

SENSITIVITY AND DEVANEY'S CHAOS IN UNIFORM SPACES

TULLIO CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND MICHEL COORNAERT

ABSTRACT. We give sufficient conditions for sensitivity of continuous group actions on uniform spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sensitivity is an important property of chaotic dynamical systems which is related to what was popularized as the “butterfly effect” in the 1970s. When the phase space is equipped with a metric, the definition for group actions goes as follows. One says that an action of a group G on a metric space (X, d) is *sensitive* if there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every point $x \in X$ and every neighborhood N of x , there exist a point $y \in N$ and an element $g \in G$ such that $d(gx, gy) \geq \varepsilon$ (cf. [1], [6], [7], [9], [11], [12]).

The goal of this note is to give a definition of sensitivity in the case when the phase space X is only equipped with an uniform structure instead of a metric and to extend to this more general setting two classical results providing sufficient conditions for sensitivity. It turns out that this extension is quite natural and we think it may help to a better understanding of the roles of the various topological and dynamical concepts involved.

The theory of uniform spaces was developed in [13]. A uniform space is a set X equipped with a uniform structure, i.e., a set \mathcal{U} consisting of subsets of the Cartesian square $X \times X$. These subsets are called the *entourages* of the uniform space and are required to verify a certain list of axioms (see Section 2). Heuristically, two points $x, y \in X$ are “close” when the pair (x, y) belongs to a “small” entourage. Sensitivity for group actions on uniform spaces may be defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. One says that the action of a group G on a uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) has *sensitive dependence on initial conditions*, or more briefly that the action is *sensitive*, if there is an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that, for all $x \in X$ and every neighborhood N of x , there exist a point $y \in N$ and an element $g \in G$ such that $(gx, gy) \notin U$. Such

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D45, 37B05, 54E15.

Key words and phrases. dynamical system, uniform space, chaos, Devaney’s definition of chaos, density of periodic points, topologically transitive, topologically mixing, sensitive.

an entourage U is then called a *sensitivity entourage* for the dynamical system (X, G) .

When the uniform structure comes from a metric, this definition is equivalent to the one given above.

Note that every uniform space admitting a sensitive action must be *perfect*, i.e., without isolated points. In particular, every Hausdorff uniform space admitting a sensitive action is infinite.

Sensitivity is a weak local version of expansivity. We recall that the action of a group G on a uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is called *expansive* if there is an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that, for all distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $(gx, gy) \notin U$. Such an entourage U is then called an *expansivity entourage* for the dynamical system (X, G) . It is clear that if the action of a group G on a perfect uniform space is expansive then this action is also sensitive (any expansivity entourage is a sensitivity entourage). Note that if a group G acts expansively on a uniform space X and $Y \subset X$ is a G -invariant subset, then the action of G on Y is also expansive so that it is sensitive if and only if Y is perfect.

Recall the following standard definitions. Let X be a set equipped with an action of a group G . The orbit of a point $x \in X$ is the subset $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} \subset X$ and its *stabilizer* is the subgroup $\text{Stab}_G(x) = \{g \in G : gx = x\} \subset G$. A point $x \in X$ is called *periodic* if its orbit is finite. Equivalently, x is periodic if and only if its stabilizer is of finite index in G . Suppose now that X is a topological space. One says that the action of G on X is *continuous* if, for each $g \in G$, the map $x \mapsto gx$ is continuous on X . The action of G on X is called *topologically transitive* if, given any two non-empty open subsets V and W of X , there exists an element $g \in G$ such that gV meets W . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an infinite Hausdorff uniform space equipped with a continuous and topologically transitive action of a group G . Suppose in addition that X admits a dense set of periodic points. Then the action of G on X is sensitive.*

In the case when X is a metric space, the preceding result was previously obtained in [1] and [12] for $G = \mathbb{Z}$, and in [11] for an arbitrary group G .

We say that the action of a group G on a uniform space X is *chaotic* in the sense of Devaney if it is topologically transitive and periodic points are dense in X (cf. [6]). With this definition, Theorem 1.2 may be rephrased by saying that any continuous action of a group G on an infinite Hausdorff uniform space X which is chaotic in the sense of Devaney is also sensitive.

An action of a group G on a topological space X is called *topologically mixing* if, given any two non-empty open subsets V and W of X , there

exists a finite subset $K \subset G$ such that gV meets W for all $g \in G \setminus K$. It trivially follows from this definition that every action of a finite group on a topological space is topologically mixing and that every topologically mixing action of an infinite group on a topological space is topologically transitive. We shall also establish the following result which gives another sufficient condition for sensitivity of group actions on uniform spaces.

