C²-ROBUST HETERODIMENSIONAL TANGENCIES

SHIN KIRIKI AND TERUHIKO SOMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give sufficient conditions for the existence of C^2 robust heterodimensional tangency, and present a nonempty open set in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ with dim $M \geq 3$ each element of which has a non-degenerate heterodimensional tangency on a C^2 robust heterodimensional cycle.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to show the existence of a large class of diffeomorphisms on C^2 manifold M whose global dynamics is persistently non-dominated on cycles by robust heterodimensional tangencies. To quickly explain our results, let us begin by recalling key words of non-hyperbolic diffeomorphisms: dominated splittings, homoclinic tangencies, and heterodimensional cycles.

The dominated splitting is perhaps a bottom structure obtained by weakening hyperbolicity, which was first presented by Pliss [19]. Precisely, we say that a compact subset Λ of a smooth closed manifold M which is invariant by $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$ has a *dominated splitting* if $T_{\Lambda}M$ is expressed by the direct sum of Df-invariant subbundles E and F as $T_{\Lambda}M = E \oplus F$ whose fibers have constant dimensions and there are C > 0 and $\lambda > 1$ such that for any integer n > 0, $x \in \Lambda$ and any pair of unitary vectors $(u, v) \in E(x) \times F(x)$,

$$||Df^{n}(x)u|| ||Df^{n}(x)v||^{-1} < C\lambda^{-n}.$$

If Df^n is uniformly contracting on E and expanding on F, Λ is called *hyperbolic*.

Let P be a saddle point for $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$. A point Y of the intersection between the stable manifold $W^s(P)$ and the unstable manifold $W^u(P)$ is a homoclinic tangency of P if

 $P \neq Y$, $T_Y M \neq T_Y W^s(P) + T_Y W^u(P)$.

Obviously, if f has a homoclinic tangency, then any f-invariant set containing the orbit of the tangency does not have any dominated splitting. Moreover, it is worth noting that Wen [24, Theorem A] proved that the inverse is also true in the C^1 topology if f is restricted to the preperiodic or prehomoclinic sets, that is, if a dominated splitting cannot be defined on such f-invariant sets, then there is a diffeomorphism arbitrarily C^1 close to f which has a homoclinic tangency, see also [13]. On the other hand, the presence of dominated splittings is equivalent to the absence of infinitely many periodic sinks or sources in C^1 generic diffeomorphisms, see [1].

Date: June 23, 2022.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary:37D20, 37D30, 37G25 Secondary:37C29, 37G30.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ heterodimensional cycle, tangency, C^2 robustness, blender, dominated splitting.

From the definition, a tangency associated with a periodic point is easily broken by a generic perturbation. However, Newhouse showed in [16] that there is an open set in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ with $\dim(M) = 2$ arbitrarily C^2 close to f in which any diffeomorphism has a robust homoclinic tangency associated with some nontrivial set containing the continuation of the periodic point. On the other hand, Moreira showed in [14] that the similar result does not hold for generic subset in $\text{Diff}^1(M)$ with $\dim(M) = 2$, see comments at the end of this section. The Newhouse result was extended to the higher dimensional cases with the C^2 topology in [12, 18, 21, 23]. Among others, several results of [18] play important roles in this paper. Thus, we need to work at least in the C^2 topology.

Another known cause of non-hyperbolicity is the presence of heterodimensional cycles. For a diffeomorphism f on a smooth manifold M of dim $(M) \ge 3$, we say that f has a *heterodimensional cycle* associated with two saddle points P and Q if

$$\operatorname{index}(P) \neq \operatorname{index}(Q), \quad W^{s}(P) \cap W^{u}(Q) \neq \emptyset, \quad W^{s}(Q) \cap W^{u}(P) \neq \emptyset,$$

where index(P) stands for the dimension of the unstable manifold of P. By the first index condition, one of these two intersections, say $W^s(Q) \cap W^u(P)$, satisfies

$$\dim(T_X W^s(Q)) + \dim(T_X W^u(P)) < \dim(T_X M)$$

for every $X \in W^s(Q) \cap W^u(P)$. On the other hand, the intersection $W^s(P) \cap W^u(Q)$ satisfies

$$\dim(T_Y W^s(P)) + \dim(T_Y W^u(Q)) > \dim(T_Y M)$$

for every $Y \in W^s(P) \cap W^u(Q)$. Thus the condition may permit transverse points. The set of such points is denoted by $W^s(P) \pitchfork W^u(Q)$. A non-transverse point

$$Y \in (W^s(P) \cap W^u(Q)) \setminus (W^s(P) \pitchfork W^u(Q))$$

is called a *heterodimensional tangency*. We say that such a heterodimensional tangency is *strict* if

$$T_Y W^s(P) = T_Y W^u(Q).$$

Observe that, when $\dim(M) = 3$, any heterodimensional tangency is of strict type.

The condition for diffeomorphisms being away from homoclinic tangency leads to a dominated splitting even for heterodimensional cycle associated with P and Q. In fact, Díaz and Rocha showed in [11, Theorem A] that if the diffeomorphism is C^r away from diffeomorphisms having homoclinic tangencies associated with continuations of P and Q, it can be C^r -approximated by one with an invariant set containing the continuations which has a (strong partially hyperbolic) dominated splitting. On the other hand, it is known that, under some volume condition, the absence of dominated splittings brings on heterodimensional cycles in C^1 generically [22].

In the present paper, we focus attention on heterodimensional tangencies which interfere with dominated splittings. As for heterodimensional tangencies of 3dimensional diffeomorphisms, several results have been already presented as follows.

 C^1 Newhouse phenomenon [9]: If f has a parabolic heterodimensional tangency between P and Q which are persistently linked, it can be C^1 -approximated by a diffeomorphism having either infinitely many sinks or sources. Moreover, if the Jacobian of f at P is smaller than one and that of Q is greater than one, it can be C^1 -approximated by a diffeomorphism having infinitely many nontrivial minimal Cantor sets.

- Strange attractors, C^2 Newhouse phenomenon [15]: For any generic 2parameter family $\{f_{\mu,\nu}\}$ with $f_{0,0} = f$ which has a nondegenerate heterodimensional tangency associated with P and Q, there exists an open set of subfamilies exhibiting infinitely many homoclinic tangencies of the continuation of P which unfold generically. It follows that one can detect a positive Lebesgue measure set \mathcal{A} in the $\mu\nu$ -plane arbitrarily near f such that for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{A}, f_{\mu,\nu}$ exhibits non-hyperbolic strange attractors. Moreover, one can detect an open set of diffeomorphisms which have C^r -robust homoclinic tangency for $r \geq 2$.
- **Renormalization, robust cycle** [7]: Under appropriate conditions, one can obtain a renormalization in a neighborhood of the heterodimensional tangency associated with P and Q such that its return maps converge to the Hénon-like family with the center unstable direction and admit blender-horseshoes. Using this fact, for every $r \geq 1$, one can detect C^r -robust cycles associated with the blender-horseshoe and the continuation of P arbitrarily C^r -close to f.

By the way, though the above works start from the heterodimensional tangency on a heterodimensional cycle, its robustness is not discussed. However, by a little perturbation, heterodimensional tangencies are broken as well as homoclinic tangencies. Thus, considering Newhouse's works, we ask naturally:

Question I. Does there exist an open set of diffeomorphisms which have heterodimensional tangencies on heterodimensional cycles?

This paper will be devoted to answering the question and studying related topics. To present our results, we have to introduce several terminologies.

A basic set for f is a compact hyperbolic transitive locally maximal f-invariant subset in M. A basic set is *nontrivial* if it is not an periodic orbit. The dimension of the unstable bundle on a basic set Λ for f is called the *unstable index* and denoted by index(Λ). We say that a diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$ has a *heterodimensional* cycle associated with basic sets Λ_0 and Λ_1 if

 $\operatorname{index}(\Lambda_0) \neq \operatorname{index}(\Lambda_1), \quad W^u(\Lambda_0) \cap W^s(\Lambda_1) \neq \emptyset, \quad W^s(\Lambda_0) \cap W^u(\Lambda_1) \neq \emptyset.$

A heterodimensional tangency between $W^u(q)$ and $W^s(p)$ with $q \in \Lambda_0$ and $p \in \Lambda_1$ is defined as in the case of periodic points Q, P. Now let us suppose index $(\Lambda_0) >$ index (Λ_1) and define that f has a C^r robust heterodimensional tangency associated with Λ_0 and Λ_1 if there exists a C^r neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f such that, for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, there exist the continuations $\Lambda_{0,g}$ of Λ_0 and $\Lambda_{1,g}$ of Λ_1 which contain points $\tilde{q} \in \Lambda_{0,g}$ and $\tilde{p} \in \Lambda_{1,g}$ such that

• $W^u(\tilde{q})$ and $W^s(\tilde{p})$ contain a heterodimensional tangency.

Moreover, the heterodimensional tangency is in a C^r robust cycle if there exist $\hat{q} \in \Lambda_{0,g}$ and $\hat{p} \in \Lambda_{1,g}$ such that

• $W^u(\hat{p}) \cap W^s(\hat{q})$ is nonempty.

In what follows of this paper, we only discuss the case of

dim $M = d \ge 3$, index $(\Lambda_0) = d - 1$, index $(\Lambda_1) = 1$.

Under this assumption, a heterodimensional tangency $Y \in W^u(\tilde{q}) \cap W^s(\tilde{p})$ can be characterized from a topological viewpoint as follows. Consider a local coordinate (x_1, \ldots, x_d) on a neighborhood of Y with $Y = \mathbf{0}$ such that a small (d-1)-disk in $W^{s}(\tilde{p})$ containing Y is presented by the graph of the constant function $x_{d} = 0$, and a small (d-1)-disk in $W^{u}(\tilde{q})$ containing Y is given as the graph of a C^{2} function $u: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $x_{d} = u(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$. We say that the tangency is *non-degenerate* if the Hessian matrix $Hu(\mathbf{0}) = \left(\frac{\partial^{2}u(\mathbf{0})}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}\right)$ is regular. A non-degenerate tangency is *elliptic* if all the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix have the same sign, and otherwise *hyperbolic*, see [15] for the case of d = 3.

From now on, we suppose that M is a closed C^2 manifold with Riemannian metric and $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ is the space of all C^2 diffeomorphisms on M endowed with the C^2 topology. For a given saddle periodic point P and a basic set Λ of $f \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$, per(P) denotes the minimum period of P, and Λ_g denotes the continuation of Λ for any g sufficiently close to f in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$.

