G and consider the graph $G - e$. Clearly, the genus of $G - e$ cannot drop by more than one and since $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k$, there has to be an xy -alternating embedding of $G - e$ in \mathbb{S}_k . Let Π be this xy -alternating embedding of $G - e$ in \mathbb{S}_k and let W be an xy -alternating Π -face. Pick two arbitrary Π -faces W_u and W_v incident with u and v , respectively. Since u and v are not Π -cofacial, W_u and W_v are distinct. Extend Π to an embedding Π' of G in \mathbb{S}_{k+1} by adding a handle into faces W_u and W_v . Since at most one of W_u or W_v is W , the xy -alternating Π -face W is extended to an xy -alternating Π' -face. \square

The following is an immediate corollary of Lemma 10.

Corollary 11. *For $k \geq 1$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{xy}^k \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{xy}^{k+1}$.*

We think that the scenario forced by Lemma 10, when $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k$ is not embeddable in \mathbb{S}_k , is quite unlikely, and we would like to pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 12. *Let G be in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^k . Then G embeds in \mathbb{S}_k .*

In this paper we confirm the conjecture for $k = 1$.

3 Basic classification

To classify all minimal obstructions for the torus of connectivity 2, we aim to understand the class \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 of xy -alternating graphs on the torus and the set \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 of its obstructions.

Lemma 6 gives the following characterizations of \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 .

Corollary 13. *Let G be a non-planar graph with terminals x and y . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 .

- (ii) G has an embedding Π into the projective plane of face-width 2 with a non-contractible curve of width 2 going through x and y .
- (iii) G can be cut at x and y so that the resulting graph is planar with x_1, x_2, y_1 and y_2 on a common face.
- (iv) G^* embeds into the torus.

By Corollary 13, a non-planar graph G belongs to \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 if and only if the vertices x and y can be split so that the resulting graph is planar with the new vertices on a common face. This implies that G/xy is planar.

Corollary 14. *If G is a non-planar graph in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 , then G/xy is planar.*

We will show below that, if G/xy is non-planar, then there is a Kuratowski subgraph in G with a K -graph disjoint from x and y . The following lemma by Juvan et al. [7] allows us to choose a subgraph without local bridges provided that we have an almost 3-connected graph. Let K be a subgraph of G . The graph G is *3-connected modulo K* if for every vertex set $U \subseteq V(G)$ with at most 2 elements, every connected component of $G - U$ contains a branch vertex of K .

Lemma 15 (Juvan, Marinček and Mohar [7]). *Let K be a subgraph of a graph G . If G is 3-connected modulo K , then G contains a subgraph K' such that*

- (a) K' is homeomorphic to K and has the same branch vertices as K .
- (b) For each branch e of K , the corresponding branch e' of K' joins the same pair of branch vertices as e and is contained in the union of e and all K -bridges that are local on e .
- (c) K' has no local bridges.

Now, we are ready to prove that if G/xy is non-planar, then there is a Kuratowski subgraph in G with a K -graph disjoint from x and y .

Lemma 16. *Let G be a non-planar graph and $x, y \in V(G)$. If G/xy is non-planar, then G contains a K -graph disjoint from x and y .*

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion of the lemma is false. Let G be a counterexample with $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$ minimum. It is easy to see that G is connected. If $G - x$ is non-planar, then by Theorem 1, $G - x$ contains a Kuratowski graph K and thus $K - y$ contains a K-graph in G that is disjoint from x and y . Hence $G - x$ is planar. Similarly, $G - y$ is planar.

Let K be a Kuratowski subgraph in G/xy and L a K-graph contained in $K - v_{xy}$. Let B_x and B_y be the L -bridges of G containing x and y , respectively. Necessarily, B_x and B_y are different L -bridges of G since otherwise L is a K-graph in G disjoint from x and y . We aim to get rid of the local L -bridges by applying Lemma 15 but also preserve the property that the graph is a K-graph in G/xy that is disjoint from x and y . In order to achieve that, we consider the graph $\widehat{G} = G - B_x^\circ - B_y^\circ - w_1w_2$ in the case when L is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$, w_1, w_2 are the vertices of degree 3 in L , and $w_1w_2 \in E(G)$. Otherwise, let $\widehat{G} = G - B_x^\circ - B_y^\circ$.

If \widehat{G} is not 3-connected modulo L , then there is a (minimal) vertex set U with $|U| \leq 2$ such that a U -bridge C does not contain any branch vertex (in C°). If $|U| \leq 1$, then C is a block of \widehat{G} . Since genus is additive over blocks (see [3]), the block C is planar and its removal from G yields a subgraph of G that satisfies the assumptions of the lemma. This is a contradiction with the choice of G being minimal. Thus U contains exactly two vertices, u and v , and there is a path in C that connects u and v . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by contracting C into a single edge uv . Since C does not contain x and y , if $C + uv$ is non-planar, then C contains a K-graph disjoint from x and y in G . Hence $C + uv$ is planar and Lemma 4 gives that G' is non-planar. It is not difficult to see that G'/xy is also non-planar. By the choice of G , there is a K-graph L' in G' disjoint from x and y . Since the edge uv in G' can be replaced in G by a path in C , L' induces in a straightforward way a K-graph in G disjoint from x and y .

