

A BRAID GROUP ACTION ON PARKING FUNCTIONS

EUGENE GORSKY AND MIKHAIL GORSKY

ABSTRACT. We construct an action of the braid group on n strands on the set of parking functions of n cars such that elementary braids have orbits of length 2 or 3. The construction is motivated by a theorem of Lyashko and Looijenga stating that the number of the distinguished bases for A_n singularity equals $(n+1)^{n-1}$ and thus equals the number of parking functions. We construct an explicit bijection between the set of parking functions and the set of distinguished bases, which allows us to translate the braid group action on distinguished bases in terms of parking functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The distinguished bases in the vanishing cohomology of the complex hypersurface singularity were introduced by Gabrielov and Lazzeri [13, 25] who followed the ideas of Milnor [27]. They were widely studied in singularity theory (e.g. [16, 17]), for more complete references see [4] and citations therein.

Geometric origin of distinguished bases made them useful in mathematical physics (e.g. [8]). In [3] and [26], O. Lyashko and E. Looijenga independently computed the number of distinguished bases for simple singularities as the degree of a certain covering. For example, the singularity of type A_n has $(n+1)^{n-1}$ distinguished bases, where basic vectors are considered up to a sign.

In this note, we use combinatorial structures to describe the distinguished bases for A_n singularities. It is well known (e.g [4]) that the vanishing cohomology for these singularities corresponds to the A_n root lattice, which carries a non-symmetric bilinear Seifert form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle = (x, y).$$

The following theorem gives a combinatorial description of distinguished bases.

Theorem 1.1. ([16]) *A basis in A_n root lattice is distinguished if and only if the basis vectors are roots of this lattice, and the matrix of the Seifert form is upper-triangular in this basis.*

Corollary 1.2. *Switching a sign of some vectors in a distinguished basis will transform it to another distinguished basis.*

Definition 1.3. A parking, or preference function on n elements is a function

$$f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } |f^{-1}(\{1, \dots, k\})| \geq k \forall k.$$

We denote the set of all parking functions by PF_n .

Parking functions were introduced by Konheim and Weiss in [24], and were studied in different combinatorial and algebraic setups (e.g. [11, 18, 32]). It is well known that the number of the parking functions of order n equals to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Key words and phrases. Braid group, Distinguished basis, exceptional collection, parking function
MSC: 05C30, 05A19, 16G20.

Definition 1.4. Let e_i denote the positive simple roots of the A_n root system, and let $e = e_i + \dots + e_j$ be a root. We define its “initial point” as $\mathbf{in}(e) = i$. For a basis $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, we define the “initial vector” as an integer sequence $\mathbf{in}(A) = (\mathbf{in}(a_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}(a_n))$.

The function $\mathbf{in}(e)$ can be understood a filtration on the Cartan subalgebra of type A_n . The following theorem shows that one can reconstruct a distinguished basis from the filtration levels of basic vectors.

Theorem 1.5. *The “initial vector” map is a bijection between the set of distinguished bases (of positive roots) and the set of parking functions.*

In Theorem 3.9, we also provide a simple geometric procedure of reconstruction of a distinguished basis from a parking function. We illustrate it on several examples and apply this procedure for permutations and non-decreasing parking functions.

The correspondence between parking functions and distinguished bases seems to be quite surprising since the set of distinguished bases carries a natural action of the braid group ([13, 4]) while the set of parking functions carries a natural action of the symmetric group. We discuss the induced action of the braid group on the parking functions in Section 4 and prove the following result:

Theorem 1.6. *There exists an action of braid group \mathcal{B}_n on the set PF_n . All orbits of the action of elementary braids either have length 2 or length 3.*

We illustrate this action for $n = 3$ in Figure 1. The elementary braids α_1 and α_2 act along solid and dashed arrows respectively, and one can check that the braid relation $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_1 = \alpha_2\alpha_1\alpha_2$ is satisfied.




FIGURE 1. Braid group action for PF_3 : α_1 is solid, α_2 is dashed

Another combinatorial approach to distinguished bases was proposed in [6], where they were related to the maximal chains of non-crossing partitions. Stanley in [32] constructed a bijection between the maximal chains of non-crossing partitions and parking functions. Both bijections appear to be quite nontrivial.

Theorem 1.7. *The “initial vector” map coincides with the composition of bijections from [6] and [32].*

In Section 5, we discuss the relation of the above constructions to quiver representations. A theorem of Gabriel [12] identifies the positive roots of the A_n root system with the indecomposable representations of the corresponding quiver; the Seifert form corresponds to the Euler form on the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbf{Rep}A_n)$. We show that distinguished bases (made of positive roots) enumerate collections (E_1, \dots, E_n) of indecomposable quiver representations such that

$$(1) \quad \text{Ext}^k(E_i, E_j) = 0 \quad \forall i > j, k \geq 0.$$

Such are called exceptional sequences, or exceptional collections (e.g. [2, 6, 7]). They were first investigated in works [14] and [31]. The set of exceptional sequences carries as well an action of the braid group (see, e.g., [7, 10, 30]) which corresponds naturally to its action on the set of distinguished bases in our case. In terms of quivers our main theorem says that there exists a filtration on $K_0(\mathbf{Rep}A_n)$ such that exceptional sequences can be uniquely reconstructed from the filtration levels of their components.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E. G. would like to thank S. Gusein-Zade, A. Kirillov Jr., P. Seidel and D. Orlov for useful discussions. M. G. is grateful to B. Keller for the support and remarks on the preliminary version. The research of E. G. was partially supported by the grants RFBR-10-01-00678, NSH-8462.2010.1 and the Dynasty fellowship for young scientists. The research of M. G. was supported by Fondation Sciences mathématiques de Paris and by Réseau de Recherche Doctoral en Mathématiques de l’Île de France.

