

Future cosmological evolution in $f(R)$ gravity using two equations of state parameters

Hyung Won Lee,^{*} Kyoung Yee Kim,[†] and Yun Soo Myung[‡]

*Institute of Basic Science and School of Computer Aided Science,
Inje University, Gimhae 621-749, Korea*

Abstract

We investigate the issues of future oscillations around the phantom divide for $f(R)$ gravity. For this purpose, we introduce two types of energy density and pressure arisen from the $f(R)$ -higher order curvature terms. One has the conventional energy density and pressure even in the beginning of the Jordan frame, whose continuity equation provides the native equation of state w_{DE} . On the other hand, the other has the different forms of energy density and pressure which do not obviously satisfy the continuity equation. This needs to introduce the effective equation of state w_{eff} to describe the $f(R)$ -fluid, in addition to the native equation of state \tilde{w}_{DE} . We confirm that future oscillations around the phantom divide occur in $f(R)$ gravities by introducing two types of equations of state. Finally, we point out that the singularity appears at $x = x_c$ because the stability condition of $f(R)$ gravity violates.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Jb

Keywords: $f(R)$ gravity; Dark energy; Brans-Dicke Theory

^{*}Electronic address: hwlee@inje.ac.kr

[†]Electronic address: kimky@inje.ac.kr

[‡]Electronic address: ysmyoung@inje.ac.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

Supernova type Ia(SUN Ia) observations has shown that our universe is accelerating [1]. Also cosmic microwave background radiation [2], large scale structure [3], and weak lensing [4] have indicated that the universe has been undergoing an accelerating phase since the recent past. The standard model of Λ CDM enables to explain these observational results within observational error bound. However, this model suffers from the cosmological constant problem and thus, one needs to find another model. Up to now, the $f(R)$ -gravity as a modified gravity model remains a promising model to explain the present accelerating universe [5–9]. $f(R)$ gravities can be considered as Einstein gravity (massless graviton) with an additional scalar. For example, it was shown that the metric- $f(R)$ gravity is equivalent to the $\omega_{\text{BD}} = 0$ Brans-Dicke (BD) theory with the potential [9]. Although the equivalence principle test in the solar system imposes a strong constraint on $f(R)$ gravities, they may not be automatically ruled out if the Chameleon mechanism is introduced to resolve it in the Einstein frame. It was shown that the equivalence principle test allows $f(R)$ gravity models that are indistinguishable from the Λ CDM model in the background universe evolution [10].

In order to point out the difference between Λ CDM and $f(R)$ gravity, it is necessary to introduce the equation of state parameter w_{DE} . Working with the $f(R)$ -gravity action in the Jordan frame [11], one has to use the different energy density and pressure ($\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}, \tilde{p}_{\text{DE}}$) in compared to $(\rho_{\text{DE}}, p_{\text{DE}})$ in the Einstein-like frame [12, 13]. This corresponds to the scalar-tensor (Brans-Dicke) theory in the Jordan frame [14]. In the Einstein-like frame, one needs only the native equation of state $w_{\text{DE}} = p_{\text{DE}}/\rho_{\text{DE}}$ as in the scalar-tensor (quintessence model) theory, while one requires two equations of states: $\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} = \tilde{p}_{\text{DE}}/\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}$ and the effective equation of state w_{eff} [15] because of non-minimal coupling of scalar to the gravity. It is worth noting that there is an essential difference between Einstein-like and Einstein frames because the latter is recovered from the conformal transformation in Jordan frame [9, 16]. For the holographic dark energy model, two of authors have clarified that although there is a phantom phase when using the native equation of state [17], there is no phantom phase when using the effective equation of state [18, 19].

Recently, there were a few of important works which explain the oscillation around the future de Sitter solution with $w_{\text{dS}} = -1$ using $f(R)$ -gravity [12] and its Brans-Dicke theory [14]. Interestingly, the authors in [13] have shown that the number of phantom divide

crossings are infinite when using the Ricci scalar perturbation, which is confirmed by analytical condition and numerical way.

