

# Alternative construction of the closed form of the Green's function for the wavized Maxwell fish-eye problem

Radosław Szmytkowski

Atomic Physics Division, Department of Atomic Physics and Luminescence,  
Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdańsk University of Technology,  
Narutowicza 11/12, 80–233 Gdańsk, Poland  
email: radek@mif.pg.gda.pl

November 19, 2018

## Abstract

In the recent paper [J. Phys. A 44 (2011) 065203], we have arrived at the closed-form expression for the Green's function for the partial differential operator describing propagation of a scalar wave in an  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 2$ ) Maxwell fish-eye medium. The derivation has been based on unique transformation properties of the fish-eye wave equation under the hyperspherical inversion. In this communication, we arrive at the same expression for the fish-eye Green's function following a different route. The alternative derivation we present here exploits the fact that there is a close mathematical relationship, through the stereographic projection, between the wavized fish-eye problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and the problem of propagation of scalar waves over the surface of the  $N$ -dimensional hypersphere.

**Key words:** Maxwell's fish-eye problem; Green's function; scalar wave optics; gradient-index (GRIN) optics

**PACS:** 02.30.Jr, 02.30.Gp, 42.25.Bs, 42.79.Ry

**MSC:** 35J08, 78A10

In the recent paper [1], we have constructed the closed-form expression for the Green's function for the partial differential operator describing propagation of a scalar wave in an  $N$ -dimensional ( $N \geq 2$ ) Maxwell fish-eye medium. Our considerations, inspired by an earlier work of Demkov and Ostrovsky [2], have been based on the use of unique transformation properties of the scalar fish-eye wave equation under the hyperspherical inversion. In this communication, we show it is possible to arrive at the same representation of the fish-eye Green's function proceeding along a different but, we believe, equally elegant route. The reasoning we present below is conceptually rooted in the brilliant observation made several decades ago by Carathéodory [3], who pointed out, in the context of geometrical optics, that the remarkable properties of the Maxwell fish-eye are related to the one-to-one stereographic-projection correspondence between propagation in that medium and the free motion on the sphere (cf also Refs. [4, 5]).

To begin, we observe that the fish-eye Green's function in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , solves the inhomogeneous partial differential equation

$$\left[ \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 + \frac{4\nu(\nu+1)\rho^2}{(r^2 + \rho^2)^2} \right] G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \delta^{(N)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (1)$$

where  $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2$  is the Laplace operator in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with respect to coordinates of the observation point  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}'$  is the point where the unit delta source is located,  $\rho > 0$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . After introducing the hyperspherical coordinates  $\{r, \Omega_{N-1}\}$ , with  $r = |\mathbf{r}|$  and with  $\Omega_{N-1}$  standing collectively for  $N-1$




Figure 1: The transformation (3) is the inverse stereographic projection of the space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  onto the hypersphere  $\mathbb{S}_\rho^N$  of radius  $\rho$ .

angles characterizing the orientation of the radius vector  $\mathbf{r}$  (and similarly for  $\mathbf{r}'$ ), Eq. (1) casts into the form

$$\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}^2 + \frac{4\nu(\nu+1)\rho^2}{(r^2 + \rho^2)^2} \right] G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{\delta(r-r')\delta^{(N-1)}(\Omega_{N-1} - \Omega'_{N-1})}{r^{(N-1)/2}r'^{(N-1)/2}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}^2$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the unit hypersphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Now we make the most crucial step in our reasoning and switch from the radial variables  $r$  and  $r'$  to the angular variables  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta'_N$ , according to

$$\cot \frac{\theta_N}{2} = \frac{r}{\rho}, \quad \cot \frac{\theta'_N}{2} = \frac{r'}{\rho} \quad (0 \leq \theta_N, \theta'_N \leq \pi), \quad (3)$$

the angular coordinate ensembles  $\Omega_{N-1}$  and  $\Omega'_{N-1}$  remaining unchanged. The geometrical meaning of the transformation (3) becomes obvious after a glance at Fig. 1: this is the inverse stereographic projection of the space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  onto the hypersphere  $\mathbb{S}_\rho^N$  of radius  $\rho$ , the space to be projected being the equatorial hyperplane of the hypersphere. Since, in view of Eq. (3) and of the well-know properties of the Dirac delta, it holds that