Therefore, we may assume that \widehat{G} is 3-connected modulo L . By Lemma 15, there exists a subgraph L' of \widehat{G} homeomorphic to L that has no local bridges, and has the same branch vertices as K' and also satisfies property (b) of Lemma 15. Note that, since $K_{2,3}$ and K_4 are uniquely embeddable in the plane, L' has a unique planar embedding Π . Let B'_x and B'_y be the L' -bridges in G containing x and y , respectively. By using (b) of Lemma 15, it is not difficult to check that L' is still a K-graph in G/xy . It follows that B'_x and B'_y are different L' -bridges in G .

Case 1: L' is a subdivision of K_4 or $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$.




Figure 2: A case in the proof of Lemma 16.

Since $G - B'_x$ and $G - B'_y$ are planar, each L' -bridge can be embedded into some Π -face. Since only B'_x and B'_y can be local L' -bridges in G , each other L' -bridge in G embeds into a unique Π -face. Since the vertices of the union of the attachments of B'_x and B'_y do not lie on a single Π -face, the bridges B'_x and B'_y embed into different Π -faces. We conclude that each L' -bridge in G can be assigned a Π -face such that all bridges assigned to a single Π -face can be embedded there simultaneously. Hence G is planar — a contradiction.

Case 2: L is a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ and $w_1w_2 \in E(G)$.

Consider the graph $G' = G - w_1w_2$. Since G' is a subgraph of G and G'/xy is non-planar, G' is planar by the choice of G . Since the planar embedding of G' cannot be extended into a planar embedding of G by adding the edge w_1w_2 into one of the three Π -faces, there are three paths P_1, P_2, P_3 that connect the three pairs of open branches of L' , respectively (see Fig. 2). Let L'' be the subgraph of G that consists of w_1w_2 , the path P_i that is embedded in the Π -face containing neither x nor y and the two branches of L' that P_i connects to. It is easy to see that L'' forms a K -graph in G that is disjoint from x and y , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 16 leads to the following dichotomy of graphs is \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 .

Lemma 17. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 . Then one of the following is true.*

- (i) G is a split of a Kuratowski graph with x and y being the two vertices resulting after the split (see Fig. 3) or G is a Kuratowski graph plus one or two isolated vertices that are terminals.
- (ii) G/xy is planar.




Figure 3: Splits of Kuratowski graphs.

Proof. Suppose that G does not satisfy (ii). By Lemma 16, there is a Kuratowski subgraph K in G with a K-graph L disjoint from x and y . If there is an edge e and a minor operation $*$ such that $G * e$ still contains a K-graph disjoint from x and y , then $(G * e)/xy$ is non-planar and thus $G * e \notin A_{xy}^1$ by Corollary 14. Hence $E(G) = E(K)$. If e is a subdivided edge of K , then G/e still contains a K-graph disjoint from x and y unless a terminal and a branch vertex of K are the endvertices of e . Now it is easy to see that G satisfies (i). \square

4 XY -labelled graphs

Let G be a graph with terminals x and y . To investigate graphs in $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1$ where G/xy is planar, we study the graph $H = G - x - y$. Let us label each vertex of H by the label X (Y) if it is adjacent to x (y) in G . Thus each vertex of H is given up to two labels. Let $\lambda(v)$ denote the set of labels given to the vertex v of H . A vertex v is *labelled* if $\lambda(v)$ is non-empty. The graph H together with the labels carries all information about G . Let us call H an XY -labelled graph. The notion of a minor of a graph is extended to XY -labelled graphs naturally: an XY -labelled graph H_1 is a minor of an XY -labelled graph H_2 if the graph with terminals corresponding to H_1 is a minor of the graph with terminals corresponding to H_2 . For example, the deletion of a label is a minor operation that corresponds to an edge deletion and, when contracting an edge uv in an XY -labelled graph, the resulting vertex is labelled by $\lambda(u) \cup \lambda(v)$.

Another useful representation of G is as follows. Consider the multigraph \widehat{H} and the vertex v_{xy} obtained by identification of x and y in G (in contrast to the simple graph G/xy used in the previous sections). Label each edge e of \widehat{H} incident to v_{xy} by the label X (Y) if the edge was incident to x (y) in G . Let Π be a planar embedding of \widehat{H} . The local rotation around v_{xy} gives a cyclic sequence S of labels that appear on the edges incident with

v_{xy} . Call S a *label sequence* of \widehat{H} . A *label transition* in a label sequence is a pair of (cyclically) consecutive labels that are different. The *number of transitions* $\tau(Q)$ of S is the number of label transitions in S . In the case when S contains only two different labels, $\tau(Q)$ is a multiple of 2. Thus we say that a label sequence S is *k-alternating* if $\tau(Q) = 2k$. A planar embedding of \widehat{H} is *k-alternating* if the induced label sequence is *k-alternating* and H is called *k-alternating* if \widehat{H} admits a *k-alternating* embedding in the plane. Note that Lemma 13 implies that, if H is 2-alternating, then the corresponding graph G is in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 .

