

ORBIT CLOSURES OF REPRESENTATIONS OF SOURCE-SINK DYNKIN QUIVERS

KAVITA SUTAR

ABSTRACT. We use the geometric technique described in [Wey03, Sut11] to calculate the resolution of orbit closures of representations of Dynkin quivers with every vertex being source or sink. We use this resolution to derive the normality of such orbit closures. As a consequence we obtain the normality of certain orbit closures of type E .

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix an algebraically closed field K . A *quiver* is a pair $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ where Q_0 is a set of vertices and Q_1 is a set of arrows. We denote by \hat{Q} the underlying graph of a quiver Q . We use the notation $ta \xrightarrow{a} ha$ for arrows in Q . A *source-sink quiver* will mean a quiver with every vertex being either a source or a sink.

A *representation* $((V_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (V(a))_{a \in Q_1})$ of Q is an assignment of finite dimensional K -vector spaces V_i to every vertex $i \in Q_0$ and K -linear maps $V_{ta} \xrightarrow{V(a)} V_{ha}$ to every arrow $a \in Q_1$. The *dimension vector* of a representation $((V_x)_{x \in Q_0}, (V(a))_{a \in Q_1})$ is defined as the function $\underline{d} : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\underline{d}(x) = \dim V_x$. The Euler form E_Q of a quiver Q is a quadratic map $E_Q : \mathbb{Z}^{|Q_0|} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$(\alpha_i)_{i \in Q_0} \mapsto \sum_{x \in Q_0} \alpha_x^2 - \sum_{a \in Q_1} \alpha_{ta} \alpha_{ha}$$

Given two representations $V = ((V_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (V(a))_{a \in Q_1})$ and $W = ((W_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (W(a))_{a \in Q_1})$ of Q , a morphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a collection of K -linear maps $\phi_i : V_i \rightarrow W_i$ such that for every $a \in Q_1$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{ta} & \xrightarrow{V(a)} & V_{ha} \\ \downarrow \phi_{ta} & & \downarrow \phi_{ha} \\ W_{ta} & \xrightarrow{W(a)} & W_{ha} \end{array}$$

commutes.

With this definition of morphisms, the collection of all representations of a quiver Q (over K) forms a category which we denote by $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$. Given a quiver Q , one can define its path algebra KQ as the K -algebra generated by the paths in Q . It is known that KQ is an associative algebra and is finite dimensional if and only if Q is finite and has no oriented cycles. An important result in the theory of representation theory of associative algebras asserts that for Q being a finite, connected, acyclic quiver, there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod } KQ$ and $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$ (refer [ASS06] for details).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M05, 14M12, 14M17, 16G20, 16G70, 14B05, 14L30.

Key words and phrases. Dynkin quiver, Orbit closures, Quiver representations, Normality, Euler form.

The *representation space* $Rep(Q, \underline{d})$ of a quiver Q is the collection of all representations of Q of fixed dimension vector \underline{d} . We view $Rep(Q, \underline{d})$ as the set $\prod_{a \in Q_1} \text{Hom}(K^{d_{ta}}, K^{d_{ha}})$. Thus, $Rep(Q, \underline{d})$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space with an affine structure. The algebraic group $\prod_{x \in Q_0} GL_{d(x)}(K)$ acts on $Rep(Q, \underline{d})$ with action given by

$$((g_x)_{x \in Q_0}, V) \longmapsto (g_{ha} V(a) g_{ta}^{-1})_{a \in Q_1}$$

For $V \in Rep(Q, \underline{d})$, let \overline{O}_V denote the closure of an orbit O_V . Then \overline{O}_V is a subvariety of $Rep(Q, \underline{d})$. These varieties are in some sense a generalization of determinantal varieties.

