

ANALYSIS OF HEPATITIS C VIRAL DYNAMICS USING LATIN HYPERCUBE SAMPLING

GAURAV PACHPUTE *

SIDDHARTHA P. CHAKRABARTY †

Abstract

We consider a mathematical model comprising of four coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs) for studying the hepatitis C (HCV) viral dynamics. The model embodies the efficacies of a combination therapy of interferon and ribavirin. A condition for the stability of the uninfected and the infected steady states is presented. A large number of sample points for the model parameters (which were physiologically feasible) were generated using Latin hypercube sampling. Analysis of our simulated values indicated approximately 24% cases as having an uninfected steady state. Statistical tests like the χ^2 -test and the Spearman's test were also done on the sample values. The results of these tests indicate a distinctly differently distribution of certain parameter values and not in case of others, vis-a-vis, the stability of the uninfected and the infected steady states.

Keywords: Hepatitis C, Critical Efficacy, Latin Hypercube Sampling, Statistical Tests

1 INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C, which is an infectious disease caused by the hepatitis C virus (HCV), has a widespread global prevalence. It is estimated to have infected 170 million individuals worldwide [1, 2]. HCV is usually transmitted through blood contact with an infected person. In places like United States and Europe, the primary mode of transmission of HCV is through injected drug usage [1]. In India however, the lack of effective and reliable anti-HCV screening amongst blood donors is a major source of infection [3, 4]. New HCV infections (through intravenous drug usage or otherwise) can be primarily classified as acute and chronic [1]. While the acute cases in general do not have major detrimental implications and the virus is cleared, the ramifications of chronic cases are far reaching [1]. It could lead to the occurrence of liver cirrhosis and may eventually lead to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). About 50 – 80% of HCV infections are chronic in nature [5]. Of these 10 – 20% develop liver cirrhosis of which about 5% are likely to be afflicted with HCC [5].

The current treatment for HCV infection involves the combination therapy of pegylated interferon (IFN) and ribavirin [2], which yields long term response in only 50% of the cases. There is very little therapeutic alternative for the cases of non-responders. Feld et al. [6] dwell on the mechanism of action of combination treatment of IFN and ribavirin in HCV infected patients. They report limited success (6 – 12% for a six-month treatment and 16 – 20% for a one-year treatment) in case of an IFN based monotreatment. The combination therapy however resulted in significant improvement (more than 50%) in response rates. Perelson et al. [7] in their review article, discuss the role of this combination therapy for HCV infection, taking into account the fall in the efficacy levels of the drugs between dosing intervals.

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, Assam, India, e-mail: g.pachpute@iitg.ernet.in

†Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, Assam, India, e-mail: pratim@iitg.ernet.in

Several mathematical models have been proposed for the study of hepatitis C viral dynamics. One of the earliest mathematical models was proposed by Neumann et al. [8], wherein the dynamics of HCV and the effect of interferon- α -2b were studied in vivo. The quantitative representation of the dynamics involved the incorporation of some aspects of the earlier successful models for HIV and HBV [2, 8]. The model involved three coupled ODEs, where the key factors were identified as uninfected hepatocytes, productively infected hepatocytes and free HCV virions. The model assumed the growth of the uninfected hepatocytes at a constant rate accompanied by a natural death rate. In addition, the HCV was modeled as infecting the hepatocytes, which also had a natural death rate. The infected hepatocytes in turn abetted the growth of HCV. In addition, a term for the efficacy of IFN was incorporated to reduce the rate of infection of hepatocytes and to block the production of HCV from infected hepatocytes. The model exhibited a decline in the levels of infected hepatocytes and HCV accompanied by an increase in the level of uninfected hepatocytes. A single phasic decline was observed in case the efficacy of IFN in blocking the production of virions was taken to be zero, which is inconsistent with clinical observations [2, 8]. Otherwise it showed a biphasic decline in viral load which is more realistic from the biomedical point of view. The model indicated that the key role of IFN is in blocking the production of virions from infected cells as compared to blocking infection of hepatocytes, which is minimal [2, 8].

