# Potential theory of one-dimensional geometric stable processes

T. Grzywny, M. Ryznar Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Wrocław University of Technology, Poland

#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to find optimal estimates for the Green function and the Poisson kernel for a half-line and intervals of the geometric stable process with parameter  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . This process has an infinitesimal generator of the form  $-\log(1 + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2})$ . As an application we prove the scale invariant Harnack inequality as well as the boundary Harnack principle.

**Keywords:** geometric stable process, Green function, first exit time, tail function, Poisson kernel, Lévy process, ladder height process, renewal function

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 60J45

## 1 Introduction

Let  $B = (B_t, t \ge 0)$  be a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $T = (T_t : t \ge 0)$  be a subordinator independent of B. The process  $X = (X_t : t \ge 0)$  defined by  $X_t = B_{T_t}$  is a rotationally invariant Lévy process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and is called a subordinate Brownian motion. The subordinator T used to define the subordinate Brownian motion X can be interpreted as *operational* time or *intrinsic* time. For this reason, subordinate Brownian motions have been used in mathematical finance and other applied fields.

Let  $\psi$  denote the Laplace exponent of the subordinator T, that is,

$$E\exp\{-\lambda T_t\} = exp\{-t\psi(\lambda)\}.$$

Then the characteristic exponent  $\Phi$  of the subordinate Brownian motion X takes on a very simple form  $\Phi(x) = \psi(|x|^2)$  (our Brownian motion B runs at twice the usual speed). Therefore, properties of X should follow from properties of the Laplace exponent of the subordinator.

A lot of progress has been made in recent years in the study of the potential theory of subordinate Brownian motions, see, for instance [19, 20, 14, 6, 15, 16]. At first, the focus was on the potential theory of the process X in the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and basic results about the behaviour of the potential kernel and Lévy measures were established for many particular examples of the subordinators including geometric stable (see [19, 20]). Then in a natural path of investigation the (killed) subordinate Brownian motion in an open subset was explored. In the last few years significant progress has been made in studying the potential theory of subordinate Brownian motion killed upon exiting an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (see the survey [16]). The main results include the Harnack inequality, the boundary Harnack principle and sharp Green function estimates. However, such results were confined to the subordinated Brownian motions obtained by using  $\psi$  not only being a complete Bernstein function but also satisfying certain property,

$$\psi(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\beta} l(\lambda), \lambda \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and l is a slowly varying function at  $\infty$ . Moreover, an extra assumption was set on  $\psi$  to avoid a situation when the process X is recurrent. In a recent paper [16] the condition (1.1) was relaxed to comparability at  $\infty$ .

A natural question about the Harnack inequality, the boundary Harnack principle and sharp Green function estimates arises in the case  $\beta = 0$  and without the transience assumption. In this note we do not attempt to investigate a general such case (i.e.  $\beta = 0$ ), but we rather consider an important particular process, that is a geometric  $\alpha$ -stable process on the real line. For this process the corresponding subordinator has the Laplace exponent  $\psi(\lambda) = \log(1 + \lambda^{\alpha/2})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . For  $\alpha = 2$  it is also called the gamma variance process. The geometric  $\alpha$ stable processes have been treated in the literature and play important role in the theory and applications (see e.g. [18]). Some potential theory of them were established in [20], but to the best of our knowledge none sharp estimates of the Green functions and Poisson kernels of open subsets, even in the one-dimensional case, are known.

Our main results are sharp estimates of the Green functions and Poisson kernels of intervals (including a half-line), scale invariant Harnack inequality and the boundary Harnack inequality for harmonic functions on intervals. It is worth mentioning that our estimates take into account the size of intervals and the constants depend only on the characteristics of the process, when Green functions and Poisson kernels are regarded. For example, we show that Poisson kernels for half-lines for  $\alpha$ -stable and geometric  $\alpha$ -stable processes are of the same order provided the starting point and the exit point are away from the boundary, if  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . On the other hand for starting points and exit points close to the boundary we have the same type of behaviour of the Poisson kernels for all  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper by  $c, c_1 \ldots$  we denote nonnegative constants which may depend on other constant parameters only. The value of c or  $c_1 \ldots$  may change from line to line in a chain of estimates. If we use C or  $C_1, \ldots$  then they are fixed constants.

The notion  $p(u) \approx q(u)$ ,  $u \in A$  means that the ratio p(u)/q(u),  $u \in A$  is bounded from below and above by positive constants which may depend on other constant parameters only but does not depend on the set A.

We present in this section some basic material regarding the geometric stable process. For more detailed information, see [20]. For questions regarding the Markov and the strong Markov properties, semigroup properties, Schrödinger operators and basic potential theory, the reader is referred to [8] and [3].

We first introduce an appropriate class of subordinating processes. As mentioned in the Introduction the geometric  $\alpha$ -stable process is obtained by subordination of the Brownian motion with a subordinator having the Laplace exponent  $\psi(\lambda) = \log(1 + \lambda^{\alpha/2}), 0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . The resulting process has the Lévy-Khintchine exponent  $\Psi(x) = \psi(|x|^2) = \log(1 + |x|^{\alpha})$ . Another way of constructing the geometric  $\alpha$ -stable process is to subordinate the rotational invariant  $\alpha$ -stable process with the Gamma subordinator. Let  $g_t(u) = \Gamma(t)^{-1}e^{-u}u^{t-1}, u, t > 0$ , denote the density function of the Gamma subordinator  $T_t$ , with the Laplace transform

$$Ee^{-\lambda T_t} = e^{-t\log(1+\lambda)}.$$
(2.1)

Let  $Y_t^{\alpha}$  be the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the characteristic function of the form

$$E^0 e^{i\xi \cdot Y_t} = e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

Assume that the processes  $T_t$  and  $Y_t$  are stochastically independent. Then the process  $X_t^{\alpha} = Y_{T_t}^{\alpha}$  is called the geometric stable process. In the sequel we use the generic notation  $X_t$  instead of  $X_t^{\alpha}$ . From (2.1) and (2.2) it is clear that the characteristic function of  $X_t$  is of the form

$$E^0 e^{i\xi \cdot X_t} = e^{-t\log(1+|\xi|^\alpha)}$$

In the case  $\alpha = 2$ , i.e.  $Y_t^2$  is a Brownian motion running twice the usual speed, the corresponding process is the symmetric gamma variance process.

 $X_t$  is a Lévy process (i.e. homogeneous, with independent increments). We always assume that sample paths of the process  $X_t$  are right-continuous and have left-hand limits ("cadlag"). Then  $X_t$  is Markov and has the strong Markov property under the so-called standard filtration.

The geometric stable density can now be computed in the following way:

$$p_t(x) = \int_0^\infty s_u(x)g_t(u)du,$$

where  $s_u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi - u|\xi|^{\alpha}} d\xi$  is the density of the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable process, defined by (2.2). In general potential theory a very important role is played by potential kernels, which are defined as

$$U(x,y) = \int_0^\infty p_t(x-y)dt, \ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

if the defining integral above is finite. For the geometric process the potential kernel is well defined for  $d > \alpha$  but contrary to the stable case it is not expressible as an elementary function. Recall that for the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable process the potential kernel is equal to  $C|x - y|^{\alpha - d}$  for  $d > \alpha$ , where C is an appropriate constant depending on  $\alpha$  and d. Nevertheless the asymptotic behaviour of the potential kernel was established in [20]:

$$U(x-y) \approx \frac{1}{|x-y|^d \log^2(1+|x-y|^{-\alpha/2})}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(2.3)

Note that (2.3) suggests that the process globally behaves like a stable one since its potential kernel is asymptotically equivalent to the stable process, when |x - y| is large.

We also recall the form of the density function  $\nu(x)$  of the Lévy measure of the geometric stable process:

$$\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty s_u(x) \, u^{-1} e^{-u} du.$$

The behaviour of the Lévy measure was investigated in [20]. We recall that result for the *d*-dimensional case, however we need them only for d = 1 in the present paper. For  $\alpha = 2$  we have

$$\nu(x) \approx \frac{1 + |x|^{(d-1)/2}}{|x|^d} e^{-|x|},$$

and for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ 

$$\nu(x) \approx \frac{1}{|x|^d (1+|x|^\alpha)}$$

For d = 1, which is the case investigated in this paper, for  $\alpha = 2$  we even have an exact formula

$$\nu(x) = |x|^{-1} e^{-|x|}.$$
(2.4)

The first exit time of an (open) set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  by the process  $X_t$  is defined by the formula

$$\tau_D = \inf\{t > 0; X_t \notin D\}.$$

The fundamental object of the potential theory is the killed process  $X_t^D$  when exiting the set D. It is defined in terms of sample paths up to time  $\tau_D$ . More precisely, we have the following "change of variables" formula:

$$E^{x} f(X_{t}^{D}) = E^{x} [t < \tau_{D}; f(X_{t})], \quad t > 0.$$

The density function of the transition probability of the process  $X_t^D$  is denoted by  $p_t^D$ . We have

$$p_t^D(x,y) = p_t(x-y) - E^x[t > \tau_D; p_{t-\tau_D}(X_{\tau_D} - y)], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Obviously, we obtain

$$p_t^D(x,y) \le p_t(x,y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

 $(p_t^D)_{t>0}$  is a strongly contractive semigroup (under composition) and shares most of properties of the semigroup  $p_t$ . In particular, it is strongly Feller and symmetric:  $p_t^D(x,y) = p_t^D(y,x)$ .

