

From Hurwitz numbers to Kontsevich–Witten tau-function: a connection by Virasoro operators

Alexander Alexandrov^a

Mathematics Institute, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany &

ITEP, Moscow, Russia

In this letter, we present our conjecture on the connection between the Kontsevich–Witten and the Hurwitz tau-functions. The conjectural formula connects these two tau-functions by means of the $GL(\infty)$ group element. An important feature of this group element is its simplicity: this is a group element of the Virasoro subalgebra of $gl(\infty)$. If proved, this conjecture would allow to derive the Virasoro constraints for the Hurwitz tau-function, which remain unknown in spite of existence of several matrix model representations, as well as to give an integrable operator description of the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function.

Keywords: matrix models, tau-functions, Virasoro algebra, Hurwitz numbers

MSC 2010 Primary: 37K10, 14N35, 81R10, 17B68; Secondary: 81T30.

Introduction

Generating functions in enumerative geometry constitute a particular subclass of partition functions appearing in string theory. It is commonly assumed that partition functions from this subclass possess nice integrable properties and simple matrix model representations. However, a number of examples for which this type of description is established are relatively small (see e.g. [1, 2] and references therein). For instance, integrable hierarchies behind the full generating functions of the Gromov–Witten invariants are known only for the simplest compact manifolds (a point and a two dimensional sphere) and some of their deformations and modifications. This

^aE-mail: alexandrovsash at gmail.com

is why it is important to investigate the common properties of the known examples and to establish relations between them. In this letter, we conjecture a new, integrable, reformulation of the relation between two important tau-functions belonging to the domain of enumerative geometry: the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function and a generating function of the simple Hurwitz numbers, which we call the Hurwitz tau-function for the simplicity sake.

Since the early 1990s, when Kontsevich’s matrix integral [3] was used for the description of the intersections on the moduli spaces (two-dimensional topological gravity investigated by Witten [4]), the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function became an inevitable part of mathematical physics [5]. It can arguably be considered as an elementary building block for a huge family of more complicated partition functions.¹ As a consequence of its special role, the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function is very well studied. In particular, for this tau-function, we know the already mentioned Kontsevich matrix integral representation, Virasoro constraints, integrable properties, moment variables description, random partitions representation, spectral curve based description and a vast net of relationships with other models (see, e.g., [7–11] and references therein).

Counting of the ramified coverings of Riemann surfaces is another classical subject of enumerative geometry. Under particular constraints, the number of possible ramifications is finite and the generating function of these numbers (called the Hurwitz numbers) can be represented in terms of the cut-and-join operator [12,13]. This operator belongs to the (central extension of the) $gl(\infty)$ algebra, acting on the space of solutions of the KP hierarchy, what guarantees the KP integrability of the generating function [14]. In what follows, we deal only with ramifications over the Riemann sphere. Several matrix model representations of the generating functions for both simple (with arbitrary ramification over one point) and double (with arbitrary ramification over two points) Hurwitz numbers are known [15–18].

In this letter, we conjecture a novel relation between the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function and the Hurwitz tau-function. These two functions are very well known to be closely related [1,19–21] and our conjecture is based on these known relations. In particular, the Hurwitz numbers are related to the linear Hodge integrals via the profound Ekedahl–Lando–Shapiro–Vainshtein (ELSV) formula [22]. On the level of generating functions, this relation is given by a $GL(\infty)$ operator, which is a group element of the Virasoro algebra and thus preserves integrability. On the other hand, the generating function of the Hodge integrals is a deformation of the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function [23–26]. Integrable properties of this deformation are more subtle. Namely, the generating function of the Hodge integrals and the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function are connected with each other by a Givental operator, which does not belong to the $GL(\infty)$ group, supplemented by a linear change of variables, which is not equivalent to the local change of the spectral parameter. We conjecture that, while *neither* Givental operator *nor* change of variables respects KP integrability, their combination can be substituted by a simple $GL(\infty)$ operator, which *does* respect the KP integrability. Moreover, this operator is conjectured to be also a group element of the Virasoro algebra. Hence the $GL(\infty)$ operator connecting two tau-functions is particularly simple: only components of the Virasoro subalgebra

¹However see [6], where it is claimed that the partition function of CP^1 model should be considered as the most elementary one.