Theorem A. Let f be an element in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ with $\dim M = d \ge 3$ which has nontrivial basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 and saddle periodic points $Q \in \Lambda_0$, $P \in \Lambda_1$ such that (1) $Df^{\text{per}(P)}(P)$ has eigenvalues satisfying

$$|\alpha_1| \leq \cdots \leq |\alpha_{d-2}| < |\alpha_c| < 1 < |\alpha_u|, \ |\alpha_c \alpha_u| < 1,$$

 $Df^{per(Q)}(Q)$ has eigenvalues satisfying

$$|\beta_s| < 1 < |\beta_c| < |\beta_1| \le \dots \le |\beta_{d-2}|.$$

(2) $W^u(Q) \cap W^s(P)$ contains a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type and $W^u(P) \cap W^s(Q)$ contains a nontransverse intersection.

Then, there is a nonempty open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Diff}^2(M)$ whose closure contains f and that satisfies following condition: for every $g \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists a nontrivial basic set $\Lambda_{2,g}$ of index one such that g has a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type associated with $\Lambda_{2,g}$ and the continuation $\Lambda_{0,g}$ of Λ_0 .

The saddle periodic point P in (1) is called *sectionally dissipative* in [18], and α_c and β_c are called the *real central contracting* and *real central expanding* eigenvalues, respectively, on the cycle. Though the sectionally dissipative condition in (1) might not be indispensable if one would use [21] instead of [18], it is sufficient to prove Theorems B and C. So we will work under the condition to avoid miscellaneous difficulties. Note that the condition (1) implies that the heterodimensional tangency in the condition (2) is of strict type.

Theorem A says nothing about whether or not the C^2 robust heterodimensional tangency is in a heterodimensional cycle associated with $\Lambda_{0,g}$ and $\Lambda_{2,g}$. However, one can obtain an affirmative answer to Question I for $r \geq 2$ by supposing that the diffeomorphism in Theorem A has a certain basic set called a *cu-blender* [5, 6, Definition 3.1] which will be given in Section 7.

Theorem B. There exists a nonempty open set in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ each element of which has a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type on a heterodimensional cycle.

Now we consider the relation between heterodimensional tangencies and the absence of dominating splittings. Trivially, if a heterodimensional cycle contains a heterodimensional tangency, then there is no dominating splitting on the cycle. As mentioned above, in the C^1 topology, the existence of homoclinic tangencies and the absence of dominating splittings are synonymous in the sense of Wen [24]. In

the C^2 topology, the result corresponding to that in [24] is not known yet. Thus, the following question makes sense.

Question II. Can a diffeomorphism with a heterodimensional cycle which does not admit any dominating splitting be C^2 -approximated by a diffeomorphism having a heterodimensional tangency on a cycle?

In the following theorem, we will present open conditions on C^2 diffeomorphisms under which Question II is solved affirmatively.

Let Λ_0 and Λ_1 be nontrivial basic sets for $f \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ with $\text{index}(\Lambda_0) = d - 1$ and $\text{index}(\Lambda_1) = 1$, where $d = \dim(M) \ge 3$. We suppose furthermore that Λ_0 is a blender-horseshoe and Λ_1 is a *sectionally dissipative* basic set with a real central contracting direction, that is, every periodic point in Λ_1 satisfies the same condition as in (1) of Theorem A.

Theorem C. Let f be a diffeomorphism satisfying the above conditions. If f has a spherical heterodimensional intersection on the heterodimensional cycle associated with Λ_0 and Λ_1 and satisfies the C^2 open conditions given in Section 8, then f is C^2 -approximated by a diffeomorphism having a C^2 -robust cycle with a robust heterodimensional tangency.

Here we say that f has a spherical heterodimensional intersection associated with Λ_0 and Λ_1 if there are $q_1 \in \Lambda_0$ and $p_1 \in \Lambda_1$ such that $W^u(q_1) \pitchfork W^s(p_1)$ contains a (d-2)-dimensional sphere.

Note that the cycle considered in Theorem C is critical in the sense of [10]. Moreover, in the case of d = 3, Díaz, Nogueira and Pujals proved that the homoclinic classes of Λ_0 and Λ_1 in Theorem C do not admit any dominated splitting [9, §2.3].

We comment here briefly on problems related to our theorems. In the present paper, we have obtained an affirmative answer to Question I about the existence of robust heterodimensional tangencies in the C^r topology for any $r \ge 2$. Thus, it is natural to ask whether there exist robust heterodimensional tangencies in the C^1 topology. An example is already known, which exhibits a C^1 -robust homoclinic tangency in the dimension at least 3, see [2]. However, Moreira [14] showed that any two regular Cantor sets K_1 and K_2 have continuations \tilde{K}_1 , \tilde{K}_2 which are arbitrarily C^1 close to the originals and disjoint to each other. This implies that C^1 -robust homoclinic tangencies can not be possible for 2-dimensional generic diffeomorphisms. Similarly, we think that C^1 -robust heterodimensional tangencies could not be possible for generic diffeomorphisms in any dimension greater than two.

Outline of Proof of Theorem A. At the end of this section, we will outline the proof of the main theorem. The diffeomorphism f in Theorem A has basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 with $\operatorname{index}(\Lambda_0) = d - 1$, $\operatorname{index}(\Lambda_1) = 1$ and periodic points $Q \in \Lambda_0$ and $P \in \Lambda_1$ such that $W^u(Q, f)$ and $W^s(Q, f)$ have a heterodimensional tangency Y of elliptic type and $W^u(P, f)$ and $W^s(Q, f)$ have a nontransverse intersection X, see Figure 2.1. First we perturb f near X slightly so that $W^u(P, f)$ returns near Y via a neighborhood U_Q of Q. Perturb f again in a small neighborhood U_Y of Y and get a diffeomorphism g_1 such that $W^u(P, g_1)$ and $W^s(P, g_1)$ have a homoclinic tangency in U_Y . Moreover, the heterodimensional tangency $Y \in W^u(Q, f) \cap W^s(P, f)$ is broken and becomes a (d-2)-sphere S_0^{d-2} in $W^u(Q, g_1) \pitchfork W^s(P, g_1)$ (Proposition 2.2). By applying Palis-Viana's result in [18] which is based on Palis-Takens [17], we have a diffeomorphism g_2 arbitrarily close to g_1 which has a new basic set Λ_2 in U_Y homoclinically related to Λ_1 and such that its stable thickness $\tau^s(\Lambda_2)$ is very large (Proposition 4.1). Let A_0^u be a small tubular neighborhood of S_0^{d-2} in $W^u(Q, g_2)$. The iterated forward images of A_0^u by g_2 converge to $W^u(P, g_2)$ and hence return to U_Y , see Figures 4.1 and 4.2. Then we perturb g_2 in U_Y and get a diffeomorphism g_3 such that $W^u(q, g_3)$ and $W^s(p, g_3)$ have a heterodimensional tangency r for some $q \in \Lambda_0$ and $p \in \Lambda_2$ (Proposition 4.3), see Figure 4.2 again. By Gap Lemma [16, 17] together with the largeness of $\tau^s(\Lambda_2)$, one can show that, for any diffeomorphism gsufficiently near g_3 , $W^u(\Lambda_0, g)$ and $W^s(\Lambda_2, g)$ have a heterodimensional tangency in U_Y . Theorem A follows directly from this fact.

Note that we start with a heterodimensional tangency associated with $Q \in \Lambda_0$ and $P \in \Lambda_1$. However, our robust heterodimensional tangencies are associated with $\Lambda_{0,g}$, $\Lambda_{2,g}$ but not with $\Lambda_{0,g}$, $\Lambda_{1,g}$.

2. Coexistence of spherical intersections and tangencies

Suppose that M is a C^2 manifold of dimension $d \ge 3$. The purpose of this section is to find an element of $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily C^2 close to the diffeomorphism given in Theorem A which has simultaneously a spherical heterodimensional intersection and a homoclinic tangency.

Let f be an element of $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ with periodic points P and Q satisfying (1) and (2) in Theorem A. For simplicity, we may suppose that per(P) = per(Q) = 1if necessary replacing f by f^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and f is C^2 linearizable in small neighborhoods U_P of P and U_Q of Q. Then, by the condition (1), f can be written in U_P as

(2.1)
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, y, z) = (A_s \boldsymbol{x}, \alpha_c y, \alpha_u z)$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$, $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and A_s is a regular (d-2)-matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-2}$ satisfying

(2.2)
$$|\alpha_1| \le \dots \le |\alpha_{d-2}| < |\alpha_c| < 1 < |\alpha_u|, \quad |\alpha_c \alpha_u| < 1.$$

On the other hand in U_Q , f can be written as

(2.3)
$$f(x, y, \boldsymbol{z}) = (\beta_s x, \beta_c y, B_u \boldsymbol{z}),$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{d-2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ and B_u is a regular (d-2)-matrix with eigenvalues $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-2}$ satisfying

(2.4)
$$|\beta_s| < 1 < |\beta_c| < |\beta_1| \le \dots \le |\beta_{d-2}|.$$

We say that a nontransverse intersection $X \in W^u(P) \cap W^s(Q)$ is quasi-transverse if it satisfies

$$T_X W^s(Q) + T_X W^u(P) = T_X W^s(Q) \oplus T_X W^u(P),$$

see [11]. For the nontransverse intersection $X \in W^u(P) \cap W^s(Q)$ and the heterodimensional tangency $Y \in W^s(P) \cap W^u(Q)$ of elliptic type given in (2) of Theorem A, we may furthermore assume that f satisfies the following situations without loss of generality. See Figure 2.1.

Remark 2.1 (on linearizing coordinates). (i) X is located at (1, 0, 0) with respect to the linearizing coordinate on U_Q , where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Moreover, $T_X W^u(P)$ and the eigenspace associated with β_c are linearly independent.

- (ii) Y is located at (1, 1, 0) with respect to the linearizing coordinate on U_P where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$.
- (iii) There exist integers $N_1, N_2 > 0$ such that $\tilde{X} = f^{-N_1}(X) \in W^s_{\text{loc}}(P) = (0, 0, 1)$ and $\tilde{Y} = f^{-N_2}(Y) \in W^u_{\text{loc}}(Q) = (0, 1, 1).$



FIGURE 2.1. Heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type on a cycle.