In this paper we calculate a minimal free resolution of such varieties. The method is a generalization of Lascoux's calculation of the resolutions of determinantal varieties [Las78]. Using this resolution we draw conclusions about geometric properties like normality, rational singularities etc. of the orbit closures. The study of these geometric properties has been an interesting field of research during the past decade [Zwa11]. The question of normality of \overline{O}_V in the case of Dynkin quivers of type A and D has been investigated by Abeasis, Del Fra and Kraft [ADFK81], Bobinski-Zwara [BZ02, BZ01] and Lakshmibai-Magyar [LM98]. The question of normality for orbit closures corresponding to Dynkin quivers of type E is open. We obtain a result (Corollary 3.4) which answers this question for a class of orbit closures corresponding to source-sink Dynkin quivers.

Section 2 contains some preliminaries. We present the main results in Section 3 and some examples of the calculation in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The geometric technique. We briefly sketch the geometric technique used for our calculations. For details we refer to [Wey03] (Chapter 5).

Let X be affine space of dimension N and $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety. Let \mathcal{V} be a projective space of dimension m . Then $X \times \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{V}$ is the trivial vector bundle of rank N , we denote it by \mathcal{E} . Suppose $Z \subset X \times \mathcal{V}$ such that Z is the total space of a subbundle \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{E} . Let $q : X \times \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{q} X$ be the first projection. The Koszul complex resolving the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Z of Z can be used to calculate a complex F_\bullet . If Z is a desingularization of Y then the module $q_*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is the normalization of $K[Y]$. Under additional conditions, F_\bullet gives a minimal free resolution of the coordinate ring of the normalization \hat{Y} of Y .

Consider the exact sequence of vector bundles over \mathcal{V}

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

Let $\xi = \mathcal{T}^*$. The Koszul complex

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi)_\bullet : 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^t(p^*\xi) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigwedge^2(p^*\xi) \rightarrow p^*\xi \rightarrow 0$$

resolves the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Z as $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{V}}$ -module. The complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet is obtained as a pushforward of the above Koszul complex and the terms of \mathbf{F}_\bullet are calculated using Theorem 2.1 and Bott's algorithm.

Theorem 2.1. (*Basic theorem* [Wey03]) *The terms of the complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet are given by*

$$\mathbf{F}_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H^j(\mathcal{V}, \bigwedge^{i+j} \xi) \otimes A[-i-j]$$

The following theorem tells us how we can use the complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet to draw conclusions about the varieties in question.

Theorem 2.2. [Wey03] *With notation as above,*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{F}_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ then \mathbf{F}_\bullet is a finite free resolution of the normalization of $K[Y]$.*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{F}_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $\mathbf{F}_0 = A$ then the variety Y is normal and has rational singularities.*

2.2. Desingularization. To calculate the complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet in our case we consider a desingularization Z of an orbit closure \overline{O}_V given by Reineke's construction [Rei03]. We describe this construction briefly here.

Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and let $AR(Q)$ denote its corresponding Auslander-Reiten quiver. Let \mathcal{I} be a partition of the Auslander-Reiten quiver satisfying:

- (1) $Ext_Q^1(X_\alpha, X_\beta) = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_t$ for $t = 1, \dots, s$.
- (2) $Hom_Q(X_\beta, X_\alpha) = 0 = Ext_Q^1(X_\alpha, X_\beta)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_t, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_u, t < u$

Such a partition of $AR(Q)$ exists because the category of finite-dimensional representations is directed; in particular, we can choose a sectional tilting module and let \mathcal{I}_t be its Coxeter translates. We fix a partition \mathcal{I}_* of $AR(Q)$. Then the indecomposable representations X_α are the vertices of $AR(Q)$. For a representation $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} m_\alpha X_\alpha$, we define representations

$$V_{(t)} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_t} m_\alpha X_\alpha, \quad t = 1, \dots, s$$