of $gl(\infty)$ contribute to it:

$$Z_K(\tau_k = t_{2k+1}) = e^{\widehat{V}_0} \cdot e^{\widehat{V}_+} \cdot e^{\widehat{V}_-} \cdot e^{-\sum_{k>0} \frac{k^{k-1} \beta^{k-1} t_k}{k! g^2}} Z_H(t_k; \beta), \quad (1)$$

where the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_0 &= a_0 \log \beta \widehat{L}_0 \\ \widehat{V}_\pm &= \sum_{k>0} a_{\pm k} \beta^{\mp k} \widehat{L}_{\pm k} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

are linear combinations of the Virasoro operators (22) and a_k are rational numbers. Let us stress that the l.h.s. of (1) does not depend both on the parameter β and on even times t_{2k} .

This class of relations between two KP tau-functions (in our case one of them is a tau-function of the 2-reduced KP hierarchy, that is the KdV hierarchy) was described already in [27]. Transformations of this type are the simplest ones among all non-trivial Bäcklund transformations and they naturally generalize relations between equivalent hierarchies [28]. Namely, instead of the group element from the subalgebra consisting of the negative components of the Virasoro algebra, which describes a connection between equivalent hierarchies, here we deal with a group element of the full Virasoro algebra.

The organization of this paper is as follows. Sections 1–3 are devoted to the brief reminder of the basic properties of two tau-functions connected by a conjectural relation and the $gl(\infty)$ symmetry algebra of the KP hierarchy. In particular, we focus on the matrix model and the cut-and-join type representations of tau-functions. In section 4, we formulate our conjecture. Section 5 contains conclusions and open questions.

1 Kontsevich–Witten tau-function

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p;n}$ be the Deligne–Mumford compactification of the moduli space of genus p complex curves X with n marked points x_1, \dots, x_n . Let us associate with a marked point a line bundle \mathcal{L}_i whose fiber at a moduli point $(X; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the cotangent line to X at x_i . Intersection numbers of the first Chern classes of these holomorphic line bundles

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p;n}} \psi_1^{m_1} \psi_2^{m_2} \dots \psi_n^{m_n} = \langle \sigma_{m_1} \sigma_{m_2} \dots \sigma_{m_n} \rangle \quad (3)$$

are rational numbers, not equal to zero only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (m_i - 1) = 3p - 3 \quad (4)$$

Their generating function

$$\begin{aligned} F_K(\tau_k) &= \left\langle \exp \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2m+1)!! g^{\frac{2(m-1)}{3}} \tau_m \sigma_m \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\tau_0^3}{3!g^2} + \frac{\tau_1}{8} + \frac{\tau_0^3 \tau_1}{2g^2} + \frac{5}{8} \tau_0 \tau_2 + \frac{105}{128} \tau_4 g^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

is given by the Kontsevich matrix integral over the Hermitian matrix Φ

$$Z_K(\tau_k) = \exp(F_K(\tau_k)) = \frac{\int [d\Phi] \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \text{Tr} \left(i \frac{\Phi^3}{3!} + \frac{\Lambda \Phi^2}{2} \right)\right)}{\int [d\Phi] \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \text{Tr} \frac{\Lambda \Phi^2}{2}\right)} \quad (6)$$

This integral depends on the external matrix Λ , which is assumed to be a negative defined diagonal matrix. This dependence is given by a formal series expansion in time variables τ_k , which are given by the Miwa transform of the matrix Λ :

$$\tau_k = \frac{g}{(2k+1)} \text{Tr} \Lambda^{-2k-1} \quad (7)$$

All τ_k can be considered as independent variables as the size of the matrices tends to infinity and in this limit (6) gives the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function.

From (4) it follows that the parameter g governs the genus expansion:

$$F_K(\tau_k) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} g^{2p-2} \mathcal{F}_K^{(p)}(\tau_k) \quad (8)$$

As we see from (5), g is not an independent parameter – we can get rid of it by the change of variables $\tau_k \mapsto g^{\frac{2(1-k)}{3}} \tau_k$.