Theorem 1.3. *Every topologically mixing continuous action of an infinite group on a Hausdorff uniform space that is not reduced to a single point is sensitive.*

For group actions on metric spaces, the preceding result may be found in [9, Proposition 7.2.14].

In Section 4 we present some examples of sensitive actions on non-metrizable uniform spaces.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL ON UNIFORM SPACES

Let X be a set.

We denote by Δ_X the diagonal in $X \times X$, that is the set $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

The *inverse* \bar{U} of a subset $U \subset X \times X$ is the subset of $X \times X$ defined by $\bar{U} = \{(x, y) : (y, x) \in U\}$. One says that U is *symmetric* if $\bar{U} = U$. Note that $U \cap \bar{U}$ is symmetric for any $U \subset X \times X$.

We define the *composite* $U \circ V$ of two subsets U and V of $X \times X$ by $U \circ V = \{(x, y) : \text{there exists } z \in X \text{ such that } (x, z) \in U \text{ and } (z, y) \in V\} \subset X \times X$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set. A *uniform structure* on X is a non-empty set \mathcal{U} of subsets of $X \times X$ satisfying the following conditions:

(UN-1) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\Delta_X \subset U$;

(UN-2) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subset V \subset X \times X$, then $V \in \mathcal{U}$;

(UN-3) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$;

(UN-4) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\bar{U} \in \mathcal{U}$;

(UN-5) if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \subset U$.

The elements of \mathcal{U} are then called the *entourages* of the uniform structure and the set X is called a *uniform space*.

Note that conditions (UN-3), (UN-4), and (UN-5) imply that, for any entourage U there exists a symmetric entourage V such that $V \circ V \subset U$.

Let X be a set and let $U \subset X \times X$. Given a point $x \in X$, we define the subset $U[x] \subset X$ by $U[x] = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in U\}$.

If X is a uniform space, there is an induced topology on X characterized by the fact that the neighborhoods of an arbitrary point $x \in X$ consist of the sets $U[x]$, where U runs over all entourages of X . This

topology is Hausdorff if and only if the intersection of all the entourages of X is reduced to the diagonal Δ_X .

If (X, d) is a metric space, there is a natural uniform structure on X whose entourages are the sets $U \subset X \times X$ satisfying the following condition: there exists a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that U contains all pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$. The topology associated with this uniform structure is then the same as the topology induced by the metric.

Uniform structures were introduced by André Weil [13]. The reader is referred to [2, Ch. 2], [10, Ch. 6], and [8] for a detailed exposition of the general theory of uniform spaces. See also [3, Appendix B].

3. PROOFS

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be two disjoint finite subsets of a Hausdorff uniform space X . Then there exists an entourage W of X such that $A \times B$ does not meet W .*

Proof. As X is Hausdorff, we can find, for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, an entourage $V_{a,b}$ of X such that $(a, b) \notin V_{a,b}$. Then the entourage

$$W = \bigcap_{(a,b) \in A \times B} V_{a,b}$$

has the required property. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first claim that we can find an entourage V of X such that, for all $x \in X$, there exists a finite orbit $C \subset X$ that does not meet $V[x]$. Indeed, the hypotheses that X is Hausdorff, infinite, and contains a dense set of periodic points, imply that we can find two disjoint finite orbits A and B in X . By Lemma 3.1, there is an entourage W of X such that $A \times B$ does not meet W . Now, if we take a symmetric entourage V satisfying $V \circ V \subset W$, then there is no $x \in X$ such that A and B both meet $V[x]$. This proves the claim.

Let V be an entourage of X satisfying the conditions of the preceding claim and let U be a symmetric entourage of X such that

$$(3.1) \quad U \circ U \circ U \circ U \subset V.$$

Let us show that U is a sensitivity entourage for the action of G on X . Let $x \in X$ and let N be a neighborhood of x .

As periodic points are dense in X , we can find a periodic point $p \in X$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad p \in N \cap U[x].$$

Denote by H the stabilizer of p in G and let $T \subset G$ be a complete set of representatives for the left cosets of H in G , so that we have $G = \coprod_{t \in T} tH$. Note that T is finite since H is of finite index in G .

As V satisfies our first claim, we can find a finite orbit C such that

$$(3.3) \quad C \cap V[x] = \emptyset.$$

Choose an arbitrary point $q \in C$. Then observe that the set

$$I = \bigcap_{t \in T} tU[t^{-1}q]$$

is a neighborhood of q since it is a finite intersection of neighborhoods of q .