Dixit et al. [9] extended the work of Neumann et al. [8] in the sense of explicitly including the action of ribavirin. In this model [2, 9] the virion population is divided into infectious and non-infectious. Dixit et al. [9] assume that ribavirin (either on its own or in conjunction with IFN) renders a fraction of the newly produced HCV non-infectious. Another assumption of the model (in contrast to Neumann et al. [8]) was that the role of IFN in blocking the production of infected hepatocytes is not significant. The model predicts that ribavirin does not have an impact on the IFN induced first phase decline [2]. If the efficacy of IFN is large enough, then the second phase decline is not significantly affected by ribavirin. However, if the IFN efficacy is much smaller than 1, then the impact of ribavirin in the second phase decline is more profound. A plausible explanation for this is that a high IFN efficacy results in low HCV levels, which in turn reduces the role that ribavirin plays in rendering the virions as non-infectious. The model was able to explain why ribavirin enhances the second phase of decline in some cases and not in others [2].

Dahari et al. [10] further advanced the work of Neumann et al. [8] and Dixit et al. [9]. Their model [10] incorporated the proliferation of both infected and uninfected hepatocytes which was not considered in the earlier models. They include density dependent proliferation for both infected and uninfected hepatocytes, which restricted the growth of liver to a maximum possible size. This model was able to explain the limitations of the model of Dixit et al. [9], which could not explain the non-response of some patients and the triphasic decline patterns. The model defined a critical threshold efficacy below which there cannot be sustained long term viral load decline [9, 10]. In then case when the efficacy is above the threshold one observes a decline in the viral load, which could be biphasic or triphasic. The triphasic decay can be attributed to the homeostatic liver regeneration.

2 MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The model under consideration is a fusion of the earlier models [8, 9, 10, 11] and is given by the following system of four coupled ODEs,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dT}{dt} &= s + rT \left(1 - \frac{T+I}{T_{\max}}\right) - dT - \beta TV_I \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta TV_I + rI \left(1 - \frac{T+I}{T_{\max}}\right) - \delta I\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dV_I}{dt} &= (1 - \rho)(1 - \epsilon_p)pI - cV_I \\
\frac{dV_{NI}}{dt} &= \rho(1 - \epsilon_p)pI - cV_{NI}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here $T(t)$ and $I(t)$ are the number of uninfected and infected hepatocytes respectively while $V_I(t)$ and $V_{NI}(t)$ are the number of infectious and non-infectious virions (corresponding to HCV RNA genome equivalents) respectively. The assumption in the model is that the hepatocytes are being produced at a constant rate s and have a natural death rate of d , while proliferating at a rate r with T_{\max} being the maximum possible hepatocyte (both uninfected and infected) population level. The hepatocytes are assumed to be infected by the virions at a rate β . The proliferation of infected hepatocytes is also assumed to take place at the rate r with a natural death rate δ . In the absence of any kind of treatment, the infected hepatocytes produce infectious virions at a rate p . The administration of IFN lowers this production by a factor of $(1 - \epsilon_p)$, where ϵ_p is the efficacy of IFN. Finally, the model incorporates the efficacy ρ of ribavirin which renders a fraction of the infectious virions non-infectious, with a death rate c for both these populations. The model under consideration admits two steady states, *viz.* the uninfected and the infected steady states, as given below [10],

1.

$$T^{(u)} = \frac{T_{\max}}{2r} \left[r - d + \sqrt{(r - d)^2 + \frac{4rs}{T_{\max}}} \right], I^{(u)} = 0, V_I^{(u)} = 0, V_{NI}^{(u)} = 0.$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{(i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-D + \sqrt{D^2 + \frac{4sT_{\max}}{rA^2}} \right] \\
I^{(i)} &= T^{(i)}(A - 1) + T_{\max} - B \\
V_I^{(i)} &= \frac{(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho)pI^{(i)}}{c}, V_{NI}^{(i)} = \frac{\rho V_I^{(i)}}{(1 - \rho)},
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$A = \frac{(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho)p\beta T_{\max}}{cr}, B = \frac{\delta T_{\max}}{r}, D = \frac{1}{A} \left[T_{\max} + \frac{dB}{\delta A} - B \left(\frac{1}{A} + 1 \right) \right]$$

