

**\*-REGULARITY OF OPERATOR SPACE PROJECTIVE  
TENSOR PRODUCT OF C\*-ALGEBRAS**

AJAY KUMAR AND VANDANA RAJPAL

ABSTRACT. The Banach \*-algebra  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ , the operator space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , is shown to be \*-regular if Tomiyama's property  $(F)$  holds for  $A\otimes_{\min}B$  and  $A\otimes_{\min}B = A\otimes_{\max}B$ , where  $\otimes_{\min}$  and  $\otimes_{\max}$  are the injective and projective  $C^*$ -cross norm, respectively. However,  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm if and only if  $A\otimes B$  has. We also discuss the property  $(F)$  of  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  and  $A\otimes_h B$ , the Haagerup tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of \*-regularity and the uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norm have been extensively studied in Harmonic analysis for  $L^1$ -group algebras by J. Biodol [7], D. Poguntke [21], Barnes [3], and others. Barnes in [4] studied these concepts in the context of  $BG^*$ -algebras. These results on tensor products were further improved by Hauenschild, Kaniuth, and Voigt [9].

Recall that if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras and  $u$  is an element in the algebraic tensor product  $A\otimes B$ , then the operator space projective tensor norm is defined to be

$$\|u\|_{\wedge} = \inf\{\|\alpha\|\|x\|\|y\|\|\beta\| : u = \alpha(x\otimes y)\beta\},$$

where  $\alpha \in M_{1,pq}$ ,  $\beta \in M_{pq,1}$ ,  $x \in M_p(A)$  and  $y \in M_q(B)$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x\otimes y = (x_{ij}\otimes y_{kl})_{(i,k),(j,l)} \in M_{pq}(A\otimes B)$ . The completion of  $A\otimes B$  with respect to this norm is called the operator space projective tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$  and is denoted by  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ . It is well known that  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  is a Banach \*-algebra under the natural involution [15] and is a  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if  $A$  or  $B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ . One of the main results about \*-regularity obtained in [9], [20] was that the Banach space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is \*-regular if their algebraic tensor product has unique  $C^*$ -norm and  $A\otimes_{\min}B$  has property  $(F)$ . In Section 2, we prove a similar result for the operator space projective tensor product. Our proof is much shorter than the one given for Banach space projective tensor product case. We also show that  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm if and only if  $A\otimes B$  has. Using these results, we obtain several Banach \*-algebras which are not \*-regular, e.g.,  $C_r^*(F_2)\widehat{\otimes}C_r^*(F_2)$ ,  $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ , and  $B(H)/K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)/K(H)$ , where

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L06, Secondary 46L07,47L25.

*Key words and phrases.* Operator space projective tensor norm, Enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra, \*-regularity.

$C_r^*(F_2)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra associated to the left regular representation of the free group  $F_2$  on two generators and  $H$  being an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, whereas the Banach  $*$ -algebras  $C^*(G_1) \widehat{\otimes} C^*(G_2)$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact groups and  $G_1$  is amenable,  $K(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H)$ ,  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H)$ , and  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H) + K(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$  all are  $*$ -regular. Section 3 deals with the  $*$ -regularity of  $A \widehat{\otimes} A$  with the reverse involution. Finally, we introduce the notion of property  $(F)$  for  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ , and prove that if the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has spectral synthesis in the sense of [13], then it satisfies property  $(F)$ . Similar result is also proved for the Haagerup tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. $*$ -REGULARITY AND UNIQUE $C^*$ -NORM

Throughout this paper, all  $*$ -representations of  $*$ -algebras are assumed to be normed and for any  $*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $Id(A)$  denotes the space of all two-sided closed ideals of  $A$ . We begin by recalling some facts about  $G^*$ -algebras from [20]. A  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is called a  $G^*$ -algebra if, for every  $a \in A$ ,  $\gamma_A(a)$  defined by

$$\gamma_A(a) := \sup\{\|\pi(a)\| : \pi \text{ a } * \text{-representation of } A\},$$

is finite. This  $\gamma_A$  is the largest  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $A$ ; and the reducing ideal  $A_R$  of  $A$  (or  $*$ -radical) is defined as  $A_R = \{a \in A : \gamma_A(a) = 0\}$ , denote by  $C^*(A)$ , the completion of  $A/A_R$  in the  $C^*$ -norm induced by  $\gamma_A$ .  $C^*(A)$  together with the natural mapping  $\varphi : A \rightarrow C^*(A)$  ( $a \rightarrow a + A_R$ ) is called the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $A$ . If  $A_R = \{0\}$ , i.e. if the points of  $A$  are separated by its  $*$ -representations, we say that  $A$  is  $*$ -reduced (or  $*$ -semisimple). Clearly, every Banach  $*$ -algebra is a  $G^*$ -algebra. Also  $A_R$ , in this case, is a norm closed  $*$ -ideal of  $A$  and the quotient Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A/A_R$  is automatically  $*$ -reduced. Note that, if  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then  $\gamma_A$  coincides with  $\|\cdot\|$ .

For a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $Prim^*(A)$  denote the set of all primitive ideals of  $A$ , i.e. set of kernels of topologically irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $A$ . For a non-empty subset  $E$  of  $Prim^*(A)$ , kernel of  $E$  is defined to be

$$k(E) = \bigcap \{P : P \in E\},$$

and for any subset  $J$  of  $A$ , hull of  $J$  relative to  $Prim^*(A)$  is defined to be

$$h^*(J) = \{P \in Prim^*(A) : P \supseteq J\}.$$

We endow  $Prim^*(A)$  with the hull-kernel topology (hk-topology), that is, for each subset  $E$  of  $Prim^*(A)$ , its closure is  $\overline{E} = h^*k(E)$ . If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra then we usually write  $Prim(A)$  instead of  $Prim^*(A)$ .