2. DISTINGUISHED BASES

Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the positive simple roots of the A_n root system. We will denote positive roots as $e_{ij} := e_i + e_{i+1} + \dots + e_j$.

Definition 2.1. The *Seifert form* on the A_n root system is a non-symmetric bilinear form defined by the equations $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 1$, $\langle e_i, e_{i+1} \rangle = -1$, and $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$, $j \neq i, i+1$.

Proposition 2.2. *For all x, y , one has $\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle = (x, y)$, where the right hand side is the standard (Cartan) scalar product of x and y .*

Corollary 2.3. *If v is a root of the A_n root system, then $\langle v, v \rangle = 1$.*

Definition 2.4. A basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of the A_n root system is called *distinguished*, if a_j are roots in the root system and $\langle a_j, a_i \rangle = 0$, for $j > i$. This means that the matrix of the Seifert form is upper-triangular in the distinguished basis.

Remark that the change of sign for a root transforms a distinguished basis to a distinguished one. Therefore, from now on, we will consider the distinguished bases made of positive roots only. The following lemma describes the values of the Seifert form on pairs of positive roots and can be easily proved by case by case analysis.

Lemma 2.5. *The value of the Seifert form on a pair of positive roots can be computed in a following way:*

$$\langle e_{ij}, e_{kl} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \leq i \leq l \leq j \\ -1, & \text{if } i \leq k-1 \leq j < l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6. The support of a root $a = e_{ij}$ is the set $\text{supp}(a) = \{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$.


Corollary 2.7. In a distinguished basis, for every two roots, either the support of one is contained in the support of the other or these supports do not intersect.

Proof. Let $a = e_i + \dots + e_j$, $b = e_k + \dots + e_l$, $i < k < j < l$. By Lemma 2.5, $\langle a, b \rangle = -1$ and $\langle b, a \rangle = 1$. On the other hand, in the distinguished basis the value of the Seifert form on them should vanish in one of the orders. \square


Let us associate with each positive root e_{ij} an arc above the real axis and with ends $(i - 1, j)$ on it.

Proposition 2.8. Distinguished bases for root system A_n correspond bijectively to ordered collections of n pairwise non-intersecting arcs with the following properties:


- 1) If two arcs have same left ends, then the inside arc has bigger label,



- 2) If two arcs have same right ends, then the inside arc has smaller label,



- 3) If right end of arc i coincides with left end of arc j , then $i < j$,



- 4) The arcs form a graph without cycles.

Proof. The property (4) ensures that we have n linearly independent positive roots, i.e. a basis $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of positive roots. The properties (1)-(3), by Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.7, imply that this basis is distinguished. \square

Remark 2.9. Similar pictures appeared in [2], where, however, the order of arcs was not considered.

Remark 2.10. It is easy to see that the property (4) follows from (1)-(3): since the arcs do not intersect each other, a minimal cycle may consist only of one big arc containing small arcs (see Figure 2). Suppose that the small arcs have labels i_1, \dots, i_k , and the big one has label j . By (3), we have $i_1 < \dots < i_k$; by (2), $j > i_k$, and by (1) $j < i_1$. Contradiction.




FIGURE 2.

Definition 2.11. We introduce a partial order on roots: $a \succeq b$ if $\text{supp}(a) \supset \text{supp}(b)$. Consider a root a_i in a distinguished basis $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Define

$$\text{Span}(a_i, A) := \bigcup_{a_i \succ a_j} \text{supp}(a_j), \quad \text{Gap}(a_i, A) := \text{supp}(a_i) \setminus \text{Span}(a_i, A).$$

Lemma 2.12. *The set $\text{Gap}(a_i, A)$ consists of a single element.*

Proof. If $\text{Gap}(a_i, A) = \emptyset$, then the sum of \succ -maximal elements $a_j \prec a_i$ equals to a_i . If $\text{Gap}(a_i, A)$ contains two simple roots e_j, e_k , then the support of every root from A contains either both e_j and e_k or none of them. In both cases, A is linearly dependent. Contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose that $a_i = e_\alpha + \dots + e_\beta$, and $e_s \in \text{Span}(a_i, A)$. Then there is a sequence k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r such that either*

$$(2) \quad k_j > i \quad \forall j, \quad [\alpha, s] \subset \bigcup_j \text{supp}(a_{k_j})$$

or

$$(3) \quad k_j < i \quad \forall j, \quad [s, \beta] \subset \bigcup_j \text{supp}(a_{k_j}).$$

Proof. Let c be the maximal number such that there exists a sequence $\{k_j\}$ satisfying (2). By Lemma 2.8, $c + 1 \notin \text{Span}(a_i, A)$ – otherwise a root starting with $c + 1$ should go after the root ending with c in the basis A , and c is not maximal. Therefore, $c + 1 = \text{Gap}(a_i, A)$, and for all $s < \text{Gap}(a_i, A)$ there exists a sequence $\{k_j\}$ satisfying (2). Analogously, for all $s > \text{Gap}(a_i, A)$ there exists a sequence $\{k_j\}$ satisfying (3). \square

A theorem of Lyashko [3] and Looijenga [26] states that the root system of type A_n has $(n + 1)^{n-1}$ distinguished bases of positive roots. Let us give a recursive construction of these bases.