When H is connected, a planar embedding of \widehat{H} induces a planar embedding of H with a special face W in which v_{xy} is embedded. Call the cyclic sequence of vertices of W (with some possibly appearing more than once) a *boundary* of H . If H is 2-connected, then W is a cycle of H (see [9, Thm. 2.2.3]). To understand when a planar embedding of \widehat{H} induces a 2-alternating label sequence, we study the possible boundaries of H . If M is a block of H that is not an edge, then a boundary of H induces a *boundary cycle* in M .

A sequence $R = v_1, \dots, v_k$ of consecutive vertices on a boundary Q is called an *X-block* in Q if no vertices in R except possibly the endvertices v_1 and v_k are labelled with Y . Define a *Y-block* similarly.

The following lemma states the observation that, if two *X-blocks* contain all vertices that are labelled X , then it is easy to construct a 2-alternating embedding of \widehat{H} . In this case, we say that the labels X are *covered* by the two *X-blocks*.

Lemma 18. *Let H be an XY -labelled graph, Q a boundary of H , and $A \in \{X, Y\}$. If the A -labelled vertices of H are covered by two A -blocks in Q , then H is 2-alternating.*

For $A \in \{X, Y\}$, an induced subgraph H' of H *contains the label* A if there is a vertex in H' labelled A . Let $S = A_1 \dots A_k$ be a label sequence. Here we consider S as a linear label sequence as opposed to cyclic. Let R be a subsequence of a boundary of H . We say that R *contains the label sequence* S if there are distinct vertices v_1, \dots, v_k that appear in R in this order (or the reverse order) and v_i is labelled A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that H' *contains the label sequence* S if for every boundary Q of H , the subsequence of Q induced by $V(H')$ contains the label sequence S . Let B be a block of H and v a vertex of B . We say that label A is *attached* to B at v if either v is labelled A or there is a v -bridge in H not containing B that contains A .

Lemma 19. *Let H be an XY -labelled graph such that at most four vertices of H have both labels X and Y . If H is not 2-alternating, then H contains the label sequence $XYXYXY$.*

Proof. Suppose that H is not 2-alternating and let Q be a boundary of H . Let R be a subsequence of Q with no unlabelled vertices such that each labelled vertex appears in R exactly once. A stronger claim is proved instead. If R does not contain the label sequence $XYXYXY$, then the labels of vertices in R can be arranged in the order given by R to obtain a 2-alternating sequence of labels. Suppose that this is not true and choose a counter-example R with minimum total number of labels.

Suppose there are cyclically consecutive vertices u and v in R such that both u and v have label A and v has only one label. By deleting A from u we obtain a sequence R' with smaller total number of labels. By the construction of R' , R' does not contain the label sequence $XYXYXY$. Thus there is a 2-alternating label sequence S' of labels in R' . By inserting the label A before the occurrence of A at v , we obtain a valid 2-alternating label sequence for R . Therefore, every two consecutive vertices in R have either distinct labels or both labels.

Two cases remain: Either R contains at most four labelled vertices, all with both labels, or there are at most four vertices that have alternating labels (six vertices give the label sequence $XYXYXY$ and five vertices are not possible because of parity). In both cases, we see immediately that the labels in R can be arranged into a 2-alternating label sequence. \square

For graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 , Lemma 4 gives the following result.

Corollary 20. *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1$ and let $\{u, v\}$ be a 2-vertex-cut in G . If C is a non-trivial uv -bridge such that $C + uv$ is planar, then $C - u - v$ contains a terminal.*

The following lemma describes the structure of a graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 when the XY -labelled graph is disconnected.

Lemma 21. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 such that G/xy is planar and let H be the XY -labelled graph corresponding to G . If H is disconnected, then G is an xy -sum of two Kuratowski graphs and $xy \notin E(G)$ (this yields precisely six non-isomorphic graphs; see Fig. 4).*




Figure 4: The two-sums of Kuratowski graphs.




Figure 5: Kuratowski graphs as an alternating extension to outerplanar graphs.

Proof. Each xy -sum of two Kuratowski graphs (without the edge xy even if it is present in a summand) is a projective planar obstruction (see [1]) and it is straightforward to check that it belongs to \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 . Fig. 5 shows the three possible XY -labelled blocks that arise.

Since H is disconnected, G has at least two non-trivial xy -bridges C_1 and C_2 . Since neither $C_1 - x - y$ nor $C_2 - x - y$ contains a terminal, Corollary 20 gives that both $C_1 + xy$ and $C_2 + xy$ are non-planar. Hence G contains an xy -sum of two Kuratowski graphs as a minor. \square

5 Connectivity 2

This section is devoted to the proof of the following lemma characterizing graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 that correspond to a 2-connected XY -labelled graph.

Lemma 22. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 such that G/xy is planar and such that the XY -labelled graph H corresponding to G is 2-connected. If $xy \in E(G)$, then H is one of the graphs in Fig. 6. Otherwise, H is one of the graphs in Fig. 7.*



Figure 6: The 2-connected XY -labelled graphs that correspond to graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 that contain the edge xy .




Figure 7: The 2-connected XY -labelled graphs that correspond to graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 without the edge xy .

First, we derive two lemmas that will be used in the proof of Lemma 22.