In a similar manner, one can define the hk-topology on  $Prime(A)$ , the space of all prime ideals of  $A$ . Also recall that a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is called factorial if  $\pi(A)''$  (i.e., von Neumann algebra generated by  $\pi(A)$ ) is a factor. The set of kernels of factorial  $*$ -representations of  $A$  is called the factorial ideal space of  $A$  and is denoted by  $Fac(A)$ . It is well known that the kernel of a factorial  $*$ -representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is a

(closed) prime ideal, so that one can introduce the hull-kernel topology on  $\text{Fac}(A)$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. ([20]) *A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  $*$ -regular if the continuous surjection  $\check{\varphi} : \text{Prim}(C^*(A)) \rightarrow \text{Prim}^*(A)$  ( $P \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(P)$ ) is a homeomorphism, where  $\varphi : A \rightarrow C^*(A)$  is the  $C^*$ -enveloping map of  $A$ .*

Equivalently, from ([18], Proposition 1.3), a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is  $*$ -regular if and only if for any two non-degenerate  $*$ -representations  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  of  $A$ , the inclusion  $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \rho$  will imply that  $\|\rho(a)\| \leq \|\pi(a)\|$  for all  $a \in A$ .

For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , it is known that  $\|x\|_{\max} \leq \|x\|_{\wedge}$  for all  $x \in A \otimes B$ . So, there is a contractive homomorphism  $i : A \widehat{\otimes} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\max} B$  such that  $i(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$ , for all  $a \in A, b \in B$ . Let  $q$  be the canonical embedding from  $A \otimes_{\max} B$  onto  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . Then, by [10],  $q \circ i$  is the canonical injection map from  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  to  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . In particular,  $i$  is injective. Also, given a closed ideal  $I$  in  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ ,  $I_{\max} = \text{cl}(i(I))$  is a closed ideal in  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ , where closure is taken with respect to max-norm. From ([15], Theorem 5), for a closed ideal  $I$  of  $A$  and  $J$  of  $B$ ,  $I \widehat{\otimes} J$  is a closed ideal of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ . Therefore,  $\text{cl}(i(I \widehat{\otimes} J))$  is a closed ideal in  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ . That is,  $I \otimes_{\max} J$  is a closed ideal in  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ , known as the product ideal.

Now recall that  $A \otimes_{\min} B$  satisfies Tomiyama's property (F) if the family  $\{\phi \otimes_{\min} \varphi : \phi \in P(A), \varphi \in P(B)\}$  separates all closed ideals of  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ , where  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$  denote the set of all pure states of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Using Proposition III. 1.5.10 [6], Lemma 4.1 [22], Proposition 3.6 [12], Theorem II.9.2.1 [6], and Lemma 2.5 [9], one can easily prove the following proposition on the similar lines as given in Corollary 4.4 [2] for the Haagerup tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A \otimes_{\min} B = A \otimes_{\max} B$  and  $A \otimes_{\min} B$  has property (F).
- (ii) The mapping  $K \rightarrow i^{-1}(K)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\text{Fac}(A \otimes_{\max} B)$  onto  $\text{Fac}(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ , where  $i$  is the canonical map from  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  to  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ .
- (iii) The mapping  $K \rightarrow i^{-1}(K)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\text{Prim}(A \otimes_{\max} B)$  onto  $\text{Prim}^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ , where  $i$  is the canonical map from  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  to  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ .

The following is an analogue of the result in [9], [20] for the operator space projective tensor product.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, and suppose that  $A \otimes_{\min} B$  has property (F) and  $A \otimes_{\min} B = A \otimes_{\max} B$ . Then  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is  $*$ -regular. In particular, if  $A$  or  $B$  is nuclear then  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is  $*$ -regular.*

PROOF: It can be seen easily that  $i(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ -dense in  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ , i.e.,  $\overline{i(A \widehat{\otimes} B)} = A \otimes_{\max} B$ . Thus, by Theorem 10.1.11(c) [20], we get a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $C^*(i) : C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B) \rightarrow C^*(A \otimes_{\max} B) = A \otimes_{\max} B$  that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \widehat{\otimes} B & & \\
\downarrow \varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B} & \searrow i & \\
C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B) & \xrightarrow{C^*(i)} & A \otimes_{\max} B
\end{array}$$

with  $C^*(i)$  surjective. Also, by ([17], Theorem 4.8),  $C^*(i)$  is an isometric isomorphism from  $C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$  onto  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ .

Since the canonical map  $i : A \widehat{\otimes} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\max} B$  is a \*-homomorphism, so Theorem 10.5.6 [20], gives us a continuous map  $\check{i} : Prim(A \otimes_{\max} B) \rightarrow Prim^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$  defined by  $\check{i}(P) = i^{-1}(P)$  for all  $P \in Prim(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ , and a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Prim(A \otimes_{\max} B) & & \\
\downarrow C^*(i) & \searrow \check{i} & \\
Prim(C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B}} & Prim^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)
\end{array}$$

i.e.,  $\check{i} = \varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B} \circ C^*(i)$ .

