

An analysis of overall network architecture reveals an infinite-period bifurcation underlying oscillation arrest in the segmentation clock

Zavala-López E^a, Santillán M^{b, c}

^a Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Depto. de Biomedicina Molecular, Av. IPN No. 2508, Col. San Pedro Zacatenco, México DF, México

^b Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Unidad Monterrey, Vía del Conocimiento 201, Parque PIIT, 66600 Apodaca NL, México

^c Centre for Applied Mathematics in Bioscience and Medicine, 3655 Promenade Sir William Osler, McIntyre Medical Building, Room 1123A, Montreal, QC H3G 1Y6, Canada

Abstract

Unveiling the mechanisms by which the somitogenesis regulatory network exerts spatiotemporal control of the somitic patterning has required a combination of experimental and mathematical modeling strategies. Although significant progress has been made for the zebrafish clockwork, the complexity of the amniote segmentation regulatory network makes it difficult to explain some dynamical features of this process. Here, we address the question of how oscillations are arrested in the amniote segmentation clock. We do this by constructing a minimal model of the regulatory network which privileges architectural information over molecular details. With a suitable choice of parameters, our model predicts an oscillatory behavior of the Wnt, Notch and FGF signaling pathways in presomitic mesoderm (PSM) cells. By introducing positional information via a single Wnt3a gradient, we show that oscillations are arrested following an infinite-period bifurcation. Notably, the oscillations increase their amplitude as cells approach the anterior PSM and remain in an upregulated state when arrested. The transition from the oscillatory regime to the upregulated state exhibits hysteresis. Moreover, the model also predicts an opposing distribution of the Fgf8 and RA gradients in the PSM. We hypothesize that the interaction between a limit cycle (originated by the Notch delayed-negative feedback loop) and a bistable switch (originated by the Wnt-Notch positive feedback loop) is responsible for the observed segmentation patterning. Our results agree with important experimental observations and suggest a simple plausible mechanism for spatiotemporal control of somitogenesis in amniotes.

keywords: somitogenesis, vertebrate embryo, delay differential equations, bistability

Introduction

Vertebrate segmentation is an embryonic developmental process that starts just after gastrulation and occurs along the presomitic mesoderm (PSM) of the embryo. It consists of the rhythmic and sequential formation of cell blocks, the somites, which become the precursors of vertebrae, ribs, intercostal muscles and other associated tissues of the thorax [1, 2]. Because of this, the process is also known as somitogenesis. While immature mesenchymal cells are constantly added to the posterior PSM in a highly proliferative zone called the tail bud, the somites arise in pairs from presomitic cells that cluster together in the anterior PSM. In this way, the somite boundaries appear progressively in an antero-posterior (AP) direction, starting near the otic vesicle and ending at the tail bud of the embryo. The somite number and periodicity can vary among species, but are highly conserved within a given species.

Since the first observations of somitogenesis by Malpighi, efforts have been made to understand its regularity and high similitude among all vertebrate species. In 1976, Cooke and Zeeman [3] introduced the *Clock and Wavefront* model in an attempt to explain qualitatively how the interaction of a clock mechanism with an AP traveling maturation wavefront could be responsible for the segmental patterning. However, it was not until 1997 [4] that the first molecular components of a genetic oscillator were identified in the PSM of chick. Since then, many genes with oscillatory expression have been associated to somitogenesis in different vertebrate species. For instance, approximately 30 genes with periodic expression have been identified in the mouse PSM [5]. These genes synchronize its expression within nearest neighbor cells and generate a wave-like expression pattern which starts at the tail bud of the embryo, travels anteriorly, slows down while approaching the anterior PSM and stops abruptly at the site where the future somite pair will form. The period of these *clock-waves* matches the formation rhythm of somites. Successive waves arriving at the anterior-most PSM in turn activate the expression of segmentation genes like *Mesp2*, which control somitic cellular identity and polarity [6, 7].

The question of what the clock mechanism that drives oscillations in PSM cells is remains elusive. Significant progress has been made using the zebrafish as experimental model [8, 9]. However, the molecular components controlling somitogenesis in amniotes such as chick and mice are much more complex. In mice, the cyclic genes are known to be downstream of either the Wnt, the Notch or the FGF signaling pathways. Predominance and redundancy of negative feedback at multiple levels are perhaps the most remarkable features of the internal wiring found within each pathway [7]. Previous mathematical models and experiments in zebrafish strongly suggest that delayed negative

feedbacks are responsible for self-sustained oscillations [8]. However, the abundance of cross-regulation among the three pathways at many levels makes it difficult to assert that the expression dynamics of each gene is exclusively determined by its upstream pathway [7, 10].

