

From discrete- to continuous-time ergodic theorems

In memory of Dan Rudolph

V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, and C. G. Moreira

October 18, 2018

Abstract

We introduce methods that allow to derive continuous-time versions of various discrete-time ergodic theorems. We then illustrate these methods by giving simple proofs and refinements of some known results as well as establishing new results of interest.

0. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to introduce methods that allow one to obtain continuous-time versions of various discrete-time ergodic results. While the classical von Neumann's and Birkhoff's ergodic theorems were dealing with continuous families of invertible measure preserving transformations, it was very soon observed that ergodic theorems for \mathbb{Z} -actions hold true as well, are somewhat easier to handle, and, moreover, can be used as an auxiliary tool for the derivation of the corresponding continuous-time results. (See, for example, the formulation of the so-called Birkhoff's fundamental lemma in [BiKo]. See also [Ko] and [H], Section 8.) Moreover, since not every measure preserving \mathbb{Z} -action imbeds in a continuous measure preserving \mathbb{R} -flow, and since there are various important classes of non-invertible measure-preserving transformations, it became, over the years, more fashionable to study ergodic theorems for measure preserving \mathbb{Z} - and \mathbb{N} -actions. Numerous multiple recurrence and convergence results obtained in the framework of the ergodic Ramsey theory also focused (mainly due to combinatorial and number theoretical applications) on \mathbb{Z} -actions and, more generally, actions of various discrete semigroups.

There are, however, questions in modern ergodic theory pertaining to measure preserving \mathbb{R} -actions that naturally present themselves and are connected with interesting applications but do not seem to easily follow from the corresponding results for \mathbb{Z} -actions. To better explain our point, let us consider some examples. We start with the \mathbb{R} -version of the von Neumann's ergodic theorem ([vN]): if T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is an ergodic 1-parameter group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) , then for any $f \in L^2(X)$, $\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T^t f dt = \int_X f d\mu$ ⁽¹⁾ in $L^2(X)$. An easy trick shows that this result immediately follows from the corresponding theorem for \mathbb{Z} -actions, which says that if T is an invertible ergodic measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space (X, μ) , then for any $f \in L^2(X)$, $\lim_{N-M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{n=M+1}^N T^n f = \int_X f d\mu$ in $L^2(X)$. Indeed, all one has to do is to apply the \mathbb{Z} -version of von Neumann's theorem to the function $\tilde{f} = \int_0^1 T^t f dt$ and the transformation T^1 , utilizing the fact that, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int_a^b T^t f dt = \sum_{n=[a]}^{[b]-1} T^n \tilde{f} - \int_{[a]}^a T^t f dt + \int_{[b]}^b T^t f dt$. (The \mathbb{R} -version of Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem can be derived from its \mathbb{Z} -version in a similar way.) This argument is no longer applicable to "multiple ergodic averages"

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T^{\alpha_1 t} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{\alpha_r t} f_r dt, \quad (0.1)$$

where $r \geq 2$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_i \in L^\infty(X)$; however, it can be modified so that one is still able to show that the averages (0.1) converge in L^2 -norm as $b-a \rightarrow \infty$ as long as it is known that for arbitrarily small $u > 0$ the

The first two authors are partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901106.

⁽¹⁾ Here and below, $T^t f(\omega) = f(T^t \omega)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in X$, and the integral $\int_a^b T^t f dt$ is understood in the sense of Bochner.

averages $\frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{n=M+1}^N T^{\alpha_1 n} f_1 \cdots T^{\alpha_r n} f_r$ converge as $N-M \rightarrow \infty$. (See, for example, [Au1].) Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$, find $\delta > 0$ such that $\|T^{\alpha_i t} f - f\| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in (0, \delta)$, where $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(X)}$; then, assuming w.l.o.g. that $\sup |f_i| \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|T^{\alpha_i t} f_i - T^{\alpha_i \delta [t/\delta]} f_i\| < \varepsilon$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and so $\|\prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i - \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i \delta [t/\delta]} f_i\| < r\varepsilon$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} & \left\| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i dt - \frac{1}{[b/\delta] - [a/\delta]} \sum_{n=[a/\delta]}^{[b/\delta]-1} \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i \delta n} f_i \right\| \\ & \leq \limsup_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n=[a/\delta]}^{[b/\delta]-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i - \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i \delta n} f_i \right\| dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{[a/\delta]\delta}^a \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i \right\| dt + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{[b/\delta]\delta}^b \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i \right\| dt \right) \leq r\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{N-M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{n=M+1}^N \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i \delta n} f_i$ exists in $L^2(X)$, and since ε is arbitrary, we get that $\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\alpha_i t} f_i dt$ also exists.

However, even this argument stops working if we consider, say, the ‘‘polynomial averages’’ $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T^{p(t)} f dt$, where p is a polynomial, or, more generally, the ‘‘polynomial multiple averages’’

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T^{p_1(t)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_r(t)} f_r dt, \quad (0.2)$$

where p_i are polynomials, since in this case the function $\varphi(t) = T^{p(t)} f$ from \mathbb{R} to $L^2(X)$ is no longer uniformly continuous. The convergence of the corresponding discrete-time averages

$$\frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{n=M+1}^N T^{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_r(n)} f_r, \quad (0.3)$$

is known (see [HoK2] and [L3]), but to establish the convergence in $L^2(X)$ of the averages (0.2) one either has to go through all the main stages of the proof of the convergence of averages (0.3) and verify the validity of the corresponding \mathbb{R} -statements (see, for example, [P], where the existence of the non-uniform limits $\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^{p_1(t)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_r(t)} f_r dt$ is established), or may try to find some alternative general method connecting the convergence of discrete- and of continuous-time averages. (Yet another approach to proving convergence of multiple polynomial averages, utilized in [Au2], is based on a ‘‘change of variables’’ trick and usage of equivalent methods of summation; this method allows one to treat expressions like $\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b \prod_{j=1}^k T_j^{p_{j,1}(t)} f_1 \cdots \prod_{j=1}^k T_j^{p_{j,r}(t)} f_r dt$, where T_j are commuting measure preserving transformations. However, this method gives no information about what the limits of such averages are, and, also, it is not clear whether it can be extended to obtain convergence of uniform averages (0.2).)

As another example where a passage from discrete to continuous setup is desirable but not apriori obvious let us mention the problem of the study of the distribution of values of generalized polynomials. A generalized polynomial is a function that is obtained from conventional polynomials of one or several variables by applying the operations of taking the integer part, addition and multiplication; for example, if $p_i(x)$ are conventional polynomials, then $u(x) = [[p_1(x)p_2(x) + p_3(x)]p_4(x) + [p_5(x)p_6(x)]]^2 p_7(x)$ is a generalized polynomial. It was shown in [BL] that the values of any bounded vector-valued generalized polynomial of integer argument are well distributed on a piecewise polynomial surface, with respect to a natural measure on this surface. The proof was based on the theorem on well-distribution of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds; since such a theorem for continuous polynomial flows on nilmanifolds was not known at the time of writing [BL], we could not prove that bounded generalized polynomials of continuous argument are well distributed on piecewise polynomial surfaces. (See [BL], Theorem B_c. As a matter of fact, the problem of extending the results from [BL] to the case of continuous parameter served as an impetus for the present paper.)

In this paper we introduce two simple but quite general methods that allow one to deduce continuous-time ergodic theorems from their discrete-time counterparts. To deliver the zest of these methods we will formulate now two easy to state theorems. Let $F(t)$ be a bounded measurable function from $[0, \infty)$ to a Banach space. (In our applications, F will usually be “an ergodic expression” that depends on a continuous parameter t and takes values in a functional space, say $F(t) = T_1^t f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k^t f_k \in L^1(X)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, where T_i are 1-parameter groups of measure preserving transformations of a measure space X and $f_i \in L^\infty(X)$).

Proposition 0.1. (Additive method) *If the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(t+n) = A_t$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1)$ then the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b F(t) dt$ also exists, and equals $\int_0^1 A_t dt$.*

Proposition 0.2. (Multiplicative method) *If the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(nt) = L_t$ exists for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, then the limit $L = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b F(t) dt$ also exists, and, moreover, $L_t = L$ for a.e. $t \in (0, 1]$.*

Each of these “methods” has its pros and cons. The “additive” method is very easy to substantiate. However, it has the disadvantage that, being non-homogeneous, it “desynchronizes” the expression $F(t)$, which may be an obstacle for certain applications. Consider, for example, the expression $F(t) = T_1^t f \cdot \dots \cdot T_k^t f$, appearing in the formulation of the \mathbb{R} -version of the ergodic Szemerédi theorem (see subsection 7.4 below). In this case $F(t+n) = T_1^n f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_k^n f_k$, where $f_i = T_i^t f$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are, generally speaking, distinct functions, which complicates application of the “discrete” ergodic Szemerédi theorem. The “multiplicative method” is quite a bit harder to establish, but it preserves the “structure” of $F(t)$: for $F(t) = T_1^t f \cdot \dots \cdot T_k^t f$ we now have $F(nt) = (T_1^t)^n f \cdot \dots \cdot (T_k^t)^n f$. An additional advantage of the multiplicative method is that it guarantees the equality of almost all “discrete” limits L_t , and therefore gives more information about the “continuous” limit L . (See Theorem 7.11 below.)

When it comes to convergence on average, there are many types of it (uniform, strong Cesàro, etc.) which naturally appear in various situations in classical analysis, number theory, and ergodic theory, and for each of them one can provide a statement that connects discrete and continuous averages. We therefore present several similar results; their proofs are based on similar ideas, but utilizing these ideas in diverse situations we obtain a variety of useful theorems. Here is the descriptive list of various kinds of averaging schemes we will be dealing with. (In what follows V stands for an abstract Banach space.)

- *One-parameter standard Cesàro limits:* The Cesàro limit of a sequence (v_n) in V is $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v_n$, and for a measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ it is $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$.
- *One-parameter uniform Cesàro limits:* The uniform Cesàro limit for a sequence (v_n) in V is $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{n=M+1}^N v_n$, and for a measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ it is $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$. (The “one-parameter averaging schemes” above is, of course, a special case of the corresponding “multiparameter schemes” below, but we start with the one-parameter case to make our proofs more transparent.)

An \mathbb{N}^d -sequence (v_n) in V is a mapping $\mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow V$, $n \mapsto v_n$. For a parallelepiped $P = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we define $l(P) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq d} (b_i - a_i)$ and $w(P) = \prod_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)$.

- *Multiparameter standard Cesàro limits:* The Cesàro limit of an \mathbb{N}^d -sequence (v_n) in V is $\lim_{l(P) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(P)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d \cap P} v_n$, and for a measurable function $f: [0, \infty)^d \rightarrow V$ it is $\lim_{l(P) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(P)} \int_P f(x) dx$, where, in both cases, P runs over the set of parallelepipeds of the form $\prod_{i=1}^d [0, b_i]$ in $[0, \infty)^d$.
- *Multiparameter uniform Cesàro limits:* The uniform Cesàro limit of an \mathbb{N}^d -sequence (v_n) in V is $\lim_{l(P) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(P)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap P} v_n$, and for a measurable function $f: [0, \infty)^d \rightarrow V$ it is $\lim_{l(P) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(P)} \int_P f(x) dx$, where, in both cases, P runs over the set of parallelepipeds of the form $\prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$ in $[0, \infty)^d$.
- *Two-sided (or, rather, all sided) standard and uniform Cesàro limits:* Instead of \mathbb{N}^d -sequences, functions on $[0, \infty)^d$, and parallelepipeds in $[0, \infty)^d$, we deal with \mathbb{Z}^d -sequences, functions on \mathbb{R}^d , and parallelepipeds in \mathbb{R}^d .

• *Limits of averages along general Følner sequences:* Instead of averaging over parallelepipeds of the form $P = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$, we consider averages over elements of a general Følner sequence $(\Phi_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx$, where w stands for the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

• *Liminf and limsup versions for standard and uniform averages:* When the limits above do not (or are not known to) exist, but (v_n) is a real-valued sequence and f is a real-valued function, we consider the corresponding liminfs and limsups.

