

On estimation of analytic density function in L_p

Natalia Stepanova
Carleton University, Canada

November 5, 2018

Abstract

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with an unknown density function f on \mathbf{R} . The function f is assumed to belong to a certain class of analytic functions. The problem of estimation of f using \mathbf{L}_p -risk, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is considered. A kernel-type estimator f_n based on X_1, \dots, X_n is proposed and the upper bound on its limiting local minimax risk is established. Our result is consistent with a conjecture of Guerre and Tsybakov (1998) and augments previous work in this area.

Keywords: minimax estimation, analytic density, kernel-type estimators

1 Introduction

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with unknown density function f_0 on \mathbf{R} . The function f_0 is assumed to belong to a class of analytic functions. The problem is to estimate f_0 using \mathbf{L}_p -risk, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Specifically, to judge the quality of an estimator $f_n(x) = f_n(x, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of $f_0(x)$ we will be using \mathbf{L}_p -risk, $1 \leq p < \infty$, based on a loss function $L(x)$ of the type

$$L(x) = l(\|x\|_p),$$

where $l : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function from a general class of loss functions \mathcal{L} and for a function f in $\mathbf{L}_p = \mathbf{L}_p(\mathbf{R})$

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

In nonparametric estimation, we only know a priori that an unknown function (such as density function, distribution function, or regression function) belongs to some functional class. Let f be an estimated function that is known to belong to a functional space \mathcal{F} . For $l \in \mathcal{L}$ consider the minimax \mathbf{L}_p -risk

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{f_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\|f_n - f\|_p),$$

where f_n is an arbitrary estimator of f . If an estimator \tilde{f}_n of f is such that

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\|\tilde{f}_n - f\|_p) \sim \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}),$$

where the relation $a_n \sim b_n$ means $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 1$, then \tilde{f}_n is called an *asymptotically efficient* (or *asymptotically minimax*) estimator of f with respect to \mathbf{L}_p -risk. After the work

of Pinsker (1980) the problem of constructing asymptotically efficient estimators with known *exact rates*, i.e., optimal rates including optimal constants, aroused considerable interest. In this regard, we can mention the works of Nussbaum (1983), Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1984), Korostelev (1993), Donoho (1994), Golubev, Levit, and Tsybakov (1996), Schipper (1996), Guerre and Tsybakov (1998), Levit and Stepanova (2004), etc.

Sometimes estimated functions admit more precise locally asymptotically efficient estimators (see, e.g., Golubev and Levit (1996), Belitser (1998)). Specializing to the estimation of the density function f_0 , suppose that for any sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 in an appropriate topology, an estimator \tilde{f}_n satisfies

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\|\tilde{f}_n - f\|_p) \sim \inf_{f_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\|f_n - f\|_p). \quad (1)$$

Then \tilde{f}_n is called a *locally asymptotically efficient* (or *locally asymptotically minimax*) estimator of f_0 with respect to \mathbf{L}_p -risk.

Among a variety of functional classes traditionally studied in nonparametric estimation, a class of analytic functions plays an important role (see, e.g., Levit and Stepanova (2004), pp. 254–255, for discussion and references). As an alternative to classes of functions of finite smoothness, analytic functions were first used for the purpose of nonparametric estimation by Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1980). For the famous Gaussian white noise model, the problem of asymptotically efficient estimation of analytic regression function using \mathbf{L}_p -risk, $1 \leq p < \infty$, was solved by Guerre and Tsybakov (1998) in a univariate case, and by Levit and Stepanova (2004) in a multivariate case. At the same time, due to the lack of normality of observations, an analogous problem of constructing (locally) asymptotically efficient estimators of analytic density remains largely unsolved. A conjecture in Remark 5 of Guerre and Tsybakov (1998), which is based on the asymptotics of the stochastic part of the \mathbf{L}_p -error of kernel density estimators in Csörgő and Horváth (1988), says that for a general class of loss functions l and any $2 \leq p < \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{f}_n} \sup_{f \in \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|\tilde{f}_n - f\|_p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|f_n^* - f\|_p) = l(1), \quad (2)$$

where $f_n^*(x)$ is the kernel density estimator of the form

$$f_n^*(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \quad K(t) = \frac{\sin t}{\pi t}, \quad h_n = \frac{2\gamma}{\log n}, \quad (3)$$

$\psi_p(n)$ is the rate function given by (21), and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(\gamma, M)$ is a class of density functions such that each $f \in \mathbb{A}$ admits an analytic continuation to the strip $S_\gamma = \{x + iy : |y| \leq \gamma\}$ with $\gamma > 0$ such that $f(x + iy)$ is analytic on the interior of S_γ , bounded on S_γ and for some $M > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x + i\gamma)|^2 dx \leq M.$$

The only case when the limiting relation (2) is proved is for $p = 2$ (see Theorem 2 of Schipper (1996)). A partial solution to the conjecture of Guerre and Tsybakov (1998) was recently provided by Mason (2009) who showed that for a general class of loss functions l , for each $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in \mathbb{A}(\gamma, M)$ one has (see Mason (2009), Sec. 3.3)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f (\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|f_n^* - f\|_p) = l(1),$$

where $\psi_p(n)$ is given by (21) and $f_n^*(x)$ is as in (3). We also know that for any $2 \leq p < \infty$ (see Mason (2009), p. 102)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathbf{L}_{p/2}(B) \cap \mathbf{A}(\gamma, M)} \mathbf{E}_f \left(\sqrt{nh_n} \|f_n^* - f\|_p \right) < \infty, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{L}_{p/2}(B)$ is the class of densities f on \mathbf{R} such that $\|f\|_{p/2} \leq B$ for some $B > 1$.

The main result of this paper, Theorem 1, augments previous work on this topic. For a general class of loss functions l , we construct a kernel-type estimator f_n of analytic density f_0 such that for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, cf. (2) and (4),

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|f_n - f\|_p) \leq l(1), \quad (5)$$

where $\psi_p(n)$ is given by (21) and \mathcal{V} is a vicinity of f_0 in a suitable topology. We expect that the lower bound

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{f}_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|\tilde{f}_n - f\|_p) \geq l(1),$$

which jointly with (5) would ensure that our estimator f_n is locally asymptotically efficient, also holds. The derivation of the lower bound, however, is a more intricate problem that “requires a considerable amount of ingenuity and special techniques” (see Mason (2009), p. 70). We shall not treat this problem here.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the class of analytic functions. In Section 3 we introduce the kernel-type estimator of an unknown analytic density function and establish its basic properties. The main result of the paper, Theorem 1, is stated in Section 4, and its proof is given in Section 5. Auxiliary results and their proofs are collected in Sections 6 and 7.

2 An analytic functional class

2.1 Preliminaries

In order to construct an appropriate functional class, we start with the class $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)$ of functions $f(z)$, $z = x + iy \in \mathbf{C}$, such that

- a) f is analytic in the strip $S_\gamma = \{x + iy : |y| < \gamma\}$;
- b) $u(x, y) = u_f(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} f(x + iy)$ is bounded in S_γ ;
- c) f is real on the real axis.

Under these conditions the limits

$$u(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \gamma} u(x, y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow -\gamma} u(x, y)$$

are known to exist and be equal, for almost all x , and the function $f(z)$ admits the following representation

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(z - s) u(s) ds, \quad |\operatorname{Im} z| < \gamma, \quad (6)$$

where

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma \cosh \frac{\pi z}{2\gamma}}.$$

Moreover, the whole class $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)$ will be obtained in this way by allowing any function u with $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$ in (6). See Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 110, p. 267–268 (without detailed proof); Timan (1960), Sec. 3.8.5, p. 150.

