

Specific heat anomalies of small quantum systems subjected to finite baths

Hideo Hasegawa*

Department of Physics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan

(Dated: October 3, 2018)

Abstract

We have studied the specific heat of the $(N_S + N_B)$ model for an N_S -body harmonic oscillator (HO) system which is strongly coupled to an N_B -body HO bath without dissipation. The system specific heat of $C_S(T)$ becomes $N_S k_B$ at $T \rightarrow \infty$ and vanishes at $T = 0$ in accordance with the third law of thermodynamics. The calculated $C_S(T)$ at low temperatures is not proportional to N_S and shows an anomalous temperature dependence, strongly depending on N_S , N_B and the system-bath coupling. In particular at very low (but finite) temperatures, it may become *negative* for a strong system-bath coupling, which is in contrast with *non-negative* specific heat of an HO system with $N_S = 1$ reported by G-L. Ingold, P. Hänggi and P. Talkner [Phys. Rev. E **79**, 061105 (2005)]. Our calculation indicates an importance of taking account of finite N_S in studying open quantum systems which may include an arbitrary number of particles in general.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 05.70.-a, 65.40.Ba, 65.80.+n

*hideohasegawa@goo.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in physical properties of small-scale systems, which are prepared by advanced new techniques [1]. Stimulated by this development, a study on small-scale systems which is one of important areas in classical and quantum statistics, has been extensively made [2]. Theoretical studies on open systems have been made with the use of the Caldeira-Leggett (CL) type models [3–7], in which a single particle is assumed to be subjected to a bath consisting of uncoupled harmonic oscillators (HOs). The CL model was originally proposed for an infinite bath ($N_B \rightarrow \infty$). Recent studies, however, have employed the CL model with finite N_B for studies of properties of small system coupled to finite bath [8–10]. Refs. [8, 9] have studied thermalization of a particle (the system) coupled to a finite bath, showing that a complete thermalization of the particle requires some conditions for relative ranges of oscillating frequencies in the system and bath. The energy exchange between particles in a ratchet potential (the system) and finite bath ($N_B = 1 - 500$) has been investigated [10].

In CL-type models having been proposed for open systems [3–6], there are two issues when they are applied to realistic open systems: (a) the number of particles in a system is taken to be unity ($N_S = 1$) and (b) a system-bath coupling is assumed to be weak although the overall damping can be strong. As for the issue (a), a number of particles in a system is required to be finite since a generic open system may contain any number of particles. CL-type models with $N_S = 2$ have been investigated in Refs. [11, 12]. In our previous paper [13], we proposed the $(N_S + N_B)$ model in which a finite N_S -body system ($N_S \geq 1$) is coupled to finite N_B -body bath. It has been shown that calculated energy distributions of a system show intrigue properties as functions of N_S , N_B and a system-bath coupling [13].

As for the issue (b), Refs. [14, 15] have pointed out ambiguities in defining physical quantities such as energy and specific heat when a system-bath coupling is *not* weak. Two different routes toward the evaluating of a system energy have been proposed for a system-plus-bath [14, 15]. The first route is based on the system partition function as given by a ratio between the total and bath partition functions [Eq. (45)], which is traditionally identified as the partition function of an open system [16]. The second route is based on the expectation value of the system Hamiltonian averaged over the total Hamiltonian. In the limit of vanishing or weak interaction, the two definitions yield the same results. It

is, however, not the case in general when the interaction is not weak (finite). The specific heat of the system of a single free particle coupled to a bath described by the Drude model has been studied with the use of the two evaluation methods [14, 15, 17]. Specific heats obtained by the two routes are different not only at low temperatures but also in the leading high-temperature correction terms [14, 15]. In particular, the specific heat in the first route is negative at low temperature while that in the second route is not. In contrast, similar calculations for a single HO system subjected to a single bath oscillator or Drude bath have reported that the first route does not yield a negative specific heat [17]. The difference between negative specific heat in a free particle system and non-negative one in an HO system within the first route is attributed to the fact that the degree of freedom in the former is smaller than that of the latter [17]. The obtained negative specific heat is not unphysical because the system specific heat calculated by the first route should be interpreted as a change in the specific heat of the environment when a system degree of freedom is attached [14, 15, 17]. We should note that studies of Refs. [14, 15, 17] have been made for the CL model with $N_S = 1$. It is not clear whether conclusions obtained in Refs. [14, 15, 17] are valid in a general case, for example, for finite $N_S (> 1)$.

It is interesting to study thermodynamical properties of the $(N_S + N_B)$ model proposed in Ref. [13], which is worthwhile for us to get some insight to the issues (a) and (b) and which is the purpose of the present paper. In conventional studies, deterministic dynamics of particles in a system-plus-bath is replaced by the stochastic Langevin equation. By using the alternative method in this study, we will evaluate eigenfrequencies of the $(N_S + N_B)$ model where the system is not dissipative for finite N_B [18]. The energy and specific heat have been calculated with the use of the first route for evaluating energy mentioned above.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly explain the $(N_S + N_B)$ model for coupled HO system subjected to uncoupled HO bath [13], emphasizing a difficulty in solving the N_S -coupled quantum Langevin equations. With the use of canonical transformation, we derive the feasible quantum Langevin equation, with which the expression for eigenfrequencies of the model is obtained. Eigenfrequencies are analytically evaluated for a bath described by the identical-frequency model ($\omega_n = \omega_0$ for $n = 1$ to N_B) [19]. Thermodynamical quantities are expressed in terms of obtained eigenfrequencies. We have made numerical calculations of temperature dependences of the energy and specific heat, changing parameters of N_S , N_B and a system-bath coupling. An origin of the negative specific heat at

low temperatures is illustrated. In Sec. III we study similar two models for uncoupled HO system subjected to uncoupled HO bath (Sec. IIIA) and for coupled HO system subjected to coupled HO bath (Sec. IIIB). The final Sec. IV is devoted to our conclusion.