In this work, we focus on the issues of future oscillations around the phantom divide for $f(R)$ gravity. In order to confirm the appearance of future oscillations around the phantom divide $w_{\text{dS}} = -1$, we introduce two types of equation of states $w_{\text{DE}}(\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}})$ and w_{eff} arisen from the $f(R)$ -fluid. We clarify the difference between two different sets of energy density and pressure by observing the “negative and effective” equations of state. Finally, we point out that the singularity appears at $x = x_c$ because the stability condition of $f(R)$ gravity violates when $F' = f'' = 0$ at the certain point $x = x_c$.

II. FUTURE VOLUTION WITH $f(R)$ -FLUID IN EINSTEIN-LIKE FRAME

We start from the action of $f(R)$ gravity with matter as

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + I_{\text{m}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\text{m}}), \quad (1)$$

where $f(R)$ is a function of Ricci scalar R with $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ and I_{m} is the action for matter which is assumed to be minimally coupled to gravity. Here the action I is initially written in Jordan frame and ψ_{m} denotes matters. Taking the variation of the action (1) with respect to metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, one obtains

$$FG_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{m})} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (FR - f) + \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} F - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 F, \quad (2)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} R$ is the Einstein tensor and $F(R) = f'(R)$. Assuming the flat Friedmann-Roberston-Walker (FRW) universe

$$ds_{\text{FRW}}^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 \right) \quad (3)$$

with $a(t)$ is the scale factor, we obtain the two Friedmann equations from (2):

$$3FH^2 = \kappa^2 \rho_{\text{M}} + \frac{1}{2} (FR - f) - 3H\dot{F}, \quad (4)$$

$$-2F\dot{H} = \kappa^2 (\rho_{\text{M}} + p_{\text{M}}) + \ddot{F} - H\dot{F}, \quad (5)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, the overdot denotes the derivative with respect to the cosmic time t , and ρ_{M} and p_{M} are the energy density and pressure of all perfect fluid-type matter, respectively. On the other hand, the scalar curvature R defined by

$$R = 6 \left(\dot{H} + 2H^2 \right) \quad (6)$$

plays an independent role in the cosmological evolution because we are working with $f(R)$ -fluid. For our purpose, we introduce the new variable $x = \ln a$, then (4) and (6) take the forms

$$H^2 = (F - 1) \left(H \frac{dH}{dx} + H^2 \right) - \frac{1}{6} (f - R) - H^2 F' \frac{dR}{dx} + \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_m^0 e^{-3x}}{3} + \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_m^0 e^{-4x}}{3} \chi, \quad (7)$$

$$R = 6 \left(H \frac{dH}{dx} + 2H^2 \right), \quad (8)$$

where ρ_m^0 is the current density of cold dark matter (CDM) and $\chi = \rho_r^0 / \rho_m^0 \simeq 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ is the current density ratio of radiation and dark matter. Regarding (7) as the evolution equation, we rewrite it as a compact form

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} (\rho_{\text{DE}} + \rho_m + \rho_r), \quad (9)$$

where ρ_{DE} , ρ_m , and ρ_r represent dark energy, dark matter and radiation, respectively. Comparing (7) with (9) leads to a definition of dark energy density arisen from the $f(R)$ -gravity [12, 13]

$$\rho_{\text{DE}} = \frac{3}{\kappa^2} \left[(F - 1) \left(H \frac{dH}{dx} + H^2 \right) - \frac{1}{6} (f - R) - H^2 F' \frac{dR}{dx} \right]. \quad (10)$$

This dark energy density satisfies the conservation law as

$$\dot{\rho}_{\text{DE}} + 3H(1 + w_{\text{DE}}) \rho_{\text{DE}} = 0 \quad (11)$$

with the native equation of state

$$w_{\text{DE}} = \frac{p_{\text{DE}}}{\rho_{\text{DE}}}. \quad (12)$$

Importantly, we observe that even starting with the $f(R)$ gravity action in the Jordan frame, we have manipulated it so that the Einstein equation (2) is rewritten effectively as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}(1 - F) + \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (FR - f) + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu F - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 F, \quad (13)$$

to derive (7) and (9) for obtaining the standard dark energy ρ_{DE} and pressure p_{DE} . Hence we call the solution to (9) with (10) as *cosmological evolution with $f(R)$ -fluid in Einstein-like frame*.

