

# Exact solutions for the 2d one component plasma

T. D. Andersen

*Mathematics and Statistics,*

*Old Dominion University,*

*5110 Hampton Blvd., Norfolk, VA*

(Dated: Received: date / Accepted: date)

## Abstract

The 2d one component gas of pointlike charges in a uniform neutralizing background interacting with a logarithmic potential is a common model for plasmas. In its classical equilibrium statistics at fixed temperature (canonical ensemble) it is formally related to certain types of random matrices with Gaussian distribution and complex eigenvalues. In this paper, I present an exact integration of this ensemble for  $N$  such particles (or alternatively  $N \times N$  matrices) for all complex temperatures, a significant open problem in statistical physics for several decades.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Jj, 47.32.cb, 52.25.Xz, 52.35.Ra

Keywords: microcanonical ensemble, two dimensional one component plasma

Non-trivial exact solutions to statistical ensembles with finite degrees of freedom are rare. The complexity of these ensembles normally requires perturbation or mean field approaches or Monte Carlo simulations to extract meaningful results. Examples of fixed temperature ( $T = 1/\beta$ ) ensembles with exact solutions are the two-dimensional two-component Coulomb gas [21] and the one-dimensional one-component Coulomb gas with harmonic confinement potential [13][12] [19]. The two-dimensional one-component Coulomb gas has been solved exactly for  $\beta = 2$  [14] and up to  $N = 4$  [16] with speculation that it may be exactly solvable for even integer temperatures [22] but no definite result. Pair correlations have also been studied numerically at  $\beta = 4$  [5] and compactness has been studied in [18]. The N-vortex problem, which is mathematically related given conservation of angular momentum [11], is also considered in [20].

The two-dimensional, one-component plasma (OCP) model can be thought of as system of  $N$  point charges, vortex filaments or lines of charge in the complex plane interacting with a logarithmic potential. Each charge has the same sign and value, scaled to be 1, and a neutralizing background with charge  $N$ . An axisymmetric harmonic potential confines the charges towards the origin, giving the energy functional,

$$W = \sum_{i=1} |z_i|^2 - \sum_{i<j} \log |z_i - z_j|, \quad (1)$$

where  $z_i$  is the position of charge  $i$  in the complex plane. The statistical mechanics of these charges are given by the probability density,

$$p(z_1, \dots, z_N) = (Z_N(\beta))^{-1} e^{-\beta W}, \quad (2)$$

where the normalizing constant,

$$Z_N(\beta) = \int z_1 \cdots z_N e^{-\beta W}, \quad (3)$$

is the partition function [10].

Formally, this statistical ensemble corresponds to the distribution of eigenvalues of several classes of complex random matrices where the elements have Gaussian distributions [19][14]. Random matrices have important applications to the quantum theory of atomic nuclei. Energy levels are the eigenvalues of Hermitian or unitary random matrices with large order  $N$ . Because the matrices are Hermitian, the eigenvalues are restricted to the real line. In other applications such as vortex line pinning in superconductors [2][3][15] and growth

models in population biology [4], however, random matrices are often non-Hermitian and may have complex eigenvalues. Feinberg and Zee have made a study of non-Hermitian random matrices [7][1] related to the vortex pinning problem. Other applications of non-Hermitian random matrices are QCD at finite chemical potential[6] and quantum chaos [17][9]. For a review of random matrices in quantum physics see [8].

In this paper, I give the exact, closed form expression for  $Z_N$  via a limit on an exact, closed form expression for an integral coined the complex ‘‘Selberg’’ integral,

$$S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = \int_{|z_1|<1} dz_1 \cdots \int_{|z_N|<1} dz_N \times \prod_{i=1}^N |z_i|^{\lambda_1-1} (1 - |z_i|)^{\lambda_2} \prod_{j<k} |z_j - z_k|^{4\lambda}, \quad (4)$$

which is an extension of the ordinary, real Selberg integral [13] to complex variables. Each integral is over the interior of the unit circle. The closed form solution is:

$$S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = (2\pi)^N \times \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(2j\lambda + 1)\Gamma(\lambda_1 + 1 + 2j\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_2 + 1 + 2j\lambda)}{\Gamma(2\lambda + 1)\Gamma(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2 + 2(N + j - 1)\lambda)} \quad (5)$$