Lemma 23. *Let G be a graph that consists of a cycle C and C -bridges B_1, B_2 such that all other C -bridges avoid each other. If G is non-planar, then there is a C -bridge B (different from B_1 and B_2) such that B, B_1 , and B_2 all pairwise overlap.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of C -bridges in H different from B_1 and B_2 . Since the bridges in \mathcal{B} avoid each other, \mathcal{B} forms an independent set in the bridge-overlap graph H of $\mathcal{B} \cup \{B_1, B_2\}$. Since G is non-planar, Theorem 3 asserts that H is non-bipartite and thus contains an odd cycle. Since every edge in H is incident with B_1 or B_2 , this odd cycle is a triangle that consists of B_1, B_2 and a bridge $B \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

Lemma 24. *Let H be an XY -labelled planar graph that consists of an XY -labelled cycle C and a C -bridge B . Let $C[w_1, w_2]$ be a segment of C that contains all attachments of B . If C contains all labels of H and the graph with terminals corresponding to H is non-planar, then $C(w_1, w_2)$ contains both labels.*

Proof. Let G be the graph with terminals x, y corresponding to H . Let B_x and B_y be the C -bridges that contain x and y , respectively. Since C contains all labels of H , B_x and B_y are stars attached only to C . By Lemma 23, the bridges B , B_x and B_y pairwise overlap. Theorem 2 implies that, for each $z \in \{x, y\}$, either

- (i) there are disjoint crossing paths P_1 in B and P_2 in B_z , or
- (ii) the bridges B and B_z have three vertices of attachment in common.

Let Z be the label corresponding to the vertex z . When (i) holds, $C(w_1, w_2)$ contains one of the endvertices of P_2 and thus contains the label Z . When (ii) holds, each attachment of B is labelled Z . Since $C(w_1, w_2)$ contains at least one of the attachments of B , $C(w_1, w_2)$ contains the label Z . Therefore, $C(w_1, w_2)$ contains both labels X and Y as claimed. \square

Proof of Lemma 22. Let C be a boundary cycle of H and Π the corresponding planar embedding of H .

Suppose that the edge xy is present in G . By Lemma 19, either C contains the label sequence $XYXYXY$, and then H has HEXAGON as a minor, or there are five vertices in C with both labels, and then H has PENTAGON as a minor.

Therefore, we may assume that the edge xy is not present in G . Let us consider the C -bridges B_x and B_y in G that are the stars with centers x and y , respectively. We may assume that, in Π , C is the boundary of the infinite face. By Lemma 23, there is a C -bridge B such that B , B_x , and B_y pairwise overlap.

Let us first consider the case when C does not contain the label sequence $XYXYXY$. By Lemma 19, C contains five vertices with both labels. Let v_1, \dots, v_5 be the vertices with both labels. We may assume by symmetry that an attachment of B lies in $C(v_1, v_3)$. If there is an attachment of B in the segment $C(v_3, v_1)$, then H has ROCKET as a minor. Otherwise, all attachments of B are in the segment $C[v_1, v_3]$. Let S be the support of B in $C[v_1, v_3]$. By Lemma 24, the segment S (excluding the endvertices of S) contains both labels. Thus H has ROCKET as a minor.

Now, assume that C contains the label sequence $XYXYXY$ and let v_1, \dots, v_6 be the vertices manifesting that (so $X \in \lambda(v_1)$, $Y \in \lambda(v_2)$, etc.). Let w_1, \dots, w_k be the attachments of B . Note that $k \geq 2$. By symmetry, we may assume that w_1 lies in the segment $C(v_1, v_3)$.

If all attachments of B lie in $C[v_1, v_3]$, then the support S of B in $C[v_1, v_3]$ (excluding the endvertices of S) contains both labels by Lemma 24. Thus H has BULLET as a minor. Hence we may assume that not all attachments of B are in $C[v_1, v_3]$ and similarly in $C[v_2, v_4]$ and so on. If there is an attachment of B in the segment $C(v_4, v_6)$, then H has FROG as a minor. Hence we may assume that all attachments of B lie in the segment $C[v_6, v_4]$.

By using reflection symmetry exchanging v_1, v_3 and v_4, v_6 , since not all attachments of B are in $C[v_1, v_3]$, there is an attachment w_2 of B in the segment $C(v_3, v_4]$. By the same argument as above, there is no attachment of B in $C(v_6, v_2)$. Since not all attachments of B are in $C[v_2, v_4]$, the vertex v_6 is an attachment of B . We conclude that H has HIVE as a minor. \square

6 Connectivity 1

In this section, we describe all obstructions in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 that correspond to an XY -labelled graph of connectivity 1.

Lemma 25. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 such that G/xy is planar and let H be the XY -labelled graph corresponding to G . If H has connectivity 1, then H is one of the graphs in Fig. 8. Furthermore, $xy \notin E(G)$.*

The following observation is useful.

Lemma 26. *Let G be a graph and uvw be a triangle in G . If u has degree 3 in G , then every embedding of $G - vw$ into a surface can be extended into an embedding of G into the same surface.*

Proof. Let H be the graph obtained from $G - vw$ by subdividing the edge incident to u that is not in the triangle uvw . Then G is the graph obtained from H by applying a Δ -operation on u . The result follows. \square

For graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 , Lemma 26 has the following consequence.