We now prove the following proposition under the linearizing coordinates of Remark 2.1. Note that, even if $Y \in W^{ss}(P)$ and $\tilde{Y} \in W^{uu}(Q)$, one can show similarly the following proposition by using the linearizing coordinate with Y = (1, 0, 0) and $\tilde{Y} = (0, 0, 1)$ respectively.

Proposition 2.2. There exists a $g_1 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily C^2 close to the above $f \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ such that g_1 has simultaneously the transverse intersection $W^s(P, g_1) \pitchfork W^u(Q, g_1)$ containing a (d-2)-sphere in $W^u(Q, g_1)$ and a quadratic homoclinic tangency associated with P.

Here a (d-2)-sphere in $W^u(Q, g_1)$ means the boundary of a C^2 embedded (d-1)-disk in $W^u(Q, g_1)$.

For the proof of the proposition, let us prepare a suitable parameterized family in Diff²(M) containing f. For a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, let U_X and U_Y be the 2δ -neighborhoods of X = (1, 0, 0) and Y = (1, 1, 0) respectively. To define local perturbations of g_1 in U_X and U_Y , consider the functions on U_X , U_Y defined as

$$H_X(x, y, z) = h(x-1)h(y)\prod_{i=3}^n h(z_i), \ H_Y(x, y, z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} h(x_i-1)h(y-1)h(z),$$

where h is a C^2 bump function on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} h(t) = 0 & \text{if } 2\delta \le |t|; \\ 0 < h(t) < 1 & \text{if } \delta < |t| < 2\delta; \\ h(t) = 1 & \text{if } |t| \le \delta. \end{cases}$$

Fix $\delta_0 > 0$ which is sufficiently smaller than δ , e.g. $\delta_0 = \delta/100$. Let $\{\varphi_{\mu,\nu}\}$ $(-\delta_0 < \mu, \nu < \delta_0)$ be the family of perturbations in Diff²(M) given by

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{\mu,\nu}(x,y,\boldsymbol{z}) = (x,y+\nu H_X(x,y,\boldsymbol{z}),\boldsymbol{z}) & \text{if } (x,y,\boldsymbol{z}) \in U_X \\ \varphi_{\mu,\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},y,z) = (\boldsymbol{x},y,z+\mu H_Y(\boldsymbol{x},y,z)) & \text{if } (\boldsymbol{x},y,z) \in U_Y \\ \varphi_{\mu,\nu} = \text{id}_{M \setminus U_X \cup U_Y} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the $\{\varphi_{\mu,\nu}\}$, we define the 2-parameter family $\{f_{\mu,\nu}\}$ by

(2.6)
$$f_{\mu,\nu} = \varphi_{\mu,\nu} \circ f.$$

For the definition, it is clear that $f_{\mu,\nu} \to f$ in the C^2 topology as $\mu, \nu \to 0$.

- **Remark 2.3** (about notations). Since $P \notin U_X$ and $Q \notin U_Y$, the continuations of these points satisfy $P_{\mu,\nu} = P$ and $Q_{\mu,\nu} = Q$ for every μ, ν with $-\delta_0 < \mu, \nu < \delta_0$.
 - The (global) unstable and stable manifolds for $f_{\mu,\nu}$ of these saddle points are denoted by $W^u(P, f_{\mu,\nu})$, $W^s(P, f_{\mu,\nu})$ and so on. However, since the local unstable and stable manifolds in U_P and U_Q do not affected by the perturbations, these manifolds may be denoted by the notations same as the originals, e.g., $W^u_{\text{loc}}(P)$, $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$ and so on.
 - For a small $\eta > 0$, the η -neighborhoods of Y in $W^u(Q)$ and \tilde{Y} in $W^s(P)$ are denoted by D^u_Y and $D^s_{\tilde{Y}}$ respectively.
 - Since continuations of $D_Y^{u'}$ in $W^u(Q, f_{\mu,\nu})$ vary with respect to μ, ν , they should be denoted by $D_Y^u(f_{\mu,\nu})$.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\{f_{\mu,\nu}\}$ be the above 2-parameter family with $f_{0,0} = f$. The component L of $W^u(P, f) \cap U_X$ containing X is a segment not parallel to the y-axis of the linearizing coordinate of U_Q , see in Remark 2.1-(i). We move L by the perturbation (2.5) with respect to ν and have a continuation L_{ν} of L such that $L_{\nu} \subset W^u(P, f_{0,\nu})$ and $L_{\nu} \to L$ as $\nu \to 0$. Here $L_{\nu} \to L$ means that $L_{\nu} C^2$ -converges to L.

For an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, take an integer $n_0 > 0$ satisfying

$$|\beta_c|^{-n_0} < \varepsilon/2$$
 and $K|\beta_s|^{n_0} < \varepsilon/2$,

where $K = \|Df^{N_2}(\tilde{Y})\|$. Moreover, one can take the n_0 so that, for any $n > n_0$ and $\nu_n := |\beta_c|^{-n}$, there exists a segment $\ell_{\nu_n} \subset L_{\nu_n}$ such that $f_{0,\nu_n}^n(\ell_{\nu_n})$ is a component of $f_{0,\nu_n}^n(L_{\nu_n}) \cap U_Q$ satisfying

dist
$$(f_{0,\nu_n}^n(\ell_{\nu_n}),Y) < \varepsilon$$
 and angle $(Tf_{0,\nu_n}^n(\ell_{\nu_n}),TW_{\text{loc}}^u(Q)) < \varepsilon$,

where the distance and angle are defined with respect to the Euclidean metric on U_Q induced by the linearizing coordinate, see Figure 2.2. We may assume that $D_Y^u(f_{0,\nu_n}) \setminus \{Y\}$ is contained in the region of $U_P \setminus W_{\text{loc}}^s(P)$ with z < 0.



FIGURE 2.2. Transitions from U_X to $U_{\tilde{Y}}$ and $U_{\tilde{Y}}$ to U_Y .

We set $\hat{\ell}_{\nu_n} := f_{0,\nu_n}^{N_2} \circ f_{0,\nu_n}^n(\ell_{\nu_n})$ and first consider the case that $\hat{\ell}_{\nu_n}$ is disjoint from $W_{\text{loc}}^s(P)$ as in Figure 2.2. Then, we will adjust a value of the other parameter μ as

follows so that the claim of this proposition holds. Let ℓ_{μ,ν_n} be a continuation of $\hat{\ell}_{\nu_n}$ such that $\hat{\ell}_{\mu,\nu_n} \subset W^u(P, f_{\mu,\nu_n})$ and $\hat{\ell}_{\mu,\nu_n} \to \hat{\ell}_{\nu_n}$ as $\mu \to 0$. By the perturbation (2.5) with respect to μ , any point in U_Y close to Y is just moved in parallel with the z-axis of U_P . Thus, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists a μ_n such that

- $0 < |\mu_n| < 2K |\beta_s|^n;$
- $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_n,\nu_n}$ and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$ have a quadratic tangency; $D^u_Y(f_{\mu_n,\nu_n})$ meets $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$ nontrivially and transversely.

Moreover, since Y is a tangency of elliptic type (Remark 2.1-(ii)), $D_V^u(f_{\mu_n,\nu_n})$ \Leftrightarrow $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$ is a (d-2)-sphere in $W^u(Q, f_{\mu_n, \nu_n}) \cap W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$.

Next we consider the case that $\hat{\ell}_{\nu_n}$ intersects $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$. For $-1 \leq a < b \leq 1$, let $A_{a,b}$ be the open subset of U_P defined as $A_{a,b} = \{(x, y, z) \in U_P; a < z < b\}$. Since P is contained in the basic set Λ_1 , for any 0 < t < 1, there exists a continuation of subsurfaces $H_{\mu,\nu}$ of $W^s(P, f_{\mu,\nu}) \cap U_P$ which are almost horizontal and contained in either $A_{0,t}$ or $A_{-t,0}$ for any (μ,ν) sufficiently near (0,0). Since ℓ_{ν_n} converges to an arc in D_Y^u as $n \to \infty$, $\hat{\ell}_{\nu_n}$ is contained in $A_{-1,t}$ and moreover disjoint from H_{0,ν_n} in the case of $H_{0,\nu_n} \subset A_{0,t}$ for all sufficiently large n. When $H_{0,\nu_n} \subset A_{0,t}$, there exists μ_n with $0 < \mu_n < t$ such that $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_n\nu_n}$ and H_{μ_n,ν_n} have a quadratic tangency and $D_Y^u(f_{\mu_n,\nu_n}) \pitchfork W^s_{\text{loc}}(P)$ is a (d-2)-sphere, see Figure 2.3 (a). When $H_{0,\nu_n} \subset A_{-t,0}$,



FIGURE 2.3. The black dots represent homoclinic tangencies and the pairs of white dots represent (d-2)-spheres.

one can choose μ_n with $-t < \mu_n < 0$ so that $\hat{\ell}_{\mu_n\nu_n}$ and $W^p_{\text{loc}}(P)$ have a quadratic tangency and $D_Y^u(f_{\mu_n,\nu_n}) \pitchfork H_{\mu_n,\nu_n}$ is a (d-2)-sphere, see Figure 2.3 (b). Since t can be taken arbitrarily small, we may choose μ_n so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0$.

Thus, in ether case, $g_1 := f_{\mu_n,\nu_n}$ satisfies our desired conditions. This completes the proof.

3. Stable and unstable thicknesses

In this section, we will recall the definition of the thickness given in Newhouse [16] for a Cantor set K in \mathbb{R} . Let I be the minimal interval containing K. A gap of K is a connected component of $I \setminus K$. An ordering $\mathcal{G} = \{G_n\}$ of the gaps is called a *presentation* of K. For any $x \in \partial G_n$, the \mathcal{G} -component of K at x is the connected component C of $I \setminus (G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_n)$ containing x. For each such x, set $\tau(K, \mathcal{G}, x) = \text{Length}(C)/\text{Length}(G_n)$. Then the *thickness* of K is given by

$$\tau(K) = \sup_{\mathcal{G}} \inf_{x} \tau(K, \mathcal{G}, x)$$

where the infimum is taken over all boundary points of gaps of K. The *local* thickness of K at $x \in K$ is defined as

$$\tau(K,x) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \{ \tau(L); \ L \subset K \cap [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] \text{ a Cantor set} \}.$$

The notion of thickness can be extended to that of a nontrivial basic set $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\varphi}$ of index 1 as follows. Let z be a point of $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda)$ and $\pi: I \to M$ a C^1 embedding transverse to $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda)$ at $z = \pi(0)$, where I is a closed interval containing 0 as an interior point. Actually, C^1 projections along leaves of the stable C^1 foliation of Λ can be used to define π . The *local stable thickness* of Λ at z is $\tau^s(\Lambda, z) :=$ $\tau(\pi^{-1}(W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda)), 0)$. Note that $\tau^s(\Lambda, z)$ is independent of the choice of π and has the identical value for every $z \in W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda)$ which is a strictly positive finite number. Thus we may denote it simply by $\tau^s(\Lambda)$ and call the (*local*) stable thickness of Λ . On the other hand, it depends continuously on the diffeomorphism, that is, for any φ_1 sufficiently C^2 -close to φ_2 , $|\tau^s(\Lambda_{\varphi_1}) - \tau^s(\Lambda_{\varphi_2})|$ is smaller than a given positive constant, see [17, §4.3]. The *local unstable thicknesses* $\tau^u(\Lambda')$ of a basic set Λ' with index($\Lambda') = d - 1$ is defined similarly.

