

On cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds *

Qiang Tan, Hongyu Wang, Ying Zhang, and Peng Zhu

Abstract Based on recent work of T. Draghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang, we further investigate properties of the dimension h_J^- of the J -anti-invariant cohomology subgroup H_J^- of a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold (M, g, J, F) using metric compatible almost complex structures. We prove that $h_J^- = 0$ for generic almost complex structures J on M .

Keywords Almost Hermitian 4-manifold · J -anti-invariant cohomology · Metric compatible almost complex structure

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 53C55 · 53C22

1 Introduction

For an almost complex manifold (M, J) , T.-J. Li and W. Zhang [16] introduced subgroups H_J^+ and H_J^- of the real degree 2 de Rham cohomology group $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, as the sets of cohomology classes which can be represented by J -invariant and J -anti-invariant real 2-forms, respectively. Let us denote by h_J^+ and h_J^- the dimensions of H_J^+ and H_J^- , respectively.

It is interesting to consider whether or not the subgroups H_J^+ and H_J^- induce a direct sum decomposition of $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. In the case of direct sum decomposition, J is said to be C^∞ pure and full (see Definition 2.2).

This is known to be true for integrable almost complex structures J which admit compatible Kähler metrics on compact manifolds of any dimension. In this case, the induced decomposition is nothing but the classical real Hodge-Dolbeault decomposition of $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ (see [4]).

Note that there are topological obstructions to the existence of almost complex structures on an even dimensional manifold. For a closed 4-manifold, a necessary condition is that $1 - b_1 + b^+$ be even [4], where b_1 is the first Betti number and b^+ is the number of positive eigenvalues of the quadratic form on $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ defined by the cup product, hence the condition is either b_1 be even and b^+ odd, or b_1 be odd and b^+ even.

It is a well-known fact that any closed complex surface with b^+ odd is Kähler. This was originally obtained from the classification theory, but direct proofs have been given in [5, 12].

In dimension 4, T. Draghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang [8] proved that on any closed almost complex 4-manifold (M, J) , J is C^∞ pure and full. Further in [9],

*Supported by NSFC (China) Grants 11071208 (Wang), 10871139, 11271276 (Zhang) and 11101352 (Zhu). Correspondence to: hywang@yzu.edu.cn (Wang).

they computed the subgroups H_J^+ and H_J^- and their dimensions h_J^+ and h_J^- for almost complex structures metric related to an integrable one. Using Gauduchon metrics ([10]), they proved that the almost complex structures \tilde{J} with $h_{\tilde{J}}^- = 0$ form an open dense set in the C^∞ -Fréchet-topology in the space of almost complex structures metric related to an integrable one ([9, Theorem 1.1]). Based on this, they made a conjecture (Conjecture 2.4 in [9]) about the dimension h_J^- of H_J^- on a compact 4-manifold which asserts that h_J^- vanishes for generic almost complex structures J . In particular, they have confirmed their conjecture for 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$ ([9, Theorem 3.1]).

In this paper we confirm the conjecture completely (see Theorem 1.1 below) by using g -compatible almost complex structures.

Let \mathcal{J} be the space of all almost complex structures on M and denote by \mathcal{J}_g^c and \mathcal{J}_F^t respectively the spaces of g -compatible and F -tame almost complex structures on M ; namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_g^c &= \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in TM\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_F^t &= \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid F(X, JX) > 0, \forall X \in TM, X \neq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that \mathcal{J}_g^c and \mathcal{J}_F^t are contractible C^∞ -Fréchet spaces. See [2, 8, 9] for details.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed 4-manifold admitting almost complex structures. Then the set of almost complex structures J on M with $h_J^- = 0$ is an open dense subset of \mathcal{J} in the C^∞ -topology.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2 we recall definitions, preliminary results mainly as given in [9], and constructions of g - and F -compatible almost complex structures. Finally in §3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

Suppose (M, J) is a closed almost complex 4-manifold. One can construct a J -invariant Riemannian metric g on M . Such a metric g is called an almost Hermitian metric for (M, J) . This then in turn gives a J -compatible non-degenerate 2-form F by $F(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$, called the fundamental 2-form. Such a quadruple (M, g, J, F) is called a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Thus an almost Hermitian structure on M is a triple (g, J, F) . By direct calculation, $F \wedge F = 2d\mu_g$, where $d\mu_g$ is the volume form of M determined by g .