Corollary 27. *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1$ and uvw be a triangle in G . If u has degree at most 3 in G , then u is a terminal.*

Proof. Since $G - vw \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1$, either $G - vw$ is planar or $G - vw$ is xy -alternating on \mathbb{S}_1 . By Lemma 26, the first outcome is not possible since then G would be planar. In the second case, Lemma 26 shows that the xy -alternating embedding of $G - vw$ can be extended into an embedding of G in \mathbb{S}_1 by

embedding vw along the path vuw . This extension would be xy -alternating if $u \notin \{x, y\}$. Thus, u is one of the terminals. \square

The next lemma will be used throughout the rest of the paper.

Lemma 28. *Let H be an XY -labelled graph that has distinct blocks B_1 and B_2 . Suppose that each of B_1 and B_2 contains both labels X and Y on vertices that do not belong to another block. Let G be the graph with terminals corresponding to H . If H is not 1-alternating, then G is non-planar.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that G is planar and take a planar embedding Π of G . If x and y are cofacial in Π , then Π gives a 1-alternating embedding of \widehat{H} . If x and y are not cofacial in Π , then there is a cycle C in H that separates x and y (since x and y lie inside different faces of the induced embedding of H). Since C is a cycle of H , it intersects either B_1 or B_2 in at most one vertex. Say, B_1 shares at most one vertex with C and is embedded on the other side of C than x is. By assumption, there is a vertex $v \in V(B_1) \setminus V(C)$ that is labelled X . Clearly, v and x are not cofacial in Π since they are separated by C . But v and x are adjacent and thus cofacial in Π , a contradiction. \square

Let C be a block in a graph G . The C -bridge set B_v at a vertex v of C is the union of all C -bridges in G that are attached to v . The following lemma asserts several properties of H and its labels and it is used to classify the graphs of connectivity 1 in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 .

Lemma 29. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 such that G/xy is planar and the corresponding XY -labelled graph H has connectivity 1. Then the following statements hold.*

- (S1) *Vertices of degree at most 2 in H are labelled. Leaves in H have both labels.*
- (S2) *If B is an endblock of H , and v is a cutvertex that separates B from the rest of H , then the graph $B - v$ contains both labels.*
- (S3) *Let M be a block of H that is not an edge and C a boundary cycle of M . Let B be the subgraph of M that consists of C -bridges in M . If B is non-empty, then $H - B^\circ$ is not 2-alternating.*
- (S4) *Each block of H is either an edge or a cycle.*

- (S5) *Let u be a vertex of degree 2 in H . If u has only one label, then the neighbors of u are not labelled by $\lambda(u)$. In particular, if P is a path in H such that each vertex of P has degree 2 in H , then either each vertex of P has both labels or each vertex of P has precisely one label that is different from the labels of its neighbors.*
- (S6) *The neighbor of a leaf in H is unlabelled.*
- (S7) *Let C be a cycle of H and T a C -bridge set that is a tree. If H consists of at least three blocks, then T contains at least two leaves of H .*
- (S8) *Let B be a block of H that is a triangle and v a vertex of B . If v is not a cutvertex, then it has both labels. Otherwise, both labels are attached to B at v .*

Proof. Each property is proved separately.

(S1): Vertex of degree 2 in H with no label would be a vertex of degree 2 in G . Similarly, a vertex of degree 1 with at most one label would be a vertex of degree at most 2 in G .

(S2): Let B be an endblock of H and $v \in V(B)$ the cutvertex that separates B from the rest of the graph. If B is an edge, then the result follows from (S1). Suppose for contradiction that $B - v$ does not contain the label Y . Since G/xy is planar, B is either a planar block of G or B is in an xv -bridge C of G such that $C + xv$ is planar. Corollary 20 asserts that this cannot happen in G .

(S3): Suppose B is non-empty and Π is a 2-alternating embedding of $\widehat{H - B^\circ}$ in the plane. Suppose that there is an edge e of $H - B^\circ$ with one end v in C . By construction of $H - B^\circ$, e lies in a different v -block B of H than C . By (S2), there is a vertex u in B labelled X . Thus there is a path P in $\widehat{H - B^\circ}$ that connects v_{xy} and v and is internally disjoint from C . It follows that e is embedded on the same side of C in Π as x and y . We conclude that C is a Π -face. By construction of C , Π can be extended to a 2-alternating embedding of \widehat{H} by embedding B inside C — a contradiction.

(S4): Let M be a block of H that is neither a cycle nor an edge. Let C be a boundary cycle of M and B the subgraph that consists of C -bridges in M . By (S3), $G - B^\circ$ is not xy -alternating on the torus. By (S2), $H - B^\circ$ contains two endblocks that contain both labels. By Lemma 28, $G - B^\circ$ is non-planar, a contradiction with $G - B^\circ \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1$.

(S5): By (S1), u is labelled, say by X . If v is a neighbor of u with label X , then u is a vertex of degree 3 in the triangle uvx which is not possible by Corollary 27 unless u is also labelled Y .

(S6): Let v be a leaf and u its neighbor. If u is labelled, say with label X , then v is a vertex of degree 3 in the triangle vxu which is not possible by Corollary 27.