Then $V = V_{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{(s)}$. Let $\underline{d}_t = \dim V_{(t)}$. We consider the incidence variety

$$Z_{\mathcal{I}_*, V} \subset \prod_{x \in Q_0} Flag(d_s(x), d_{s-1}(x) + d_s(x), \dots, d_2(x) + \dots + d_s(x), K^{d(x)}) \times Rep_K(Q, \underline{d})$$

defined as

$$(1) \quad Z_{\mathcal{I}_*, V} = \{((R_s(x) \subset R_{s-1}(x) \subset \dots \subset R_2(x) \subset K^{d(x)}), V) \mid \forall a \in Q_1, \forall t, V_a(R_t(ta)) \subset R_t(ha)\}$$

Theorem 2.3. (Reineke [Rei03]) *Let Q be a Dynkin quiver, \mathcal{I}_* a directed partition of R^+ . Then the second projection*

$$q : Z_{\mathcal{I}_*, V} \longrightarrow Rep_K(Q, \underline{d})$$

makes $Z_{\mathcal{I}_, V}$ a desingularization of the orbit closure \overline{O}_V . More precisely, the image of q equals \overline{O}_V and q is a proper birational isomorphism of $Z_{\mathcal{I}_*, V}$ and \overline{O}_V .*

In this case we say that $Z = Z_{\mathcal{I}_*, V}$ is a $(s - 1)$ -step desingularization. For our calculations, we restrict to orbit closures admitting a 1-step desingularization. Then the vector bundle ξ is

$$(2) \quad \xi = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} \mathcal{R}_{ta} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{ha}^*$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^t \xi &= \bigoplus_{\sum_{a \in Q_1} k_a = t} \bigwedge^{k_a} (\mathcal{R}_{ta} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{ha}^*) \\
&= \bigoplus_{\sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| = t} \left[\bigotimes_{a \in Q_1} S_{\lambda(a)} \mathcal{R}_{ta} \otimes S_{\lambda(a)'} \mathcal{Q}_{ha}^* \right] \quad (\text{by Cauchy's formula}) \\
(3) \quad &= \bigoplus_{\sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| = t} \bigotimes_{x \in Q_0} \left[\bigotimes_{a \in Q_1 | ta = x} S_{\lambda(a)} \mathcal{R}_x \otimes \bigotimes_{a \in Q_1 | ha = x} S_{\lambda(a)'} \mathcal{Q}_x^* \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The term in (3) is a vector bundle over \mathcal{V} to which we associate a weight in the following manner. First some notation: if x is a vertex with more than one incoming or outgoing vertices then the corresponding term in the right hand side of Equation (3) is calculated using the Littlewood-Richardson rule. In such cases we will use the shorthand notation $\lambda(a_1 a_2)$ to denote a Young tableau occurring in the Littlewood-Richardson product of Young tableaux $\lambda(a_1)$ and $\lambda(a_2)$. So for example, if the arrows a_1, a_2, a_3 are all the outgoing arrows from source x , then a summand of $S_{\lambda(a_1)} R_x \otimes S_{\lambda(a_2)} R_x \otimes S_{\lambda(a_3)} R_x$ will be denoted by $S_{\lambda(a_1 a_2 a_3)} R_x$.

Also we use notation $-\lambda$ for the non-increasing sequence consisting of terms λ written with a minus sign and in reverse order (for example if $\lambda = (3 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1)$, then $-\lambda = (-1 \ -2 \ -3 \ -3)$). With this notation we can describe the associated weight as follows: for $x \in Q_0$ let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be all the outgoing arrows and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l be all the incoming arrows at x . Then the weight associated to the summand corresponding to vertex x in Equation (3) is

$$\underbrace{(-\lambda(b_1 b_2 \dots b_l))'}_{\dim Q_x}, \quad \underbrace{\lambda(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)}_{\dim R_x}$$

We apply Bott's algorithm to these weights to calculate the terms in Theorem 2.1.