Moreover, in order to delimit segmental boundaries the signaling pathways are linked with spatiotemporal gradients known to work as positional cues to PSM cells [11, 12]. There are four biomolecules that exhibit graded concentration profiles across the PSM that shift posteriorly as a consequence of tail bud growth: Retinoic Acid (RA) [13], a classical morphogenetic gradient established by a source-sink mechanism, has its maximum concentration in the somites an anterior PSM while it diminishes posteriorly; *Wnt3a* (which provides indirect evidence of graded Wnt3a activity) [14] and nuclear β -catenin [15] which are, respectively, the ligand and the canonical intracellular mediator of Wnt signaling (they are distributed as opposing gradients to RA); and finally Fgf8 [16], the ligand of receptor Fgfr1 (the only FGF receptor expressed in the PSM [17]) which activates FGF signaling. Conversely to RA, *Fgf8* and *Wnt3a* are highly expressed in the tail bud and posterior PSM. The gradient profile in these cases is established as their anterior concentration diminishes due to protein and mRNA decay mechanisms during embryo extension [18]. It is interesting to point out that the experimental evidence supports that gradients are downstream of Wnt signaling, although the participation of other pathways in its regulation has not been discarded [18]. Also, Fgf8 and RA are involved in a double-negative feedback circuit of antagonistic regulation. Mathematical modeling of this regulatory circuit has suggested that a traveling bistability domain may be responsible for the abrupt transition of PSM cells to somitic [19].

The involvement of Wnt and FGF signaling in both establishing the gradients and maintaining oscillations is not yet well understood. However, some conjectures can be made from mathematical models which explore the role of network architecture in generating oscillations and rhythmic arresting guided by the gradients. In a previous work [20], we proposed a plausible mechanism for explaining some key features of oscillation arrest. Specifically, a biologically inspired minimal model of a positive plus negative delayed negative-feedback circuit predicted self-sustained oscillations. In that model, variations on a bifurcation parameter representing positional information provided by an hypothetical gradient arrested oscillations via an infinite-period bifurcation. This behavior was observed only when the regulatory functions controlling gene expression were considered as a sum rather than as a product.

Previous mathematical models of the somitogenesis regulatory network either consider: 1) at most two pathways at the same time, with or without coupling to a single gradient [21-23], 2) the three pathways, but organized hierarchically and ignoring bidirectional crosstalk among them [24], or 3) only the system of Fgf8/RA antagonistic gradients without connection to an oscillatory mechanism [19]. We think that as neither of these models considers the crosstalk among the three pathways and coupling to the gradients at the same time, perhaps a simplistic model based on an inspection of the modular circuits (positive and negative) composing the whole network could shed light on the dynamics of segmentation.

Here, we propose a minimal mathematical model comprising the Wnt, Notch and FGF pathways together with the circuit of Fgf8/RA antagonistic gradients. The model takes into account the possible bidirectional crosstalk between pathways. However, under these considerations it is the simplest possible network architecture that yet reproduces: self-sustained oscillations of PSM cells, slowing down and increasing amplitude of the clock-wave as it travels anteriorly, and non-reversible arrest of oscillations at the anterior-most PSM. Our model, although qualitative, is biologically inspired on the structural information available on the mouse embryo segmentation regulatory network. Nonetheless, the model is capable of predicting key dynamical features of the clock-wave. In particular, the slowing down of oscillations previous to its definitive arrest, whose underlying cause has remained elusive since first observed in 1997 [4].