More general analytic classes are obtained by using the following arguments. Note that for $|y| < \gamma$,

$$\operatorname{Re} G(x + iy) = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{\cosh \frac{\pi x}{2\gamma} \cos \frac{\pi y}{2\gamma}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\pi x}{2\gamma} + \cos^2 \frac{\pi y}{2\gamma}} > 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im} G(x + iy) = -\frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{\sinh \frac{\pi x}{2\gamma} \sin \frac{\pi y}{2\gamma}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\pi x}{2\gamma} + \cos^2 \frac{\pi y}{2\gamma}}.$$

Thus by denoting

$$a = \cos \frac{\pi y}{2\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \sinh \frac{\pi x}{2\gamma},$$

we get for any $|y| < \gamma$

$$\|\operatorname{Re} G(\cdot + iy)\|_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} G(x + iy) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a\pi((t/a)^2 + 1)} = 1$$

or equivalently

$$\|\operatorname{Re} G(\cdot + iy)\|_1 = 1.$$

Therefore, by the generalized Minkowski inequality (Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 5, p. 14), for any $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|\operatorname{Re} f(\cdot + iy)\|_p = \|u(\cdot, y)\|_p \leq \|u\|_p, \quad (|y| < \gamma).$$

Assuming $\|u\|_p < \infty$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we get for $y \rightarrow \pm\gamma$, cf. Stein (1970), Theorem 2b-c), pp. 62–63,

$$u(x, y) \rightarrow u(x), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\|u(\cdot, y) - u(\cdot)\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

These considerations lead to the following definition. For given $\gamma > 0$, $M > 0$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by $\mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ the class of functions $f(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, satisfying the following conditions

- a) f admits representation (6), in which
- b) $\|u\|_p \leq M$.

Note that such functions are real on the real line, admit analytic continuation in the strip $S_\gamma = \{z : |\operatorname{Im} z| < \gamma\}$, and for almost all x have limiting values $u(x)$ at the boundary $|\operatorname{Im} z| = \gamma$.

Remark 1. *In the case of 2π -periodic analytic functions $f(z)$, which are real on the real axis, the representation (6) holds if and only if (see Sarason (1965), Wilderotter (1996))*

$$\sup_{|y| < \gamma} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |u(x, y)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It seems plausible that in our case a similar role is played by the condition

$$\sup_{|y| < \gamma} \|u(\cdot, y)\|_p < \infty.$$

2.2 The best harmonic approximation of classes $\mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Recall that an entire function $g(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$, is said to be the *entire function of exponential type N* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive constant $A = A_\varepsilon$ such that $|g(z)| \leq Ae^{(N+\varepsilon)|z|}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Denote by \mathcal{E}_N the class of entire functions $g(x)$ of exponential type N such that $g \in \mathbf{L}_1$. It is known that such functions are necessarily bounded on \mathbf{R} (see Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 83). Hence, they belong to \mathbf{L}_p , for any $p \geq 1$. The Fourier transform of $g \in \mathcal{E}_N$ will be denoted by \hat{g} :

$$\hat{g}(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)e^{-itx} dx, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By the Wiener-Paley Theorem (see Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 82) the Fourier transform $\hat{g}(t)$ of any function $g \in \mathcal{E}_N$ vanishes outside the interval $[-N, N]$.

The problem of the best approximation in \mathbf{L}_p of functions $f \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ by functions $g \in \mathcal{E}_N$ is discussed in Akhiezer (1965), Ch. IV–V. Further references can be found therein. The importance of such approximations in nonparametric density estimation has been demonstrated in Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1980).

A starting point in finding such an approximation is to find a best harmonic approximation $G_N \in \mathcal{E}_N$ in \mathbf{L}_1 for the function $G(x)$ appearing in (6). Here we cite the following result from Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 88, p. 207, and Sec. 110, p. 268. There exists a function $G_N \in \mathcal{E}_N$ such that

$$\|G - G_N\|_1 = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{E}_N} \|G - g\|_1 = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1) \cosh(2k+1)N\gamma} < \frac{8}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N}.$$

Note that since $\|G_N\|_1 < \infty$, the function $f_N^*(x) = (G_N * u)(x)$ is in \mathbf{L}_p . Moreover, Akhiezer (1965) shows (see Sec. 97, p. 228; and Sec. 100, p. 237) that $f_N^* \in \mathcal{E}_N$. Thus another application of the generalized Minkowski inequality gives, uniformly over $\mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\|f - f_N^*\|_p = \|(G - G_N) * u\|_p \leq \|G - G_N\|_1 \|u\|_p \leq \frac{8M}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N}.$$

This is of course a merely existence result, as f_N^* cannot be viewed as ‘constructive’ approximation. Indeed, finding f_N^* involves knowing u , which in turn requires solving the integral equation (6). This is known as *incorrect problem* in the Theory of Integral Equations. Nevertheless, the very existence of f_N^* with the above properties can be used to produce a ‘constructive’ approximation to function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ which is nearly as good as its best harmonic approximation.

2.3 Multipliers

Consider the class \mathcal{M}_N of functions g such that

- a) $g \in \mathbf{L}_1(\mathbf{R})$;
- b) $\hat{g}(t) \equiv 1$, for $|t| \leq N$.

Such functions we will call *multipliers*, in a slight variation of how this term is defined in the Theory of Approximation. Multipliers produce *constructive approximation* to a given function f in any \mathbf{L}_p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, in the form $g * f$, which is almost as good as the best harmonic approximation of f . Indeed, using the best harmonic approximation f_N^* from the above, and noting that by the Convolution Theorem $g * f_N^* \equiv f_N^*$, we obtain, by another application of the generalized Minkowski inequality, that for any $g \in \mathcal{M}_N$, uniformly over $\mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g * f - f\|_p &= \|g * (f - f_N^* + f_N^*) - f\|_p = \|g * (f - f_N^*) + (f_N^* - f)\|_p \\ &\leq \|g * (f - f_N^*)\|_p + \|f_N^* - f\|_p \leq (1 + \|g\|_1) \|f_N^* - f\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{8M(1 + \|g\|_1)}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In other words, an application of a multiplier to a function f affects the rate of the best harmonic approximation only by a factor $(1 + \|g\|_1)$.

2.4 A class of density functions

Now we introduce the functional class of interest. When estimating density function using \mathbf{L}_p -risk, we will distinguish between the two cases: $1 \leq p < 2$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$. The case of $1 \leq p < 2$ requires separate consideration and more severe assumptions on the underlying class of functions. Specifically, let $\gamma > 0$ and $M > 0$ be given numbers, and let for $2 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M) = \{f : f \text{ is a density on } \mathbf{R} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M) \cap \mathbf{L}_{p/2}\},$$

and for $1 \leq p < 2$ and some $(2 - p)/p < \lambda \leq 2$

$$\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M) = \{f : f \text{ is a density on } \mathbf{R}, f \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma, p, M) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^\lambda f(x) dx < \infty\}.$$

By Lemma 1 of Mason (2009), when $1 \leq p < 2$ any function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ is in $\mathbf{L}_{p/2}$. Also, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ any function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ is a bounded function that belongs to \mathbf{L}_p . Indeed, by (6) and Hölder's inequality for any $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |G(x - s)u(s)| ds \leq \|G\|_q \|u\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(2\gamma)^{1/p} \pi^{1/q}} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\cosh^q x} \right)^{1/q} =: C(\gamma, p, M) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^p dx \leq \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^{p/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^{p/2} dx < \infty.$$

Now let $\mathbb{T}(\gamma, p, M)$ be the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ induced by the distance

$$\rho_p(f, g) = \begin{cases} \|u_f - u_g\|_p + \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^\lambda |f(x) - g(x)| dx, & 1 \leq p < 2, \\ \|u_f - u_g\|_p + \|f - g\|_{p/2}, & 2 \leq p < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where λ is the same as in the definition of $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and

$$u_f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\gamma} \operatorname{Re} f(x + iy), \quad u_g(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\gamma} \operatorname{Re} g(x + iy).$$

This is a strong topology in the sense that closeness with respect to ρ_p implies, via (6) and Hölder's inequality, closeness in the uniform topology. Also, by the inverse triangular inequality, closeness with respect to ρ_p implies closeness of the $\mathbf{L}_{p/2}$ -norms, $2 \leq p < \infty$. With respect to the topology $\mathbb{T}(\gamma, p, M)$, we have

- (A1) for all $1 \leq p < 2$ and some $(2-p)/p < \lambda \leq 2$ as above, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, the integral $\int_{|x|>B} |x|^\lambda f(x) dx$ converges to zero as $B \rightarrow \infty$;
- (A2) for $2 \leq p < \infty$, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, the integral $\int_{|x|>B} f^{p/2}(x) dx$ converges to zero as $B \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2. For $1 \leq p < 2$, due to (A1) and Lemma 1 of Mason (2009), locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, the integral $\int_{|x|>B} f^{p/2}(x) dx$ converges to zero as $B \rightarrow \infty$.