• *Lim-limsup versions:* If the limits $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(nt)$ do not, or are not known to exist, it may still be possible that for some $L \in V$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(nt) - L \right\| = 0$; it turns out that this suffices for the multiplicative method to work.

After proving several versions of Propositions 0.1 and 0.2 corresponding to different averaging schemes, we will apply them to re-prove some known and establish some new ergodic-theoretical results; here is a list of the applications that we obtain in Section 7:

◦ In section 7.1, we show that characteristic factors for averages of the form

$$\frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} T^{p_1(t)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(t)} f_r dt, \quad (0.4)$$

where T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous 1-parameter group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X , p_i are polynomials $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_i \in L^\infty(X)$, and (Φ_N) is a Følner sequence in \mathbb{R}^d , are Host-Kra-Ziegler factors of X . (A non-uniform version of this result is obtained in [P].)

◦ In section 7.2, we prove that, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a d -parameter polynomial flow on a nilmanifold X is well distributed on a subnilmanifold of X . (This result is new and refines the fact that any such flow is uniformly distributed in a subnilmanifold of X .)

◦ In section 7.3, we prove the convergence of averages (0.4). (This result is new, strengthening the results obtained in [P] and the one-parameter case of the results obtained in [Au2].) We also prove that the averages $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T_1^t f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_r^t f_r dt$ converge, where T_i are pairwise commuting measure preserving transformations. (This strengthens the linear case of the results obtained in [Au2].)

◦ In section 7.4, we obtain a continuous-time version of the polynomial ergodic Szemerédi theorem.

◦ In section 7.5, we prove that the values of bounded vector-valued generalized polynomials are well-distributed on a piecewise polynomial surface. This establishes the continuous version of the well-distribution result from [BL] that we discussed above.

◦ In section 7.6, we derive, from the corresponding discrete-time results in [F] and [BK], convergence of multiple averages (0.2) with p_i being functions of polynomial growth.

◦ Finally, in section 7.7, we apply our methods to obtain continuous-time theorems dealing with almost everywhere convergence of certain ergodic averages.

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for many useful comments and corrections.

1. A Fatou lemma and a dominated convergence theorem

Throughout Sections 1 – 6, V stands for a separable Banach space. We will repeatedly use the following Fatou-like lemma and its corollary:

Lemma 1.1. *Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space and let (f_n) be a sequence of uniformly bounded measurable functions from X to V . Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_X f_n d\mu \right\| \leq \int_X \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\| d\mu$.*

Proof. Let $M > 0$ be such that $\|f_n(x)\| \leq M$ for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $s(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(x)\|$, $x \in X$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x \in X$ let $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\|f_n(x)\| < s(x) + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n(x)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = \{x \in X : n(x) \leq n\}$. Then $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X \setminus A_n) = 0$. Let N be such that $\mu(X \setminus A_N) < \varepsilon$. Then for any $n \geq N$,

$$\left\| \int_X f_n d\mu \right\| \leq \int_X \|f_n\| d\mu = \int_{A_N} \|f_n\| d\mu + \int_{X \setminus A_N} \|f_n\| d\mu \leq \int_{A_N} (s + \varepsilon) d\mu + M\varepsilon \leq \int_X s d\mu + \varepsilon(\mu(X) + M).$$

Since this is true for any positive ε , $\left\| \int_X f_n d\mu \right\| \leq \int_X s d\mu$. ■

As a corollary, we get:

Lemma 1.2. *Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. If a sequence (f_n) of uniformly bounded measurable functions from X to V converges to a function $f: X \rightarrow V$ a.e. on X , then $\int_X f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_X f d\mu$.*

Remark. Of course, a more general dominated convergence theorem, where $\|f_n\|$ are not assumed to be bounded but only dominated by an integrable function, like in the case of real-valued functions, also holds, but we will only need its special case given by Lemma 1.2.

2. Additive method

When a and b are positive real numbers, we define $\sum_{n>a}^b v_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \in (a,b] \cap \mathbb{N}} v_n & \text{if } a < b \\ 0 & \text{if } a \geq b. \end{cases}$

2.1. Standard Cesàro limits

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n>0}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_0^1 A_t dt$.

Proof. We may assume that the parameter b is integer. For any $b \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} \int_0^1 f(t+n) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} f(t+n) dt.$$

Since for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, $\frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} f(t+n) dt \rightarrow A_t$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx = \int_0^1 A_t dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. Of course, in the formulation of Theorem 2.1 the interval $[0, 1]$ and the expression $f(t+n)$ can be replaced by the interval $[0, \delta]$ and the expression $f(t+n\delta)$ for any positive δ .

2.2. Uniform Cesàro limits

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_0^1 A_t dt$.

Proof. We may assume that the parameters a, b are integer. For any sequences $(a_k), (b_k)$ of nonnegative integers with $b_k - a_k \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$\frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k-1} \int_0^1 f(t+n) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k-1} f(t+n) dt.$$

Since for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, $\frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k-1} f(t+n) dt \rightarrow A_t$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = \int_0^1 A_t dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.3. Multiparameter standard Cesàro limits

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We will call a mapping $\mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow V$, $n \mapsto v_n$ \mathbb{N}^d -sequence in V . We write \mathbb{R}_+ for $[0, \infty)$. We will now introduce notation that will allow us to formulate and prove the d -parameter versions of the above theorems in complete analogy with the case $d = 1$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, we write $a \leq b$ if $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $a < b$ if $a_i < b_i$ for all i . Under $\min(a, b)$ and $\max(a, b)$ we will understand $(\min(a_1, b_1), \dots, \min(a_d, b_d))$ and $(\max(a_1, b_1), \dots, \max(a_d, b_d))$ respectively. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, we define $ab = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_d b_d)$, and if $b > 0$, $a/b = (a_1/b_1, \dots, a_d/b_d)$, and $b^\alpha = (b_1^\alpha, \dots, b_d^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ we define $w(a) = a_1 \cdots a_d$ and $l(a) = \min\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$. Note that if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ and $0 < a \leq b$, then $w(a)/w(b) \leq l(a)/l(b)$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $a \leq b$, we define intervals $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : a \leq x \leq b\}$ and $(a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : a < x \leq b\}$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, under $\sum_{n=a}^b v_n$ we will understand $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d \cap [a, b]} v_n$ if $a \leq b$ and 0 otherwise, under $\sum_{n>a}^b v_n$ we will understand $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d \cap (a, b]} v_n$ if $a \leq b$ and 0 otherwise, and under $\int_a^b v(x) dx$ we will understand $\int_{[a, b]} v(x) dx$.

Finally, for $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by \bar{c} we will denote $(c, \dots, c) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n>0}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Then $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt$.

Proof. We may assume that $b \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Let (b_k) be a sequence in \mathbb{N}^d with $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{w(b_k)} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k - \bar{1}} \int_{[0, 1]^d} f(t+n) dt = \int_{[0, 1]^d} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k - \bar{1}} f(t+n) dt.$$

Since for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$, $\frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k - \bar{1}} f(t+n) dt \rightarrow A_t$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx = \int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.4. Multiparameter uniform Cesàro limits

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Then $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt$.

Proof. We may assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Let $(a_k), (b_k)$ be sequences in \mathbb{N}^d with $a_k < b_k$ and $l(b_k - a_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k - \bar{1}} \int_{[0, 1]^d} f(t+n) dt = \int_{[0, 1]^d} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k - \bar{1}} f(t+n) dt.$$

Since for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$, $\frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n=a_k}^{b_k - \bar{1}} f(t+n) dt \rightarrow A_t$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = \int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.5. Liminf and limsup versions

In the case f is a real-valued function we may obtain similar results involving liminfs of limsups, even if the limits A_t do not exist:

Theorem 2.5. *If $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function, then*

$$\liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n>0}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n>0}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

Proof. After adding a constant to f we may assume that $f \geq 0$. We may also assume that $b \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Let (b_k) be a sequence in \mathbb{N}^d with $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{w(b_k)} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k-1} \int_{[0,1]^d} f(t+n) dt = \int_{[0,1]^d} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k-1} f(t+n) dt.$$

By (the classical, real-valued) Fatou's theorem,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n=0}^{b_k-1} f(t+n) dt = \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n>0}^{b_k} f(t+n) dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

And similarly,

Theorem 2.6. *If $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function, then*

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

3. Multiplicative method – the one-parameter case

3.1. Standard Cesàro limits

Theorem 3.1. *Let a bounded measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ be such that for some $c > 0$, the limit $L_t = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n>0}^b f(nt)$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, c]$. Then L_t is a.e. constant, $L_t = L \in V$ a.e. on $[0, c]$, and $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals L .*

Following the referee's suggestion we will derive Theorem 3.1 from the following classical fact. The proof of this result which we provide for reader's convenience has an advantage of being easily extendible to multiparameter case (Lemma 4.2 below).

Lemma 3.2. *Let (v_n) be a sequence in V such that $\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| = O(1/n)$ and the Cesàro limit $L = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v_n$ exists. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = L$.*

Proof. We may assume that $L = 0$, that is, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N v_n = 0$. Assume that $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$; let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n > N$ such that $\|v_n\| > \varepsilon$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| < \alpha/n$ for all n ; put $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16(1+\varepsilon/2\alpha)\alpha}$. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^M v_n\| < \delta$ for all $M > N$. Find $M > N$ such that $\|v_M\| > \varepsilon$ and $1/M < \varepsilon/4\alpha$. Let, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\varphi \in V^*$ be such that $|\varphi(v)| \leq \|v\|$ for all $v \in V$ and $\varphi(v_M) = \|v_M\|$. Then for any $n > M$,

$$\varphi(v_n) = \varphi(v_M) + \sum_{m=M}^{n-1} \varphi(v_{m+1} - v_m) \geq \|v_M\| - \sum_{m=M}^{n-1} \|v_{m+1} - v_m\| > \varepsilon - (n-M) \frac{\alpha}{M},$$

which is $\geq \varepsilon/2$ when $\frac{(n-M)\alpha}{M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, that is, when $M < n \leq M + \varepsilon M/2\alpha$. Put $K = M + \lfloor \varepsilon M/2\alpha \rfloor$, then $\varphi(v_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $n = M + 1, \dots, K$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^K v_n \right\| &\geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\left\| \sum_{n=M+1}^K v_n \right\| - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^M v_n \right\| \right) \geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\varphi \left(\sum_{n=M+1}^K v_n \right) - \delta M \right) = \frac{1}{K} \left(\sum_{n=M+1}^K \varphi(v_n) - \delta M \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{K} \left((K-M) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \delta M \right) \geq \frac{1}{M(1+\varepsilon/2\alpha)} \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon M}{2\alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \delta M \right) \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon/2\alpha} \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{M} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \delta \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(1+\varepsilon/2\alpha)8\alpha} - \delta = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the choice of N . ■

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $v_n = \frac{1}{nc} \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any n ,

$$\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| = \frac{1}{c} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{nc}^{(n+1)c} f(x) dx \right\| \leq \frac{cn \sup \|f\|}{cn(n+1)} + \frac{c \sup \|f\|}{c(n+1)} = O(1/n).$$

Also we have, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{nc} \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c f(nt) dt = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(nt) dt.$$

Since $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(nt) \rightarrow L_t$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for a.e. $t \in [0, c]$, by Lemma 1.2, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c L_t dt$. By Lemma 3.2, $v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c L_t dt$. On the other hand, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$. So, $L = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals $\frac{1}{c} \int_0^c L_t dt$.