II. ADOPTED ($N_S + N_B$) MODEL

A. Coupled quantum Langevin equations

We consider the ($N_S + N_B$) model in which the a one-dimensional N_S -body system (H_S) is subjected to an N_B -body bath (H_B) by the interaction (H_I) [13]. The total Hamiltonian is assumed to be given by

$$H = H_S + H_B + H_I, \quad (1)$$

with

$$H_S = \sum_{k=1}^{N_S} \left[\frac{P_k^2}{2M} + \frac{DQ_k^2}{2} + \frac{K}{2}(Q_k - Q_{k+1})^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

$$H_B = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{p_n^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_n^2 q_n^2}{2} \right), \quad (3)$$

$$H_I = \sum_{k=1}^{N_S} \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \frac{c_{kn}}{2} (Q_k - q_n)^2, \quad (4)$$

where P_k (p_n) and Q_k (q_n) express the momentum and position operators, respectively, of an HO with mass M (m) in the system (bath), D and K denote force constants in the system, and c_{kn} is a system-bath coupling. The system is subjected to a bath given by Eq. (3) consisting of a collection of uncoupled HOs with oscillator frequencies of $\{\omega_n\}$. Operators satisfy commutation relations,

$$[Q_k, P_\ell] = i\hbar\delta_{k\ell}, \quad [q_n, p_m] = i\hbar\delta_{nm}, \quad [Q_k, Q_\ell] = [P_k, P_\ell] = [q_n, q_m] = [p_n, p_m] = 0. \quad (5)$$

In a general case of $D \neq 0$ and $K \neq 0$, Eq. (2) expresses the system including coupled HOs. In the limit of $D \neq 0$ and $K = 0$, the system consists of a collection of uncoupled (independent) HOs.

In conventional approaches to the system-plus-bath model, we derive the quantum Langevin equation, employing the Heisenberg equation,

$$i\hbar\dot{O} = [O, H], \quad (6)$$

where O stands for an arbitrary operator and a dot denotes a derivative with respect to time. Equations of motion for Q_k and q_n are given by

$$M\ddot{Q}_k = -DQ_k - K(2Q_k - Q_{k-1} - Q_{k+1}) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_{kn}(Q_k - q_n), \quad (7)$$

$$m\ddot{q}_n = -m\tilde{\omega}_n^2 q_n + \sum_{k=1}^{N_S} c_{kn}Q_k, \quad (8)$$

with

$$m\tilde{\omega}_n^2 = m\omega_n^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N_S} c_{kn}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting a formal solution of $q_n(t)$ into Eq. (7), we obtain the quantum Langevin equations given by [13]

$$\begin{aligned} M\ddot{Q}_k(t) &= -DQ_k(t) - K[2Q_k(t) - Q_{k-1}(t) - Q_{k+1}(t)] - M \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_S} \xi_{k\ell} Q_\ell(t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_S} \int_0^t \gamma_{k\ell}(t-t') \dot{Q}_\ell(t') dt' - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N_S} \gamma_{k\ell} Q_\ell(0) + \zeta_k(t) \quad \text{for } k = 1 \text{ to } N_S, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$M\xi_{k\ell} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(c_{kn}\delta_{k\ell} - \frac{c_{kn}c_{\ell n}}{m\tilde{\omega}_n^2} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma_{k\ell}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{c_{kn}c_{\ell n}}{m\tilde{\omega}_n^2} \right) \cos \tilde{\omega}_n t, \quad (12)$$

$$\zeta_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_{kn} \left(q_n(0) \cos \tilde{\omega}_n t + \frac{\dot{q}_n(0)}{\tilde{\omega}_n} \sin \tilde{\omega}_n t \right). \quad (13)$$

Here $\xi_{k\ell}$ denotes the additional interaction between k and ℓ th particles in the system induced by couplings $\{c_{kn}\}$, $\gamma_{k\ell}(t)$ stands for the memory kernel and ζ_k is the stochastic force. By using averages in initial values of $q_n(0)$ and $\dot{q}_n(0)$,

$$\langle m\tilde{\omega}_n^2 q_n(0)^2 \rangle_B = m \langle \dot{q}_n(0)^2 \rangle_B = \left(\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}_n}{2} \right) \coth \left(\frac{\beta\hbar\tilde{\omega}_n}{2} \right), \quad (14)$$

we obtain the fluctuation-dissipation relation,

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \zeta_k(t)\zeta_\ell(t') + \zeta_\ell(t')\zeta_k(t) \rangle_B = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{c_{kn}c_{\ell n}}{m\tilde{\omega}_n^2} \right) \left(\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}_n}{2} \right) \coth \left(\frac{\beta\hbar\tilde{\omega}_n}{2} \right) \cos \tilde{\omega}_n(t-t'), \quad (15)$$

$$\rightarrow k_B T \gamma_{k\ell}(t-t') \quad \text{for } \beta \rightarrow 0, \quad (16)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_B$ signifies the average over initial states of the bath. In the case of $N_S = 1$, ξ_{kk} in Eq. (11) expressing a shift of oscillator frequency due to an introduced coupling, vanishes if we adopt $c_n = m\tilde{\omega}_n^2$ [4, 5]. In the case of $N_S \neq 1$, however, it is impossible to choose $\{c_{kn}\}$ such as $\xi_{k\ell} = 0$ for all pairs of (k, ℓ) , then Q_k is inevitably coupled with Q_ℓ ($\ell \neq k$). Because of these couplings between HOs, the N_S -body system cannot be simply regarded as a sum of systems with $N_S = 1$. Although the quantum Langevin equations given by Eqs. (10)-(13) are formally exact, it is difficult to solve them because they are given by N_S -coupled integrodifferential equations.

B. Quantum Langevin equation with canonical transformation

It is possible to derive the quantum Langevin equation which has a simpler structure than that given by Eq. (10)-(13). We assume that N_S is even without a loss of generality. Imposing a periodic boundary condition,

$$Q_{N_S+k} = Q_k, \quad P_{N_S+k} = P_k, \quad (17)$$

we employ the canonical transformation [20],

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_S}} \sum_{s=-N_S/2}^{N_S/2-1} e^{i(2\pi ks/N_S)} \tilde{Q}_s, \quad (18)$$

$$P_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_S}} \sum_{s=-N_S/2}^{N_S/2-1} e^{i(2\pi ks/N_S)} \tilde{P}_s. \quad (19)$$

Note that the boundary condition is satisfied in Eqs. (18) and (19) and that the set $\{(1/\sqrt{N_S}) e^{i(2\pi k/N_S)s}\}$ is orthogonal and complete in a periodic domain of the oscillator label k [20]. With the canonical transformation given by Eqs.(18) and (19), H_S in Eq. (2) becomes

$$H_S = \sum_{s=-N_S/2}^{N_S/2-1} \left[\frac{\tilde{P}_s^* \tilde{P}_s}{2M} + \frac{M\Omega_s^2 \tilde{Q}_s^* \tilde{Q}_s}{2} \right], \quad (20)$$

with

$$M\Omega_s^2 = D + 4K \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi s}{N_S} \right) \quad \text{for } s = -\frac{N_S}{2}, -\frac{N_S}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N_S}{2} - 1, \quad (21)$$

where the commutation relations:

$$[\tilde{Q}_s, \tilde{P}_{s'}^*] = i\hbar\delta_{ss'}, \quad [\tilde{Q}_s, \tilde{Q}_{s'}^*] = [\tilde{P}_s, \tilde{P}_{s'}^*] = 0, \quad (22)$$

hold with $\tilde{Q}_s^* = \tilde{Q}_{-s}$ and $\tilde{P}_s^* = \tilde{P}_{-s}$.