In order to solve (7) and (8) simultaneously, we define the convenient variables call the reduced Ricci scalar r and the present matter-density parameter Ω_m^0

$$r \equiv \frac{R}{6H^2}, \quad \Omega_m^0 \equiv \frac{\rho_m^0}{\rho_{\text{crit}}^0} = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_m^0}{3H_0^2}, \quad (14)$$

and density parameters

$$\Omega_m = \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_{\text{crit}}}, \quad \Omega_r = \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_{\text{crit}}}, \quad \Omega_{\text{DE}} = 1 - \Omega_m - \Omega_r. \quad (15)$$

Then two equations (7) and (8) can be written four equations in terms of new variables

$$\frac{d\Omega_m}{dx} = -(2r - 1)\Omega_m, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{d\Omega_r}{dx} = -2r\Omega_r, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{dr}{dx} = -2r(r - 2) + \frac{F}{6H^2F'} \left\{ -1 + \frac{\Omega_m + \Omega_r}{F} + r - \frac{1}{6H^2} \frac{f}{F} \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{dH}{dx} = (r - 2)H. \quad (19)$$

Considering

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\text{DE}}}{dx} = -2\Omega_{\text{DE}}(r - 2) + \frac{\kappa^2}{3H^2} \frac{d\rho_{\text{DE}}}{dx}, \quad (20)$$

one obtains the native equation of states as functions of r and density parameters as

$$w_{\text{DE}}(r, \Omega_m, \Omega_r) = -1 - \frac{2r - 4 + 3\Omega_m + 4\Omega_r}{3(1 - \Omega_m - \Omega_r)}. \quad (21)$$

At this stage, we wish to comment on the tilde-definition for density parameters used in Ref. [12]

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m = \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_{\text{crit}}^0} = \Omega_m h^2, \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_r = \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_{\text{crit}}^0} = \Omega_r h^2, \quad (23)$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{DE}}}{\rho_{\text{crit}}^0} = \Omega_{\text{DE}} h^2, \quad (24)$$

which are clearly different from our definition by h^2 factor, which is defined as $h(x) = H(x)/H_0$. Finally, we mention that the initial conditions for Ω_m, Ω_r, r and H are given by

$$\Omega_m(0) = \Omega_m^0, \quad \Omega_r(0) = \chi\Omega_m^0, \quad r(0) = 2 + h_1, \quad H(0) = 1, \quad (25)$$

where h_1 is the time derivative of H at the present time $t = 0$ with $a(0) = 1$. Then, $x \in [-\infty, \infty]$ with $x = 0$ at the present time. We note that h_1 is related to deceleration parameter q as

$$h_1 = -(1 + q) \quad (26)$$

with

$$q \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2} = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \frac{1}{H^2} = -\frac{1}{H} \frac{dH}{dx} \Big|_0 - 1 = -h_1 - 1. \quad (27)$$

Now we study the cosmological evolution by choosing four specific models of $f(R)$ -gravity with the native equation of state w_{DE} only.