In order to show that  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is \*-regular, suppose that  $H$  is a closed subset of  $Prim(C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B))$ . Since  $C^*(i)$  is a continuous map, so  $(C^*(i))^{-1}(H)$  is closed in  $Prim(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ . By the given hypothesis and Proposition 2.2,  $\check{i}$  is a homeomorphism from  $Prim(A \otimes_{\max} B)$  onto  $Prim^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ . So  $\check{i}((C^*(i))^{-1}(H))$  is a closed subset of  $Prim^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ . We now claim that  $C^*(i)$  is a bijective map. It can be seen easily, using the surjectivity of  $C^*(i)$ , that  $C^*(i)$  is an injective map. To see the surjectivity, let  $P \in Prim(C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B))$ , then  $P = \ker \pi$ ,  $\pi$  is an irreducible \*-representation of  $C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi \circ C^*(i)^{-1}$ , then clearly  $\ker \pi = C^*(i)(\ker \tilde{\pi})$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  is an irreducible \*-representation of  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ . So  $\check{i} = \varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B} \circ C^*(i)$  implies that  $\varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B}(H)$  is a closed subset of  $Prim^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ ; note that  $\varphi^{A \widehat{\otimes} B}$  is injective since  $\check{i}$  and  $C^*(i)$  both are bijective. Hence the result follows.  $\square$

For an amenable locally compact group  $G_1$  and infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $C^*(G_1)$  and  $K(H)$  are nuclear, so  $C^*(G_1) \widehat{\otimes} C^*(G_2)$ ,  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H)$ ,  $K(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$ , and  $K(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H)$ , all are \*-regular Banach algebras, where  $G_2$  is a locally compact group. Also, by ([20], Lemma 10.5.22),  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H) + K(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$  is \*-regular. Thus, every proper closed ideal of  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$  is \*-regular.

A  $G^*$ -algebra  $A$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm if the Gelfand-Naimark norm  $\gamma_{A/A_R}$  is the only  $C^*$ -norm that can be defined on  $A/A_R$ . Equivalently, from ([4], Proposition 2.4), a reduced  $BG^*$ -algebra  $A$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm if and only if for every nonzero closed ideal  $I$  of  $C^*(A)$ ,  $I \cap A$  is non-zero.

It is known, from [1], that if  $I$  is a non-zero closed ideal in  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ , then  $I$  contains a non-zero elementary tensor. However, this may not be true for  $A \otimes_{\max} B$  if  $\|\cdot\|_{\min} \neq \|\cdot\|_{\max}$  on  $A \otimes B$ . This fact is essentially used in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm if and only if  $A \otimes B$  has.*

**PROOF:** Suppose that  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm. In order to show the uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norm on  $A \otimes B$ , it is enough to show that  $\|\cdot\|_{\min} = \|\cdot\|_{\max}$  on  $A \otimes B$ . Suppose, on the contrary,  $\|\cdot\|_{\min} \neq \|\cdot\|_{\max}$  on  $A \otimes B$ . Then  $\ker q$  is a non-zero closed ideal of  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ , where  $q$  is the canonical \*-homomorphism from  $A \otimes_{\max} B$  onto  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . As in Theorem 2.3, we obtain a unique isometric \*-isomorphism  $C^*(i)$  from  $C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$  onto  $A \otimes_{\max} B$ . Therefore, the map  $\phi : (Id(A \otimes_{\max} B), \tau_{\infty}) \rightarrow (Id(C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B), \tau_{\infty})$ , given by  $\phi(I) = C^*(i)^{-1}(I)$  for all  $I \in Id(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ , is a homeomorphism onto its image by ([5], Proposition 5), where  $\tau_{\infty}$  is the topology on  $Id(A)$  [5]. So  $C^*(i)^{-1}(\ker q)$  is a non-zero closed ideal of  $C^*(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ . Since  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is \*-reduced, so  $C^*(i)^{-1}(\ker q) \cap A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is a non-zero closed ideal of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ . Thus, by ([12], Proposition 3.6), it would contain a non-zero elementary tensor, say  $a \otimes b$ , which further gives  $C^*(i)(a \otimes b) \in \ker q$ , i.e.  $a \otimes b = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $A \otimes B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm.

Converse follows by the same argument as that in ([20], Corollary 10.5.38).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is \*-regular, then  $A \otimes B$  has a unique  $C^*$ -norm.*

From [9],  $C_r^*(F_2) \otimes C_r^*(F_2)$  does not have unique  $C^*$ -norm, so  $C_r^*(F_2) \widehat{\otimes} C_r^*(F_2)$  is not a \*-regular Banach algebra, where  $C_r^*(F_2)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra associated to the left regular representation of the free group  $F_2$  on two generators. Note that  $C_r^*(F_2)$  is non-nuclear simple  $C^*$ -algebra [6]. Similarly, for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  without the weak expectation property of Lance and for a free group  $F_{\infty}$  on an infinite set of generators,  $C^*(F_{\infty}) \widehat{\otimes} A$  is not \*-regular by Proposition 3.3 [19]. Also, by ([14], Corollary 3.1), for an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $B(H) \otimes_{\min} B(H) \neq B(H) \otimes_{\max} B(H)$ , so  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$  is not \*-regular.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *The maximal tensor product of two simple  $C^*$ -algebras need not be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. In fact, if  $A$  and  $B$  are simple  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A \otimes_{\max} B$  is simple. Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\min} = \|\cdot\|_{\max}$  on  $A \otimes B$ .*