The potential kernel of the process  $X_t^D$  is called the *Green function* of the set D and is denoted by  $G_D$ . Thus, we have

$$G_D(x,y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^D(x,y) \, dt \, dt$$

Another important object in the potential theory of  $X_t$  is the harmonic measure of the set D. It is defined by the formula:

$$P_D(x, A) = E^x[\tau_D < \infty; \mathbf{1}_A(X_{\tau_D})].$$

The density kernel (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) of the measure  $P_D(x, A)$  (if it exists) is called the *Poisson kernel* of the set D. The relationship between the Green function of D and the harmonic measure is provided by the Ikeda-Watanabe formula [12],

$$P_D(x,A) = \int_A \int_D G_D(x,y)\nu(y-z)dydz, \quad A \subset (\bar{D})^c.$$

In the case which we investigate in this paper, that is when D is an open interval or a half-line, the above formula holds for any Borel  $A \in D^c$ .

Now we define harmonic and regular harmonic functions. Let u be a Borel measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that u is *harmonic* function in an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  if

$$u(x) = E^x u(X_{\tau_B}), \quad x \in B,$$

for every bounded open set B with the closure  $\overline{B} \subset D$ . We say that u is regular harmonic if

$$u(x) = E^x[\tau_D < \infty; u(X_{\tau_D}))], \quad x \in D.$$

The following lemma provides a very useful lower bound for the Green function. Its proof closely follows the approach used in [19], where the bounds on the potential kernels (Green functions for the whole  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) were established for some special subordinated Brownian motions (in particular for our process for  $d > \alpha$ ). We omit the proof, since one can proceed exactly in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.11 in [11].

**Lemma 2.1.** For any open set  $D \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$G_D(x,y) \ge G_D^{(\alpha)}(x,y),$$

where  $G_D^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$  is the Green function of D for the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable process.

## **3** Properties of the exit time from interval

Now, we briefly recall the basic notions of the fluctuation theory for Lévy processes. For the general account of this theory we refer the reader to [9]. Suppose that  $X_t$  is a general onedimensional Lévy process. Let  $L_t$  be the local time of the process  $X_t$  reflected at its supremum  $M_t = \sup_{s \leq t} X_s$ , and denote by  $L_s^{-1}$  the right-continuous inverse of  $L_t$ , the ascending ladder time process for  $X_t$ . This is a (possibly killed) subordinator, and  $H_s = X(L_s^{-1}) = M(L_s^{-1})$  is another (possibly killed) subordinator, called the ascending ladder-height process. The Laplace exponent of the increasing ladder process, that is, the (possibly killed) bivariate subordinator  $(L_s^{-1}, H_s)$  ( $s < L(\infty)$ ), is denoted by  $\kappa(z, \xi)$ ,

$$\kappa(z,\xi) = c \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \int_{[0,\infty)} (e^{-t} - e^{-zt - \xi x}) t^{-1} P(X_t \in dx) dt\right),$$

where c is a normalization constant of the local time. Since our results are not affected by the choice of c we assume that c = 1.

Moreover, if  $X_t$  is not a compound Poisson process, then by [10], Corollary 9.7,

$$\kappa(0,\xi) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi \log \Psi(\zeta)}{\xi^2 + \zeta^2} d\zeta\right) = \psi^{\dagger}(\xi),$$

where  $\Psi(\xi)$  is the Lévy exponent of  $X_t$ . By  $V(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(H_s \leq x) ds$  we denote the renewal function of the process  $H_s$ . It is well known that V is subadditive, that is

$$V(x+y) \le V(x) + V(y), \quad x, y \ge 0.$$

The behaviour of the renewal function and its derivative is crucial for our purposes. The following result was obtained independently in [17] and [16]. In [16] the assumption on the process  $X_t$  was a bit more restrictive.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $X_t$  be a symmetric Lévy process such that its Lévy-Khintchine exponent  $\Psi(\theta)$  has the property that  $\Psi(\theta)$ ,  $\theta^2/\Psi(\theta)$  are increasing functions. Then

$$\psi^{\dagger}(\xi)\approx\sqrt{\Psi(\xi)}$$

and

$$V(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Psi(1/x)}}.$$

Suppose additionally that  $\Psi(\theta)$  is regularly varying at zero (at  $\infty$ ) with positive exponent and V'(x) is eventually monotone at infinity (at zero). Then,

$$V'(x) \approx \frac{1}{x\sqrt{\Psi(1/x)}}, \quad x \to \infty \quad (x \to 0).$$

In the case when  $\Psi(\theta)$  is slowly varying at  $\infty$  or 0 the above proposition is of little help in estimating V'(x) and we need to use another tool. We will take advantage of the following result proved recently in [17].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\Psi(\xi)$  be the Lévy-Khintchine exponent of a symmetric Lévy process  $X_t$ , which is not a compound Poisson process, and suppose that  $\Psi(\xi) = \psi(\xi^2)$  for a complete Bernstein function  $\psi$ . Then V is a Bernstein function, and

$$V(x) = bx + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0^+}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \left( -\frac{1}{\psi^+(-\xi^2)} \right) \frac{\psi^{\dagger}(\xi)}{\xi} (1 - e^{-x\xi}) d\xi, \ x > 0.$$
(3.5)

Moreover, V' is a completely monotone function and

$$V'(x) = b + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0^+}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(-\frac{1}{\psi^+(-\xi^2)}\right) \psi^{\dagger}(\xi) e^{-x\xi} d\xi, \ x > 0,$$
(3.6)

where  $b = \lim_{\xi \to 0^+} (\xi/\sqrt{\psi(\xi^2)}).$ 

Here the expression  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/\psi^+(-\xi^2))d\xi$  should be understood in the distributional sense, as a weak limit of measures  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/\psi(-\xi^2 + i\varepsilon))d\zeta$  on  $\xi \in (0,\infty)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . The measure  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/\psi^+(-\xi^2))d\xi$  has an atom of mass  $\pi b$  at 0, and this atom is not included in the integrals from  $0^+$  to  $\infty$  in (3.5) and (3.6).

For the rest of this section we assume that  $X_t$  is a symmetric Lévy process which is not a compound Poisson process with its the renewal function V corresponding to such a choice of the local time that the Laplace exponent of the ladder time process is  $\kappa(z, 0) = \sqrt{z}$ . We start with an estimate of the distribution function of the exit time  $\tau$  from a half-line  $(0, \infty)$  which was obtained in [17] (Corollary 2).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\tau$  be the exit time from  $(0, \infty)$ . There is an absolute constant  $C_1$  such that

$$P^{x}(\tau > t) \ge C_1\left(1 \land \frac{V(x)}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad x, t > 0.$$
(3.7)

**Lemma 3.4.** Let 0 < x < R and  $\tau_{(0,R)}$  be the exit time from the interval (0,R). Then

$$P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau) \le \frac{V(x)}{V(R)}.$$
 (3.8)

Proof. The inequality (3.8) was observed in [15] for the case when the resolvent kernels of the Lévy process are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and 0 is regular for  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $Y_t^{\epsilon} = X_t + \epsilon B_t$ , where  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion independent of  $X_t$ . Obviously  $Y^{\epsilon}$  satisfies the above conditions. Furthermore it is easy to see that the renewal function of the  $Y^{\epsilon}$  converges pointwise to V. Moreover, since the process  $Y^{\epsilon}$  converges a.s. to X, uniformly on bounded intervals, the result follows by the limiting argument.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let 0 < x < R. Then

$$\frac{C_1^4}{16}V(x \wedge (R-x))V(R) \le E^x \tau_{(0,R)} \le V(x \wedge (R-x))V(R),$$

where  $C_1$  is the constant from Lemma 3.3.

*Proof.* From symmetry it is enough to consider  $x \leq R/2$ . According to [2] (page 176), Theorem 20, for any measurable non-negative function  $f : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ , we have

$$E^x \left[ \int_0^\tau f(X_t) dt \right] = \int_{[0,\infty)} V(dy) \int_{[0,x]} V(dz) f(x+y-z)$$

We take  $f = I_{[0,R]}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} E^{x}\tau_{(0,R)} &= E^{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{(0,R)}}f(X_{t})dt\right] \leq E^{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}f(X_{t})dt\right] = \int_{[0,\infty)}V(dy)\int_{[0,x]}V(dz)f(x+y-z) \\ &\leq \int_{[0,R]}V(dy)\int_{[0,x]}V(dz) = V(R)V(x), \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of the upper bound.