A. Cosmological constant

For cosmological constant case, the function $f(R)$ is simply given by

$$f(R) = R + \Lambda. \quad (28)$$

In this case one cannot use (18) because of $F'(R) = f''(R) = 0$, but other equations are being used to derive the solutions. Equation (7) becomes

$$H^2 = -\frac{\Lambda}{6} + H_0^2 \Omega_m^0 (e^{-3x} + \chi e^{-4x}). \quad (29)$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to x , we get

$$2H \frac{dH}{dx} = H_0^2 \Omega_m^0 (-3e^{-3x} - 4\chi e^{-4x}). \quad (30)$$

Plugging this into the definition of r , one gets

$$r = 2 - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m - 2\Omega_r. \quad (31)$$

Hence, the relevant equation is just

$$\frac{dr}{dx} = \frac{3}{2}(2r - 1)\Omega_m + 4r\Omega_r \quad (32)$$

with $w_{\text{DE}} = -1$. Fig. 1 depicts the evolution for the cosmological constant like the Λ CDM without future oscillations around the phantom divide $w_{\text{DE}} = w_{\text{ds}} = -1$. We point out that the reduced Ricci scalar r takes the value of $r_{\text{ds}} = 2$ because of $R_{\text{ds}} = 12H^2$ for the de Sitter spacetimes.

B. Power-law gravity

When $f(R)$ takes the power-law form

$$f(R) = R + f_0 R^\alpha, \quad (33)$$




FIG. 1: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for cosmological constant case: w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), and r (yellow) for $\Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23$ and $\chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}$, $h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

Its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 + \alpha f_0 R^{\alpha-1}, \quad F'(R) = \alpha(\alpha - 1)f_0 R^{\alpha-2}. \quad (34)$$

w_{DE} and r in Figs. 2 and 3 represent the future oscillations around the phantom divide for $\alpha = 1/2$ and $1/3$, respectively but they do not show past oscillations around the phantom divide.




FIG. 2: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for power-law case: w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $f_0 = -3.6, \alpha = 1/2, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

C. Exponential gravity

Now we wish to apply the result of previous sub-sections to an exponential gravity. Firstly, when the function $f(R)$ is given by

$$f(R) = R - \beta R_s (1 - e^{-R/R_s}), \quad (35)$$




FIG. 3: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for power-law case: w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $f_0 = -6.5, \alpha = 1/3, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 - \beta e^{-R/R_s}, \quad F'(R) = \frac{\beta}{R_s} e^{-R/R_s}. \quad (36)$$

Fig. 4 indicates no the appearance of future (past) oscillations around the phantom divide for a given parameter $R_s = -0.05$ and $\beta = 1.1$ which is the same results found in [20]. We note that F' does not appear in Fig. 4 because its value is extremely large as 10^{87} .




FIG. 4: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for an exponential case: w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $R_s = -0.05, \beta = 1.1, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

D. Hu and Sawicki model

The Hu and Sawicki model takes the form

$$f(R) = R - \mu R_c \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2} \right)^{-n} \right]. \quad (37)$$

Its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 - 2\mu n \frac{R}{R_c} \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2}\right)^{-(n+1)}, \quad (38)$$

$$F'(R) = -\frac{2\mu n}{R_c} \left[1 - (2n+1)\frac{R^2}{R_c^2}\right] \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2}\right)^{-(n+2)}. \quad (39)$$

Fig. 5 shows that future oscillations around the phantom divide $w_{\text{dS}} = -1$ appears for $R_c = -1.0$, $\mu = -1.5$, and $n = 2$, but there is no evolution toward the past direction from $x_c = 0$. Similarly, there are future oscillations around $r_{\text{dS}} = 2$ for the reduced Ricci scalar r . We will explain why the evolution to the past is not allowed in the Hu and Sawicki model in section IV.

III. COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION IN JORDAN FRAME

In this section we derive evolution equations in Jordan frame without manipulation. From equations (4) and (5), we have

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3F} \left[\kappa^2 \rho_{\text{M}} + \frac{1}{2} (FR - f) - 3H\dot{F} \right], \quad (40)$$

$$-\dot{H} = \frac{1}{2F} \left[\kappa^2 (\rho_{\text{M}} + p_{\text{M}}) + \ddot{F} - H\dot{F} \right], \quad (41)$$

Introducing the reduced Ricci scalar r

$$r = \frac{R}{6H^2}, \quad (42)$$

we can obtain an important relation

$$\frac{F'}{F} \frac{dR}{dx} = -1 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}} + \frac{1}{6H^2} \left(R - \frac{f}{F} \right), \quad (43)$$

In this case, we read off energy density and pressure of the dark energy component from (40) and (41) as [11]

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2 F} \left\{ \frac{FR - f}{2} - 3H\dot{F} \right\}, \quad (44)$$

$$\tilde{p}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2 F} \left\{ -\frac{FR - f}{2} + 2H\dot{F} + \ddot{F} \right\}. \quad (45)$$

Rewriting the Einstein equation (2) as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 \frac{T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{m})}}{F} + \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{DE}}, \quad (46)$$




FIG. 5: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for the Hu and Sawicki model: w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $R_c = -1.0, \mu = -1.5, n = 2, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

we obtain the non-conservation of continuity relation by requiring the Bianchi identity

$$\dot{\tilde{\rho}}_{\text{DE}} + 3H(\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}} + \tilde{p}_{\text{DE}}) = \frac{\dot{F}}{F^2}\rho_{\text{M}}. \quad (47)$$

Because of the non-zero coupling term between ρ_{M} and $\frac{\dot{F}}{F^2}$, we must define an “effective” equation of state for $f(R)$ -fluid

$$w_{\text{eff}} = \tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} - \frac{-1 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}} + r - \frac{f}{6H^2F}}{3} \left[\frac{\Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}}}{1 - \Omega_{\text{m}} - \Omega_{\text{r}}} \right], \quad (48)$$

with the native equation of state $\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} = \tilde{p}_{\text{DE}}/\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}$. This is similar to the Brans-Dicke theory approach [14, 18]. Defining density parameters newly as

$$\Omega_{\text{m}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{3H^2} \frac{\rho_{\text{m}}}{F}, \quad \Omega_{\text{r}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{3H^2} \frac{\rho_{\text{r}}}{F}, \quad \Omega_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{3H^2} \tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}, \quad (49)$$

relevant quantities are expressed by

$$R = 6H^2 r, \quad (50)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{3H^2}{\kappa^2} \{1 - \Omega_{\text{m}} - \Omega_{\text{r}}\}, \quad (51)$$

$$\tilde{p}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{3H^2}{\kappa^2} \left\{ -1 - \frac{1}{3}\Omega_{\text{r}} - \frac{2}{3}(r - 2) \right\}. \quad (52)$$

Insereting these into the definition of native equation of state, one gets

$$\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} = -1 - \frac{2r - 4 + 3\Omega_{\text{m}} + 4\Omega_{\text{r}}}{3(1 - \Omega_{\text{m}} - \Omega_{\text{r}})}, \quad (53)$$

which is the same as Eq. (21) but their forms of Ω_{m} and Ω_{r} are different from those in (21).

Four equations to be solved become

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\text{m}}}{dx} = - \left(2r - 2 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}} + r - \frac{f}{6H^2 F} \right) \Omega_{\text{m}}, \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\text{r}}}{dx} = - \left(2r - 1 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}} + r - \frac{f}{6H^2 F} \right) \Omega_{\text{r}}, \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{dr}{dx} = -2r(r - 2) + \frac{F}{6H^2 F'} \left(-1 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}} + r - \frac{f}{6H^2 F} \right), \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{dH}{dx} = (r - 2)H. \quad (57)$$

Finally, we have to consider the initial conditions

$$H(0) = H_0 = 1, \quad \Omega_{\text{r}}(0) = \chi\Omega_{\text{m}}^0, \quad r_0 = 2 + h_1, \quad h_1 = -(1 + q), \quad (58)$$

with q the deceleration parameter.

Now we solve the above four differential equations together with initial conditions by selecting four interesting models.