3 Kernel-type estimators

In this section, we assume that X, X_1, X_2, \dots are independent random variables with common density function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

3.1 Construction of the kernel

To estimate analytic density with \mathbf{L}_p -risk, we will be using a kernel-type estimator of the form

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(x - X_i), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $g \in \mathcal{M}_N$ (see Section 3.1 for the definition of \mathcal{M}_N). We will see that the systematic error (the bias term) of a such estimator is completely determined by $\|g * f - f\|_p$, whereas its stochastic term is determined by

$$\|g\|_2 \|f\|_{p/2}.$$

Note that by the Parseval inequality for any $g \in \mathcal{M}_N$,

$$\|g\|_2^2 = (2\pi)^{-1} \|\hat{g}\|_2^2 \geq N/\pi.$$

Thus our goal is to find a multiplier $g \in \mathcal{M}_N$ whose \mathbf{L}_2 -norm is 'close' to the lowest possible bound $\sqrt{N/\pi}$ and which, at the same time, has a reasonably well-behaved \mathbf{L}_1 -norm $\|g\|_1$. In other words, the question is – informally – how to make $\|g\|_2$ and $\|g\|_1$ both small? For this, we will consider a classical family of kernels well known in Approximation Theory:

$$k(x; \theta) = \frac{\cos \theta x - \cos x}{\pi(1 - \theta)x^2}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 1.$$

In Akhiezer (1965) they are called *Fejér-type kernels*. For $\theta = 1$ we obtain, as a limiting case, the sinc function:

$$k(x) = k(x; 1) = \frac{\sin x}{\pi x}.$$

As other special cases we obtain the Fejér ($\theta = 0$) and the Vallee Poussin ($\theta = 1/2$) kernels (see the Table below).

$k(x)$	θ	Kernel
$\frac{2 \sin^2 \frac{x}{2}}{\pi x^2}$	0	Fejér
$\frac{2(\cos(x/2) - \cos x)}{\pi x^2}$	1/2	Vallée-Poussin
$\frac{\sin x}{\pi x}$	1	sinc function

The Fourier transforms of these kernels are given by

$$\hat{k}(t; \theta) = \mathbb{I}(|t| \leq \theta) + \frac{1 - |t|}{1 - \theta} \mathbb{I}(\theta \leq |t| \leq 1). \quad (8)$$

We have

$$\|k(\cdot; \theta)\|_2^2 = \frac{1 + \theta}{2\pi}$$

and, cf. Akhiezer (1965), Sec. 106, p. 255,

$$\frac{4}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1 + \theta}{1 - \theta} + \frac{1}{3} \leq \|k(\cdot; \theta)\|_1 \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1 + \theta}{1 - \theta} + 2.$$

Using the above family of kernels, it is easy to construct, for any $0 < \theta < 1$, a multiplier $k_N \in \mathcal{M}_N$ as

$$k_N(x; \theta) = \frac{N}{\theta} k\left(\frac{Nx}{\theta}; \theta\right).$$

Note that by the above formulas

$$\|k_N(\cdot; \theta)\|_1 = \|k(\cdot; \theta)\|_1 \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1 + \theta}{1 - \theta} + 2$$

and

$$\frac{N}{\pi} \leq \|k_N(\cdot; \theta)\|_2^2 = \frac{N}{\theta} \|k(\cdot; \theta)\|_2^2 = \frac{N}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\theta}\right). \quad (9)$$

Now, a meaningful choice of the kernel from the above family is obvious, at least when N becomes large. To achieve an asymptotic equality between left and right sides in (9) we choose $\theta = \theta_N \nearrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For instance, if we choose $\theta_N = 1 - \frac{c}{N}$, $c > 0$, we get

$$\|k_N(\cdot; \theta)\|_2^2 = \frac{N}{\pi} + O(1)$$

and, at the same time,

$$\|k_N(\cdot; \theta)\|_1 = \|k(\cdot; \theta)\|_1 = O(\log N).$$

Definition. We call a sequence of kernels $k_N(x) \in \mathcal{M}_N$ an *asymptotic $(\mathcal{E}_N, \mathbf{L}_1)$ -multiplier*, if

- a) $\hat{k}_N(t) \equiv 1$ for $|t| \leq N$,
- b) $\frac{\pi}{N} \|k_N\|_2^2 \rightarrow 1$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,
- c) $\|k_N\|_1 = O(\log N)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 3. Our definition catches some of the characteristic features of multipliers, as they are defined in Approximation Theory, but is somewhat different by taking into account the stochastic nature of nonparametric estimation. Neither of the classical kernels are multipliers, in the above sense. The sinc kernel satisfies a) and b), with an exact equality $\|k\|_2^2 = N/\pi$, but is not in \mathbf{L}_1 . The Fejér kernel does not satisfy a) whereas the Vallée-Poussin kernel does not satisfy b).

3.2 Definition of the kernel-type estimator

Following the discussion of the previous subsection, we attempt to estimate f by means of the kernel-type estimator

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_{h_n}(x - X_i), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (10)$$

where $k_{h_n}(x) = h_n^{-1} k_n(h_n^{-1}x)$ is the scaled Fejér-type kernel based on (see Section 3.1)

$$k_n(x) = \frac{\cos(\theta_n x) - \cos(x)}{\pi(1 - \theta_n)x^2}, \quad (11)$$

with

$$h_n = \frac{\theta_n}{N}, \quad \theta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \quad N = \frac{\log n}{2\gamma}.$$

A remarkable feature of our estimator $f_n(x)$ is that the sequence $k_{h_n}(x)$ is an asymptotic $(\mathcal{E}_N, \mathbf{L}_1)$ -multiplier (with $N = \frac{\log n}{2\gamma}$). To see this, observe that (see Section 3.1)

$$k_n(x) = k_n(-x), \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} k_n(x) dx = 1, \quad \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} k_n(x) = k_n(0) = \frac{1}{\pi}; \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{k}_n(t) = 1, \quad \text{for } |t| \leq \theta_n; \quad (13)$$

$$\|k_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1 + \theta_n}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} + O(N^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty; \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{4}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1 + \theta_n}{1 - \theta_n} + \frac{1}{3} \leq \|k_n\|_1 \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1 + \theta_n}{1 - \theta_n} + 2,$$

and hence

$$\|k_n\|_1 = O(\log N), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

Therefore

$$\hat{k}_{h_n}(t) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } |t| \leq N,$$

and as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\pi}{N} \|k_{h_n}\|_2^2 \rightarrow 1, \quad \|k_{h_n}\|_1 = O(\log N). \quad (16)$$

In subsequent discussion, the fact that $k_{h_n}(x)$ is an asymptotic $(\mathcal{E}_N, \mathbf{L}_1)$ -multiplier will allow us to apply inequality (7) for establishing some useful properties of this function.

Note also that the parameters h_n , θ_n and N are chosen to satisfy

$$h_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad nh_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

3.3 Some properties of the scaled kernel

In this section, we establish further asymptotic properties of the scaled kernel

$$k_{h_n}(x) = h_n^{-1} k_n(h_n^{-1}x).$$

We have

$$\max_x k_{h_n}(x) = k_{h_n}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi h_n}, \quad (17)$$

and, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X) = f(x) + O(n^{-1/2} \log N), \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}^2(x - X) = \frac{f(x)}{\pi h_n} + O(\log N). \quad (19)$$

Equality (17) is obvious. Let us prove (18) and (19). We get from (7), (15), and (17) that, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X) - f(x)| &= \|k_{h_n} * f - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{8M(1 + \|k_{h_n}\|_1)}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N} \\ &= O(n^{-1/2} \log N), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (18).

The proof of (19) is a more delicate problem. For given $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, consider the function

$$k_{h_n}(x - t)f(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

and observe that

$$\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}^2(x - X) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} k_{h_n}^2(x - t)f(t)dt = (k_{h_n} * [k_{h_n}(x - \cdot)f(\cdot)])(x).$$

In order to prove (19) we shall apply inequality (7). We can do this because $k_{h_n}(x)$ is an asymptotic $(\mathcal{E}_N, \mathbf{L}_1)$ -multiplier (see Section 3.2 for details), and for any $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, the function $k_{h_n}(x - t)f(t)$ belongs (as a function of t) to the class $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M_n)$, with a constant $M_n = O(n^{1/2})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The latter fact is easy to verify. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $\gamma > 0$, and all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{Re} k_{h_n}(x - (\cdot \pm i\gamma))f(\cdot \pm i\gamma)\|_p &\leq M \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |k_{h_n}(x - (t \pm i\gamma))| \\ &= \frac{MNh_n}{\pi} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{|\cos(Nx - N(t \pm i\gamma)) - \cos(h_n^{-1}x - h_n^{-1}(t \pm i\gamma))|}{|x - (t \pm i\gamma)|^2} \\ &\leq M_\gamma e^{\gamma N} = M_\gamma n^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, noting that when $t = x$ one has

$$k_{h_n}(0)f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\pi h_n},$$

we infer from (7) and (16) that, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}^2(x - X) - (\pi h_n)^{-1} f(x)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |(k_{h_n} * [k_{h_n}(x - \cdot)f(\cdot)])(x) - k_{h_n}(x - x)f(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{M_\gamma n^{1/2}(1 + \|k_{h_n}\|_1)}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N} = O(\log N), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (19).