To prove the lower bound we observe that

$$P^{x}(\tau > t) \le P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} > t) + P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau) \le \frac{E^{x}\tau_{(0,R)}}{t} + P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau).$$

Hence from (3.7), for  $\sqrt{t} > V(x)$ , and from (3.8) we obtain

$$E^{x}\tau_{(0,R)} \geq t(P^{x}(\tau > t) - P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau)) \geq t\left(C_{1}\frac{V(x)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{V(x)}{V(R)}\right)$$
  
=  $V(x)\sqrt{t}\left(C_{1} - \frac{\sqrt{t}}{V(R)}\right).$ 

Let  $\sqrt{t} = \frac{C_1}{2}V(R)$  then, for  $2V(x) \le C_1V(R)$ , we have

$$E^x \tau_{(0,R)} \ge \frac{C_1^2}{4} V(x) V(R)$$

Next, we deal with  $(C_1/2)V(R) \leq V(x) \leq V(R/2)$ . Then for  $x_0 : V(x_0) = (C_1/2)V(R)$ , using the already proved lower bound, we obtain

$$E^{R}\tau_{(0,2R)} \ge E^{x_{0}}\tau_{(0,R)} \ge \frac{C_{1}^{2}}{4}V(x_{0})V(R) = \frac{C_{1}^{3}}{8}V(R)V(R).$$

Finally, let  $x_0 \leq x \leq R/2$ . Then  $V(x) \geq V(x_0) = (C_1/2)V(R)$  which implies

$$E^{x}\tau_{(0,R)} \ge E^{x}\tau_{(0,2x)} \ge \frac{C_{1}^{3}}{8}V(x)V(x) \ge \frac{C_{1}^{4}}{16}V(x)V(R).$$

**Remark 3.6.** Assume that the Green function of the half-line exists. Then, for  $x \leq R/2$ ,

$$\int_0^R G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)dy \le V(x)V(R).$$

Another consequence of Lemma 3.3 is the following two sided bound on the exit probability, which is interesting on its own. There is a huge literature on the subject of so called *scale* functions which describe the probability that the process leaves a given interval through its right end. This function has been found for numerous examples of spectrally negative processes (see a survey [13] and references therein). To the best of our knowledge, for symmetric processes, except the Brownian motion or the  $\alpha$ -stable motions exact formulas are not known, hence optimal estimates seem important.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let 0 < x < R and  $\tau_{(0,R)}$  be the exit time from the interval (0,R). Then

$$\frac{C_1^2}{4} \frac{V(x)}{V(R)} \le P^x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau) \le \frac{V(x)}{V(R)},$$

where  $C_1$  is the constant from Lemma 3.3.

*Proof.* We deal only with the lower bound. From Proposition 3.5 we infer

$$P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} > t) \le \frac{E^{x}\tau_{(0,R)}}{t} \le \frac{V(x)V(R)}{t}.$$

Next, observe that

$$P^{x}(\tau > t) \le P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} > t) + P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau) \le \frac{V(x)V(R)}{t} + P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau)$$

Hence, form (3.7), for  $\sqrt{t} \ge V(x)$ , we have

$$C_1 \frac{V(x)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{V(x)V(R)}{t} \le P^x(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau).$$

If we choose  $\sqrt{t} = \frac{2}{C_1}V(R) \ge \frac{2}{C_1}V(x) \ge V(x)$  then

$$C_1 \frac{V(x)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{V(x)V(R)}{t} = \frac{C_1^2}{4} \frac{V(x)}{V(R)}$$

This yields

$$P^{x}(\tau_{(0,R)} < \tau) \ge \frac{C_{1}^{2}}{4} \frac{V(x)}{V(R)}, \quad x < R.$$

#### 4 Green function and Poisson kernel of the half-line

From now on, we assume that  $X_t$  is the one-dimensional geometric stable process. In order to find precise estimates of the Green function and the Poisson kernel we need to have nice estimates of the renewal function and its derivative of the ladder height process of  $X_t$ . Note that the Laplace exponent  $\phi(\lambda) = \log(1 + \lambda^{\alpha/2})$  is a complete Bernstein function, therefore we can use Proposition 3.2. It is well known (see e.g. [6]) that the derivative V'(x) of the renewal function is decreasing. Monotonicity of V' together with subadditivity of V is frequently used in the sequel. **Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  and x > 0 then

$$V'(x) \approx \frac{1}{x \log^{3/2} (1 + x^{-\alpha/3})}$$

and

$$V(x) \approx \frac{1}{\log^{1/2} (1 + x^{-\alpha})}.$$

*Proof.* The estimates of the renewal function V(x), x > 0, as well as its derivative V'(x) for x > 1 follow from Proposition 3.1. To deal with V'(x), for  $x \leq 1$ , we apply Proposition 3.2 with  $\psi(\xi) = \log(1+\xi^{\alpha/2})$ . Then it is evident that b=1 for  $\alpha=2$  and b=0 otherwise. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(-\frac{1}{\psi^{+}(-\xi^{2})}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{\pi^{2} + \log^{2}(\xi^{2} - 1)} \mathbf{1}_{(1,\infty)}(\xi), & \alpha = 2, \\ \frac{Arg(z)}{Arg(z)^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\log^{2}(1 + 2\xi^{\alpha}\cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + \xi^{2\alpha})}, & \alpha < 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $z = 1 + \xi^{\alpha} \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} + i\xi^{\alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$ . Next, by Proposition 3.1,  $\psi^{\dagger}(\xi) \approx \sqrt{\psi(\xi^2)}$ . Let  $\mu(\xi) = \psi^{\dagger}(\xi) Im \left(-\frac{1}{\psi^{+}(-\xi^2)}\right)$ . Note that  $\mu(\xi) \approx \log^{-3/2} \xi$  for  $\xi \ge 2$ . If  $x \le 1$  then by (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(x) &= b + \int_0^2 e^{-x\xi} \mu(\xi) d\xi + \int_2^\infty e^{-x\xi} \mu(\xi) d\xi \approx 1 + \int_2^\infty \frac{e^{-x\xi}}{\log^{3/2} \xi} d\xi \\ &\approx \int_{4x^{-1}}^\infty \frac{e^{-x\xi}}{\log^{3/2} \xi} d\xi + \int_2^{4x^{-1}} \frac{e^{-x\xi}}{\log^{3/2} \xi} d\xi \\ &\approx \int_2^{4x^{-1}} \frac{1}{\log^{3/2} \xi} d\xi \approx \frac{1}{x \log^{3/2} (4x^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we use the inequality

$$0 < \int_{4x^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x\xi}}{\log^{3/2} \xi} d\xi < \frac{e^{-4}}{x \log^{3/2} (4x^{-1})}.$$

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following estimate

$$V'(x) \approx \frac{V(x)}{x \log(2 + x^{-1})}, \ x > 0.$$
 (4.1)

The next lemma provides useful estimates for some integrals involving V used in the sequel. Lemma 4.2. We have the following estimates

$$\int_{0}^{x} V(y)dy \approx xV(x), \quad x > 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\int_{1}^{x} V(y) \frac{dy}{y} \approx V(x), \quad x \ge 2,$$
(4.3)

$$\int_{x}^{1} V(y) \frac{dy}{y} \approx \frac{1}{V(x)}, \quad x \le 1/2,$$

$$(4.4)$$

$$\int_{x}^{1} V^{\beta}(y) \frac{dy}{y^{2}} \approx \frac{V^{\beta}(x)}{x}, \quad x \le 1/2, \ \beta > 0,$$
(4.5)

*Proof.* The first approximation is true for all Lévy processes. Indeed, by monotonicity and subadditivity of V we have

$$\frac{1}{4}xV(x) \le \frac{x}{2}V\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \le \int_{x/2}^{x} V(y)dy \le \int_{0}^{x} V(y)dy \le xV(x).$$

For  $y \ge 1$ , by Lemma 4.1, we get  $\frac{V(y)}{y} \approx V'(y)$ , which leads to (4.3). Next, Lemma 4.1 implies

$$\int_x^1 V(y) \frac{dy}{y} \approx \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y \log^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{y}\right)} \approx \log^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) \approx \frac{1}{V(x)}.$$

Moreover, by the (4.1),

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\frac{V^{\beta}(x)}{x^2}}{\frac{V^{\beta}(x)}{x^2} - \frac{\beta V^{\beta}(x)V'(x)}{V(x)x}} = 1,$$

which yields (4.5) by applying the l'Hospital's rule.

By Theorem 20 (page 176) of [2] we have a basic and very useful formula for the Green function of the half-line.