Methods

Model Development

The mouse somitogenesis regulatory network can be visualized as an assembly of negative and positive circuits that build up the global architecture [7, 10]. Delayed negative and positive feedback circuits are broadly studied motifs known for their capabilities of, respectively, behaving as an oscillator and a bistable switch. Although negative feedback is suspected to be behind the oscillatory dynamics of signaling pathways, in the context of somite formation it has only been extensively studied in the Notch pathway [10]. Special attention to Notch is perhaps due to historical reasons as the first clock genes identified are downstream of it and this motivated the search of their homologs in other species. Nonetheless, its importance relies on its conserved role in vertebrate segmentation among different species. We thus decided to consider this pathway as the only possible source of oscillations and modeled it as a delayed negative feedback circuit. Conversely, positive feedback circuits can be found in the crosstalk between Wnt and Notch, FGF and Notch, and Fgf8 and RA (see Supporting Fig. S1 and Supporting Text S1). The dynamical influence of these positive circuits on the whole network is unknown. As mentioned above, an interesting proposal by Goldbeter et al. explains maturation wavefront as a traveling bistable domain resulting from the positive circuit created by the Fgf8 and RA mutual antagonism [19]. However, as the precise mechanism by which the clock and the gradients carry out

spatiotemporal control of the maturation wavefront are still controversial, we believe that any of the positive circuits could potentially interact with the clock to position segmental boundaries.

We chose not to consider specific gene expression profiles but only the pathway activities, Fgf8 and RA in our model. As our goal is to explain how the network architecture is critical for determining the dynamical behavior of the segmentation clock, we believe this approach simplifies enough the model while still preserving its essential biological design. The network architecture considered in the model is shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 Somitogenesis regulatory network architecture considered in the model. Positive and negative interactions are described, respectively, by arrows and T lines. The τ corresponds to the delay within Notch self-regulation.

The corresponding model equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{w} &= a_w + g_{wn}(n) + g_{wf}(f) - \gamma_w w, \\
 \dot{n} &= a_n + h_{nn}(n_\tau) + g_{nw}(w) + g_{nf}(f) - \gamma_n n, \\
 \dot{f} &= a_f + g_{fw}(w) + h_{fn}(n) + \beta_{fx}x - \gamma_f f, \\
 \dot{x} &= a_x + g_{xw}(w) + h_{xy}(y) - \gamma_x x, \\
 \dot{y} &= a_y + h_{yx}(x) - \gamma_y y.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where w, n, f, x and y stand for the Wnt, Notch, FGF, Fgf8 and RA activities, respectively. As these variables are not strictly mRNA or protein concentrations, they are represented in arbitrary units (AU). This is justified since our purpose is not to make quantitative predictions but to propose a novel plausible mechanism that explains the clock-wave behavior. Nonetheless, the state variables could be seen as estimations of hypothetical active protein levels whose corresponding gene is controlled by the pathways. Following this, the a_i 's and γ_i 's stand for basal input rates and turnover rates, respectively. In the above equation, functions $0 \leq g_{ij}(j) < \beta_{ij}$ and $0 < h_{ij}(j) \leq \beta_{ij}$ respectively stand for the positive and negative regulation on variable i by j (see Fig. 1). We have assumed that these functions are, respectively, increasing and decreasing Hill type functions of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{ij}(j) &= \beta_{ij} \frac{j^4}{K_{ij}^4 + j^4}, \\
 h_{ij}(j) &= \beta_{ij} \frac{K_{ij}^4}{K_{ij}^4 + j^4}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the β_{ij} 's represent the regulatory weight of the j -th variable over the i -th one. The K_{ij} 's are the Hill constants and τ is the time delay within the Notch negative feedback circuit. This delay accounts for the duration of the sum of

processes like transcription, mRNA processing, translation, post-translational modifications and intracellular transport of signaling molecules. We decided to model all regulatory inputs as Hill type functions as they are a good qualitative description of transcriptional regulation. For simplicity, all Hill coefficients were set to 4 to assure enough non-linearity while still falling within a biological plausible level of cooperativity. The only exception for non-linear regulation is the regulatory influence of Fgf8 on FGF dynamics. This was modeled as a linear function as it is the simplest way to describe the binding of the Fgf8 ligand to its Fgfr1 receptor.

The model in Eq. 1 describes, to our knowledge, the simplest possible network architecture that reproduces the dynamical features of the clock-wave. Notably, the influence of each state variable on the others was modeled by considering additive regulatory functions instead of multiplicative. This follows a previous work suggesting that an infinite-period bifurcation can be observed by using this additive relationships [20]. Our choice for signs of regulatory vectors among state variables is supported by biological evidence (See Supp. Text S1). However, as the detailed wiring of the somitogenesis regulatory network is much more complex than that portrayed in Fig. 1, other architectures are possible. Specifically, there is evidence that bidirectional crosstalk between Wnt and Notch, as well as self-regulation of FGF and Wnt pathways can have signs different than those considered in our model [7, 10] (See Supp. Fig. S1 and Supp. Text S1). Moreover, there exist multiple levels of regulation that should be considered if a model intended to make quantitative predictions of gene expression products is desired. Nonetheless, we believe that our minimalistic approach preserves key dynamical effects attributable to the coupling of oscillatory and bistable circuits within the whole network.