4 Main result

The main result of the paper deals with an upper bound on the local maximal L_p -risk of our estimator f_n of density $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, and provides a partial solution to the conjecture of Guerre and Tsybakov (see relation (2)). Before stating the result, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ put

$$\beta_p = \pi^{-1/2} \|f\|_{p/2}^{1/2} M_p, \quad M_p = (\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)|^p)^{1/p} = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \right)^{1/p}, \quad (20)$$

and define the *rate function* by

$$\psi_p(n) = (nh_n)^{-1/2} \beta_p. \quad (21)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ a class of loss functions that consists of non-decreasing functions $l : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $l(0) = 0$, $l(x)$ is continuous at $x = 1$, and for some positive constants A and B ,

$$l(x) \leq A e^{B|x|}, \quad x \in [0, \infty). \quad (22)$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with density function $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, and let f_n be an estimator of f_0 given by (10). Then for any $l \in \mathcal{L}$ and any $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f l(\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|f_n - f\|_p) \leq l(1),$$

where \mathcal{V} is an arbitrary vicinity of f_0 in the topology $\mathbb{T}(\gamma, p, M)$.

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and let $f_n(x)$ be an estimator of $f(x)$ given by (10). Consider the variance-bias decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) - f(x) &= (f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)) + (\mathbf{E}_f f_n(x) - f(x)) \\ &= (nh_n)^{-1/2} \xi_n(x) + b_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\xi_n(x) = \xi_n(x, X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an infinitely differentiable zero-mean stochastic term and $b_n(x)$ is a bias term defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n(x) &= \left(\frac{h_n}{n}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (k_{h_n}(x - X_i) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)), \\ b_n(x) &= \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - f(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following four lemmas proved in Section 7.

Lemma 1. *For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|\xi_n\|_p^p \rightarrow \beta_p^p, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where β_p is given by (20).

Lemma 2. *For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\mathbf{Var}_f \|\xi_n\|_p^p \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The next lemma deals with the bias term b_n .

Lemma 3. *For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\|b_n\|_p = o(\mathbf{E}_f \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p).$$

The fourth lemma ensures the uniform integrability of the sequence $\{l(\psi_p^{-1}(n)) \|f_n - f\|_p\}$ uniformly in a vicinity of $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$.

Lemma 4. *For any $l \in \mathcal{L}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f l^{1+\tau}(\psi_p^{-1}(n)) \|f_n - f\|_p < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Lemmas 1 and 2 in conjunction with Chebyshev's inequality imply, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\psi_p^{-1}(n) \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p \xrightarrow{P} 1,$$

Since $l(x)$ is continuous at $x = 1$, the combination of this relation with Lemmas 3 and 4 yields via (23) the conclusion of Theorem 1. \square

6 Proofs of Lemmas

We shall first formulate several preliminary results that will be used in the proofs of Lemmas 1–4.

6.1 Propositions

In the next section, under the conditions of Theorem 1, we shall prove three technical propositions connected to the stochastic error $\xi_n(x)$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\xi_n(x)$ be the stochastic term in decomposition (23), where X_1, X_2, \dots , is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with density function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$. For any $p > 2$ we have for some constant $L_p > 0$, and all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|\xi_n\|_p^r \leq \left(\frac{rL_p}{1 + \log r} \right)^r \left[\frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(y) dy \right)^{r/p} + \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2-r/p}} \right) + \frac{h_n^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^r} \right]. \quad (24)$$

For $p = 2$ we have for some constant $L_2 > 0$, and all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|\xi_n\|_2^r \leq \left(\frac{rL_2}{1 + \log r} \right)^r \left[\frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} + \frac{h_n^{r/2}}{(nh_n)^r} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Moreover, for a given $1 \leq p < 2$ and some $(2-p)/p < \lambda \leq 2$, we have for some constant $L_p > 0$ and all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|\xi_n\|_p^r \leq \left(\frac{rL_p}{1 + \log r} \right)^r \left[\frac{\left(C^{1/p}(\lambda, p) (1 + 2^{\lambda-1} (\mathbf{E}|X|^\lambda + h_n^\lambda \mathbf{E}|Y_n|^\lambda))^{1/2} \right)^r}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} + \frac{h_n^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^r} \right], \quad (26)$$

where X has density $f(x)$ and Y_n has density $k_n^2(x)/\|k_n\|_2^2$.

An immediate consequence of Proposition 1 is the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $t > 0$, whenever the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f \exp \left(t \sqrt{nh_n} \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p \right) < \infty.$$

In terms of the process $\xi_n(x)$ we have for every $t > 0$, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f \exp(t \|\xi_n\|_p) < \infty,$$

Proof of Corollary 1. Observe that for $2 \leq p < \infty$ the norm $\|f\|_{p/2}$ of $f \in \mathcal{V}$ can be made as close to $\|f_0\|_{p/2}$ as desired, since a vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 can be made arbitrarily small. Similarly, for $1 \leq p < 2$ the expectation $\mathbf{E}_f |X|^\lambda$ can be made as close to $\mathbf{E}_{f_0} |X|^\lambda$ as desired. Also, for all $1 \leq p < 2$ and all $(2-p)/p < \lambda \leq 2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} h_n^\lambda \mathbf{E} |Y_n|^\lambda &= \frac{8h_n^\lambda}{\pi^2 \|k_n\|_2^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2(y(1+\theta_n)/2) \sin^2(y(1-\theta_n)/2)}{(1-\theta_n)^2 y^{4-\lambda}} dy \\ &= \frac{8h_n^\lambda}{\pi^2 \|k_n\|_2^2 (1-\theta_n)^2} \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^{h_n^{-1}} + \int_{h_n^{-1}}^\infty \right) \frac{\sin^2(y(1+\theta_n)/2) \sin^2(y(1-\theta_n)/2)}{y^{4-\lambda}} dy \\ &= O(h_n^{\lambda-2}) \int_0^1 \frac{(y(1+\theta_n)/2)^2 (y(1-\theta_n)/2)^2}{y^{4-\lambda}} dy + O(h_n^{\lambda-2}) \int_1^{h_n^{-1}} \frac{(y(1-\theta_n)/2)^2}{y^{4-\lambda}} dy \\ &\quad + O(h_n^{\lambda-2}) \int_{h_n^{-1}}^\infty \frac{dy}{y^{4-\lambda}} = O(h_n^\lambda) + O(h_n) + O(h_n) = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Therefore, whenever the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 , we have, using Proposition 1, that for some constant $A > 0$, and for all $r \geq 1$ and all sufficiently large n ,

$$(nh_n)^{r/2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p^r \leq \left(\frac{rA}{1 + \log r} \right)^r \left(1 + \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \right).$$

From this using Stirling's approximation, which says for $r \geq 1$ that $r! > (r/e)^r$, and the assumption $nh_n \rightarrow \infty$, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f \exp\left(t(nh_n)^{1/2} \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{(nh_n)^{r/2} t^r}{r!} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f \|f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n\|_p^r \leq 1 + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{(tAr)^r}{(1 + \log r)^r r!} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \right) \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{r=1}^\infty \frac{(tAe)^r}{(1 + \log r)^r} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, for all $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 , there exist $n^* > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$ and all $f \in \mathcal{V}$*

$$\mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x| > B} |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \right)^r < \varepsilon.$$

In order to state the next proposition, we need a concept of the *uniform weak convergence* (see, for example, Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1981), p. 365.)