(S7): Let C be a cycle and T be a C -bridge set that is a tree. Assume that H has at least 3 blocks and that T contains only one leaf. We see that T is a path and, by (S6) and (S1), it is a path of length 1. Contract T to C to get H' . Let G' be the graph corresponding to H' . By the choice of G , G' is either xy -alternating on the torus or planar. Since H either contains 3 endblocks or two disjoint endblocks, if G' is not xy -alternating on the torus, then Lemma 28 gives that G' is non-planar. Hence G' is xy -alternating on the torus. Let Π be a 2-alternating embedding of $\widehat{H'}$ in the plane. Uncontract T to get a 2-alternating embedding of \widehat{H} — a contradiction.

(S8): Let v be a vertex in a triangle C with at most one label. If v is not a cutvertex, then v has degree at most 3 in G . By Corollary 27, this is a contradiction. If v is a cutvertex, then there is a v -bridge B' that does not contain C . Since B' contains an endblock of H , (S2) implies that B' contains both labels. These labels are attached to B at v . \square

We use the structural properties from Lemma 29 to prove Lemma 25.

Proof of Lemma 25. Let G and H be as in the statement of the lemma. Our goal is to show that H has one of the graphs from Fig. 8 as a minor.

If H has at least five leaves, then all leaves are labelled X and Y , by (S1). Since H is connected, H has STAR as a minor. We assume henceforth that H has at most four leaves.

By (S4), every block of H that is not an edge is a cycle. We split the discussion according to the number of cycles in H .

Case 1: H is acyclic.

Suppose H has k leaves w_1, \dots, w_k , where $k \leq 4$. Let u_1, \dots, u_k be their neighbors (possibly not distinct). By (S6) and (S1), vertices u_i (where $i = 1, \dots, k$) have no labels and are of degree at least 3. By a counting argument, there are at most two such vertices in H . If there is only one vertex u of degree at least 3, H is a star with center u and thus H is a proper minor of STAR and hence G is in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 . Thus, there are two of them, say u_1




Figure 8: The XY -labelled graphs of connectivity 1 that correspond to graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 .

and u_2 , and they are connected by a path P . If P contains both labels X and Y , then H has SADDLE as a minor. If P contains at most one of the labels, say X , then the two pairs of leaves are covered by two Y -blocks and thus G is in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 by Lemma 18 — a contradiction.

Case 2: H has precisely one cycle C .

Since C is the only cycle in H , every C -bridge is a tree attached to a vertex of C . The proof is split according to the number of leaves of H . Note that H has at least one leaf since H is not 2-connected.

Subcase i: H has precisely four leaves.

If C is an endblock, then a single C -bridge set B_v contains all four leaves w_1, \dots, w_4 . By (S2), $C - v$ contains both labels. Therefore, H has STAR as a minor.

Otherwise, by (S7), there are precisely two non-trivial C -bridge sets B_{v_1} and B_{v_2} , and each contains two leaves. Hence each of $B_{v_1} - v_1$ and $B_{v_2} - v_2$ contains at most one vertex of degree 3 in H . When $B_{v_1} - v_1$ contains a vertex of degree 3, let u_1 be this vertex. Otherwise, let $u_1 = v_1$. Define u_2 similarly. Note that u_1 and u_2 are unlabelled by (S6) and (S1). If there is a path P in H connecting u_1 and u_2 and both labels X and Y appear on P , then H has SADDLE as a minor. Let P_1 and P_2 be the two paths in C connecting v_1 and v_2 . If P_1 contains X and P_2 contains Y (or vice versa), then H has RIBBON (or SADDLE) as a minor. Otherwise, there is a label missing from $H - \{w_i : i = 1, \dots, 4\}$, say X , so the leaves are covered by two X -blocks. Lemma 18 implies that $G \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1$, a contradiction.

Subcase ii: H has precisely three leaves.

By (S7), there is a single C -bridge set B_v that contains all three leaves. Suppose C is a triangle. By (S8), both vertices of C different from v have both labels and H contains STAR as a minor.

Suppose C has length at least 4. By (S5), $C - v$ contains the label sequence XYX or YXY . Thus H has TRIPOD as a minor.

Subcase iii: H has precisely two leaves.

By (S7), there is a single C -bridge set B_v that contains both leaves. Let u be a vertex of degree 3 in $B_v - v$ if there is one and let $u = v$ otherwise. Let P be the path from u to v , possibly of zero length.

Suppose C is a triangle. Again by (S8), both vertices of C different from v have both labels. If P contains both labels, then H has ALIEN as a minor (by (S6)). Thus P contains at most one label, say X , and then labels Y

are covered by two Y -blocks, one at the leaves and one on the triangle. By Lemma 18, $G \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1$, a contradiction.

Suppose C has length at least 4. If all vertices in $C - v$ have both labels, then H has FOUR as a minor. If $C - v$ contains the label sequence $XYXY$, then H has FIVE as a minor. Otherwise, (S5) implies that C has length 4 and $C - v$ form the label sequence YXY or XYX , say the former. If P contains X , then H has HUMAN as a minor. Otherwise, the labels X are covered by two X -blocks, one at the leaves and one covering the label X at C — a contradiction by Lemma 18.