A. $f(R) = R + \Lambda$ case

In this case, we cannot use Eq. (56), which is valid only for $F' \neq 0$. In this case, r is given by

$$r = 2 - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\text{m}} - 2\Omega_{\text{r}}. \quad (59)$$

Hence the density and pressure of $f(R)$ -fluid are given

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{3H^2}{\kappa^2} (1 - \Omega_{\text{m}} - \Omega_{\text{r}}), \quad (60)$$

$$\tilde{p}_{\text{DE}} = \frac{3H^2}{\kappa^2} (-1 + \Omega_{\text{m}} + \Omega_{\text{r}}). \quad (61)$$

Therefore, we have $\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} = -1 = w_{\text{eff}}$.

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\text{m}}}{dx} = -(2r - 1)\Omega_{\text{m}}, \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\text{r}}}{dx} = -2r\Omega_{\text{r}}, \quad (63)$$

$$r = -\frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\text{m}} - 2\Omega_{\text{r}}, \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dH}{dx} = (r - 2)H. \quad (65)$$

Note that these equations are exactly the same as the previous section. Fig. 6 shows the same result that the future oscillations around the phantom divide does not appear in the Jordan frame. We observe that the deceleration parameter q is fixed by the relation

$$1 + q = -\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2}\right)\Omega_{\text{m}}^0. \quad (66)$$

For $\Omega_{\text{DE}}^0 = 0.77, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$, it gives us $q = -0.6549643500$ and $h_1 = -0.3451398400$.

B. $f(R) = R + f_0 R^\alpha$ case

In this case, $F(R)$ is given

$$F(R) = 1 + \alpha f_0 R^{\alpha-1}. \quad (67)$$

Its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 + \alpha f_0 R^{\alpha-1}, \quad (68)$$

$$F'(R) = \alpha(\alpha - 1)f_0 R^{\alpha-2}. \quad (69)$$

Figs. 7 and 8 show future oscillations around the phantom divide using \tilde{w}_{DE} as in Figs. 2 and 3 but the past evolution is terminated near $x_c \simeq -2.4$ for $\alpha = 1/2$ and $x_c \simeq 3.4$ for $\alpha = 1/3$. Also, the reduced Ricci scalar r shows similar oscillating behaviors. This indicates a violation of stability condition as will explain in section IV. We confirm the appearance of future oscillations around the phantom using the effective equation of state w_{eff} .




FIG. 6: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for cosmological constant case, w_{DE} (blue), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), for $\Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23$ and $\chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}$, $h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

C. Exponential gravity

For the exponential gravity, the function $f(R)$ is given

$$f(R) = R - \beta R_s (1 - e^{-R/R_s}). \quad (70)$$




FIG. 7: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for power-law case in Jordan frame: \tilde{w}_{DE} (blue), w_{eff} (cyan), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $f_0 = -3.6, \alpha = 1/2, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

Its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 - \beta e^{-R/R_s}, \quad (71)$$

$$F'(R) = \frac{\beta}{R_s} e^{-R/R_s}. \quad (72)$$

Figs. 9 depicts that future oscillations around the phantom divide does not appear when using \tilde{w}_{DE} and w_{eff} . There is no essential difference between Einstein-like (Fig. 4) and Jordan frames (Fig. 9). We would like to mention that F' does not appear in Fig. 4 because




FIG. 8: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for power-law case in Jordan frame: \tilde{w}_{DE} (blue), w_{eff} (cyan), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $f_0 = -6.5, \alpha = 1/3, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

its value is extremely large as 10^{87} .