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be \*-reduced Banach \*-algebras, and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective \*-homomorphism. Then  $A$  is \*-regular if and only if  $B$  is.*

PROOF: Suppose that  $A$  is a  $*$ -regular Banach algebra. Since  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is an onto  $*$ -homomorphism, so Theorem 10.1.11(c) [20] implies that there is a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $C^*(\phi) : C^*(A) \rightarrow C^*(B)$  that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi^A} & C^*(A) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow C^*(\phi) \\ B & \xrightarrow{\varphi^B} & C^*(B) \end{array}$$

with  $C^*(\phi)$  surjective. Also, by Theorem 10.5.6 [20], we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Prim^*(A) & \xleftarrow{\check{\varphi}^A} & Prim(C^*(A)) \\ \check{\phi} \uparrow & & \uparrow C^*(\phi) \\ Prim^*(B) & \xleftarrow{\check{\varphi}^B} & Prim(C^*(B)). \end{array}$$

Since  $A$  is  $*$ -regular,  $\check{\varphi}^A$  is a homeomorphism. Now, we prove that both  $\check{\phi}$  and  $C^*(\phi)$  are bijective maps. Clearly, the maps  $C^*(\phi)$  and  $\check{\phi}$  are injective since both  $C^*(\phi)$  and  $\phi$  were surjective. Before looking into the onto-ness of the maps  $C^*(\phi)$  and  $\check{\phi}$ . We first show that  $C^*(\phi)$  is an injective map. Since  $\varphi^B \circ \phi = C^*(\phi) \circ \varphi^A$ , so  $\ker \phi = \ker C^*(\phi) \cap A$ , which will be a non-zero ideal if  $\ker C^*(\phi)$  is non-zero by the  $*$ -regularity of  $A$ , a contradiction. We now show that  $\check{\phi}$  is an onto map. Let  $P \in Prim^*(A)$  so  $P = \ker \pi$ ,  $\pi$  is an irreducible  $*$ -representation of  $A$ . Define  $\bar{\pi} : B \rightarrow B(H)$  as  $\bar{\pi}(b) = \pi(\phi^{-1}(b))$ , for all  $b \in B$ . Obviously,  $\bar{\pi}$  is an irreducible  $*$ -representation of  $B$  and  $\bar{\pi} \circ \phi = \pi$ . Thus  $\ker \pi = \ker \bar{\pi} \circ \phi = \check{\phi}(\ker \bar{\pi})$ , which shows that  $\check{\phi}$  is an onto map. Similarly,  $C^*(\phi)$  is an onto map.

In order to show the  $*$ -regularity of  $B$ , let  $H$  be a closed subset of  $Prim(C^*(B))$ . We now claim that  $C^*(\phi)(H) = h^*(C^*(\phi)(k(H)))$ .

Since  $C^*(\phi)$  is a bijective map, so

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\phi)(k(H)) &= C^*(\phi)\left(\bigcap_{P \in H} P\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{P \in H} C^*(\phi)(P) = k(C^*(\phi)(H)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(C^*(\phi)(k(H))) &= h^*(k(C^*(\phi)(H))) \\ &\supseteq C^*(\phi)(H). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $P \in h^*(C^*(\phi)(k(H)))$  then  $P \supseteq C^*(\phi)(k(H))$ . Since  $C^*(\phi)$  is a bijective map, so there exists a unique  $Q \in Prim(C^*(B))$  such that  $C^*(\phi)(Q) = P$ . Then, by using the bijectivity of  $C^*(\phi)$ , we have  $Q \in h^*(k(H)) = H$  ( $H$  is a closed set). Therefore  $P \in C^*(\phi)(H)$ . This shows that  $C^*(\phi)(H)$  is closed in  $Prim(C^*(A))$ . Since  $\check{\varphi}^A$  is homeomorphism and

$\check{\phi}$  is continuous, so  $\check{\phi}^{-1}(\check{\varphi}^A(C^*(\phi)(H)))$  is closed in  $Prim^*(B)$ . Thus  $\check{\varphi}^B(H)$  is a closed set in  $Prim^*(B)$  since it equals  $\check{\phi}^{-1}(\check{\varphi}^A(C^*(\phi)(H)))$ . Moreover,  $\check{\varphi}^A$ ,  $C^*(\phi)$ , and  $\check{\phi}$  all are bijective, so  $\check{\varphi}^B$  is injective; hence  $B$  is \*-regular.

Conversely, if  $B$  is \*-regular, then so is  $A$  by a similar argument, using  $\phi^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *For an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $B(H)/K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)/K(H)$  is not a \*-regular Banach algebra.*

**PROOF:** From [11], we know that there exists an isometric isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $A := (B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H))/(B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)+K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H))$  to  $B(H)/K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)/K(H)$ , satisfying  $\phi(x+(B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)+K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H))) = q\widehat{\otimes}q(x)$ , for all  $x \in B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ , where  $q$  is the quotient map from  $B(H)$  to  $B(H)/K(H)$ . Clearly, this map  $\phi$  is a bijective algebra \*-homomorphism. It is known from [11] that the primitive ideals of  $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$  are  $\{0\}$ ,  $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)$ ,  $K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ , and  $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H) + K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ . So  $A$  has only one primitive ideal  $(B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H) + K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H))/(B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H) + K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H))$ . Thus  $A$  is \*-reduced. Now suppose that  $B(H)/K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)/K(H)$  is \*-regular. Theorem 2.7 yields that  $A$  is \*-regular and so is  $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$  by Theorem 10.5.15(d) [20], a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. REVERSE INVOLUTION