Let Λ , Γ be nontrivial basic sets with

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{index}(\Lambda) = d - 1, \quad \operatorname{index}(\Gamma) = 1,$$

and let $\gamma: I \to M$ be a C^1 embedding transverse to $W^u(p)$ and $W^s(q)$ for some points $p \in \Lambda$ and $q \in \Gamma$. Since Λ is a basic set, there exists a Cantor set K^u in some open subinterval J of I and an open segment α in $W^s(p, \Lambda)$ such that $(\gamma(J), \gamma(K^u))$ is C^1 diffeomorphic to $(\alpha, \Lambda \cap \alpha)$ along an unstable foliation associated with $W^u(\Lambda)$. A Cantor set K^s in I is defined similarly from Γ . We say that K^u and K^s are *linked* if K^s is not contained in a single component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus K^u$ and K^u is not contained in a single component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus K^s$. By the Gap Lemma ([16, 17]), if $\tau^u(\Lambda)\tau^s(\Gamma) > 1$ and K^u and K^s are linked, then $K^u \cap K^s \neq \emptyset$.

Note that the above explanation could not be applied directly to the basic sets without the condition (3.1). But, under the (codimension-one) sectionally dissipative condition, one can define the stable thickness of Λ with the same property as above by using intrinsically C^1 projections along the leaves of an intrinsically C^1 foliation of Λ , see [18, §2-4] for details.

In what follows, to simplify notations, we denote continuations of the saddles P, Q and the basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 of every perturbed diffeomorphism near f by the same notations as the original ones if it does not cause any confusion.

Let us start with the C^2 diffeomorphism g_1 which is arbitrarily C^2 close to f given in Proposition 2.2 and satisfies the setting in Palis-Viana [18]. In fact, g_1 has a quadratic homoclinic tangency in U_Y associated with the saddle point P satisfying the sectionally dissipative condition (2.2). By Palis-Viana [18], we have the following result.

Proposition 4.1 (Steps 1-3 in [18, §7]). There exists a $g_2 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily C^2 close to g_1 which has a basic set Λ_2 of index 1 other than Λ_1 such that

- (1) $\tau^u(\Lambda_1)\tau^s(\Lambda_2) > 1;$
- (2) Λ_1 and Λ_2 are homoclinically related;
- (3) There are periodic points $P_1 \in \Lambda_1$, $P_2 \in \Lambda_2$ such that $W^u(P_1, g_2)$ and $W^s(P_2, g_2)$ have a quadratic tangency.

Actually, the above basic set Λ_2 is obtained by a renormalization of return maps defined on U_Y which converge to Hénon-like endomorphisms whose stable thickness of the invariant expanding Cantor set is arbitrarily large if g_2 is sufficiently close to $g_1 = f_{\mu_n,\nu_n}$, see [18, §6]. Hence, one can suppose that

(4.1)
$$\tau^u(\Lambda_0)\tau^s(\Lambda_2) > 1$$

holds, where $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_{0,g_2}$ is the continuation of the original basic set Λ_0 in Theorem A.

Now we will show that there exists a diffeomorphism g_3 arbitrarily C^2 close to g_2 and such that $W^u(\Lambda_0, g_3) \cap W^s(\Lambda_2, g_3)$ contains a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type.

For a given $q \in \Lambda_0$, a compact subset A of $W^u(q, g_2)$ is called an *unstable* cylinder with foliation \mathcal{F} if there exists a C^1 diffeomorphism $h: [0,1] \times S^{d-2} \to A$ with $\mathcal{F} = \{h(\{t\} \times S^{d-2}); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. For $p \in \Lambda_1$, we say that a sequence of unstable cylinders A_n in $W^u(q, g_2)$ with foliations $\mathcal{F}_n C^1$ converges to an arc α in $W^u(p, g_2)$ if it satisfies the following conditions.

- The diameter of each leaf of \mathcal{F}_n is less than a constant $\varepsilon_n > 0$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.
- There exist C^1 sections $\sigma_n : [0,1] \to A_n \subset M$ meeting all leaves of \mathcal{F}_n transversely and C^1 converging to an embedding $\sigma_\infty : [0,1] \to M$ with $\sigma_\infty([0,1]) = \alpha$.

Lemma 4.2. Let g_2 be the diffeomorphism in Proposition 4.1. For any periodic points $q \in \Lambda_0$, $p \in \Lambda_1$, there exists a sequence of foliated unstable cylinders A_n^u in $W^u(q,g_2) C^1$ converging to an arc in $W^u_{\text{loc}}(p,g_2)$ containing p as an interior point.

Proof. To make the proof clear, we first show the lemma for the fixed points $Q \in \Lambda_0, P \in \Lambda_1$. Since g_2 is sufficiently C^2 close to g_1 , Proposition 2.2 implies that $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P,g_2) \pitchfork W^u(Q,g_2)$ contains a (d-2)-sphere S_0^{d-2} . Let A_0^u be a closed tubular neighborhood of S_0^{d-2} in $W^u(Q,g_2)$ with foliation \mathcal{F}_0 each leaf of which is the intersection of A_0^u and the level surface z = t with respect to the linearizing coordinate on U_P for some t with $|t| \leq \varepsilon$, where ε is a small positive number. See Figure 4.1. For any sufficiently large n, there exists a sub-cylinder



FIGURE 4.1. Foliated unstable cylinders.

 A_n^u of the unstable cylinder $g_2^n(A_0^u)$ with $A_n^u \supset g_2^n(S_0^{d-2})$ such that the boundary ∂A_n^u is contained in the union of the level surfaces $z = \pm 1$. The foliation \mathcal{F}_n on A_n^u is defined similarly as \mathcal{F}_0 . By (2.1), we conclude that the sequence of $A_n^u C^1$ converges to the arc $\alpha = (\mathbf{0}, 0) \times [-1, 1]$ in $W_{\text{loc}}^u(P, g_2)$. Note that $P = (\mathbf{0}, 0) \times \{0\}$ is an interior point of α .

Next, we observe that the assertion of the lemma holds for any periodic points $q \in \Lambda_0$ and $p \in \Lambda_1$. Since $W^u(q, g_2) \pitchfork W^s(Q, g_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^s(p, g_2) \pitchfork W^u(P, g_2) \neq \emptyset$, by Inclination Lemma, there exists a (d-1)-dimensional disk in $W^u(q, g_2)$ (resp. $W^s(p, g_2)$) which is arbitrarily C^1 -close to $W^u_{\text{loc}}(Q, g_2)$ (resp. $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P, g_2)$). Thus, $W^s(p, g_2) \pitchfork W^u(q, g_2)$ contains a (d-2)-sphere \tilde{S}_0^{d-2} arbitrarily C^1 -close to S_0^{d-2} . Moreover, one has a closed tubular neighborhood \tilde{A}_0^u of \tilde{S}_0^{d-2} in $W^u(q, g_2)$ which is arbitrarily C^1 -close to A_0^u . Since $W^s(p, g_2) \pitchfork W^u(P, g_2) \neq \emptyset$, the unstable cylinder $g_2^m(\tilde{A}_0^u)$ for sufficiently large m and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(p, g_2)$ has a transverse (d-2)-sphere intersection. Thus, by a similar argument to the first case, one can obtain subcylinders \tilde{A}_n^u of $g_2^{m+n\text{per}(p)}(\tilde{A}_0^u)$ which C^1 -converges to the arc $\tilde{\alpha}$ containing p in $W^u_{\text{loc}}(p, g_2)$.

Proposition 4.3. There exists a $g_3 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily C^2 close to g_2 of Proposition 4.1 with the saddle periodic points P, Q and the continuations of the basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 , Λ_2 such that

- (1) Λ_1 and Λ_2 are homoclinically related:
- (2) $Q \in \Lambda_0, P \in \Lambda_1;$
- (3) $W^u(\Lambda_0, g_3) \cap W^s(\Lambda_2, g_3)$ contains a heterodimensional tangency r of elliptic type.

Proof. The first and second claims are obtained immediately from (2) of Proposition 4.1. By (3) of Proposition 4.1, there exist periodic points $P_1 \in \Lambda_1$, $P_2 \in \Lambda_2$ and an arc L^u in $W^u(P_1, g_2)$ which has a quadratic tangency R with $W^s_{\text{loc}}(P_2, g_2)$. If necessary replacing L^u by a shorter arc, we may assume that L^u is contained entirely in one component of $U_R \setminus W^s_{\text{loc}}(P_2, g_2)$, say in the lower component, where U_R is the η -neighborhood of R in M with small $\eta > 0$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a sequence of unstable cylinders A^u_n in $W^u(Q, g_2)$ C^1 converging to an arc α in $W^u_{\text{loc}}(P_1, g_2)$ containing P_1 as an interior point. Then we have a subarc α' of α and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^m_2(\alpha') = L^u$. Let A^u_n be sub-cylinders of $A^u_n C^1$ converging to α' . Then $A_n^{u''} = g_2^m(A_n^{u'})$ are unstable cylinders in $W^u(Q, g_2) C^1$ converging to L^u , see Figure 4.2.



FIGURE 4.2. Creation of heterodimensional tangency.

