

# ON A WEAK JELONEK'S REAL JACOBIAN CONJECTURE IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

ALEXANDRE FERNANDES, CARLOS MAQUERA, AND JEAN VENATO SANTOS

ABSTRACT. Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polynomial local diffeomorphism and let  $S_Y$  denote the set of not proper points of  $Y$ . The Jelonek's real Jacobian Conjecture states that if  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$ , then  $Y$  is bijective. We prove a weak version of such conjecture establishing the sufficiency of a necessary condition for bijectivity. Furthermore, we generalize our result on bijectivity to semialgebraic local diffeomorphisms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are interested in finding conditions on a local diffeomorphism for this to be a global diffeomorphism. For this, in some cases (for example in the case of polynomial maps of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) it is sufficient the map to be globally injective. There is an extensive literature, and in different contexts, on the problem of global injectivity (see [5], [10], [11], [12], [5], [6], [14], [13], [18], [1] and [2], for instance). In particular, we put emphasis on two type of conditions that imply global injectivity as it will be explained below.

**I. The spectral condition:** Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a differentiable map and let  $\text{Spec}(Y)$  be the set of eigenvalues of the derivative  $DY_p$  when  $p$  varies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In [11] Fernandes-Gutierrez-Rabanal showed that: If  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap [0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $Y$  is injective. This result is an improvement of several results obtained previously by Gutierrez et al (see [12], [5] and [14]). As a consequence of this result the authors also prove the global asymptotic stability conjecture for differentiable vector fields of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : If  $\text{Spec}(Y) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) < 0\}$ , then for all  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , there is a unique positive trajectory starting at  $p$  whose  $\omega$ -limit set is exactly  $\{0\}$ .

**II. The set of points where a polynomial map is not proper:** First of all we introduce some concepts and results. Let  $Y : M \rightarrow N$  be a continuous map of locally compact spaces. We say that the mapping  $Y$  is *not proper at a point*  $y \in N$ , if there is no neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $y$  such that the set  $Y^{-1}(\overline{U})$  is compact. The set  $S_Y$  of points at which the map  $Y$  is not proper indicates how the map  $Y$  differs from a proper map. In particular,  $Y$  is proper if and only if this set is empty. Moreover, if  $Y(M)$  is open, then  $S_Y$  contains the border of  $Y(M)$ . The set  $S_Y$  is the minimal set  $S$  with the property that the mapping  $Y : M \setminus Y^{-1}(S) \rightarrow N \setminus S$  is proper.

In [16], Jelonek stated the following conjecture for polynomial maps of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

**Jelonek's Real Jacobian Conjecture:** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polynomial local diffeomorphism. If  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$  then  $Y$  is bijective.*

---

The first author was supported by CNPq Grant 300685/2008-4, Brazil.

The second author was supported by CNPq and FAPESP Grant 2009/17493-4, Brazil.

The third author was partially supported by CAPES Grant 4441/08-7, Brazil.

Such conjecture is closely connected with the following famous Keller Jacobian Conjecture:

**Jacobian Conjecture:** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a polynomial map with nonzero Jacobian determinant everywhere, then  $Y$  is an isomorphism.*

In fact, Jelonek proved in [16] that if his Real Jacobian Conjecture in dimension  $2n$  is true then so is the Jacobian Conjecture in (complex) dimension  $n$ .

Jelonek proved in [16] that: if  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a real polynomial mapping with nonzero Jacobian everywhere and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 3$ , then  $Y$  is a bijection (and consequently  $S_Y = \emptyset$ ). Furthermore, he proved that his conjecture is true in dimension two. This implies that in the not injective polynomial mapping  $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  with nonzero Jacobian determinant everywhere given by Pinchuk in [19], the codimension of  $S_P$  is equal 1. Based on this example we have:

**Example 1.1.** *The polynomial local diffeomorphism  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , defined by  $Y(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (P(x_1, x_2), x_3, \dots, x_n)$ , is not injective and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) = 1$ .*

Hence the only interesting case is that of  $\text{codim}(S_Y) = 2$ . In this direction, recently Gutierrez and Maquera proved in [13] the following weaker version: if  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a polynomial map such  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap [0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$ , then  $Y$  is bijective.

**Our results:** Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  map such that  $DY_p$  is non-singular for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , (that is,  $Y$  is a local diffeomorphism) then it follows from the inverse function theorem that: for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the level surfaces  $\{f_i = \text{constant}\}$  make up a codimension one  $C^2$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . More generally, given a  $k$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{i_k}$  are pairwise transverse and the intersection  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{F}_{i_k}$  is a  $C^2$ -foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  of codimension  $k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The key point in the arguments of global injectivity for maps of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the study of the foliations defined by the coordinates maps. In the bidimensional case the complexity of these foliations is given by the existence of Reeb components (all leaves have the same topological type: are lines). But the condition on the spectrum eliminates this possibility.

In the higher dimensional case, that is when  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $C^2$  map, the foliations defined by the coordinates  $f_i$  can be, a priori, very complicated. In the result of Gutierrez and Maquera mentioned above, the condition on the spectrum of  $Y$  guarantees that these foliations are by planes, that is, are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This was fundamental!