Definition 2.14. The right orthogonal complement to a subspace V is defined as

$$V_r^\perp = \{y \mid \forall x \in V \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.15. *The right orthogonal complement to a root $e_k + \dots + e_m$ is isomorphic to $A_{m-k} \oplus A_{n-m+k-1}$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.5, one can present an explicit basis in this orthogonal complement:

$$\langle e_k + \dots + e_m \rangle_r^\perp = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-2}, e_{k-1} + \dots + e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n \rangle \oplus \langle e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m \rangle.$$

It is clear that the first subspace has type $A_{n-m+k-1}$, the second one has type A_{m-k} , and they are orthogonal to each other. \square

It is well known (e.g [4]) that for every root of the root system one can find a distinguished basis starting from this root. Therefore, we can choose arbitrary root $e_k + \dots + e_m$ as a first vector of a distinguished basis, and all other vectors from this basis will belong to its right orthogonal complement. If $m - k = l$ is fixed, then we have $n - l$ options to choose a root, and by Lemma 2.15 the complement is split as $A_l \oplus A_{n-1-l}$. Therefore, we have to choose bases in A_l and A_{n-1-l} and then shuffle them in one of $\binom{n-1}{l}$ ways.

Example 2.16. We can describe all distinguished bases of positive roots for A_1, A_2 and A_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 : & \{e_1\} \\ A_2 : & \{e_1, e_2\} \quad \{e_2, e_1 + e_2\} \quad \{e_1 + e_2, e_1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 : & \{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \quad \{e_1, e_3, e_2 + e_3\} \quad \{e_1, e_2 + e_3, e_2\} \\
& \{e_2, e_1 + e_2, e_3\} \quad \{e_2, e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} \quad \{e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2\} \\
& \{e_3, e_1, e_2 + e_3\} \quad \{e_3, e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} \quad \{e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1\} \\
& \quad \{e_1 + e_2, e_1, e_3\} \quad \{e_1 + e_2, e_3, e_1\} \\
& \quad \{e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_2\} \quad \{e_2 + e_3, e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} \\
& \{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1, e_2\} \quad \{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_2, e_1 + e_2\} \quad \{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2, e_1\}
\end{aligned}$$

3. PARKING FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1. A *parking*, or *preference function* on n elements is a function

$$f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } |f^{-1}(\{1, \dots, k\})| \geq k \forall k.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $e = e_i + e_{i+1} + \dots + e_j$ be a root of A_n root system. We define its *initial point* as $\mathbf{in}(e) = i$. For a basis $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, we define the *initial vector* as the integer sequence $\mathbf{in}(A) = (\mathbf{in}(a_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}(a_n))$.

Lemma 3.3. *If A is a basis in the root system, then $\mathbf{in}(A)$ is a parking function.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{in}(A)$ is not a parking function, i. e. there exists k such that

$$|f^{-1}(\{1, \dots, k\})| < k.$$

Therefore, $|f^{-1}(\{k+1, \dots, n\})| > n - k$, and A contains more than $n - k$ vectors from the $(n - k)$ -dimensional subspace spanned by e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n , hence A is a linearly dependent collection. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Distinguished bases for A_n root system are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the parking functions on n elements. The bijection is given by the “initial vector” map.*

Proof. We construct an inverse to the “initial vector” map. Given a parking function f on n elements, we need to find a set of n positive roots $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ such that $\mathbf{in}(A) = f$. We will describe these roots in the following order: if $f(k) < f(l)$ or $f(k) = f(l), k < l$, we will list a_l first.

Let us recover the root a_k . Remark that, by construction, all roots $a_s \prec a_k$ are already found. Let $C(k)$ be the union of supports of constructed roots a_i such that $i > k$; $B(k)$ be the union of supports of constructed roots a_j such that $j < k$. Consider the numbers

$$\begin{aligned}
c(k) &= \begin{cases} \max(X = \{j : [f(k), j] \subset C(k)\}), & X \neq \emptyset; \\ f(k) - 1, & X = \emptyset; \end{cases} \\
b(k) &= \begin{cases} \max(Y = \{j : [c(k) + 2, j] \subset B(k)\}), & Y \neq \emptyset; \\ c(k) + 1, & Y = \emptyset, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and the root $a_k = e_{f(k)} + \dots + e_{b(k)}$. Since $\text{supp}(a_k) \setminus (B(k) \cup C(k)) = \{c(k) + 1\} \neq \emptyset$, constructed roots are linearly independent. By Lemma 2.5 one has:

$$\langle a_k, a_j \rangle = 0, \quad k > j; \quad \langle a_j, a_k \rangle = 0, \quad j > k.$$

This proves that we obtain a distinguished basis. Since $\mathbf{in}(A) = f$ by construction, \mathbf{in} is surjective. To prove that \mathbf{in} is injective, we have to check that a_k is uniquely determined by the previously constructed roots. By construction, $(B(k) \cup C(k)) \cap \text{supp}(a_k) = \text{Span}(a_k, A)$. By Lemma 2.12, the set $\text{Gap}(a_k, A) = (\text{supp}(a_k) \setminus \text{Span}(a_k, A))$ contains exactly 1 element, which divides $\text{Span}(a_k, A)$ in two connected components. If $a_k = e_{f(k)} + \dots + e_j$, then $e_{j+1} \notin B(k)$ - otherwise the vector starting from e_{j+1} should go after a_k in A . It rests to prove

that all numbers between $f(k)$ and $\text{Gap}(a_k, A)$ are covered by $C(k)$, and all numbers between $\text{Gap}(a_k, A)$ and j are covered by $B(k)$. This follows from Lemma 2.13. \square

Example 3.5. Let us use this method to construct the distinguished bases for the parking functions 2, 2, 1 and 2, 1, 1.