Note that J acts on the space Ω^2 of 2-forms on M as an involution by

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha(J\cdot, J\cdot), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^2(M). \quad (2.1)$$

This gives the J -invariant, J -anti-invariant decomposition of 2-forms:

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega_J^+ \oplus \Omega_J^-, \quad \alpha = \alpha_J^+ + \alpha_J^- \quad (2.2)$$

as well as the splitting of corresponding vector bundles

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_J^+ \oplus \Lambda_J^-. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.1. Note that Λ_J^- is a vector bundle of rank two and Λ_J^- inherits an almost complex structure, still denoted by J , defined by

$$(J\alpha)(\cdot, \cdot) = -\alpha(J\cdot, \cdot), \quad \alpha \in \Lambda_J^-.$$

It is well known that, when J is integrable, $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$ if and only if $J\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$. Conversely, if (M, J) is a connected almost complex 4-manifold and there exists nonzero $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$ such that $J\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$, then J is integrable (see [17]).

Definition 2.2. (cf. [8, 16]) Let \mathcal{Z}^2 denote the space of closed 2-forms on M and set

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^+ := \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_J^+, \quad \mathcal{Z}_J^- := \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_J^-.$$

Define the J -invariant and J -anti-invariant cohomology subgroups H_J^\pm by

$$H_J^\pm = \{\mathbf{a} \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \text{there exists } \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^\pm \text{ such that } \mathbf{a} = [\alpha]\}.$$

We say J is C^∞ pure if $H_J^+ \cap H_J^- = \{0\}$, C^∞ full if $H_J^+ + H_J^- = H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$, and J is C^∞ pure and full if

$$H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+ \oplus H_J^-.$$

Proposition 2.3. (cf. [8, Theorem 2.3]) For any closed almost complex 4-manifold (M, J) , J is C^∞ pure and full.

Since (M, g, J, F) is a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold, the Hodge star operator $*_g$ gives the well-known self-dual, anti-self-dual decomposition of 2-forms as well as the corresponding splitting of the bundle (see [7]):

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega_g^+ \oplus \Omega_g^-, \quad \alpha = \alpha_g^+ + \alpha_g^-; \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_g^+ \oplus \Lambda_g^-. \quad (2.5)$$

Since the Hodge-de Rham-Laplace operator commutes with $*_g$, the decomposition (2.5) holds for the space \mathcal{H}_g of harmonic 2-forms as well. By Hodge theory, this induces cohomology decomposition by the metric g :

$$H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_g^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_g^-. \quad (2.6)$$

Similar to Definition 2.2, one defines

$$H_g^\pm = \{\mathbf{a} \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \mathbf{a} = [\alpha] \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_g^\pm := \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_g^\pm\}. \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to see that

$$H_g^\pm = \mathcal{Z}_g^\pm = \mathcal{H}_g^\pm$$

and (2.6) can be written as

$$H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = H_g^+ \oplus H_g^-. \quad (2.8)$$

There are the following relations between the decompositions (2.3) and (2.5) on an almost Hermitian 4-manifold:

$$\Lambda_J^+ = \mathbb{R}F \oplus \Lambda_g^-, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Lambda_g^+ = \mathbb{R}F \oplus \Lambda_J^-, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Lambda_J^+ \cap \Lambda_g^+ = \mathbb{R}F, \quad \Lambda_J^- \cap \Lambda_g^- = \{0\}. \quad (2.11)$$

See [6] for details. It is easy to see that $H_J^- \subset \mathcal{H}_g^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_g^- \subset H_J^+$.

Let b_2 , b^+ and b^- be the second, the self-dual and the anti-self-dual Betti number of M , respectively. Thus $b_2 = b^+ + b^-$. It is easy to see that, for a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold (M, g, J, F) , there hold (see [8]):

$$H_J^- = \mathcal{Z}_J^-, \quad h_J^+ + h_J^- = b_2, \quad h_J^+ \geq b^-, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+. \quad (2.12)$$

Lejmi recognizes \mathcal{Z}_J^- as the kernel of an elliptic operator on Ω_J^- .

Lemma 2.4. (cf. [14, 15]) *Let (M, g, J, F) be a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Let operator $P : \Omega_J^- \rightarrow \Omega_J^-$ be defined by*

$$P(\psi) = P_J^-(d\delta_g\psi),$$

where $P_J^- : \Omega^2 \rightarrow \Omega_J^-$ is the projection, δ_g is the codifferential operator with respect to metric g . Then P is a self-adjoint strongly elliptic linear operator with kernel the g -harmonic J -anti-invariant 2-forms.