The canonical transformation given by Eqs.(18) and (19) with an assumption,

$$c_{kn} = c_n, \quad (23)$$

leads to H_I given by

$$H_I = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{c_n}{2}\right) \sum_{s=-N_S/2}^{N_S/2-1} \tilde{Q}_s^* \tilde{Q}_s + \frac{N_S}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_n q_n^2 - \sqrt{N_S} \tilde{Q}_0 \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_n q_n. \quad (24)$$

From Eqs. (3), (20) and (24), we may derive equations of motion for \tilde{Q}_s and q_n ,

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_s = -M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 \tilde{Q}_s \quad \text{for } s \neq 0, \quad (25)$$

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_0 = -M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 \tilde{Q}_0 + \sqrt{N_S} \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_n q_n \quad \text{for } s = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$m\ddot{q}_n = -m\tilde{\omega}_n^2 q_n + \sqrt{N_S} c_n \tilde{Q}_0, \quad (27)$$

with

$$M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 = M\Omega_s^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_n \quad \text{for } s = -\frac{N_S}{2}, -\frac{N_S}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N_S}{2} - 1, \quad (28)$$

$$m\tilde{\omega}_n^2 = m\omega_n^2 + N_S c_n, \quad (29)$$

which show that effective frequencies of $\tilde{\Omega}_s$ and $\tilde{\omega}_r$ are increased with increasing the system-bath coupling c_n .

Substituting a formal solution of $q_n(t)$ of Eq. (27) into Eq. (26), we obtain

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_0(t) = -\left[M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \gamma(0)\right] \tilde{Q}_0(t) - \int_0^t \gamma(t-t') \dot{\tilde{Q}}_0(t') dt' + \zeta(t) - \gamma(t) \tilde{Q}_0(0), \quad (30)$$

where

$$\gamma(t-t') = N_S \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{c_n^2}{m\tilde{\omega}_n^2}\right) \cos \tilde{\omega}_n(t-t'), \quad (31)$$

$$\zeta(t) = \sqrt{N_S} \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} c_n \left[q_n(0) \cos \tilde{\omega}_n t + \frac{\dot{q}_n(0)}{\tilde{\omega}_n} \sin \tilde{\omega}_n t \right]. \quad (32)$$

Equations (30)-(32) express the desired quantum Langevin equation for \tilde{Q}_0 , an $s = 0$ component of \tilde{Q}_s , which has simpler structure than those given by Eq. (10)-(13). Note that solutions of \tilde{Q}_s with $s \neq 0$ are obtained from Eq. (25). Once \tilde{Q}_s for all s are obtained, Q_k is obtainable with the use of the canonical transformation given by Eq. (18).

We note that the quantum Langevin equation given by Eq. (30) is similar to that in the conventional CL-type model with $N_S = 1$ where q_n couples to a single particle in the system. We may employ various methods such as the quantum Langevin and master equations, which have been widely adopted for the CL-type model. In the case of $N_B \rightarrow \infty$, a sum in the kernel given by Eq. (31) is converted into the integral and the kernel $\gamma(t)$ may be approximated by the Drude or Ohmic one.

C. Eigenfrequencies of small open systems

In the present study, we pay our attention to small systems subjected to non-dissipative finite bath [18]. Equation (30)-(32) may be rewritten as

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_0(t) = -M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2\tilde{Q}_0(t) + \int_0^t \mu(t-t')\tilde{Q}_0(t') dt' + \zeta(t), \quad (33)$$

with

$$\mu(t-t') = N_S \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{c_n^2}{m\tilde{\omega}_n} \right) \sin \tilde{\omega}_n(t-t') = \frac{d}{dt'} \gamma(t-t'), \quad (34)$$

which are more tractable than Eqs. (30)-(32) for finite non-dissipative baths. Applying the Laplace transformation to Eqs. (33) and (34),

$$\hat{Q}_0(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} \tilde{Q}_0(t) dt, \quad (35)$$

$$\hat{q}_n(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} q_n(t) dt, \quad (36)$$

we obtain

$$\hat{Q}_0(z) = \hat{G}(z) \left[\dot{\tilde{Q}}_0(0) + z\tilde{Q}_0(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \frac{\sqrt{N_S} c_n}{M} \left(\frac{\dot{q}_n(0) + zq_n(0)}{z^2 + \tilde{\omega}_n^2} \right) \right], \quad (37)$$

with

$$\hat{G}(z) = \left[z^2 + \tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - N_S \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \frac{c_n^2}{mM(z^2 + \tilde{\omega}_n^2)} \right]^{-1}. \quad (38)$$

We note in Eqs. (25)-(27) that \tilde{Q}_s for $s \neq 0$ are decoupled from the rest of variables, while \tilde{Q}_0 is coupled with q_n . $(N_S - 1)$ eigenfrequencies of $\tilde{Q}_s(t)$ are given by $\tilde{\Omega}_s$ in Eq. (25). Remaining $(N_B + 1)$ eigenfrequencies are given by roots of $\hat{G}(-i\nu)^{-1} = 0$,

$$\nu^2 - \tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - N_S \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \frac{c_n^2}{mM(\nu^2 - \tilde{\omega}_n^2)} = 0. \quad (39)$$

Alternatively, we may obtain $(N_B + 1)$ eigenfrequencies as follows: Calculating the determinant derived from Eqs. (26) and (27) which is expressed in a basis of $(s = 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$,

$$\begin{vmatrix} M(\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \nu^2) & -c_1\sqrt{N_S} & -c_2\sqrt{N_S} & -c_3\sqrt{N_S} & \dots \\ -c_1\sqrt{N_S} & m(\tilde{\omega}_1^2 - \nu^2) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -c_2\sqrt{N_S} & 0 & m(\tilde{\omega}_2^2 - \nu^2) & 0 & \dots \\ -c_3\sqrt{N_S} & 0 & 0 & m(\tilde{\omega}_3^2 - \nu^2) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

and using a sweeping method to make a triangle determinant, we obtain an equation for eigenfrequencies,

$$\left[M(\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \nu^2) - \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \frac{c_n^2 N_S}{m(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 - \nu^2)} \right] \prod_{n=1}^{N_B} m(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 - \nu^2) = 0, \quad (40)$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (39).