Note that the tangency between L^u and $W^s(P_2, g_2)$ unfolds generically with respect to the parameter of the Hénon-like family given by the renormalization in Proposition 4.1 as in [18, §6 and Step 3 of §7]. Thus, by controlling the parameter and applying the Intermediate Value Theorem, one can get a $g_3 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily close to g_2 such that the continuation A^u of $A_n^{u''}$ in $W^u(Q, g_3)$ has a tangency r with $W^s(P_2, g_3)$ and $A^u \setminus \{r\}$ lies in the lower component of $U_R \setminus W_{\text{loc}}^s(P_2, g_3)$ if we take n sufficiently large. In particular, all the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of A^u at r relative to $W^s(P_2, g_3)$ are non-positive. Sightly modifying g_3 by C^2 perturbation if necessary, we may suppose that all these eigenvalues are strictly negative. It follows that the tangency r is of elliptic type. Thus the proof is complete.

The assertion of Proposition 4.3 (1) will be used to prove Theorem B, see Remark 6.1.

Consider the unstable manifold $W^u(q, g_3)$ associated with $q \in \Lambda_0$. Let $\gamma : I = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ be a short C^1 regular curve meeting $W^u(q, g_3)$ transversely at $\gamma(0)$. Since Λ_0 is a nontrivial basic set of index d-1, there exists a Cantor set K_{0,g_3} in I with $K_{0,g_3} \ni 0$ which is defined as K^u in Section 3. We say that $W^u(q, g_3)$ is twosided if $(-\eta, 0) \cap K_{0,g_3} \neq \emptyset$ and $(0, \eta) \cap K_{0,g_3} \neq \emptyset$ for any $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$. The two-sided stable manifold $W^s(p, g_3)$ with $p \in \Lambda_2$ is defined similarly. Since a Cantor set is perfect by definition, there exists $t \in K_{0,g_3}$ arbitrarily close to 0 (possibly t = 0) such that the unstable manifold $W^u(q', g_3)$ for a $q' \in \Lambda_0$ with $W^u(q', g_3) \ni \gamma(t)$ is two-sided.

If necessary modifying the diffeomorphism g_3 given in Proposition 4.3 slightly, one can suppose that g_3 satisfies the following.

Corollary 4.4. The unstable manifolds $W^u(q, g_3)$ in $W^u(\Lambda_0, g_3)$ and the stable manifold $W^s(p, g_3)$ in $W^s(\Lambda_2, g_3)$ containing the heterodimensional tangency r are two-sided.

Proof. Any C^1 regular curve in M meeting $W^u(q, g_3)$ with $q \in \Lambda_0$ transversely at r meets also $W^s(p, g_3)$ with $p \in \Lambda_2$ transversely at r. By using the curve, one can show that there exist $q' \in \Lambda_0$ and $p' \in \Lambda_2$ such that both $W^u(q', g_3)$ and $W^s(p', g_3)$ are two-sided and intersect arbitrarily small neighborhood U of r in M. Thus, we have a $g'_3 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ arbitrarily C^2 close to g_3 such that $W^u(q', g'_3)$ and $W^s(p', g'_3)$

have a heterodimensional tangency r' of elliptic type in U. The proof is completed by setting p' = p, q' = q, $g'_3 = g_3$, r' = r again.

5. Arc of tangencies of stable and unstable foliations

Recall that M is a closed C^2 manifold with dim $M = d \geq 3$. We denote the diffeomorphism g_3 obtained in Proposition 4.3 by g for simplicity. Thus, $g \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ has nontrivial basic sets Λ_0 and Λ_2 with index $(\Lambda_0) = d - 1$ and index $(\Lambda_2) = 1$. Moreover, $W^u(\Lambda_0, g)$ and $W^s(\Lambda_2, g)$ have a heterodimensional tangency. Let \mathcal{F} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be stable and unstable foliations associated with Λ_0 and Λ_2 . Note that $W^u_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_0)$ and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_2)$ are considered to be sublaminations of \mathcal{F} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ respectively. Both $\mathcal{F}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are C^1 foliations on M, but each leaf of \mathcal{F} or $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a codimension-one C^2 submanifold of M. The aim of this section is to show that, under suitable conditions, there exists a regular C^1 curve $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ which meets leaves of both \mathcal{F} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ transversely and such that, for any $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \gamma(t)$ is a non-degenerate heterodimensional tangency between leaves of \mathcal{F} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Suppose that there exist leaves λ_0 of \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ which have a strict tangency at a point p in M. A small open neighborhood U of p in M has a C^2 coordinate (\boldsymbol{x}, z) with $p = (\mathbf{0}, 0)$ such that the leaf λ_0 is contained in the level surface z = 0, where $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}), \, \mathbf{0} = (0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. This gives the identification of Uwith an open neighborhood of $(\mathbf{0}, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

Since \mathcal{F} is a C^1 foliation on M with C^2 leaves, for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, there exists a C^1 diffeomorphism

(5.1)
$$\varphi_g: (-\delta, \delta)^d = (-\delta, \delta)^{d-1} \times (-\delta, \delta) \to U$$

with $\varphi_g(\boldsymbol{x}, z) = (\boldsymbol{x}, \alpha(\boldsymbol{x}, z))$ and satisfying the following conditions, where we set $\varphi_g = \varphi$ for short.

- $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$ is a C^2 map on \boldsymbol{x} and C^1 on z.
- $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},0) = (\boldsymbol{x},0)$ for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in (-\delta,\delta)^{d-1}$.
- For any $z \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $\varphi((-\delta, \delta)^{d-1} \times \{z\})$ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

For any $(\boldsymbol{x}, z) \in (-\delta, \delta)^d$, let

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} = \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x},z), \nu(\boldsymbol{x},z) \right) = \left(\mu_1(\boldsymbol{x},z), \dots, \mu_{d-1}(\boldsymbol{x},z), \nu(\boldsymbol{x},z) \right)$$

be the unit tangent vector of $T_{\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z)}(U)$ orthogonal to the leaf of \mathcal{F} containing $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z)$ and such that the *d*-th entry $\nu(\boldsymbol{x},z)$ of $\widetilde{N}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)}$ is positive, see Figure 5.1. Consider the C^1 map $\psi_q = \psi : (-\delta, \delta)^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ defined as



FIGURE 5.1. $h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$ if $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, z) \in \tilde{\lambda}_0$.

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x},z) = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_1} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z), \dots, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_{d-1}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z))$$

Then the Jacobian matrix of $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$ is

$$J\psi(\boldsymbol{x},z) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1}(\boldsymbol{x},z) & \cdots & a_{1,d-1}(\boldsymbol{x},z) & b_1(\boldsymbol{x},z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{d-1,1}(\boldsymbol{x},z) & \cdots & a_{d-1,d-1}(\boldsymbol{x},z) & b_{d-1}(\boldsymbol{x},z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$a_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{x},z) = \partial_{x_j} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_i} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_i x_j} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z),$$

$$b_i(\boldsymbol{x},z) = \partial_z \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_i} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},z)} \cdot \partial_{x_i z} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x},z).$$

Since $\widetilde{N}(\mathbf{0},0) = (\mathbf{0},1)$, $\partial_{x_i}\varphi(\mathbf{0},0) = (0,\ldots,0,\overset{i}{1},0,\ldots,0)$, $\partial_{x_ix_j}\varphi(\mathbf{0},0) = (\mathbf{0},0)$ and $\partial_{x_iz}\varphi(\mathbf{0},0) = (\mathbf{0},\partial_{x_iz}\alpha(\mathbf{0}^d))$, we have

(5.2)
$$J\psi(\mathbf{0}^d) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1}\mu_1(\mathbf{0}^d) & \cdots & \partial_{x_{d-1}}\mu_1(\mathbf{0}^d) & \partial_z\mu_1(\mathbf{0}^d) + \partial_{x_1z}\alpha(\mathbf{0}^d) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_{x_1}\mu_{d-1}(\mathbf{0}^d) & \cdots & \partial_{x_{d-1}}\mu_{d-1}(\mathbf{0}^d) & \partial_y\mu_{d-1}(\mathbf{0}^d) + \partial_{x_{d-1}z}\alpha(\mathbf{0}^d) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{0}^d = (\mathbf{0}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $h: (-\delta, \delta)^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function such that the graph $\{(\boldsymbol{x}, h(\boldsymbol{x})); \boldsymbol{x} \in (-\delta, \delta)^{d-1}\}$ of h is contained in the leaf $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in (-\delta, \delta)^{d-1}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$, the vector $(0, \ldots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \ldots, 0, \partial_{x_i} h(\boldsymbol{x}, 0))$ is tangent to $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ at $(\boldsymbol{x}, h(\boldsymbol{x}))$, see Figure 5.1. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))}\cdot(0,\ldots,0,\overset{i}{1},0,\ldots,0,\partial_{x_{i}}h(\boldsymbol{x}))=\mu_{i}(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))+\nu(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))\partial_{x_{i}}h(\boldsymbol{x})=0.$$

Differentiating the latter equation by x_j (j = 1, ..., d - 1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_j}\mu_i(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x})) + \partial_z\mu_i(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))\partial_{x_j}h(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= -\left(\partial_{x_j}\nu(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x})) + \partial_z\nu(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))\partial_{x_j}h(\boldsymbol{x})\right)\partial_{x_j}h(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &- \nu(\boldsymbol{x},h(\boldsymbol{x}))\partial_{x_jx_i}h(\boldsymbol{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\partial_{x_i} h(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and $\nu(\mathbf{0}, 0) = 1$,

(5.3)
$$\partial_{x_i}\mu_i(\mathbf{0},0) = -\partial_{x_ix_i}h(\mathbf{0}).$$

Proposition 5.1. With the notation as above, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The strict tangency of λ_0 and $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ at $p = (\mathbf{0}, 0)$ in U is non-degenerate.
- (2) There exists a C^1 regular curve $\gamma_g = \gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to U$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and such that, for each $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, the curve $\gamma(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ meets leaves λ_t of \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ at $\gamma(t)$ transversely. Moreover, λ_t and $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ have a non-degenerate strict tangency at $\gamma(t)$.

Proof. Since "(2) \Rightarrow (1)" is obvious, we prove "(1) \Rightarrow (2)".