The Kontsevich–Witten tau-function satisfies an infinite set of linear differential equations known as the Virasoro constraints:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m Z_K = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{m+1}} Z_K, \quad m \geq -1 \quad (9)$$

where the operators

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k+1) \tau_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{k+m}} + \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_k \partial \tau_{m-k-1}} + \frac{\tau_0^2}{2g^2} \delta_{m,-1} + \frac{1}{8} \delta_{m,0}, \quad m \geq -1 \quad (10)$$

constitute a subalgebra of the Virasoro algebra:

$$[\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m] = 2(n-m) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m} \quad (11)$$

These Virasoro constraints allowed us to derive a cut-and-join type representation for the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function [29]:

$$Z_K = e^{\widehat{W}_K} \cdot 1 \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}_K &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tau_k \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \sum_{\substack{k,m \geq 0 \\ k+m > 0}} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tau_k \tau_m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{k+m-1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{3} \sum_{k,m \geq 0} \left(k + m + \frac{5}{2}\right) \tau_{k+m+2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_k \partial \tau_m} + \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{\tau_0^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau_1}{8} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The most important disadvantage of this representation is that the operator \widehat{W}_K does not belong to the $gl(\infty)$ algebra, so the integrability is hidden. The main result of this note is a conjecture, which represents the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function in terms of the finite number of $GL(\infty)$ operators acting on the trivial tau-function. This conjectural representation makes integrable properties obvious.

2 $gl(\infty)$ algebra

In this section, we describe a (central extension of the) $gl(\infty)$ algebra, acting on the space of solutions of the KP hierarchy. Actually, we need only $w_{1+\infty}$ subalgebra of $gl(\infty)$. It is generated by the modes of the vertex operator, which due to boson–fermion correspondence are equivalent to the bilinear fermionic operators:²

$$\frac{: e^{\widehat{\phi}(w)-\widehat{\phi}(z)} : -1}{w-z} \sim : \psi(w)\psi^*(z) : \quad (14)$$

where

$$\widehat{\phi}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t_k}{g} z^k - g \frac{1}{kz^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \right) \quad (15)$$

Normal ordering of the bosonic operator here means that all derivatives stay to the right of all times, for example

$$: t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} t_m := t_i t_m \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \quad (16)$$

The modes of the l.h.s. of (14) can be represented in terms of the bosonic current

$$\widehat{J}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \widehat{\phi}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{g} t_k z^{k-1} + \frac{g}{z^{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \right) \quad (17)$$

which itself generates the Heisenberg subalgebra. For $w = z + \epsilon$ we have the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{: e^{\widehat{\phi}(z+\epsilon)-\widehat{\phi}(z)} : -1}{\epsilon} &= \widehat{J}(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(: \widehat{J}(z)^2 : + \widehat{J}'(z) \right) \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{3!} \left(: \widehat{J}(z)^3 : + 3 : \widehat{J}'(z) \widehat{J}(z) : + \widehat{J}''(z) \right) + O(\epsilon^3) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Group elements, corresponding to the first term of this expansion (the current itself) generate the time shifts

$$\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \right) Z_G(\mathbf{t}) = Z_G(\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{a}) \quad (19)$$

and multiplication of the tau-function by the exponential of a linear function of times

$$Z_G(\mathbf{t}) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k t_k \right) Z_G(\mathbf{t}) \quad (20)$$

²For more details, see e.g. [30, 31]

These are obvious symmetries of the tau-functions.

The next term of the ϵ expansion, $:\widehat{\mathcal{J}}(z)^2:$ (the term $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}'(z)$ plays no role), generates the Virasoro algebra with the central charge $c = 1$:

$$:\widehat{\mathcal{J}}(z)^2 := 2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m}{z^{m+2}} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+m}} + \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{a+b=m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_a \partial t_b} + \frac{1}{2g^2} \sum_{a+b=-m} a b t_a t_b \quad (22)$$

so that

$$[\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_k, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m] = (k-m)\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{k+m} + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{k,-m}(k^3-k) \quad (23)$$

Group elements of the Borel subalgebra generated by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ with $m < 0$ are known to connect equivalent KP hierarchies.

The next term of the expansion, up to a certain combination of the previous terms, generates $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_3$ algebra:

$$:\widehat{\mathcal{J}}(z)^3 := 3 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_k}{z^{k+3}} \quad (24)$$

an element of which

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_0 = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{i j t_i t_j}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+j}} + g(i+j)t_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \quad (25)$$

is the cut-and-join operator of the Hurwitz tau-function (see below).