2, 2, 1: we will start with a_2 , then construct a_1 and a_3 . $C(2) = B(2) = \emptyset$, hence $c(2) = 1, b(2) = 2, a_2 = e_2$. Thus $C(1) = \{2\}, B(1) = \emptyset$, and $c(1) = 2 \Rightarrow b(1) = 3 \Rightarrow a_1 = e_2 + e_3$. Finally, $C(3) = \emptyset, B(3) = \{2, 3\}$; therefore, $c(3) = 0 \Rightarrow b(3) = 3 \Rightarrow a_3 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$.

2, 1, 1: we will start with a_1 , then construct a_3 and a_2 . $C(1) = B(1) = \emptyset$, hence $c(1) = 1, b(1) = 2, a_1 = e_2$. Thus $C(3) = \emptyset, B(3) = \{2\}$, and $c(3) = 0 \Rightarrow b(3) = 2 \Rightarrow a_1 = e_1 + e_2$. Finally, $C(2) = \{1, 2\}, B(2) = \{2\}$; therefore, $c(2) = 2 \Rightarrow b(3) = 3 \Rightarrow a_3 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$.

It turns out that the reconstruction of a distinguished basis from a parking function can be drawn on a picture. We will need some combinatorial constructions from [18].

Definition 3.6. Let us define \mathbb{Y}_n as the set of all Young diagrams inside triangle formed by the coordinate axis and the line $y = x - n$. The boundary of such a diagram is a lattice path of length $2n$ with steps $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, which we will call the *Dyck path*.

It is well known that the number of elements in \mathbb{Y}_n equals to the n -th Catalan number

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.$$

Definition 3.7. A *parking function diagram* is a diagram from \mathbb{Y}_n , where numbers from 1 to n are written at the end of each row such that in every column the numbers are increasing upwards. We denote by P_k the SE angle of a row with number k .

Given a parking function diagram D , consider a function $f_D : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ mapping a number to its x -coordinate on D increased by 1. One can check (see e. g. [18]) that f_D is a parking function and the correspondence between D and f_D is bijective.

Example 3.8. The parking function diagram D on Figure 3 corresponds to the function

$$f_D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 3.

Theorem 3.9. Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a distinguished basis for A_n root system, let $D(A)$ be the parking function diagram corresponding to $\mathbf{in}(A)$.

Let us start from P_k and go strictly north-east until we meet first P_l such that $l > k$ or encounter the Dyck path or the x -axis. Our path started at x -coordinate $\text{in}(a_k) - 1$ and ended at some x -coordinate $\text{ter}(k)$. Then

$$a_k = e_{\text{in}(a_k)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(k)}.$$

Proof. Let us apply this procedure for every k and consider roots $a'_k = e_{\text{in}(a_k)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(k)}$. By Theorem 3.4, it is sufficient to prove that a'_k form a distinguished basis.

For this purpose we need to check the conditions (1)-(3) of Proposition 2.8. The condition (1) holds, since the numbers are increasing in columns of $D(A)$. If two arcs have the same end, then the corresponding numbers lie on the same diagonal line in $D(A)$, hence the left one is bigger and the condition (2) is satisfied as well. Finally, if we are in situation (3), then the corresponding numbers lie on the same diagonal line in $D(A)$, but the left one is smaller. \square

Example 3.10. Let $n = 12$ and the parking function is given by the formula

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 3 & 11 & 7 & 5 & 9 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 10 & 2 & 12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding diagram is shown in Figure 4. The corresponding distinguished basis has a form

$$\{e_3, e_{11}, e_7, e_{5,7}, e_9, e_{8,9}, e_5, e_{2,9}, e_{1,9}, e_{10,11}, e_{2,3}, e_{12}\}.$$

It can be illustrated by arcs as in Figure 5.




FIGURE 4.

Proposition 3.11. Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation. There exists a distinguished basis A with $\text{in}(A) = \sigma$, which can be constructed using the following procedure. Let $\text{ter}(k)$ be the maximal number such that

$$[\sigma(k), \text{ter}(k)] \subset \sigma(\{1, \dots, k\}).$$




FIGURE 5.

Then $a_k = e_{\sigma(k)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(k)}$.

Proof. A permutation is a parking function whose diagram is a maximal Young diagram in \mathbb{Y}_n . Now the statement follows from Theorem 3.9. \square

Proposition 3.12. *A parking function f is non-decreasing if and only if the numbers on its diagram are equal to their y -coordinates (shifted by n). Therefore,*

- (i) *The procedure of Theorem 3.9 can be described as follows: we start from the point P_k and go strictly north-east until we touch a diagram or the x -axis. If $(\text{in}(k) - 1)$ and $\text{ter}(k)$ are the x -coordinates of our start and finish respectively, then*

$$a_k = e_{\text{in}(k)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(k)}.$$

- (ii) *Non-decreasing parking functions on n elements are in 1-to-1 correspondence with Dyck paths of the length $2n$.*

Example 3.13. Consider a non-decreasing parking function with the values $(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 6)$. Its diagram is shown in Figure 6 and one can check that it corresponds to the distinguished basis $A = (e_{17}, e_1, e_{25}, e_{23}, e_2, e_4, e_6)$.