We remark that we can calculate the complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet starting with an incidence variety $Z(\beta \subset \alpha)$ introduced by Schofield in [Sch92]. These are defined as follows. Let $X = \text{Rep}(Q, \beta + \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \prod_{x \in Q_0} \text{Gr}(\beta_x, \beta_x + \gamma_x)$.

$$Z(Q, \beta \subset \beta + \gamma) \subset \text{Rep}(Q, \beta + \gamma) \times \prod_{x \in Q_0} \text{Gr}(\beta_x, \beta_x + \gamma_x)$$

is defined as the collection of quiver representations of dimension vector $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ together with a subrepresentation of dimension vector β . Thus

$$Z(Q, \beta \subset \beta + \gamma) = \{(V, R) \in \text{Rep}(Q, \beta + \gamma) \times \prod_{x \in Q_0} \text{Gr}(\beta_x, \beta_x + \gamma_x) \mid \forall a \in Q_1, V_a(R_{ta}) \subset R_{ha}\}$$

In the case of Dynkin quivers, the variety $Y = q(Z(Q, \beta \subset \beta + \gamma))$ is an orbit closure: Z is irreducible implies Y is irreducible and since there are only finitely many orbits in case of Dynkin quivers, we have that Y must be an orbit closure. In general however, it is not known whether Y is an orbit closure.

3. MAIN RESULTS

First we have some results involving Young tableaux.

Notation: A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers. The Young diagram corresponding to partition λ consists of λ_i boxes in the i th row.

The conjugate partition λ' is the partition $(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_m)$ where λ'_j is the number of boxes in the j th column. We will denote the last row of a Young tableau λ by λ_{last} .

The following lemma is an easy exercise in counting boxes-

Lemma 3.1. *Let λ be a Young tableau. Then for all a and b ,*

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_a \leq ab + (\lambda'_{b+1} + \dots + \lambda'_{last}).$$

Proof: We consider three cases:

Case (1) $\lambda'_{b+1} = a$. Then

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_a = ab + \lambda'_{b+1} + \dots + \lambda'_{last}$$

Case (2) $\lambda'_{b+1} > a$. In this case $\lambda'_{b+1}, \lambda'_{b+2}, \dots, \lambda'_{last}$ contribute more boxes so that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_a \leq ab + \lambda'_{b+1} + \dots + \lambda'_{last}$$

Case (3) $\lambda'_{b+1} < a$. Here the rectangle ab contributes more boxes, so that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_a \leq ab + \lambda'_{b+1} + \dots + \lambda'_{last}$$

□

By symmetry we also have for all a and b :

$$(4) \quad \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 + \dots + \lambda'_a \leq ab + (\lambda_{b+1} + \dots + \lambda_{last})$$

The next lemma is one of the well known Horn-type inequalities for triples of partitions [Ful98].

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose ν is one of the partitions occuring in Littlewood-Richardson product of λ and μ . Then*

$$\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_k \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k) + (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k).$$

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be an source-sink Dynkin quiver. Fix a representation V of Q . Let $(\lambda(a))_{a \in Q_1}$ be a $|Q_1|$ -tuple of partitions. Consider the variety Z obtained as a 1-step desingularization of \overline{O}_V . When calculating the resolution \mathbf{F}_\bullet of $q_*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ we are concerned with the difference

$$D(\underline{\lambda}) := \sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| - N$$

where N is the total number of Bott exchanges required in the process of obtaining a partition from the weights described below. Our main theorem is an inequality involving the above difference and E_Q . It is a generalization of the inequality obtained for $D(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ in [Sut11, Proposition 4.4].