Let us stress that the Virasoro operators (10) for the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function can be given in terms of the odd part of the current (17), namely:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k+1}{g} \tau_k z^{2k} + \frac{g}{z^{2k+2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k} \right) \quad (26)$$

where $\tau_k = t_{2k+1}$, so that

$$:\widehat{\mathcal{J}}(z)^2 := 2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_k}{z^{2k+2}} - \frac{1}{4z^2} \quad (27)$$

3 Hurwitz tau-function

Hurwitz numbers count ramified coverings of a Riemann sphere. More specifically, the simple Hurwitz number $h(p|m_1, \dots, m_n)$ gives the number of the Riemann sphere coverings with N sheets, M fixed simple ramification points and a single point with ramification structure given by $\{m_i\}$, a partition of N . The number of the double ramifications M , the genus p of the cover and the partition $\{m_i\}$ are related:

$$M = 2p - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i + 1) \quad (28)$$

One can introduce the generating function of the simple Hurwitz numbers

$$H(t_k) = \sum_{p=0} g^{2p-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m_i; M} \frac{\beta^M}{M!} h(p|m_1, \dots, m_n) p_{m_1} \dots p_{m_n} \quad (29)$$

where $p_k = kt_k$. According to [12,13], this sum can be represented in terms of the cut-and-join operator (25):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_H(t_k; \beta) &= \exp(H(t_k)) = \exp\left(\frac{g\beta}{2}\widehat{W}_0\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{t_1}{g^2}\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{t_1}{g^2} + \frac{e^{\beta g}}{2} \left(\frac{t_1^2}{2g^4} + \frac{t_2}{g^3}\right) + \frac{e^{-\beta g}}{2} \left(\frac{t_1^2}{2g^4} - \frac{t_2}{g^3}\right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For this generating function, several matrix model representations are known, let us mention only the simplest ones. The Hurwitz tau-function can be represented as a Hermitian matrix integral [17]:

$$Z_H(t_k; \beta) = \int_{N \times N} [d\mu(\Phi)] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} \text{Tr} \Phi^2 + \text{Tr} \left(e^{\Phi - N\beta/2} \psi\right)\right) \quad (31)$$

where the measure of integration $[d\mu(\Phi)]$ is non-flat and can be represented in terms of the standard measure on the space of Hermitian matrices as follows:

$$[d\mu(\Phi)] = \sqrt{\det \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \Phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\Phi \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \Phi}{2}\right)}} [d\Phi] \quad (32)$$

The times t_k are given by the Miwa transform

$$t_k = \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr} \psi^k \quad (33)$$

The same tau-function can be given by an integral over normal matrices [18]:

$$Z_H(t_k; \beta) = \int_{N \times N} \frac{[dZ]}{\det(ZZ^\dagger)^{N+\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta g} \text{Tr} \log^2 ZZ^\dagger + \frac{1}{g} \text{Tr} Z^\dagger + \frac{1}{g} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \text{Tr} Z^k\right) \quad (34)$$

On can get rid of explicit dependence of N by a simple change of integration variable $Z \rightarrow Z^{-1}$. From the identity

$$\frac{[dZ]}{\det(ZZ^\dagger)^{N+1}} = [dZ^{-1}], \quad (35)$$

we have

$$Z_H(t_k; \beta) = \int_{N \times N} [dZ] \exp\left(\frac{1}{g} \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} \log^2 ZZ^\dagger - \frac{g}{2} \log ZZ^\dagger + (Z^\dagger)^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k Z^{-k}\right)\right) \quad (36)$$

In all presented matrix models, it is assumed that the size of the matrices tends to infinity and unimportant t_k -independent factors are omitted.

4 Relation between two tau-functions

The main result of our “experimental” investigation is a conjectural formula connecting two tau-functions (Kontsevich–Witten and Hurwitz) defined in the previous sections. Namely, on the basis of the explicit calculations, we claim that³

$$Z_K(\tau_k = t_{2k+1}) = \widehat{U}_{KH} \cdot Z_H(t_k; \beta) \quad (37)$$

with

$$\widehat{U}_{KH} = e^{\widehat{V}_0} \cdot e^{\widehat{V}_+} \cdot e^{\widehat{V}_-} \cdot e^{-\sum_{k>0} \frac{k^{k-1} \beta^{k-1} t_k}{k! g^2}} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_0 &= a_0 \log \beta \widehat{L}_0 \\ \widehat{V}_\pm &= \sum_{k>0} a_{\pm k} \beta^{\mp k} \widehat{L}_{\pm k} \end{aligned}$$

Here, a_k are rational numbers. Let us stress that the genus expansion of two tau-functions connected by this relation is quite different (see (4) and (3), respectively), thus the dependence on the variable g is nontrivial. Let us stress that in this formulation the change of variables is performed by the Virasoro operators, thus a problem with non-invertible change of variables does not appear at all.