Subcase iv: H has precisely 1 leaf.

Let w be this leaf and u its neighbor. By (S6) and (S1), u is unlabelled vertex of degree at least 3 and thus lies on C . If C has length at most 5, then H contains five vertices with both labels, by Lemma 19. Thus H is isomorphic to LOLLIPOP. If C has length at least 6 (and, then H has MIRROR as a minor, by (S5).

Case 3: H has (at least) two cycles, C_1 and C_2 .

Pick C_1 and C_2 such that, first, the distance between them is maximal and, second, their size is maximal. By (S4), C_1 and C_2 are blocks of H that share at most one vertex. Let P be a shortest path (possibly of zero length) joining vertices $v_1 \in V(C_1)$ and $v_2 \in V(C_2)$. Note that by the choice of C_1 and C_2 , all C_1 -bridges attached to $C_1 - v_1$ and all C_2 -bridges attached to $C_2 - v_2$ are trees.

Subcase i: C_1 and C_2 are triangles.

Suppose there is more than one C_1 -bridge at v_1 and let B be a C_1 -bridge at v_1 not containing P . By (S2), B contains both labels. By (S8), all vertices of $C_1 - v_1$ and $C_2 - v_2$ have both labels attached. Thus H has STAR as a minor. So we may assume that there is only one C_1 -bridge attached at v_1 . Similarly, there is only one C_2 -bridge attached at v_2 .

If there is a C_1 -bridge attached to a vertex v of $C_1 - v_1$, then the C_1 -bridge set at v is a tree containing at least two leaves by (S7). This implies that H has STAR as a minor. Thus there are no C_1 -bridges attached to $C_1 - v_1$. The same holds for C_2 by symmetry.

If the component M of $H - E(C_1) - E(C_2)$ containing P has both labels, then H has BOWTIE as a minor. Suppose to the contrary that M has at most one label, say X . Since there are no other bridges attached to C_1 and C_2 , the Y -labelled vertices of H are covered by two Y -blocks, a contradiction by Lemma 18.

Subcase ii: C_1 is a triangle and C_2 has length at least 4.

If H contains four leaves, then it is not difficult to check that H has STAR as a minor. Hence there is at most one non-trivial bridge set attached to $C_1 - v_1$ or $C_2 - v_2$ (by (S7)). Suppose that there is a C_2 -bridge set B attached to a vertex v in $C_2 - v_2$. By (S7), B contains at least two leaves. If B contains three leaves, then H has STAR as minor. Therefore, B has precisely two leaves w_1, w_2 . Let M be the component of $H - E(C_1) - w_1 - w_2$ containing P . By using (S6), it is easy to see that, if M contains both labels, then H has ALIEN as a minor. Otherwise, M has at most one label, say X . Thus labels Y are covered by two Y -blocks, one at $C_1 - v_1$ and the other at w_1, w_2 . A contradiction by Lemma 18.

Therefore, there is no C_2 -bridge attached to $C_2 - v_2$. By (S5), $C_2 - v_2$ either contains the sequence YXY or XYX , say the former. Suppose there is a C_1 -bridge B attached at $C_1 - v_1$. By (S7), B has at least two leaves. Hence H has TRIPOD as a minor. Therefore, there is no C_1 -bridge attached at $C_1 - v_1$ and both vertices in $C_1 - v_1$ have both labels.

Let M be the component of $H - E(C_1) - E(C_2)$ containing P . If M contains X , then H has DOLL as a minor. Hence M contains at most one label, Y . If C_2 has length at least 5, then $C_2 - v_2$ contains the label sequence $XYXY$ by (S5). It follows that H has FIVE as a minor. Thus C_2 has length 4. If all vertices in $C_2 - v_2$ contain both labels, then H has FOUR as a minor. Otherwise, the labels X at $C_2 - v_2$ can be covered by an X -block. Since all other labels X are at $C_1 - v_1$ covered by one X -block, Lemma 18 implies that $G \in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1$, a contradiction.

Subcase iii: Both C_1 and C_2 have length at least 4.

By (S7), every bridge set attached to $C_1 - v_1$ and $C_2 - v_2$ contains at least two leaves. Suppose there are non-trivial bridge sets B_1 attached to $C_1 - v_1$ and B_2 attached to $C_2 - v_2$, respectively. Since H contains at most four leaves, B_1 contains two leaves w_1, w_2 and B_2 contains two leaves w_3, w_4 . If $M = H - \{w_i: i = 1, \dots, 4\}$ contains both labels, then H has SADDLE as a minor. Otherwise, M has at most one label, say X . Hence all Y labels are at the leaves and can be covered by two Y -blocks. A contradiction by Lemma 18. If there are two non-trivial bridge sets B_1, B_2 attached to one of the cycles, say to C_1 , then B_1 and B_2 contain together four leaves. By (S2), there are both labels attached to a vertex of $C_2 - v_2$. Hence H has STAR as a minor.