Hence one has the decomposition of Ω_J^- :

$$\Omega_J^- = \ker P \oplus P_J^-(d\Omega^1) = H_J^- \oplus P_J^-(d\Omega^1).$$

Let $H_J^{-,\perp}$ denote the subgroup of \mathcal{H}_g^+ which is orthogonal to H_J^- with respect to the cup product; that is,

$$H_J^{-,\perp} := \{\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_g^+ \mid \int_M \omega \wedge \alpha = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-\}. \quad (2.13)$$

By Lemma 2.4 and the results in [8, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6], we have the following lemma which will be used in §3.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold. If $\alpha \in \Omega_g^+$ and $\alpha = \alpha_h + d\theta + \delta_g\psi$ is its Hodge decomposition, then $P_g^+(d\theta) = P_g^+(\delta_g\psi)$ and $P_g^-(d\theta) = -P_g^-(\delta_g\psi)$, where $P_g^\pm : \Omega^2 \rightarrow \Omega_g^\pm$ are the projections. Moreover, the 2-form $\alpha - 2P_g^+(d\theta) = \alpha_h$ is harmonic and $\alpha + 2P_g^-(d\theta) = \alpha_h + 2d\theta$. In particular, if (M, g, J, F) is a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold and if $\alpha \in H_J^{-,\perp}$ is a self-dual harmonic 2-form, then $\alpha = fF + P_J^-(d\theta)$ for some function $f \neq 0$ and $\alpha - d\theta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^+$.*

Remark 2.6. As direct consequences of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have decompositions as self-dual harmonic 2-forms and as cohomology classes:

$$\mathcal{H}_g^+ = H_J^- \oplus H_J^{-,\perp}, \quad H_J^+ = H_J^{-,\perp} \oplus \mathcal{H}_g^-.$$

The almost complex structure J acts on the cotangent bundle T^*M by $(J\alpha)(\cdot) = -\alpha(J\cdot)$, where α is a 1-form. Hence J induces an action $J \otimes J$ on $\otimes^2 T^*M$, still denoted by J . The bundle of 2-forms decomposes under the action of J as

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_J^+ \oplus \Lambda_J^-, \quad (2.14)$$

and the symmetric tensor bundle of type $(2, 0)$ decomposes as

$$S^2 = S_J^+ \oplus S_J^-. \quad (2.15)$$

Let us construct g -compatible almost complex structures using sections of the bundle of J -anti-invariant 2-forms. Given $\alpha \in \Omega_J^-$, define tensor field K_α of type $(1, 1)$ by

$$g(X, K_\alpha Y) = \alpha(X, Y). \quad (2.16)$$

It can be checked that K_α and JK_α are skew-adjoint. It follows that $\text{Id} + JK_\alpha$ is invertible ([13]). Define tensor field J_α of type $(1, 1)$ and 2-form F_α by

$$J_\alpha := (\text{Id} + JK_\alpha)^{-1} J (\text{Id} + JK_\alpha), \quad F_\alpha := g(J_\alpha \cdot, \cdot). \quad (2.17)$$

It is easy to see that $J_\alpha^2 = -\text{Id}$; hence J_α is an almost complex structure.

The J_α and F_α so defined have the following properties.

Proposition 2.7. ([13, Proposition 1.5]) *Given $\alpha \in \Omega_J^-$, we define the norm function $|\alpha| \in C^\infty(M)$ of α by $\alpha \wedge \alpha = 2|\alpha|^2 d\mu_g$, i.e.,*

$$|\alpha|^2 = (\alpha \wedge \alpha) / (2d\mu_g).$$

Then the J_α and F_α defined by (2.17) satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_\alpha X, J_\alpha Y) &= g(X, Y), \\ J_\alpha &= \frac{1 - |\alpha|^2}{1 + |\alpha|^2} J - \frac{2}{1 + |\alpha|^2} K_\alpha, \\ F_\alpha &= \frac{1 - |\alpha|^2}{1 + |\alpha|^2} F + \frac{2}{1 + |\alpha|^2} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the triple (g, J_α, F_α) is an almost Hermitian structure on M .

Remark 2.8. The J_α 's are sometimes called g -related almost complex structures (cf. [8, 9]). Note that the fibre bundle \mathcal{Z} of all g -compatible almost complex structures is called the twistor space of (M, g, J) (see [1]):

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{J \in \text{SO}(TM) \mid J^2 = -\text{id}\} = \text{SO}(TM)/\text{U}(2);$$

so the twistor fibration $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow M$ is an S^2 bundle. By using g -related almost complex structures one can study the twistor space of Riemannian 4-manifolds, complex structures on Riemannian 4-manifolds, Gromov-Witten invariants for Kähler surfaces and the dimension of J -anti-invariant cohomology of closed almost complex 4-manifolds (cf. [8, 9, 13]).