Notice that  $\mathcal{F}_i$  has no compact leaves, for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In fact, otherwise the complement of a compact leaf contains a bounded connected component  $N$ . Then, either  $\inf\{f_i(p); p \in \bar{N}\}$  or  $\sup\{f_i(p); p \in \bar{N}\}$  is a critical value for  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which contradicts the fact that this function is a submersion. By using classical arguments of the theory of foliations, we obtain our first result in Proposition 2.2 that generalizes this phenomena to the intersected foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ .

Another interesting property on such foliations is:

**Remark 1.2.** *If  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an injective polynomial local diffeomorphism, then the leaves in  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected.*

In fact, by a result of Bialynicki-Birula and Rosenlicht (see Theorem 3.3),  $Y$  is a global diffeomorphism onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now given an ordered  $(n - 2)$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  of

$\{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\{a, b\}$  be equal to  $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  and note that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are given by  $Y^{-1}(L)$  where

$$L = \{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{a-1}, \mathbb{R}, c_{a+1}, \dots, c_{b-1}, \mathbb{R}, c_{b+1}, \dots, c_{i_{n-2}}\},$$

for  $c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_{n-2}} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since, in this case,  $Y^{-1}$  is a diffeomorphism we may conclude that the leaves in  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected.

So this condition on such leaves is necessary to the bijectivity of  $Y$ , our next result shows that this condition together with  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$  is also sufficient.

Related to the Jelonek's Conjecture, in the Section 3, we prove the following

**Theorem A.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polynomial local diffeomorphism. Then, the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected, for all  $(n-2)$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$  if, and only if,  $Y$  is a bijection.*

This result extends the three dimensional result of Gutierrez and Maquera [13] to the  $n$ -dimensional case. Note that the Example 1.1 shows that we can not consider just the conditions on the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  in Theorem A.

In Section 4, we discuss about the more general case where  $Y$  is a semialgebraic mapping. We stress some differences with the polynomial case, the most important is that the injectivity does not implies, in general, surjectivity. However, we obtain the following stronger version on bijectivity:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic local diffeomorphism such that for all  $(n-2)$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected. If  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$  then  $Y$  is a bijection.*

## 2. FOLIATIONS AND LOCAL DIFFEOMORPHISMS

A fundamental notion to understand the structure of a foliation is the concept of *minimal set*, which is a nonempty compact set given by an union of leaves and having no proper subset satisfying these conditions. We will need the next result due to Sacksteder [20] which relates the existence of minimal sets, distinct from compact leaves, with not trivial holonomy for the foliation.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a minimal set of a codimension one foliation of class  $C^2$  which is neither a single compact leaf nor the entire manifold. Then for some leaf in  $M$  there is an element in its holonomy group whose derivative (at the fixed point corresponding to the leaf) has absolute value  $< 1$ . In particular, the leaf has nontrivial holonomy and fundamental groups.*

Now we are ready to prove:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  local diffeomorphism. Then the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  has no compact leaves, where  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  is an arbitrary  $k$ -combination from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* We will apply finite induction on  $n-k$ , the dimension of the leaves. For  $n-k=1$ , suppose that  $\ell$  is a compact leave of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}$ . Since  $\ell$  is a compact 1-manifold it is homeomorphic to  $S^1$ . Note that  $\ell$  is contained in a dimension two leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$ . In fact,  $Y$  be not singular implies that  $\ell$  is a leaf of the regular foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{i_{n-1}}|_L$  which is

induced by the submersion  $f_{i_{n-1}}|_L : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , in particular we have that  $\mathcal{F}_{i_{n-1}}|_L$  has trivial holonomy. Hence, there exists a neighborhood  $C$  of  $\ell$  in  $L$  such that every leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_{n-1}}|_L$  passing through a point of  $C$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$  and is not homotopic to a point in  $L$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}|_L$  is transversal to  $\mathcal{F}_{i_{n-1}}|_L$ , the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}|_L$  restricted to  $C$  are curves starting at one connected component of  $\partial C$  and ending at the other one.

Let  $D$  be a smoothly immersed (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) open 2-disc containing  $\ell$ , which we may assume to be in general position with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be the foliation (with singularities) on  $D$  which is induced by  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is transverse to  $\ell$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has no limit cycles, otherwise exists a leaf of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which spirals towards a limit cycle  $\gamma$  and the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$  containing  $\gamma$  would have a non trivial holonomy group, what is a contradiction. Therefore the singularities of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  can not be centers nor saddles, which contradicts the fact that  $D$  is in general position with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ . This contradiction proves that  $\ell$  is not compact and so the first step of induction.

Now, by hypothesis of induction, suppose that the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  of dimension  $r = n - k$  with  $1 < r < n - 1$  has no compact leaves. We will prove that the  $(r + 1)$ -dimensional foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}$  has also no compact leaves.

Suppose, by contradiction, that there is a compact  $(r + 1)$ -dimensional leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}$ , for some  $k$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $Y$  is not singular,  $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}|_L$  is a foliation without holonomy which leaves are in the same time leaves of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ , in particular they are  $r$ -dimensional. Hence,  $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}|_L$  has no compact leaves.