Definition. Let η_θ and $\eta_{n\theta}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be random k -vectors with respective distributions \mathbf{P}_θ and $\mathbf{P}_{n\theta}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that depend on a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$. We say that $\eta_{n\theta}$ converges weakly to η_θ uniformly in $\theta \in \Theta$, if for any continuous bounded function $g : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, uniformly over Θ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^k} g(x) d\mathbf{P}_{n\theta}(x) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} g(x) d\mathbf{P}_\theta(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{E}_\theta g(\eta_{n\theta}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\theta g(\eta_\theta).$$

In what follows, we think of vectors in \mathbf{R}^k as row vectors. The next statement is a uniform version of the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 15 in Appendix I of Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1981).

Fact 1. Let $\xi_{1,n}, \dots, \xi_{n,n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of series of random k -vectors that are independent within each series, and such that the distribution $\mathbf{P}_{i,n}^\theta$ of $\xi_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, depends on a parameter $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\mathbf{E}_\theta \xi_{i,n} = 0 \in \mathbf{R}^k$, $\zeta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{i,n}$, and $\mathbf{E}_\theta |\xi_{i,n}|^2 < \infty$, where $|x|^2 = (x, x)$ is the square of the norm of x . Put

$$\sigma_n^2(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_\theta \xi_{i,n}^\top \xi_{i,n}.$$

If $\sigma_n^2(\theta) \rightarrow \sigma^2(\theta)$ uniformly in $\theta \in \Theta$ and the Lindeberg condition holds uniformly over Θ , that is, for any $\tau > 0$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_\theta (|\xi_{i,n}|^2 \mathbb{I}(|\xi_{i,n}| > \tau)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (28)$$

then uniformly in $\theta \in \Theta$

$$\zeta_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will apply the preceding fact to the sequence $(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\xi_n(x)$ is the stochastic error of $f_n(x)$ in the decomposition (23) and $x \neq y$. To this end, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, put

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,n}(x, y) = (\eta_{i,n}(x), \eta_{i,n}(y)), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\eta_{i,n}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{h_n}{n}} [k_{h_n}(x - X_i) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)], \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

and observe that

$$(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,n}(x, y), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and consider the region

$$D_n = D_n(\delta) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x - y| \geq N^{-(1-\delta)/2}\}, \quad N = \frac{\log n}{2\gamma}. \quad (30)$$

When $(x, y) \in D_n$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, the components of $(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y))$ are weakly correlated and for all large enough n the uniform CLT applies.

Proposition 3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, for all $p \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n^* > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$, any continuous bounded function $g : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, and all $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,*

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} |\mathbf{E}_f g((\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y))) - \mathbf{E}_f g(\zeta(x, y))| < \varepsilon, \quad (31)$$

where $\zeta(x, y)$ is a mean zero normal random vector with covariance matrix $\mathbf{Cov}_f(\zeta(x, y)) = \text{Diag}(\pi^{-1}f(x), \pi^{-1}f(y))$.

6.2 Proofs of Lemmas 1–4

The proofs of Lemmas 1–4 are largely based on Propositions 1–3.

Proof of Lemma 1. First, we show that for any $p \geq 1$, uniformly over a small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)|^p \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \left| \sqrt{\frac{f(x)}{\pi}} \xi \right|^p = \frac{f^{p/2}(x)}{\pi^{p/2}} M_p^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (32)$$

where ξ is a standard normal random variable and $M_p = (\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)|^p)^{1/p}$. Indeed, by the CLT and the continuity theorem, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\xi_n(x)|^p \xrightarrow{d} \frac{f^{p/2}(x)}{\pi^{p/2}} |\xi|^p, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove (32) it is sufficient to show that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p)^{1+\tau} < c < \infty. \quad (33)$$

Note that for any $p > 0$,

$$|x|^p \leq ce^{|x|} < c(e^x + e^{-x}), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Thus (32) holds provided for a sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbf{E}_f e^{\pm \xi_n(x)} < c < \infty. \quad (34)$$

The proofs of both cases in (34) are similar. Let us consider the case of the plus sign. By independence

$$\mathbf{E}_f \exp \{ \xi_n(x) \} = \left(\mathbf{E}_f \exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{h_n}{n}} (k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)) \right\} \right)^n.$$

Using Taylor's expansion, formulas (17)–(19), and the fact that $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ is a bounded

function, for some $C > 0$ and all sufficiently large n , uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_f \exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{h_n}{n}} (k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)) \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{E}_f \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\sqrt{\frac{h_n}{n}} (k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)) \right)^j \right) \\
&= 1 + \frac{h_n}{2n} \mathbf{Var} k_{h_n}(x - X_1) + \mathbf{E}_f \left(\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\sqrt{\frac{h_n}{n}} (k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)) \right)^j \right) \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{C}{2n} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{(C \log n)^j}{n^{j/2} (\log n)^{j/2} j!} = 1 + \frac{C}{2n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{(C \log n)^{j/2}}{n^{(j-2)/2} j!} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $p > 0$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)|^p < (2c) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{C}{n} \right)^n = \text{const} < \infty, \quad (35)$$

and relation (34), and hence relation (33), follows.

Next, by conditions **(A1)** and **(A2)**, Remark 2, and Proposition 2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 , there exist numbers $n^* > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$ and all $f \in \mathcal{V}$ the inequalities

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{|x| > B} f^{p/2}(x) dx < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{|x| > B} \mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)|^p dx < \varepsilon$$

hold simultaneously. Thus, we only need to show that, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\int_{-B}^B \mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{-B}^B \mathbf{E}_f \left| \sqrt{\frac{f(x)}{\pi}} \xi \right|^p dx = \beta_p^p, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get from (32) and (35) that the above limiting relation holds true. The proof of Lemma 1 is completed. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. In the proof, the key role belongs to Proposition 2. From Proposition 2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sufficiently small vicinity \mathcal{V} of f_0 , there exist $n^* > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$,

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \left| \mathbf{Var}_f \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \xi_n(x)^p dx \right) - \mathbf{Var}_f \left(\int_{|x| \leq B} |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \right) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Due to the identity

$$\mathbf{Var}_f \left(\int_{|x| \leq B} |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \right) = \iint_{[-B, B]^2} \mathbf{Cov}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p, |\xi_n(y)|^p) dx dy, \quad (36)$$

the problem is reduced to showing that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{[-B, B]^2} \mathbf{Cov}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p, |\xi_n(y)|^p) dx dy = 0.$$

As in Proposition 3, consider the region

$$D_n = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x - y| \geq N^{-(1-\delta)/2}\}, \quad N = \frac{\log n}{2\gamma},$$

with $\delta \in (0, 1)$ being fixed, and let D_n^c be its complement (in \mathbf{R}^2). Since

$$|[-B, B]^2 \cap D_n^c| = O(N^{-(1-\delta)/2}),$$

it follows from (36) and Proposition 1 that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \iint_{[-B, B]^2 \cap D_n^c} \mathbf{Cov}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p, |\xi_n(y)|^p) dx dy = 0,$$

and it remains to show that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \iint_{[-B, B]^2 \cap D_n} \mathbf{Cov}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p, |\xi_n(y)|^p) dx dy = 0. \quad (37)$$

In order to prove (37) we shall use Proposition 3 and the fact that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$, the sequences $\{|\xi_n(x)|^p\}$ and $\{|\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)|^p\}$ are uniformly integrable. The uniform integrability of $\{|\xi_n(x)|^p\}$ has been already verified (see (35)). The sequence $\{|\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)|^p\}$ is treated similarly. For any $p > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)|^p \leq c \mathbf{E}_f (e^{\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)} + e^{-\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)}).$$

For the plus sign, by independence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_f \exp\{\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)\} \\ &= (\mathbf{E}_f \exp\{(n^{-1}h_n)[k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)][k_{h_n}(y - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(y - X_1)]\})^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (17)–(19), for some $C > 0$ and all sufficiently large n , uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_f \exp\{(n^{-1}h_n)[k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)][k_{h_n}(y - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(y - X_1)]\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_f \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} ((n^{-1}h_n)[k_{h_n}(x - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(x - X_1)][k_{h_n}(y - X_1) - \mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(y - X_1)]^j \right) \\ & \leq 1 + \frac{C}{2n} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(C \log n)^{2j}}{n^j (\log n)^j j!} = 1 + \frac{C}{2n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(C \log n)^j}{n^{j-1} j!} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f |\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)|^p < (2c) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{C}{n}\right)^n = \text{const} < \infty,$$

and the uniform integrability of the sequence $\{|\xi_n(x)\xi_n(y)|^p\}$ follows.