The first part of the relation between two tau-functions is known and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{Hodge}(t_k) &= \exp \left(\sum_{k<0} a_k \beta^{-k} \widehat{L}_k \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-1} \beta^{k-1} t_k}{k! g^2} \right) Z_H(t) \\ &= 1 + \frac{t_2 \beta^3}{6} + \frac{t_1^2 g^2 + 8 t_1^3 + 6 t_3 g^2}{48 g^2} \beta^4 + \frac{1}{120} t_2 (60 t_1 + g^2) \beta^5 \\ &\quad + \frac{500 g^2 t_2^2 + 414 t_3 g^4 + 80 t_1^3 g^2 + t_1^2 g^4 + 240 t_1^4 + 2160 t_1 t_3 g^2}{1440 g^2} \beta^6 \\ &\quad + \frac{2 t_2 g^6 + 21224 t_4 g^4 + 15155 t_1^2 t_2 g^2 + 7000 t_1^3 t_2 + 5250 t_2 t_3 g^2 + 1400 t_1 t_2 g^4 + 16800 t_4 t_1 g^2}{10080 g^2} \beta^7 \\ &\quad + O(\beta^8) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

is a generating function of the linear Hodge integrals (for more details see an excellent paper by Kazarian [20]). From this relationship, the coefficients a_k for the non-positive k can be extracted. Namely, $a_0 = -\frac{4}{3}$, as it follows from the Theorem 2.3 of [20], while coefficients for positive k can be restored from the equation

$$\exp \left(\sum_{k>0} a_{-k} z^{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot z = \frac{z}{1+z} e^{-\frac{z}{1+z}} \quad (40)$$

The first few coefficients a_k are given in the following table:

³We have compared the first 150 terms on both sides of this relation.

k	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9
a_k	-2	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3}$	0	$\frac{3}{2^3 \cdot 5}$	$\frac{1}{3^2 \cdot 5}$	$-\frac{211}{2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7}$	$-\frac{187}{2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7}$	$\frac{21751}{2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}$

The operator \widehat{V}_+ is a core element of our conjecture. We have found the coefficients a_k for the positive k by a direct calculation, namely

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
a_k	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2^2}{3^2 \cdot 5}$	$-\frac{2}{3^3 \cdot 5}$	$\frac{11}{3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}$	$\frac{11}{3^6 \cdot 5^2}$	$-\frac{2^4}{3^7 \cdot 5^2}$	$-\frac{359}{3^8 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7}$	$\frac{13 \cdot 3137}{2 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11}$

Unfortunately, we do not know any simple recursion relation for the a_k with positive k . The only observation we made is that an expression

$$f(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{k>0} a_k z^{1-k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{z}{1+z} e^{-\frac{z}{1+z}} \quad (41)$$

is even

$$f(z) = f(-z) \quad (42)$$

This property could be related to the fact that the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function satisfies the KdV equations, that is 2-reduction of the KP hierarchy. Let us stress that the operator, connecting Z_K and Z_H , can be represented in various ways, so most probably the representation (38) can be further simplified.

The formula (37) can be of use for investigation of both the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function and the Hurwitz tau-function. For the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function it gives an integrable representation in terms of $GL(\infty)$ operators. This relation also could be used for construction of a new matrix model representation in terms of times, contrary to the Kontsevich matrix integral representation, dependent on the external matrix. For the Hurwitz tau-function, our conjectural relation should allow to derive a set of the Virasoro constraints by a simple conjugation of the constraints for the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function. Namely

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n Z_H(t_k; \beta) = 0, \quad n \geq -1 \quad (43)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n = \widehat{U}_{KH}^{-1} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{n+1}} \right) \widehat{U}_{KH} \quad (44)$$

We guess that this transformation can be given in terms of the global current on the Lambert spectral curve.

5 Conclusion and open questions

In this letter, we present a conjectural relation between two important generating functions of enumerative geometry: the Kontsevich–Witten tau-function and the generating function of the simple Hurwitz numbers. Known and conjectured formulas for these two tau-functions contain three different types of operators significant for modern string theory and enumerative geometry. These three types of operators are the Givental operators, the operators generating symmetries of the integrable hierarchies, and the cut-and-join operators.