Next, for any $z \in (0, c)$ we also have $L = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z L_t dt$. So, for any $z \in [0, c]$, $\int_0^z L_t dt = zL$, which implies that $L_t = L$ a.e. on $[0, c]$. ■

3.2. Uniform Cesàro limits

Theorem 3.3. *Let a bounded measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow V$ be such that for some $c > 0$, for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$ the limit $L_t = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt)$ exists. Then L_t is constant a.e. on $(0, c]$, $L_t = L \in V$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$, and $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals L .*

Lemma 3.4. *Let (v_n) be a bounded sequence in V such that $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b v_n = 0$, let (b_k) be a sequence of positive real numbers with $b_k \rightarrow \infty$, and let $(\alpha_k), (\beta_k)$ be sequences of real numbers such that $0 < \beta_k - \alpha_k \leq b_k$ for all k . Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\|v_n\| \leq 1$ for all n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $B > 1$ be such that $\|\frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b v_n\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $b-a > B$. Let K be such that $b_k > (B+1)/\varepsilon$ for all $k > K$. Then for any $k > K$, if $\beta_k - \alpha_k > B$, then $\|\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n\| \leq \|\frac{1}{\beta_k - \alpha_k} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n\| < \varepsilon$, and if $\beta_k - \alpha_k \leq B$, then also $\|\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n\| \leq \frac{\beta_k - \alpha_k + 1}{b_k} < \varepsilon$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Since, in particular, $L_t = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n>0}^b f(nt)$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$, we have $L_t = \text{const} = L$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$ by Theorem 3.1. Replacing f by $f - L$, we may assume that $L = 0$. After replacing $f(x)$ by $f(cx/2)$, we assume that $c = 2$. Let $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_{a/n}^{b/n} f(nt) dt$. Adding these equalities for all $n \in (b/2, b]$, and taking into account that $b/n < 2$ for $n > b/2$, we get $\lambda \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_0^2 \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt) dt$, where $\lambda = \sum_{n>b/2}^b \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and for every $t \in (0, 2]$, $\alpha(a, b, t) = \max\{b/2, a/t\}$ and $\beta(a, b, t) = \min\{b, b/t\}$. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\| \leq 2 \left\| \int_0^2 f_{a,b}(t) dt \right\| \quad (3.1)$$

where $f_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt)$, $t \in (0, 2]$.

We will now show that the functions $f_{a,b}$, for $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + 1$, are uniformly bounded. Let us assume that $\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$. If $a \leq b/2$, then $b - a \geq b/2$, and since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq b/2$, for any $t \in (0, 2]$ we have $\|f_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{b/2} (b/2 + 1) \leq 3$. If $a > b/2$, then for any $t \in (0, 1/2]$ we have $\alpha(a, b, t) \geq a/t \geq 2a > b \geq \beta(a, b, t)$, so $f_{a,b}(t) = 0$; and since, for any $t \in (0, 2]$, $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b - a)/t$, we have $\|f_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{b-a} ((b - a)/t + 1) \leq 3$ for $t \in [1/2, 2]$.

For a.e. $t \in (0, 2]$, since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b - a)/t$ for all $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + 1$, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} f_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{b-a} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt) = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.2, $\int_0^2 f_{a,b}(t) dt \rightarrow 0$ as $b - a \rightarrow \infty$. So, by (3.1), $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $b - a \rightarrow \infty$. ■

3.3. Liminf and limsup versions for uniform averages

In the case f is a real-valued function and the limits L_t do not exist we have liminf/limsup versions of the above theorems. We start with the uniform case:

Theorem 3.5. *For any bounded measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \limsup_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Proof. We will only prove the first inequality. We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Let $L = \liminf_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$; find a sequence of intervals $[a_k, b_k]$ with $b_k - a_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $L = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx$. We may assume that $a_k \rightarrow \infty$ (after replacing each a_k by $\max\{a_k, \sqrt{b_k}\}$), and that $b_k/a_k \rightarrow 1$ (after replacing each interval $[a_k, b_k]$ by a suitable subinterval).

For any $t > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k/t - a_k/t} \sum_{n>a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k/t - a_k/t} \sum_{n>a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt.$$

By (the classical) Fatou's lemma we have

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k/t - a_k/t} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c \frac{1}{b_k/t - a_k/t} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c \frac{1}{b_k/t - a_k/t} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt &= \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} t f(nt) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n > a_k/c} \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} t f(nt) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for each n we have

$$I_n = \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} t f(nt) dt = \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} x f(x) dx = \frac{1}{n^2} \alpha_k \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx$$

with $\alpha_k \in [a_k, b_k]$, so

$$\sum_{n > a_k/c} I_n = \alpha_k \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx \sum_{n > a_k/c} \frac{1}{n^2} = \alpha_k s_k \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx,$$

where $s_k = \sum_{n > a_k/c} \frac{1}{n^2}$ satisfies $s_k a_k/c \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since, by our assumption, also $\alpha_k/a_k \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{c} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n > a_k/c} \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} t f(nt) dt = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_k s_k}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L.$$

So, $\frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n > a}^b f(nt) dt \leq L$. ■

3.4. Liminf and limsup versions for standard averages

Theorem 3.6. For any bounded measurable function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c > 0$,

$$\liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Proof. We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Let $L = \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$; choose a sequence (b_k) , with $b_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx = L$. Then also $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L$, where $a_k = \sqrt{b_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $t > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) = \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b - \sqrt{b}} \sum_{n > \sqrt{b}}^b f(nt) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n > a_k}^{b_k} f(nt),$$

and as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \sum_{n > a_k}^{b_k} f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} t f(nt) dt,$$

so it suffices to show that this last expression is $\leq L$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ put $M_k = \lfloor b_k^{2/3} \rfloor$ and subdivide the interval $[a_k, b_k]$ into M_k equal parts: put $b_{k,j} = a_k + j(b_k - a_k)/M_k$, $j = 0, \dots, M_k$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, for any k and j we have

$$\frac{1}{b_{k,j} - b_{k,j-1}} \int_0^c \sum_{n > b_{k,j-1}/t}^{b_{k,j}/t} tf(nt) dt \leq \frac{\alpha_{k,j} s_{k,j}}{b_{k,j} - b_{k,j-1}} \int_{b_{k,j-1}}^{b_{k,j}} f(x) dx, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha_{k,j} \in [b_{k,j-1}, b_{k,j}]$ and $s_{k,j} = \sum_{n > b_{k,j-1}/c} \frac{1}{n^2}$. Since the function $\varphi(t) = \frac{t+\delta}{t-1}$ with $\delta > 0$ is decreasing, for any k and any j we have

$$\alpha_{k,j} s_{k,j} < \frac{b_{k,j}}{(b_{k,j-1} - 1)/c} \leq c \frac{a_k + b_k/M_k}{a_k - 1} = c \frac{b_k^{1/2} + b_k/\lfloor b_k^{2/3} \rfloor}{b_k^{1/2} - 1} =: r_k,$$

which tends to c as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Replacing $\alpha_{k,j} s_{k,j}$ by r_k and taking the average of both sides of the inequality (3.2) for a fixed k and $j = 1, \dots, M_k$ we get

$$\frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt < \frac{r_k}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx,$$

so

$$\frac{1}{c} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_k}{c(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L.$$

■

4. Multiplicative method – the multiparameter case

4.1. Standard Cesàro limits

We will use the notation introduced in subsection 2.3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let a bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be such that for some $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, the limit $L_t = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt)$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, c]$. Then L_t is a.e. constant, $L_t = L \in V$ a.e. on $[0, c]$, and $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals L .*

Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$, \dots , $e_d = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (v_n) be an \mathbb{N}^d -sequence in V such that the limit $v = \lim_{l(N) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} v_n$ exists and for some $\alpha > 0$, for any $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and for any i one has $\|v_{n+e_i} - v_n\| < \alpha n_i$. Then $\lim_{l(n) \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$.*

Proof. We may assume that $v = 0$, that is, $\lim_{l(N) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} v_n = 0$. Assume that $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$ as $l(n) \rightarrow \infty$; let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$ there exists $n > N$ such that $\|v_n\| > \varepsilon$. Put $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon/4\alpha d)^d}{2(2^d+1)(1+\varepsilon/2\alpha d)^d}$. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\|\frac{1}{w(M)} \sum_{n \leq M} v_n\| < \delta$ for all $M > N$. Find $M = (M_1, \dots, M_d) > N$, such that $\|v_M\| > \varepsilon$ and $1/M_i < \varepsilon/4\alpha d$ for all i . Let, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\varphi \in V^*$ be such that $|\varphi(v)| \leq \|v\|$ for all $v \in V$ and $\varphi(v_M) = \|v_M\|$. Then for any $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) > M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v_n) &= \varphi(v_M) + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{m=M_i}^{n_i-1} \varphi(v_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, m+1, M_{i+1}, \dots, M_d)} - v_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, m, M_{i+1}, \dots, M_d)}) \\ &\geq \|v_M\| - \sum_{m=M}^{n-1} \|v_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, m+1, M_{i+1}, \dots, M_d)} - v_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, m, M_{i+1}, \dots, M_d)}\| \\ &> \varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^d (n_i - M_i) \frac{\alpha}{M_i}, \end{aligned}$$

which is $\geq \varepsilon/2$ when $\frac{(n_i - M_i)\alpha}{M_i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2d}$ for all i , that is, when $M_i < n_i \leq M_i + \varepsilon M_i / 2\alpha d$ for all i . Put $K_i = M_i + \lceil \varepsilon M_i / 2\alpha d \rceil$ and $K = (K_1, \dots, K_d)$, then $\varphi(v_n) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $M \leq n \leq K$ and $w(K) \leq w(M)(1 + \varepsilon/2\alpha d)^d$, $w(K - M) \geq w(M) \prod_{i=1}^d (\varepsilon/2\alpha d - 1/M_i) \geq w(M)(\varepsilon/4\alpha d)^d$. Now, we can represent $\sum_{n \leq K} v_n$ as an alternating sum

$$\sum_{n \leq K} v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2^d - 1} \left(\pm \sum_{n \leq R_j} v_n \right) + \sum_{n > M}^K v_n,$$

where for each j , for every i , the i th entry of R_j is either equal to M_i or to K_i . (For $d = 2$, for instance, the formula is $\sum_{n \leq K} v_n = \sum_{n \leq (M_1, K_2)} v_n + \sum_{n \leq (K_1, M_2)} v_n - \sum_{n \leq (M_1, M_2)} v_n + \sum_{n > M}^K v_n$.) For each j , $\|\sum_{n \leq R_j} v_n\| < w(R_j)\delta \leq w(K)\delta$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{w(K)} \sum_{n \leq K} v_n \right\| &\geq \frac{1}{w(K)} \left(\left\| \sum_{n > M}^K v_n \right\| - 2^d w(K)\delta \right) \geq \frac{1}{w(K)} \varphi \left(\sum_{n=M+1}^K v_n \right) - 2^d \delta = \frac{1}{w(K)} \sum_{n=M+1}^K \varphi(v_n) - 2^d \delta \\ &> \frac{w(K - M)}{w(K)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 2^d \delta \geq \frac{w(M)(\varepsilon/4\alpha d)^d}{w(M)(1 + \varepsilon/2\alpha d)^d} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 2^d \delta = \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon/4\alpha d)^d}{2(1 + \varepsilon/2\alpha d)^d} - 2^d \delta = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the choice of N . ■

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $v_n = \frac{1}{w(nc)} \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then for any $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n+e_i} - v_n\| &= \frac{1}{w(c)} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{w(n+e_i)} - \frac{1}{w(n)} \right) \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{w(n+e_i)} \int_{nc}^{(n+e_i)c} f(x) dx \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{w(nc) \sup \|f\|}{w(c)w(n)w(n+e_i)} + \frac{w(c) \sup \|f\|}{w(c)w(n+e_i)} = 2 \sup \|f\| / (n_i + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} v_n = \frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{w(nc)} \int_0^{nc} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c f(nt) dt = \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(nt) dt.$$

Since $\frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(nt) \rightarrow L_t$ as $l(N) \rightarrow \infty$ for a.e. $t \in [0, c]$, by Lemma 1.2, $\frac{1}{w(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c L_t dt$. By Lemma 4.2, $v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c L_t dt$. On the other hand, $\lim_{l(n) \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx$. So, $L = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals $\frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c L_t dt$.