FIGURE 6.

4. BRAID GROUP ACTION

Definition 4.1. ([4]) Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be some n -tuple of roots. We define two operations:

$$\alpha_k(A) = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, a_k - \langle a_k, a_{k+1} \rangle a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n),$$

$$\beta_k(A) = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1} - \langle a_k, a_{k+1} \rangle a_k, a_k, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n).$$


We will call them *left* and *right mutations* respectively.

Proposition 4.2. ([4]) *If A is a distinguished basis, then $\alpha_k(A)$ and $\beta_k(A)$ are distinguished bases too. The following relations hold:*

$$\beta_k = \alpha_k^{-1}, \quad \alpha_k \alpha_m = \alpha_m \alpha_k \quad (|m - k| > 1), \quad \alpha_k \alpha_{k+1} \alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} \alpha_k \alpha_{k+1}.$$

In other words, the α_k define a representation of a braid group of type A_n with n strands on the set of distinguished bases.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that a and $b = b_{\text{in}(b)} + \dots + b_{\text{ter}(b)}$ are two positive roots such that $\langle b, a \rangle = 0$. Let $c = a - \langle a, b \rangle b$. Then $c = a$ if $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$, otherwise c can be found from one of the pictures:*



$d = b - \langle a, b \rangle a$ may be found by similar rules.

Theorem 4.4. *Given a distinguished basis A , either $\alpha_i^2(A) = A$ or $\alpha_i^3(A) = A$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Consider the sublattice generated by a_i and a_{i+1} . If $\langle a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle = 0$, then it has type $A_1 \oplus A_1$, otherwise it has type A_2 . In the first case, α_i exchanges a_i and a_{i+1} and has order 2. In the second case, it has order 3, since $\alpha_1^3 = \text{Id}$ for A_2 (see Figure 7). \square

The braid group action on distinguished bases for A_3 is shown in Figure 8. The corresponding action on PF_3 is shown in Figure 1.




FIGURE 7. Braid group action for A_2

Theorem 4.5. *Consider a parking function diagram D . The action of the braid group is determined by the action of generators α_k and β_k . There are the following cases:*

- 1) *The segment $P_{k+1}P_k$ goes strictly SW–NE and all its common points with $D(E)$ are P_l , where $l \leq (k + 1)$. Then*
 - α_k exchanges the numbers k and $(k + 1)$;




FIGURE 8. Braid group action for A_3 : α_1 is solid, α_2 is dashed

- β_k replaces the row of D containing P_k with a row of length being equal to the x -coordinate of P_{k+1} , inserting it directly below the row containing P_{k+1} . All rows between the old and the new ones are shifted together with their numbers by one position above.
- 2) The segment $P_k P_{k+1}$ goes strictly SW–NE and all its common points with $D(E)$ are P_l , where $l \leq (k + 1)$. Then
 - α_k replaces the row of D containing P_{k+1} with a row of length being equal to the x -coordinate of P_k , inserting it directly below the row containing P_k . All rows between the old and the new ones are shifted together with their numbers by one position above.
 - β_k exchanges the numbers k and $(k + 1)$.
- 3) P_k and P_{k+1} have the same x -coordinate. Start from P_{k+1} and go strictly north-east until we meet first P_l s.t. $l > k$ or encounter the Dyck path or the x -axis. Denote the point where we stop by Q . Then
 - α_k replaces the row of D containing P_k with a row of length being equal to the x -coordinate of Q inserting it directly below the row containing Q (or the x -axis, if that contains Q). All rows between the old and the new ones are shifted together with their numbers by one position below.
 - β_k replaces a row of D containing P_{k+1} with a row of length being equal to the x -coordinate of Q putting it directly below the row containing Q (or the x -axis, if that contains Q). All rows between the old and the new ones are shifted together with their numbers by one position below.
- 4) In the other cases both α_k and β_k exchange the numbers k and $(k + 1)$.

Theorem 4.5 immediately follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.9. An example of the action of α_i and β_i on parking function diagrams is shown in Figure 9.




FIGURE 9. Action of α_6 and β_6 on parking function diagrams

Now we describe a relation of the action of α_k and β_k on a diagram of a non-decreasing parking function to the following operations on Young diagrams which were introduced in [15].

Definition 4.6. Let $M = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ and N be Young diagrams. We will say that N is obtained from M by a *flip in row k* , if we can obtain it from M by throwing out row number k

(it can have length 0) and insertion of another row of length l where l is defined by the following rule:

Start from the point $(\mu_k, -k)$ of diagram M and go along the line $x - y = \mu_k + k$. If k -th row is longer than $(k + 1)$ -st, we should go in SW direction, if their lengths are the same – in NE direction. Stop at the first moment, when we touch the boundary of M or the coordinate line. The x -coordinate of this point will be l .

These flips are induced by flips of triangulations of the $(n + 2)$ -gon via bijection to \mathbb{Y}_n . They also correspond ([15]) to mutations in cluster algebras of type A_{n-1} .

Denote by $Y(D)$ the Young diagram corresponding to a parking function diagram D .

Theorem 4.7. *Let D be the diagram of a non-decreasing parking function on n elements. Then $Y(D) \in \mathbb{Y}_n$ and $Y(\alpha_k)$ either equals $Y(D)$ or it is obtained from $Y(D)$ by a single flip in some row. The same holds for $Y(\beta_k)$.*

Proof. Remark that for non-decreasing parking function for every number a the y -coordinate of P_a equals to $(a - n)$. It remains to apply Theorem 4.5. \square

5. QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

Let us briefly repeat the main notions of quiver representation theory, following [22] and [23].