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. On the Banach algebra  $A\widehat{\otimes}A$ , with the usual multiplication, define the involution on an elementary tensor as  $(a \otimes b)^* = b^* \otimes a^*$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . This extends to  $A\widehat{\otimes}A$ , by the definition of operator space projective tensor norm and  $A\widehat{\otimes}A$  becomes a Banach \*-algebra with this isometric involution, denoted by  $A\widehat{\otimes}_r A$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $A\widehat{\otimes}_r A$  is \*-regular.*

**PROOF:** Let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be non-degenerate \*-representations of  $A\widehat{\otimes}_r A$ , on the same Hilbert space  $H$ , with  $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \rho$ . Suppose first that  $A$  has an identity 1. Define  $\pi_1(a) := \pi(a \otimes 1)$  and  $\pi_2(a) := \pi(1 \otimes a)$ ,  $a \in A$ ; clearly  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are bounded representations from  $A$  into  $B(H)$  satisfying  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b) = \pi_2(b)\pi_1(a)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , and  $\pi_1(a^*) = \pi_2(a)^*$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since every \*-representation of a Banach \*-algebra into a  $C^*$ -algebra is contractive and that  $\widehat{\otimes}$  is a cross norm, so for a self-adjoint element  $h \in A$ , we get  $\|\exp(it\pi_1(h))\| = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\pi_1(h)$  is a self-adjoint element of  $B(H)$ . Let  $a \in A$ , so  $a = h + ik$ , where  $h$  and  $k$  are self-adjoint elements of  $A$ . Now, as in [16],  $\pi_1(a^*) = \pi_1(a)^*$ . This shows that  $\pi_1$  is a \*-representation of  $A$  and  $\pi_1(a)^* = \pi_2(a)^*$  for all  $a \in A$ , and thus  $\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . But  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b) = \pi_2(b)\pi_1(a)$ , so  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(ab) = \pi_2(ba)$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ; similarly,  $\pi_2$  is also a \*-representation of  $A$ . In a similar manner, we can define \*-representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of  $A$  satisfying  $\rho(a \otimes b) = \rho_1(a)\rho_2(b) = \rho_2(b)\rho_1(a)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . Arguing as above, we have  $\rho(a \otimes b) = \rho_1(ab) = \rho_2(ba)$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ .

Clearly,  $\ker \pi_1 \subseteq \ker \rho_1$ . As in [22], it follows easily that  $\pi_1, \rho_1, \pi_2, \rho_2$ , all are non-degenerate \*-representations of  $A$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1.3 [18], we have

$$\|\rho(a \otimes b)\| = \|\rho_1(ab)\| \leq \|\pi_1(ab)\| = \|\pi(a \otimes b)\| \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Now for any  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$  in  $A \otimes A$ , clearly we have  $\left\| \rho \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right) \right\| \leq$

$$\left\| \pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right) \right\|.$$

Since  $A \otimes_{\wedge} A$  is dense in  $A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$  and \*-representation from the Banach \*-algebra  $A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$  to  $B(H)$  is norm reducing, it follows easily that  $\|\rho(x)\| \leq \|\pi(x)\|$  for all  $x \in A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$ . Hence if  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$  is \*-regular by Proposition 1.3 [18].

If  $A$  does not have identity, consider the unitization  $A_e$  of  $A$ . Clearly,  $A$  is a closed ideal of  $A_e$ . Therefore  $A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$  is a closed ideal of  $A_e \widehat{\otimes}_r A_e$ . Infact, it is a \*-ideal of  $A_e \widehat{\otimes}_r A_e$ . Thus  $A_e \widehat{\otimes}_r A_e$  is \*-regular, so is  $A \widehat{\otimes}_r A$  by Theorem 10.5.15 [20]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROPERTY (F) FOR THE OPERATOR SPACE PROJECTIVE TENSOR PRODUCT OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Tomiyama in [23] defined the concept of property (F) for the minimal tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras. Following [23], we define the property (F) for the operator space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras and show that if  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ , for any  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , has spectral synthesis in the sense of [13] then  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  satisfies property (F). We also show that weak spectral synthesis and spectral synthesis in the sense of [13] coincides on  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ .

**DEFINITION 4.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. We say that  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  satisfies property (F) if the set of all product states  $\phi \widehat{\otimes} \varphi$ , where  $\phi, \varphi$  are pure states of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, separates the closed ideals of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ .*

From [10], it is known that, for any  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , the canonical map  $i : A \widehat{\otimes} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B$  is an injective \*-homomorphism, so that we can regard  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  as a \*-subalgebra of  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . Let  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  and  $I_{\min}$  be the closure of  $i(I)$  in  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ , in other words,  $I_{\min}$  is the min-closure of  $I$  in  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . Now associate two closed ideals with  $I$  as:  
 $I_l =$  closure of span of all elementary tensors of  $I$  in  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ ,  
 $I^u = I_{\min} \cap A \widehat{\otimes} B$ , known as the lower and upper ideal associated with  $I$ , respectively. Clearly  $I_l \subseteq I \subseteq I^u$ . Following [13], we say that a closed ideal  $I$  of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is spectral if  $I_l = I = I^u$ .