Next, for any $z \in (0, c)$, we also have $L = \frac{1}{w(z)} \int_0^z L_t dt$. So, for any $z \in (0, c]$, $\int_0^z L_t dt = w(z)L$, which implies that $L_t = L$ a.e. on $[0, c]$. ■

4.2. Uniform Cesàro limits

Theorem 4.3. *Let a bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be such that for some $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$ the limit $L_t = \lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n > a}^b f(nt)$ exists. Then L_t is constant a.e. on $(0, c]$, $L_t = L \in V$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$, and $\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ exists and equals L .*

Lemma 4.4. *Let (v_n) be a bounded \mathbb{N}^d -sequence in V such that $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n > a}^b v_n = 0$, and let (b_k) , (α_k) and (β_k) be sequences in \mathbb{R}_+^d such that $0 < \beta_k - \alpha_k \leq b_k$ for all k and $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > \alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\|v_n\| \leq 1$ for all n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $B > 1$ be such that $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n > a}^b v_n \right\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $l(b-a) > B$. Let K be such that $l(b_k) > (B+1)/\varepsilon$ for all $k > K$. Then for any $k > K$, if $l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) > B$, then $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > \alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{w(\beta_k - \alpha_k)} \sum_{n > \alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| < \varepsilon$, and if $l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) \leq B$, then also $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > \alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \frac{l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) + 1}{l(b_k)} < \varepsilon$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Since, in particular, $L_t = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n>0}^b f(nt)$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$, we have $L_t = \text{const} = L$ for a.e. $t \in (0, c]$ by Theorem 4.1. Replacing f by $f - L$, we may assume that $L = 0$. After replacing $f(x)$ by $f(cx/2)$, we assume that $c = \bar{2}$. Let $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{w(n)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_{a/n}^{b/n} f(nt) dt$. Adding these equalities for all $n \in (b/2, b]$, and taking into account that $b/n < \bar{2}$ for $n > b/2$, we get $\lambda \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_0^{\bar{2}} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt) dt$, where $\lambda = \sum_{n>b/2}^b \frac{1}{w(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2^d}$, and for every $t \in (0, 2]^d$, $\alpha(a, b, t) = \max\{b/2, a/t\}$ and $\beta(a, b, t) = \min\{b, b/t\}$. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\| \leq 2 \left\| \int_0^{\bar{2}} f_{a,b}(t) dt \right\| \quad (4.1)$$

where $f_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt)$, $t \in (0, 2]^d$.

We will now show that the functions $f_{a,b}$, for $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + \bar{1}$, are uniformly bounded. Let us assume that $\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$. If $a \leq b/2$, then $b - a \geq b/2$, and since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq b/2$, for any $t \in (0, 2]^d$ we have $\|f_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(b/2)} w(b/2 + \bar{1}) \leq 3^d$. If $a > b/2$, then for any $t \in (0, 2]^d$ with $t_i < 1/2$ for some i we have $\alpha(a, b, t)_i \geq a_i/t_i \geq 2a_i > b_i \geq \beta(a, b, t)_i$, so $f_{a,b}(t) = 0$; and since, for any $t \in (0, 2]^d$, $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b - a)/t$, we have $\|f_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(b-a)} w((b - a)/t + \bar{1}) \leq 3^d$ for all $t \in [1/2, 2]^d$.

For a.e. $t \in (0, 2]^d$, since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b - a)/t$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $b \geq a + \bar{1}$, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} f_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{w(t)} \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w((b-a)/t)} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} f(nt) = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.2, $\int_0^{\bar{2}} f_{a,b}(t) dt \rightarrow 0$ as $l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty$. So, by (4.1), $\frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty$. ■

4.3. Liminf and limsup versions for uniform limits

Theorem 4.5. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, one has

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Proof. We will only prove the first inequality. We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Let $L = \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$;

find a sequence of intervals $[a_k, b_k] \subset \mathbb{R}_+^d$ with $l(b_k - a_k) \rightarrow \infty$ such that $L = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx$.

We may assume that $l(a_k) \rightarrow \infty$ (after replacing each a_k by $\max\{a_k, \sqrt{b_k}\}$), and that $w(b_k)/w(a_k) \rightarrow 1$ (after replacing each interval $[a_k, b_k]$ by a suitable subinterval).

For any $t > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k/t - a_k/t)} \sum_{n>a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k/t - a_k/t)} \sum_{n>a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt.$$

By (the classical) Fatou's lemma we have

$$\frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k/t - a_k/t)} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c \frac{1}{w(b_k/t - a_k/t)} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c \frac{1}{w(b_k/t - a_k/t)} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} f(nt) dt &= \frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n > a_k/c} \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} tf(nt) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for each n we have

$$I_n = \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} tf(nt) dt = \frac{1}{w(n^2)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} xf(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(n^2)} w(\alpha_k) \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx$$

with $\alpha_k \in [a_k, b_k]$, so

$$\sum_{n > a_k/c} I_n = w(\alpha_k) \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx \sum_{n > a_k/c} \frac{1}{w(n^2)} = w(\alpha_k) s_k \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx,$$

where $s_k = \sum_{n > a_k/c} \frac{1}{w(n^2)}$ satisfies $s_k w(a_k/c) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since, by our assumption, also $w(\alpha_k)/w(a_k) \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{w(c)} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n > a_k/c} \int_{a_k/n}^{b_k/n} tf(nt) dt = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(\alpha_k) s_k}{w(c)} \cdot \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L.$$

So, $\frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n > a}^b f(nt) dt \leq L$. ■

4.4. Liminf and limsup versions for standard averages

Theorem 4.6. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, one has

$$\liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Proof. We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Let $L = \liminf_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(x) dx$; choose a sequence (b_k) in \mathbb{R}_+^d , with $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \int_0^{b_k} f(x) dx = L$. Then also $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L$, where $a_k = \sqrt{b_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $t > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) = \liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b - \sqrt{b})} \sum_{n > \sqrt{b}}^b f(nt) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n > a_k}^{b_k} f(nt),$$

and as in the proof of Theorem 4.5, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k} f(nt) dt \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt,$$

so it suffices to show that this last expression is $\leq L$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ put $M_k = \lfloor b_k^{2/3} \rfloor$ and subdivide the interval $[a_k, b_k]$ into $w(M_k)$ equal parts: put $b_{k,j} = a_k + j(b_k - a_k)/M_k$, $j \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^d \cap [0, M_k]$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5, for any k and j we have

$$\frac{1}{w(b_{k,j} - b_{k,j-1})} \int_0^c \sum_{n > b_{k,j-1}/t}^{b_{k,j}/t} tf(nt) dt \leq \frac{w(\alpha_{k,j})s_{k,j}}{w(b_{k,j} - b_{k,j-1})} \int_{b_{k,j-1}}^{b_{k,j}} f(x) dx, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\alpha_{k,j} \in [b_{k,j-1}, b_{k,j}]$ and $s_{k,j} = \sum_{n > b_{k,j-1}/c} \frac{1}{w(n^2)}$. For any k and any j ,

$$w(\alpha_{k,j})s_{k,j} < \frac{w(b_{k,j})}{w(b_{k,j-1} - \bar{1})/w(c)} \leq w(c) \frac{w(a_k + b_k/M_k)}{w(a_k - \bar{1})} = w(c) \frac{w(b_k^{1/2} + b_k/\lfloor b_k^{2/3} \rfloor)}{w(b_k^{1/2} - \bar{1})} =: r_k,$$

which tends to $w(c)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Replacing $w(\alpha_{k,j})s_{k,j}$ by r_k and taking the average of both sides of the inequality (4.2) for a fixed k and $j = 1, \dots, M_k$ we get

$$\frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt < \frac{r_k}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx,$$

so

$$\frac{1}{w(c)} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b_k - a_k)} \int_0^c \sum_{n > a_k/t}^{b_k/t} tf(nt) dt \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_k}{w(c)w(b_k - a_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx = L. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.5. A lim-limsup version for standard averages

It turns out that if the limits $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt)$, $t > 0$, do not exist, but, for some $L \in V$, one has $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) - L \right\| = 0$, we still have the result. For a function $h: (0, r)^d \rightarrow V$, $r > 0$, we write $\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = h_0$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ such that $\|h(t) - h_0\| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. $t \in (0, \delta]$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function satisfying, for some $L \in V$,*

$$\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b f(nt) - L \right\| = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx = L$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let (v_n) be a bounded \mathbb{N}^d -sequence in V and let (b_k) , (β_k) be sequences in \mathbb{R}_+^d with $0 < \beta_k \leq b_k$ for all k and $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b v_n \right\|$, and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > b_k/2}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq 2^d \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b v_n \right\|$.*

Proof. Assume that $\|v_n\| \leq 1$ for all n . Let $s = \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b v_n \right\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $B > 1$ be such that $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n > 0}^b v_n \right\| < s + \varepsilon$ whenever $l(b) > B$. Let K be such that $l(b_k) > B/\varepsilon$ for all $k > K$. Then for any $k > K$, if $l(\beta_k) > B$, then $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{w(\beta_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| < s + \varepsilon$, and if $l(\beta_k) \leq B$, then also $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \frac{w(\beta_k)}{w(b_k)} \leq \frac{l(\beta_k)}{l(b_k)} < \varepsilon \leq s + \varepsilon$. So, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq s$.

For any $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, let $\sigma_S(a, b) = (c_1, \dots, c_d)$ where for each i , $c_i = a_i$ if $i \in S$ and $c_i = b_i$ if $i \notin S$. Then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ with $a \leq b$ we have $\sum_{n > a}^b v_n = \sum_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}} (-1)^{|S|} \sum_{n > 0}^{\sigma_S(a, b)} v_n$. Since, for any $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > 0}^{\sigma_S(b_k/2, \beta_k)} v_n \right\| \leq s$, we also get that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n > b_k/2}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq 2^d s$. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 4.7. We may assume that $L = 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $\delta > 0$, such that $\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n>0}^b f(nt) \right\| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. $t \in [0, \delta]$, and define $g(x) = f(\frac{\delta}{2}x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Then $\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n>0}^b g(nt) \right\| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 2]^d$.

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $b \geq \bar{1}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\frac{1}{w(n)} \int_0^b g(x) dx = \int_0^{b/n} g(nt) dt$. Adding these equalities for all $n \in (b/2, b]$, and taking into account that $b/n < \bar{2}$ for $n > b/2$, we get $\lambda \int_0^b g(x) dx = \int_0^2 \sum_{n>b/2}^{\beta(b,t)} g(nt) dt$, where $\lambda = \sum_{n>b/2}^b \frac{1}{w(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2^d}$, and for every $t \in (0, 2]^d$, $\beta(b, t) = \min\{b, b/t\}$. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b g(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^d \left\| \int_0^{\bar{2}} g_b(t) dt \right\|, \quad (4.3)$$

where $g_b(t) = \frac{1}{w(b)} \sum_{n>b/2}^{\beta(b,t)} g(nt)$, $t \in (0, 2]^d$.

Let us assume that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} \|g(x)\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$. Then for any $b \geq \bar{1}$, for every $t \in (0, 2]^d$ we have $\|g_b(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(b)} w(\beta(b, t)) \leq 1$, so the functions g_b are uniformly bounded. For a.e. $t \in (0, 2]^d$, since $\beta(b, t) \leq b$, by Lemma 4.8 we have $\limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \|g_b(t)\| \leq 2^d \varepsilon$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1, $\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^{\bar{2}} g_b(t) dt \right\| \leq 2^{2d} \varepsilon$. So, by (4.3), $\limsup_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b g(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^{3d} \varepsilon$. Since for any $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $b > 0$, we have $\frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b g(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(b\delta/2)} \int_0^{b\delta/2} f(x) dx$, we get $\limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^{3d} \varepsilon$. Since this is true for any positive ε , $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} \int_0^b f(x) dx = 0$. ■

4.6. A lim-limsup version for uniform averages

Theorem 4.9. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function satisfying, for some $L \in V$,

$$\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) - L \right\| = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L$.

Lemma 4.10. Let (v_n) be a bounded \mathbb{N}^d -sequence in V and let (b_k) , (α_k) , and (β_k) be sequences in \mathbb{R}_+^d such that $0 < \beta_k - \alpha_k \leq b_k$ for all k and $l(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b v_n \right\|$.