It is difficult to analytically solve Eq. (39) or (40) in a general case of $\{\omega_n\}$, which requires numerical methods. However, when we adopt the identical-frequency model for the bath [19],

$$\omega_n = \omega_0, \quad c_n = c \quad \text{for } n = 1 \text{ to } N_B, \quad (41)$$

we may easily obtain eigenfrequencies of ν_i ($i = 1$ to $N_S + N_B$),

i	1	\dots	$N_S/2 + 1$	\dots	N_S	$N_S + 1$	\dots	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$	\dots	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	$\tilde{\Omega}_{-N_S/2}^2$	\dots	ν_+^2	\dots	$\tilde{\Omega}_{N_S/2-1}^2$	$\tilde{\omega}_0^2$	\dots	ν_-^2	\dots	$\tilde{\omega}_0^2$

with

$$\nu_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 + \tilde{\omega}_0^2 \pm \sqrt{(\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \tilde{\omega}_0^2)^2 + \frac{4N_S N_B c^2}{Mm}} \right], \quad (42)$$

$$M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 = M\Omega_s^2 + N_B c, \quad (43)$$

$$m\tilde{\omega}_0^2 = m\omega_0^2 + N_S c, \quad (44)$$

where Ω_s is given by Eq. (21). It is easy to see that in the limit of no couplings ($c = 0$), eigenfrequencies are given by

i	1	\dots	$N_S/2 + 1$	\dots	N_S	$N_S + 1$	\dots	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$	\dots	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	$\Omega_{-N_S/2}^2$	\dots	Ω_0^2	\dots	$\Omega_{N_S/2-1}^2$	ω_0^2	\dots	ω_0^2	\dots	ω_0^2

In the case of $N_S = N_B = 1$ with $K = 0$, $D = f_S$, $\omega_0 = 0$ and $c = f_B$, Eq. (42) yields eigenfrequencies which are equivalent with those expressed by Eq. (17) of Ref. [17], related discussion being given in Appendix A. In a pedagogical case of $N_S = 2$ and $N_B = 1$, we may exactly solve a system-plus-bath, transforming original variables of Q_k and P_k ($k = 1, 2$) into center-of-mass and relative variables. Obtained eigenfrequencies agree with those given by Eq. (42) as is shown in Appendix A.

D. System partition function, energy and specific heat

It has been shown in the preceding subsection that the system-plus-bath may be effectively expressed as a collection of independent HOs with eigenfrequencies $\{\nu_i\}$. The system partition function is expressed in terms of eigenfrequencies by

$$Z_S = \frac{Z}{Z_B}, \quad (45)$$

with

$$Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \prod_{i=1}^{N_S+N_B} \left[\frac{1}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \nu_i / 2)} \right], \quad (46)$$

$$Z_B = \text{Tr}_B e^{-\beta H_B} = \prod_{j=1}^{N_B} \left[\frac{1}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \omega_j / 2)} \right], \quad (47)$$

where Tr and Tr_B denote a full trace over all variables and a partial trace over bath variables, respectively, and a bath frequency is given by $\omega_j = \omega_0$. The energy and specific heat of the system are given by

$$E_S = -\frac{\partial \ln Z_S}{\partial \beta}, \quad (48)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_S+N_B} \left(\frac{\hbar \nu_i}{2} \right) \coth \left(\frac{\beta \hbar \nu_i}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{N_B \hbar \omega_0}{2} \right) \coth \left(\frac{\beta \hbar \omega_0}{2} \right), \quad (49)$$

$$C_S = k_B \sum_{i=1}^{N_S+N_B} \left[\frac{\beta \hbar \nu_i}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \nu_i / 2)} \right]^2 - k_B N_B \left[\frac{\beta \hbar \omega_0}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \omega_0 / 2)} \right]^2, \quad (50)$$

$$\equiv C - C_B, \quad (51)$$

where the first term of C expresses the specific heat of a system-plus-bath and the second term of C_B denotes the specific heat of the bath alone. In the zero- and high-temperature

limits, E_S and C_S become

$$E_S = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N_S+N_B} \left(\frac{\hbar\nu_i}{2}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_j}{2}\right) & \text{for } k_B T = 0, \\ N_S k_B T & \text{for } k_B T \gg \hbar\nu_i, \hbar\omega_0, \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

$$C_S = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k_B T = 0, \\ N_S k_B & \text{for } k_B T \gg \hbar\nu_i, \hbar\omega_0. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

E. Model calculations for coupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths

We have made numerical calculations of E_S and C_S , by using Eqs. (49) and (50). The system-bath coupling c is assumed to be given by [13]

$$c = \frac{c_0}{N_S N_B}, \quad (54)$$

such that the interaction term in Eq. (4) including summations over $\sum_{k=1}^{N_S}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{N_B}$ yield finite contributions even in the limits of $N_S \rightarrow \infty$ and/or $N_B \rightarrow \infty$. Our $(N_S + N_B)$ model includes eight parameters: M , D , K , N_S , m , ω_0 , N_B and c_0 . Bearing in mind coupled HO system subjected to uncoupled HO bath, we have employed $K = 1.0$, $D = 0.0$, $m = 1.0$, $M = 1.0$ and $\omega_0 = 1.0$ otherwise noticed, related discussion being given in Sec. III. A unit of energy of the model is given by $\epsilon_0 = \hbar\omega_0$.

1. Isolated system and bath

First we show calculated results of isolated system and bath with $c_0 = 0.0$. Figure 1(a) shows E_B/N_B and E_S/N_S for $N_S = 4, 10, 100$ and 1000 . The bath energy is $E_B/N_B = \hbar\omega/2$ at $T = 0$ and gradually approaches $k_B T$ at high temperatures. The N_S dependence of the system energy E_S/N_S is not so evident except for very low temperature (below). Figure 1(b) shows $C_B/k_B N_B$ and $C_S/k_B N_S$ for various N_S . The bath specific heat $C_B/k_B N_B$ follows Einstein's formula showing exponential decrease at low temperatures. In contrast, the system specific heat becomes $C_S/k_B N_S = 1/N_S$ at $T \simeq 0.0$, although it reduces to zero at $T = 0$ as shown by Eq. (53a). This transition is more clearly seen when we introduce an infinitesimal coupling (Fig. 2). At high temperatures, $C_S/k_B N_S$ approaches unity as expected. In the intermediate temperature range, C_S nearly follows the linear T . The linear- T specific heat is examined in the inset of Fig. 1(b) where $C_S/k_B T N_S$ is plotted as

a function of T . We note that $C_S/k_B T N_S$ for $N_S = 100$ and 1000 is almost constant at $0.01 < T < 0.6$. It is noted that the linear- T behavior of the calculated specific heat is a consequence of the one-dimensional coupled HO model adopted in this study. If we employ the three-dimensional coupled HO model for the system, we obtain the T^3 -specific heat at low temperatures.