It is well known that any foliation on a compact manifold has a minimal set. Since the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}|_L$  are not compact, a minimal set  $M$  of this foliation is  $L$  or a proper subset of  $L$  which is not a single leaf. But, in the first case by the transitivity of  $M$  and in the second by Theorem 2.1, we may conclude that the holonomy of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_k}|_L$  is not trivial. This contradiction proves that  $L$  can not be compact.  $\square$

### 3. GLOBAL INJECTIVITY: POLYNOMIAL CASE

In this section we will prove Theorem A which generalizes to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the bijectivity result obtained in [13] to polynomial local diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into itself. To do this we shall need the following results due to Jelonek [16]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a polynomial local diffeomorphism and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 3$ , then  $Y$  is a bijection (and consequently  $S_Y = \emptyset$ ).*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-constant polynomial mapping. Then the set  $S_Y$  is closed, semialgebraic and for every non-empty connected component  $S \subset S_Y$  we have  $1 \leq \dim(S) \leq n - 1$ . Moreover, for every point  $q \in S_Y$  there exists a polynomial mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S_Y$  such that  $\phi(\mathbb{R})$  is a semi-algebraic curve passing through  $q$ .*

The following result due to Bialynicki-Birula and Rosenlicht [4] say us that to obtain bijectivity of polynomial mappings is enough to take its injectivity.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an injective polynomial mapping, then  $Y$  is also surjective.*

In the following we use the standard notation  $\pi_1(\ell)$  to the fundamental group of a topological space  $\ell$ . The proof of the following lemma is easy and will be omitted.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$ -map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$  and  $\pi_1(\ell)$  trivial for all  $\ell \in \mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$ , where  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  is a  $(n-2)$ -combination of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear isomorphism. If  $Z = A \circ Y \circ A^{-1}$  then  $\text{Spec}(Y) = \text{Spec}(Z)$ ,  $S_Z = A(S_Y)$  and  $\pi_1(\ell_Z)$  is trivial, for all  $\ell_Z \in \mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}^Z$ , where  $\mathcal{F}^Z$  indicates foliations induced by coordinate functions of  $Z$ .*

The next result proved in [9] by Drużkowski and Tutaj provides an estimate of the cardinality of preimages of any point to a polynomial local diffeomorphism.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polynomial local diffeomorphism. Then, given  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the equation  $Y(p) = q$  has a finite number of solutions and*

$$\#\{p \in \mathbb{R}^n; Y(p) = q\} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \text{degree}(f_i).$$

The next result “is contained” in Theorem A but it was remained here because its proof provides a helpful geometrical viewpoint of the reasoning also applied in the last one.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_4) : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  be a polynomial map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$  and  $\pi_1(\ell)$  is trivial, for all  $\ell \in \mathcal{F}_{ij}$ , and all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . If  $\text{cod}(S_Y) \geq 2$  then  $Y$  is a bijection.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is not bijective. By Theorem 3.1, we must have  $\text{cod}(S_Y) = \dim(S_Y) = 2$ . Since  $Y$  is a local diffeomorphism  $Y(\mathbb{R}^4)$  is open and applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain that

$$(a) \ Y(\mathbb{R}^4) \supset \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus S_Y.$$

Analogously to Gutierrez and Maquera in [13] we will construct a compact neighborhood  $W$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of a not proper point  $p \in S_Y$  that has compact pre-image, which is a contradiction.

By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we may suppose that  $S_Y$  contains an analytic regular curve  $\gamma : (a_1 - \delta_1, a_1 + \delta_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  meeting the hyperplane  $\{x_1 = a_1\}$  transversally at the point  $p = \gamma(a_1) = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$ . Furthermore, for a sufficiently small  $\delta_2 > 0$ , we can suppose that the 3-disc  $D(a_1) = \{a_1\} \times D \subset \{x_1 = a_1\}$ , where  $D$  is the 3-disc of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  centered at  $(a_2, a_3, a_4)$  with radius  $\delta_2$ , satisfies:

$$(b) \ \gamma(a_1) = D(a_1) \cap \gamma, \ C(a_1) \cap \gamma = \emptyset, \ \text{where } C(a_1) \text{ is the boundary of } D(a_1), \ \text{and } D(a_1) \cap S_Y \text{ has an injective projection at the } x_2\text{-axis. That is, exist } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$\Pi_2|_{D(a_1) \cap S_Y} : D(a_1) \cap S_Y \rightarrow [a_2 - \varepsilon_1, a_2 + \varepsilon_2]$$

is bijective and so an homeomorphism with inverse

$$\varphi : [a_2 - \varepsilon_1, a_2 + \varepsilon_2] \rightarrow D(a_1) \cap S_Y,$$

that associates each  $t \in [a_2 - \varepsilon_1, a_2 + \varepsilon_2]$  to  $\varphi(t) = (\Pi_2|_{D(a_1) \cap S_Y})^{-1}(t) = \Pi_2^{-1}(t) \cap D(a_1) \cap S_Y$  (see Figure 1).

In this way, to each  $t \in (a_2 - \varepsilon_1, a_2 + \varepsilon_2)$  we can associate an unique 2-disc  $D(t) \subset D(a_1) \cap \{x_2 = t\}$  which satisfies  $D(t) \cap S_Y = \{\varphi(t)\}$  and  $C(t) \cap S_Y = \emptyset$ , where  $C(t)$  is the boundary of  $D(t)$ .

$$(c) \ \text{It is well known that } \#Y^{-1} \text{ is locally constant at proper points of } Y \text{ and by Theorem there exists a positive integer } K \text{ such that for all } q \in \mathbb{R}^4, \#Y^{-1}(q) \leq K.$$




FIGURE 1.