#### Lemma 4.3. For 0 < x < y we have

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) = \int_0^x V'(u)V'(y-x+u)du.$$

At this point let us recall that the exact formulas for the Brownian Green functions are well known for several regular sets as intervals or half-lines (see e.g. [1]). Since some of them will be useful in the sequel we list them for the future reference. Recall that the Brownian motion we refer to in this paper has its clock running twice faster than the usual Brownian motion. Denote the renewal function for the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process (properly normalized) by  $V^{(\alpha)}(x) = x^{\alpha/2}$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . For the half-line we have

$$G_{(0,\infty)}^{(2)}(x,y) = x \wedge y = V^{(2)}(x \wedge y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

while for the finite interval (0, R),

$$G_{(0,R)}^{(2)}(x,y) = \frac{x(R-y) \wedge y(R-x)}{R} = \frac{(V^{(2)}(x)V^{(2)}(R-y)) \wedge (V^{(2)}(R-x)V^{(2)}(y))}{R}, \quad x,y \in (0,R).$$

We also recall known estimates for stable case (see e.g. [7]),

$$G_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \begin{cases} \min\left\{\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{(\delta_R(x)\delta_R(y))^{\alpha/2}}{|x-y|}\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_R(x))V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_R(y))}{|x-y|}\right\}, & \alpha < 1, \\ \ln\left(1 + \frac{(\delta_R(x)\delta_R(y))^{1/2}}{|x-y|}\right) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{V^{(1)}(\delta_R(x))V^{(1)}(\delta_R(y))}{|x-y|}\right), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\left(\delta_{R}(x)\delta_{R}(y)\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \wedge \frac{\left(\delta_{R}(x)\delta_{R}(y)\right)^{\alpha/2}}{|x-y|} = \frac{V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_{R}(x))V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_{R}(y))}{(\delta_{R}(x)\delta_{R}(y))^{1/2}} \wedge \frac{V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_{R}(x))V^{(\alpha)}(\delta_{R}(y))}{|x-y|}, \quad \alpha > 1\right)$$

where  $\delta_R(x) = x \wedge (R - x)$ , for  $R < \infty$  and  $\delta_{\infty}(x) = x$ .

Define a function  $\hat{G}^{(\alpha)}_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)$  such that

$$\hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) = \begin{cases} V(x \wedge y), & \alpha = 2, \\ \min\left\{(xy)^{(\alpha-1)/2}, \frac{V(x)V(y)}{|x-y|}\right\}, & 1 < \alpha < 2\\ \ln\left(1 + \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|x-y|)}\right), & \alpha = 1, \\ \min\left\{1, \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|x-y|)}\right\} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}}, & \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y),$$
(4.6)

if  $\alpha \neq 1$  for x, y > 1/2 and if  $\alpha = 1$  for x, y > 1/2 and |x - y| > 1/2. Now, we are at the position to prove the optimal estimates of the Green function of  $(0, \infty)$ , which are crucial for the rest of the paper.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let 0 < x, y. Then

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right) \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})} + \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$

*Proof.* Note that by monotonicity V' and Lemma 4.1 we have for  $0 < u \le w$ ,

$$V'(2w) \le V'(w+u) \le V'(w) \approx V'(2w).$$
 (4.7)

Assume that 0 < x < y. We split the proof into several cases.

Case 1:  $2x \leq y$ .

In this region  $y/2 \le y - x < y$  so, by subadditivity of V,  $\frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)} \le 4$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.3 and (4.7) it follows

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \int_0^x V'(u)V'(y)du = V(x)V'(y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{|y-x|} \frac{1}{\log(2+|y-x|^{-1})}.$$
 (4.8)

For  $|y - x| \le 1$ , by Lemma 4.1 we get  $V^2(|y - x|) \approx \log^{-1}(2 + |y - x|^{-1})$ , which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) &\approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)} \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})} \\ &\approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)} \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})} + \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the inequality  $\frac{V(x)V(y)}{|y-x|} \frac{1}{\log(2+|y-x|^{-1})} \ge \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$ 

Next, for y - x > 1,  $\hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{y-x}$ . Again, by Lemma 4.1, we have  $V^2(y-x)\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1}) \approx (y-x)^{\alpha}$ , for |y-x| > 1. Hence,

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{|y-x|} \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)} \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})} + \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$

Case 2: x + 1/2 < y < 2x.

Note that x > 1/2. By (4.6),  $G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$  and  $G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \ge c \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})}$ . By Lemma 4.3 and (4.7),

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) = \int_0^{1/2} V'(u)V'(y-x+u)du + \int_{1/2}^x V'(u)V'(y-x+u)du$$
  

$$\approx V'(y-x)V(1/2) + \int_0^{1/2} V'(u)V'(y-x+u)du.$$

Similarly,

$$G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx (V^{(\alpha)})'(y-x)V^{(\alpha)}(1/2) + \int_{1/2}^x (V^{(\alpha)})'(u)(V^{(\alpha)})'(y-x+u)du.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $V'(u) \approx (V^{(\alpha)})'(u)$  and  $V(u) \approx V^{(\alpha)}(u)$  for  $u \geq 1/2$ . Hence

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) + \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})}.$$

Case 3:  $x < y < (x + 1/2) \land 2x$ .

We use Lemma 4.3 and (4.7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) &= \int_0^{y-x} V'(u) V'(y-x+u) du + \int_{y-x}^x V'(u) V'(y-x+u) du \\ &\approx V'(y-x) V(y-x) + \int_{y-x}^x V'(u) V'(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 the first term is estimated in the following way

$$V'(y-x)V(y-x) \approx \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})}.$$
(4.9)

It remains to estimate  $\int_{y-x}^{x} (V')^2(u) du$ . Note that, by Lemma 4.1,  $V'(u) \approx \frac{V^3(u)}{u}, u \leq 2$ . Hence, for  $x \leq 2$ , by (4.5),

$$\int_{y-x}^{x} (V')^{2}(u) du \leq c \int_{y-x}^{2} \frac{V^{6}(u)}{u^{2}} du \approx \frac{V^{6}(y-x)}{y-x} \approx \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^{3}(2+|y-x|^{-1})}.$$
 (4.10)

For x > 2, again by Lemma 4.1,

$$\int_{1}^{x} (V')^{2}(u) du \approx \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-1}, & \alpha > 1, \\ \log x, & \alpha = 1, \\ 1, & \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \approx \begin{cases} (xy)^{(\alpha-1)/2}, & \alpha > 1, \\ \log(1+x^{1/2}y^{1/2}), & \alpha = 1, \\ 1, & \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\int_{1}^{x} (V')^{2}(u) du \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y), \qquad (4.11)$$

and, for  $\alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$\int_{1}^{x} (V')^{2}(u) du \le c \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$
(4.12)

Moreover, by (4.10),

$$\int_{y-x}^{x} (V')^2(u) du \ge c \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$
(4.13)

Finally, combining (4.9)-(4.13) we get

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(2+|y-x|^{-1})} + \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$

**Remark 4.5.** Let |x - y| > A. Then there exists a constant C = C(A) such that

$$C^{-1}\hat{G}^{(\alpha)}_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \le G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \le C\hat{G}^{(\alpha)}_{(0,\infty)}(x,y).$$

Moreover, if x, y < 4 then

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right) |y-x|^{-1} \log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

In the rest of this section we prove the estimates of the Poisson kernel of  $(0, \infty)$ . Recall that for  $0 < \alpha < 2$  we know the form of the Poisson kernel for the  $\alpha$ -stable process (see e.g. [4]),

$$P_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,z) = C_{\alpha} \frac{V^{(\alpha)}(x)}{V^{(\alpha)}(|z|)} \frac{1}{x-z}, \quad z < 0 < x.$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Let z < 0 < x. Assume that  $x \lor |z| \ge 1$ , then we have

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \begin{cases} e^{z} \frac{V(x\wedge 1)}{V(|z|)}, & \alpha = 2, \\ \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{x-z}, & \alpha < 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case 1:  $\alpha = 2$  and z < -1, x > 0.

Observe that

$$V(x \wedge 1)V(y \wedge 1) \le V(x \wedge y)V(1) \le V(x \wedge 1)V(y \vee 1).$$

Assume that  $z \leq -1$ . Since by, Remark 4.5,  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx V(x \wedge y), y \geq x+1$ , then using formula (2.4) and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) &\leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} V(x \wedge y) \frac{e^{z-y}}{y-z} dy + c \int_{0 \vee (x-1)}^{x+1} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \frac{e^{z-y}}{y-z} dy \\ &\leq \frac{ce^{z}}{|z|V(1)} V(x \wedge 1) \int_{0}^{\infty} V(y \vee 1) e^{-y} dy + c \frac{e^{z-x}}{x-z} \int_{0}^{2(x+1)} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) dy \\ &\leq c V(x \wedge 1) \frac{e^{z}}{V(|z|)} + c V(x) V(2x+2) e^{-x} \frac{e^{z}}{V(|z|)} \\ &\leq c V(x \wedge 1) \frac{e^{z}}{V(|z|)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{split} P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) &\geq c \int_0^1 V(x \wedge y) \frac{e^{z-y}}{y-z} dy \\ &\geq \frac{ce^z}{-2zV(1)} V(x \wedge 1) \int_0^1 V(y) e^{-y} dy \approx V(x \wedge 1) \frac{e^z}{V(|z|)}. \end{split}$$

Case 2:  $\alpha < 2$  and  $z \leq -1, 1 \leq x$ .