Under the physiological conditions of $r > d$ and $s \leq dT_{\max}$ it can be shown [10] that the condition for stability of the uninfected steady state is,

$$(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho) < \frac{c(\delta T_{\max} + rT^{(u)} - rT_{\max})}{p\beta T^{(u)}T_{\max}},$$

while that of the infected steady state is,

$$(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho) > \frac{c(\delta T_{\max} + rT^{(u)} - rT_{\max})}{p\beta T^{(u)}T_{\max}}.$$

Thus there is a transcritical bifurcation at,

$$(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho) = \frac{c(\delta T_{\max} + rT^{(u)} - rT_{\max})}{p\beta T^{(u)}T_{\max}}.$$

3 LATIN HYPERCUBE SAMPLING

In this article we use the method of Latin hypercube sampling in order to generate a collection of parameter values from a multivariate normal distribution with a specified mean vector $\vec{\mu}$ and covariance matrix Σ . The theoretical and computational aspects of this method can be found in the pioneering work of McKay et al. [12] which was further advanced by Stein [13]. Latin hypercube sampling is a multidimensional version of unidimensional stratification. [14]. Latin hypercube sampling is used to generate parameter values from a given distribution. In this method, random variates are generated from a d -dimensional uniform distribution over the hypercube $[0, 1]^d$. Random variates from other d -dimensional distributions can be generated from the uniform variates using the inverse transform method [14]. Stratification in one-dimensional uniform distribution can be achieved by dividing the interval into K strata. The extension of this idea to multidimensional stratification is not trivial as the sample size of K^d is computationally expensive even for moderate values of d (since K has to be large enough). We present a brief outline of this method (after Glasserman [14]).

To begin with, we generate random variates $V_i^{(j)}$ from a uniform distribution over $[(j-1)/K, j/K)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ and a set of independent permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ over the set $\{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ (from $K!$ possibilities). The vectors $(V_1^{(j)}, V_2^{(j)}, \dots, V_d^{(j)})^\top$ (for $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$) represent uniformly distributed points over the hypercube $[0, 1]^d$. We can then set $V_i^j \leftarrow V_i^{\sigma_i(j)}$ which gives us a stratified sample over the hypercube. An obvious way to achieve this is to set,

$$V_i^{(j)} = \frac{\sigma_i(j) - 1 + U_i^{(j)}}{K}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad j = 1, \dots, K, \quad \text{and } U_i^{(j)} \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$$

In generating this construction, one of the crucial assumptions is the independence of marginals, for introducing a correlation between parameter distributions might alter the stratification properties of Latin hypercube sampling. This is very much evident in generating a sample from a normal distribution which does not have a diagonal covariance matrix. The values so generated will not generally be stratified. In order to generate from (say) a normal distribution with mean vector $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_i)_{i=1}^d$ and diagonal covariance matrix $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d$ we set,

$$Z_i^{(j)} = \Phi_{\mu_i, \Sigma_{ii}}^{-1} \left(V_i^{(j)} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad j = 1, \dots, K$$

where $\Phi_{\mu_i, \Sigma_{ii}}$ is the one-dimensional cumulative normal distribution with mean μ_i and variance Σ_{ii} .

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We implemented the Latin hypercube sampling procedure describe above in MatLabTM and generated $K = 2^{15}$ sample points for all the parameter values. The mean vector $\vec{\mu}$ was taken to be the values given in Table 1, *i.e.* $\mu = (s, d, p, \beta, c, \delta, r, T_{\max})^\top$. The diagonal covariance matrix Σ was taken as $\text{diag}(s^2, d^2, p^2, \beta^2, c^2, \delta^2, r^2, T_{\max}^2)$. Once the $K = 2^{15}$ sets of parameter values were generated, they were tested for positivity as well as the physiological conditions ($r > d$ and $s \leq dT_{\max}$) as given in Section 2. This test left us with 8213 sample parameter sets that were feasible.