Let $Q' \subset Q_0$ be the set of all source vertices and $Q'' \subset Q_0$ be the set of all sink vertices. Let $\lambda(a)$ be a non-increasing sequence associated to every arrow $a \in Q_1$. With this notation, the exterior power $\bigwedge^t \xi$ in Equation (3) can be viewed as

$$(5) \quad \bigwedge^t \xi = \bigoplus_{\sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| = t} \left[\left(\bigotimes_{x \in Q'} \bigotimes_{a \in Q_1 | ta=x} S_{\lambda(a)} \mathcal{R}_x \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{x \in Q''} \bigotimes_{a \in Q_1 | ha=x} S_{\lambda(a)} \mathcal{Q}_x^* \right) \right]$$

Thus we have one summand for every $|Q_1|$ -tuple of non-increasing sequences $(\lambda(a))_{a \in Q_1}$. It will be useful to let this tuple of partitions also stand for the summand it corresponds to.

If x is a vertex with more than one incoming or outgoing vertices then the corresponding term in the right hand side of Equation (5) is calculated using the Littlewood-Richardson rule for tensor products. Recall that we use the notation $\lambda(a_1 a_2)$ to denote a Young tableau occurring in the Littlewood-Richardson product of Young tableaux $\lambda(a_1)$ and $\lambda(a_2)$.

To calculate the resolution \mathbf{F}_\bullet we associate a weight to each summand of $\bigwedge^t \xi$. Each summand consists of tensor products of terms of the form $S_{\lambda(-)}R_x$ (for $x \in Q'$) and $S_{\lambda(-)}Q_x^*$ (for $x \in Q''$). If $x \in Q'$ the associated sequence is $(0^{\gamma_x}, \lambda(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k))$ where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k are all the outgoing arrows at x ; if $x \in Q''$, the sequence is $(-\lambda(b_1 b_2 \dots b_l)', 0^{\beta_x})$ where b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l are all the incoming arrows at x . We can now state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *With notation as above,*

$$D(\underline{\lambda}) \geq E_Q$$

Proof: To calculate $D(\underline{\lambda})$ we apply Bott's algorithm to the weights described above and count the total number of exchanges N . There is one weight associated to every vertex; let N_x denote the number of Bott exchanges at vertex x .

If x is a source, the weight at x is of the form $(0^{\gamma_x}, \lambda(I_x))$ where $I_x = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k}$ such that $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k}$ are all the arrows incident at x . Then $N_x = \gamma_x u_x$ where u_x is the largest number such that $\lambda(I)_{u_x} - \gamma_x \geq u_x$.

Similarly, if y is a sink, then weight at y is of the form $(-\lambda(J_y)', 0^{\beta_y})$, where $J_y = b_{j_1} b_{j_2} \dots b_{j_l}$ such that $b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, \dots, b_{j_l}$ are all the arrows incident at y . In this case $N_y = \beta_y u_y$ where u_y is the largest number such that $-\lambda(J)_{u_y} + \beta_y \leq u_y$. Thus

$$(6) \quad N = \sum_{x \in Q'} N_x + \sum_{y \in Q''} N_y = \sum_{x \in Q'} \gamma_x u_x + \sum_{y \in Q''} \beta_y u_y$$

Note that if u_x is the largest number such that $\lambda(I)_{u_x} - \gamma_x \geq u_x$ then

$$\lambda(I_x)_1 \geq \lambda(I_x)_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda(I_x)_{u_x} \geq \gamma_x + u_x$$

implies

$$(7) \quad \lambda(I_x)_1 + \lambda(I_x)_2 + \dots + \lambda(I_x)_{u_x} \geq u_x(\gamma_x + u_x) = u_x^2 + \gamma_x u_x$$

For similar reasons we have

$$(8) \quad \lambda(J_y)'_1 + \lambda(J_y)'_2 + \dots + \lambda(J_y)'_{u_y} \geq u_y(\beta_y + u_y) = u_y^2 + \beta_y u_y$$

On the other hand we have by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\lambda(I_x)_1 + \dots + \lambda(I_x)_{u_x} \leq \sum_{\substack{a_{i_k} \\ x \rightarrow}} (\lambda(a_{i_k})_1 + \dots + \lambda(a_{i_k})_{u_x})$$

Combining this with Inequality (7) gives

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{a_{i_k} \\ x \rightarrow}} (\lambda(a_{i_k})_1 + \dots + \lambda(a_{i_k})_{u_x}) \geq u_x^2 + \gamma_x u_x$$

for every pair (x, I_x) with $x \in Q'$.