2. Effects of c_0

Figure 2 shows $C_S/k_B N_S$ for various coupling strengths with $N_S = 4$ and $N_B = 100$. The system specific heat for $c_0 = 0.0$ is $C_S/k_B N_S = 1/N_S$ at $T \simeq 0.0$ as mentioned above. When a small interaction is introduced, C_S clearly reduces to zero at $T = 0.0$. With increasing the coupling strength, C_S is furthermore decreased at low temperatures where it shows an anomalous temperature dependence. For $c_0 \geq 2.0$, the specific heat becomes negative, and magnitudes of negative dips are increased with increasing c_0 .

3. Effects of N_S

The N_S dependent specific heat is shown in Figs. 3(a), (b) and (c) where $C_S/k_B N_S$ is plotted for $c_0 = 0.1, 1.0$ and 5.0 , respectively, for various N_S with a fixed $N_B = 100$. Figure 3(a) shows that for $c_0 = 0.1$ an anomalous bump in C_S at low temperatures is gradually decreased with increasing N_S and it well follows the linear- T behavior at higher temperatures. For $c_0 = 1.0$ the temperature dependence of the specific heat is almost independent of N_S as shown by Fig. 3(b). In contrast, Fig. 3(c) shows that C_S strongly depends on N_S for $c_0 = 5.0$, for which magnitude of negative specific heat is much increased for smaller N_S .

4. Effects of N_B

The N_B dependence of the system specific heat is shown in Fig. 4, where $C_S/k_B N_S$ is plotted for $c_0 = 0.1, 1.0$ and 5.0 with various N_B and a fixed $N_S = 4$. In the case of $c_0 = 0.1$, the calculated specific heats are almost independent of N_B . In the case of $c_0 = 1.0$, a bump in C_S is gradually decreased with increasing N_B . On the contrary in the case of $c_0 = 5.0$,

magnitudes of negative dips in the specific heat are more significant with increasing N_B although the result for $N_B = 1000$ is nearly the same as that for $N_B = 100$.

5. Origin of the negative system specific heat

We will elucidate the physical origin of the negative system specific heat for a typical case of $N_S = 4$, $N_B = 10$ and $c_0 = 5.0$, whose result has been presented in Fig. 4. Chain and dashed curves in Fig. 5 express a total specific heat C and a bath contribution C_B , respectively, which arise from the first and second terms in Eq. (50). The solid curve denotes the system specific heat of $C_S (= C - C_B)$, which is given by the difference between the chain and dashed curves. The inset of Fig. 5 shows eigenfrequencies $\{\omega_j\}$ for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles). In Eq. (50) C is expressed in terms of $\{\omega_j\}$ for $c_0 = 5.0$ which become larger than those for $c_0 = 0.0$ by an introduced system-bath interaction. As a consequence, the specific heat of system-plus-bath is suppressed at low temperatures compared to C_B for the bath HO's which is expressed in terms of ω_0 . Then the system specific heat C_S given by the difference of $C - C_B$ becomes negative at low temperatures. The obtained negative specific heat is not related with an instability of the system.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Uncoupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths

In the preceding section, we considered coupled HO system with $D = 0.0$ and $K = 1.0$. On the other hand, when we adopt $D = 1.0$ and $K = 0.0$, the model given by Eqs. (1)-(4) expresses the *uncoupled* HO system subjected to uncoupled HO bath. Eigenfrequencies of ν_i ($i = 1$ to $N_S + N_B$) are given by

i	1	...	$N_S/2 + 1$...	N_S	$N_S + 1$...	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$...	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	$\tilde{\Omega}_0^2$...	ν_+^2	...	$\tilde{\Omega}_0^2$	$\tilde{\omega}_0^2$...	ν_-^2	...	$\tilde{\omega}_0^2$

with

$$\nu_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 + \tilde{\omega}_0^2 \pm \sqrt{(\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \tilde{\omega}_0^2)^2 + \frac{4N_S N_B c^2}{Mm}} \right], \quad (55)$$

$$M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 = D + N_B c, \quad (56)$$

$$m\tilde{\omega}_0^2 = m\omega_0^2 + N_S c. \quad (57)$$

In the limit of no couplings ($c = 0$), eigenfrequencies are given by

i	1	...	$N_S/2 + 1$...	N_S	$N_S + 1$...	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$...	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	Ω_0^2	...	Ω_0^2	...	Ω_0^2	ω_0^2	...	ω_0^2	...	ω_0^2

The system specific heat calculated with the use of Eq. (50) is shown in Fig. 6(a) where $C_S/k_B N_S$ is plotted for various N_S with $N_B = 100$ and $c_0 = 5.0$. For $c_0 = 0.0$, $C_S/k_B N_S$ follows the Einstein specific heat [see the dashed curve in Fig. 1(b)]. When c_0 is much increased, the specific heat becomes negative at low temperatures. It is noted that the solid curve for $N_S = 1$ and $N_B = 100$ shows a negative C_S at $0 < k_B T/\epsilon_0 < 0.805$ ($\epsilon_0 = \hbar\omega_0$), while C_S for $N_S = N_B = 1$ is non-negative [17]. Figure 6(a) clearly shows

$$C_S(T; N_S, N_B) \neq N_S C_S(T; 1, N_B) \quad \text{for } T \simeq 0.0, \quad (58)$$

$$= N_S C_S(T; 1, N_B) \quad \text{for } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (59)$$

where $C_S(T; N_S, N_B)$ denotes the system specific heat of the $(N_S + N_B)$ model at temperature T .

In order to examine an origin of the negative specific heat, we plot C , C_B and C_S for $N_S = 4$, $N_B = 10$ and $c_0 = 5.0$ in Fig. 6(b), whose inset shows eigenfrequencies for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles). We obtain a negative C_S at $0 < k_B T/\epsilon_0 < 0.377$ where $C < C_B$ although $C_S > 0$ at $k_B T/\epsilon_0 > 0.377$. Eigenfrequencies for $c_0 = 0.0$ are $\nu_i = 1.0$ for $i = 1$ to 14, and they are increased by an introduced system-bath coupling of $c_0 = 5.0$ as shown in the inset. From a comparison between Figs. 5 and 6, we note that a negative specific heat is realized both in coupled and uncoupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths, independently of eigenfrequencies of the system.