The complex Selberg integral is easily converted to  $Z_N$  by a change of variables and scaling before taking a limit. Using polar coordinates,  $z_i = r_i e^{i\theta_i}$ , let  $r_i = (1 - r_i/L)/2$ . Then if  $\lambda_1 = L^2/2 + 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = L^2/2$ , we have,

$$Z_N(\beta = 4\lambda) = (4\lambda)^{N+2N(N-1)\lambda} (1/2)^N \times \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} 2^{L^2} (2L)^{N+2N(N-1)\lambda} S_N(L^2/2 + 1, L^2/2, \lambda), \quad (6)$$

where I have divided by  $2^N$  because the integral over each  $r_i$  is from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  with the change of variables. The same limit has been used to give a closed form expression for the one-dimensional case [13][19]. But, until now, unlike the real Selberg integral, which has several proofs of its closed form formula, the complex Selberg integral has been unsolved.

Let  $\lambda$  be a non-negative integer, then the factor of the integrand,

$$\prod_{j<k} |z_j - z_k|^{4\lambda} = \prod_{j<k} (z_j - z_k)^{2\lambda} (z_k^* - z_j^*)^{2\lambda}. \quad (7)$$

The products are sums,

$$\prod_{j<k} (z_k - z_j)^{2\lambda} = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N} z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_N^{n_N} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\prod_{j < k} (z_k^* - z_j^*)^{2\lambda} = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N} z_1^{*n_1} \dots z_N^{*n_N} \quad (9)$$

for the conjugates.

Converting to polar coordinates,  $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$ , the integral becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= \int_0^1 dr_1 \dots \int_0^1 dr_N \prod_{l=1}^N r_l^{\lambda_1} (1 - r_l)^{\lambda_2} \\ &\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_1 \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_N \times \\ &\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N} r_1^{n_1} e^{i\theta_1 n_1} \dots r_N^{n_N} e^{i\theta_N n_N} \times \\ &\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_N} c_{m_1, \dots, m_N} r_1^{m_1} e^{-i\theta_1 m_1} \dots r_N^{m_N} e^{-i\theta_N m_N} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Now, it is easy to see that when the two factors at the end are multiplied that, for terms where the exponents do not exactly match ( $n_j = m_j$ ), the integral is zero [14]. Hence, we have a simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= (2\pi)^N \int_0^1 dr_1 \dots \int_0^1 dr_N \prod_{l=1}^N r_l^{\lambda_1} (1 - r_l)^{\lambda_2} \times \\ &\left( \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N}^2 r_1^{2n_1} \dots r_N^{2n_N} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The integral can be split into a sum of products of integrals,

$$S_N = (2\pi)^N \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N}^2 \prod_{j=1}^N \int_0^1 dr_j r_j^{\lambda_1 + 2n_j} (1 - r_j)^{\lambda_2}$$

Evaluating these integrals gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) &= (2\pi)^N \times \\ &\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N} c_{n_1, \dots, n_N}^2 \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + 1 + 2n_j) \Gamma(\lambda_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2 + 2n_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From this sum we give a proof of the main result (5).

**Lemma 1.** *The values of coefficients  $c_{n_1, \dots, n_N}$  are independent of order of  $n_1, \dots, n_N$ . For  $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_N$ , the non-zero  $c_{n_1, \dots, n_N}$  occur when  $n_1, \dots, n_N$  satisfy  $\sum_{j=1}^N n_j = N(N-1)\lambda$  and the inequalities  $(j-1)\lambda \leq n_j$  and  $n_j \leq (N+j-2)\lambda$ .*

The proof of this lemma is given in Selberg's original proof of the real Selberg integral [12].

**Lemma 2.** *The expression 12 can be evaluated as a function of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  to give,*

$$S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = c_N(\lambda) \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + 1 + 2j\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_2 + 1 + 2j\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2 + 2(N + j - 1)\lambda)}$$

*Proof.* From the inequalities for  $n_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + 1 + 2n_j)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2n_j)} &= \\ \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2(N + j - 2)\lambda)} q_{n_j}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $q_{n_j}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is a polynomial of degree  $2(N + j - 2)\lambda - 2n_j$  in  $\lambda_2$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2n_j)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2n_j)} \\ &= Q'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2(N + j - 2)\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

where the polynomial  $Q'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  has degree

$$\sum_{j=1}^N 2(N + j - 2)\lambda - 2n_j = N(N - 1)\lambda.$$

in  $\lambda_2$ .