FIG. 9: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for exponential case in Jordan frame: \tilde{w}_{DE} (blue), w_{eff} (cyan), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $R_{\text{s}} = -0.05, \beta = 1.1, \Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23, \chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}, h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

D. Hu and Sawicki case $f(R) = R - \mu R_c \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2} \right)^{-n} \right]$

Its derivatives with respect to R are given by

$$F(R) = 1 - 2\mu n \frac{R}{R_c} \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2} \right)^{-(n+1)}, \quad (73)$$

$$F'(R) = -\frac{2\mu n}{R_c} \left[1 - (2n+1) \frac{R^2}{R_c^2} \right] \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{R_c^2} \right)^{-(n+2)}. \quad (74)$$




FIG. 10: Time evolution of cosmological parameters for Hu and Sawicki case in Jordan frame: \tilde{w}_{DE} (blue), w_{eff} (cyan), Ω_{DE} (green), Ω_{m} (magenta), Ω_{r} (brown), r (yellow), and F' (black) for $R_c = -1.0$, $\mu = -1.5$, $n = 2$, $\Omega_{\text{m}}^0 = 0.23$, $\chi = 3.04 \times 10^{-4}$, $h_1 = -(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\chi}{2})\Omega_{\text{m}}^0$.

Fig. 10 shows that future oscillations around the phantom divide $w_{\text{dS}} = -1$ appears for $R_c = -1.0$, $\mu = -1.5$, and $n = 2$ using two equations of state \tilde{w}_{DE} and w_{eff} but there is no evolution toward the past direction from $x_c = 0$. Also, there are future oscillations around $r_{\text{dS}} = 2$ for the reduced Ricci scalar r . This will be explained in the next section. This confirms the results (Fig. 5) in the Einstein-like frame.

IV. SINGULARITY IN COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTIONS

In this section, we wish to explain the singularities encountered in the cosmological evolution of $f(R)$ -fluid [21]. First of all, we mention that $f(R)$ -gravity should satisfy the following bounds: [13]

$$f'(R) = F(R) > 0, \quad f''(R) = F'(R) > 0. \quad (75)$$

These are necessary to guarantee that the Newtonian gravity solutions are stable and that the matter-dominated stage remains an attractor with respect to an open set of neighboring cosmological solutions in $f(R)$ -gravity. In the perturbation theory, the former is necessary to show that the gravity is attractive and the graviton is not a ghost, whereas the latter needs to ensure that the scalaron of a massive curvature scalar does not have a tachyon. We show how the singularities appear from the $f(R)$ -fluid. From the observation of two equations (18) and (56) which are equivalent to two first Friedmann equations, the second term involves $F'(R)$ as the denominator. Hence, if $F'(R) = 0$ at a certain point of $x = x_c$, it gives rise to a singularity at which some cosmological parameters blow up. This results from the violation of stability condition $f(R)$ gravity: the second of (75).

In order to show the presence of singularities explicitly, we use the graph of $F'(x)$ as a function of x . From Fig. 5, we find that the singularity appears at $x_c = 0$ and thus, the backward evolution is not allowed in Einstein-like frame. In the Jordan frame, the power-law gravity shows the singularities at $x_c \simeq -2.4$ for $\alpha = 1/2$ (see Fig. 7) and $x_c \simeq -3.4$ for $\alpha = 1/3$ (see Fig. 8), while from Fig. 10, we find that the singularity appears at $x_c = 0$ and thus, the backward evolution is not allowed in Jordan frame. This completes the presence of singularities in the cosmological evolution of $f(R)$ -fluid.

V. DISCUSSIONS

We have investigated the issues of future oscillations around the phantom divide for $f(R)$ gravity by introducing two types of energy density and pressure arisen from the $f(R)$ -fluid. One has the conventional energy density ρ_{DE} and pressure p_{DE} even in the beginning of the Jordan frame, whose continuity equation provides the native equation of state $w_{\text{DE}} = p_{\text{DE}}/\rho_{\text{DE}}$. Hence, we call this frame as the Einstein-like frame.