Numerical Methods

The numerical solutions and bifurcation analysis of our model were carried out using the software XPPAUT and the numerical methods available in it [25]. The simulations of sequential clock-waves along a linear array of PSM cells were carried out using MATLAB.

Results

We start by studying the effects of separately varying the parameter values within the negative-oscillatory and positive-bistable circuits. We found for the Notch delayed negative self-regulation that a strong feedback ($K_{nn} = 0.03$ AU) together with a long delay ($\tau = 45$ min) is necessary to observe self-sustained oscillations. Conversely, from the three positive circuits that can be identified in the network (see Fig. 1), we chose to explore the bistable potential of the circuit formed by the bidirectional crosstalk between Wnt and Notch. This follows our previous work predicting bistable properties of this circuit [22] as well as an infinite-period bifurcation resulting from coupling a positive circuit with a delayed-negative circuit [20]. In consequence, we decided to assign this circuit a high influence with respect to other positive circuits in the network. We do this by setting a strong weight to its cross-regulatory functions ($\beta_{wn} = \beta_{nw} = 0.2 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) compared to others. We assigned values to the delay and turnover rates to reproduce the observed ~ 120 min period of clock-wave oscillations in mouse somitogenesis. The remaining parameters were adjusted so the oscillations in the three pathways rapidly converge to a stable orbit and their amplitudes are comparable. Their nominal values are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Nominal parameter values.


Parameter	Nominal value
a_w, a_n, a_f, a_x, a_y	$0.02 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
$\beta_{nn}, \beta_{xw}, \beta_{xy}, \beta_{yx}$	$0.1 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
β_{wn}, β_{nw}	$0.2 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
β_{wf}, β_{fw}	$0.06 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
β_{nf}, β_{fn}	$0.04 \text{ AU} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
β_{fx}	0.02 min^{-1}
K_{nn}	0.03 AU
$K_{wn}, K_{nw}, K_{wf}, K_{nf}, K_{xy}, K_{yx}$	1 AU
K_{fw}	0.4 AU
K_{fn}	1.5 AU

Parameter	Nominal value
K_{xw}	0.1 AU
γ_w, γ_y	0.14 min^{-1}
γ_n	0.15 min^{-1}
γ_f, γ_x	0.16 min^{-1}
τ	45 min

The self-sustained oscillations reproduced by our model using the parameter values in Table 1 correspond to an hypothetical presomitic cell that hasn't yet abandoned the tail bud. As the embryo keeps growing, these cells are left behind and undergo a relative displacement to more anterior regions of the PSM. In the process, they sense a decreasing activity of Wnt3a, the ligand that activates the Wnt signaling pathway. This pathway in turns modulates other gradients like nuclear β -catenin, Fgf8 and, indirectly, RA (see Supp. Fig. S1 and Supp. Text S1). We thus simulated the effect of the Wnt3a gradient on PSM cells by introducing a new parameter, k , which represents the effects of a decreasing Wnt signal in two ways: firstly, by modulating the half-life of the intracellular domain (NICD) of Notch1 receptor released after binding of its ligand Dll1 (NICD together with Rbpj- κ activates transcription of genes downstream of Notch and is known to be destabilized by Wnt signaling [14]); and secondly, by modulating transcription of *Fgf8*, which is known to be downstream of Wnt signaling and expressed only in the posterior PSM. In this way the Wnt3a gradient affects simultaneously the oscillatory and bistable circuits, as well as the antagonistic Fgf8/RA gradients. We represent this effects mathematically by replacing the corresponding parameters γ_n and β_{xw} in Eq. 1 according to the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= [(0.02)k + 0.13] \text{min}^{-1}, \\ \beta_{xw} &= [(0.1)k] \text{AU/min}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad k = 1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

Although the specific mechanisms by which the clock and gradients interact are poorly understood, we've found that a simple hypothetical interaction like that considered in Eq. 3 accounts for both the opposed distribution of Fgf8 and RA gradients as well as the increasing period of oscillations in PSM cells prior to their arrest. To see this, we made a bifurcation analysis of the model using k as the bifurcation parameter. We start at $k = 1$, which corresponds to stable oscillations in an immature cell at the tail bud. At this point, the cell experiences the maximum Wnt3a activity. As the embryo develops and the tail bud recedes, the cell enters the PSM and lingers on it until it's eventually reached by the maturation wavefront and becomes part of a somite. In the process, the cell senses lower values of Wnt3a as its relative position moves from posterior to anterior PSM. We model this by lowering parameter k from 1 to 0, which stands for the decreasing Wnt3a activity until its minimum value is reached. The resulting activities of the three pathways as well as the Fgf8 and RA gradients are shown in Fig. 2.