Suppose that the tangency of λ_0 and λ_0 at p is non-degenerate, or equivalently that

(5.4)
$$\det\left(\partial_{x_i x_j} h(\mathbf{0})\right) \neq 0.$$

Let $\Psi_q = \Psi : (-\delta, \delta)^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the C^1 map defined as

(5.5)
$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, z) = (\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, z), z) \\ = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x}, z)} \cdot \partial_{x_1} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, z), \dots, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}}_{(\boldsymbol{x}, z)} \cdot \partial_{x_{d-1}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, z), z).$$

By (5.2) and (8.1), the Jacobian matrix of Ψ at (0,0) has the form

$$J\Psi(\mathbf{0},0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{x_1x_1}h(\mathbf{0}) & \cdots & -\partial_{x_1x_{d-1}}h(\mathbf{0}) & \partial_z\mu_1(\mathbf{0},0) + \partial_{x_1z}\alpha(\mathbf{0},0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\partial_{x_1x_{d-1}}h(\mathbf{0}) & \cdots & -\partial_{x_{d-1}x_{d-1}}h(\mathbf{0}) & \partial_z\mu_{d-1}(\mathbf{0},0) + \partial_{x_{d-1}z}\alpha(\mathbf{0},0) \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then (5.4) implies that $J\Psi(\mathbf{0}, 0)$ is regular. By the Inverse Function Theorem, there exists a C^1 local inverse $\Psi^{-1} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^d \to (-\delta, \delta)^d$ of Ψ with $\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, 0) = (\mathbf{0}, 0)$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the C^1 map $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to U$ defined by $\gamma(t) = \varphi \circ \Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t)$. Since the *d*-th entry of $\partial_z \Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t)$ is one, the map $\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t)$ of *t* defines a regular curve in $(-\delta, \delta)^d$ passing through the *z*-constant level surfaces transversely. This implies that $\gamma(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is a regular curve in *U* transverse to leaves of \mathcal{F} . Let λ_t (resp. $\tilde{\lambda}_t$) be the leaf of \mathcal{F} (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$) containing $\gamma(t)$. From the definition (5.5) of Ψ , we know that the normal vector $\widetilde{N}(\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t))$ of $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ at $\gamma(t)$ is orthogonal to the tangent vectors $\partial_{x_i}\varphi(\Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t))$ ($i = 1, \ldots, d-1$) of λ_t . This shows that λ_t is tangent strictly to $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ at $\gamma(t)$. In particular, the curve $\gamma(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ meets $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ transversely at $\gamma(t)$ as well as it does λ_t .

From the continuity of the matrix $J\Psi$, one can assume that the strict tangency of λ_t and $\tilde{\lambda}_t$ is non-degenerate for any $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ if necessary replacing ε by a smaller positive constant. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.2. If the condition (1) in Proposition 5.1 holds, then there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{O}_g of g in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ such that, for any \tilde{g} in \mathcal{O}_g , there exists a C^1 regular curve $\gamma_{\tilde{g}} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ satisfying the condition on \tilde{g} corresponding to (2) of Proposition 5.1 and depending on $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_g$ continuously.

Proof. According to Propositions 1 and 2 in Pollicott [20], there exists a small open neighborhood \mathcal{O}_g of g in Diff²(M) such that, for any $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_g$, there are stable and unstable foliations associated respectively with $\Lambda_{0,\tilde{g}}$ and $\Lambda_{2,\tilde{g}}$ which C^0 vary on \mathcal{O}_g . It follows that the C^1 map $\Psi_{\tilde{g}}$ defined as (5.5) and its inverse $\Psi_{\tilde{g}}^{-1}$ depend on $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_g$ continuously. Thus the C^1 regular curve $\gamma_{\tilde{g}} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to U$ defined by $\gamma_{\tilde{g}}(t) = \varphi_{\tilde{g}} \circ \Psi_{\tilde{g}}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, t)$ satisfies the condition (2) of Proposition 5.1 and depends continuously on $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_g$, where $\varphi_{\tilde{g}} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^d \to U$ is a diffeomorphism defined from \tilde{g} as (5.1).

6. Proof of Theorem A

Proof of Theorem A. By combining results which have been obtained before this section, we have a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ C^2 converging to f and satisfying the following conditions (i)-(iv)

(i) By Proposition 4.3, each f_n has saddle periodic points Q_{f_n} , P_{f_n} and nontrivial basic sets Λ_{0,f_n} , Λ_{1,f_n} , Λ_{2,f_n} such that $Q_{f_n} \in \Lambda_{0,f_n}$, $P_{f_n} \in \Lambda_{1,f_n}$, index $(\Lambda_{0,f_n}) = d - 1$, index $(\Lambda_{1,f_n}) = \text{index}(\Lambda_{2,f_n}) = 1$, and Λ_{1,f_n} and Λ_{2,f_n} are homoclinically related, where Λ_{0,f_n} is the continuation of the basic set $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$ in Theorem A. Moreover, $W^u(\Lambda_{0,f_n}, f_n)$ and $W^s(\Lambda_{2,f_n}, f_n)$ have a heterodimensional tangency r_n of elliptic type.

- (ii) By Corollary 4.4, we may assume that both the leaves of $W^u(\Lambda_{0,f_n}, f_n)$ and $W^s(\Lambda_{2,f_n}, f_n)$ containing r_n are two-sided.
- (iii) By Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{O}_n of f_n in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ such that, for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$, there exists a C^1 regular curve $\gamma_g : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ which satisfies the condition corresponding to (2) of Proposition 5.1 and depends continuously on $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Furthermore, in the case of $g = f_n$, the curve γ_{f_n} satisfies $\gamma_{f_n}(0) = r_n$.
- (iv) By (4.1) together with the local continuity of thickness in the C^2 topology,

$$\tau^u(\Lambda_{0,g})\tau^s(\Lambda_{2,g}) > 1$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$, where $\Lambda_{0,g}$, $\Lambda_{2,g}$ are the continuations of Λ_{0,f_n} , Λ_{2,f_n} respectively.

Let $K_{0,g}$ and $K_{2,g}$ be Cantor sets defined as K^u and K^s in Section 3. By (ii) and (iii), we may assume that $K_{0,g}$ and $K_{2,g}$ are linked for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$ if necessary replacing \mathcal{O}_n by a smaller open neighborhood of f_n . Since $\tau(K_{0,g})\tau(K_{2,g}) > 1$ by (iv), the Gap Lemma ([16, 17]) implies that $K_{0,g} \cap K_{2,g} \neq \emptyset$ for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$. For any $t \in K_{0,g} \cap K_{2,g}$, $\gamma_g(t)$ is a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type associated with $\Lambda_{0,g}$ and $\Lambda_{2,g}$. Thus the union $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_n$ is an open set of Diff²(M) whose closure contains f and such that each $g \in \mathcal{O}$ has a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type associated with the basic sets $\Lambda_{0,g}$ and $\Lambda_{2,g}$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Remark 6.1. By Proposition 4.3 (1), one can choose the open set \mathcal{O}_n in the proof of Theorem A so that, for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $\Lambda_{1,g}$ is homoclinically related to $\Lambda_{2,g}$.

7. Proof of Theorem B

To prepare for the proof of Theorem B, let us introduce several definitions in the C^2 topology. Let $f \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ with dim $M = d \ge 3$. A nontrivial basic set Λ_0 of index $(\Lambda_0) = d-1$ is a *cu-blender* for f if there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_f \subset \text{Diff}^2(M)$ of f and a C^1 open set \mathcal{D} of (d-2)-dimensional disks D embedded in M such that for every $g \in \mathcal{U}_f$ and every $D \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Lambda_{0,q},g) \cap D \neq \emptyset.$$

The set \mathcal{D} is called the *superposition region* of the cu-blender. See Definition 3.1 in [5, 6].

In fact, the cu-blenders considered in previous works of Bonatti-Díaz belong to a special class of blenders, called *blender-horseshoes*, see [3, §1], [5, Definition 3.8]. In these works, the blender-horseshoe Λ_0 is the maximal invariant set of f in a d-dimensional 'cube' Δ in M and has a hyperbolic splitting with three nontrivial bundles $T_{\Lambda_0}M = E^s \oplus E^{cu} \oplus E^{uu}$ where E^s is the stable bundle with dim $E^s = 1$ and $E^u = E^{cu} \oplus E^{uu}$ is the unstable bundle with dim $E^{cu} = 1$. Moreover, there exists an integer k > 0 such that $f^k | \Lambda_0$ is topologically conjugate to the complete shift of two symbols. In practice of [3, §1], each of the bundles is obtained as a limit of stable, unstable, strong unstable cones \mathcal{C}^s , \mathcal{C}^{uu} , \mathcal{C}^u defined on Δ , respectively. In particular,

$$E^s \subset \mathcal{C}^s, \ E^{uu} \subset \mathcal{C}^{uu} \subset \mathcal{C}^u, \ E^{cu} \oplus E^{uu} \subset \mathcal{C}^u.$$

Note that the construction of the blender-horseshoe implies that $\Delta \cap f^k(\Delta)$ consists of two components each of which contains a *distinguished* saddle periodic point in Λ_0 . We may suppose that one of them is a fixed point, say Q, while the other is k-periodic point, say Q'. See Figure 7.1.

We consider vertical disks D through the blender-horseshoe, that is, each D is a (d-2)-dimensional disk tangent to C^{uu} and joining the 'top' and the 'bottom' of the cube Δ . Then there are two isotopy classes of vertical disks that do not intersect $W^s_{loc}(Q, f)$ (respectively $W^s_{loc}(Q', f^k)$), called disks at the right and at the left of $W^s_{loc}(Q, f)$ (resp. $W^s_{loc}(Q', f^k)$). For example, $W^{uu}_{loc}(Q, f)$ (that is a vertical disk) is at the left of $W^s_{loc}(Q', f^k)$ as in Figure 7.1. Similarly, $W^{uu}_{loc}(Q', f^k)$ is at the right of $W^s_{loc}(Q, f)$. Note that the superposition region \mathcal{D} of the blender-horseshoe consists of the vertical disks in between $W^s_{loc}(Q, f)$ and $W^s_{loc}(Q', f^k)$. See for more details in [3, 8, 5, 6].



FIGURE 7.1. Vertical disks for a blender-horseshoe.

Proof of Theorem B. Let f be a d-dimensional C^2 diffeomorphism with the nontrivial basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 satisfying the same conditions as in (1), (2) of Theorem A. Moreover, we suppose that the Λ_0 is a blender-horseshoe of index(Λ_0) = d - 1, which is the maximal invariant set of a d-dimensional cube Δ . From (1), $P \in \Lambda_1$ is of index one. As to the unstable manifold $W^u(P, f)$ of P, we furthermore suppose that there exist a segment L_f^u of $W^u(P, f)$, a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ and a C^2 embedding $D: [-1, 1] \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0]^{d-3} \to \Delta$ such that

- $D([-1,1] \times \{\mathbf{0}^{d-3}\}) = L_f^u;$
- for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, $D_{\delta}(L_f^u) := D([-1,1] \times [-\delta,\delta]^{d-3})$ is a vertical disk through the blender-horseshoe Λ_0 and contained in the superposition region \mathcal{D} .