The expression 12 is a linear combination of terms of this form. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2(N + j - 2)\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{R(\lambda_2)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2(N + j - 2)\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $N(N - 1)\lambda$  and

$$R(\lambda_2) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2 + 2(j - 1)\lambda)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2)}$$

is a polynomial of degree  $N(N - 1)\lambda$ . Since  $S_N$  is symmetric in  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  (by a change of variables  $r_j \rightarrow 1 - r_j$ ),

$$\frac{Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{R(\lambda_2)} = \frac{Q(\lambda_2, \lambda_1)}{R(\lambda_1)}.$$

Now since  $Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is divisible by  $R(\lambda_2)$  but the maximum degree of the two is the same,  $Q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)/R(\lambda_2)$  must be independent of  $\lambda_2$ . By symmetry it is also independent of  $\lambda_1$  and can only depend on  $N$  and  $\lambda$  [12].  $\square$

The above proof is very similar to Selberg's, and the value of  $c_N(\lambda)$  turns out to be similar in the 2D case to that of the 1D case.

**Lemma 3.** *The limiting case*

$$\lim_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow 1^+} (1 + \lambda_1) S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = (2\pi) N S_{N-1}(4\lambda - 1, \lambda_2, \lambda).$$

*Proof.* Since the integral is symmetrical in  $r_1, \dots, r_N$

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) &= N! \int_0^1 dr_N \int_{r_N}^1 dr_{N-1} \cdots \int_{r_2}^1 dr_1 \times \\ &\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_N \times \\ &\prod_{l=1}^N r_l^{\lambda_1} (1 - r_1)^{\lambda_2} \prod_{j < k} |r_j e^{i\theta_j} - r_k e^{i\theta_k}|^{4\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The stated result follows from the general principle,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -1^+} (1 + \alpha) \int_0^1 dr r^\alpha f(r) = f(0).$$

$\square$

Combining Lemmas 2 and 3 gives the recurrence relation,

$$c_N(\lambda) = 2\pi \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2N\lambda)}{\Gamma(1 + 2\lambda)} c_{N-1}(\lambda),$$

which resolves into

$$c_N(\lambda) = (2\pi)^N \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(2\lambda(j+1) + 1)}{\Gamma(2\lambda + 1)}.$$

Substituting this into the equation in Lemma 2 gives the evaluation 5 of the complex Selberg integral for all non-negative integers  $\lambda$ .

To extend to all complex  $\lambda$  we apply Carlson's theorem:

**Theorem 4.** *For  $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$  suppose  $f(z)$  is analytic and has bound  $|f(z)| = O(e^{\mu|z|})$ ,  $\mu < \pi$ . Suppose also that  $f(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $f(z) = 0$  identically.*

Subtracting the integral (4) from its formula (5) for integer  $\lambda$  and applying the theorem allows for the extension to all complex  $\lambda$ .

Now that we have the formula for the complex Selberg integral, we may apply the limit 6 to obtain the integration for the 2D, one component ensemble. From this limit, substituting  $\beta = 4\lambda$ , we obtain,

$$Z_N(\beta) = \pi^N (\beta)^{N+N(N-1)\beta/2} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + j\beta/2)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta/2)} \quad (15)$$

an answer which, except for the factor of  $\pi^N$  is identical to the partition function for the one dimensional case.

First, I will show the one-point density function starting with the complex Selberg version,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N(z_1; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) &= \frac{N}{S_N} \int_{|z_2| < 1} dz_2 \cdots \int_{|z_N| < 1} dz_N \\ &\prod_{i=1}^N |z_i|^{\lambda_1 - 1} (1 - |z_i|)^{\lambda_2} \prod_{j < k} |z_j - z_k|^{2\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For convenience, let  $z \equiv z_1$ . It is easy to see from the definition that, for integer  $\lambda$ , it has the closed form,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N(z; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda} |z|^{\lambda_1 - 1} (1 - |z|)^{\lambda_2} \times \\ &\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda(N-1)} |z|^{2n} \frac{\Gamma(2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2n)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda_1 + 2n)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

so that

$$\int_{|z| < 1} dz \sigma_N(z) \equiv N. \quad (18)$$

For non-integer  $\lambda$  the form is not closed. Taking the same limit as before, we have,

$$\rho_N(z) = \frac{1}{\pi\beta} e^{-\beta|z|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\beta(N-1)/2} \frac{\beta^n |z|^{2n}}{\Gamma(1 + n)}, \quad (19)$$

for even  $\beta$ . (Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} dz \rho_N(z) = N$ .) As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho_N(z) \rightarrow 1/(\pi\beta)$ . For a fixed  $N$ , increasing  $\beta$  (decreasing temperature in statistical mechanics terminology), causes the density cutoff to become more vertical, i.e. the probability of finding an eigenvalue/particle outside this cutoff approaches zero (see Fig. 1).