For  $y \leq 1/2$  we have, by (4.8),  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{x}$  and similarly  $G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V^{(\alpha)}(x)V^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x}$ . Observing that  $\nu(y-z) \approx \nu^{(\alpha)}(y-z)$ , for y > 0 and applying  $V(x) \approx V^{(\alpha)}(x)$ , which follows from Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1/2} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy &\approx \frac{V(x)}{x}\nu(z)\int_{0}^{1/2}V(y)dy\\ &\approx \frac{V(x)}{x}\nu(z)\approx \int_{0}^{1/2}\frac{V^{(\alpha)}(x)V^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x}\nu^{(\alpha)}(y-z)dy\\ &\approx \int_{0}^{1/2}G^{(\alpha)}_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu^{(\alpha)}(y-z)dy. \end{split}$$

Note, by Lemma 2.1 and (4.6), that  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \ge G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$ , and  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$ , for  $y \ge \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|x-y| \ge 1$ . We then infer, there is c such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)\nu^{(\alpha)}(y-z)dy &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \\ &\leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)\nu^{(\alpha)}(y-z)dy \\ &+ \int_{x-1}^{x+1} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy. \end{split}$$

Moreover, by (3.6),

$$\begin{split} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy &\leq \nu(x-1-z)\int_{0}^{2x} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)dy \leq c\nu(x-z)V(x)V(2x) \\ &\approx \frac{V^2(x)}{|x-z|^{1+\alpha}} \leq c\frac{V(x)}{|x-z||z|^{\alpha/2}} \approx P_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,z). \end{split}$$

which finally implies

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx P_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{|x-z|}$$

Case 3:  $\alpha < 2$  and z < -1,  $x \leq 1$ . By (3.6),

$$\int_0^2 G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \le \nu(|z|) \int_0^2 G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)dy \le c\nu(z)V(x) \approx \frac{V(x)}{|z|^{1+\alpha}}.$$

For  $y \ge 2$ , by (4.8), we have  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{y} \approx \frac{V(x)}{y^{1-\alpha/2}}$  hence

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \approx V(x)\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^{1-\alpha/2}|y-z|^{1+\alpha}} \approx \frac{V(x)}{|z|^{1+\alpha/2}}$$

which yields

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{|z|^{1+\alpha/2}} \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{x-z}.$$

Case 4:  $\alpha \le 2$  and  $-1 < z < 0, x \ge 1$ .

We split the integral defining the Poisson kernel into three parts

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) &= \int_{0}^{|z|/4} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy + \int_{|z|/4}^{1/2} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \\ &+ \int_{1/2}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy. \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \leq 1/2$ , by (4.8), we have  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{x}$ . Moreover  $\nu(z) \approx \frac{1}{|z|}$ , hence

$$\int_0^{|z|/4} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \approx \frac{V(x)}{x}\nu(z)\int_0^{|z|/4} V(y)dy \le c\frac{V(x)}{x}.$$

Next, applying (4.5), the second integral is estimated in the following way

$$\int_{|z|/4}^{1/2} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \approx \frac{V(x)}{x} \int_{|z|/4}^{1/2} V(y)\nu(y)dy \approx \frac{V(x)}{x} \int_{|z|/4}^{1/2} \frac{V(y)}{y}dy \approx \frac{V(x)}{xV(|z|/4)} + \frac{V(x)}{xV$$

Summing both estimates we infer that

$$\int_0^{1/2} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \approx \frac{V(x)}{xV(|z|/4)} \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{|x-z|}.$$

For  $y \leq x/2$  or  $y \geq 2x$ , by (4.8), we have  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{x+y}$ , hence applying (3.6), we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy &\leq c \frac{V(x)}{x} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} V(y)\nu(y)dy + \int_{x/2}^{2x} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(z-y)dy \\ &\leq c \frac{V(x)}{x} + \nu(x/2) \int_{0}^{2x} G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)dy \\ &\leq c \frac{V(x)}{x} + \nu(x/2)V(x)V(2x) \\ &\approx \frac{V(x)}{x}. \end{split}$$

Combining all the estimates of the integrals we obtain

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{x-z}, \text{ for } \alpha \le 2.$$

Noting that for  $\alpha = 2$  we have  $\frac{V(x)}{x-z} \approx 1$ , we can rewrite the above comparison as

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \frac{1}{V(|z|)}, \text{ for } \alpha = 2.$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let z < 0 < x and  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ , then

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{V(x\wedge 1)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(1+\frac{1}{x-z})} e^z, & \alpha = 2, \\ \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})}, & \alpha < 2, \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.6 it remains to consider the case -1 < z < 0 < x < 1. By Remark 4.5 we have

$$R(x,z) = \int_0^2 G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \approx \int_0^2 \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|x-y|)}\right) \frac{1}{|x-y|\log^2\left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} \frac{dy}{y-z}.$$

Let us denote

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{x/2} V(y) \frac{dy}{y-z},$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{x/2}^{3x/2} \frac{1}{|x-y| \log^{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} dy,$$

$$I_{3} = \int_{3x/2}^{2} \frac{V^{3}(y)}{y} \frac{dy}{y-z}.$$

Note that

$$R(x,z) \approx \frac{V^3(x)}{x}I_1 + \frac{1}{x-z}I_2 + V(x)I_3.$$

We start with the estimate of  $I_2$ ,

$$I_2 \approx \int_x^{3x/2} \frac{1}{(y-x)\log^2(y-x)} dy \approx \frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{x})} \approx V^2(x).$$

For |z| < x, by (4.5),

$$I_3 \approx \int_{3x/2}^2 \frac{V^3(y)}{y^2} dy \approx \frac{V^3(x)}{x}$$

Moreover, by (4.2),

$$I_{1} \approx \int_{|z|/4}^{x/2} \frac{1}{y \log^{1/2} (1+y^{-1})} dy + \frac{1}{|z|} \int_{0}^{|z|/4} V(y) dy \approx \log^{1/2} (1+4/|z|) - \log^{1/2} (1+x^{-1}) + V(|z|)$$
  
$$\approx V(|z|) \log \left(1 + \frac{x}{|z|}\right).$$
(4.14)

Hence, for x > |z|,

$$R(x,z) \approx \frac{V^2(x)}{x} \left( 1 + V(|z|)V(x) \log\left(1 + \frac{x}{|z|}\right) \right).$$

Assume that 2|z| < x < 1/2, then

$$1 + V(|z|)V(x)\log\left(1 + \frac{x}{|z|}\right) \approx 1 + \frac{1}{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{|z|})} \frac{1}{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})}\log\frac{x}{|z|}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{|z|})}{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})} - \frac{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})}{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{|z|})} \approx \frac{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{|z|})}{\log^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})}$$
$$\approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)}.$$
(4.15)

If  $|z| < x \le 2|z|$ , then

$$1 + V(|z|)V(x)\log\left(1 + \frac{x}{|z|}\right) \approx 1 \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)}.$$
(4.16)

For  $x \ge 1/2$ , we have

$$1 + V(|z|)V(x)\log\left(1 + \frac{x}{|z|}\right) \approx V(z)\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{|z|}\right) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)}.$$
(4.17)

That is

$$R(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V^2(x)}{x} \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V^2(x-z)}{x-z}.$$

If  $|z| \ge x$  we have by (4.2),

$$I_1 \approx \frac{1}{|z|} \int_0^{x/2} V(y) dy \approx \frac{x}{|z|} V(x),$$

and by (4.5),

$$\begin{split} I_3 &\approx \int_{7/4|z|}^2 \frac{V^3(y)}{y^2} dy + \frac{1}{|z|} \int_{3/2x}^{7/4|z|} \frac{1}{y \log^{3/2}(1+y^{-1})} dy \\ &\approx \frac{V^3(|z|)}{|z|} + \frac{1}{|z|} \left( \frac{1}{\log^{1/2}(1+\frac{4}{7|z|})} - \frac{1}{\log^{1/2}(1+\frac{2}{3x})} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{V(|z|)}{|z|} \left( V^2(|z|) + V^2(x) \log\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{x}\right) \right) \\ &\approx \frac{V^2(x)V(|z|)}{|z|} \log\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{x}\right). \end{split}$$

Combining the estimates of the integrals  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  we arrive at, for  $x \ge |z|$ ,

$$R(x,z) \approx \frac{V^2(x)}{|z|} \left( 1 + V(|z|)V(x) \log\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{x}\right) \right).$$

By symmetry and (4.15-4.17) we infer that

$$R(x,z) \approx \frac{V^2(x)}{|z|} \frac{V(|z|)}{V(x)} \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V^2(x-z)}{x-z}.$$

Next, observe that  $R(x, -1) \leq R(x, z)$  hence from the above established bound and Lemma 4.1 we infer that

$$R(x,z) \ge cV(x). \tag{4.18}$$

Since, by (4.8),  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{y}$ , y > 2, we obtain

$$\int_2^\infty G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy \le cV(x)\int_2^\infty \frac{V(y)}{y}\nu(y)dy \le cV(x),$$

which together with (4.18) implies that the Poisson kernel is comparable with R(x, z). Hence, by Lemma 4.1

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log\left(2+\frac{1}{x-z}\right)}.$$

**Remark 4.8.** Let -1 < z < 0 < x < 1, then

$$P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx \int_0^{7/4(x\vee|z|)} \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|x-y|)}\right) \frac{1}{|x-y|\log^2\left(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} \frac{dy}{y-z}$$

# 5 Boundary Harnack principle

In this section we derive the Harnack inequality for non-negative harmonic functions in intervals. The method we apply for this purpose is a regularization of the Poisson kernel of an interval or rather its upper bound provided by the Poisson kernel of a half-line. We follow the approach of [5], where it was used to deal with a class of symmetric stable processes not necessarily rotation invariant. As a consequence of the Harnack inequality we obtain the boundary Harnack principle.