Let \mathcal{O} be the open set obtained in Theorem A. Then the closure of $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}_f$ contains f. By Theorem A, for every $g \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}_f$, $W^u(\Lambda_{0,g},g)$ and $W^s(\Lambda_{2,g},g)$ have a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type. Note that the blender-horseshoe is robust for any small C^r perturbation with $r \geq 1$, see [6, Remark 3.2]. Hence, one has a disk $D_{\delta}(L_g^u)$ in Δ with $L_g^u \subset W^u(P_g,g)$ such that $D_{\delta}(L_g^u) \to D_{\delta}(L_f^u)$ as $g \to f$. Thus, we may assume that $D_{\delta}(L_g^u)$ still belongs to \mathcal{D} . Hence, in particular,

$$W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Lambda_{0,q},g) \cap D_{\delta}(L^u_q) \neq \emptyset.$$

By Theorem A and Remark 6.1, the basic set $\Lambda_{2,g}$ is nontrivial and homoclinically related to $\Lambda_{1,g}$. Therefore, there exists a segment \tilde{L}_q^u in $W^u(\tilde{P},g)$ for some $\tilde{P} \in \Lambda_{2,g}$ such that \tilde{L}_q^u is arbitrarily C^1 close to L_q^u and $D_{\delta}(\tilde{L}_q^u)$ is also contained in \mathcal{D} . Thus,

$$W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Lambda_{0,g},g) \cap D_{\delta}(\tilde{L}^u_g) \neq \emptyset$$

Since $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_{0,g},g)$ is closed subset of M, $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_{0,g},g)$ and \tilde{L}^u_g have non-empty intersection. It follows that

$$W^s(\Lambda_{0,g},g) \cap W^u(\Lambda_{2,g},g) \neq \emptyset.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

8. Proof of Theorem C

Finally, let us prove Theorem C. For that purpose, we first consider a C^2 diffeomorphism f having nontrivial basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 with the following C^2 open conditions.

- (i) Each periodic point in Λ_0 , Λ_1 satisfies the same condition as in (1) of Theorem A.
- (ii) f has a spherical heterodimensional intersection on the heterodimensional cycle associated with Λ_0 and Λ_1 .
- (iii) Λ_0 is a blender-horseshoe.

Let \mathcal{D} be the superposition region of Λ_0 . We denote the union $\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$ by $|\mathcal{D}|$. As was mentioned above, $\Delta \cap f^k(\Delta)$ consists of two components. Note that $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}| :=$ $|\mathcal{D}| \setminus (\Delta \cap f^k(\Delta))$ is disjoint from $\Lambda_{0,f}$. We say that a segment L is *in superposition* in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$ if

- $L \subset |\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$ and $TL \subset \mathcal{C}^{uu}$;
- there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ and a C^2 embedding $D : [-1, 1] \times [-\delta_0, \delta_0]^{d-3} \rightarrow \Delta$ for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ which satisfies

$$D([-1,1] \times \{\mathbf{0}^{d-3}\}) = L, \quad D([-1,1] \times [-\delta,\delta]^{d-3}) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In addition to (i)–(iii), we suppose the following condition:

(iv) There exist a saddle periodic point P in Λ_1 such that the unstable manifold $W^u(P, f)$ contains segments ℓ^u_f, L^u_f in superposition in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$ whose orbits $\mathcal{O}(\ell^u_f, f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(\ell^u_f)$ and $\mathcal{O}(L^u_f, f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(L^u_f)$ are disjoint.

Note that there exists an open subset of $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ whose element satisfies the condition (iv). In fact, one can construct an open set of examples from a certain diffeomorphism $f_0 \in \text{Diff}^2(M)$ with a partially hyperbolic saddle-node periodic point S with at least tree disjoint orbits of strong homoclinic intersections, i.e.,

- $Df_0^{\operatorname{per}(S)}(S)$ has eigenvalues α , $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{d-2}$, β with $|\alpha| < 1 < |\beta|$, $|\gamma_1| = \cdots = |\gamma_{d-2}| = 1$ and such that α , β are real and at least one of $\{\gamma_i\}$ is 1.
- Consider the strong unstable and stable invariant directions E^{ss} , E^{uu} respectively corresponding to the eigenvalues α , β of $Df_0^{\text{per}(S)}(S)$. The strong unstable manifold $W^{uu}(S, f_0)$ of S is the unique f_0 -invariant manifold tangent to E^{uu} of the same dimension as E^{uu} . The strong stable manifold $W^{ss}(S, f_0)$ of S is defined similarly by using E^{ss} instead of E^{uu} .
- $W^{ss}(S, f_0) \cap W^{uu}(S, f_0)$ contains at least three different orbits which do not belong to the orbit of S. See Figure 8.1-(a).

Let us explain how such examples are constructed. For simplicity, we assume that d = 3, S is the saddle-node fixed point and $W^{ss}(S, f_0) \cap W^{uu}(S, f_0) \setminus \{S\}$ contains three points X, Y, Z any one of which is not contained in the orbit of the

other ones. Suppose moreover that these points are quasi-transverse intersections associated with $W^{ss}(S, f_0)$ and $W^{uu}(S, f_0)$. After a small C^2 perturbation of f_0 , we can have a diffeomorphism f such that the saddle-node fixed point S splits into two hyperbolic fixed points Q (expanding in the central direction) and P (contracting in the central direction). The saddle points Q and P have different indices and $W^s(P)$ and $W^u(Q)$ have a transverse intersection that contains the interior of a 'central' curve jointing Q and P. Moreover, from [6, §3.3], f has the following properties.

- There exists a 3-dimensional cube Δ and an integer k > 0 such that $\Delta \cap f^k(\Delta)$ consists of two disjoint components which respectively contain Q and a point $Z_f \in W^u(P, f)$ converging to Z as $f \to f_0$.
- The maximal invariant set in Δ is a blender-horseshoe Λ_f with distinguished fixed point Q and k-periodic point Q'.

Note that it has the superposition region \mathcal{D} between $W^s_{\text{loc}}(Q, f)$ and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(Q', f^k)$.



FIGURE 8.1. (a) Strong homoclinic intersections associated with S. (b) ℓ_f^u and L_f^u in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.

Since the orbits $\mathcal{O}(X, f_0)$ of X and $\mathcal{O}(Y, f_0)$ of Y are disjoint, one has small segments $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y \subset W^{uu}(S, f_0)$ with $X \in \operatorname{Int} \sigma_X, Y \in \operatorname{Int} \sigma_Y$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}(\sigma_X, f_0) \cap \mathcal{O}(\sigma_Y, f_0) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, for any f sufficiently C^2 -close to f_0 ,

(8.1)
$$\mathcal{O}(\sigma_{X_f}, f) \cap \mathcal{O}(\sigma_{Y_f}, f) = \emptyset$$

where $\sigma_{X_f}, \sigma_{Y_f} \subset W^{uu}(S, f)$ are segments such that $\sigma_{X_f} \to \sigma_X$ and $\sigma_{Y_f} \to \sigma_Y$ as $f \to f_0$. We may assume that $T_X \sigma_X$ and $T_Y \sigma_Y$ are not equal to the central direction of S if necessary slightly C^2 -perturbing f. Hence, for sufficiently large integer m > 0, we obtain segments $\ell_f^u \subset f^m(\sigma_{X_f}) \ L_f^u \subset f^m(\sigma_{Y_f})$ which are in superposition in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$. Moreover, by (8.1), we have $\mathcal{O}(\ell_f^u, f) \cap \mathcal{O}(L_f^u, f) = \emptyset$. Observe that the above property is open and corresponds to (iv).

Proof of Theorem C. To prove this theorem, we have only to show that an arbitrarily small C^2 neighborhood of the above f with (i)–(iv) contains a diffeomorphism g having a heterodimensional tangency on a heterodimensional cycle associated with saddle periodic points which satisfy (1) and (2) of Theorem A.

By the conditions (i)–(ii), we have points $q_1 \in \Lambda_{0,f}$ and $p_1 \in \Lambda_{1,f}$ such that $W^u(q_1, f) \pitchfork W^s(p_1, f)$ contains a (d-2)-dimensional sphere S_f^{d-1} . See Figure 8.2. Moreover, by (iii)-(iv), there exist points $q_2 \in \Lambda_{0,f}$, $p_2 \in \Lambda_{1,f}$ and disjoint segments $\ell_f^u, L_f^u \in W^u(p_2, f)$ such that ℓ_f^u, L_f^u are in superposition $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^s(q_2, f) \cap \ell_f^u \neq \emptyset$. Note that, in general, q_1, p_1, q_2 are not periodic points, or $W_{\text{loc}}^s(q_2, f) \cap \ell_f^u$ consists of a single quasi-transverse intersection X, i.e., $T_X \ell_f^u + T_X W_{\text{loc}}^s(q_2, f) = T_X \ell_f^u \oplus T_X W_{\text{loc}}^s(q_2, f)$.



FIGURE 8.2. Configuration of primary items of f.

To obtain our desired diffeomorphism g, we will add C^2 local perturbations to f three times.

(I) The 1st perturbation from f to \tilde{f} . We here consider a local C^2 perturbation of f in a small neighborhood of X obtained by a similar method as in Section 2 as below. For any arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, let (x_1, \ldots, x_d) be a local coordinate on the ε -neighborhood U_{ε} of X such that the (x_1, x_2) -plane corresponds to $T_X \ell_f^u + T_X W_{\text{loc}}^s(q_2, f)$ and the (x_3, \ldots, x_d) -space is the orthogonal complement. Consider a C^2 bump function $B(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d b(x_i)$ where b is a C^2 function on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} b(x) = 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon \le |x|;\\ 0 < b(x) < 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon/2 < |x| < \varepsilon;\\ b(x) = 1 & \text{if } |x| \le \varepsilon/2. \end{cases}$$

Fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently smaller than ε . For any $\mu \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, let φ_{μ} be a family of perturbations in $\text{Diff}^2(M)$ such that if $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in U_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\varphi_{\mu}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = (x_1,x_2,0,\ldots,0) + \mu B(x_1,\ldots,x_d)(0,0,1,\ldots,1),$$

otherwise, φ_{μ} is the identity.