Definition 5.1. A *quiver* is an oriented graph. It is *finite*, if it has finite number of vertices and finite number of arrows. Let Q be a finite quiver without oriented cycles and let k be an algebraically closed field. A *representation* of Q is the datum V of a finite-dimensional vector space V_i over k for each vertex i of Q and a linear map $V_\alpha : V_i \rightarrow V_j$ for each arrow $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ of Q .

The *dimension vector* of a representation V is the sequence $\underline{\dim} V$ of dimensions $\dim V_i, i \in Q_0$. A representation V is *indecomposable* if it is non zero and in each decomposition $V = V' \oplus V''$ we have $V' = 0$ or $V'' = 0$. A quiver is called *representation-finite* if it has only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations.

It is a well-known fact that representations of Q over k form an abelian category which is denoted by $\mathbf{Rep}_k Q$. Moreover, this category is *hereditary*, that is

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(V, W) = 0, \quad \forall i > 1, V, W \in \mathbf{Rep}_k Q.$$

Theorem 5.2. (Gabriel [12]). *Let Q be a connected quiver and assume that k is algebraically closed. Q is representation-finite if and only if the underlying graph of Q is a simply laced Dynkin diagram Δ . In this case, the map taking a representation with dimension vector (d_i) to the root $\sum d_i \alpha_i$ of the root system associated with Δ yields a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations to the set of positive roots.*

Definition 5.3. The *Euler form* is the non-symmetric form on $K_0(\mathbf{Rep}_k Q)$ defined as

$$\langle E, F \rangle = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(E, F) - \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, F).$$

Sometimes it is also called the *Ringel form*.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that Q satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.2, and E_α, E_β are two indecomposable representations corresponding to roots α and β . Then*

$$\langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle + \langle E_\beta, E_\alpha \rangle = (\alpha, \beta).$$

From now on we will study the representations of the A_n quiver with the following orientation:

$$\bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bullet \\ 1 \qquad 2 \qquad \qquad \qquad n$$

Definition 5.5. An indecomposable representation E is called *exceptional*, if $\text{Ext}^1(E, E) = 0$, and a sequence (E_1, \dots, E_r) of exceptional representations is said to be an *exceptional sequence*, if

$$\text{Hom}(E_j, E_i) = 0 = \text{Ext}^1(E_j, E_i) \quad \text{for } j > i.$$

An exceptional sequence is *complete*, if $r = n$.

Lemma 5.6. 1. Every indecomposable representation of the A_n quiver is exceptional.

2. The complete exceptional sequences for A_n quiver are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the distinguished bases of positive roots under Gabriel's bijection.

Proof. Let E be an indecomposable representation. Then, by Gabriel's theorem, $\langle E, E \rangle = 1$ and $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E) = 1$. Therefore, $\dim \text{Ext}^1(E, E) = 0$, so E is exceptional.

One can check that the Euler form corresponds to the Seifert form on the root system under the Gabriel's bijection; therefore, every exceptional sequence corresponds to a distinguished basis. To prove the converse, one has to check that for indecomposable representations E, F we have

$$\langle E, F \rangle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Hom}(E, F) = \text{Ext}^1(E, F) = 0.$$

This follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8. □

Moreover, we can understand dimensions of extensions and morphism spaces between objects in complete exceptional sequences in terms of the corresponding distinguished bases and, therefore, in terms of parking functions.

Lemma 5.7. (compare with Lemma 2.8). Consider two indecomposable representations V, W such that $\langle W, V \rangle = 0$ and the two corresponding vectors $a = e_{\text{in}(a)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(a)}, b = e_{\text{in}(b)} + \dots + e_{\text{ter}(b)}$. Then the following statements hold:

- 1) If $\text{in}(a) = \text{in}(b)$ then W is a quotient of V ; if $\text{ter}(a) = \text{ter}(b)$ then V is a subrepresentation of W . In both cases $\dim \text{Hom}(V, W) = 1$; in the first case every nontrivial morphism from V to W is surjective, in the second case – injective. In the other cases $\dim \text{Hom}(V, W) = 0$.
- 2) $\dim \text{Ext}^1(V, W) = \delta_{\text{ter}(a)+1}^{\text{in}(b)}$.

Corollary 5.8. Consider an exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ and two of its elements $E_i, E_j, i < j$. Let $D(E)$ be corresponding parking function diagram obtained by combining Gabriel's bijection and the "initial vector" map. Let P_k be the points on $D(E)$ (see Theorem 3.9). Then the following statements hold:

- 1) E_j is a subrepresentation of E_i iff P_j and P_i have the same x -coordinate. In this case, $\dim \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = 1$ and every nontrivial morphism from E_i to E_j is surjective.
- 2) E_i is a subrepresentation of E_j iff the segment $P_j P_i$ goes strictly SW–NE and all its common points with $D(E)$ are P_l , where either $l \leq i$ or $l = j$. In this case, $\dim \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = 1$ and every nontrivial morphism from E_i to E_j is injective.
- 3) $\dim \text{Ext}^1(E_i, E_j) = 1$ iff there exists $P_k, k > i$ s.t. a segment $P_i P_l$ goes strictly SW–NE and all its common points with $D(E)$ are P_l , where either $l \leq i$ or $l = k$; and P_k and P_j have the same x -coordinate. Otherwise, $\dim \text{Ext}^1(E_i, E_j) = 0$.
- 4) $\dim \text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = 0$ except in cases 1) and 2).