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Let us first describe the C^∞ -topology on the space \mathcal{J}^∞ of C^∞ almost complex structures on M . For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the space \mathcal{J}^k of C^k almost complex structures on M has a natural separable Banach manifold structure. The natural C^∞ -topology on \mathcal{J}^∞ is induced by the sequence of C^k semi-norms $\|\cdot\|_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. With this C^∞ -topology, \mathcal{J}^∞ is a Fréchet manifold. A complete metric which induces the C^∞ -topology on \mathcal{J}^∞ is defined by

$$d(J_1, J_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|J_1 - J_2\|_k}{2^k(1 + \|J_1 - J_2\|_k)}.$$

For details, see [2, 9].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let (M, g, J, F) be a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Note that $H_{\bar{J}} \subset \mathcal{H}_g^+$ and hence $h_{\bar{J}} \leq b^+$. We assume $b^+ \geq 2$ since the case where $b^+ = 1$ has been proved by Draghici, Li and Zhang (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1]).

To prove the denseness statement, we may consider a family J_t , $t \in (0, 1)$ of almost complex structures on M which is a deformation of J , that is, $J_t \rightarrow J$ in the C^∞ -topology as $t \rightarrow 0$.

If $h_{\bar{J}} = 0$, then as noted in [9], we can establish path-wise semi-continuity property for $h_{\bar{J}}^\pm$ which follows directly from Lemma 2.4 and a classical result of Kodaira and Morrow ([11, Theorem 4.3]) showing the upper semi-continuity of the kernel of a family of elliptic differential operators. Therefore $h_{\bar{J}_t} = 0$ for small t .

We now assume that $h_{\bar{J}} \geq 1$. Let us write $m := h_{\bar{J}}$ and $l := b^+ - m$. We shall construct a family of g -compatible almost complex structures $\{J_c\} \subset \mathcal{J}_g^c$ where c are cut-off functions to be chosen such that $h_{\bar{J}_c} = 0$ and $J_c \rightarrow J$ in the C^∞ -topology as $c \rightarrow 0$.

First, suppose that $m (= h_{\bar{J}}) < b^+$. Then $H_{\bar{J}}^{-,\perp} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$l = \dim H_{\bar{J}}^{-,\perp} = b^+ - m \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

For each nonzero $[\omega] \in H_{\bar{J}}^{-,\perp}$ where ω is a self-dual harmonic 2-form, we set

$$f_\omega := \langle \omega, F \rangle \in C^\infty(M). \quad (3.2)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.5, $f_\omega \not\equiv 0$. Set

$$S_J := \{\omega \in H_{\bar{J}}^{-,\perp} \mid \int_M \omega^2 = 1\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then S_J is a sphere of dimension $b^+ - m - 1 = l - 1$. Define a function $V : S_J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for any $\omega \in S_J$,

$$V(\omega) := \text{vol}(M \setminus f_\omega^{-1}(0)) = \int_{M \setminus f_\omega^{-1}(0)} d\mu_g. \quad (3.4)$$

Then V is a continuous function on S_J . When $H_{\bar{J}}^{-,\perp}$ is non-empty,

$$\delta_J := \inf_{\omega \in S_J} V(\omega) > 0 \quad (3.5)$$

since S_J is compact. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$ be such that $[\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_m]$ is an orthonormal basis of H_J^- with respect to the cup product. Choose

$$[\omega_1], \dots, [\omega_l] \in H_J^{-,\perp}, \quad l = b^+ - m$$

such that $[\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_m], [\omega_1], \dots, [\omega_l]$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_g^+ with respect to the cup product; namely, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq l$,

$$\int_M \omega_i^2 = \int_M \alpha_j^2 = 1, \quad \int_M \omega_i \wedge \alpha_j = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and, for $1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq l$,

$$\int_M \omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} = 0, \quad \int_M \alpha_{j_1} \wedge \alpha_{j_2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

To complete the proof of the denseness statement in Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma which is a special case of a theorem of C. Bär.

Lemma 3.1. ([3, Main Theorem]) *Let M be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold. Then every harmonic 2-form α on M has the unique continuation property. Hence if $\alpha \neq 0$, then its nodal set $\alpha^{-1}(0)$ has empty interior; in fact, $\alpha^{-1}(0)$ has Hausdorff dimension ≤ 2 .*

By Lemma 3.1, since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$, the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^{-1}(0)$ has Hausdorff dimension ≤ 2 . Hence

$$M' := \bigcap_{i=1}^m (M \setminus \alpha_i^{-1}(0))$$

is an open submanifold of M of full volume: $\text{vol}(M') = \text{vol}(M)$. Choose an open set $U \subset M'$ such that $\text{vol}(U) < \delta_J$. Then $\alpha_j|_U$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ are nonzero sections of $\Lambda_J^-|_U$.