Let us define $f_{\mu} := \varphi_{\mu} \circ f$. Since U_{ε} is contained in $|\mathcal{D}|$, the above perturbation will not affect Λ_0 , that is, $\Lambda_{0,f_{\mu}} = \Lambda_0$ for every μ . Moreover, observe that $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_0, f_{\mu}) = W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_0, f)$ for every $\mu \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, while $\ell^u_{f_{\mu}}$ and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(q_2, f_{\mu})$ no longer meet in U_{ε} if $\mu \neq 0$. However, since periodic points are dense in the basic set Λ_0 , by the Intermediate Value Theorem, one can obtain $\tilde{\mu} \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$ such that the diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} := f_{\tilde{\mu}}$ satisfies the following conditions.

• There are a periodic point $\tilde{q}_2 \in \Lambda_0$ arbitrarily near q_2 , a periodic point $\tilde{p}_2 \in \Lambda_1$ arbitrarily near p_2 and segments $\ell^u_{\tilde{f}}, L^u_{\tilde{f}}$ of $W^u(\tilde{p}_2, \tilde{f})$ with $\ell^u_{\tilde{f}} \to \ell^u_{f}$, $L^u_{\tilde{f}} \to L^u_f$ as $\tilde{f} \to f$ such that $\ell^u_{\tilde{f}}, L^u_{\tilde{f}}$ are in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|, \ell^u_{\tilde{f}}$ and $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{f})$ have a quasi-transverse intersection \tilde{X} . See Figure 8.3.



FIGURE 8.3. $\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \in \Lambda_0$ and $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 \in \Lambda_1$.

(II) The 2nd perturbation from \tilde{f} to \tilde{g} . On the other hand, since the (d-2)-dimensional sphere S_f^{d-2} is contained in the transverse intersection $W^u(q_1, f) \Leftrightarrow W^s(p_1, f)$ and periodic points are dense in the basic sets Λ_0 , Λ_1 , one has the following property:

• for every \tilde{f} sufficiently C^2 -close to f, there exists a periodic point $\tilde{q}_1 \in \Lambda_0$ arbitrarily near q_1 and a periodic point $\tilde{p}_1 \in \Lambda_1$ arbitrarily near p_1 such that $W^u(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{f}) \pitchfork W^s(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{f})$ contains a (d-2)-sphere $\tilde{S}_{\tilde{f}}^{d-2}$ which has at

least two tangencies with leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{ss}(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{f})$. See Figure 8.3.

Since $f|_{\Lambda_1} = \tilde{f}|_{\Lambda_1}$ and Λ_1 is sectionally dissipative by (i), \tilde{p}_1 is a sectionally dissipative periodic point of \tilde{f} . Observe that there exists a foliated unstable cylinder A_0^u in $W^u(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{f})$ and a foliated stable cylinder A_0^s in $W^s(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{f})$ such that

$$A_0^u \cap W^s(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{f}) = \tilde{f}^N(A_0^s \cap W^u(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{f})) = \tilde{S}_{\tilde{f}}^{d-2}$$

for some integer N > 0. As in the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we have sub-cylinders A_n^u of $\tilde{f}^n(A_0^u) C^1$ converging to $\ell_{\tilde{f}}^u$ as $n \to \infty$. See Figure 8.3. Similarly, we have sub-cylinders A_n^s of $\tilde{f}^{-n}(A_0^s) C^1$ converging to a segment in $W_{\text{loc}}^s(\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{f})$ centered at \tilde{X} as $n \to \infty$. Hence, by making a C^2 perturbation of \tilde{f} similar to the above one in a small neighborhood of \tilde{X} , we can obtain a diffeomorphism \tilde{g} arbitrarily C^2 close to \tilde{f} such that $W^u(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{g})$ and $W^s(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{g})$ have a heterodimensional tangency of elliptic type r, while $L_{\tilde{g}}^u$ is still contained in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$. See Figure 8.4-(a). $\mathbb{C}^2\text{-}\mathrm{ROBUST}$ HETERODIMENSIONAL TANGENCIES



FIGURE 8.4. The last perturbation around Y'.

(III) The 3rd perturbation from \tilde{g} to g. Since Λ_1 is transitive and contains \tilde{p}_1 , \tilde{p}_2 , there exists a segment $\hat{L}^u_{\tilde{g}}$ in $W^u(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{g})$ arbitrarily C^2 close to $L^u_{\tilde{g}}$ and hence it is also contained in $|\tilde{\mathcal{D}}|$. That is, there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ and a C^2 embedding $D: [-1, 1] \times [-\delta, \delta]^{d-3} \to \Delta$ such that

$$D([-1,1] \times \{\mathbf{0}^{d-3}\}) = \hat{L}^{u}_{\tilde{q}}, \quad D_{\delta}(\hat{L}^{u}_{\tilde{q}}) := D([-1,1] \times [-\delta,\delta]^{d-3}) \in \mathcal{D}$$

for every $0 < \delta < \delta_0$. Therefore,

$$W^s_{\text{loc}}(\Lambda_0, \tilde{g}) \cap D_{\delta}(\hat{L}^u_{\tilde{a}}) \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that there exists a point $\tilde{q}'_1 \in \Lambda_0$ such that

 $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\tilde{q}'_1, \tilde{g}) \cap \hat{L}^u_{\tilde{q}} \neq \emptyset.$

Note that, although \tilde{q}'_1 may not be periodic point of \tilde{g} , it is an accumulation point of periodic points of Λ_0 . Observe that $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\tilde{q}'_1, \tilde{g}) \cap \hat{L}^u_{\tilde{g}}$ consists of a quasi-transverse intersection Y'. See Figure 8.4-(a). Thus, once again by adding a perturbation similar to the above one in a small neighborhood of Y', we have a diffeomorphism g arbitrarily C^2 close to \tilde{g} such that $W^u(\tilde{p}_1, g)$ and $W^s(\tilde{q}_1, g)$ have a quasi-transverse intersection Y. By the condition (iv), it is possible to choose the perturbation which does not break the heterodimensional tangency r. See Figure 8.4-(b). Since $g|_{\Lambda_1} = \tilde{f}|_{\Lambda_1}$, \tilde{p}_1 is sectionally dissipative with respect to g. It follows that g is a diffeomorphism satisfying all the conditions in Theorem A. Thus, the claim of Theorem C follows directly from Theorem A.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions, according to which many parts of this paper are improved and corrected. The first and second authors were partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22540226 and 22540092, respectively.

References

- F. Abdenur, C. Bonatti and S. Crovisier, Global dominated splittings and the C¹ Newhouse phenomenon, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), no. 8, 2229–2237.
- [2] M. Asaoka, Hyperbolic sets exhibiting C¹-persistent homoclinic tangency for higher dimensions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), no. 2, 677–686.
- [3] Ch. Bonatti and L. J. Díaz, Persistent nonhyperbolic transitive diffeomorphisms, Ann. Math.
 (2) 143 (1996), no. 2, 357–396.

- [4] Ch. Bonatti and L. J. Díaz, Robust heterodimensional cycles and C¹-generic dynamics, J. Inst. of Math. Jussieu 7(3) (2008), 469–525
- [5] Ch. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, Abundance of C¹-robust homoclinic tangencies, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), 5111–5148
- [6] Ch. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and S. Kiriki, Stabilization of heterodimensional cycles, Nonlinearity 25 (2012) 931–960
- [7] L. J. Díaz, S. Kiriki and K. Shinohara, Renormalized dynamics near heterodimensional tangencies, in preparation
- [8] Ch. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and M. Viana, Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity, (Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences (Mathematical Physics vol 102) Mathematical Phys. III (Berlin: Springer) (2005)
- [9] L. J. Díaz, A. Nogueira and E. R. Pujals, Heterodimensional tangencies, Nonlinearity, 19 (2006), 2543–2566.
- [10] L. J. Díaz and J. Rocha, Non-connected heterodimensional cycles: bifurcation and stability, *Nonlinearity*, 5 (1992), 1315–1341.
- [11] L. J. Díaz and J. Rocha, Partially hyperbolic and transitive dynamics generated by heteroclinic cycles, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., 21 (2001), 25–76.
- [12] S. V. Gonchenko, D. V. Turaev and L. P. Shilnikov, On the existence of Newhouse regions near systems with non-rough Poincaré homoclinic curve (multidimensional case) *Russ. Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math.*, 47 (1993), 268–273.
- [13] N. Gourmelon, Generation of homoclinic tangencies by C¹-perturbations. Discrete Conti. Dynam. Sys. 26 no. 1, (2010), 1-42.
- [14] C. G. Moreira, There are no C¹-stable intersections of regular Cantor sets, Acta Math., 206 (2011), 311–323
- [15] S. Kiriki, Y. Nishizawa and T. Soma, Heterodimensional tangencies on cycles leading to strange attractors, *Discrete Conti. Dynam. Sys.*, 27-1 (2010), 285–300
- [16] S. Newhouse, The abundance of wild hyperbolic sets and non-smooth stable sets for diffeomorphisms, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. 50 (1979), 101–151.
- [17] J. Palis and F. Takens, Hyperbolicity and sensitive chaotic dynamics at homoclinic bifurcations, Fractal dimensions and infinitely many attractors, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [18] J. Palis and M. Viana, High dimension diffeomorphisms displaying infinitely many periodic attractors, Ann. of Math. (2), 140 (1994), 207–250.
- [19] V. A. Pliss, On a conjecture due to Smale, Diff. Uravneniya, 8 (1972), 268–282.
- [20] M. Pollicott, Stability of mixing rates for Axiom A attractors, Nonlinearity, 16 (2003), 567–578.
- [21] N. Romero, Persistence of homoclinic tangencies in higher dimensions, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., 15 (1995), no. 4, 735–757.
- [22] K. Shinohara, On the index problem of C¹-generic wild homoclinic class in dimension three, Discrete Conti. Dynam. Sys., **31**-3 (2011), 913–940
- [23] J. C. Tatjer, Three-dimensional dissipative diffeomorphisms with homoclinic tangencies, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys., 21 (2001), 249–302.
- [24] L. Wen, Homoclinic tangencies and dominated splittings, Nonlinearity, 15 (2002), 1445– 1469

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University of Education, 1 Fukakusa-Fujinomori, Fushimi, Kyoto, 612-8522, JAPAN

E-mail address: skiriki@kyokyo-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

E-mail address: tsoma@tmu.ac.jp