Proof. Assume that $\|v_n\| \leq 1$ for all n . Let $s = \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b v_n \right\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $B > 1$ be such that $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b v_n \right\| < s + \varepsilon$ whenever $l(b-a) > B$. Let K be such that $l(b_k) > (B+1)/\varepsilon$ for all $k > K$. Then for any $k > K$, if $l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) > B$, then $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{w(\beta_k - \alpha_k)} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| < s + \varepsilon$, and if $l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) \leq B$, then also $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq \frac{l(\beta_k - \alpha_k) + 1}{l(b_k)} < \varepsilon \leq s + \varepsilon$. So, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b_k)} \sum_{n>\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} v_n \right\| \leq s$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.9. We may assume that $L = 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Find $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $\delta > 0$ such that $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) \right\| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. $t \in [0, \delta]$, and define $g(x) = f(\frac{\delta}{2}x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Then $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b g(nt) \right\| < \varepsilon$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 2]^d$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq a + \bar{1}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\frac{1}{w(n)} \int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_{a/n}^{b/n} g(nt) dt$. Adding these equalities for all $n \in (b/2, b]$, and taking into account that $b/n < \bar{2}$ for $n > b/2$, we get $\lambda \int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_0^{\bar{2}} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} g(nt) dt$, where $\lambda = \sum_{n>b/2}^b \frac{1}{w(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2^d}$, and for every $t \in (0, 2]^d$, $\alpha(a, b, t) = \max\{b/2, a/t\}$ and $\beta(a, b, t) = \min\{b, b/t\}$. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^d \left\| \int_0^{\bar{2}} g_{a,b}(t) dt \right\| \quad (4.4)$$

where $g_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} g(nt)$, $t \in (0, 2]^d$.

We will now show that the functions $g_{a,b}$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $b \geq a + 1$, are uniformly bounded. Let us assume that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} \|g(x)\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$. If $a \leq b/2$, then $b-a \geq b/2$, and since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq b/2$, for any $t \in (0, 2]^d$ we have $\|g_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(b/2)} w(b/2 + \bar{1}) \leq 3^d$. If $a > b/2$, then for any $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in (0, 2]^d$ with $t_i < 1/2$ for some i we have $\alpha(a, b, t)_i \geq a_i/t_i \geq 2a_i > b_i \geq \beta(a, b, t)_i$, so $f_{a,b}(t) = 0$; and since, for any $t \in (0, 2]^d$, $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b-a)/t$, we have $\|f_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(b-a)} w((b-a)/t + \bar{1}) \leq 3^d$ for all $t \in [1/2, 2]^d$.

For a.e. $t \in (0, 2]^d$, since $\beta(a, b, t) - \alpha(a, b, t) \leq (b-a)/t$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $b \geq a + \bar{1}$, by Lemma 4.10,

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \|g_{a,b}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{w(t)} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w((b-a)/t)} \sum_{n>\alpha(a,b,t)}^{\beta(a,b,t)} g(nt) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{w(t)}.$$

Since also $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \|g_{a,b}(t)\| \leq 3^d$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^{\bar{2}} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \|g_{a,b}(t)\| dt \leq \int_{[0, 2]^d \setminus [\varepsilon, 2]^d} 3^d dt + \int_{[\varepsilon, 2]^d} \frac{\varepsilon}{w(t)} dt = c_\varepsilon,$$

where $c_\varepsilon \leq d\varepsilon 2^{d-1} 3^d + \varepsilon(\log(2/\varepsilon))^d$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1, $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^{\bar{2}} g_{a,b}(t) dt \right\| \leq c_\varepsilon$. So, by (4.4), $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^d c_\varepsilon$. Since for any $0 < a < b$, $\frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b g(x) dx = \frac{1}{w(b\delta/2 - a\delta/2)} \int_{a\delta/2}^{b\delta/2} f(x) dx$, we get $\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\| \leq 2^d c_\varepsilon$. Since this is true for any positive ε and $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain that $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$. ■

5. Two-sided limits and limits with respect to Følner sequences

5.1. Two-sided multiparameter limits

We will now pass from the $(\mathbb{N}^d, \mathbb{R}_+^d)$ setup to the $(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ setup. We adapt the notation introduced above to this new situation: for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, we write $a \leq b$ if $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, and $a < b$ if $a_i < b_i$ for all i . When writing $l(b)$ or $w(b)$, we will always assume that $b > 0$. As before, under $\sum_{n>a}^b v_n$ we understand $\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ a < n \leq b}}$, and under $\int_a^b v(x) dx$ we understand $\int_{a \leq x \leq b} v(x) dx$.

Theorem 2.3 clearly implies:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n>-b}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Then $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt$.

Theorem 2.4 can also be easily adapted to the \mathbb{R}^d case:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Then $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ also exists and is equal to $\int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt$.

The derivation of Theorem 5.2 from Theorem 2.4 is based on the following fact:

Lemma 5.3. For any $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \{+, -\}^d = S$, let $\mathbb{R}_s^d = \mathbb{R}_{s_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_{s_d}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded function and let L be an element of V such that for any $s \in S$, $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L$.

Then $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L$.

Proof. We will assume that $\sup |f| \leq 1$ and that $L = 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, find $l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_s^d$ for some $s \in S$ and $l(b-a) \geq l$.

Now let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $b > a$ and $l(b-a) > l/\varepsilon$. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$. For each i such that $a_i < 0 < b_i$, partition the interval $[a_i, b_i]$ into subintervals $[a_i, 0]$ and $[0, b_i]$, and thus partition the d -dimensional interval $[a, b] = \{x : a \leq x \leq b\}$ into $\leq 2^d$ d -dimensional subintervals $[p_j, q_j]$ such that for each j , $[p_j, q_j] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_s^d$ for some $s \in S$. Then, for each j , if $l(q_j - p_j) \geq l$, then $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_{p_j}^{q_j} f(x) dx \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{w(q_j - p_j)} \int_{p_j}^{q_j} f(x) dx \right\| < \varepsilon$, and if $l(q_j - p_j) < l$, then $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_{p_j}^{q_j} f(x) dx \right\| \leq \frac{w(q_j - p_j)}{w(b-a)} \leq \frac{l(q_j - p_j)}{l(b-a)} < \frac{l}{l/\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$; so, $\left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\| = \sum_j \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_{p_j}^{q_j} f(x) dx \right\| < 2^d \varepsilon$. ■

In the case f is a real-valued function, the same proof gives a stronger result:

Lemma 5.4. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \min_{s \in S} \left\{ \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\}$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \max_{s \in S} \left\{ \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.4 allows us to derive the “two-sided” version of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6:

Theorem 5.5. If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function, then

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n > -b}^b f(t+n) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n > -b}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

Theorem 5.6. If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function, then

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n > a}^b f(t+n) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n > a}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $a < 0 < b$, let us call “the interval” $(a, b) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : a < t < b\}$ a P -neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^d , and for $b \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ with $b > 0$ let us call “the interval” $[0, b) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : t < b\}$ a P -neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}_+^d .

The “multiplicative” theorems for \mathbb{Z}^d and \mathbb{R}^d -actions take the following form:

Theorem 5.7. Let a bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be such that for some P -neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^d , for a.e. $t \in P$ the limit $L_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n > -b}^b f(nt)$ exists. Then $L_t = \text{const} = L$ a.e. on P and $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx = L$.

Theorem 5.8. Let a bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be such that for some P -neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^d , for a.e. $t \in P$ the limit $L_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt)$ exists. Then $L_t = \text{const} = L$ a.e. on P and $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L$.

Theorem 5.9. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$,

$$\liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n>-b}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n>-b}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Theorem 5.10. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$,

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt.$$

Theorem 5.11. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function satisfying

$$\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(2b)} \sum_{n>-b}^b f(nt) \right\| = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(2b)} \int_{-b}^b f(x) dx = 0$.

Theorem 5.12. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function satisfying, for some $L \in V$,

$$\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) - L \right\| = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L$.

5.2. Limits with respect to an arbitrary Følner sequence

Let us denote by w the standard Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d (this agrees with the notation used in the previous sections). A Følner sequence in \mathbb{R}^d is a sequence $(\Phi_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ of subsets of finite measure such that for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\frac{w(\Phi_N \Delta (\Phi_N + y))}{w(\Phi_N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 5.13. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function with the property that $\lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx = L \in V$. Then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx = L$.

Proof. We will assume that $L = 0$ and that $\sup |f| \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let Q be a d -dimensional interval $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \leq x \leq c\}$ with $l(c)$ large enough so that $\left\| \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{Q+y} f(x) dx \right\| < \varepsilon$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in \mathbb{R}^d . For any $y \in Q$ we have

$$2 \geq \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \left\| \int_{\Phi_N} f(x+y) dx - \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \right\| \leq \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \left(\left\| \int_{(\Phi_N+y) \setminus \Phi_N} f(x) dx \right\| + \left\| \int_{\Phi_N \setminus (\Phi_N+y)} f(x) dx \right\| \right) \leq \frac{w(\Phi_N \Delta (\Phi_N + y))}{w(\Phi_N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\frac{1}{w(Q)w(\Phi_N)} \int_Q \left(\int_{\Phi_N} f(x+y) dx - \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \right) dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

But $\frac{1}{w(Q)w(\Phi_N)} \int_Q \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx dy = \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx$ for all N , whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{w(Q)w(\Phi_N)} \int_Q \int_{\Phi_N} f(x+y) dx dy \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \left\| \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q f(x+y) dy \right\| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \left\| \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{Q-x} f(y) dy \right\| dx \leq \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \varepsilon dx = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all N . Hence, $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \right\| < \varepsilon$. ■

Lemma 5.13 allows to strengthen Theorems 5.2, 5.8, and 5.12:

Theorem 5.14. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that the limit*

$$A_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n)$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx = \int_{[0, 1]^d} A_t dt$.

Theorem 5.15. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that for some P neighborhood P of 0 in \mathbb{R}^d , for a.e. $t \in P$ the limit $L_t = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt)$ exists. Then $L_t = \text{const} = L$ a.e. on P and for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx = L$.*

Theorem 5.16. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function satisfying, for some $L \in V$,*

$$\text{ess-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) - L \right\| = 0.$$

Then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx = L$.

In the case f is a real-valued function we can get the following version of Lemma 5.13:

Lemma 5.17. *For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \geq \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \leq \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Using Lemma 5.17, we may also strengthen Theorems 5.6 and 5.10:

Theorem 5.18. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(t+n) dt.$$

Theorem 5.19. For any bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt$$

and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_0^c \limsup_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b f(nt) dt.$$

6. Density of sets and convergence in density

We will now formulate some special cases of the theorems above. For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$, the density of S is $D(S) = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} |S \cap (0, b]|$, if it exists; for a measurable set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$, the density of S is $D(S) = \lim_{l(b) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b)} w(S \cap [0, b])$, if it exists. (As before, w stands for the standard Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d). The lower density $\underline{D}(S)$ and the upper density $\overline{D}(S)$ of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ or $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$ are defined as the lim inf and, respectively, the lim sup of the above expressions.

Taking $f = 1_S$ in Theorems 2.5, 2.3 and in Theorems 4.6, 4.1, we get, respectively:

Theorem 6.1. Let S be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d , and for each $t \in [0, 1]^d$ let $S_t = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^d : t + n \in S\}$. Then $\underline{D}(S) \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \underline{D}(S_t) dt$ and $\overline{D}(S) \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \overline{D}(S_t) dt$. If $D(S_t)$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$, then $D(S)$ also exists and equals $\int_{[0,1]^d} D(S_t) dt$.

Theorem 6.2. Let S be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d , and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ let $S_t = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^d : nt \in S\}$. Then for any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, one has $\underline{D}(S) \geq \int_{[0,c]} \underline{D}(S_t) dt$ and $\overline{D}(S) \leq \int_{[0,c]} \overline{D}(S_t) dt$. If $D(S_t)$ exists for a.e. t in a P -neighborhood P of 0 in \mathbb{R}_+^d , then $D(S(t)) = \text{const} = D$ for a.e. $t \in P$ and $D(S) = D$.