B. Coupled HO systems subjected to coupled HO baths

We have so far considered that a system is subjected to a bath including a collection of *uncoupled* HOs. Here we will study a case in which a bath consists of *coupled* HOs. The system-plus-bath is described by the Hamiltonian given by Eqs. (1)-(4) but H_B is replaced by

$$H_B = \sum_{n=1}^{N_B} \left[\frac{p_n^2}{2m} + \frac{k_n}{2} (q_n - q_{n+1})^2 \right], \quad (60)$$

where k_n stands for a force constant between neighboring particles in the bath. We assume that N_B is even and $k_n = k$ for $n = 1$ to N_B , imposing the periodic boundary condition given by

$$q_{N_B+n} = q_n, \quad p_{N_B+n} = p_n. \quad (61)$$

By using the canonical transformation with the identical-frequency model [Eq. (41)], we obtain eigenfrequencies given by (detail being given in Appendix B)

i	1	\cdots	$N_S/2 + 1$	\cdots	N_S	$N_S + 1$	\cdots	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$	\cdots	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	$\tilde{\Omega}_{-N_S/2}^2$	\cdots	ν_+^2	\cdots	$\tilde{\Omega}_{N_S/2-1}^2$	$\tilde{\omega}_{-N_B/2}^2$	\cdots	ν_-^2	\cdots	$\tilde{\omega}_{N_B/2-1}^2$

where

$$\nu_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 + \tilde{\omega}_0^2 \pm \sqrt{(\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 - \tilde{\omega}_0^2)^2 + \frac{4N_S N_B c^2}{Mm}} \right], \quad (62)$$

with

$$M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 = D + M\Omega_s^2 + cN_B, \quad (63)$$

$$m\tilde{\omega}_r^2 = m\omega_r^2 + cN_S, \quad (64)$$

$$\Omega_s = \sqrt{\frac{4K}{M}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{N_S}\right) \quad \text{for } s = -\frac{N_S}{2}, -\frac{N_S}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N_S}{2} - 1, \quad (65)$$

$$\omega_r = \sqrt{\frac{4k}{m}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi r}{N_B}\right) \quad \text{for } r = -\frac{N_B}{2}, -\frac{N_B}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N_B}{2} - 1. \quad (66)$$

Effective frequencies of $\tilde{\Omega}_s$ and $\tilde{\omega}_r$ are increased with increasing c . In the case of no couplings ($c = 0$), eigenfrequencies are given

i	1	\cdots	$N_S/2 + 1$	\cdots	N_S	$N_S + 1$	\cdots	$N_S + N_B/2 + 1$	\cdots	$N_S + N_B$
ν_i^2	$\Omega_{-N_S/2}^2$	\cdots	Ω_0^2	\cdots	$\Omega_{N_S/2-1}^2$	$\omega_{-N_B/2}^2$	\cdots	ω_0^2	\cdots	$\omega_{N_B/2-1}^2$

The system specific heat is expressed in terms of obtained eigenfrequencies $\{\nu_i\}$,

$$C_S = k_B \sum_{i=1}^{N_S+N_B} \left[\frac{\beta \hbar \nu_i}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \nu_i / 2)} \right]^2 - k_B \sum_{r=-N_B/2}^{N_B/2-1} \left[\frac{\beta \hbar \omega_r}{2 \sinh(\beta \hbar \omega_r / 2)} \right]^2, \quad (67)$$

$$\equiv C - C_B, \quad (68)$$

where C and C_B express the first and second terms, respectively, of Eq. (67). System specific heats in zero- and high-temperature limits are given by Eq. (53).

We have calculated the system specific heat with $k = 1.0$, $K = 1.0$ and $D = 0.0$, for which a unit of the energy is given by $\epsilon_0 = \hbar\sqrt{k/m}$. Figure 7(a) shows the temperature dependence of $C_S/k_B N_S$ for various N_S with $N_B = 100$ and $c_0 = 5.0$. For $c_0 = 0.0$, C_S is positive, reducing to zero at $T = 0.0$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. When c_0 is introduced, C_S at $T \simeq 0.0$ becomes negative. Magnitudes of negative C_S are increased with decreasing N_S . In order to study an origin of the negative C_S , we plot C , C_B and C_S for $N_S = 4$, $N_B = 20$ and $c_0 = 5.0$ in Fig. 7(b), whose inset shows eigenfrequencies for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles). For $c_0 = 0.0$, eigenfrequencies of bath and system display dispersion relations given by Eqs. (65) and (66). By an introduced system-bath coupling of $c_0 = 5.0$, eigenfrequencies are increased as shown by filled circles in the inset. This modification in eigenfrequencies leads to a negative C_S at $0 < k_B T/\epsilon_0 < 0.386$ where $C < C_B$.

IV. CONCLUSION

The specific heat has been studied of a small quantum system consisting of N_S -body HOs which is strongly coupled to an N_B -body non-dissipative HO bath with identical frequency [Eq. (41)] or with dispersed frequencies [Eq. (66)]. The obtained results are summarized as follows:

- (i) Although the system specific heat of $C_S(T)$ is proportional to N_S (extensive) in the high-temperature limit, it is not (non-extensive) at low temperatures except for a vanishing system-bath coupling, and
- (ii) $C_S(T)$ displays an anomalous temperature dependence at low temperatures where it may become negative for a strong system-bath coupling.

The item (i) implies that it is necessary to take into account finite N_S for a study of small quantum open system, although previous studies have been made by exclusively using the CL-type models with $N_S = 1$. The item (ii) is in contrast to the result of Ingold, Hänggi and Talkner [17] who reported that the specific heat of an HO system with $N_S = 1$ cannot be negative although that of a free damped particle system may be negative. They claimed that it is due to a larger specific heat of an HO by a factor of two than that of a free particle [17]. The elucidation of non-negative specific heat of a HO system in Ref. [17] which is valid for $N_S = 1$, cannot be applied to the case of arbitrary N_S .

The system specific heat of $C_S = C - C_B$ given by (51) or (68) shows that C_S expresses

a change of the specific heat when the heat bath is enlarged by coupling it to system degree of freedom. The appearance of a negative specific heat in the item (ii) is attributed to increased eigenfrequencies when the system is coupled to the bath, by which the specific heat of C is suppressed as $C < C_B$ and then $C_S < 0$ at low temperatures. The expression of $C_S = C - C_B$ reconciles with the experimental procedure to determine the specific heat of the system attached to the bath. First one measures the specific heat of the empty container and then subtracts this value from the measured specific heat of the combined system-plus-bath to finally obtain the specific heat of the system [14, 15, 17]. Specific heat anomalies which arise from an attachment of the system to the environment may be common in small open quantum systems. It would be interesting to examine the items (i) and (ii) by relevant experiments for small-scale systems.