Therefore, by Proposition 3 and the continuity theorem we readily conclude that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{V} \searrow f_0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{V}} \sup_{(x, y) \in D_n} \mathbf{Cov}_f (|\xi_n(x)|^p, |\xi_n(y)|^p) = 0,$$

which yields (37). The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Lemma 3. It was shown in Section 3.2 that the scaled kernel

$$k_{h_n}(x) = h_n^{-1}k_n(h_n^{-1}x),$$

with $k_n(x)$ given by (11) and $h_n = N^{-1}(1 - N^{-1})$, is an asymptotic $(\mathcal{E}_N, \mathbf{L}_1)$ -multiplier. Therefore it follows from (7) and (15) that for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_n(\cdot)\|_p &= \|\mathbf{E}_f k_{h_n}(\cdot - X) - f(\cdot)\|_p = \|k_{h_n} * f(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{8M(1 + \|k_n\|_1)}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N} = O(\log N)e^{-\gamma N} = O\left(n^{-1/2} \log N\right). \end{aligned}$$

At the same time, due to Lemma 1, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|f_n - \mathbf{E}f_n\|_p = O\left(n^{-1/2}N^{1/2}\right).$$

Hence, locally uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\|b_n\|_p = o\left(\mathbf{E}_f \|f_n - \mathbf{E}f_n\|_p\right),$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Lemma 4. In view of condition (22), the lemma is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1. \square

7 Proofs of Propositions

Proof of Proposition 1. Proposition 1 is a modification of Proposition 2 of Mason (2009). The latter deals with the kernel estimator

$$\tilde{f}_n(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (38)$$

where K is a fixed kernel function such that the least decreasing radial majorant of $K^2/\|K\|_2^2$ defined by $\Psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} K^2(y)/\|K\|_2^2$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, is integrable. Mason (2009) suggested a powerful method for deriving good asymptotic bound for

$$\mathbf{E} \int_A |\tilde{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_n(x)|^p dx, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

with \tilde{f}_n given by (38) and A being a measurable subset of \mathbf{R} . Moreover, he provided a useful finite sample bound for

$$\mathbf{E} \|\tilde{f}_n - \mathbf{E}\tilde{f}_n\|_p^r, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad r \geq 1,$$

see also Lemma 4 of Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1980).

Unlike Mason, we allow our kernel $k_n(x)$ to change with n rather than being fixed. Due to properties (12)–(15), this, however, does not effect the method. The integrability of

$$\Psi_n(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} k_n^2(y) / \|k_n\|_2^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where k_n is the Fejér-type kernel given by (11) is obvious.

When applying Proposition 2 of Mason (2009) to $\xi_n(x)$, we use the fact that by (12) and (14), for any $p \geq 2$,

$$\|k_n\|_p^p \leq \max_x |k_n(x)|^{p-2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} k_n^2(x) dx = k_n^{p-2}(0) \|k_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^{p-2}} \frac{1 + \theta_n}{2\pi} \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{p-1}}. \quad (39)$$

Note also that for $1 \leq p < 2$, the method of Mason requires the existence of a constant $\lambda > (2 - p)/p$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}|X|^\lambda < \infty, \quad \mathbf{E}|Y_n|^\lambda < \infty,$$

where the random variables X and Y_n have densities $f(x)$ and $k_n^2(x) / \|k_n\|_2^2$, respectively. In our case, the existence of such λ follows from the definition of the class $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and the fact that for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, cf. Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2000), 3.828.9, 3.828.10, and (27),

$$\mathbf{E}|Y_n|^\lambda = \frac{8}{\pi^2 \|k_n\|_2^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2(y(1 + \theta_n)/2) \sin^2(y(1 - \theta_n)/2)}{(1 - \theta_n)^2 y^{4-\lambda}} dy < \infty. \quad (40)$$

When $1 \leq p < 2$ the set $\{\lambda : (2 - p)/p < \lambda \leq 2\}$ is non-empty, so that the required constant $\lambda > (2 - p)/p$, for which $\mathbf{E}|Y_n|^\lambda < \infty$, does exist. Therefore, for the proof of Proposition 1 we refer to Mason (2009), pp. 75–78.

Proof of Proposition 2. In view of conditions **(A1)** and **(A2)**, it suffices to show that for any $B > 0$, all $p \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$, we can find a constant $C_{p,r}$ such that for any function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x| > B} |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \right)^r \leq C_{p,r} \left(\int_{|x| > B} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^r, \quad (41)$$

and for any $B > 0$, all $1 \leq p < 2$ and $r \geq 1$, we can find a constant $D_{p,r}$ such that for any function $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x| > B} |\xi_n(x)|^p dx \right)^r \leq D_{p,r} \left(\int_{|x| > B} (1 + |x|^\lambda) f(x) dx \right)^r. \quad (42)$$

Inequalities (41) and (42) will be obtained by modifying the arguments in the proof of Proposition 1 of Mason (2009). One of the key elements of that proof is the following

Fact 2. (Theorem 1 of Talagrand (1989).) If \mathbf{B} is a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, Z_i , $i \in \mathbf{N}$, are independent mean zero random vectors taking values in \mathbf{B} , then for a universal constant $D > 0$, for all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$(\mathbf{E}\|S_n\|^r)^{1/r} \leq \frac{Dr}{1 + \log r} \left(\mathbf{E}\|S_n\| + \left(\mathbf{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i\|^r \right)^{1/r} \right), \quad (43)$$

where $S_n = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$. Using the c_r -inequality, we get from (43) the bound

$$\mathbf{E}\|S_n\|^r \leq \frac{D^r 2^{r-1} r^r}{(1 + \log r)^r} \left((\mathbf{E}\|S_n\|)^r + \mathbf{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i\|^r \right). \quad (44)$$

Adopting Mason's arguments to our needs, we apply the bound (44) to the random functions

$$Z_i^B(x) = (nh_n)^{-1} \left\{ k_n \left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right) - \mathbf{E}_f k_n \left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n} \right) \right\} \mathbb{I}\{|x| > B\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where B is a positive constant. Because of the properties of k_n (see Section 3.2), every $Z_i^B(x)$ is in \mathbf{L}_p , for any $p \geq 1$. The random function defined by

$$S_n^B(x) = (f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)) \mathbb{I}\{|x| > B\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

can be now expressed as follows:

$$S_n^B(x) = Z_1^B(x) + \dots + Z_n^B(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Using Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p &= \mathbf{E}_f \|(f_n - \mathbf{E}_f f_n) \mathbb{I}\{|\cdot| > B\}\|_p = \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = (\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p^p)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Next, according to Mason (2009), pp. 71–72, for any $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ and any $B > 0$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{nh_n} \int_{|x|>B} dx \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \frac{x-y}{h_n} f(y) dy \right)^p, \quad p = 2, \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx &\leq \frac{2^p C_p}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{x-y}{h_n} \right) f(y) dy \right)^{p/2} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{2^p C_p \|k_n\|_p^p}{(nh_n)^{p-1}}, \quad 2 < p < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \int_{|x|>B} (K_{h_n}^2 * f(x))^{p/2} dx, \quad 1 \leq p < 2, \quad (48)$$

where

$$K_{h_n}^2(x) = h_n^{-1} k_n^2(h_n^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Case 1a. $2 < p < \infty$. From (47)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \\
& \leq \frac{2^p C_p}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{x-y}{h_n} \right) f(y) dy \right)^{p/2} dx + \frac{2^p C_p \|k_n\|_p^p}{(nh_n)^{p-1}} \\
& = \frac{2^p C_p}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx + \frac{2^p C_p \|k_n\|_p^p}{(nh_n)^{p-1}}. \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the integral on the right-hand side of (49). Using the rough version of the c_r -inequality that says that for all $r > 0$

$$|x + y|^r \leq 2^r (|x|^r + |y|^r),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \\
& = \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{|t|\leq B/2} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt + \int_{|t|>B/2} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \\
& \leq 2^{p/2} \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{|t|\leq B/2} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt \right)^{p/2} + \\
& \quad + 2^{p/2} \int_{|x|>B} \left(\int_{|t|>B/2} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) f(x-t) dt \right)^{p/2} =: T_1 + T_2. \tag{50}
\end{aligned}$$