These imply that  $Y^{-1}(C(t))$  is an union of finitely many embedded circles  $C_1(t), \dots, C_k(t)$  contained in  $f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(t)$ , that is, contained in leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{12}$ . Note that each  $Y|_{C_j(t)} : C_j(t) \rightarrow C(t)$  is a finite covering. As, by hypothesis each connected component of  $f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(t)$  is a simply connected 2-submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and by Proposition 2.2 it is not compact, we have that each of this leaves is a plane. Hence, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  there exists a compact 2-disc  $D_j(t) \subset f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(t)$  bounded by  $C_j(t)$ . It follows that  $Y(D_j(t)) = D(t)$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . As  $D(t)$  is simply connected  $Y|_{D_j(t)} : D_j(t) \rightarrow D(t)$  is a bijective map and so a diffeomorphism, for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

As  $D(t) \cap S_Y = \varphi(t)$  and  $\#Y^{-1}$  is locally constant at proper points,  $\#Y^{-1}$  must be identically equal to  $k$  in  $D(t) \setminus \{\varphi(t)\}$  and so  $Y^{-1}(D(t) \setminus \{\varphi(t)\}) \subset \cup_{j=1}^k D_j(t)$ . For the other hand,  $\#Y^{-1}(\varphi(t)) \geq k$ , but since  $Y$  is a local diffeomorphism, we conclude

(d) for all  $q \in D(t)$ ,  $\#Y^{-1}(q) = k$  and  $Y^{-1}(D(t)) = \cup_{j=1}^k D_j(t)$ .

Taking  $\bar{\delta}_2 = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ , we have just seen that for all  $t \in [a_2 - \bar{\delta}_2, a_2 + \bar{\delta}_2] = I_2$ ,  $Y^{-1}(D(t)) = \cup_{j=1}^k D_j(t)$  (for the same  $k$ ), where  $D(t)$  and  $D_j(t)$  are as previously defined. Hence, we may define  $W(a_1) = \cup_{t \in I_2} (D(t))$  which is a 3-compact set containing  $\gamma(a_1)$ , contained at  $D(a_1)$  and satisfying  $Y^{-1}(W(a_1))$  is an union of  $k$  3-compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Now, notice that reducing  $\delta_1$ , if necessary, we have that

(e) for all  $s \in [a_1 - \delta_1, a_1 + \delta_1] = I_1$ , the 3-disc  $D(s) = \{s\} \times D$  and its boundary  $C(s) = \{s\} \times \partial D$ , where  $D$  is as previously defined, satisfy  $\{\gamma(s)\} = D(s) \cap \gamma$  and  $C(s) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ .

Proceeding as above, we may construct a 3-compact set  $W(s)$ , for each  $s \in I_1$ , contained in  $D(s)$  such that  $Y^{-1}(W(s))$  is an union of  $k$  3-compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . In fact, given an  $s \in I_1$  we can choose continuously a  $\bar{\delta}_2(s)$  in such way that  $W(s) = \cup_{t \in I_2(s)} D(s, t)$ , where  $I_2(s) = [a_2 - \bar{\delta}_2(s), a_2 + \bar{\delta}_2(s)]$ , contains  $\gamma(s)$  and satisfies all the required properties in the beginning of this paragraph.

Since  $\bar{\delta}_2 : I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a continuous function defined in a compact set and not achieve 0, we have that  $\delta = \inf_{s \in I_1} \{\bar{\delta}_2(s)\}$  is positive. In this way, for all  $s \in I_1$  the set  $W(s) = \cup_{t \in [a_2 - \delta, a_2 + \delta]} D(t)$  has as pre-image of  $Y$  an union of  $k$  3-compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Therefore, since  $W = \cup_{s \in I_1} W(s)$  is a compact neighborhood of  $p = \gamma(a_1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  such that  $Y^{-1}(W)$  is a compact set, we obtain a contradiction with the assumption  $p \in S_Y$ .  $\square$

The proof of the next result is analogous to the previous one so we give a shorter version emphasizing the differences between  $n = 4$  and  $n > 4$  cases.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a polynomial map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$  and for all  $(n-2)$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected. If  $\text{cod}(S_Y) \geq 2$  then  $Y$  is a bijection.*

*Proof.* Since we enunciate the result for all  $n \geq 1$ , we start stressing that for  $n = 1$  the result is trivial, the case  $n = 2$  was proved by Jelonek in [16] just with the codimension hypothesis and finally the case  $n = 3$  is a consequence of a result in [13] by Gutierrez and Maquera.

To deal with  $n \geq 4$  case, suppose that  $Y$  is not bijective. By Theorem 3.1, we must have  $\text{cod}(S_Y) = 2$ . Since  $Y$  is a local diffeomorphism  $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is open and applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain that

$$(a) \ Y(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_Y.$$

Here again the idea is construct a compact neighborhood in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of a not proper point  $p \in S_Y$  that has compact pre-image, which is a contradiction.