We now construct a new g -compatible almost complex structure on M (cf. [8, 13]). Choose a cut-off function c_1 such that $\overline{\text{supp } c_1} \subset U$ and

$$|c_1 \alpha_1| < 1. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, by Proposition 2.7, we get

$$J_{c_1} := J_{c_1 \alpha_1} = \frac{1 - |c_1 \alpha_1|^2}{1 + |c_1 \alpha_1|^2} J - \frac{2}{1 + |c_1 \alpha_1|^2} K_{c_1 \alpha_1}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$F_{c_1} := F_{c_1 \alpha_1} = \frac{1 - |c_1 \alpha_1|^2}{1 + |c_1 \alpha_1|^2} F + \frac{2}{1 + |c_1 \alpha_1|^2} c_1 \alpha_1. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus it is easy to see that, as $c_1 \rightarrow 0$,

$$J_{c_1} \rightarrow J, \quad F_{c_1} \rightarrow F. \quad (3.11)$$

Note that $J_{c_1} \in \mathcal{J}_g^c \cap \mathcal{J}_F^t$ and (g, J_{c_1}, F_{c_1}) are a family of almost Hermitian structures on M .

We claim that $H_{J_{c_1}}^- \subset H_J^-$. Since J_{c_1} is g -compatible, we have

$$H_{J_{c_1}}^- \subset \mathcal{H}_g^+ = H_J^- \oplus H_J^{-,\perp}. \quad (3.12)$$

Given any nonzero $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_{c_1}}^-$, there exist real constants ξ_i and η_j such that

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \alpha_j, \quad (3.13)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\int_M \beta^2 = \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j^2 = 1. \quad (3.14)$$

Obviously, $\langle \beta, F_{c_1} \rangle = 0$. Restricted to $M \setminus \overline{\text{supp } c_1}$, we have $F_{c_1} = F$. On $M \setminus \overline{\text{supp } c_1}$, we get

$$\langle \beta, F_{c_1} \rangle|_{M \setminus \overline{\text{supp } c_1}} = \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \langle \omega_i, F \rangle|_{M \setminus \overline{\text{supp } c_1}} = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \omega_i \in H_J^{-, \perp}$ is nontrivial, then we put

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \omega_i}{\left(\int_M (\sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \omega_i)^2\right)^{1/2}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Obviously, $\beta_1 \in S_J$. By (3.15) and (3.16), $f_{\beta_1} = \langle \beta_1, F \rangle \equiv 0$, restricted to $M \setminus \overline{\text{supp } c_1}$. Hence $M \setminus f_{\beta_1}^{-1}(0) \subset \overline{\text{supp } c_1} \subset U$. It follows that

$$V(\beta_1) = \text{vol}(M \setminus f_{\beta_1}^{-1}(0)) \leq \text{vol}(U) < \delta_J, \quad (3.17)$$

contradicting the definition of δ_J (see (3.5)). Therefore $\xi_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \alpha_j$. Thus we have proved that if $H_J^- \neq \emptyset$ then $H_{J_{c_1}}^- \subset H_J^-$.

Remark 3.2. In [9], Draghici, Li and Zhang have considered g -related almost complex structures: if almost complex structures J and \tilde{J} are g -related then $\Lambda_J^- + \Lambda_{\tilde{J}}^- \subset \Lambda_g^+$ and hence $H_J^- + H_{\tilde{J}}^- \subset H_g^+$.

Secondly, if $H_J^- = \mathcal{H}^+$ then we construct any J_{c_1} and F_{c_1} such that $H_{J_{c_1}}^- \subset H_J^-$. In summary, we have obtained the following

Proposition 3.3. *Let (M, g, J, F) be a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold. If $h_J^- \geq 1$, then we can construct a g -compatible almost complex structure J_{c_1} where the volume of $\text{supp } c_1$ is small enough, so that $H_{J_{c_1}}^- \subset H_J^-$.*

The following observation is the key for the computation of $h_{J_{c_1}}^-$.