The first integral in (50) is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 & \leq 2^{p/2} \int_{|s|>B/2} f^{p/2}(s) ds \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) dt \right)^{p/2} \\
& = 2^{p/2} \int_{|s|>B/2} f^{p/2}(s) ds \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} k_n^2(x) dx \right)^{p/2} = 2^{p/2} \|k_n\|_2^p \int_{|s|>B/2} f^{p/2}(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 & \leq 2^{p/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(s) ds \left(\int_{|t|>B/2} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{t}{h_n} \right) dt \right)^{p/2} \\
& = 2^{p/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(s) ds \left(\int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \right)^{p/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using (49) and (50)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \\
& \leq \frac{2^p C_p}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \left\{ 2^{p/2} \|k_n\|_2^p \int_{|x|>B/2} f^{p/2}(x) dx + 2^{p/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \left[\int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \right]^{p/2} \right\} \\
& \quad + \frac{2^p C_p \|k_n\|_p^p}{(nh_n)^{p-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, due to (45) and using the rough version of the c_r -inequality, for any $r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p)^r \leq \left\{ \mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right\}^{r/p} \leq 2^{r/p} \left\{ \frac{2^p C_p}{(nh_n)^{p/2}} \right\}^{r/p} \\
& \times \left\{ 2^{p/2} \|k_n\|_2^p \int_{|x|>B} f^{p/2}(x) dx + 2^{p/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \left[\int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \right]^{p/2} \right\}^{r/p} \\
& + 2^{r/p} \left\{ \frac{2^p C_p \|k_n\|_p^p}{(nh_n)^{p-1}} \right\}^{r/p} \leq \frac{2^{2r/p} 2^{3r/2} C_p^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \|k_n\|_2^r \left(\int_{|x|>B} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^{r/p} \\
& + \frac{2^{2r/p} 2^{3r/2} C_p^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^{r/p} \left(\int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \right)^{r/2} + \frac{2^{r/p} 2^r C_p^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(nh_n)^{(p-1)r/p}}. \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$N = O(\log n), \quad \theta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{N} = O(1), \quad h_n = \frac{\theta_n}{N} = O(\log^{-1} n),$$

we get

$$k_n^2(x) = \left| \frac{\cos(\theta_n x) - \cos(x)}{\pi(1 - \theta_n)x^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{2N^2}{\pi^2 x^4},$$

and hence

$$\int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \leq \frac{2N^2}{\pi^2} \int_{|x|>B/2h_n} \frac{dx}{x^4} = O(N^2 h_n^3) = O(h_n). \quad (52)$$

Now, by Fact 2 applied to the random process $S_n^B = Z_1^B + \dots + Z_n^B$, we have, cf. (44),

$$\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p^r \leq \frac{D^r 2^{r-1} r^r}{(1 + \log r)^r} \left((\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p)^r + \mathbf{E}_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i^B\|_p^r \right), \quad (53)$$

where, using c_r -inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i^B\|_p^r \\
&= \mathbf{E}_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\int_{|x| > B} \left| \frac{1}{nh_n} \left(k_n \left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right) - \mathbf{E}_f k_n \left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n} \right) \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \\
&\leq 2^{r+1} \mathbf{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\int_{|x| > B} \left| \frac{1}{nh_n} k_n \left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \leq \frac{2^{r+1} h_n^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(nh_n)^r}. \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

We see then by (51)–(54) that for all sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p^r &= \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x| > B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \\
&\leq \frac{D^r 2^{r-1} r^r 2^{2r/p} 2^{3r/2} C_p^{r/p}}{(1 + \log r)^r (nh_n)^{r/2}} \left\{ \|k_n\|_2^r \left(\int_{|x| > B/2} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^{r/p} + c_\gamma h_n \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{D^r 2^{2r-1} r^r 2^{r/p} C_p^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(1 + \log r)^r (nh_n)^{(p-1)r/p}} + \frac{D^r 2^{2r} r^r h_n^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(1 + \log r)^r (nh_n)^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by (39) for $p \geq 2$

$$\|k_n\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{p-1}},$$

and

$$\frac{(nh_n)^{r/2}}{(nh_n)^{(p-1)r/p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{h_n^{r/p} (nh_n)^{r/2}}{(nh_n)^r} \rightarrow 0, \quad r \geq 1, p > 2.$$

Therefore for all $p > 2$, $r \geq 1$, and $B > 0$ there exists a number $n^* > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x| > B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \\
&\leq \frac{a_{p,r}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left\{ \left(\int_{|x| > B/2} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^{r/p} + c_\gamma h_n \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{b_{p,r}}{(nh_n)^{(p-1)r/p}} + \frac{c_{p,r} h_n^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^r} \leq \frac{C_{p,r}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left(\int_{|x| > B/2} f^{p/2}(x) dx \right)^{r/p},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_{p,r}$, $b_{p,r}$, $c_{p,r}$, and $C_{p,r}$ are constants that may depend on p and r . Noticing that

$$\xi_n(x) = (nh_n)^{1/2} (f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)) \tag{55}$$

yields (41).

Case 1b. $p = 2$. In this case, the proof is analogous to that of Case 1a, but even easier. Using (46) we get

$$\mathbf{E}_f \int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{nh_n} \int_{|x|>B} dx \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{h_n} k_n^2 \left(\frac{x-y}{h_n} \right) f(y) dy.$$

Then by analogy with (51)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_2)^r &= \left\{ \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right\}^r \\ &\leq \frac{L_2^{r/2}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left\{ \|k_n\|_2^2 \int_{|x|>B} f(x) dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}} f^{p/2}(x) dx \int_{|x|>B/2h_n} k_n^2(x) dx \right\}^{r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using (52)–(54),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_f (\|S_n^B\|_2^r) &\leq \frac{D^r L_2^{r/2} 2^{r-1} r^r}{(1 + \log r)^r} \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left[\|k_n\|_2^r \left(\int_{|x|>B} f(x) dx \right)^{r/2} + c_\gamma h_n \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) dx \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{D^r L_2^{r/2} 2^{2r} r^r h_n^{r/2} \|k_n\|_2^r}{(1 + \log r)^r (nh_n)^r} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_n^{r/2}/(nh_n)^r \rightarrow 0$ and, by (14), $\|k_n\|_2^2 \leq \pi^{-1}$. Therefore for all $r \geq 1$ there exists a number $n^* > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$ and all $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, 2, M)$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^2 dx \right)^{r/2} \leq \frac{C_r}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left(\int_{|x|>B/2} f(x) dx \right)^{r/2}, \quad (56)$$

where C_r is a positive constant that may depend on r . Because of the relation (55) the bound (56) gives (41) when $p = 2$.

Case 2. $1 \leq p < 2$. We know that (see (53))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p^r &= \mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \\ &\leq \frac{D^r 2^{r-1} r^r}{(1 + \log r)^r} \left((\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p)^r + \mathbf{E}_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i^B\|_p^r \right), \end{aligned}$$

where by (45) and (48)

$$(\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p)^r \leq (\mathbf{E}_f \|S_n^B\|_p^p)^{r/p} \leq (nh_n)^{-r/2} \left(\int_{|x|>B} (K_{h_n}^2 * f(y))^{p/2} dy \right)^{r/p},$$

and using (54)

$$\mathbf{E}_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|Z_i^B\|^r \leq \frac{2^{r+1} h_n^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(nh_n)^r}.$$

Thus, for any $1 \leq p < 2$ there exists a constant $L_p > 0$ such that for all $r \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{E}_f (\|S_n^B\|_p^r) \leq \left(\frac{r L_p}{r + \log r} \right)^r \left[\frac{\left(\int_{|x|>B} (K_{h_n}^2 * f(x))^{p/2} dx \right)^{r/p}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} + \frac{h_n^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(nh_n)^r} \right], \quad (57)$$

where

$$K_{h_n}^2(x) = h_n^{-1} k_n^2(h_n^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 1 of Mason (2009), for all $n \geq 1$, the integral on the right-hand side of (57) is bounded by

$$\int_{|x|>B} (K_{h_n}^2 * f(x))^{p/2} dx \leq C(\lambda, p) \|k_n\|_2^p \left\{ \mathbf{E}_f(1 + |X_{h_n}|^\lambda) \mathbb{I}(|X_{h_n}| > B) \right\}^{p/2}. \quad (58)$$