Fig. 2 Bifurcation diagrams of **A.** the Wnt, **B.** the Notch, **C.** the FGF pathways and **D.** the Fgf8/RA opposing gradients. Oscillatory domains are shown in green. Stable steady-states are illustrated by continuous lines. Unstable steady-states are illustrated as dotted lines. Blue dotted-lines denote the steady-state that becomes unstable when considering the delay. In **D**, the oscillatory domain for RA and its steady-states are shown in pink and orange, respectively.

The most notorious characteristic from the bifurcation diagrams in Fig. 2 is the arrest of oscillations observed at $k \approx 0.44$. Below this threshold, pathway activities reach a stable steady-state of up-regulation for Wnt and Notch, and a moderate level for FGF. This steady-state persists till $k = 0$. Notably, if the value of k is now increased from zero, oscillations won't appear until the bifurcation parameter is above $k \approx 0.76$. In consequence, two dynamical regimes coexist between the upper and lower thresholds mentioned above. This implies that an hysteresis behavior typical of bistable switches is predicted by our model. A deeper analysis illustrates how the stable steady-state at the lower branch of the switch loses stability (see dotted blue lines in Figs. 2A, 2B and 2C) and become oscillatory when the delay is included (data not shown). Another important result is the observed increasing amplitude of Wnt and Notch oscillations as the parameter k is lowered. This agrees with evidence of increased expression profiles observed in the clock-wave of Notch downstream genes [14, 26, 27].

Moreover, we found that the arrest of oscillations occurs through an infinite-period bifurcation. This means that the period of oscillations increases dramatically as parameter k approaches its bifurcation point at $k \approx 0.44$. At this point, period goes to infinite or, conversely, its frequency falls to zero (see Fig. 3A). This behavior has been reported earlier in the clock-wave of zebrafish [28] and chick [4]. In mice and chick, it has been suggested that the segmentation clock periodicity is regulated by the Wnt signal [29]. Interestingly, Gibb et al. have suggested that a possible control mechanism of periodicity could be found in the interplay between Wnt and Notch. Specifically, by regulating the stability of one or more of the key clock components, just as we have considered it in Eq. 3.




Fig. 3 An infinite-period bifurcation underlies the arrest of oscillations. **A.** Frequency of oscillations drops to zero as parameter k approaches its bifurcation point at threshold value. **B.** Time evolution of oscillations in a PSM cell modulated by a non-linear gradient. Oscillations increase its amplitude and period as k decreases (sigmoid curve in green). The arrest of oscillations is imminent after k drops below its bifurcation value (red dotted line).

On the other hand, the values of the Fgf8 and RA gradients are affected not only by their mutual antagonism, but also by the ceasing of *de novo* transcription of *Fgf8*. As mentioned before, *Fgf8* is a downstream target of the Wnt pathway, which in turn is activated by Wnt3a and starts decreasing after PSM cells leave the tail bud. Our model reflects their opposing distribution in Fig. 2D, where it can be seen that as k goes from 1 to 0, Fgf8 decreases while RA

increases. An oscillatory domain can be observed in the same interval as in Figs. 2A, 2B and 2C, as an inevitable consequence of the coupling with the oscillating pathways. However, our choice of parameter values made its amplitude negligible compared to that of the signaling pathways, thus suggesting this low amplitude oscillations could be overshadowed by the biochemical noise present in the PSM environment.

We next evaluate how oscillations are affected by the bifurcation parameter in real time. To this end, we simulate a non-linear gradient $k(t)$ affecting the time-course of oscillations in one PSM cell (see Fig. 3B). Our results show that as soon as the cell abandons the $k \approx 1$ zone at the tail bud and posterior PSM, its oscillations start increasing in amplitude and period. The stable steady-state of up-regulation is reached one cycle after the bifurcation parameter falls below the threshold $k \approx 0.44$. Before reaching this value, any reversion of the parameter above the threshold will result in resuming normal oscillations. However, once below the threshold, the arrest of oscillations is imminent and irreversible (at least if k is kept below the upper threshold $k \approx 0.76$).