Proposition 3.4. ([9, Proposition 3.7]) *Suppose J and \tilde{J} are g -related almost complex structures on a closed 4-manifold M , with $\tilde{J} \neq \pm J$. Then*

$$\dim(H_J^- \cap H_{\tilde{J}}^-) \leq 1.$$

Let us return to the proof of the denseness statement in Theorem 1.1. By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, $h_{J_{c_1}}^- = \dim H_{J_{c_1}}^- = \dim(H_{J_{c_1}}^- \cap H_J^-) \leq 1$.

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $h_{J_{c_1}}^- = 1$. Choose $[\alpha] \in H_{J_{c_1}}^-$ such that $\int_M \alpha^2 = 1$. We then have $\dim H_{J_{c_1}}^{-, \perp} = b^+ - 1$, and by the same reason as that for (3.5),

$$\delta_{J_{c_1}} := \inf_{\omega \in S_{J_{c_1}}} V(\omega) > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Choose a cut-off function c_2 such that $\overline{\text{supp } c_2} \subset M \setminus \alpha^{-1}(0)$ (by Lemma 3.1) and that

$$\text{vol}(\text{supp } c_2) < \delta_{J_{c_1}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Construct

$$F_{c_2} = f_1 F_{c_1} + c_2 \alpha$$

such that $F_{c_2} \wedge F_{c_2} = 2d\mu_g$. It is easy to see that J and J_{c_2} are both g -compatible (g -related); thus $J_{c_2} \in \mathcal{J}_g^c \cap \mathcal{J}_{F_{c_1}}^t$.

We claim that $h_{J_{c_2}}^- = 0$. Otherwise, there exists nonzero $\beta \in H_{J_{c_2}}^-$. Then, by the above construction and by Proposition 3.3, $H_{J_{c_2}}^- \subset H_{J_{c_1}}^-$; hence $\beta \in H_{J_{c_1}}^-$, $\beta = \eta\alpha$, $\eta \neq 0$, and

$$\langle \beta, F_{c_2} \rangle \equiv 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Restricted to $\text{supp } c_2$, we have $F_{c_2} = f_1 F_{c_1} + c_2 \alpha$. It follows that

$$0 = \langle \beta, F_{c_2} \rangle|_{\text{supp } c_2} = \langle \eta\alpha, c_2 \alpha \rangle = \eta c_2 |\alpha|^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus $\alpha^{-1}(0) \supset \text{supp } c_2$, contradicting Lemma 3.1. Hence $h_{J_{c_2}}^- = 0$.

This completes the proof of the denseness statement in Theorem 1.1.

It remains to prove the openness statement in Theorem 1.1. Since the case $b^+ = 1$ is proved in [9] by Draghici, Li and Zhang, we consider the case $b^+ \geq 2$. Suppose that $J_k \rightarrow J$ in the Fréchet space as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and that

$$m_k := h_{J_k}^- \geq 1.$$

We need to prove that $h_J^- \geq 1$. Suppose $h_J^- = 0$. Then $b^+ = h_J^+ - b^-$. Let g be a J -compatible metric and set $F = g(J, \cdot)$. Let $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^{b^+} \in \mathcal{H}_g^+$ be an orthonormal basis with respect to the cup product, that is,

$$\int_M \psi^i \wedge \psi^j = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq b^+. \quad (3.22)$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}_g^+ = H_J^- \oplus H_J^{-,\perp}$. Since $h_J^- = 0$, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\psi^i = f^i F + P_J^- d\theta^i, \quad (3.23)$$

where $\theta^i \in \Omega^1$. Set

$$g_k = \frac{1}{2}(g(\cdot, \cdot) + g(J_k \cdot, J_k \cdot)), \quad F_k = g_k(J_k \cdot, \cdot).$$

Then $(g_k, J_k, F_k) \rightarrow (g, J, F)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $m_k = h_{J_k}^- \geq 1$, we may choose an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^+$ with respect to the cup product as follows:

$$\{\omega^l(k)\}_{1 \leq l \leq b^+ - m_k} \cup \{\alpha^l(k)\}_{b^+ - m_k + 1 \leq l \leq b^+},$$

where $\{\alpha^l(k)\}_{b^+ - m_k + 1 \leq l \leq b^+} \subset H_{J_k}^-$ and $\{\omega^l(k)\}_{1 \leq l \leq b^+ - m_k} \subset H_{J_k}^{-,\perp}$. Denote by Δ_{g_k} the Hodge-de Rham Laplace operator associated to g_k and by \mathbb{G}^k the Green operator associated to Δ_{g_k} . Then, as done in [9, 15],

$$\psi^i = (\psi^i - \mathbb{G}^k(\Delta_{g_k} \psi^i)) + \mathbb{G}^k(\Delta_{g_k} \psi^i) = \psi_{\text{h},k}^i + \psi_{\text{ex},k}^i, \quad (3.24)$$