Acknowledgments

This work is partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

Appendix: A. Eigenfrequencies of (1 + 1) and (2 + 1) models

1. The (1 + 1) model

From Eqs. (1)-(4), the Hamiltonian for the case of $N_S = N_B = 1$ is given by [13]

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{DQ^2}{2} + \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_0^2 q^2}{2} + \frac{c}{2}(Q - q)^2, \quad (\text{A1})$$

for which we easily obtain eigenfrequencies,

$$\nu_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{D+c}{M} + \frac{m\omega_0^2+c}{m} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{D+c}{M} - \frac{m\omega_0^2+c}{m} \right)^2 + \frac{4c^2}{Mm}} \right] \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The CL-type model employed in Ref. [17] is given by

$$H' = \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{M\Omega^2 Q^2}{2} + \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{f_B}{2}(q - Q)^2, \quad (\text{A3})$$

which is related with the Hamiltonian of Eq. (A1) by $D = M\Omega^2$, $c = f_B$ and $\omega_0 = 0$. Equation (A2) is equivalent to Eq. (17) in Ref. [17].

2. The (2 + 1) model

The Hamiltonian for the case of $N_S = 2$ and $N_B = 1$ is given by $H = H_S + H_B + H_I$ with

$$H_S = \frac{1}{2M}(P_1^2 + P_2^2) + \frac{D}{2}(Q_1^2 + Q_2^2) + \frac{J}{2}(Q_1 - Q_2)^2, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$H_B = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_0^2 q^2}{2}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$H_I = \frac{c}{2} [(q - Q_1)^2 + (q - Q_2)^2], \quad (\text{A6})$$

meanings of all terms being trivial. Introducing center-of-mass and relative variables,

$$Q_c = \frac{1}{2}(Q_1 + Q_2), \quad Q_r = Q_1 - Q_2, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$P_c = P_1 + P_2, \quad P_r = \frac{1}{2}(P_1 - P_2), \quad (\text{A8})$$

we obtain H_S and H_I given by

$$H_S = \frac{P_c^2}{2M_c} + DQ_c^2 + \frac{P_r^2}{2M_r} + \frac{DQ_r^2}{4} + \frac{JQ_r^2}{2}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$H_I = c \left(q^2 - 2qQ_c + Q_c^2 + \frac{Q_r^2}{4} \right), \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $M_c = 2M$ and $M_r = M/2$. Equations of motion for Q_c , Q_r and q are given by

$$M_c \ddot{Q}_c = -2(D + c)Q_c + 2cq, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$M_r \ddot{Q}_r = -\frac{1}{2}(D + 2J + c)Q_r, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$m\ddot{q} = -(m\omega_0^2 + 2c)q + 2cQ_c. \quad (\text{A13})$$

We note that Q_c is coupled with q whereas Q_r is decoupled from Q_c and q . A simple calculation leads to three eigenfrequencies given by

$$\nu_i^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M}(D + 2J + c) & \text{for } i = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{D+c}{M} + \frac{m\omega_0^2+2c}{m} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{D+c}{M} - \frac{m\omega_0^2+2c}{m} \right)^2 + \frac{8c^2}{Mm}} \right] & \text{for } i = 2, 3. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A14})$$

Equation (A14) agrees with Eq. (42) for $N_S = 2$ and $N_B = 1$ because $M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 = D + c$, $m\tilde{\omega}_0^2 = m\omega_0^2 + 2c$ and $J = 2K$ which is due to a double counting of interactions for $N_S = 2$: $K \sum_{k=1}^2 (Q_k - Q_{k+1})^2 = K[(Q_1 - Q_2)^2 + (Q_2 - Q_1)^2] = J(Q_1 - Q_2)^2$.

It is evident from Eqs. (50), (A2) and (A14) that we obtain

$$C_S(T; 2, 1) \neq 2 C_S(T; 1, 1) \quad \text{for } T \simeq 0.0, \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$= 2 C_S(T; 1, 1) = 2k_B \quad \text{for } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{A16})$$

where $C_S(T; 1, 1)$ and $C_S(T; 2, 1)$ denote T -dependent system specific heats for the $(1 + 1)$ model and $(2 + 1)$ model (with $J = 0.0$), respectively.

Appendix: B. Baths consisting of coupled harmonic oscillators

We consider an N_S -body coupled system subjected to an N_B -body coupled bath, whose Hamiltonian is given by Eqs. (1), (2), (4) and (60). Applying the canonical transformation given by Eqs. (18) and (19) to the system with the boundary condition given by Eq. (17), we obtain H_S given by Eqs. (20) and (21). When we apply the canonical transformation [13, 20],

$$q_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_B}} \sum_{r=-N_B/2}^{N_B/2-1} e^{i(2\pi nr/N_B)} \tilde{q}_r, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$p_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_B}} \sum_{r=-N_B/2}^{N_B/2-1} e^{i(2\pi nr/N_B)} \tilde{p}_r, \quad (\text{B2})$$

to the bath with the periodic condition given by Eq. (61), H_B in Eq. (60) becomes

$$H_B = \sum_{r=-N_B/2}^{N_B/2-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{p}_r^* \tilde{p}_r}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_r^2 \tilde{q}_r^* \tilde{q}_r}{2} \right), \quad (\text{B3})$$

with

$$\omega_r^2 = \left(\frac{4k}{m} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi r}{N_B} \right) \quad \text{for } r = -\frac{N_B}{2}, -\frac{N_B}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N_B}{2} - 1, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where the commutation relations:

$$[\tilde{q}_r, \tilde{p}_{r'}^*] = i\hbar\delta_{rr'}, \quad [\tilde{q}_r, \tilde{q}_{r'}^*] = [\tilde{p}_r, \tilde{p}_{r'}^*] = 0, \quad (\text{B5})$$

hold with $\tilde{q}_r^* = \tilde{q}_{-r}$ and $\tilde{p}_r^* = \tilde{p}_{-r}$.