Therefore, there is at most one non-trivial bridge set attached to $C_1 - v_1$

and $C_2 - v_2$. Suppose there is a C_1 -bridge set B attached to a vertex v in $C_1 - v_1$. By (S5), $C_2 - v_2$ contains the label sequence YXY or XYX , say the former. If B contains at least three leaves, then H has TRIPOD as a minor. By (S7), B has precisely two leaves w_1, w_2 . If C_2 has length at least 5, then C_2 contains the sequence $XYXY$, by (S5). Hence H has FIVE as a minor. If C_2 contains three vertices with both labels, then H has FOUR as a minor. If $H - w_1 - w_2 - (C_2 - v_2)$ contains label X , then H has HUMAN as a minor. Otherwise, the X labels at $C_2 - v_2$ can be covered by a single X block and all other X labels are at w_1, w_2 which are covered by a second X block. By Lemma 18, H is 2-alternating, a contradiction.

By symmetry of C_1 and C_2 , we conclude that there are no non-trivial bridge sets attached to $C_1 - v_1$ and $C_2 - v_2$. By (S5), $C_2 - v_2$ contains the label sequence YXY or XYX , say the former. By (S5), $C_1 - v_1$ contains the label sequence YXY or XYX . If $C_1 - v_1$ contains the sequence XYX , then H has PINCH as a minor. Thus $C_1 - v_1$ contains the sequence YXY . If $C_2 - v_2$ contains the sequence XYX , then H has PINCH as a minor. Let M be the component of $H - E(C_1) - E(C_2)$ that contains P . If M contains label X , then H has EXTRA as a minor. Otherwise, the labels X can be covered by two X -blocks, one at $C_1 - v_1$ and one at $C_2 - v_2$. A contradiction by Lemma 18. \square

7 The main theorem

The previous lemmas give rise to the following theorem.

Theorem 30. *Let G be a graph in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *G is a split of a Kuratowski graph with x and y being the two vertices resulting after the split (see Fig. 3) or G is a Kuratowski graph plus one or two isolated vertices that are terminals.*
- (ii) *G is an xy -sum of two Kuratowski graphs (see Fig. 4).*
- (iii) *G corresponds to one of the XY -labelled graphs in Fig. 6, 7, or 8.*

Proof. By Lemma 17, either (i) holds or G/xy is planar. In the latter case, let H be the XY -labelled graph that corresponds to G . We will now show that H contains one of these graphs as a minor. If H is disconnected, then (ii) holds by Lemma 21. If H is 2-connected, then H is one of the graphs




Figure 9: An embedding of a 3-alternating graph in the torus.

in Fig. 6 or 7 by Lemma 22. Otherwise, H is one of the graphs in Fig. 8 by Lemma 25.

It is easy to see that none of the graphs in (i)–(iii) contains another one as a minor. Thus, in order to prove that each of them is in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 , it suffices to see that they are not in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 . This is clear for (i) since the graphs in (i) are non-planar after identifying x and y . Similarly, graphs in (ii) cannot be in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 since they do not have an embedding in the projective plane. Finally, graphs in (iii) are not in \mathcal{A}_{xy}^1 since their corresponding XY -labelled graphs are not 2-alternating. \square

Note that the edge xy is present in a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1$ if and only if $G - xy$ is planar. There are only five graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 with the edge xy , the three splits of Kuratowski graphs (see Fig. 3) and the two graphs in Fig. 6.

Corollary 31. *All graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 embed into the torus.*

Proof. By Theorem 30, graphs in \mathcal{F}_{xy}^1 are of three types, (i)–(iii). For graphs in (i) and (ii), embeddings in the torus are easily constructed. The graphs in (iii) are 3-alternating and thus have a planar embedding with three X -blocks covering the X -labels. This embedding can be extended to an embedding in the torus by adding a single handle; see Fig. 9 where the X -blocks are shown as thick intervals on the boundary of the planar part (and Y -blocks are shown by thick broken line). \square

References

- [1] D. Archdeacon. A Kuratowski theorem for the projective plane. *J. Graph Theory*, 5(3):243–246, 1981.
- [2] D. Archdeacon and P. Huneke. A Kuratowski theorem for nonorientable surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 46(2):173–231, 1989.
- [3] J. Battle, F. Harary, Y. Kodama, and J. W. T. Youngs. Additivity of the genus of a graph. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 68:565–568, 1962.
- [4] R. W. Decker, H. H. Glover, and J. P. Huneke. The genus of the 2-amalgamations of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 5(1):95–102, 1981.
- [5] R. W. Decker, H. H. Glover, and J. P. Huneke. Computing the genus of the 2-amalgamations of graphs. *Combinatorica*, 5:271–282, September 1985.
- [6] A. Gagarin, W. Myrvold, and J. Chambers. The obstructions for toroidal graphs with no $K_{3,3}$'s. *Discrete Math.*, 309(11):3625–3631, 2009.
- [7] M. Juvan, J. Marinček, and B. Mohar. Elimination of local bridges. *Math. Slovaca*, 47:85–92, 1997.
- [8] K. Kuratowski. Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie. *Fund. Math.*, 15:271–283, 1930.
- [9] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs on Surfaces*. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001.
- [10] B. Mohar and P. Škoda. Low-connectivity obstructions for embedding graphs into surfaces. *In preparation*.
- [11] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. VIII. A Kuratowski theorem for general surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 48:255–288, April 1990.
- [12] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. XX. Wagner's conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 92:325–357, November 2004.