On the other hand, the other has the different forms of energy density $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}$ and pressure \tilde{p}_{DE} which do not obviously satisfy the continuity equation. This needs to introduce the effective equation of state w_{eff} to describe the $f(R)$ -fluid precisely, in addition to the native equation of state $\tilde{w}_{\text{DE}} = \tilde{p}_{\text{DE}}/\tilde{\rho}_{\text{DE}}$. We confirm that future oscillations around the phantom divide always occur in $f(R)$ -gravities by introducing two types of $f(R)$ -gravity: one is the power-law potential (33) with the exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ and $1/3$ and the other is the Hu and Sawicki model (37). In the Jordan frame, the former did not show past oscillations around the phantom divide and its evolution was terminated around $x_c \simeq -2.4$ for $\alpha = 1/2$. On the the other hand, the latter did not provide any past evolution in both Einstein-like and Jordan frames. Similarly, we confirm that there are future oscillations around $r_{\text{dS}} = 2$ for the reduced Ricci scalar r [13]. As was expected, the cosmological constant model has no frame-dependence and we could not find any future oscillations around the phantom divide around $w_{\text{DE}} = -1$ for the exponential gravity in (35).

For whole evolution from the past to future when imposing initial conditions at the present time, the cosmological evolution is allowed in the Einstein-like frame better than in the Jordan frame. This means that the cosmological evolution of $f(R)$ -fluid determined from its form of energy density and pressure, depending on the given frame. Also, it was proven that the termination (singularity) appeared in cosmological evolution is closely related to the form of $f(R)$ -fluid for given frame. This has arisen from $F' = 0$ in the first Friedmann equations (18) and (56). As a result, it is so because of the violation of the stability condition (non-tachyon) of $f(R)$ gravity.

Consequently, we have successfully performed (whole) cosmological evolution of $f(R)$ gravities by choosing two different state variables of energy density and pressure, and pointed out why the singularity appeared in the backward evolution when the initial condition was chosen as the present time.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST) (No.2010-0028080).

-
- [1] S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565 [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133]; A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009 [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201].
 - [2] D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 377 [arXiv:astro-ph/0603449].
 - [3] M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 103501 [arXiv:astro-ph/0310723]; D. J. Eisenstein *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], *Astrophys. J.* **633** (2005) 560 [arXiv:astro-ph/0501171].
 - [4] B. Jain and A. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 141302 [arXiv:astro-ph/0306046].
 - [5] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, eConf **C0602061** (2006) 06 [Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007)] [arXiv:hep-th/0601213].
 - [6] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15** (2006) 1753 [arXiv:hep-th/0603057].
 - [7] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451 (2010) [arXiv:0805.1726 [gr-qc]].
 - [8] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc].
 - [9] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].
 - [10] L. Pogosian and A. Silvestri, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 023503 [Erratum-ibid. *D* **81**, 049901 (2010)] [arXiv:0709.0296 [astro-ph]].
 - [11] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and V. Faraoni, arXiv:0909.4672 [gr-qc]; K. Nozari and T. Azizi, *Phys. Lett. B* **680** (2009) 205 [arXiv:0909.0351 [gr-qc]].
 - [12] K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng and C. C. Lee, *JCAP* **1011**, 001 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0482 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [13] H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, *JCAP* **1106** (2011) 006 [arXiv:1101.0744 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [14] H. W. Lee, K. Y. Kim and Y. S. Myung, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1585 (2011) [arXiv:1010.5556 [hep-th]].

- [15] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. B **521** (2001) 133 [arXiv:astro-ph/0105479].
- [16] V. Paschalidis, S. M. H. Halataei, S. L. Shapiro and I. Sawicki, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 085006 [arXiv:1103.0984 [gr-qc]].
- [17] B. Wang, Y. g. Gong and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **624** (2005) 141 [arXiv:hep-th/0506069].
- [18] H. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **632** (2006) 605 [arXiv:gr-qc/0509040].
- [19] K. Y. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22** (2007) 2631 [arXiv:0706.2444 [gr-qc]].
- [20] K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng and C. C. Lee, JCAP **1008** (2010) 021 [arXiv:1005.4574 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [21] A. V. Frolov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 061103 [arXiv:0803.2500 [astro-ph]].