Givental operators constitute a special class of operators given by the quantization of quadratic hamiltonians [25, 26]. These operators correspond to the particular transformations of the bosonic currents on a spectral curve. They allow to express some of non-trivial partition functions of string theory in terms of elementary building blocks, such as Kontsevich–Witten tau-functions, and they are conjectured to be applicable in much more general setup. In particular, the Givental operators naturally appear in the matrix model theory [32–37]. However, their relation to integrability remains poorly investigated (see, however, [38]).

$GL(\infty)$ operators preserve the KP-type integrability of the partition functions, that is why relations in terms of these operators look particularly attractive in the context of integrable systems. They are generated by the powers of the bosonic field current and its derivatives. Some matrix integrals can be related with elementary functions by means of $GL(\infty)$ operators [17, 18].

The cut-and-join operator, appearing in the description of the simple and double Hurwitz numbers, also belongs to the $gl(\infty)$ algebra. However, some other cut-and-join type operators, appearing in the description of the more general Hurwitz partition functions, do not belong to this algebra [14, 39, 40].

It would be extremely interesting to explain presented conjectural relation in terms of matrix integrals. Since both tau-functions from the conjecture can be represented as matrix integrals dependent on external matrix, it could be possible to derive our relation in terms of the operators, acting on the eigenvalues. Relations of this type should generalize the connections between different solutions of the Generalized Kontsevich Model, given by equivalent hierarchies (for a review, see [41]).

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Alexei Morozov and Andrei Mironov for useful discussions. This research was partially supported by the ERC Starting Independent Researcher Grant StG No. 204757-TQFT (K. Wendland PI), by RFBR grants 09-02-93105-CNRSL, 11-01-00962, by Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation under contract 14.740.11.0081 and Russian Federal Nuclear Energy Agency under contract H.4e.45.90.11.1059. I am grateful to the organizers of the VII-th International Symposium Quantum Theory and Symmetries (QTS7) for their hospitality. My participation in this conference was supported by ANR project GranMa "Grandes Matrices Aléatoires" ANR-08-BLAN-0311-01. I am grateful to the organizers of the HIM trimester on Integrability in Geometry and Mathematical Physics.

References

- [1] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, “Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz numbers, and matrix models. 1.,” [math/0101147 [math.AG]].
- [2] M. Aganagic, R. Dijkgraaf, A. Klemm, M. Marino, C. Vafa, “Topological strings and integrable hierarchies,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **261** (2006) 451-516. [hep-th/0312085].
- [3] M. Kontsevich, “Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992) 1-23.
- [4] E. Witten, “Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space,” *Surveys Diff. Geom.* **1** (1991) 243-310.
- [5] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Zabrodin, “Towards unified theory of 2-d gravity,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B380** (1992) 181-240. [arXiv:hep-th/9201013 [hep-th]].
- [6] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, “The Equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of P^1 ,” *Ann. Math.* **163** (2006) 561-605. [math/0207233 [math-ag]].
- [7] A. Morozov, “Integrability and matrix models,” *Phys. Usp.* **37** (1994) 1-55. [hep-th/9303139].
- [8] V. Kac and A. S. Schwarz, “Geometric interpretation of the partition function of 2-D gravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **257** (1991) 329.
- [9] A. S. Schwarz, “On some mathematical problems of 2-D gravity and W(h) gravity,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6** (1991) 611.
- [10] C. Itzykson, J. B. Zuber, “Combinatorics of the modular group. 2. The Kontsevich integrals,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 5661-5705. [hep-th/9201001].
- [11] A. S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, P. Putrov, “Partition Functions of Matrix Models as the First Special Functions of String Theory. II. Kontsevich Model,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A24** (2009) 4939-4998. [arXiv:0811.2825 [hep-th]].
- [12] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, “Transitive factorisations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere”, *Proc. A.M.S.*, **125** (1997), 51–60.
- [13] R. Vakil, “Enumerative geometry of curves via degeneration methods,” Harvard Ph.D. thesis, 1997.
- [14] A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, “Integrability of Hurwitz Partition Functions. I. Summary,” *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012) 045209 [arXiv:1103.4100 [hep-th]].
- [15] V. Bouchard and M. Marino, “Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry,” *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **78** (2008) 263. [arXiv:0709.1458 [math.AG]].
- [16] G. Borot, B. Eynard, M. Mulase, B. Safnuk, “A Matrix model for simple Hurwitz numbers, and topological recursion,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011) 522-540. [arXiv:0906.1206 [math-ph]].