Similarly

$$\lambda(J_y)'_1 + \dots + \lambda(J_y)'_{u_y} \leq \sum_{\substack{b_{j_k} \\ \rightarrow y}} (\lambda(b_{j_k})_1 + \dots + \lambda(b_{j_k})_{u_y})$$

together with Inequality (8) implies

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{b_{jk} \\ \xrightarrow{y}}} (\lambda(b_{jk})_1 + \cdots + \lambda(b_{jk})_{u_y}) \geq u_y^2 + \beta_y u_y$$

for every pair (y, J_y) with $y \in Q''$.

Using Lemma 3.1 we get a further upper bound on the right hand side terms of Inequality (10): if b_{jk} is an arrow from x_k to y then

$$u_{x_k} u_y + \lambda(b_{jk})_{u_{x_k}+1} + \lambda(b_{jk})_{u_{x_k}+2} + \cdots + \lambda(b_{jk})_{last} \geq \lambda(b_{jk})'_1 + \cdots + \lambda(b_{jk})'_{u_y}$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$. So for every pair (y, J_y) we get inequalities

$$(11) \quad \sum_{\substack{b_{jk} \\ x_k \xrightarrow{y}}} (u_{x_k} u_y + \lambda(b_{jk})_{u_{x_k}+1} + \lambda(b_{jk})_{u_{x_k}+2} + \cdots + \lambda(b_{jk})_{last}) \geq u_y^2 + \beta_y u_y$$

Adding the inequalities in (9) and (11) for all pairs $(x, I_x)_{x \in Q'}$ and $(y, I_y)_{y \in Q''}$, we get

$$(12) \quad \sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| + \sum_{x \xrightarrow{a} y} u_x u_y \geq \sum_{x \in Q'} (u_x^2 + \gamma_x u_x) + \sum_{y \in Q''} (u_y^2 + \beta_y u_y) = \sum_{x \in Q_0} u_x^2 - N$$

which means

$$(13) \quad \sum_{a \in Q_1} |\lambda(a)| - N \geq \sum_{x \in Q_0} u_x^2 - \sum_{x \xrightarrow{a} y} u_x u_y$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with source-sink orientation, V be a representation of Q such that the orbit closure \overline{O}_V admits a 1-step desingularization Z . Then \overline{O}_V is normal and has rational singularities.*

Proof: Q is Dynkin implies $E_Q > 0$. Theorem 3.3 implies that the terms \mathbf{F}_i of the resolution \mathbf{F}_\bullet are zero for $i < 0$ and $F_0 = A$. By Theorem 2.2 it follows that the orbit closure is normal and has rational singularities. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let Q be an extended Dynkin quiver with source-sink orientation. If V is a representation of Q such that the orbit closure \overline{O}_V admits a 1-step desingularization Z then \mathbf{F}_\bullet is a minimal free resolution of the normalization of \overline{O}_V .*

Proof: If Q is extended Dynkin, then $E_Q \geq 0$. This implies $\mathbf{F}_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. The result then follows from Theorem 3.3. □

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1. *Consider $Q = A_4$ with orientation as in the figure below. Let V be the direct*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \longleftarrow & 2 & \longrightarrow & 3 & \longleftarrow & 4 \\ & & \lambda(1) & & \lambda(2) & & \lambda(3) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. A_4

sum of indecomposables with dimension vectors $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 1, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 1)$,

$(0, 1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 0, 0)$. V admits a 1-step desingularization with dimension vectors $\alpha = (4, 4, 5, 2)$ and $\beta = (2, 3, 2, 1)$. The coordinate ring of $\text{Rep}(Q, (4, 4, 5, 2))$ is

$$A = \text{Sym}(V_2 \otimes V_1^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_2 \otimes V_3^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_4 \otimes V_3^*)$$