We start with the following lemma which can be proved, using ([15], Theorem 6), on the same lines as done in Proposition 6.5 [1] for the Haagerup tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be closed ideals in  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  such that  $I_{\min} = J_{\min}$ . Then  $J_l \subseteq I \subseteq J^u$  and  $I_l \subseteq J \subseteq I^u$ .*



We now relate the property (F) of the operator space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras to the spectral synthesis of the closed sets of its primitive ideal space. For this, recall that for any Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , a closed subset  $E$  of  $\text{Prim}^*(A)$  is called spectral if  $k(E)$  is the only closed ideal in  $A$  with hull equal to  $E$ , and we say that a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  has spectral synthesis if every closed subset of  $\text{Prim}^*(A)$  is spectral. From [13], for any  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  has spectral synthesis if and only if every closed ideal of  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  is spectral

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, and suppose that  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  has spectral synthesis. Then  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  satisfies property (F).*

**PROOF:** Let  $I$  and  $J$  be non-zero distinct closed ideals in  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ . Then, by ([10], Corollary 1),  $I_{\min}$  and  $J_{\min}$  are non-zero closed ideals in  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . Suppose that  $I_{\min} = J_{\min}$ . Using Lemma 4.2 and the fact that  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  has spectral synthesis, we get  $I \subseteq J$ ,  $J \subseteq I$ , and thus  $I = J$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $I_{\min}$  and  $J_{\min}$  are non-zero distinct closed ideals in  $A \otimes_{\min} B$ . Now choose an irreducible  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $A \otimes_{\min} B$  such that  $\pi(I_{\min}) = 0$  and  $\pi(J_{\min}) \neq 0$ . Set  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi \circ i$ , then by [11],  $\tilde{\pi}$  is an irreducible  $*$ -representation of  $A\widehat{\otimes}B$  and clearly  $\tilde{\pi}(I) = 0$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(J) \neq 0$ . Let us denote the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $A$  and  $B$  by  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , respectively; and  $\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2$  be the restrictions of  $\tilde{\pi}$  to  $A$  and  $B$ .

Define a map  $\theta_\pi : \pi(A \otimes B) \rightarrow \pi_1(A) \otimes \pi_2(B)$  as  $\theta_\pi(\pi(a \otimes b)) = \pi_1(a) \otimes \pi_2(b)$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Then  $\theta_\pi$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi$  from  $\pi(A \otimes_{\min} B)$  onto  $\pi_1(A) \otimes_{\min} \pi_2(B)$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi \circ \pi = \pi_1 \otimes_{\min} \pi_2$  (see [9] and [17] for details). Note that  $\tilde{\pi}(A\widehat{\otimes}B) \subseteq \pi(A \otimes_{\min} B)$ . Since  $\tilde{\pi}(J) \neq 0$ , so choose  $x \in J$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}(x) \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(u)) = 0$  for all  $u \in J$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(x)) = 0$ , that is,  $\pi_1 \otimes_{\min} \pi_2(i(x)) = 0$ . Since both  $\pi_1 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_2$  and  $(\pi_1 \otimes_{\min} \pi_2) \circ i$  agree on  $A \otimes B$ , so by continuity  $\pi_1 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_2(x) = 0$ . Now we claim that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi_2$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are unital, then  $\tilde{\pi}_1(a) = \tilde{\pi}(a \otimes 1) = \pi(a \otimes 1) = \pi_1(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ , giving that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1$ ; similarly  $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi_2$ . In the general case, if  $\{e_\lambda\}$  and  $\{f_\mu\}$  are the bounded approximate identities for  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, then for any  $a \in A$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}_1(a) = \text{s-lim } \tilde{\pi}(a \otimes f_\mu) = \text{s-lim } \pi(a \otimes f_\mu) = \pi_1(a)$  [22], where s-lim denotes the strong limit. Thus  $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1$ , similarly  $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi_2$ , as claimed. By ([11], Theorem 7),  $\ker \tilde{\pi} = A\widehat{\otimes}I_2 + I_1\widehat{\otimes}B = \ker q_{I_1} \widehat{\otimes} q_{I_2}$ , where  $I_1 = \ker \tilde{\pi}_1$ ,  $I_2 = \ker \tilde{\pi}_2$ . By ([9], Lemma 2.1),  $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \pi_1 \otimes_{\min} \pi_2$ , giving that  $\ker \pi \cap (A\widehat{\otimes}B) \subseteq \ker \pi_1 \otimes_{\min} \pi_2 \cap (A\widehat{\otimes}B)$ , in other words,  $\ker \tilde{\pi} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\pi}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_2$ ; that is,  $\ker q_{I_1} \widehat{\otimes} q_{I_2} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\pi}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_2$ . Suppose that the inclusion is strict. Let  $K = \ker \tilde{\pi}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_2$ , then  $q_{I_1} \widehat{\otimes} q_{I_2}(K)$  is a non-zero closed ideal of  $A/I_1 \widehat{\otimes} B/I_2$  by ([11], Lemma 2). So it must contain a non-zero elementary tensor, say  $(a + I_1) \otimes (b + I_2)$  ([12], Proposition 3.6). Hence  $a \otimes b \in K$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\pi}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_2(a \otimes b) = 0$ . So  $\pi_1(a) \otimes \pi_2(b) = 0$ , i.e. either  $\pi_1(a) = 0$  or  $\pi_2(b) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\ker \tilde{\pi}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_2 = \ker q_{I_1} \widehat{\otimes} q_{I_2} = \ker \tilde{\pi}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \ker \tilde{\pi}$ , which is not true. So  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(J)) \neq 0$ . Also note that  $\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(J)) = \pi_1 \widehat{\otimes} \pi_2(J)$