A number of tests were performed to understand how the sample parameter values correlate. Firstly, a χ^2 test was performed on the 8213 accepted sample points against the frequency of positive samples from their respective intended distributions under the *goodness of fit* null hypothesis. The null hypothesis (*set of sample points which are biomedically feasible do not deviate from the intended distribution*), was not rejected for any of the sample parameters. On the other hand, a test done under the *independence* null hypothesis rejects this hypothesis for all parameters with respect to the significance level chosen ($P < 0.05$).

Since, physiological conditions add "restrictions" to the intended distribution, we can surmise from these tests that the accepted values do not deviate from this distribution.

The accepted sample points were categorized into three parts depending on whether the value

$$Cr^* = \frac{c(\delta T_{\max} + rT^{(u)} - rT_{\max})}{p\beta T^{(u)}T_{\max}},$$

was greater than 1, less than 0 or was in the interval $[0, 1]$. Notice that, when $0 \leq Cr^* \leq 1$, both steady states can be stable on two disjoint sets of feasible ϵ_p and ρ values (due to the transcritical bifurcation). The number of such sample points, which emerged from our simulations, was 6262 (76.25%). On the other hand, when $Cr^* > 1$ or $Cr^* < 0$, only one of the two steady states is stable. The sample parameter values, which gave the Cr^* values to be greater than 1 can only lead to the stability of the uninfected steady state, since in this case the stability condition for the uninfected steady state is satisfied for all possible values of ϵ_p or ρ . The percentage of cases with $Cr^* > 1$ was 23.41% (1923 out of 8213), which is reasonably in line with biomedical observations [5, 10]. Similarly, the cases where $Cr^* < 0$ were considered to the ones for which sustained virological response cannot be achieved. Samples from each of these sets were compared against the frequencies of the biomedically accepted samples.

We considered values of ϵ_p and ρ in the range $[0, 1]$ and in increments of 0.01. For these pair of 101×101 such values we kept a count of the number of values of sample points which satisfied the condition $(1 - \epsilon_p)(1 - \rho) < Cr^*$. Recall that, this condition corresponds to the stability of the uninfected steady state. Thus, this gives us the cumulative distribution of the percentage of cases which give a stable uninfected steady state for the corresponding drug efficacies. The results for these are presented in a contour plot in Figure (1) and in a surface plot in Figure (2). We plotted the results for the various values of ϵ_p against ρ (Figure 3) and for values of ρ against ϵ_p (Figure 4). One observes that for smaller values of IFN efficacy ϵ_p , the response (in terms of percentage of cases with stable uninfected steady state) is very sensitive to change in the efficacy ρ of ribavirin. The impact of ρ however is much less evident in case of IFN being highly effective. This observation is consistent with the findings of Dixit et al. [9].

Parameter	Value [10]
s	1.0 cell ml ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ ,
d	0.01 day ⁻¹ ,
p	2.9 virions day ⁻¹ ,
β	2.25×10^{-7} ml day ⁻¹ virions ⁻¹ ,
c	6.0 day ⁻¹
δ	1.0 day ⁻¹
r	2.0 day ⁻¹
T_{\max}	3.6×10^7 cells ml ⁻¹ ,

Table 1: Mean parameter values for the Latin hypercube sampling

For the samples which have Cr^* values greater than 1 or between 0 and 1, we observe that for variables p , β , c , δ and T_{\max} , the null hypothesis (*independence*) is rejected. It implies that the sample points from these two sets tend to deviate more from their original distribution which leads them to be more restricted in nature. Sample points which have a negative Cr^* value predominantly depend upon the death rates d and δ of the uninfected and infected hepatocytes respectively, for which the null hypothesis fails. Clearly, these are cases exhibiting larger values of d and smaller values of δ . In biological terms, these cases present with a higher clearance rate of uninfected hepatocytes and a smaller rate of infected hepatocytes. It can

also be inferred from equation (2.1) that there is little role for drug efficacies if the above conditions are prevalent. Also, δ does not follow the original distribution in any of the cases, indicating its importance in the distinction amongst the three cases.