By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we may suppose that  $S_Y$  contains an analytic regular curve  $\gamma : (a_1 - \delta_1, a_1 + \delta_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  meeting the hyperplane  $\{x_1 = a_1\}$  transversally at the point  $p = \gamma(a_1) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Furthermore, for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we can suppose that the  $(n-1)$ -disc  $D(a_1) = \{a_1\} \times D \subset \{x_1 = a_1\}$ , where  $D$  is the  $(n-1)$ -disc of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  centered at  $(a_2, \dots, a_n)$  with radius  $\delta$ , satisfies:

$$(b) \ \gamma(a_1) = D(a_1) \cap \gamma, \ C(a_1) \cap \gamma = \emptyset, \text{ where } C(a_1) \text{ is the boundary of } D(a_1), \text{ and } \Gamma = D(a_1) \cap S_Y \cap \{x_2 = t_2\} \cap \dots \cap \{x_{n-3} = t_{n-3}\}, \text{ where } t_j \in (a_j - \delta, a_j + \delta) \text{ is fixed for each } j \in \{2, \dots, n-3\}, \text{ has injective projection at the } x_{n-2}\text{-axis. That is, exist } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$\Pi_{n-2}|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \rightarrow [a_{n-2} - \varepsilon_1, a_{n-2} + \varepsilon_2]$$

is bijective and so is an homeomorphism with inverse

$$\varphi_{n-2} : [a_{n-2} - \varepsilon_1, a_{n-2} + \varepsilon_2] \rightarrow \Gamma,$$

that associates each  $t_{n-2} \in [a_{n-2} - \varepsilon_1, a_{n-2} + \varepsilon_2]$  to  $\varphi_{n-2}(t_{n-2}) = (\Pi_{n-2}|_{\Gamma})^{-1}(t_{n-2}) = \Pi_{n-2}^{-1}(t_{n-2}) \cap \Gamma$ .

In this way, to each  $t_{n-2} \in (a_{n-2} - \varepsilon_1, a_{n-2} + \varepsilon_2)$  we can associate an unique 2-disc  $D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}) \subset D(a_1) \cap \{x_2 = t_2\} \cap \dots \cap \{x_{n-3} = t_{n-3}\} \cap \{x_{n-2} = t_{n-2}\}$  which satisfies  $D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}) \cap S_Y = \{\varphi_{n-2}(t_{n-2})\}$  and  $C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}) \cap S_Y = \emptyset$ , where  $C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$  is the boundary of  $D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$ .

Since  $C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$  is a circle contained in  $\{x_1 = a_1\} \cap \{x_2 = t_2\} \cap \dots \cap \{x_{n-2} = t_{n-2}\}$ , we have that  $Y^{-1}(C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})) \subset f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(t_2) \cap \dots \cap f_{n-2}^{-1}(t_{n-2})$ , that means  $Y^{-1}(C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}))$  is contained in leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{1,2,\dots,n-2}$ . By hypothesis such leaves are

simply connected and by Proposition 2.2 they are not compact and so homeomorphic to planes. Therefore following the reasoning of [13], or equivalently, of Theorem 3.6, we have that

- (c)  $Y^{-1}(C(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}))$  is the union of finitely many embedded circles

$$C_1(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}), \dots, C_k(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$$

and each of them bounds a 2-disc

$$D_1(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}), \dots, D_k(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$$

contained on  $f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(t_2) \cap \dots \cap f_{n-2}^{-1}(t_{n-2})$  satisfying:

$$Y^{-1}(D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})) = \cup_{j=1}^k D_j(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2}).$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 3.6, we may choose  $\delta_{n-2} < \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$  such that for all  $t_{n-2} \in [a_{n-2} - \delta_{n-2}, a_{n-2} + \delta_{n-2}] = I_{n-2}$ , we have  $Y^{-1}(D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})) = \cup_{j=1}^k D_j(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$  for the same  $k$ , once that the number of pre-image of  $Y$  is locally constant at proper points.

- (d) Therefore the set  $W_{n-2}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-3}) = \cup_{t_{n-2} \in I_{n-2}} D(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$  is a 3-compact set that contains  $p \in S_Y$  and  $Y^{-1}(W_{n-2}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-3}))$  is an union of  $k$  3-compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the same way,

- (e) we may choose  $\delta_{n-3} > 0$  such that for all  $t_{n-3} \in [a_{n-3} - \delta_{n-3}, a_{n-3} + \delta_{n-3}] = I_{n-3}$ , the set  $W_{n-2}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-3})$  as defined in item (d) satisfies all properties described in this item. Hence, we can define

$$W_{n-3}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-4}) = \cup_{t_{n-3} \in I_{n-3}} W_{n-2}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-3})$$

which is a 4-compact set containing  $p \in S_Y$  and  $Y^{-1}(W_{n-3}(a_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-4}))$  is an union of  $k$  4-compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

After  $n - 3$  steps as (e), we have constructed the set  $W_2(a_1) = \cup_{t_2 \in I_2} W_3(a_1, t_2)$  which is an  $(n - 1)$ -compact set containing  $p \in S_Y$  and such that  $Y^{-1}(W_2(a_1))$  is an union of  $k$   $(n - 1)$ -compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Finally, for  $\delta_1 > 0$  small enough, we may repeat the reasoning at each step above to obtain for each  $t_1 \in [a_1 - \delta_1, a_1 + \delta_1] = I_1$  the set  $W_2(t_1)$ , which is an  $(n - 1)$ -compact containing  $p$  and with pre-image of  $Y$  equal the union of  $k$   $(n - 1)$ -compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore,  $W_1 = \cup_{t_1 \in I_1} W_2(t_1)$  is the required compact neighborhood in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of  $p \in S_Y$ , since  $Y^{-1}(W_1)$  is an union of  $k$   $n$ -compact sets and it is compact.  $\square$

#### 4. SEMIALGEBRAIC MAPPINGS

In this section we establish analogous results on global injectivity and bijectivity to *semialgebraic mappings*  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , which are mappings with semialgebraic graphs. In the following we describe some facts about semialgebraic sets and mappings.