and  $\overline{\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(J))}$ , closure is taken with respect to min-norm, is a closed ideal in  $\pi_1(A) \otimes_{\min} \pi_2(B)$ . Therefore, there exist  $\phi \in P(\pi_1(A))$  and  $\varphi \in P(\pi_2(B))$  such that  $\phi \otimes_{\min} \varphi(\overline{\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(J))}) \neq 0$  [22], so  $\phi \otimes_{\min} \varphi(\tilde{\theta}_\pi(\tilde{\pi}(J))) \neq 0$ , which further gives  $(\phi \circ \pi_1) \otimes_{\min} (\varphi \circ \pi_2)(i(J)) \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma_1 = \phi \circ \pi_1$  and  $\sigma_2 = \varphi \circ \pi_2$ , then  $\sigma_1 \otimes_{\min} \sigma_2(i(J)) \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma_1 \in P(A)$ ,  $\sigma_2 \in P(B)$ . It is easy to see that both the maps  $(\sigma_1 \otimes_{\min} \sigma_2) \circ i$ ,  $\sigma_1 \widehat{\otimes} \sigma_2$  are continuous on  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  and agree on  $A \otimes B$ , giving that  $\sigma_1 \widehat{\otimes} \sigma_2(J) \neq 0$ . Obviously  $\sigma_1 \widehat{\otimes} \sigma_2(I) = 0$ . Hence  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has property (F).  $\square$

If  $A$  or  $B$  has finitely many closed ideals then  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has spectral synthesis [13]. Thus, it satisfies property (F). In particular,  $B(H) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$ ,  $K(H) \widehat{\otimes} K(H)$  and  $C_0(X) \widehat{\otimes} B(H)$  satisfy property (F).

Recall that, for any  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , an irreducible  $*$ -representation of  $A \otimes_h B$  is a bounded homomorphism satisfying  $\pi(a \otimes b)^* = \pi(a^* \otimes b^*)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , and for which  $\pi(A \otimes_h B)$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $B(H)$ . From [1], note that the irreducibility of  $\pi$  is equivalent to  $\pi(A \otimes_h B)' = \mathbb{C}I$ . Let  $Prim(A \otimes_h B)$  denote the set of kernels of irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $A \otimes_h B$ , equipped with the hull-kernel topology. We shall simply write  $h(I)$  for the hull of any ideal  $I$  in  $A \otimes_h B$ , and we say that  $A \otimes_h B$  has spectral synthesis if every closed subset of  $Prim(A \otimes_h B)$  is spectral, where a closed subset  $E$  of  $Prim(A \otimes_h B)$  is called spectral if  $k(E)$  is the only closed ideal in  $A \otimes_h B$  with hull equal to  $E$ .

LEMMA 4.4. *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $A \otimes_h B$  has spectral synthesis then every closed ideal  $I$  of  $A \otimes_h B$  satisfies  $I = I^u$ .*

PROOF: Let  $I$  be a proper closed ideal of  $A \otimes_h B$ . As in ([11], Theorem 10), there exists an irreducible  $*$ -representation  $\sigma$  of  $A \otimes_h B$  such that  $I \subseteq \ker(\sigma)$ , so that  $\ker(\sigma) \in h(I)$ , which shows that  $h(I)$  is non-empty. Let  $E = h(I)$ , then  $I = k(h(I))$  (see [13] for details). Also  $h(A \otimes_h B) = \emptyset$ , and  $k(\emptyset) = A \otimes_h B$ , so that  $A \otimes_h B = k(h(A \otimes_h B))$ . This shows that every closed ideal  $I$  of  $A \otimes_h B$  is of the form  $I = k(h(I))$ , so  $I = I^u$  by ([1], Theorem 6.7).  $\square$

An argument similar to the one used to prove Theorem 4.3 establishes the following.

THEOREM 4.5. *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $A \otimes_h B$  has spectral synthesis, then it satisfies property (F).*

Recall that a closed subset  $E$  of  $Prime(A)$  is called weak spectral if  $k(E)$  is the only closed ideal in  $A$  with hull equal to  $E$ . A Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to have weak spectral synthesis if every closed subset of  $Prime(A)$  is weak spectral. The next result follows on the similar lines as given in [13].

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra having Wiener property. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$A$  has weak spectral synthesis.*
- (ii) *Every  $J \in Id(A)$  is of the form  $J = k(h^p(J))$ , where  $h^p(J) = \{P \in Prime(A) : P \supseteq J\}$ .*

(iii) *There is a one-one correspondence between the closed ideals of  $A$  and the open subsets of  $\text{Prime}(A)$ .*

Let  $\text{Prim}^s(A)$  denote the sobrification of the space  $\text{Prim}^*(A)$ . Then, by ([8], Lemma 1.1),  $\text{Prim}^s(A)$  can be identified with the set of all semisimple prime ideals, where  $I \in \text{Id}(A)$  is said to be semisimple if it is an intersection of all primitive ideals of  $A$  containing it.

**PROPOSITION 4.7.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra having Wiener property and  $\text{Prim}^s(A) = \text{Prime}(A)$ . Then  $A$  has weak spectral synthesis if and only if  $A$  has spectral synthesis.*

**PROOF:** Suppose that  $A$  has weak spectral synthesis. Then Proposition 4.6 yields that  $\text{Id}(A)$  is isomorphic to the lattice of open subsets of  $\text{Prime}(A)$ , under the correspondence  $I \rightarrow \{P \in \text{Prime}(A) : P \not\supseteq I\}$ . We know that  $\text{Prim}^*(A)$  and  $\text{Prim}^s(A)$  have isomorphic lattices of open sets. So, by the given hypothesis,  $\text{Id}(A)$  is isomorphic to the lattice of open subsets of  $\text{Prim}^*(A)$ . Hence the result follows from ([13], Corollary 2.3).