To better understand the meaning of all these single-cell results in the macroscopical context of the PSM we implement a simulation of the clock-wave. We follow the time evolution of 16 cells along the PSM separated a fixed distance in a linear array. Considering that the tail bud recedes at constant velocity and leaves cells behind steadily, the distance between two PSM cells in our array is proportional to the difference of elapsed time since their leaving the tail bud. We set this elapsed time to 30 min, so our array spans a PSM region four periods long given the oscillation period is 120 min. To visualize the clock-wave along our cell set we assumed, following Zavala-López et al. 2011, that the function describing how k decays in time is delayed proportionally to the elapsed time since a given cell leaves the tail bud. That is, if $k(t)$ describes the time evolution of parameter k for the anterior-most cell, $k(t-(i-1)\Delta T)$ is the corresponding function for the i -th cell, with $\Delta T = 30$ min.

The resulting simulation of the clock-wave in real time is shown in the movie Mov1 of the Supplementary Material. In Fig. 4 we present, from top to bottom, six sequential snapshots taken every 60 min of the state of all 16 cells. These snapshots mimic the classical *in situ* hybridization experiments aimed to detect gene expression profiles in the PSM of vertebrate embryos. There, we can identify the posterior-to-anterior propagation of the clock-wave (Fig. 4A), its narrowing as it approaches posterior PSM (Fig. 4B) and its final arrest within a region that spans somite size (which in this case has a four cell width) (Fig. 4C). Subsequent clock-waves continue arriving from the posterior PSM, reaching its final destination with a time difference of 120 min between them as expected (Fig. 4C to 4F). This process can be more clearly visualized in the movie Mov1 of the Supplementary Material, where the simulation starts when all cells are located at the tail bud ($k = 1$) and their oscillations are synchronized. As time passes and cells sequentially leave the posterior PSM, their oscillation period increases until finally arrested to an up-regulated state. This is evidenced in Mov1 and Fig. 4 as segmented stripes appearing after the arrival of each clock-wave. Importantly, the oscillation arrest takes place in cohorts of four cells. The simulation ends when the oscillations in all cells are arrested and they've become part of a somite.




Fig. 4 Snapshots, taken every 60 min, of a 16 cell linear array representing the PSM. The clock-waves start from tail bud and posterior PSM (right) and displaces anteriorly until arrested at future somite location (left) in cohorts of four cells. **A.** Propagation

stage. **B.** Clock-wave slows down and narrows at arrival to anterior PSM. **C.** Arrested clock-wave spans somite size. Next clock-wave can be observed traveling from the right. **D, E** and **F.** The process is repeated with a periodicity of 120 min.

Conclusions

Based on a minimalistic approach to the somitogenesis regulatory network, we have developed a simple mathematical model that accounts for the coupling among the Wnt, Notch and FGF signaling pathways and the Fgf8/RA gradients. At the same time, our model leaves aside molecular details but in exchange it takes into account a broad picture of the network architecture. We have assumed Hill type additive regulatory functions between the variables in our model and considered the coupling between a delayed-negative and a positive feedback circuits as the most influential for reproducing the behavior of the clock-wave. To study the arrest of oscillations we introduce a bifurcation parameter which represents a Wnt graded signal related to the gradients. Although the lack of detail in our model prevent us from expecting quantitative predictions from it, we believe its value relies on being capable of proposing a simple mechanism through which the gradient signal could be linked to the clock mechanism.