with $\psi_{\text{h},k}^i := \psi^i - \mathbb{G}^k(\Delta_{g_k} \psi^i)$ the g_k -harmonic part and $\psi_{\text{ex},k}^i := \mathbb{G}^k(\Delta_{g_k} \psi^i)$ the g_k -exact part. Thus $\psi_{\text{h},k}^i \rightarrow \psi^i$ and $\psi_{\text{ex},k}^i \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $(\psi_{\text{h},k}^i)^+$ denotes the g_k -self-dual part of $\psi_{\text{h},k}^i$ and $(\psi_{\text{h},k}^i)^-$ denotes the g_k -anti-self-dual part

of $\psi_{h,k}^i$, then we still have $(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+ \rightarrow \psi^i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since ψ^i is g -self-dual harmonic form and $g_k \rightarrow g$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \psi^i \wedge *_{g_k} \psi^i &= \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} + \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^-|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} \\ &\quad + \int_M |d\theta_k^i|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_M \psi^i \wedge \psi^i = \int_M \psi^i \wedge *_{g_k} \psi^i \\ &= \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} - \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^-|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where $\psi_{\text{ex},k}^i = d\theta_k^i$. Then, by (3.25) and (3.26),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \psi^i \wedge *_{g_k} \psi^i - \int_M \psi^i \wedge *_{g_k} \psi^i \\ = 2 \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^-|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} + \int_M |d\theta_k^i|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Since $*_{g_k} \rightarrow *_{g_k}$ and $g_k \rightarrow g$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$2 \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^-|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} + \int_M |d\theta_k^i|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$2 \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^-|_g^2 d\mu_g + \int_M |d\theta_k^i|_g^2 d\mu_g \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+ \rightarrow \psi^i$, $(\psi_{h,k}^i)^- \rightarrow 0$ and $\psi_{\text{ex},k}^i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(g)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+ \in \mathcal{H}_{g_k}^+$, there exist $a_l^i(k) \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq l \leq b^+$ such that

$$(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+ = \sum_{l=1}^{b^+ - m_k} a_l^i(k) \omega^l(k) + \sum_{l=b^+ - m_k + 1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k) \alpha^l(k). \quad (3.28)$$

We have obtained that $(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+ \rightarrow \psi^i$ in $L^2(g)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$\int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} \rightarrow 1$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+\}$ are bounded in $L^2(g_k)$.

Let us write

$$(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+,'} := \sum_{l=1}^{b^+ - m_k} a_l^i(k) \omega^l(k), \quad (\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+,''} := \sum_{l=b^+ - m_k + 1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k) \alpha^l(k).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} = \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+,'}|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k} + \int_M |(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+,''}|_{g_k}^2 d\mu_{g_k}.$$

Since $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^+\}$ are bounded in $L^2(g_k)$, we have $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, '}\}$ and $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, ''}\}$ are bounded in $L^2(g_k)$. As g_k -self-dual-harmonic forms, $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, '}\}$ and $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, ''}\}$ are bounded in $L^2_2(g_k)$. It follows that $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, '}\}$ and $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, ''}\}$ are bounded in $L^2_2(g)$ since $g_k \rightarrow g$ in the C^∞ -Fréchet space as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can choose a subsequence $\{(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, ''}\}$ of $\{(\psi_{h,k}^i)^{+, ''}\}$ such that

$$\Omega_{J_{k_1}}^- \ni (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, ''} \rightarrow (\psi_{h,\infty}^i)^{+, ''} \in \Omega_J^-$$

in $L^2_1(g)$ as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$ since $J_{k_1} \rightarrow J$ and $\Omega_{J_{k_1}}^- \rightarrow \Omega_J^-$. Since $d(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, ''} = 0$, we have $d(\psi_{h,\infty}^i)^{+, ''} = 0$. By the assumption that $h_J^- = 0$, we can get $(\psi_{h,\infty}^i)^{+, ''} = 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Thus $(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, ''} \rightarrow 0$ and $(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \rightarrow \psi^i$ in $L^2(g)$ as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \rightarrow \int_M \psi^i \wedge \psi^i = 1 \quad (3.29)$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand,