$$\delta(r-r') = \frac{2}{\rho} \sin \frac{\theta_N}{2} \sin \frac{\theta'_N}{2} \delta(\theta_N - \theta'_N), \quad (4)$$

the transformation in question changes Eq. (2) into

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_N^2} + \left( \cot \frac{\theta_N}{2} - \frac{N-1}{\sin \theta_N} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_N} + \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}^2}{\sin^2 \theta_N} + \nu(\nu+1) \right] G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \\ & = \frac{1}{2\rho^{N-2}} \frac{\delta(\theta_N - \theta'_N)\delta^{(N-1)}(\Omega_{N-1} - \Omega'_{N-1})}{\sin \frac{\theta_N}{2} \cot^{(N-1)/2} \frac{\theta_N}{2} \sin \frac{\theta'_N}{2} \cot^{(N-1)/2} \frac{\theta'_N}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Both the differential operator on the left-hand side and the multiplier of the deltas on the right-hand side of Eq. (5) look complicated. However, a remarkable simplification is achieved after one replaces the Green's function  $G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  by the function  $\mathcal{G}_{\nu-N/2+1}(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N)$ , the two being related by

$$G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \left( \frac{2}{\rho} \right)^{N-2} \sin^{N-2} \frac{\theta_N}{2} \sin^{N-2} \frac{\theta'_N}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\nu-N/2+1}(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N). \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\Omega_N$  stands for the set  $\{\theta_N, \Omega_{N-1}\}$  (and similarly for  $\Omega'_N$ ); the reason for attaching the particular subscript to  $\mathcal{G}$  will become clear shortly. Insertion of Eq. (6) into Eq. (5), followed by some obvious rearrangements, results in

$$\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_N^2} + (N-1) \cot \theta_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_N} + \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}^2}{\sin^2 \theta_N} + \left( \nu - \frac{N}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \nu + \frac{N}{2} \right) \right] \mathcal{G}_{\nu-N/2+1}(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N) = \frac{\delta(\theta_N - \theta'_N) \delta^{(N-1)}(\Omega_{N-1} - \Omega'_{N-1})}{\sin^{(N-1)/2} \theta_N \sin^{(N-1)/2} \theta'_N}. \quad (7)$$

The first three terms in the square bracket on the left-hand side of Eq. (7) are immediately recognized to form the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the unit hypersphere  $\mathbb{S}^N$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_N^2} + (N-1) \cot \theta_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_N} + \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}^2}{\sin^2 \theta_N} \equiv \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^N}^2 \quad (N \geq 2), \quad (8)$$

while the expression on the right-hand side of Eq. (7) is simply the Dirac delta on  $\mathbb{S}^N$ :

$$\frac{\delta(\theta_N - \theta'_N) \delta^{(N-1)}(\Omega_{N-1} - \Omega'_{N-1})}{\sin^{(N-1)/2} \theta_N \sin^{(N-1)/2} \theta'_N} = \delta^{(N)}(\Omega_N - \Omega'_N). \quad (9)$$

Hence, with the definition

$$\lambda = \nu - \frac{N}{2} + 1, \quad (10)$$

Eq. (7) may be rewritten compactly as

$$\left[ \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^N}^2 + \lambda(\lambda + N - 1) \right] \mathcal{G}_\lambda(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N) = \delta^{(N)}(\Omega_N - \Omega'_N). \quad (11)$$

This is the equation defining the Green's function for the Helmholtz operator on the hypersphere  $\mathbb{S}^N$ ; it has been studied by us in Ref. [6]. There, it has been shown that the solution to Eq. (11) is

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N) = \frac{\pi C_\lambda^{(N-1)/2}(-\cos \angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N))}{(N-1) S_N \sin(\pi \lambda)}, \quad (12)$$

where  $C_\lambda^\alpha(\xi)$  is the Gegenbauer function,  $\angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N)$  is the angle between the directions  $\Omega_N$  and  $\Omega'_N$ , while

$$S_N = \frac{2\pi^{(N+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)} \quad (13)$$

is the area of  $\mathbb{S}^N$ . Hence, on invoking Eq. (6), we see that the closed-form representation of the fish-eye Green's function in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is

$$G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{2^{N-4} \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}{\rho^{N-2} \pi^{(N-1)/2} \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{N}{2} - \nu\right)\right]} \sin^{N-2} \frac{\theta_N}{2} \sin^{N-2} \frac{\theta'_N}{2} C_{\nu-N/2+1}^{(N-1)/2}(-\cos \angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N)). \quad (14)$$