By the canonical transformations given by Eqs. (18), (19), (B1) and (B2), H_I in Eq. (4) becomes

$$H_I = \frac{cN_B}{2} \sum_{s=-N_S/2}^{N_S/2-1} \tilde{Q}_s^* \tilde{Q}_s + \frac{cN_S}{2} \sum_{r=-N_B/2}^{N_B/2-1} \tilde{q}_r^2 - c\sqrt{N_S N_B} \tilde{Q}_0 \tilde{q}_0. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Equations of motion for \tilde{Q}_k and \tilde{q}_r are expressed by

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_s = -M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 \tilde{Q}_s \quad \text{for } s \neq 0, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$M\ddot{\tilde{Q}}_0 = -M\tilde{\Omega}_0^2 \tilde{Q}_0 + c\sqrt{N_S N_B} \tilde{q}_0 \quad \text{for } s = 0, \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$m\ddot{\tilde{q}}_r = -m\tilde{\omega}_r^2 \tilde{q}_r \quad \text{for } r \neq 0, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$m\ddot{\tilde{q}}_0 = -m\tilde{\omega}_0^2 \tilde{q}_0 + c\sqrt{N_S N_B} \tilde{Q}_0 \quad \text{for } r = 0, \quad (\text{B10})$$

with

$$M\tilde{\Omega}_s^2 = D + 4K \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi s}{N_S} \right) + N_B c, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$m\tilde{\omega}_r^2 = 4k \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi r}{N_B} \right) + N_S c. \quad (\text{B12})$$

Equations (B7)-(B10) show that \tilde{Q}_0 and \tilde{q}_0 are coupled although they are decoupled from the rest of variables. From Eqs. (B7)-(B10), we obtain eigenfrequencies which have been presented in Sec. III B.

-
- [1] F. Ritort, in *Advance in Chemical Physics*, Vol. 137, Edited by S. A. Rice (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2008) p. 31.
 - [2] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).
 - [3] P. Ullersma, *Physica* **32**, 27 (1966); *ibid.* **32**, 56 (1966).
 - [4] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 211 (1981).
 - [5] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, *Ann. Phys.* **149**, 374 (1983).
 - [6] G. W. Ford and M. Kac, *J. Stat. Phys.* **46**, 803 (1987).
 - [7] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, *Phys. Rev. A* **37**, 4419 (1988).
 - [8] S. T. Smith and R. Onofrio, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **61**, 271 (2008).
 - [9] Q. Wei, S. T. Smith, and R. Onofrio, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 031128 (2009).
 - [10] J. Rosa and M. W. Beims, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 031126 (2008).
 - [11] C-H. Chou, T. Yu, and B. L. Hu, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 011112 (2008).
 - [12] M. F. Gelin and M. Thoss, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 051121 (2009).
 - [13] H. Hasegawa, *Phys. Rev E* **83**, 021104 (2011).
 - [14] P. Hanggi and G-L. Ingold, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **37**, 1537 (2006).

- [15] P. Hanggi, G-L. Ingold and P. Talkner, *New Journal of Physics* **10**, 115008 (2008).
- [16] H. Grabert, P. Schramm and G-L. Ingold, *Phys. Rep.* **168**, 115 (1988).
- [17] G-L. Ingold, P. Hanggi, and P. Talkner, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 061105 (2009).
- [18] The recurrence time in a finite system is finite in the Poincaré recurrence theorem: H. Poincaré, *Acta Math.* **13**, 1 (1890), see also S. Chandrasekhar, **15**, 1 (1943).
- [19] H. Hasegawa, *Phys. Rev E* **84**, 011145 (2011).
- [20] J. Florencio, Jr. and M. H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 3231 (1985).

FIG. 1: Temperature dependences of energy and specific heat of a coupled HO system and an uncoupled HO bath with $c_0 = 0.0$. (a) E_B/N_B (dashed curve), and E_S/N_S for various N_S : $N_S = 4$ (chain curves), 10 (dotted curves), 100 (double-chain curve) and 1000 (solid curves). (b) $C_B/k_B N_B$ (dashed curve), and $C_S/k_B N_S$ for various N_S which are same as in (a), the inset showing $C_S/k_B T N_S$ ($\epsilon_0 = \hbar\omega_0$).

FIG. 2: Temperature dependences of $C_S/k_B N_S$ of coupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths with $N_S = 4$ and $N_B = 100$ for various c_0 : $c_0 = 0.0$ (solid curve), 0.01 (dotted curve), 0.1 (dashed curve), 0.5 (bold solid curve), 1.0 (chain curve), 2.0 (bold dashed curve) and 5.0 (double-chain curve).

FIG. 4: Temperature dependences of $C_S/k_B N_S$ of coupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths with $N_S = 4$ for various N_B : $N_B = 4$ (solid curve), 10 (dotted curve), 100 (dashed curve) and 1000 (chain curve) with $c_0 = 0.1, 1.0$ and 5.0. Results for $N_B = 4, 10, 100$ and 1000 with $c_0 = 0.1$ are indistinguishable.

FIG. 5: Temperature dependences of C/k_B (chain curve), C_B/k_B (dashed curve) and C_S/k_B (solid curve) of coupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths for $c_0 = 5.0$, $N_S = 4$ and $N_B = 10$: C and C_B denote specific heats of system-plus-bath and bath, respectively, and the system specific heat is given by $C_S = C - C_B$. The inset shows eigenfrequencies ν_i for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles), dashed lines being plotted for a guide of the eye (see text).

FIG. 3: Temperature dependences of $C_S/k_B N_S$ of coupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths for (a) $c_0 = 0.1$, (b) $c_0 = 1.0$ and (c) $c_0 = 5.0$ with $N_B = 100$ for various N_S : $N_S = 4$ (solid curve), 10 (dashed curve) and 100 (chain curve).

FIG. 6: Temperature dependences of specific heat of uncoupled HO systems subjected to uncoupled HO baths with $\omega_0 = 1.0$, $D = 1.0$ and $K = 0.0$. (a) $C_S/k_B N_S$ with $c_0 = 5.0$ and $N_B = 100$ for various N_S : $N_S = 1$ (solid curve), 2 (dashed curve), 4 (dotted curve) and 10 (chain curve). (b) C/k_B (chain curve), C_B/k_B (dashed curve) and C_S/k_B (solid curve) for $c_0 = 5.0$, $N_S = 4$ and $N_B = 10$: the inset shows eigenfrequencies ν_i for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles), dashed lines being plotted for a guide of the eye ($\epsilon_0 = \hbar\omega_0$).

FIG. 7: Temperature dependences of specific heats of coupled HO systems subjected to coupled HO baths expressed by Eq. (60) with $k = 1.0$, $K = 1.0$ and $D = 0.0$. (a) $C_S/k_B N_S$ with $c_0 = 5.0$ and $N_B = 100$ for various N_S : $N_S = 4$ (solid curve), 10 (dashed curve) and 100 (chain curve). (b) C/k_B (chain curve), C_B/k_B (dashed curve) and C_S/k_B (solid curve) for $c_0 = 5.0$, $N_S = 4$ and $N_B = 20$: the inset shows eigenfrequencies ν_i for $c_0 = 0.0$ (open circles) and $c_0 = 5.0$ (filled circles), dashed lines being plotted for a guide of the eye ($\epsilon_0 = \hbar\sqrt{k/m}$).

This figure "fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>

This figure "fig7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1109.0108v3>