As the action of G on X is topologically transitive, we can find a point $z \in N \cap U[x]$ and an element $g_0 \in G$ such that $g_0z \in I$. The element g_0 can be uniquely written in the form $g_0 = t_0h_0$, where $t_0 \in T$ is the representative of the class g_0H and $h_0 \in H$. We have

$$(3.4) \quad h_0z = t_0^{-1}g_0z \in t_0^{-1}I \subset t_0^{-1}(t_0U[t_0^{-1}q]) = U[t_0^{-1}q],$$

so that

$$(3.5) \quad (h_0z, t_0^{-1}q) \in U.$$

We now claim that we always have

$$(3.6) \quad (h_0x, p) \notin U \quad \text{or} \quad (h_0x, h_0z) \notin U.$$

Indeed, suppose on the contrary that (h_0x, p) and (h_0x, h_0z) both belong to U . Since U is symmetric, this would imply $(p, h_0z) \in U \circ U$, and hence $(x, t_0^{-1}q) \in U \circ U \circ U \circ U$ since $(x, p) \in U$ by (3.2) and $(h_0z, t_0^{-1}q) \in U$ by (3.4). This would contradict (3.3) because $U \circ U \circ U \circ U \subset V$ by (3.1) and $t_0^{-1}q \in C$.

Observe now that $h_0p = p$ since $h_0 \in H$. Thus, we deduce from (3.6) that we can always find a point $y \in N$ and an element $g \in G$ such that $(gx, gy) \notin U$. Indeed, we can take $g = h_0$ and either $y = p$ or $y = z$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let X be a Hausdorff uniform space equipped with a continuous and topologically mixing action of an infinite group G . Suppose that x_1 and x_2 are two distinct points in X . Since X is Hausdorff we can find an entourage V of X such that

$$(3.7) \quad (x_1, x_2) \notin V.$$

Let U be a symmetric entourage of X such that

$$(3.8) \quad U \circ U \circ U \circ U \subset V.$$

Let us show that U is a sensitivity entourage for the action of G on X . Let $x \in X$ and let N be a neighborhood of X . As the action of G on X is topologically mixing, we can find, for $i = 1, 2$, a finite set $F_i \subset G$ such that we have

$$g(N \cap U[x]) \cap U[x_i] \neq \emptyset$$

for all $g \in G \setminus F_i$. Since G is infinite, the set $G \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$ is not empty. Choose an element $g \in G \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$. Then we can find $y_1, y_2 \in N \cap U[x]$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad gy_1 \in U[x_1] \quad \text{and} \quad gy_2 \in U[x_2].$$

From (3.9), (3.8), and (3.7) we deduce that

$$(gy_1, gy_2) \notin U \circ U$$

and therefore

$$(3.10) \quad (gx, gy_1) \notin U \quad \text{or} \quad (gx, gy_2) \notin U.$$

It follows from (3.10) that we can always find a point $y \in N$ (namely, $y = y_1$ or $y = y_2$) and an element $g \in G$ such that $(gx, gy) \notin U$.

This shows that U is a sensitivity entourage for the action of G on X . \square

4. EXAMPLES FROM SYMBOLIC DYNAMICS

Symbolic dynamics provides many interesting examples of sensitive actions on non-metrizable uniform spaces. Indeed, let A be a (possibly infinite) set having more than one element and let G be an infinite group. Consider the set A^G consisting of all maps $x: G \rightarrow A$. The *shift* on A^G is the action of G on A^G defined by $gx(h) = x(g^{-1}h)$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in A^G$. We equip A^G with its *prodiscrete* uniform structure. This is the uniform structure admitting as a base of entourages the sets

$$W(\Omega) = \{(x, y) \in A^G \times A^G : x|_\Omega = y|_\Omega\},$$

where Ω runs over all finite subsets of G (see [3]). Then the shift action on A^G is continuous and expansive (the set $W(\{1_G\})$ is an expansivity entourage). As A^G is perfect, the shift on A^G is sensitive. Moreover, if $X \subset A^G$ is a G -invariant subset, then the restriction of the shift to X is sensitive if and only if X is perfect.

If G is uncountable then A^G is not metrizable (not even first countable).

Recall that a group is called *residually finite* if the intersection of its subgroups of finite index is reduced to the identity element. In other words, residually finite groups are those groups whose elements can be distinguished after taking finite quotients. The class of residually finite groups includes all virtually polycyclic groups (and in particular all finite groups, all finitely generated nilpotent groups and therefore all finitely generated abelian groups), all free groups, and all finitely generated linear groups; moreover, it is closed under the operations of taking: subgroups, direct products, and projective limits. In particular, the following constitute examples of uncountable residually finite groups (see, e.g., [3, Section 2]):

- any infinite direct product of non-trivial residually finite (e.g. of non-trivial finite) groups;

- the group $\text{Aut}(T_2)$ of automorphisms of the infinite binary rooted tree T_2 ;
- the group \mathbb{Z}_p of p -adic integers, for p any prime number;
- any uncountable free group.