To accomplish the task fully, we have to express the right-hand side of Eq. (14) in terms of the radius vectors  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  instead of the hyperangles  $\Omega_N$  and  $\Omega'_N$ . To this end, at first we observe that the cosine of the angle  $\angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N)$  may be written as

$$\cos \angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N) = \cos \theta_N \cos \theta'_N + \sin \theta_N \sin \theta'_N \cos \angle(\Omega_{N-1}, \Omega'_{N-1}). \quad (15)$$

However, from Eq. (3) it follows that

$$\cos \theta_N = \frac{\cot^2 \frac{\theta_N}{2} - 1}{\cot^2 \frac{\theta_N}{2} + 1} = \frac{r^2 - \rho^2}{r^2 + \rho^2} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sin \theta_N = \frac{2 \cot \frac{\theta_N}{2}}{\cot^2 \frac{\theta_N}{2} + 1} = \frac{2\rho r}{r^2 + \rho^2} \quad (17)$$

(and similarly for  $\cos \theta'_N$  and  $\sin \theta'_N$ ), so that

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \angle(\Omega_N, \Omega'_N) &= 1 - \frac{2\rho^2[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \angle(\Omega_{N-1}, \Omega'_{N-1})]}{(r^2 + \rho^2)(r'^2 + \rho^2)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2\rho^2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2}{(r^2 + \rho^2)(r'^2 + \rho^2)}.\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

Furthermore, invoking Eq. (3) again, we see that

$$\sin \frac{\theta_N}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cot^2 \frac{\theta_N}{2} + 1}} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{r^2 + \rho^2}}\quad (19)$$

(and similarly for  $\sin \frac{\theta'_N}{2}$ ). Plugging Eqs. (18) and (19) into Eq. (14), we eventually arrive at

$$G_\nu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{2^{N-4} \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(N-1)/2} \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{N}{2} - \nu\right)\right]} \frac{\rho^{N-2} C_{\nu-N/2+1}^{(N-1)/2} \left(-1 + \frac{2\rho^2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2}{(r^2 + \rho^2)(r'^2 + \rho^2)}\right)}{(r^2 + \rho^2)^{N/2-1} (r'^2 + \rho^2)^{N/2-1}}.\quad (20)$$

This representation of the fish-eye Green's function in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is identical with the one found by us in Ref. [1, Eq. (3.42)] using the hyperspherical inversion technique.

## References

- [1] R. Szmytkowski, Green's function for the wavized Maxwell fish-eye problem, *J. Phys. A* 44 (2011) 065203 [preprint arXiv:1107.1466]
- [2] Yu. N. Demkov, V. N. Ostrovsky, Intrinsic symmetry of the Maxwell 'fish-eye' problem and the Fock group for the hydrogen atom, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 60 (1971) 2011 [*Sov. Phys. - JETP* 33 (1971) 1083]
- [3] C. Carathéodory, Über den Zusammenhang der Theorie der absoluten optischen Instrumente mit einem Satze der Variationsrechnung, *Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Abteilung* (1926) 1–18 [reprinted in: C. Carathéodory, *Gesammelte mathematische Schriften, Band II* (Beck, Munich, 1955), pp. 181–97], sections 3 and 4
- [4] A. Frank, F. Leyvraz, K. B. Wolf, Hidden symmetry and potential group of the Maxwell fish-eye, *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990) 2757
- [5] A. Frank, F. Leyvraz, K. B. Wolf, Potential group in optics: the Maxwell fish-eye system, in: *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, V. V. Dodonov, V. I. Man'ko (eds.), Lecture Notes in Physics 382* (Springer, Berlin, 1991), p. 111
- [6] R. Szmytkowski, Closed forms of the Green's function and the generalized Green's function for the Helmholtz operator on the  $N$ -dimensional unit sphere, *J. Phys. A* 40 (2007) 995