Every semialgebraic set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  allow finite stratification, that means, can be decomposed as a disjoint finite union  $S = \cup_{i=1}^d S_i$  where each  $S_i$  is an analytical submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, every semialgebraic discrete subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is finite. If  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is semialgebraic then  $Y^{-1}(S)$  is a semialgebraic set for all  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  semialgebraic.



The next result can be found at [7] and allows us to obtain the finiteness of the fibers as in Theorem 3.5.

**Theorem 4.1.** [Hardt] *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic set and  $Y : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , a continuous semialgebraic mapping. There is a finite semialgebraic partition of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  into  $S_1, \dots, S_d$  such that the restriction of  $Y$  to each  $Y^{-1}(S_i)$  is a globally trivial bundle. In particular, given  $p, q \in S_i$ ,  $Y^{-1}(p)$  is homeomorphic to  $Y^{-1}(q)$ .*

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a semialgebraic local homeomorphism, then there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\#Y^{-1}(p) \leq k$ , for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is a semialgebraic local homeomorphism, the semialgebraic subsets  $Y^{-1}(p)$  with  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are discrete, in particular, finite. By Hardt's Theorem, there exists a finite partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in semialgebraic subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  in a such way that given  $p, q \in S_i$ ,  $Y^{-1}(p)$  is homeomorphic to  $Y^{-1}(q)$ , in particular  $Y^{-1}(p)$  and  $Y^{-1}(q)$  have the same cardinality and this prove the corollary.  $\square$

Another consequence of Hardt's Theorem is:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic local diffeomorphism. Then  $Y$  is proper at  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if, and only if, the function  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  that associated each  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $h(p) = \#X^{-1}(p)$  is locally constant at  $p_0$ .*

To prove that in the case of semialgebraic mappings the set of not proper points  $S_Y$  is also semialgebraic, we shall need the following result given, for example, in [7]:

**Theorem 4.4.** [Tarski-Seidenberg] *Let  $A$  be a semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  the projection  $\pi(p, q) = q$ . Then  $\pi(A)$  is a semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .*

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a semialgebraic mapping, then  $S_Y$  is semialgebraic.*

*Proof.* Note that  $p \in S_Y$  if, and only if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|Y(q) - p| < \varepsilon$  and  $|q| > 1/\varepsilon$ . Now, Let  $\Sigma_Y$  denote the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$  defined by:

$$\Sigma_Y = \{(q, p, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty); |q| > 1/\varepsilon, \text{ and } |Y(q) - p| < \varepsilon\}.$$

we claim that  $\Sigma_Y$  is semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$ . This follows of the basic fact that if  $A$  is semialgebraic and  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a semialgebraic function, then the set  $\{q \in A; f(q) > 0\}$  is semialgebraic (cf. [7]). Since  $S_Y = \pi(\Sigma_Y)$ , where  $\pi$  is the projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

defined by  $\pi(x, y, \varepsilon) = y$ . The result follows directly from the Tarski-Seidenberg's Theorem.  $\square$

In Lemma 8.1 of [16], Jelonek proved:

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $S$  be a closed semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\text{codim}(S) \geq 2$ , then the set  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$  is connected. If  $\text{codim}(S) \geq 3$ , then the set  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$  is simply connected.*

Now, let  $S_1, \dots, S_d$  be a family of analytical submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  all of codimension  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ ,  $p \in S_i$ , for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , and  $G$  the Grassmannian of  $r$ -planes

in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with origin at  $p$ . By Thom's Transversality Theorem the subset of  $G$  defined by  $T = \{L \in G; L \text{ is transversal to each } S_i\}$  is a residual subset. In particular, the set  $T$  is not empty. This establishes the following result:

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $S_1, \dots, S_d$  be a family of analytical submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  all of codimension  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$  and  $p \in S_i$ , for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then there exists a  $r$ -dimensional affine plane passing through  $p$  intersecting transversally each  $S_j$ . In particular, the intersection of a plane  $L \in T$  with  $S_j$  is a discrete set to each  $S_j$ .*

On global injectivity results, we start observing that the Theorem 3.1 is partially true to semialgebraic maps. In fact, following its proof in [16] we obtain the global injectivity result:

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a semialgebraic local homeomorphism and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 3$ , then  $Y$  is injective.*

The only barrier to take bijection in this result is that to semialgebraic mappings injectivity does not imply surjectivity which is given, in the polynomial case, by Theorem 3.3.