Let R_i denote the subspace of V_i of dimension β_i and let $Q_i := V_i/R_i$. Then

$$\xi = R_2 \otimes Q_1^* \oplus R_2 \otimes Q_3^* \oplus R_4 \otimes Q_3^*$$

$$\bigwedge^t \xi = \bigoplus_{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\lambda(i)|=t} S_{\lambda(1)} Q_1^* \otimes S_{\lambda(2)} R_2 \otimes S_{\lambda(23)} Q_3^* \otimes S_{\lambda(3)} R_4$$

The resolution of \overline{O}_V is-

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \uparrow \\ (\wedge^4 V_2 \otimes \wedge^4 V_3^* \otimes A(-7)) \oplus (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^4 V_2 \otimes A(-7)) \\ (\wedge^3 V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-9)) \oplus (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{2221} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-17)) \\ \uparrow \\ (S_{2111} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes A(-8)) \oplus (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{2222} V_2 \otimes \wedge^4 V_3^* \otimes A(-14)) \\ (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{3222} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \uparrow \\ (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{3222} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{2222} V_2 \otimes S_{21111} V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-17)) \\ \oplus (S_{2222} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-17)) \\ \uparrow \\ \wedge^4 V_1^* \otimes S_{3333} V_2^* \otimes S_{22222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-24) \end{array}$$

Example 4.2. Let $Q = D_5$ with the following orientation




FIGURE 2. D_5

Let V be the direct sum of indecomposables with dimension vectors $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$, $(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. V admits a 1-step desingularization with dimension vectors $\alpha = (3, 3, 5, 2, 3)$ and $\beta = (1, 2, 3, 2, 2)$. Then

$$A = \text{Sym}(V_2 \otimes V_1^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_2 \otimes V_3^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_4 \otimes V_3^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_5 \otimes V_3^*)$$

Let R_i denote the subspace of V_i of dimension β_i and let $Q_i := V_i/R_i$. Then

$$\xi = R_2 \otimes Q_1^* \oplus R_2 \otimes Q_3^* \oplus R_4 \otimes Q_3^* \oplus R_5 \otimes Q_3^*$$

$$\bigwedge^t \xi = \bigoplus_{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\lambda(i)|=t} S_{\lambda(1)'} Q_1^* \otimes S_{\lambda(2)} R_2 \otimes S_{\lambda(234)'} Q_3^* \otimes S_{\lambda(3)} R_4 \otimes S_{\lambda(4)} R_5$$

The resolution of \overline{O}_V is-

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \uparrow \\ (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^3 V_2 \otimes A(-5)) \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-9)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-9)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^3 V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^1 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-13)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^3 V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^2 V_5 \otimes A(-13)) \\ \uparrow \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^3 V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-14)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{211} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^1 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-14)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{211} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^2 V_5 \otimes A(-14)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{222} V_2 \otimes \wedge^5 V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes A(-14)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes \wedge^3 V_2 \otimes S_{21111} V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-14)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-18)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes S_{222} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{21} V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-22)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes S_{222} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^2 V_5 \otimes A(-22)) \\ \uparrow \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{211} V_2 \otimes S_{21111} V_3^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-15)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^2 V_1^* \otimes S_{222} V_2 \otimes S_{32222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-23)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{322} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^2 V_5 \otimes A(-23)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{322} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{21} V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-23)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{222} V_2 \otimes S_{22222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^3 V_5 \otimes A(-23)) \\ \uparrow \\ (\wedge^3 V_1^* \otimes S_{322} V_2 \otimes S_{32222} V_3^* \otimes S_{22} V_4 \otimes \wedge^2 V_5 \otimes A(-24)) \end{array}$$