The uniform (or Banach) density of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ is $\text{UD}(S) = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} |S \cap (a, b]|$, if it exists; for a measurable set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$ the uniform density of S is $\text{UD}(S) = \lim_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} w(S \cap [a, b])$, if it exists. (And it follows from (an \mathbb{R}_+^d -version) of Lemma 5.13 that for $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$, if $\text{UD}(S)$ exists, then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}_+^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} w(S \cap \Phi_N) = \text{UD}(S)$.) The lower uniform density $\underline{\text{UD}}(S)$ and the upper uniform density $\overline{\text{UD}}(S)$ of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ or $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^d$ is the lim inf and, respectively, the lim sup of the above expressions.

From Theorems 2.6, 2.4, 4.5, and Theorem 4.3 we get, respectively:

Theorem 6.3. Let S be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d , and for each $t \in [0, 1]^d$ let $S_t = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^d : n + t \in S\}$. Then $\underline{\text{UD}}(S) \geq \int_{[0,1]^d} \underline{\text{UD}}(S_t) dt$ and $\overline{\text{UD}}(S) \leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \overline{\text{UD}}(S_t) dt$. If $\text{UD}(S_t)$ exists for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$, then $\text{UD}(S)$ also exists and equals $\int_{[0,1]^d} \text{UD}(S_t) dt$.

Theorem 6.4. Let S be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}_+^d , and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ let $S_t = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^d : nt \in S\}$. Then, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $c > 0$, one has $\underline{\text{UD}}(S) \geq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_{[0,c]} \underline{\text{UD}}(S_t) dt$ and $\overline{\text{UD}}(S) \leq \frac{1}{w(c)} \int_{[0,c]} \overline{\text{UD}}(S_t) dt$. If $\text{UD}(S_t)$ exists for a.e. t in a P -neighborhood P of 0 in \mathbb{R}_+^d , then $\text{UD}(S(t)) = \text{const} = D$ in P and $\text{UD}(S) = D$.

Of course, the “two-sided” versions of Theorems 6.1 – 6.4, where one deals with \mathbb{Z}^d -sequences and functions on \mathbb{R}^d instead of \mathbb{N}^d -sequences and functions on \mathbb{R}_+^d , are also true.

We will now bring two theorems that deal with limits in density instead of Cesàro limits. We say that an \mathbb{N}^d -sequence (v_n) in V *converges in density* to $L \in V$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $S_\varepsilon = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^d : \|v_n - L\| > \varepsilon\}$ has zero density, $D(S_\varepsilon) = 0$, and *converges to L in uniform density* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\text{UD}(S_\varepsilon) = 0$. We say that a (measurable) function $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ converges to $L \in V$ in density if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $S_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \|f(x) - L\| > \varepsilon\}$ has zero density, $D(S_\varepsilon) = 0$, and converges to L in uniform density if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\text{UD}(S_\varepsilon) = 0$. Applying Theorems 6.1 – 6.4 to the real-valued function $\|f(x) - L\|$ we obtain:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that for some $L \in V$, for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$ the \mathbb{N}^d -sequence $f(n+t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, converges to L in density (respectively, in uniform density). Then f converges to L in density (respectively, in uniform density).*

Theorem 6.6. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow V$ be a bounded measurable function such that for some $L \in V$, for a.e. t in a P -neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}_+^d the \mathbb{N}^d -sequence $f(nt)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, converges to L in density (respectively, in uniform density). Then f converges to L in density (respectively, in uniform density).*

Of course, the two-sided versions of Theorems 6.5 and 6.6 also hold.

7. Applications

7.1. Characteristic factors for multiple averages along polynomials

Let X be a probability measure space; we will always assume that X is sufficiently regular so that $L^1(X)$ is separable.

Let G be a group of measure preserving transformations of X and let $g_1(n), \dots, g_r(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, be (d -parameter) sequences of elements of G . A factor Z of the system (X, G) is said to be *characteristic* for the averages $\frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} g_1(n)f_1 \cdots g_r(n)f_r$, where (Ψ_N) is a Følner sequence in \mathbb{Z}^d , if for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} \left(g_1(n)f_1 \cdots g_r(n)f_r - g_1(n)E(f_1|Z) \cdots g_r(n)E(f_r|Z) \right) = 0$$

in $L^1(X)$ (where $E(f|Z)$ stands for the conditional expectation of f with respect to Z). An analogous notion can be introduced for averages $\frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} g_1(x)f_1 \cdots g_r(x)f_r dx$, where g_1, \dots, g_r are functions $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow G$ and (Φ_N) is a Følner sequence in \mathbb{R}^d .

Let T be an ergodic invertible measure preserving transformation of X . The k -th Host-Kra-Ziegler factor $Z_k(T)$ of (X, T) is the minimal characteristic factor for the averages $\frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} \prod_{\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \{0, \dots, k\}} T^{n_\sigma} f_\sigma$, where $n_\sigma = \sum_{i \in \sigma} n_i$, and (Ψ_N) are Følner sequences in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} . $Z_k(T)$ is the maximal factor of (X, T) isomorphic to a k -step pro-nilmanifold (an inverse limit of compact k -step nilmanifolds) on which T acts as a translation. (See [HoK1] and [Z].) The factors $Z_k(T)$ turn out to be characteristic for any system of polynomial powers of T :

Theorem 7.1. ([L3]) *For any system of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_r: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , $Z_k(T)$ is a characteristic factor for the averages $\frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T^{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_r(n)} f_r$.*

It is easy to see (see, for example, [FK]) that if S is another ergodic transformation of X commuting with T then for all k , $Z_k(S) = Z_k(T)$. Thus, if T is a family of pairwise commuting ergodic transformations of X , we may denote by $Z_k(T)$ the k -th Host-Kra-Ziegler factor of any (and so, of every) element of T . This allows one to generalize Theorem 7.1 in the following way:

Theorem 7.2. ([J]) *For any finite system of polynomials $p_i: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, given any totally ergodic⁽²⁾ discrete c -parameter commutative group T^m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^c$, of measure preserving transformations T of a probability measure space X , the factor $Z_k(T)$ is characteristic for the averages $\frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} T^{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_r(n)} f_r$, where (Ψ_N) are Følner sequences in \mathbb{Z}^d .*

⁽²⁾ A group G of measure preserving transformations of a measure space is *totally ergodic* if every nonidentical element of G is totally ergodic

Now let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous 1-parameter group of measure preserving transformations of X and assume that it is ergodic on X . Then for almost all (actually, for all but countably many) $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the transformation T^t is ergodic, so for any k , $Z_k(T^t)$ coincide for a.e. t ; we will denote this factor by $Z_k(T)$. We can now prove the following fact (obtained in [P] for non-uniform averages).

Theorem 7.3. *For any system of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_r: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any continuous 1-parameter group T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X , $Z_k(T)$ is a characteristic factor for the averages $\frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} T^{p_1(x)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(x)} f_r dx$.*

Proof. Given polynomials p_1, \dots, p_r on \mathbb{R}^d , find monomials $q_\lambda(x) = c_\lambda x^{\alpha_\lambda}$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, \Lambda$, where $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and α_λ are multi-indices, that are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent and such that each of the polynomials p_i is a sum of the monomials q_λ with integer coefficients, $p_i = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\Lambda} b_{i,\lambda} q_\lambda$, $b_{i,\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and any i , $T^{p_i(nx)} = \prod_{\lambda=1}^{\Lambda} T_{x,\lambda}^{b_{i,\lambda} n^{\alpha_\lambda}}$ where $T_{x,\lambda} = T^{c_\lambda x^{\alpha_\lambda}}$, and since T^t is ergodic for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the Λ -parameter group generated by the transformations $T_{x,\lambda}$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, \Lambda$, satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7.2 for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Find k which, by Theorem 7.2, corresponds to the polynomials $b_{i,\lambda} n^{\alpha_\lambda}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, \Lambda$, so that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} \left(T^{p_1(nx)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(nx)} f_r - T^{p_1(nx)} E(f_1 | Z_k(T)) \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(nx)} E(f_r | Z_k(T)) \right) = 0$$

for any Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in \mathbb{Z}^d . Then by Theorem 5.15,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \left(T^{p_1(x)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(x)} f_r - T^{p_1(x)} E(f_1 | Z_k(T)) \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(x)} E(f_r | Z_k(T)) \right) dx = 0$$

for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , which proves Theorem 7.3. ■

7.2. Polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds

Let X be a topological space with a probability Borel measure μ . We say that a d -parameter sequence $g(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is well distributed with respect to μ if for any $h \in C(X)$ and any Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in \mathbb{Z}^d one has $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} h(g(n)) = \int_X h d\mu$. We also say that a measurable function $g(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, in X is well distributed with respect to μ if for any $h \in C(X)$ and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} h(g(t)) dt = \int_X h d\mu$.

The following proposition is an immediate corollary of Theorem 5.14, applied to the functions $h \circ g$, $h \in C(X)$.

Proposition 7.4. *Let X be a topological space and let $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ be a function such that for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]^d$ the sequence $g(n+t)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is well distributed in X with respect to a probability Borel measure μ_t . Then g is well distributed with respect to the measure $\mu = \int_{[0,1]^d} \mu_t dt$.*

From Theorem 5.15 we get:

Proposition 7.5. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space for which $C(X)$ is separable and let $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ be a function such that for a.e. t in a P -neighborhood P of 0 in \mathbb{R}_+^d the sequence $g(nt)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is well distributed in X with respect to a probability Radon measure μ_t . Then $\mu_t = \text{const} = \mu$ for a.e. $t \in P$ and g is well distributed with respect to the measure μ .*

Proof. By Theorem 5.15, applied to the function $h \circ g$, for any $h \in C(X)$ we have $\mu_t(h) = \text{const} = \mu(h)$ for a.e. $t \in P$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} h(g(t)) dt = \mu(h)$ for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d . Excluding those t for which $\mu_t(h) \neq \mu(h)$ for all functions h from a fixed countable subset of $C(X)$, we obtain that $\mu_t = \text{const} = \mu$ for a.e. $t \in P$ and g is well distributed with respect to μ . (The assumption that μ_t are Radon measures allows us to identify them with continuous linear functionals on $C(X)$.) ■

We will apply these propositions in the following situation. Let X be a compact *nilmanifold*, that is, a homogeneous space of a nilpotent Lie group G , and let $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ be a *polynomial mapping*, that is, $g(t) = \overline{a_1^{p_1(t)} \dots a_k^{p_k(t)} \omega}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$, p_1, \dots, p_k are polynomials $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\omega \in X$. Let $Y = \overline{\{g(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}}$. It follows from a general result obtained in [Sh] that Y is a connected sub-nilmanifold of X (that is, a closed subset of X of the form $H\omega$ where H is a connected closed subgroup of G and $\omega \in X$), and g is uniformly distributed in Y in the following sense: for any $h \in C(Y)$, $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(B_R)} \int_{B_R} h(g(t)) dw(t) = \int_Y h d\mu$, where w is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d , B_R , $R > 0$, is the ball $\{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : |t| \leq R\}$, and μ is the Haar measure on Y . We would like to have a stronger result which states that g is not only uniformly distributed, but is well distributed in Y . A discrete analogue of this fact, which we will presently formulate, was obtained in [L2] and [L4], but before formulating it we need to introduce some terminology. We call a finite disjoint union of connected subnilmanifolds of X a *FU subnilmanifold*. We say that an element ω' of X is *rational* with respect to an element $\omega \in X$ if $\omega' = a\omega$ for some $a \in G$ such that $a^m\omega = \omega$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a subnilmanifold Y of X is *rational with respect to ω* if Y contains an element ω' rational with respect to ω . (Then such elements ω' are dense in Y .) Finally, we say that a FU subnilmanifold of X is rational with respect to ω if all connected components of Y are subnilmanifolds rational with respect to ω .