Next, we chose a threshold value $0 \leq Cr_{thr} \leq 1$ and analyzed the independence of different parameters which have Cr^* values on both sides of Cr_{thr} . Notice that if $Cr^* > Cr_{thr}$, the uninfected steady state can be reached for smaller values of drug efficacies as compared to $Cr^* < Cr_{thr}$. By varying the value of Cr_{thr} from 0.2 to 0.4, a Spearman's test showed a modest monotonic relationship between p and β for the samples with $Cr^* > Cr_{thr}$ and not in case of $Cr^* < Cr_{thr}$. Biologically, β represents the rate of infection and p represents the rate with which infected hepatocytes are converted into virions. The results highlight the fact that for the uninfected steady state to be easily reachable, these two rates cannot be simultaneously high.

5 CONCLUSION

In Latin hypercube sampling, one is at the liberty to choose distributions which best fits the needs (such as previous findings, physiological constraints *etc.*). In this paper, we applied the Latin hypercube sampling to generate a large number of sample parameter values for a HCV model. Once the sampling was done, we chose values that were positive and satisfied the physiological conditions. One may perform *goodness of fit* and *independence* tests at every stage of adding constraints to test how much and in what way they affect the distribution of the generated samples. This lets us better understand the implications of said constraints on a large population of sample parameters. In our case, the values found feasible were subject to the χ^2 -test and the Spearman's test. The χ^2 tests, were very useful in checking the *goodness of fit and independence* of a parameter against an intended distribution. On the other hand, Spearman's test was used to check for a monotonic relationship between parameters. The methods and tests mentioned in this paper which were also performed on a sample generated from the log-normal distribution gave similar results.

REFERENCES

- [1] Razali K. et al. (2007) Modelling the hepatitis C virus epidemic in Australia. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 91: 228-235.
- [2] Dixit NM (2008) Advances in the mathematical modelling of hepatitis C virus dynamics. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science* 88(1):3743.
- [3] Pal SK, Chalamalasetty BK, Choudhuri G (2002) Hepatitis C: a major health problem of India. *Current Science* 83(9): 1058-1059.
- [4] Mukhopadhyaya A (2008) Hepatitis C in India. *Journal of Biosciences* 33(4): 465-473.
- [5] Roe B, Hall WW (2008) Cellular and molecular interactions in coinfection with hepatitis C virus and human immunodeficiency virus. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* 10: null-null.
- [6] Feld JJ, Hoofnagle JH (2005) Mechanism of action of interferon and ribavirin in treatment of hepatitis C. *Nature* 436:967972.
- [7] Perelson AS, Herrmann E, Micol F, Zeuzem S (2005), New kinetic models for the hepatitis C virus, *Hepatology* 42(4):749754.
- [8] Neumann AU, Lam NP, Dahari H, Gretch DR, Wiley TE, Layden TJ, Perelson AS. (1998) Hepatitis C viral dynamics in vivo and the antiviral efficacy of interferon- α therapy. *Science* 282: 103-107.

- [9] Dixit NM, Layden-Almer JE, Layden TJ, Perelson AS (2004) Modelling how ribavirin improves interferon response rates in hepatitis C virus infection. *Nature* 432:922-924.
- [10] Dahari H, Lo A, Ribeiro RM, Perelson AS (2007) Modeling hepatitis C virus dynamics: liver regeneration and critical drug efficacy. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 247: 371-381.
- [11] Dahari H, Ribeiro RM, Perelson AS (2007), Triphasic decline of hepatitis C virus RNA during antiviral therapy, *Hepatology*, 46(1): 16-21.
- [12] McKay MD, Beckman RJ, Conover, WJ (1979), A comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code, *Technometrics*, 21(2): 239-245.
- [13] Stein M (1987), Large sample properties of simulations using latin hypercube sampling, *Technometrics*, 29(2): 143-151.
- [14] Glasserman P (2004), Monte Carlo methods in financial engineering, Springer.




Figure 1: Contour plot of percentage of cases with stable uninfected steady state




Figure 2: Surface plot of percentage of cases with stable uninfected steady state




Figure 3: Percentage of cases with stable uninfected steady state for various ϵ




Figure 4: Percentage of cases with stable uninfected steady state for various ρ