The results of our model agree with important experimental observations. First of all, it predicts sustained oscillations arising from the Notch internal negative feedback. The oscillations are synchronized while cells are in the tail bud and near the posterior PSM. After they leave this region, their oscillation period increases with time causing the clock-wave to narrow as it moves anteriorly. Once in the anterior PSM, the cells stop oscillating in equally sized cohorts. This is a strong requirement for generating equally sized somites. Remarkably, the arrest occurs by means of an infinite-period bifurcation which is, to the best of our knowledge, the only theoretical proposal that explains the slowing down of the clock-wave prior to its final arrest in amniotes. A high expression state after the arrest of oscillations is observed in genes downstream of the Wnt and Notch pathways. Moreover, the non-reversibility of the transition from the oscillatory regime to the high expression state persists for a wide range of k values, thus ensuring a proper commitment of PSM cells to somitic cells. Based on our previous results [20] and comparing them with the bifurcation diagrams in Fig. 2, we believe that this hysteresis behavior is generated by the bistable switch potential of the Wnt-Notch positive circuit. On the other hand, the prediction of oscillations in the pathways simultaneously with the opposing distribution of the Fgf8/RA gradients is, to our knowledge, a characteristic which has never been described by any previous model.

Although internal negative feedback within the other pathways could also be considered, we have showed that the oscillatory potential of Notch self-repression is sufficient for generating oscillations in the three pathways. If either Wnt or FGF is set *a priori* to a stationary state, its oscillations would be rescued by Notch. But if we suppress Notch oscillations by, for example, blocking its negative feedback, neither of the three pathways would oscillate (data not shown). It will be interesting to probe the role of the other pathways' internal feedback on the specific expression profiles of genes downstream of them, as well as of other possible cross-regulation than that considered here. This is particularly relevant if one wishes to make quantitative predictions about gene products and to explore the phase relationships of its oscillations. In that case, the crosstalk observed at many levels of the signaling cascade as well as their apparently redundant regulation must be taken into account.

We propose that it is sufficient to properly chose a bifurcation parameter representing a single gradient to reproduce the clock-wave dynamics. The Wnt3a gradient, which through nuclear β -catenin (another gradient) controls Wnt signaling, Fgf8, and indirectly, RA, is strongly suggested to be the best choice. This also agrees with experimental evidence in favor of Wnt signaling as the possible modulator of the segmentation clock periodicity. Our model matches the simultaneous control of clock periodicity and gradient distributions in a very simple fashion.

Finally, as our minimalistic approach takes advantage of a modular design based on small circuits with well known dynamics, it simplifies enough the complexity of the somitogenesis regulatory network to reveal how its architecture and dynamics are linked. Although we recognize that other possible architectures can be explored, our model follows our previous proposal on the coupling of a delayed-negative circuit plus a positive circuit as the source of the segmentation phenotype [20]. Given our current assumptions, this kind of coupling is not observed between FGF and Notch or FGF and Wnt (see Fig. 1). Following this, our model shows that the Notch delayed-negative circuit coupled to the Wnt-Notch positive circuit is sufficient to support the somitic patterning. In other words, our model predicts that an hypothetical master clock contained within the Notch and Wnt pathways is compatible with experimental observations. This is true if we assume that the master clock should govern the spatiotemporal control of segmentation, i.e. a simultaneous control of *when* and *where* each segment will form by means of the interaction between oscillators and gradients. However, given the limitations of our model, the reliance of a master clock in another component of the regulatory network is not discarded. The other possibility of course, is that such a master oscillator does not exist, and thus the spatiotemporal control may be explained as an emergent property of the whole regulatory network.