Proposition 7.6. (See [L2] and [L4].) *Let g be a d -parameter polynomial sequence in X , that is, $g(n) = \overline{a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_k^{p_k(n)} \omega}$ where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$, p_1, \dots, p_k are polynomials $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\omega \in X$. Then the closure $Y = \overline{\{g(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}}$ of g is a FU subnilmanifold of X rational with respect to the point $g(0)$. If Y is connected, then the sequence $g(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is well distributed in Y (with respect to the Haar measure on Y).*

We may now use Theorem 5.15 or Theorem 5.14 to deduce from Proposition 7.6 its continuous analogue. We will also need the following fact:

Proposition 7.7. ([L5], Theorem 2.1) *Let M be a set and let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \times M \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for every $m \in M$, $\varphi(\cdot, m)$ is a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$, and there exists $\omega \in X$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the set $Y_t = \overline{\varphi(t, M)}$ is a FU subnilmanifold of X rational with respect to ω . Then there exists a FU subnilmanifold Y of X such that $Y_t \subseteq Y$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y_t = Y$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Now let $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ be a polynomial mapping. By Proposition 7.6, the mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow X$ defined by $\varphi(t, n) = g(nt)$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 7.7 (with $\omega = g(0)$), thus there exists a FU subnilmanifold Y such that $\overline{\{g(nt), n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}} \subseteq Y$ for all t and $= Y$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. But then $Y = \overline{\{g(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}}$, and so Y is a connected subnilmanifold; by the second part of Proposition 7.6, the sequence $g(nt)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is well distributed in Y for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Applying Proposition 7.5, we get:

Theorem 7.8. *Let X be a compact nilmanifold and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ be a polynomial mapping. Then $Y = \overline{\{g(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}}$ is a connected subnilmanifold of X and $g(t)$ is well distributed in Y (with respect to the Haar measure in Y).*

Remark. If we were only interested in proving the well distribution of g in a subnilmanifold Y , we could avoid the usage of Proposition 7.7; we need it to show that $g(t) \in Y$ for all t .

7.3. Convergence of multiple averages

Combining Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.8, we can now get the following theorem:

Theorem 7.9. *Let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous 1-parameter group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X , and let p_1, \dots, p_r be polynomials $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d the limit*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} T^{p_1(x)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{p_r(x)} f_r dx$$

exists in L^1 -norm.

(In [P] a version of Theorem 7.9 was obtained for “standard” Cesàro averages (that is, for the case $\Phi_N = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, b_{i,N}]$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with $b_{i,N} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$). In [Au2], a multidimensional (that is, for $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$ with $c \geq 1$) version of this result was obtained, again, for the standard Cesàro averages.)

Proof. We may assume that T is ergodic. Applying Theorem 7.3 we can then replace (X, T) by a nilmanifold $Z_k(T)$. Now, given the functions $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, we can approximate them in L^1 -norm by functions that come from a factor Y of $Z_k(T)$ which is a nilmanifold, and replace $Z_k(T)$ by Y and T by a nilrotation a on it. Next, we note that it is enough to assume that f_1, \dots, f_r are continuous functions on Y . Then an application of Theorem 7.8 to the polynomial flow $(a^{p_1(x)}y, \dots, a_r^{p_r(x)}y)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, on the nilmanifold Y^r and the function $f_1(y_1) \dots f_r(y_r) \in C(Y^r)$ proves that the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} f_1(a^{p_1(t)}y) \dots f_r(a^{p_r(t)}y) dt$ exists for all $y \in Y$, and so, in $L^1(Y)$. ■

Another way to prove Theorem 7.9 is to deduce it, with the help of either Theorem 5.14 or Theorem 5.15, from the following discrete-time theorem:

Theorem 7.10. ([J]) *For any totally ergodic discrete c -parameter commutative group T^m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^c$, of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X , any finite system of polynomials $p_i: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, and any Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in \mathbb{Z}^d , the limit*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} T^{p_1(n)} f_1 \dots T^{p_r(n)} f_r$$

exists in L^1 -norm.

Applying Theorem 5.15, we obtain from Theorem 7.10 the following refinement of Theorem 7.9:

Theorem 7.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 7.9,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} T^{p_1(x)} f_1 \dots T^{p_r(x)} f_r dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} T^{p_1(nt)} f_1 \dots T^{p_r(nt)} f_r$$

for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any Følner sequences (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d and (Ψ_N) in \mathbb{Z}^d .

As for the actions of several commuting operators, the following “linear” result has been recently obtained:

Theorem 7.12. ([Au1]; see also [Ho1]) *Let T_1, \dots, T_r be pairwise commuting measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X . Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ the limit*

$$\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n=a+1}^b T_1^n f_1 \dots T_r^n f_r$$

exists in L^1 -norm.

Applying either Theorem 5.15 we obtain:

Theorem 7.13. *Let T_1^t, \dots, T_r^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be pairwise commuting continuous 1-parameter groups of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X . Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ the limit*

$$\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b T_1^x f_1 \dots T_r^x f_r dx$$

exists in L^1 -norm, and equals $\lim_{b-a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{n=a+1}^b T_1^{nt} f_1 \dots T_r^{nt} f_r$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

7.4. The polynomial Szemerédi theorem

The “multiparameter multidimensional polynomial ergodic Szemerédi theorem” says:

Theorem 7.14. (See [BM] or [BLM].) *Let T^m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^c$, be a discrete c -parameter commutative group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) , let $p_i: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be a system of polynomials with $p_i(0) = 0$ for all i , and let $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(A) > 0$. Then for any Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in \mathbb{Z}^d ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} \mu(T^{p_1(n)}(A) \cap \dots \cap T^{p_r(n)}(A)) > 0.$$

Since the convergence of the averages $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Psi_N} \mu(T^{p_1(n)}(A) \cap \dots \cap T^{p_r(n)}(A))$ is unknown, we cannot apply Theorem 5.14 or Theorem 5.15 to get a continuous-time version of Theorem 7.14; however it can be obtained with the help of either Theorem 5.18 or Theorem 5.19:

Theorem 7.15. *Let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}^c$, be a c -parameter commutative group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) , let $p_i: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be a system of polynomials with $p_i(0) = 0$ for all i , and let $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(A) > 0$. Then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \mu(T^{p_1(x)}(A) \cap \dots \cap T^{p_r(x)}(A)) dx > 0.$$

A (d -parameter) *polynomial sequence* in a group G is a sequence of the form $g(n) = \prod_{j=1}^k v_j^{p_j(n)}$, where v_j are elements of G and p_j are integer-valued polynomials on \mathbb{Z}^d . Theorem 7.14 was extended in [L1] to the nilpotent set-up:

Theorem 7.16. *Let G be a nilpotent group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) , let $g_i: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be a system of d -parameter polynomial sequences in G with $g_i(0) = 1_G$ for all i , and let $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(A) > 0$. Then*

$$\liminf_{l(b-a) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(b-a)} \sum_{n>a}^b \mu((g_1(n))(A) \cap \dots \cap (g_r(n))(A)) > 0.$$

If G a connected nilpotent Lie group, then for any $v \in G$ there exists a one-parameter subgroup v^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of G such that $v^1 = v$; this allows one to define v^t for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us call a *polynomial mapping* $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow G$ a mapping of the form $g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^k v_j^{p_j(x)}$, where v_j are elements of G and p_j are polynomials on \mathbb{R}^d . Applying one of Theorems 5.18 or 5.19, we get the following ‘‘continuous-time nilpotent polynomial Szemerédi theorem’’:

Theorem 7.17. *Let G be a nilpotent Lie group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) , let $g_i: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow G$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be a system of polynomial mappings with $g_i(0) = 1_G$ for all i , and let $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(A) > 0$. Then for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} \mu((g_1(x))(A) \cap \dots \cap (g_r(x))(A)) dx > 0.$$

7.5. Distribution of values of generalized polynomials

Another application of Theorem 7.8 is a sharpening of the results from [BL] about the distribution of values of bounded generalized polynomials. Recall that a generalized polynomial is a function from \mathbb{R}^d or from \mathbb{Z}^d to \mathbb{R} that is constructed from conventional polynomials by applying the operations of addition, multiplication, and taking the integer part. We call a function $u: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$ a *generalized polynomial mapping* if all components of u are generalized polynomials. Under a *piecewise polynomial surface* $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^c$ we understand the image $\mathcal{S} = S(Q)$ of the cube $Q = [0, 1]^s$ where S is a *piecewise polynomial mapping*, which means that Q can be partitioned into a finite union $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^t Q_i$ of subsets so that for each i , Q_i is defined by a system of polynomial inequalities and $S|_{Q_i}$ is a polynomial mapping. We endow \mathcal{S} with the measure $\mu_{\mathcal{S}} = S_*(w)$, the push-forward of the standard Lebesgue measure w on Q . In [BL], the following theorem was proved:

Theorem 7.18. ([BL]) *Let $u: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$ be a bounded generalized polynomial mapping. Then the sequence $u(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is well distributed with respect to μ_S on a piecewise polynomial surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^c$.*

(Note that it is not claimed in this theorem that $u(n) \in S$ for all n ; it follows however that the set $\{n : u(n) \notin S\}$ has zero uniform density in \mathbb{Z}^d .)

Applying Proposition 7.5, we may now obtain the \mathbb{R} -version of Theorem 7.18:

Theorem 7.19. *Any bounded generalized polynomial mapping $u: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$ is well distributed on a piecewise polynomial surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^c$.*

An application of the spectral theorem gives, as a corollary, the following proposition:

Proposition 7.20. *Let U^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}^c$, be a continuous c -parameter group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let $u: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$ be a generalized polynomial mapping. Then for any $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in \mathbb{R}^d , the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{w(\Phi_N)} \int_{\Phi_N} U^{g(x)} v \, dx$ exists.*

7.6. Ergodic theorems along functions from Hardy fields

We will now deal with a situation where our “uniform Cesàro theorems” are not applicable, but the “standard Cesàro” Theorem 3.1 is; namely, we will deal with multiple ergodic averages along (not necessarily) polynomial functions of polynomial growth. Such averages for functions of integer argument were considered in [BK] and [F].

To state the results obtained in [BK] we first need to introduce some notation:

\mathcal{T} is the set of real-valued C^∞ functions g defined on intervals $[a, \infty)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, such that a finite $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xg^{(j+1)}(x)/g^{(j)}(x)$ exists for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exists an integer $i \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (i, i+1]$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xg^{(i)}(x)/g(x) = \alpha$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(i+1)}(x) = 0$;

\mathcal{P} is the set of real-valued C^∞ functions g defined on intervals $[a, \infty)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for some integer $i \geq 0$ a finite nonzero $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(i+1)}(x)$ exists and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^j g^{(i+j+1)}(x) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$;

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{P};$$

\mathcal{L} is the Hardy field of logarithmico-exponential functions, that is, the minimal field of real-valued functions defined on intervals $[a, \infty)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, that contains polynomials and is closed under the operations of taking exponent and logarithm-of-modulus;

for $\alpha > 0$, $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ is the set of functions $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xg'(x)/g(x) = \alpha$, $\mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ is the set of functions $g \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xg^{(i)}(x)/g(x) = \alpha$, and for any $G \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $G(\alpha) = G \cap \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$;

a finite family $G \subset \mathcal{G}$ with $g_1 - g_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ is said to have *R-property* if for any $\alpha > 0$, any $g_1, g_2 \in (G(\alpha) \cup (G(\alpha) - G(\alpha))) \setminus \{0\}$, any integer $l \geq 0$ and $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$ such that $g_1^{(l)}, g_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\beta)$, a finite nonzero $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_1^{(\beta+l+1)}(x)/g_2^{(\beta+1)}(x)$ exists.