$$(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ = (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} + \sum_{l=b^+-m_{k_1}+1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k_1) \alpha^l(k_1). \quad (3.30)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ &= \int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \\ &+ \int_M \sum_{l=b^+-m_{k_1}+1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k_1) \alpha^l(k_1) \wedge \sum_{l=b^+-m_{k_1}+1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k_1) \alpha^l(k_1) \\ &= \int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} + \sum_{l=b^+-m_{k_1}+1}^{b^+} (a_l^i(k_1))^2 \\ &\geq \int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} + (a_{b^+}^i(k_1))^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $h_{J_{k_1}}^- \geq 1$ by the assumption. Note that

$$\int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ \rightarrow 1, \quad \int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^{+, '} \rightarrow 1$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(a_{b^+}^i(k_1))^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.31)$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\int_M \psi^i \wedge \psi^j = \delta_{ij}$ and $(\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ \rightarrow \psi^i$ as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$, for $1 \leq i \leq b^+$. Thus,

$$\int_M (\psi_{h,k_1}^i)^+ \wedge (\psi_{h,k_1}^j)^+ = \sum_{l=1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k_1) a_l^j(k_1) \rightarrow \delta_{ij} \quad (3.32)$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $A(k_1)$ the $b^+ \times b^+$ matrix: $A(k_1) = (a_l^i(k_1))$. Then

$$B(k_1) = A(k_1)A(k_1)^t = (\sum_{l=1}^{b^+} a_l^i(k_1) a_l^j(k_1)) \rightarrow I_{b^+} \quad (3.33)$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus $A(k_1) \rightarrow A \in O(b^+)$ as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $A^t \in O(b^+)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{b^+} a_i^i(k_1) a_m^i(k_1) \rightarrow \delta_{lm} \quad (3.34)$$

as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{b^+} a_{b^+}^i(k_1)^2 \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.35)$$

This contradicts (3.31). Thus we get $h_{\bar{J}} \geq 1$.

Thus the almost complex structures J with $h_{\bar{J}} = 0$ form an open subset of \mathcal{J} in the Fréchet space. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank J. Draghici and T.-J. Li for stimulating email discussions and T.-J. Li and K.-C. Chen for their help in sending reference papers. The second author would like to thank East China Normal University and Qing Zhou for hosting his visit in the fall semester in 2011.

References

- [1] Atiyah M.F., Hitchin N.J., Singer I.M.: Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry. Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A **362**, 425–461 (1978)
- [2] Audin M.: Symplectic and almost complex manifolds, with an appendix by P. Gauduchon. Progress in Math. **117**, Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Geometry, Birkhäuser, Basel, 41–74 (1994)
- [3] Bär C.: On nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators. Comm. Math. Phys. **188**, 709–721 (1997)
- [4] Barth W., Hulek K., Peters C., Van de Ven A.: Compact Complex Surfaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004)
- [5] Buchdahl N.: On compact Kähler surfaces. Ann. Inst. Fourier **49**, 287–302 (1999)
- [6] Donaldson S.K.: Two forms on four manifolds and elliptic equations. Nankai Tracts Math. **11**, Inspired by S. S. Chern, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, N.J. 153–172 (2006)
- [7] Donaldson S.K., Kronheimer P.B.: The geometry of four-manifolds. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, New York (1990)
- [8] Draghici T., Li T.-J., Zhang W.: Symplectic forms and cohomology decomposition of almost complex four-manifolds. Int. Math. Res. Not. **1**, 1–17 (2010)
- [9] Draghici T., Li T.-J., Zhang W.: On the J -anti-invariant cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds. Quaterly J. Math. **64**, 83–111 (2013)
- [10] Gauduchon P.: Le théorème de l'excetricité nulle. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris. Série A **285**, 387–390 (1977)

- [11] Kodaira K., Morrow J.: *Complex Manifolds*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York (1971)
- [12] Lamari A.: Courants kaehleriens et surfaces compactes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **49**, 263–285 (1999)
- [13] Lee J.: Family Gromov-Witten invariants for Kähler surfaces. *Duke Math. J.* **123**, 209–233 (2004)
- [14] Lejmi M.: Strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds are not compatible with symplectic forms. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **34**, 759–762 (2006)
- [15] Lejmi M.: Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension 4. *Math. Res. Lett.* **17**, 601–612 (2010)
- [16] Li T.-J., Zhang W.: Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17**, 651–684 (2009)
- [17] Salamon S.: Special structures on four-manifolds. *Conference on Diff. Geom. and Topology (Italian) (Parma, 1991)* *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4)* **17***, 109–123 (1993)

Qiang Tan

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China

e-mail: tanqiang1986@yahoo.com.cn

Hongyu Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China

e-mail: hywang@yzu.edu.cn

Ying Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215006, China

e-mail: yzhang@suda.edu.cn

Peng Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China

e-mail: zhupeng2004@126.com