References

1. Christ B, Ordahl CP. Early stages of chick somite development. *Anat Embryol* 1995, 191:381-396.

2. Gomez C, Özbudak EM, Wunderlich J, Baumann D, Lewis J, Pourquié O. Control of segment number in vertebrate embryos. *Nat Lett* 2008, 454:335-339.
3. Cooke J, Zeeman EC. A clock and wavefront model for control of the number of repeated structures during animal morphogenesis. *J Theor Biol* 1976, 58:455-476.
4. Palmeirim I, Henrique D, Ish-Horowicz D, Pourquié O. Avian hairy gene expression identifies a molecular clock linked to vertebrate segmentation and somitogenesis. *Cell* 1997, 91:639-648.
5. Dequéant ML, Glynn E, Gaudenz K, Wahl M, Chen J, Mushegian A, Pourquié O. A complex oscillating network of signaling genes underlies the mouse segmentation clock. *Science* 2006, 314:1595-1598.
6. Saga Y, Takeda H. The making of the somite: Molecular events in vertebrate segmentation. *Nat Rev Gen* 2001, 2:835-845.
7. Dequéant ML, Pourquié O. Segmental patterning of the vertebrate embryonic axis. *Nat Rev Gen* 2008, 9:370-382.
8. Lewis J. Autoinhibition with transcriptional delay: a simple mechanism for the zebrafish somitogenesis oscillator. *Curr Biol* 2003, 13:1398-1408.
9. Rida PCG, Le Minh N, Jiang YJ. A Notch feeling of somite segmentation and beyond. *Dev Biol* 2004, 265:2-22.
10. Gibb S, Maroto M, Dale JK. The segmentation clock mechanism moves up a notch. *Trends Cell Biol* 2010, 20(10): 593-600.
11. Dubrulle J, Pourquié O. Coupling segmentation to axis formation. *Dev* 2004, 131:5783-5793.
12. Cinquin O. Understanding the somitogenesis clock: what's missing? *Mech Dev* 2007, 124:501-517.
13. Diez del Corral R, Olivera-Martínez I, Goriely A, Gale E, Maden M, Storey K. Opposing FGF and retinoid pathways control ventral neural pattern, neuronal differentiation, and segmentation during body axis extension. *Neuron* 2003, 40(1):65-79.
14. Aulehla A, Wehrle C, Brand-Saberi B, Kemler R, Gossler A, Kanzler B, Herrman BG. Wnt3a plays a major role in the segmentation clock controlling somitogenesis. *Dev Cell* 2003, 4:395-406.
15. Aulehla A, Wiegand W, Baubet V, Wahl MB, Deng X, Taketo M, Lewandoski M, Pourquié O. A β -catenin gradient links the clock and wavefront systems in mouse embryo segmentation. *Nat Cell Biol* 2008, 10(2):186-193.
16. Dubrulle J, Pourquié O. *fgf8* mRNA decay establishes a gradient that couples axial elongation to patterning in the vertebrate embryo. *Nature* 2004, 427:419-422.
17. Wahl MB, Deng C, Lewandoski M, Pourquié O. FGF signaling acts upstream of the NOTCH and WNT signaling pathways to control segmentation clock oscillations in mouse somitogenesis. *Dev* 2007, 134:4033-4041.
18. Aulehla A, Pourquié O. Signaling gradients during paraxial mesoderm development. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2010, 2:a000869.
19. Goldbeter A, Gonze D, Pourquié O. Sharp developmental thresholds defined through bistability by antagonistic gradients of retinoic acid and FGF signaling. *Dev Dyn* 2007, 236:1495-1508.
20. Zavala-López E, Santillán M. Oscillation arrest in the mouse somitogenesis clock presumably takes place via an infinite period bifurcation. *arXiv* 2011, 1108.0673v1.
21. Rodríguez-González JG, Santillán M, Fowler AC, Mackey MC. The segmentation clock in mice: interaction between the Wnt and Notch signalling pathways. *J Theor Biol* 2007, 248:37-47.
22. Santillán M, Mackey MC. A proposed mechanism for the interaction of the segmentation clock and the determination front in somitogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 2008, 3(2):e1561.
23. Jensen PB, Pedersen L, Krishna S, Jensen MH. A Wnt oscillator model for somitogenesis. *Biophys J* 2010, 98(6): 943-950.
24. Goldbeter A, Pourquié O. Modeling the segmentation clock as a network of coupled oscillations in the Notch, Wnt and FGF signaling pathways. *J Theor Biol* 2008, 252:574-585.

25. Ermentrout B. Simulating, analyzing, and animating dynamical systems: a guide to XPPAUT for researchers and students. 1st Ed. Society for Industrial Mathematics. Philadelphia 2002.
26. Ishikawa A, Kitajima S, Takahashi Y, Kokub H, Kanno J, Inoue T, Saga Y. Mouse Nkd2, a Wnt antagonist, exhibits oscillatory gene expression in the PSM under the control of Notch signaling. *Mech Dev* 2004, 121:1443-1453.
27. Yasuhiko Y, Haraguchi S, Kitajima S, Takahashi Y, Kanno J, Saga Y. Tbx6-mediated notch signaling controls somite-specific *mesp2* expression. *PNAS* 2006, 103:3651-6.
28. Giudicelli F, Özbudak EM, Wright GJ, Lewis J. Setting the tempo in development: An investigation of the zebrafish somite clock mechanism. *PLoS Biol* 2007, 5(6):1309-1323.
29. Gibb S, Zagorska A, Melton K, Tenin G, Vacca I, Trainor P, Maroto M, Dale JK. Interfering with Wnt signalling alters the periodicity of the segmentation clock. *Dev Biol* 2009, 330:21-31.