The following theorem is a reformulation of the Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 5.9. *There exists a filtration F on $K_0(\mathbf{Rep}A_n)$ such that every complete exceptional sequence can be uniquely reconstructed from the sequence of filtration levels of its representations, and such sequences are in one-to-one correspondence with the parking functions.*

Proof. Consider a filtration $F = \{F_k\}$ such that F_k is spanned by the simple representations S_k, \dots, S_n corresponding to the simple roots e_k, \dots, e_n . It remains to apply Theorem 3.4. \square

Definition 5.10. Let us call a distinguished basis A *non-decreasing*, if the parking function $\text{in}(A)$ is non-decreasing.

Lemma 5.11. *Non-decreasing distinguished bases correspond bijectively to ordered collections of n pairwise non-intersecting arcs with properties 1), 3) and 4) from Proposition 2.8 s.t. there are no pairs of arcs with the same right ends. Equivalently, non-decreasing distinguished bases correspond bijectively to unordered sets of non-intersecting arcs with the property 4) s.t. there are no pairs of arcs with the same right ends.*

Definition 5.12. *Non-decreasing exceptional collections of type A_n are exceptional collections of representations $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of the A_n quiver satisfying one of the following equivalent properties:*

- (i) There are no monomorphisms $X_i \hookrightarrow X_j, i \neq j$.
- (ii) If $\langle X_i, X_j \rangle \neq 0$, then X_i is not a subrepresentation of X_j .

Lemma 5.13. *Non-decreasing exceptional collections correspond bijectively to sets of arcs from Lemma 5.11.*

Corollary 5.14. *The following objects are in 1-to-1 correspondence to each other:*

- (i) *Non-decreasing distinguished bases of the root system A_n ;*
- (ii) *Young diagrams from \mathbb{Y}_n ;*
- (iii) *Dyck paths of the length $2n$;*
- (iv) *Non-decreasing exceptional collections of type A_n ;*
- (v) *Sets of arcs described in Lemma 5.11.*

The cardinality of all these sets is equal to n -th Catalan number c_n .

The set of exceptional sequences of representations of the A_n quiver carries an action of the braid group (see [14, 7, 10, 30]) which corresponds to the above action under the bijection from Lemma 5.6.

6. NON-CROSSING PARTITIONS

In this section, we reinterpret the results of [32] in terms of the “initial vector” map.

Definition 6.1. A *non-crossing partition* of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is a partition π such that if $a < b < c < d$ and some block B of π contains both a and c , while some block B' of π contains both b and d , then $B = B'$.

Non-crossing partitions form a partially ordered set: we say that $\pi \preceq \sigma$, if π is a refinement of σ .

Lemma 6.2. *Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a distinguished basis. Let us draw the set of arcs (see Proposition 2.8) corresponding to a_1, \dots, a_k , and define a partition π_k of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ into connected components. Then $\Pi(A) = \{\pi_k\}$ is a maximal chain of non-crossing partitions.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.8 that π_k is a non-crossing partition for all k : arcs do not intersect, hence if $a < b < c < d$ and a, c are connected while b, d are connected too, then, by Jordan's theorem, a, b, c and d belong to the same connected component.

If two points are connected in π_k , then they are connected in π_m for $m \geq k$; therefore, π_k is a refinement of π_m . It rests to note that every maximal chain contains $n + 1$ non-crossing partitions and its \preceq -minimal element is a partition into singletons. \square

Remark 6.3. This lemma seems to be parallel to the constructions of [7], where connected components of the ‘‘Hom–Ext’’ quivers for exceptional collections were considered.

Suppose that a partition σ is an immediate successor of a partition π , in other words, it is obtained from π by merging two blocks B and B' . Suppose that $\min(B) < \min(B')$, and define

$$\Lambda(\pi, \sigma) = \max\{i \in B \mid i < B'\}.$$

Definition 6.4. Let $\Pi = \pi_0 \prec \pi_1 \prec \dots \prec \pi_n$ be a maximal chain of non-crossing partitions. Define

$$\Lambda(\Pi) = (\Lambda(\pi_0, \pi_1), \dots, \Lambda(\pi_{n-1}, \pi_n)).$$

Theorem 6.5. ([32]) *The map Λ is a bijection between the set of maximal chains of non-crossing partitions of the set $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and the set of parking function on n elements.*

Theorem 6.6. *The map Π is a bijection between the set of distinguished bases and maximal chains of non-crossing partitions, and*

$$\Lambda(\Pi(A)) + (1, \dots, 1) = \mathbf{in}(A)$$

for a distinguished basis A .

Proof. Given a maximal chain of non-crossing partitions

$$\pi_0 \prec \pi_1 \prec \dots \prec \pi_n,$$

let us construct a distinguished basis by induction. Suppose that we already constructed roots a_1, \dots, a_k such that π_i is a partition into connected components of $\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$ for $i \leq k$. Suppose that the partition π_{k+1} is obtained from π_k by a merge of two blocks B and B' and $\min(B) < \min(B')$, let us construct a root a_{k+1} joining B and B' is a single connected component.