We start with two elementary lemmas, which we leave without rigorous proofs, giving only some explanation how to derive them. The first lemma follows from the Ikeda-Watanabe formula and the fact that  $\nu$  is radially decreasing.

**Lemma 5.1.** For any r > 0 and |x| < r < |z|,

$$E^{x}\tau_{(-r,r)}\nu(|z|+2r) \le P_{(-r,r)}(x,z) \le E^{x}\tau_{(-r,r)}\nu(|z|-r).$$

From Proposition 3.5 we have  $E^x \tau_{(-r,r)} \approx V(r)V(r-|x|)$ . Combining this with the above lemma and the properties of the Lévy measure we easily obtain the following estimates.

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose that h is a non-negative function. Let p > 1 and r > 0. Let

$$h_2(x) = E^x \left[ h(X_{\tau_{(-r,r)}}), |X_{\tau_r}| > pr \right]$$

Then there is  $C = C(p, \alpha) > 0$  such that for |x| < r,

$$C^{-1}V(r)V(r-|x|)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz \le h_2(x) \le CV(r)V(r-|x|)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz, \quad 0<\alpha<2,$$

and

$$C^{-1}e^{-2r}V(r)V(r-|x|)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz \le h_2(x) \le Ce^rV(r)V(r-|x|)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz, \quad \alpha=2.$$

**Theorem 5.3** (Harnack inequality). Let  $1 . There exists a constant <math>C = C(\alpha, p)$  such that for any r > 0 and any nonnegative function h, harmonic in (-2r, 2r), it holds, for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,

$$C^{-1}V^{2}(r)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz \le h(x) \le CV^{2}(r)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz, \qquad x \in (-r,r).$$

For  $\alpha = 2$  we have

$$C^{-1}e^{-5/2r}V^2(r)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz \le h(x) \le Ce^{2r}V^2(r)\int_{|z|>pr}h(z)\nu(z)dz, \qquad x \in (-r,r).$$

*Proof.* In the proof below the appearing constants  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$  will depend on  $p, \alpha$ , only. For simplicity, we will write  $\tau_{(-r,r)}$  as  $\tau_r$ . We start with the upper bound. Define

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) = \int_{pr}^{13/8r \wedge |z|} P_{(-t,t)}(x,z)dt, \quad |z| > pr.$$

Since h is harmonic on (-2r, 2r), for all  $t \in [pr, 13/8r]$ , we have

$$h(x) = \int_{|z|>t} P_{(-t,t)}(x,z)h(z)dz.$$

Therefore

$$(13/8 - p)rh(x) = \int_{pr}^{13/8r} \int_{|z|>t} P_{(-t,t)}(x,z)h(z)dzdt$$
  
= 
$$\int_{pr<|z|<7/4r} \tilde{P}(x,z)h(z)dz + \int_{|z|>7/4r} \tilde{P}(x,z)h(z)dz$$
  
= 
$$I_1 + I_2.$$

By Lemma 5.2 we have

$$I_2 \le c_1 \begin{cases} (13/8 - p)rV^2(r) \int_{|z| > 7/4r} h(z)\nu(z)dz, & \alpha < 2, \\ e^{2r}(13/8 - p)rV^2(r) \int_{|z| > 7/4r} h(z)\nu(z)dz, & \alpha = 2. \end{cases}$$

In order to estimate  $I_1$  we need an upper bound of  $\tilde{P}(x, z)$ . We claim that there is a constant  $c_2$  such that for pr < |z| < (7/4)r, |x| < r,

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) \le c_2 V^2(r \wedge 1). \tag{5.19}$$

By symmetry, we can assume that z < -pr. Then, we have

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) \leq \int_{pr}^{13/8r \wedge |z|} P_{(-t,\infty)}(x,z) dt = \int_{pr}^{13/8r \wedge |z|} P_{(0,\infty)}(x+t,z+t) dt.$$

Since |x| < r, then (p-1)r < x+t < 3r and x-z > (p-1)r. First, assuming  $r \le 1$  for  $\alpha = 2$  or arbitrary r for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , by Theorem 4.7,

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) \leq c_3 \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})} \int_{pr}^{13/8r \wedge |z|} \frac{V(x+t)}{V(|z|-t|)} dt \\
\leq c_4 \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})} V(3r) \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{V(t)} \\
\leq c_5 \frac{1}{r\log(2+\frac{1}{r})} V(r) \int_0^{2r} \frac{dt}{V(t)}$$

Noting that  $\int_0^{2r} \frac{dt}{V(t)} \approx \frac{r}{V(r)}$  we obtain

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) \le c_6 \frac{1}{\log(2+\frac{1}{r})} \approx V^2(r \wedge 1).$$

Similarly, for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $r \ge 1$ ,

$$\tilde{P}(x,z) \leq c_7 \int_{pr}^{13/8r \wedge |z|} \frac{V(1)}{V(|z|-t)} e^{-|z|+t} dt \leq c_7 V(1) \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{V(u)} du.$$

By (5.19) and since the density of the Levy measure is radially decreasing we have

$$r^{-1}I_1 = r^{-1} \int_{pr < |z| < 7/4r} \tilde{P}(x, z)h(z)dz \le c_2 \frac{V^2(r \land 1)}{r\nu(r)} \int_{pr < |z| < 7/4r} \nu(z)h(z).$$

Note that, for  $\alpha < 2$  we have  $\frac{V^2(r \wedge 1)}{r\nu(r)} \approx V^2(r)$ , and for  $\alpha = 2$  we have  $\frac{V^2(r \wedge 1)}{r\nu(r)} \approx V^2(r)\frac{e^r}{1+r}$ . Combining this with the above estimates of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  we obtain

$$h(x) \le c_{10} V^2(r) \int_{|z| > pr} h(z)\nu(z)dz, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$
$$h(x) \le c_{10} e^{2r} V^2(r) \int_{|z| > pr} h(z)\nu(z)dz, \quad \alpha = 2.$$

Finally we find the lower bound for h(x). Let q = (1 + p)/2. Next, for

$$h_2(x) = E^x \left[ h(X_{\tau_{qr}}), |X_{\tau_{qr}}| > pr \right],$$

by Lemma 5.2, for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , we arrive at

$$h(x) \ge h_2(x) \ge c_{11} V^2(r) \int_{|z| > pr} h(z) \nu(z) dz.$$

Similarly, for  $\alpha = 2$ , we have

$$h(x) \ge h_2(x) \ge c_{11}e^{-5/2r}V^2(r) \int_{|z|>pr} h(z)\nu(z)dz.$$

**Remark 5.4.** The weak form of the Harnack inequality for the geometric stable process was proved in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for  $d > \alpha$  in [20], Theorem 6.6. It was shown there that there is a constant  $C = C(r, \alpha, d)$  such that for any harmonic function h in a ball B(0, r) we have

$$h(x) \le Ch(y), \quad x, y \in B(0, r/2).$$

As a function of r > 0 the obtained constant C tends to  $\infty$  as  $r \searrow 0$ . Such form is not scale invariant. The constant from Theorem 5.3 does not depend on r for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . In the last section we find two-sided estimates for the Poisson kernel of any interval which allow to improve the Harnack inequality for  $\alpha = 2$  (see Theorem 6.5). Our result suggests that the scale invariant version can be proved also in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 5.5** (Boundary Harnack property). There exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha)$  such that for any r > 0 and any nonnegative function h regular harmonic in (0, 2r) which vanishes in (-2r, 0) we have, for  $\alpha = 2$ ,

$$C^{-1}e^{-2r}\frac{V(x)}{V(r)} \le \frac{h(x)}{h(r)} \le Ce^{2r}\frac{V(x)}{V(r)}, \quad 0 < x < r.$$

For  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,

$$C^{-1} \frac{V(x)}{V(r)} \le \frac{h(x)}{h(r)} \le C \frac{V(x)}{V(r)}, \quad 0 < x < r.$$

*Proof.* We provide the proof for the case  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , only. Let  $h_2(x) = E^x [h(X_{\tau_r}), |X_{\tau_r}| > 3/2r]$ . Note that by the Harnack inequality and Lemma 5.2 we have  $h_2(r/2) \approx h(r/2) \approx h(r)$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\frac{h_2(x)}{h_2(r)} \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(r)}$$

Hence,

$$h_2(x) \approx h(r) \frac{V(x)}{V(r)}.$$

Next, by the Harnack inequality

$$h_1(x) = E^x \left[ h(X_{\tau_r}), r \le X_{\tau_r} < 3/2r \right] \le Ch(r)P^x \left( r < X_{\tau_r} < 3/2r \right) \le Ch(r) \frac{V(x)}{V(r)}$$

This implies that

$$h(x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x) \approx h(r) \frac{V(x)}{V(r)}, \quad 0 < x < r.$$

# 6 Green function and Poisson kernel of the interval

This section is devoted to extension of the results of Section 4 to intervals. We show optimal estimates of the Green functions and Poisson kernels for intervals taking into account the size of intervals. Note that by passing to infinity with the length of intervals we recover the estimates from Section 4. This does not mean that the results of Section 4 can be obtained from the current section. In fact, we strongly use the estimates for half-lines, showing that for some choice of variables and interval lengths the Green functions and Poisson kernels are comparable for intervals and intervals.