For the other hand, Kurdyka and Rusek in [17] studied and presented a family of injective semialgebraic mapping that are surjective, which includes all mappings with algebraic graphs. They characterize this family using properties of so-called arcwise symmetric sets. For the sake of completeness we give this definition and some notions necessary to enunciate their result. For more details see [17]. A subset  $E$  of a real analytic manifold  $M$  is said to be *arcwise symmetric* if, for every analytic mapping  $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow M$  the interior of the set  $\gamma^{-1}(E)$  is either empty, or covers the interval  $(-1, 1)$ . Suppose now that  $X$  is a compact algebraic variety and  $i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$  is a biregular isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $i(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is Zariski dense and open in  $X$ . Then the pair  $(X, i)$  is called an *algebraic compactification* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We are ready to see Theorem 4.1 of [17]:

**Theorem 4.9.** *A continuous injective, semialgebraic mapping  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is surjective if there exist an algebraic compactification  $(X, i)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $E$ , arcwise symmetric in  $X$ , such that  $i(\mathbb{R}^n, Y(\mathbb{R}^n)) = E \cap i(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

A class of continuous injective mappings  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the additional condition of this theorem is those with algebraic graphs. Furthermore, they gave the example  $Y(p) = \sqrt{p^2 + 1} - p$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to itself that is a injective semialgebraic local diffeomorphism that is not surjective.

Therefore with the additional condition just below discussed we may obtain bijectivity on Theorem 4.8. In the following we provide another such a sufficient condition. This result also generalizes to semialgebraic mappings the Theorem A.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $Y = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic local diffeomorphism such that for all  $(n-2)$ -combination  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2}}$  are simply connected. If  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$  then  $Y$  is a bijection.*

*Proof.* We will prove that the set of not proper points  $S_Y$  is empty and  $Y$  is a surjective map. So, by Hadamard's Theorem  $Y$  is a global diffeomorphism. Suppose, by contradiction, that  $S_Y \neq \emptyset$  and that the  $\text{codim}(S_Y) = r \geq 2$ . We have that  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_Y$

is connected and so by Corollary 4.2 there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\#Y^{-1}(q) = k$ , for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_Y$ . Given  $p \in S_Y$ , by Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 4.7 we may assume that the  $r$ -dimensional plane  $L = \{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-r}, x_{n-r+1}, \dots, x_n); x_{n-r+1}, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is transversal to all strata of a stratification of  $S_Y$ . In particular,  $S_Y$  intersects the plane  $L$  in a finite number of points. Hence, there exists a circle  $C$  in the 2-dimensional plane  $\ell = \{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n); x_{n-1}, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$  centered at  $p$  such that  $C \cap S_Y = \emptyset$ . Since  $C \cap S_Y = \emptyset$  we have that  $F^{-1}(C)$  is the union of finitely many embedded circles  $C_1, \dots, C_k$ . Each  $C_i$  is contained in a leaf of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{1 \dots n-2}$  (which is, by hypothesis and Proposition 2.2, a foliation by planes), in particular each  $C_i$  is the boundary of a 2-disc  $D_i$  in the respective leaf containing  $C_i$ . As  $Y(D_i)$  covers the disc in  $\ell$  bounded by  $C$ , there is (a unique)  $q_i \in D_i$  such that  $Y(q_i) = p$ . So  $Y^{-1}(p) \supset \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$  and  $\#Y^{-1}(p) \geq k$ . Since  $Y$  is a local diffeomorphism  $Y^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$ , otherwise elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_Y$  sufficiently near to  $p$  would have more than  $k$  points at its pre-image. Therefore,  $\#Y^{-1}(p) = k$  and, since  $p$  is an arbitrary point of  $S_Y$ , we can conclude that the function  $\#Y^{-1}(\cdot)$  is locally constant at  $p \in S_Y$ , which is a contradiction with Corollary 4.3. This contradiction proves that  $S_Y = \emptyset$  and so the theorem.  $\square$

Gutierrez and Maquera proved that if  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a polynomial map such  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap [0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$ , then  $Y$  is bijective (see [13], Theorem 1.3). The following corollaries are somewhat slight generalizations of that result for semialgebraic (not necessarily polynomial) maps  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic (not necessarily polynomial) map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$ , then  $Y$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = (f_1, f_2, f_3) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic (not necessarily polynomial) map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It comes from Theorem 1.1 of [13] that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are simply connected. So, by using Theorem B we get  $Y$  is bijective.  $\square$

If the assumptions of Corollary 4.10 are relaxed to the existence of  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\text{Spec}(Y)$  does not intersect  $[0, \varepsilon)$ , we cannot hope that the semialgebraic map  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  should be a surjective map. In fact, let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined in the following way:

$$Y(x, y, z) = (\sqrt{1+x^2} - x, \sqrt{1+y^2} - y, z(1+x^2)(1+y^2)).$$

We have that  $Y$  is a smooth semialgebraic map,  $\text{codim}(S_Y) = 2$ ,  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap [0, 1) = \emptyset$  and  $Y$  is not surjective.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic (not necessarily polynomial) map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap [0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\text{codim}(S_Y) \geq 2$ , then  $Y$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $C^2$  semialgebraic (not necessarily polynomial) map such that  $\text{Spec}(Y) \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us denote  $Y_t(p) = Y(p) + tp$ . Given  $0 < t < \varepsilon$ , we have that  $\text{Spec}(Y_t) \cap (-a, a) = \emptyset$  where  $0 < a < \min\{t, \varepsilon - t\}$ . It comes from above corollary that  $Y_t$  is bijective for all  $0 < t < \varepsilon$ , hence  $Y$  is injective.  $\square$

## 5. FINAL COMMENTS

**I. The (complex) Jacobian Conjecture:** To prove that his conjecture implies the Jacobian conjecture Jelonek associated in a standard way a map  $Y_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  to a  $Y_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and noted that when the complex codimension of  $S_Y$  is 1 the real is 2. Therefore our result establishes a new characterization to the Jacobian Conjecture. In fact, with our result to prove the (complex) Jacobian Conjecture it is enough to check if the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 \dots i_{2n-2}}$ , related with  $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ , are simply connected.