- [17] A. Morozov, S. Shakirov, “Generation of Matrix Models by W-operators,” JHEP **0904** (2009) 064. [arXiv:0902.2627 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Alexandrov, “Matrix Models for Random Partitions,” Nucl. Phys. **B851** (2011) 620-650. [arXiv:1005.5715 [hep-th]].
- [19] I. Goulden, D. Jackson, R. Vakil, “The Gromov-Witten potential of a point, Hurwitz numbers, and Hodge integrals,” Proc. London Math. Soc. **83** (2001) 563-581. [math/9910004 [math.AG]].
- [20] M. Kazarian, “KP hierarchy for Hodge integrals,” Adv. Math. **221** (2009) 1-21. [arXiv:0809.3263 [math.AG]].
- [21] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, “Virasoro constraints for Kontsevich-Hurwitz partition function,” JHEP **0902** (2009) 024. [arXiv:0807.2843 [hep-th]].
- [22] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, “Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves,” Invent. Math. **146** (2001) 297-327. [math/0004096 [math.AG]].
- [23] D. Mumford, “Towards enumerative geometry on the moduli space of curves”, In: *Arithmetics and Geometry* (M. Artin, J. Tate eds.), **v.2**, Birkhauser, 1983, 271-328.
- [24] C. Faber, R. Pandharipande, “Hodge integrals and Gromov - Witten theory,” Invent. Math. **139** (2000) 173-199. [arXiv:math/9810173v1 [math.AG]].
- [25] A. Givental, “Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus,” Int. Math. Res. Notices **23** (2001) 1265-1286 [arXiv:math/0108100 [math.AG]].
- [26] A. Givental, “Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians,” Mosc. Math. J. **1** (2001), 551-568 [arXiv:math/0108100 [math.AG]].
- [27] P. G. Grinevich, A. Y. Orlov, “Flag spaces in KP theory and Virasoro action on determinant $\det D_j$ and Segal-Wilson tau function,” [math-ph/9804019].
- [28] T. Shiota, “Characterization of Jacobian varieties in terms of soliton equations,” Invent. Math., **83**, (1986), 333-382.
- [29] A. Alexandrov, “Cut-and-Join operator representation for Kontsevich–Witten tau-function,” Mod. Phys. Lett. **A26** (2011) 2193-2199. [arXiv:1009.4887 [hep-th]].
- [30] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, E. Date, “Solitons: Differential Equations, Symmetries and Infinite Dimensional Algebras,” Cambridge University Press (2000).
- [31] A. Alexandrov and A. Zabrodin, “Free fermions and tau-functions,” J. Geom. Phys. **67** (2013) 37 [arXiv:1212.6049 [math-ph]].
- [32] L. Chekhov, “Matrix models and geometry of moduli spaces,” [hep-th/9509001].
- [33] I. Kostov, “Matrix models as CFT: Genus expansion,” Nucl. Phys. **B837** (2010) 221-238. [arXiv:0912.2137 [hep-th]].

- [34] I. Kostov, N. Orantin, “CFT and topological recursion,” *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 056. [arXiv:1006.2028 [hep-th]].
- [35] A. S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, “Instantons and merons in matrix models,” *Physica* **D235** (2007) 126-167. [hep-th/0608228].
- [36] A. S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, “M-theory of matrix models,” *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **150** (2007) 179-192. [hep-th/0605171].
- [37] A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, “BGWM as Second Constituent of Complex Matrix Model,” *JHEP* **0912** (2009) 053. [arXiv:0906.3305 [hep-th]].
- [38] A. Givental, “ A_{n-1} singularities and nKdV hierarchy,” *Mosc. Math. J.* **3:2** (2003) 475505. [arXiv:math/0209205 [math.AG]].
- [39] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, S. Natanzon, “Complete Set of Cut-and-Join Operators in Hurwitz-Kontsevich Theory,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **166** (2011) 1-22. [arXiv:0904.4227 [hep-th]].
- [40] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, “Integrability properties of Hurwitz partition functions. II. Multiplication of cut-and-join operators and WDVV equations,” *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 097 [arXiv:1108.0885 [hep-th]].
- [41] S. Kharchev, “Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and generalized Kontsevich model,” [hep-th/9810091].