The following theorem was proved in [BK]:

Theorem 7.21. ([BK]) *Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $g_i - g_j \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $i \neq j$, and also either $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}$ or the family $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ has the R-property. Then for any invertible weakly mixing transformation T of a probability measure space (X, μ) and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, the sequence $F_n = T^{[g_1(n)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(n)]} f_r$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, tends in density in L^1 -norm to $\prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i \, d\mu$.*

The statement “ F_n tends in density in L^1 -norm” means that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|T^{[g_1(n)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(n)]} f_r - \prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i \, d\mu\|_{L^1(X)} = 0$. From this and Theorem 3.1 we get that, under the assumptions of Theorem 7.21, $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b \|T^{[g_1(x)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(x)]} f_r \, dx - \prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i \, d\mu\|_{L^1(X)} \, dx = 0$, that is, the function $F_x = T^{[g_1(x)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(x)]} f_r$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, (whose range is in $L^1(X)$) tends in density in L^1 -norm to $\prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i \, d\mu$. Hence, we obtain:

Theorem 7.22. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $g_i - g_j \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $i \neq j$, and also either $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}$ or the family $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ has the R-property. Then for any invertible weakly mixing transformation T of a probability measure space (X, μ) and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, the function $F_x = T^{[g_1(x)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(x)]} f_r$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, tends in density in L^1 -norm to $\prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i \, d\mu$.*

Actually, one can eliminate the brackets appearing in the exponents in the expression for F_x . Indeed, put $G_x = T^{g_1(x)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{g_r(x)} f_r$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, and let $L = \prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i d\mu$. Assume that $\|f_i\| \leq 1$ for all i . Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ choose functions $g_{i,j} \in L^\infty(X)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, that form an ε -net in the (compact) set $\{T^t f_i, t \in [0, 1]\} \subset L^1(X)$. For any $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^r$ the function $(F_J)_x = T^{[g_1(x)]} f_{1,j_1} \dots T^{[g_r(x)]} f_{r,j_r}$ tends in density to L , and for any $x \in [0, \infty)$ there exists $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^r$ such that $\|T^{g_i(x)} f_i - T^{[g_i(x)]} f_{i,j_i}\| = \|T^{\{g_i(x)\}} f_i - f_{i,j_i}\| < \varepsilon$ for all i and so, $\|G_x - (F_J)_x\| < 2^{2r}\varepsilon$. This implies that $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|G_x - L\| < 2^{2r}\varepsilon$. Since this holds for any positive ε , we see that G_x also tends in density to L . So, we have the following result:

Theorem 7.23. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $g_i - g_j \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $i \neq j$, and also either $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}$ or the family $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ has the R-property. Then for any weakly mixing continuous 1-parameter group T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space (X, μ) and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, the function $G_x = T^{g_1(x)} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{g_r(x)} f_r$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, tends in density in L^1 -norm to $\prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i d\mu$.*

Another paper dealing with multiple-ergodic averages along non-polynomial functions of polynomial growth is [F]. Let \mathcal{H} denote the union of all Hardy fields of real-valued functions.

Theorem 7.24. ([F]) *Let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x)/x^j = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that one of the following is true: either $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g(x) - cp(x))/\log x = \infty$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$; or $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g(x) - cp(x)) = d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$; or $(g(x) - x/m)/\log x$ is bounded on $[2, \infty)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any invertible measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{[g(n)]} f_1 \cdot T^{2[g(n)]} f_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{r[g(n)]} f_r$ exists in $L^1(X)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$.*

Theorem 7.25. ([F]) *Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}$ be logarithmico-exponential functions satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(x)/x^{k_i+1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^{k_i+\varepsilon_i}/g_i(x) = 0$ for some integer $k_i \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(x)/g_j(x) = 0$ or ∞ for any $i \neq j$. Then for any invertible ergodic measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{[g_1(n)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(n)]} f_r = \prod_{i=1}^r \int_X f_i d\mu$ in $L^1(X)$ for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$.*

From this and Theorem 3.1 we get

Theorem 7.26. *Let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x)/x^j = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that one of the following is true: either $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g(x) - cp(x))/\log x = \infty$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$; or $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g(x) - cp(x)) = d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$; or $(g(x) - x/m)/\log x$ is bounded on $[2, \infty)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any invertible measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^{[g(x)]} f_1 \cdot T^{2[g(x)]} f_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{r[g(x)]} f_r dx$ exists in $L^1(X)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$.*

Theorem 7.27. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathcal{L}$ be logarithmico-exponential functions satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(x)/x^{k_i+1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^{k_i+\varepsilon_i}/g_i(x) = 0$ for some integer $k_i \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(x)/g_j(x) = 0$ or ∞ for any $i \neq j$. Then for any invertible ergodic measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^{[g_1(x)]} f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{[g_r(x)]} f_r dx = \prod_{i=1}^r \int_X f_i d\mu$ in $L^1(X)$ for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$.*

7.7. Pointwise ergodic theorems

Here are two theorems of Bourgain dealing with the pointwise convergence:

Theorem 7.28. ([Bo1]) *Let T be a measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X . Then for any $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(X)$, the sequence $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f_1 \cdot T^{2n} f_2$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, converges a.e..*

Theorem 7.29. ([Bo2]) *Let T_1, \dots, T_r be commuting invertible measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X . Then for any $f \in L^2(X)$ and any polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_r: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\prod_{i=1}^r T_i^{p_i(n)}) f$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, converges a.e..*

We now have:

Theorem 7.30. *Let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous action of the semigroup $[0, \infty)$ by measure preserving transformations on a probability measure space X . Then for any $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(X)$, $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^t f_1 \cdot T^{2t} f_2 dt$ exists a.e..*

Proof. By Theorem 7.28, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{nt} f_1(\omega) \cdot T^{2nt} f_2(\omega)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, converges for a.e. $\omega \in X$; let $S_t \subset X$ be the set of points ω for which this is not so. Then $\{(t, \omega) : \omega \in S_t\}$ is a null-subset of $\mathbb{R} \times X$, thus for a.e. $\omega \in X$, the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{nt} f_1(\omega) \cdot T^{2nt} f_2(\omega)$ exists for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By (the scalar version of) Theorem 3.1, the limit $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^t f_1(\omega) \cdot T^{2t} f_2(\omega) dt$ exists for a.e. $\omega \in X$. ■

In the same way, from Theorem 7.29 we get:

Theorem 7.31. *Let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}^c$, be a continuous c -parameter group of measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space X . Then for any $f \in L^2(X)$ and any polynomial $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^c$, $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^{p(t)} f dt$ exists a.e..*

Here are two more pointwise theorems, established by Assani:

Theorem 7.32. ([A]) *Let T be a weakly mixing measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , let (P, S) be the Pinsker factor of (X, T) , and assume that the spectrum of S is singular. Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, the sequence $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{rn} f_r$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to $\prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i d\mu$ a.e. on X .*

Theorem 7.33. ([A]) *Let T be a weakly mixing measure preserving transformation of a probability measure space X , let (P, S) be the Pinsker factor of (X, T) , let $L \subseteq L^2(P)$ be the space of functions on P whose spectral measure under the action of S is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. For any function $f \in L^2(X)$ let \hat{f} denote the projection of $E(f|P)$ to L . Then for any $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L^\infty(X)$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f_1 \cdot T^{2n} f_2 \cdot T^{3n} f_3 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n \hat{f}_1 \cdot T^{2n} \hat{f}_2 \cdot T^{3n} \hat{f}_3 \right) = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Let T^t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous action of \mathbb{R} by measure preserving transformations on a measure space X . Then, with the help of either Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 3.1, repeating (the first two phrases from) the proof of Theorem 7.30, and taking into account that (i) if T is weakly mixing then T^t is weakly mixing for all $t \neq 0$; (ii) the Pinsker algebra of T is the Pinsker algebra of T^t for all $t \neq 0$; and (iii) if the spectrum of T is singular (respectively, absolutely continuous), then the spectrum of T^t is singular (respectively, absolutely continuous) for all $t \neq 0$; we obtain:

Theorem 7.34. *Let T be a continuous action of \mathbb{R} on a probability measure space X by weakly mixing measure preserving transformations, let (P, S) be the Pinsker factor of (X, T) , and assume that the spectrum of S is singular. Then for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ one has $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^t f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T^{rt} f_r dt = \prod_{i=1}^r \int f_i d\mu$ a.e..*

Theorem 7.35. *Let T be a continuous action of \mathbb{R} on a probability measure space X by weakly mixing measure preserving transformations let (P, S) be the Pinsker factor of (X, T) , let $L \subseteq L^2(P)$ be the space of functions on P whose spectral measure under the action of S is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. For any function $f \in L^2(X)$ let \hat{f} denote the projection of $E(f|P)$ to L . Then for any $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L^\infty(X)$,*

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^t f_1 \cdot T^{2t} f_2 \cdot T^{3t} f_3 dt - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T^t \hat{f}_1 \cdot T^{2t} \hat{f}_2 \cdot T^{3t} \hat{f}_3 dt \right) = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Bibliography

- [A] I. Assani, Multiple recurrence and almost sure convergence for weakly mixing dynamical systems, *Israel Journal of Math* **163** (1998), 111-124.
- [Au1] T. Austin, On the norm convergence of nonconventional ergodic averages, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **30** (2010), no. 2, 321-338.

- [Au2] T. Austin, Norm convergence of continuous-time polynomial multiple ergodic averages, preprint.
- [BK] V. Bergelson and I. Håland Knutson, Weak mixing implies weak mixing of higher orders along tempered functions, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **29** (2009), 1375-1416.
- [BL] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman, Distribution of values of bounded generalized polynomials, *Acta Mathematica* **198** (2007), 155-230.
- [BLM] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, and R. McCutcheon, Polynomial Szemerédi theorem for countable modules over integral domains and finite fields, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* **95** (2005), 243-296.
- [BLLe] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, and E. Lesigne, Intersective polynomials and the polynomial Szemerédi theorem, *Advances in Mathematics* **219** (2008), no. 1, 369-388.
- [BM] V. Bergelson and R. McCutcheon, An ergodic IP polynomial Szemerédi theorem, *Memoirs of AMS* **146** (2000), viii+106pp.
- [BiKo] G.D. Birkhoff and B.O. Koopman, Recent contributions to the ergodic theory, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **18** (1932), 279-282.
- [Bo1] J. Bourgain, Double recurrence and almost sure convergence, *Journal für die Reine Angewandte Mathematik* **404** (1990), 140-161.
- [Bo2] J. Bourgain, On the maximal ergodic theorem for certain subsets of the integers, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **61** (1988), no. 1, 39-72.
- [F] N. Frantzikinakis, Multiple recurrence and convergence for Hardy sequences of polynomial growth, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* **112** (2010), 79-135.
- [FK] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Kra, Convergence of multiple ergodic averages for some commuting transformations, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **25** (2005), no. 3, 799-809.
- [H] E. Hopf, *Ergodentheorie*, Springer, Berlin, 1937 Multiple recurrence theorem for measure preserving actions of a nilpotent group, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **8** (1998), 853-931.
- [Ho1] B. Host, Ergodic seminorms for commuting transformations and applications, *Studia Mathematica* **195** (2009), 31-49.
- [HoK1] B. Host and B. Kra, Non-conventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds, *Annals of Mathematics* **161** (2005), no. 1, 397-488.
- [HoK2] B. Host and B. Kra, Convergence of polynomial ergodic averages, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **149** (2005), 1-19.
- [J] M. Johnson, Convergence of polynomial ergodic averages of several variables for some commuting transformations, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **53** (2009), no. 3, 865-882.
- [Ko] A.N. Kolmogorov, A simplified proof of the Birkhoff-Khinchin ergodic theorem, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* (1938), no. 5, 52-56.
- [L1] A. Leibman, Multiple recurrence theorem for measure preserving actions of a nilpotent group, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **8** (1998), 853-931.
- [L2] A. Leibman, Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial actions of \mathbb{Z}^d by translations on a nilmanifold, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **25** (2005), 215-225.
- [L3] A. Leibman, Convergence of multiple ergodic averages along polynomials of several variables, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **146** (2005), 303-322.
- [L4] A. Leibman, Rational sub-nilmanifolds of a compact nilmanifold, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **26** (2006), 787-798.
- [L5] A. Leibman, Orbits on a nilmanifold under the action of a polynomial sequences of translations, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **27** (2007), 1239-1252.
- [vN] J. von Neumann, Physical applications of the ergodic hypothesis, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **18** (1932), no. 3 263-266.
- [P] A. Potts, Multiple ergodic averages for flows and an application, preprint. (arXiv:0910.3687)
- [Sh] N. Shah, Limit distributions of polynomial trajectories on homogeneous spaces, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **75** (1994), no. 3, 711-732.
- [Z] T. Ziegler, Universal characteristic factors and Furstenberg averages, *Journal of AMS* **20** (2007), no. 1,

53-97.