**Lemma 6.1.** A) There exists a constant  $a \le 1/2$  such that, for  $0 < x, y \le aR$ ,

 $G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \ge 1/2G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y).$ 

B) For any 0 < a < 1/2 there is a constant b < a/2 such that, for  $R \le 4$ , and a/2R < x < y < (1 - a/2)R,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \ge 1/2G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y),$$

 $if |x - y| \le bR.$ 

*Proof.* Throughout the whole proof we assume that 0 < x < y and a < 1/2. Denote  $\tau_R = \tau_{(0,R)}$  and observe that

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) = G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) - E^x G_{(0,\infty)}(X_{\tau_R},y).$$

Note that  $G_{(0,\infty)}(z,y)$  is decreasing on  $(y,\infty)$  as a function of z, which together with Lemma 3.4 implies

$$E^{x}G_{(0,\infty)}(X_{\tau_{R}},y) \leq G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y)\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}.$$
 (6.20)

Observe that for x < y we have

$$\frac{V(x)V(y)}{1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}} \le V^2(y).$$
(6.21)

Suppose that  $x, y \leq 2 \wedge aR$ . Then by Remark 4.5,

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right) |y-x|^{-1} \log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

By (4.8), we have  $G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y) \approx \frac{V(y)V(R)}{R\log(2+R^{-1})}$ . Applying (6.21) we obtain the following bound

$$\frac{\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y)}{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)} \leq c \frac{V^2(y)|y-x|\log^2(2+\frac{1}{|y-x|})}{R\log(2+R^{-1})} \\ \leq c \frac{V^2(aR\wedge 1)(aR\wedge 1)\log^2(2+\frac{1}{aR\wedge 1})}{R\log(2+R^{-1})}.$$

Next, by Lemma 4.1, we infer that

$$V^{2}(aR \wedge 1) \approx \log^{-1}(2 + \frac{1}{aR \wedge 1}),$$

which proves that

$$\frac{\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y)}{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)} \leq c \frac{(aR \wedge 1)\log(2 + \frac{1}{aR \wedge 1})}{R\log(2 + R^{-1})} \\ \leq ca\log(2 + \frac{1}{a}).$$
(6.22)

Assume now that x < 1 < 2 < y < aR or 1 < x < y < aR. If x < 1 < 2 < y < aR, due to (4.8),  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)V(y)}{y}$ . If 1 < x < y < aR then

$$G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \ge c\hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \ge c\frac{V(x)V(y)}{y}$$

By (4.8) we have  $G_{(0,\infty)}(y,R) \approx \frac{V(y)V(R)}{R}$  which together with  $G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \ge c \frac{V(x)V(y)}{y}$  implies

$$\frac{G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y)\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}}{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)} \le c\frac{y}{R} \le ca.$$
(6.23)

Combining (6.20, 6.22, 6.23) we infer that

$$E^{x}G_{(0,\infty)}(X_{\tau_{R}},y) \le ca\log(2+\frac{1}{a})G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \le (1/2)G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)$$

for sufficiently small a, which completes the proof of the first part of the Lemma.

Now we proceed with the proof of part (B). Let  $R \leq 4$  and a/2R < x < y < (1 - a/2)R. Assume that  $|x - y| \leq bR$ . Let us observe that  $V^2(y - x) \leq V^2(bR) \leq V^2(a/2R) \leq V(x)V(y)$ . Then by Remark 4.5 we have

$$\frac{\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}G_{(0,\infty)}(R,y)}{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)} \approx \frac{\frac{V(x)}{V(R)}\left(1 \wedge \frac{V(R)V(y)}{V^2(R-y)}\right)\frac{1}{(R-y)\log^2(1+(R-y)^{-1})}}{\left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(y-x)}\right)\frac{1}{(y-x)\log^2(1+(y-x)^{-1})}} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{(R-y)\log^2(1+(R-y)^{-1})}}{\frac{1}{(y-x)\log^2(1+(y-x)^{-1})}} \leq c\frac{bR\log^2\left(1+\frac{1}{bR}\right)}{aR\log^2\left(1+\frac{1}{aR}\right)} \leq c\frac{b}{a}\left(1+\log\frac{a}{b}\right)^2.$$

Hence

$$E^{x}G_{(0,\infty)}(X_{\tau_{R}},y) \leq (1/2)G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y)$$

for sufficiently small b.

Standard arguments imply the estimates of the Green function of the interval (0, R), R > 0 if R is bounded by a fixed positive number  $R_0$ . In the theorem below we choose  $R_0 = 4$  as an upper bound for R, however we could chose any positive number at the expense of the comparability constant.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let R < 4 then we have,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right)|y-x|^{-1}\log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* If x, y < aR then, by Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 6.1 we get

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right) |y-x|^{-1} \log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

By symmetry we have, for x, y > (1 - a)R,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(R-x)V(R-y)}{V^2(|y-x|)}\right)|y-x|^{-1}\log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1})$$

Let a/2R < x < y < (1 - a/2)R. If  $|x - y| \le bR$  then again, by Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 4.4,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx |y-x|^{-1} \log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

If R > |x - y| > bR, the Harnack inequality implies

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx G_{(0,R)}(y-bR,y) \approx |y-x|^{-1}\log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

For x < a/2R and y > aR we use the boundary Harnack principle to get

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(a/2R)} G_{(0,R)}(a/2R,y).$$

If y > (1 - a/2)R we again use the boundary Harnack principle

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(a/2R)} G_{(0,R)}(a/2R, (1-a/2)R) \frac{V(y)}{V((1-a/2)R)}$$

Hence

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{V^2(|y-x|)}|y-x|^{-1}\log^{-2}(1+|y-x|^{-1}).$$

To extend the above uniform bound to large intervals we define a function  $\hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y), x, y \in (0,R)$ , such that

$$\hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \min\left\{\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{|x-y|}\right\}, & \alpha < 1, \\ \ln\left(1 + \frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{|x-y|}\right), & \alpha = 1, \\ \min\left\{\frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{(\delta_R(x)\delta_R(y))^{1/2}}, \frac{V(\delta_R(x))V(\delta_R(y))}{|x-y|}\right\}, & 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ \frac{(V(x)V(R-y))\wedge(V(R-x)V(y))}{R}, & \alpha = 2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $R \ge 4$  and  $x \le y$  then we have for  $|x - y| \le 1$ ,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \min\{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y), G_{(0,\infty)}(R-x, R-y)\}$$

*and for* |x - y| > 1

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$$

Proof. For  $\alpha = 2$  we can use similar methods to the proof of Theorem 6.4 in [11]. Therefore we assume that  $\alpha < 2$ . By symmetry we have  $G_{(0,R)}(x,y) = G_{(0,R)}(R-x, R-y)$  and we can assume that  $x \leq y$ . Hence  $G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \leq \min\{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y), G_{(0,\infty)}(R-x, R-y)\}$ . Let  $|x-y| \leq 1$ , and  $x \leq R/2$ . Then  $\delta_R(y) \geq y/2$  and by Theorem 6.2 we infer

$$\begin{array}{lcl} G_{(0,R)}(x,y) & \geq & G_{((x-2)\vee 0,(x+2)\vee R)}(x,y) \\ & \approx & \left(1 \wedge \frac{V(x \wedge 2)V(y \wedge 2)}{V^2(|x-y|)}\right) \frac{1}{|x-y|\log^2(1+\frac{1}{|x-y|})}. \end{array}$$

Hence, Remark 4.5, for  $x \leq 1$ , and Theorem 4.4, Lemma 2.1 and (4.6), for x > 1, imply

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \ge cG_{(0,\infty)}(x,y).$$

For x > R/2, we use symmetry to get

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \ge cG_{(0,\infty)}(R-x,R-y),$$

which proves, for  $|x - y| \le 1$ ,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \min\{G_{(0,\infty)}(x,y), G_{(0,\infty)}(R-x, R-y)\}$$

Assume that |x - y| > 1. Let us observe that, for  $x, y \leq 3/4R$ , we have  $\hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,\infty)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y)$ . Hence, by Remark 4.5

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \le c\hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$

Lemma 2.1 implies, for  $x, y \ge 1/2$ ,

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$
 (6.24)

If x < 1/2 we use the boundary Harnack principle to get the above estimate. By symmetry, (6.24) is true, for  $x, y \ge R/4$ , as well. For x < R/4 and y > 3/4R the boundary Harnack principle implies

$$G_{(0,R)}(x,y) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(R/4)} G_{(0,R)}(R/4, 3/4R) \frac{V(R-y)}{V(3/4R)} \approx \hat{G}_{(0,R)}^{(\alpha)}(x,y).$$

Now, we prove estimates for the Poisson kernel of the interval (0, R). By symmetry,  $P_{(0,R)}(x,z) = P_{(0,R)}(R-x, R-z)$ . Therefore it is enough to prove estimates for z < 0 and  $x \in (0, R)$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** Assume that z < 0 < x < R.