Converse follows easily by the same argument using Proposition 4.6 above, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 [13].  $\square$

The following lemma can be proved on the similar lines as done in Lemma 1.3 [2] for the Haagerup tensor product.

**LEMMA 4.8.** *Let  $I_0$  and  $J_0$  be closed ideals in  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $S \subseteq \text{Id}(A)$ ,  $T \subseteq \text{Id}(B)$  be such that  $k(S) = I_0$  and  $k(T) = J_0$ . Then  $A \widehat{\otimes} J_0 + I_0 \widehat{\otimes} B = \bigcap \{A \widehat{\otimes} J + I \widehat{\otimes} B : I \in S, J \in T\}$ .*

**THEOREM 4.9.** *For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , weak spectral synthesis and spectral synthesis coincides on  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  has the Wiener property ([11], Theorem 10), so by Proposition 4.7, it suffices to show that  $\text{Prim}^s(A \widehat{\otimes} B) = \text{Prime}(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ . Let  $P \in \text{Prime}(A \widehat{\otimes} B)$ , so  $P = A \widehat{\otimes} S + T \widehat{\otimes} B$ , where  $T \in \text{Prime}(A)$  and  $S \in \text{Prime}(B)$  ([11], Theorem 6). Since  $T \in \text{Prime}(A)$  and  $S \in \text{Prime}(B)$ , so  $T = k(h^*(T))$  and  $S = k(h^*(S))$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.8,  $P = \bigcap \{A \widehat{\otimes} P_2 + P_1 \widehat{\otimes} B : P_1 \in h^*(T), P_2 \in h^*(S)\}$ . Since the product ideals in  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  are closed ([15], Theorem 5), so for  $P_1 \in h^*(T)$ ,  $P_2 \in h^*(S)$ ,  $A \widehat{\otimes} P_2 + P_1 \widehat{\otimes} B \supseteq P$ . Thus, every prime ideal of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  is an intersection of all the primitive ideals of  $A \widehat{\otimes} B$  containing it, so is semisimple.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, S. D., Sinclair, A. M. and Smith, R. R. (1993), The ideal structure of the Haagerup tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 442, 111–148.
- [2] Archbold, R. J., Kaniuth, E., Schlichting, G. and Somerset, D. W. B. (1997), Ideal space of the Haagerup tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* 8, 1–29.
- [3] Barnes, Bruce A. (1981), Ideal and representation theory of the  $L^1$ -algebra of a group with polynomial growth, *Colloq. Math.* 45, 301–315.
- [4] Barnes, Bruce A. (1983), The properties  $*$ -regularity and uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norm in a general  $*$ -algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 279, 841–859.

- [5] Beckhoff, F. (1995), Topologies on the space of ideals of a Banach algebra, *Studia Mathematica* 115, 189–205.
- [6] Blackadar, B. (2006), Operator algebras: Theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras, *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*.
- [7] Boidol, J. (1979),  $*$ -regularity of exponential Lie groups, doctoral dissertation, Bielefeld.
- [8] Feinstein, J. F. and Somerset, D. W. B. (2002), Spectral synthesis for Banach algebras II, *Math. Zeit.* 239, 183–213.
- [9] Hauenschild, W., Kaniuth, E. and Voigt, A. (1990),  $*$ -regularity and uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norm for tensor product of  $*$ -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 89, 137–149.
- [10] Jain, R. and Kumar, A. (2008), Operator space tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Zeit.* 260, 805–811.
- [11] Jain, R. and Kumar, A. (2011), Ideals in operator space projective tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 91, 275–288.
- [12] Jain, R. and Kumar, A., Operator space projective tensor product: Embedding into second dual and ideal structure, arXiv:1106.2644v1 [math.OA].
- [13] Jain, R. and Kumar, A., Spectral synthesis for operator space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras, arXiv:1108.3208v2 [math.OA].
- [14] Junge, M. and Pisier, G. (1995), Bilinear forms on exact operator spaces, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 5, 329–363.
- [15] Kumar, A. (2001), Operator space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Zeit.* 237, 211–217.
- [16] Kumar, A. (2001), Involution and the Haagerup tensor product, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 44, 317–322.
- [17] Laursen, Kjeld B. (1969), Tensor products of Banach algebras with involution, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136, 467–487.
- [18] Leung, Chi-wai and Ng, Chi-Keung (2005), Functional calculus and  $*$ -regularity of a class of Banach algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134, 755–763.
- [19] Manuilov, V. and Thomsen, K. (2006), On the asymptotic tensor norm, *Arch. Math.* 86, 138–144.
- [20] Palmer, T. W. (2001), Banach algebras and the general theory of  $*$ -algebras II, *Cambridge University Press*.
- [21] Poguntke, D. (1980), Symmetry and nonsymmetry for a class of exponential Lie groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 315, 127–138.
- [22] Takesaki, M. (2002), Theory of operator algebras I, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York*.
- [23] Tomiyama, J. (1967), Applications of fubini type theorem to the tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 19, 213–226.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* akumar@maths.du.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* vandanarajpal.math@gmail.com