It is well known that periodic points are dense in A^G if and only if the group G is residually finite (see for example [3, Theorem 2.7.1]). Collecting together these facts, we have:

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be an infinite group and A a (possibly infinite) set having more than one element. Then the shift on A^G is chaotic in the sense of Devaney if and only if G is residually finite. Moreover, if G is uncountable then A^G is not metrizable. \square*

Let G be a group and A a finite set. A closed G -invariant subset of A^G is called a *subshift*.

One says that a subshift $X \subset A^G$ is of *finite type* if there exist a finite subset $\Omega \subset G$ and a subset $\mathcal{P} \subset A^\Omega := \{p: \Omega \rightarrow A\}$ such that X consists of all $x \in A^G$ for which the restriction of the configuration gx to Ω belongs to \mathcal{P} for all $g \in G$.

One says that a subshift $X \subset A^G$ is *strongly irreducible* if there exists a finite subset $\Delta \subset G$ such that, if Ω_1 and Ω_2 are finite subsets of G which are sufficiently far apart in the sense that the sets Ω_1 and $\Omega_2\Delta$ do not meet, then, given any two configurations x_1 and x_2 in X , there exists a configuration $x \in X$ which coincides with x_1 on Ω_1 and with x_2 on Ω_2 .

One says that a subshift $X \subset A^G$ is *topologically mixing* if the action of the G -shift on X is topologically mixing. This is equivalent to the following condition: for any finite subset $\Omega \subset G$ and any two configurations $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there exists a finite subset $F \subset G$ such that, for all $g \in G \setminus F$, there exists a configuration $x \in X$ which coincides with x_1 on Ω and with x_2 on $g\Omega$.

We remark that every strongly irreducible subshift is topologically mixing (see for example [5, Proposition 3.3]) but there exist topologically mixing subshifts which are not strongly irreducible (e.g. the *Ledrappier subshift*, see [4, Remark 2.6]). However, it is known that every topologically mixing sofic subshift over \mathbb{Z} is strongly irreducible (see for example [5, Corollary 1.3]).

We then have:

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be an infinite residually finite group and A a finite set. Suppose that $X \subset A^G$ is a strongly irreducible subshift of finite type containing at least one periodic configuration. Then, unless X is reduced to a single configuration, the G -shift on X is chaotic in the sense of Devaney.*

Proof. Clearly every strongly irreducible subshift that is not reduced to a single configuration is perfect. As the shift action on X is expansive,

it follows that it is sensitive (this may also be deduced from Theorem 1.3 since every strongly irreducible subshift is topologically mixing). Density of periodic configurations in X follows from [4, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Acknowledgments. We express our deepest gratitude to the referee for her/his most careful reading of our manuscript and for several useful comments and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BANKS, J. BROOKS, G. CAIRNS, G. DAVIS, AND P. STACEY, *On Devaney's definition of chaos*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 99 (1992), pp. 332–334.
- [2] N. BOURBAKI, *Topologie générale, Chapitres 1 à 4*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [3] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND M. COORNAERT, *Cellular automata and groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [4] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND M. COORNAERT, *On the density of periodic configurations in strongly irreducible subshifts*, Nonlinearity, 25 (2012), 2119–2131.
- [5] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND M. COORNAERT, *The Myhill property for strongly irreducible subshifts over amenable groups*, Monatsh. Math. 165 (2012), 155–172.
- [6] R. L. DEVANEY, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, Addison-Wesley Studies in Nonlinearity, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second ed., 1989.
- [7] E. GLASNER AND B. WEISS, *Sensitive dependence on initial conditions*, Nonlinearity, 6 (1993), pp. 1067–1075.
- [8] I.M. JAMES, *Introduction to uniform spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **144**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [9] B. HASSELBLATT AND A. KATOK, *A first course in dynamics: with a panorama of recent developments*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2003.
- [10] J.L. KELLEY, *General Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **27**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [11] E. KONTOROVICH AND M. MEGRELISHVILI, *A note on sensitivity of semigroup actions*, Semigroup Forum, 76 (2008), pp. 133–141.
- [12] S. SILVERMAN, *On maps with dense orbits and the definition of chaos*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 22 (1992), pp. 353–375.
- [13] A. WEIL, *Sur les espaces à structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale*, Actual. Sci. Ind., no. 551, Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1937.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA, UNIVERSITÀ DEL SANNIO, C.SO GARIBALDI 107, 82100 BENEVENTO, ITALY

E-mail address: tceccher@mat.uniroma3.it

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, UMR 7501, UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG ET CNRS, 7 RUE RENÉ-DESCARTES, 67000 STRASBOURG, FRANCE

E-mail address: coornaert@math.unistra.fr