Here

$$X_{h_n} \stackrel{d}{=} X + Y_{h_n},$$

where X has density $f(x)$, Y_{h_n} has density $K_{h_n}^2(x)/\|k_n\|_2^2$, and Y_{h_n} is independent of X . Inequality (58) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|>B} (K_{h_n}^2 * f(x))^{p/2} dx &\leq C(\lambda, p) \|k_n\|_2^p \left\{ \mathbf{E}_f(1 + |X|^\lambda) \mathbb{I}(|X| > B) \right\}^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_1(\lambda, p) \left(\int_{|x|>B} (1 + |x|^\lambda) f(x) dx \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The proof of the upper line in (59) repeats that of relation (20) in Lemma 1 of Mason (2009) given for fixed kernel independent of n . We only need to show that for any $(2-p)/p < \lambda \leq 2$, cf. bound (24) in Mason (2009),

$$\mathbf{E}|Y_{h_n}|^\lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is true in view of (27). Next for all $1 \leq p < 2$ and all $r \geq 1$,

$$\frac{h_n^{r/p} \|k_n\|_p^r}{(nh_n)^r} = o\left((nh_n)^{-r/2}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore from the bounds (57) and (59) we get that for any $r \geq 1$ there exists a number $n^* > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n^*$

$$\mathbf{E}_f \left(\int_{|x|>B} |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}_f f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{r/p} \leq \frac{D_{p,r}}{(nh_n)^{r/2}} \left(\int_{|x|>B} (1 + |x|^\lambda) f(x) dx \right)^{r/p},$$

with some constant $D_{p,r} > 0$. In view of condition **(A1)**, this yields by (55) the statement of Proposition 2 in the case $1 \leq p < 2$. The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Note that by (18) and (19), for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\mathbf{Var}_f \xi_n(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\pi} + O(N^{-1} \log N). \quad (60)$$

Now let $D_n = D_n(\delta)$ be the region defined as in (30). When $(x, y) \in D_n$ the random functions $\xi_n(x)$ and $\xi_n(y)$ are weakly correlated and for all large enough n , uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} \mathbf{Cov}_f(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y)) = O(N^{-\delta}). \quad (61)$$

Indeed, keeping in mind the properties of $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$ (see Section 2.4), using (16) and (18) we obtain that for any $y \in \mathbf{R}$, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbf{Cov}_f(k_{h_n}(x - X_1), k_{h_n}(y - X_1)) - k_{h_n}(y - x)f(x)| \\ & \leq \|k_{h_n} * [k_{h_n}(y - \cdot)f(\cdot)] - k_{h_n}(y - \cdot)f(\cdot)\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{E}_f^2 k_{h_n}(\cdot - X_1)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \frac{8M_\gamma n^{1/2}(1 + \|k_{h_n}\|_1)}{\pi} e^{-\gamma N} + O(1) = O(\log N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\mathbf{Cov}_f(k_{h_n}(x - X_1), k_{h_n}(y - X_1)) = k_{h_n}(y - x)f(x) + O(\log N), \quad (62)$$

where for some constant $C = C(\gamma, p, M) > 0$,

$$|k_{h_n}(y - x)f(x)| = \frac{Nh_n f(x)}{\pi(x - y)^2} |\cos(N(x - y)) - \cos(h_n^{-1}(x - y))| \leq \frac{C}{(x - y)^2}.$$

The last inequality implies that for all large enough n , uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} |k_{h_n}(y - x)f(x)| = O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

Therefore, noting that

$$\mathbf{Cov}_f(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y)) = h_n \mathbf{Cov}_f(k_{h_n}(x - X_1), k_{h_n}(y - X_1)),$$

where $h_n = N^{-1}(1 - N^{-1})$, and using (62) we get (61).

We next turn to the sequence $(\xi_n(x), \xi_n(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,n}(x, y)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,n}(x, y) = (\eta_{i,n}(x), \eta_{i,n}(y))$ is given by (29), and show that the Lindeberg condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} n \mathbf{E}_f (|\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1,n}|^2 \mathbb{I}(|\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1,n}| > \tau)) = 0, \quad \forall \tau > 0, \quad (63)$$

holds uniformly in $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$. To this end observe that by (19) and Chebyshev's inequality, uniformly in $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\mathbf{P}_f \left((\eta_{1,n}(x))^2 > \tau^2/2 \right) = O(n^{-1}).$$

Therefore by (17) and (18) for all sufficiently large n and some constant $C = C(\gamma, p, M) > 0$, uniformly over $\mathbb{F}(\gamma, p, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} n \mathbf{E}_f \left(|\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1,n}|^2 \mathbb{I}(|\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1,n}| > \tau) \right) \\ & \leq C(nh_n)^{-1} \sup_{(x,y) \in D_n} n \mathbf{P}_f \left((\eta_{n,1}(x))^2 + (\eta_{n,1}(y))^2 > \tau^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2C(nh_n)^{-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} n \mathbf{P}_f \left((\eta_{1,n}(x))^2 > \tau^2/2 \right) \\ & = O \left((nh_n)^{-1} \right) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This ensures the validity of (63).

Finally, using Fact 1 we infer from (60), (61), and (63) that the statement of Proposition 3 holds. \square

Acknowledgements

This research was partly supported by an NSERC grant. We would like to thank Boris Levit for helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] Akhiezer, N.I. (1965), *Lectures on Approximation Theory*, 2nd ed., Moscow: Nauka [English translation (of the 1st edition): Achiezer, N.I. (1956). *Theory of Approximation*. New York: Frederick Ungar Publishing.]
- [2] Belitser, E. (1998), Efficient estimation of analytic density under random censorship, *Bernoulli*, **4**, 519–543.
- [3] Csörgő, M. and Horváth, L. (1988), Central limit theorems for L_p -norms of density estimators, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **80**, 269–291.
- [4] Donoho, D.L. (1994), Asymptotic minimax risk for sup-norm loss: solution via optimal recovery, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **99**, 145–170.
- [5] Golubev, G.K. and Levit, B.Y. (1996), Asymptotically efficient estimation for analytic distributions, *Math. Methods Statist.*, **5**, 357–368.
- [6] Golubev, G.K., Levit, B.Y., and Tsybakov, A.B. (1996), Asymptotically efficient estimation of analytic functions in Gaussian noise, *Bernoulli*, **2**, 167–181.
- [7] Gradshteyn, I.S. and Ryzhik, I.M. (1994), *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 5th ed., New York: Academic Press.
- [8] Guerre, E. and Tsybakov, A.B. (1998), Exact asymptotic minimax constants for the estimation of analytic functions in L_p , *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **112**, 33–51.

- [9] Ibragimov, I.A. and Hasminskii R.Z. (1980), On estimation of the density function, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI*, **98**, 61–85. [Translation in *J. Soviet Math.*, **25** (1983), 40–57.]
- [10] Ibragimov, I.A. and Hasminskii R.Z. (1981), *Statistical Estimation: Asymptotic Theory*, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [11] Ibragimov, I.A. and Hasminskii R.Z. (1984), On estimation of the value of a linear function in Gaussian white noise, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **29**, 18–32.
- [12] Korostelev, A.P. (1993), Exact asymptotic minimax estimate of a nonparametric regression in the uniform norm, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **38**, 875–882.
- [13] Levit, B. and Stepanova, N. (2004), Efficient estimation of multivariate analytic functions in cube-like domains, *Math. Methods Statist.*, **13**, 253–281.
- [14] Mason, D.M. (2009), Risk bounds for kernel density estimators, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, **363**, 66–104.
- [15] Nussbaum, M. (1983), Optimal filtering of a function of many variables in Gaussian white noise, *Problems Inform. Transmission* **19** (2), 105–111.
- [16] Pinsker, M. S. (1980), Optimal filtration of square-integrable signals in Gaussian noise, *Problems Inform. Transmission* **16**, 120–133. In Russian.
- [17] Sarason, D. (1965), *The H^p Spaces of an Annulus*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **56**. Providence, Rhode Island: AMS.
- [18] Schipper, M. (1996), Optimal rates and constants in L_2 -minimax estimation, *Math. Methods Statist.*, **5**, 253–174.
- [19] Stein, E. (1970), *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press.
- [20] Talagrand, M. (1989), Isoperimetry and integrability of the sum of independent Banach-space valued random variables, *Ann. Probab.*, **17**, 1546–1570.
- [21] Timan, A.F. (1963), *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Pergamon Press.
- [22] Wilderotter, K. (1996), Optimal approximation of periodic functions with integrable boundary values, *J. Approx. Theory*, **84**, 236–246.