Consider the root

$$a_{k+1} = e_{\Lambda(\pi_k, \pi_{k+1})+1} + \dots + e_{\max(B')}.$$

One can check that all conditions of Lemma 2.8 are satisfied, and

$$\langle a_{k+1}, a_i \rangle = 0, \quad \forall i \leq k.$$

Therefore, Π is surjective and

$$\mathbf{in}(a_{k+1}) = \Lambda(\pi_k, \pi_{k+1}) + 1.$$

\square

Remark 6.7. In [3] and [26] Lyashko and Looijenga obtained the following general formula for the number of distinguished bases for a singularity A_μ , D_μ and E_μ :

$$(4) \quad N_{bases} = \frac{\mu! h^\mu}{|W|},$$

where h is the Coxeter number and $|W|$ is the order of the corresponding Weyl group. The notion of the non-crossing partition was generalized to a general Coxeter group by Reiner and

Athanasiadis ([1, 29]), and Reading and Chapoton ([28, 9]) proved that the number of maximal chains of non-crossing partitions is given by the formula (4). We plan to study the possible generalizations of parking functions for general Dynkin quivers in the future research.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Athanasiadis, V. Reiner. Noncrossing partitions for the group D_n . *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **18** (2004), no. 2, 397–417.
- [2] T. Araya. Exceptional Sequences over path algebras of type A_n and Non-crossing Spanning Trees, to appear in *Algebr. Represent. Theory*. arXiv:0904.2831
- [3] V. Arnold. Critical points of functions and the classification of caustics. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **29** (1974), no. 3, 243–244.
- [4] V. Arnold, S. Gusein-Zade, A. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps*. Vol. 2, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [5] P. Biane. Some properties of crossings and partitions. *Discrete Math.* **175** (1997), no. 1–3, 41–53.
- [6] A. Buan, I. Reiten, H. Thomas. From m -clusters to m -noncrossing partitions via exceptional sequences. arXiv:1007.0928
- [7] A. Buan, I. Reiten, H. Thomas. Three kinds of mutation. *J. Algebra* **339** (2011), 97–113.
- [8] S. Cecotti, C. Vafa. On classification of $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **158** (1993), no. 3, 569–644.
- [9] F. Chapoton. Enumerative properties of generalized associahedra. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* 51 (2004/05).
- [10] W. Crawley-Boevey, Exceptional sequences of representations of quivers, *Representations of algebras* (Ottawa, ON, 1992), 117–124, CMS Conf. Proc. **14**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [11] V. Dotsenko. Parking functions and vertex operators. *Selecta Math.* **14** (2009), no. 2, 229–245.
- [12] P. Gabriel. Unzerlegbare Darstellungen I. *Manuscripta Math.* **6** (1972), 71–103.
- [13] A. Gabriellov. Intersection matrices for certain singularities. *Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **7** (1973), no. 3, 18–32.
- [14] A. L. Gorodentsev and A. N. Rudakov, Exceptional vector bundles on projective spaces, *Duke. math. J.* **54** (1987), 115–130.
- [15] M. Gorsky. On Young diagrams, flips and cluster algebras of type A. arXiv: 1106.2458.
- [16] S. Gusein-Zade. Distinguished bases of simple singularities. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **14** (1980), no. 4, 73–74.
- [17] S. Gusein-Zade. Monodromy groups of isolated singularities of hypersurfaces. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **32** (1977), no. 2 (194), 23–65, 263.
- [18] J. Haglund. *The q, t -Catalan Numbers and the Space of Diagonal Harmonics: With an Appendix on the Combinatorics of Macdonald Polynomials*. AMS University lecture series, 2008.
- [19] S. P. Humphries. On weakly distinguished bases and free generating sets of free groups. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **36** (1985), no. 142, 215–219.
- [20] K. Igusa, R. Schiffler. Exceptional sequences and clusters. *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), no. 8, 2183–2202.
- [21] C. Ingalls, H. Thomas. Noncrossing partitions and representations of quivers. *Compositio Mathematica* **145** (2009) 1533–1562.
- [22] B. Keller. Cluster algebras, quiver representations and triangulated categories. *Triangulated categories*, 76–160, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **375**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [23] A. Kirillov, J. Thind. Coxeter elements and periodic Auslander-Reiten quiver. *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), no. 5, 1241–1265.
- [24] A. Konheim, B. Weiss. An occupancy discipline and applications. *SIAM J. Applied Math.* **14** (1966), 1266–1274.
- [25] F. Lazerri. Some remarks on the Picard-Lefschetz monodromy. *Quelques journées singulières*, Centre Math. Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, 1974.
- [26] E. Looijenga. The Complement of the Bifurcation Variety of a Simple Singularity. *Inventiones Math.* **23** (1974), 105–116.
- [27] J. Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*. Annals of Mathematics Studies **61**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- [28] N. Reading. Chains in the noncrossing partition lattice. arXiv:0706.2778
- [29] V. Reiner. Non-crossing partitions for classical reflection groups. *Discrete Math.* **177** (1997), no. 1-3, 195–222.

- [30] C. M. Ringel, The braid group action on the set of exceptional sequences of a hereditary Artin algebra, Abelian group theory and related topics (Oberwolfach, 1993), 339–352, Contemp. Math. **171**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [31] A. Rudakov, Exceptional collections, mutations and helices. Helices and vector bundles, 1–6, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **148**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [32] R. Stanley. Parking functions and non-crossing partitions. Electron. J. Combin. **4** (1997), no. 2, Research Paper 20.
- [33] R. Stanley. Hyperplane arrangements, parking functions and tree inversions. Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota, 359–375. Birkhäuser, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY.
2990 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10027.

E-mail address: egorsky@math.columbia.edu

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
8 GUBKINA STREET, MOSCOW, RUSSIA 119991.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT – PARIS 7
75205 PARIS CEDEX 13

E-mail address: mgorsky@math.jussieu.fr