Example 4.3. $Q = E_6$ with the orientation




FIGURE 3. E_6

Let $V = I_1 \oplus I_2$ where I_1 and I_2 are the indecomposable representations with dimension vectors $(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 1)$ respectively. Then V admits a 1-step desingularization with dimension vectors $\alpha = \underline{\dim} V = (1, 3, 4, 3, 1, 2)$ and $\beta = (1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 1)$.

$$A = \text{Sym}(V_1 \otimes V_2^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_3 \otimes V_2^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_3 \otimes V_4^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_3 \otimes V_6^*) \oplus \text{Sym}(V_5 \otimes V_4^*)$$

$$\xi = R_1 \otimes Q_2^* \oplus R_3 \otimes Q_2^* \oplus R_3 \otimes Q_4^* \oplus R_3 \otimes Q_6^* \oplus R_5 \otimes Q_4^*$$

$$\bigwedge^t \xi = \bigoplus_{\sum_{i=1}^5 |\lambda(i)|=t} S_{\lambda(1)} R_1 \otimes S_{\lambda(2)'} Q_2^* \otimes S_{\lambda(235)} R_3 \otimes S_{\lambda(34)'} Q_4^* \otimes S_{\lambda(4)} R_5 \otimes S_{\lambda(5)'} Q_6^*$$

The resolution of \overline{O}_V is

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \uparrow \\ (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes \wedge^4 V_3 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-9)) \oplus (\wedge^4 V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-9)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes \wedge^4 V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes A(-11)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2221} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V - 5 \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2221} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-15)) \\ \uparrow \\ (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes S_{21} V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2221} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes S_{21} V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2221} V_3 \otimes S_{211} V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes S_{211} V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes S_{211} V_2^* \otimes S_{2221} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes S_{21} V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \oplus (\wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes S_{211} V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-16)) \\ \uparrow \\ (V_1 \otimes \wedge^3 V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes S_{211} V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes S_{21} V_6^* \otimes A(-17)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes S_{211} V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes \wedge^3 V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes S_{21} V_6^* \otimes A(-17)) \\ \oplus (V_1 \otimes S_{211} V_2^* \otimes S_{2222} V_3 \otimes S_{211} V_4^* \otimes V_5 \otimes \wedge^2 V_6^* \otimes A(-17)) \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [ADFK81] S. Abeasis, A. Del Fra, and H. Kraft. The geometry of representations of A_n . *Math. Ann.*, 256(3):401–418, 1981.
- [ASS06] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.
- [BZ01] Grzegorz Bobiński and Grzegorz Zwara. Normality of orbit closures for Dynkin quivers of type A_n . *Manuscripta Math.*, 105(1):103–109, 2001.
- [BZ02] Grzegorz Bobiński and Grzegorz Zwara. Schubert varieties and representations of Dynkin quivers. *Colloq. Math.*, 94(2):285–309, 2002.
- [Ful98] William Fulton. Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices (after A. Klyachko). *Astérisque*, (252):Exp. No. 845, 5, 255–269, 1998. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98.
- [Las78] Alain Lascoux. Syzygies des variétés déterminantales. *Adv. in Math.*, 30(3):202–237, 1978.
- [LM98] V. Lakshmibai and Peter Magyar. Degeneracy schemes, quiver schemes, and Schubert varieties. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (12):627–640, 1998.
- [Rei03] Markus Reineke. Quivers, desingularizations and canonical bases. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, volume 210 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 325–344. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [Sch92] Aidan Schofield. General representations of quivers. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 65(1):46–64, 1992.

- [Sut11] K. Sutar. Resolutions of defining ideals of orbit closures for quivers of type A_3 . *arXiv:1111.1179v1 [math.AG]*, November 2011.
- [Wey03] Jerzy Weyman. *Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies*, volume 149 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [Zwa11] Grzegorz Zwara. Singularities of orbit closures in module varieties. *Representations fo Algebras and related topics*, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: `sutar.k@husky.neu.edu`