The main results of this investigation are the exact integration of the 2d one-component plasma, which has been an open problem for several decades, as well as the discovery of




FIG. 1: The single particle density for  $N = 25$  at different  $\beta$  shows how the density goes from a more Gaussian to a more uniform distribution as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ .

the one-particle density (a corollary of the main result). It is likely that this result may apply to a number of other results related to non-Hermitian random matrices as well as vortex statistics and plasmas (such as the guiding center plasma) such as are mentioned in the introduction. Perhaps most surprising is that the Selberg integral, originally applied only to the one-dimensional case, applies so easily to the axisymmetric 2d case. In fact, the value of  $S_N$  and  $Z_N$  differ only from their 1D counter-parts by the factor of  $(2\pi)^N$  and  $\pi^N$  respectively [13]. This raises the question as to how many other statistical results applied to one-dimensional ensembles could also be applied to axisymmetric 2d ensembles or even 3d ensembles (e.g., quaternion matrices). It may be that a number of such results in interacting particle theory could be found using the Selberg integral or other solved 1d integrals for large dimensional ensembles.

- 
- [1] Non-hermitian localization and delocalization. *Phys. Rev. E*, Jun .
  - [2] Localization transitions in non-hermitian quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Jul .
  - [3] Non-hermitian delocalization and eigenfunctions. *Phys. Rev. B*, Oct .
  - [4] Non-hermitian localization and population biology. *Phys. Rev. E*, Aug .
  - [5] Two-dimensional one-component plasma at coupling  $\gamma=4$ : Numerical study of pair correlations. *Phys. Rev. E*, Jun .
  - [6] Random matrix model of qcd at finite density and the nature of the quenched limit. *Phys.*



*Rev. Lett.*, Jun .

- [7] Non-hermitian random matrix theory: Method of hermitian reduction. *Nuclear Physics B*, 504(3):579 – 608, 1997.
- [8] Random-matrix theories in quantum physics: common concepts. *Physics Reports*, 299(4-6): 189 – 425, 1998.
- [9] O. Bohigas. *Random matrix theories and chaotic dynamics*. Elsevier Science, Les Houches, 1991.
- [10] A. J. Chorin. *Vorticity and Turbulence*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [11] M. T. DiBattista and A. Majda. Equilibrium statistical predictions for baroclinic vortices: The role of angular momentum. *Th. and Comp. Fluid Dyn.*, 14:293, 2001.
- [12] P. J. Forrester. *Log-gases and random matrices*, chapter Chap. 4. Princeton UP, Princeton, NJ, 2010.
- [13] P. J. Forrester and S. O. Warnaar. The importance of the selberg integral. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 45:489–534, 2008.
- [14] J. Ginibre. Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. *J. Math. Phys.*, 6(3):440–449, 1965.
- [15] D. E. Holz, H. Orland, and A. Zee. On the remarkable spectrum of a non-hermitian random matrix model. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen*, 36:3385–3400, 2003.
- [16] B. Jancovici. Exact results for the two-dimensional, one-component plasma. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 46:386–388, 1981.
- [17] R. A. Janik, M. A. Nowak, G. Papp, J. Wambach, and I. Zahed. Nonhermitean random matrix models : a free random variable approach. *Phys. Rev. E*, 55:4100.
- [18] C. C. Lim and S. M. Assad. Self-containment radius for rotating planar flows, single-signed vortex gas and electron plasma. *R & C Dynamics*, 10:240–54, 2005.
- [19] M. L. Mehta. *Random Matrices*. Academic Press, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [20] P. K. Newton. *The N-vortex problem*, volume 145 of *Appl. Math. Sci.* Springer, New York, 2001.
- [21] L. Šamaj. The statistical mechanics of the classical two-dimensional coulomb gas is exactly solved. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(22):5913, 2003.
- [22] L. Šamaj. Is the one-component two-dimensional plasma exactly solvable? *J. Stat. Phys.*, 117:131–158, 2004.