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$  and  $x, |z| \leq 2 \wedge R$  we have

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V(R-x)}{V(R+|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})}$$

For  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , when x > 2 or  $|z| > 2 \land R$ , we have

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)V(R-x)}{V(|z|)V(|z|+R)} \frac{1}{x-z}$$

For  $\alpha = 2$ ,

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx \begin{cases} e^{-|z|} \frac{V(x \wedge 1)V(R-x)}{RV(|z|)}, & R \ge 4, \ x > 2 \ or \ |z| > 2, \\ e^{-|z|} \frac{V(x)V(R-x)}{|z|}, & R \le 4, \ |z| \ge R. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We present arguments only for  $\alpha = 2$ , since the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7. Moreover, for intervals of length not bigger than  $R_0 = 4$  (the upper bound 4 can be replaced by any  $R_0$  at the expense of the comparability constant), the proof below is suitable for all  $\alpha$ 's, provided that  $|z| \leq R$ .

We will use Theorems 6.2 and 6.3, therefore first we will prove estimates for  $R \leq 4$  and next for R > 4.

We start with  $R \leq 4$ . Assume additionally that -R/2 < z < 0. Clearly

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \le P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z).$$

Note that  $V(R-y) \approx V(y)$ , for  $R/2 \leq y \leq 7/8R$ . Therefore by Theorem 6.2 and Remark 4.8, for  $x \leq R/2$ , we have

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \ge c \int_0^{7/8R} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{V(x)V(y)}{V^2(|y-x|)} \right) \frac{1}{|y-x|\log^2(1+|y-x|^{-1})} \frac{dy}{y-z} \ge c P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z),$$
(6.25)

yielding

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z).$$
 (6.26)

For x > R/2, we have  $x - z \approx R \approx R/2 - z$  and  $V(x) \approx V(R/2)$ , hence by the boundary Harnack principle, (6.26) and Theorem 4.7,

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx P_{(0,R)}(R/2,z) \frac{V(R-x)}{V(R/2)} \\ \approx \frac{V(R)}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})} \frac{V(R-x)}{V(R/2)} \\ \approx \frac{V(R-x)}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})}.$$

The last comparability, (6.26) and Theorem 4.7 imply that

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V(R-x)}{V(R+|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})}, \quad -R/2 < z < 0 < x < R \le 4.$$

For z < -R/2, we have  $\nu(|z|) \approx \nu(z + 3/2R)$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.5 we get, for  $z \leq -R/2$ ,

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx E^x \tau_{(0,R)} \nu(z) \approx V(x) V(R-x) \nu(z).$$

This ends the proof for  $R \leq 4$ .

Assume that  $R \ge 4$ . If -1 < z < 0 < x < 1 then by (6.26),

$$CP_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \le P_{(0,3)}(x,z) \le P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \le P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z),$$

which, by Theorem 4.7, yields

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx \frac{V(x)}{V(|z|)} \frac{V(R-x)}{V(R+|z|)} \frac{1}{(x-z)\log(2+\frac{1}{x-z})}, \quad -1 < z < 0 < x < 1.$$

For  $x \vee |z| \ge 1$  and  $x \le R/2$  we use the same arguments like in the proof Lemma 4.6 to get

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \ge ce^{z} \frac{V(x \land 1)}{V(|z|)} \approx P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z).$$

Hence,

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx P_{(0,\infty)}(x,z) \approx e^{-|z|} \frac{V(x \wedge 1)V(R-x)}{RV(|z|)}$$

Now, assume that x > R/2. Denote  $W(x,z) = \int_0^R \hat{G}^{(2)}(x,y)\nu(y-z)dy$ , then by (4.4)

$$\begin{split} W(x,z) &= \int_0^x \frac{V(R-x)V(y)}{R} \nu(y-z) dy + \int_x^R \frac{V(x)V(R-y)}{R} \nu(y-z) dy \\ &= \frac{e^z}{R} \left( V(R-x) \int_0^x \frac{V(y)e^{-y}}{y-z} dy + V(x) \int_0^{R-x} \frac{V(y)e^{-R+y}}{R-y-z} dy \right) \\ &\approx \frac{e^z}{R} \left( V(R-x) \frac{1}{V(|z|)} + V(x)e^{-x} \frac{(1 \wedge (R-x))V(R-x)}{R-z} \right) \\ &\approx e^z \frac{V(R-x)}{RV(|z|)}. \end{split}$$

Moreover

$$\int_{x-1}^{R \wedge (x+1)} \left( 1 \wedge \frac{V(R-x)V(R-y)}{V^2(|x-y|)} \right) \frac{\nu(y-z)dy}{|x-y|\log^2(1+|x-y|^{-1})} \le cP_{(0,\infty)}(R-x,R-z) \le cW(x,z)$$

Hence, by Theorem 6.3

$$P_{(0,R)}(x,z) \approx e^{z} \frac{V(R-x)}{RV(|z|)} \approx e^{-|z|} \frac{V(x \wedge 1)V(R-x)}{RV(|z|)}.$$

The next result is an improvement of the Harnack inequality for  $\alpha = 2$ , that was proved in the previous section.

**Theorem 6.5.** There exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha)$  such that for any r > 0 and any nonnegative function h, harmonic in (-2r, 2r), it holds, for  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,

$$h(x) \le Ch(y), \qquad x, y \in (-r, r).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.3 it is enough to prove the scale invariant Harnack inequality for  $\alpha = 2$  when r > 4. We use Theorem 6.4 to get

$$P_{(-3/2r,3/2r)}(x,z) \approx e^{-(|z|-3/2r)} \frac{1}{V(|z|-3/2r)} \approx P_{(-3/2r,3/2r)}(0,z), \quad |x| < r, |z| > 3r/2,$$

which yields

$$h(x) = \int_{|z|>3/2r} P_{(-3/2r,3/2r)}(x,z)h(z)dz \approx \int_{|z|>3/2r} P_{(0,R)}(0,z)h(z)dz$$
  
=  $h(0).$ 

Hence,  $h(x) \approx h(y)$ , for any  $x, y \in (-r, r)$ .

#### References

- [1] Bass, R.F.: Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis. Springer, New York (1995).
- [2] Bertoin J. Lévy Processes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).
- [3] Blumenthal, R.M., Getoor, R.K.: Markov Processes and Potential Theory. Springer, New York (1968).
- [4] Blumenthal, R.M., Getoor, R.K., Ray, D.B.: On the distribution of first hits for the symmetric stable processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99, 540–554 (1961).
- [5] Bogdan, K., Stós, A., Sztonyk, P.: Harnack inequality for stable processes on d-sets. Studia Math. 158(2), 163–198 (2003).
- [6] Bogdan, K., Byczkowski, T., Kulczycki, T., Ryznar, M., Song, R., Vondraček, Z.: Potential Analysis of Stable Processes and its Extensions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1980, Springer (2009).
- [7] Byczkowska, H. and Byczkowski, T.: One-dimensional symmetric stable Feynman-Kac semigroups. Probab. Math. Statist. 21, 381–404 (2001).
- [8] Chung, K.L., Zhao, Z.: From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation. Springer, New York (1995).
- [9] Doney, R.A.: Fluctuation Theory for Lvy Processes. Lecture Notes in Math. 1897, Springer, Berlin, (2007).
- [10] Fristedt, B.: Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary, independent increments. In Advances in probability and related topics, Vol. 3, 241–396. Dekker, New York (1974).
- [11] Grzywny, T., Ryznar, M.: Two-Sided Optimal Bounds for Green Functions of Half-Spaces for Relativistic α-stable Process. Potential. Anal. 28, 201–239 (2008).
- [12] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: On some relations between the harmonic measure and the Lévy measure for a certain class of Markov processes. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 2, 79–95 (1962).

- [13] Kuznetsov A., Kyprianou A., Rivero V.: The theory of scale functions for spectrally negative Levy processes. Preprint (2011).
- [14] Kim P., Song R., Vondraček Z.: Boundary Harnack Principle for Subordinate Brownian Motions. Stochastic Process. Appl. 119, 1601–1631 (2009).
- [15] Kim P., Song R., Vondraček Z.: On the potential theory of one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motions with continuous components. Potential Anal. 33, 153–173 (2010).
- [16] Kim P., Song R., Vondraček Z.: Potential Theory of Subordinate Brownian Motions Revisited. Preprint (2011).
- [17] Kwaśnicki M., Małecki J., Ryznar M.: Suprema of Lévy processes. Preprint (2011).
- [18] Mittnik S., T. Rachev S.T.: Modeling asset returns with alternative stable distributions. Econometric Reviews, 12(3), 261–330, (1993).
- [19] Rao, M., Song, R., Vondracek, Z.: Green function estimates and Harnack inequality for subordinate Brownian motions. Potential Analysis 25, 1–27 (2006).
- [20] Sikic H., Song, R., Vondracek, Z.: Potential theory of geometric stable processes. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 135, 547–575 (2006).