**II.** Observe that a polynomial mapping  $Y : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  has Jacobian determinant nonzero everywhere if, and only if, it is constant nonzero. So the Jacobian Conjecture can be formulated in the real context as follows:

**Real Jacobian Conjecture:** If  $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a polynomial mapping with constant nonzero Jacobian determinant then  $Y$  is bijective.

For the other hand, consider the following reduction result given by Bass, Connell and Wright in [3]:

**Theorem 5.1.** *If the (real or complex) Jacobian Conjecture holds for all  $n \geq 2$  and all polynomial mappings of the form  $I+H$ , where  $I$  is the identity and  $H$  is cubic homogeneous, then the (real or complex) Jacobian Conjecture holds.*

Furthermore, Hubbers classified in [15] all polynomial local diffeomorphisms of the form  $I+H$  above in dimension four. His classification provided eight such mappings up to linear conjugations. We were surprised to see that our additional hypothesis on the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1 i_2}$  was satisfied for all this eight mappings.

Moreover, Drużkowski in [8] sharpened Theorem 5.1 proving that it suffices to prove the Jacobian Conjecture for a special class of cubic homogeneous mappings: the cubic-linear mappings.

**Theorem 5.2.** *It suffices to prove the (real or complex) Jacobian Conjecture for all  $n \geq 2$  and all polynomial mappings of the form  $Y = (p_1 + l_1^3, \dots, p_n + l_n^3)$ , where  $l_j = a_{1j}p_1 + \dots + a_{nj}p_n$ , for all  $j$ .*

In [15] Hubbers established the injectivity to cubic-linear maps until dimension  $n = 7$ . Hence, by Remark 1.2, we may conclude that to these cases our additional condition on the coordinate foliations is also satisfied.

So we can ask if such condition on these foliations holds for cubic-linear maps on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n > 7$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. C. Balreira. Foliations and global inversion. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 85(1):73–93, 2010.
- [2] E. C. Balreira. Incompressibility and global inversion. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 35(1):69–76, 2010.
- [3] H. Bass, E. Connell, and D. Wright. The Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7:287–330, 1982.
- [4] A. Białyniki-Birula and M. Rosenlicht. Injective morphisms of real algebraic varieties. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(2):200–203, 1962.
- [5] M. Cobo, C. Gutierrez, and J. Llibre. On the injectivity of  $C^1$  maps of the real plane. *Canad. J. Math.*, 54(6):1187–1201, 2002.

- [6] M. Cobo, C. Gutierrez, and J. Llibre. Global injectivity of  $C^1$  maps of the real plane, inseparable leaves and the Palais-Smale condition. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(3):377–389, 2007.
- [7] M. Coste. *An introduction to semialgebraic geometry, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica*, Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa. Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, 2002.
- [8] L. Drużkowisk. An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian Conjecture. *Math. Ann.*, 264:303–313, 1983.
- [9] L. Drużkowisk and H. Tutaj. Differential conditions to verify the Jacobian Conjecture. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 46:85–90, 1992.
- [10] A. Fernandes, C. Gutierrez and R. Rabanal. On local diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that are injective. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 4(2):255–262, 2004.
- [11] A. Fernandes, C. Gutierrez and R. Rabanal. Global asymptotic stability for differentiable vector fields of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . *Jour. of Diff. Equations*, 206:470–482, 2004.
- [12] C. Gutierrez. A solution to the bidimensional global asymptotic stability conjecture. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 12(6):627–671, 1995.
- [13] C. Gutierrez and C. Maquera. Foliations and polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Math. Zeitschrift*, 262:613–626, 2009.
- [14] C. Gutierrez and A. Sarmiento. Injectivity of  $C^1$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  at infinity and planar vector fields. *Astérisque*, 287:89–102, 2003.
- [15] E. Hubbers. *The Jacobian Conjecture: cubic homogeneous Maps in dimension four, Master's thesis*, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands, directed by A. van den Essen, 1994.
- [16] Z. Jelonek. Geometry of real polynomial mappings, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 239 (2002), 321–333.
- [17] K. Kurdyka and K. Rusek. Surjectivity of certain injective semialgebraic transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Math. Zeitschrift*, 200:141–148, 1988.
- [18] S. Nollet and F. Xavier. Global inversion via the Palais-Smale condition, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 8(1):17–28, 2002.
- [19] S. Pinchuck. A counterexample to the strong Jacobian conjecture. *Math. Zeitschrift*, 217:1–4, 1994.
- [20] R. Sacksteder. Foliations and pseudogroups, *Amer. J. Math.* 87:79–170, 1965.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ, 13560-970, FORTALEZA-CE, BRAZIL.

*E-mail address:* alexandre.fernandes@ufc.br (A. Fernandes)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO - CAMPUS DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970, SÃO CARLOS-SP, BRAZIL.

*E-mail address:* cmaquera@icmc.usp.br (C. Maquera)

FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, 38408-100, UBERLÂNDIA-MG, BRAZIL.

*E-mail address:* jvenatos@famat.ufu.br (J. Venato Santos)