# Combination of many-body and density-functional theories

Dimitri N. Laikov[∗](#page-0-0)

Department of Physics, AlbaNova University Center, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

(Dated: November 7, 2018)

## Abstract

A framework for developing new approximate electronic structure methods is presented, in which the correlation energy of a many-electron system in the ground state is computed as in the singlereference second-order many-body perturbation theory but with the reference one-body Hamiltonian modified by additional (generally non-local) potentials which are universal functionals of the Hartree-Fock density. The existence of such functionals that reproduce the exact correlation energy justifies the search for approximate models which may overcome some important deficiencies of the traditional density-functional methods and also perform better than the usual low-order wavefunction methods.

PACS numbers: 31.10.+z, 31.15.Ew, 31.15.Md

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [laikov@physto.se](mailto:laikov@physto.se)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorems [\[1](#page-5-0)] have provided a formal justification for the development of a number of approximate density functionals for electronic structure calculations within the Kohn-Sham scheme [\[2](#page-5-1)]. Such density-functional methods are now widely used in theoretical chemistry for computing ground state properties of molecules due to their low cost and scalability. Nevertheless, there is still a number of deficiencies that the traditional approximate functionals cannot overcome. Self-interaction error [\[3](#page-5-2)] is one such example, another important problem is the inability to describe the dispersion (van der Waals) interaction [\[4\]](#page-5-3). On the other hand, the simplest correlated wavefunction method – the Møller-Plesset second-order (MP2) many-body perturbation theory [\[5\]](#page-5-4) – does not suffer from these deficiencies, its cost, though higher, is still acceptable for many interesting applications. There are cases, however, where this simple approximation shows its weakness – for strongly-correlated systems the correlation energy can be significantly overestimated, another difficulty appears when the underlying Hartree-Fock reference experiences an artificial spin- or simmetry-breaking.

In this work we propose a framework for developing new approximated methods of electronic structure calculations which combine the strengths of both the low-order many-body perturbation theory and the local density functional approximations.

### II. THEORY

Starting from the fact that the exact total energy of a many-electron system in the ground state is a functional of the Hartree-Fock density [\[6\]](#page-5-5), we propose here a special form of the correlation energy functional for which simple approximate models may be developed.

The single-determinat wavefunction  $\Phi$  of the Hartree-Fock theory assumed normalized

$$
\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = 1 \tag{1}
$$

makes the expectation value of the energy

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
E_0 = \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle \tag{2}
$$

stationary, which is equivalent to the conditions

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle = 0,\tag{3}
$$

where singly-substituted determinants  $\Phi_i^a$  are introduced. The indices  $i, j, k, \ldots$   $(a, b, c, \ldots)$ label the occupied (virtual) one-electron wavefunctions, whereas  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu$  will refer to any of them.

In terms of one- and two-electron integrals

$$
H^{\nu}_{\mu} = \int \phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v(\mathbf{r}) \right) \phi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r},\tag{4}
$$

$$
R^{\lambda\nu}_{\kappa\mu} = \bar{R}^{\lambda\nu}_{\kappa\mu} - \bar{R}^{\nu\lambda}_{\kappa\mu},\tag{5}
$$

$$
\bar{R}^{\lambda\nu}_{\kappa\mu} = \int \phi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r})\phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}')|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^{-1}\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r})\phi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}') d^{3}\mathbf{r} d^{3}\mathbf{r}',\tag{6}
$$

where the wavefunctions  $\phi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r})$  are assumed to be real and their spin dependence is not show explicitly for simplicity, the energy [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and the conditions [\(3\)](#page-1-1) take the form

$$
E_0 = H_i^i + \frac{1}{2} R_{ij}^{ij},\tag{7}
$$

$$
F_i^a = 0,\t\t(8)
$$

with the Fock matrix defined by

$$
F_{\mu}^{\nu} = H_{\mu}^{\nu} + R_{\mu i}^{\nu i}.
$$
\n(9)

The summation over all repeated indices is assumed throughout.

We now introduce a first-order correlated wavefunction  $\Psi_1$  as a linear combination of all doubly substituted determinants

$$
\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{4} \tau_{ij}^{ab} \Phi_{ij}^{ab},\tag{10}
$$

from which the (exact) correlation energy will be computed as

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
E_{\rm c} = \langle \Psi_1 | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle \tag{11}
$$

or in terms of two-electron integrals

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
E_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{4} \tau_{ij}^{ab} R_{ij}^{ab}.
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

The coefficients  $\tau_{ij}^{ab}$  are determined by linear equations

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
f_c^a \tau_{ij}^{cb} + f_c^b \tau_{ij}^{ac} - f_i^k \tau_{kj}^{ab} - f_j^k \tau_{ik}^{ab} + R_{ij}^{ab} = 0
$$
 (13)

which are formally derived from many-body perturbation theory with the one-electron matrix elements of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian in the form

$$
f_i^j = F_i^j + u_i^j,\tag{14}
$$

$$
f_a^b = F_a^b + u_a^b, \t\t(15)
$$

$$
u_i^j = \int \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) u_o(\mathbf{r}; [\rho_0]) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r}, \qquad (16)
$$

$$
u_a^b = \int \phi_a(\mathbf{r}) u_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r};[\rho_0]) \phi_b(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}.
$$
 (17)

The two different "correction potentials"  $u_0$  and  $u<sub>v</sub>$  to be added to the one-electron Fock operator are universal functionals of the Hartree-Fock density

$$
\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_i(\mathbf{r})\phi_i(\mathbf{r})
$$
\n(18)

and have the property that the resulting correlation energy [\(11\)](#page-2-0) is equal to the exact one. It is obvious that the correction potentials thus defined are not unique. The simplest form would be a constant shift (independent of  $r$ ) for either all occupied or all virtual energy levels:  $u_o(\mathbf{r}) = u[\rho_0], u_v = 0$  or vice versa, but in that case the density functional  $u[\rho_0]$ should be highly non-local and very hard to model without violating the size-consistency of an approximate method. One would be interested in the models where these potentials were local in nature.

For the full-CI wavefunction of the form

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\Psi_{\text{CI}} = \Phi + T_i^a \Phi_i^a + \frac{1}{4} T_{ij}^{ab} \Phi_{ij}^{ab} + \frac{1}{36} T_{ijk}^{abc} \Phi_{ijk}^{abc} + \dots \tag{19}
$$

the correlation energy can be expressed as [\(12\)](#page-2-1) in terms of the doubles coefficients  $T_{ij}^{ab}$ alone, so it would be natural to require the equations [\(13\)](#page-2-2) to generate the values  $\tau_{ij}^{ab}$  which approximate  $T_{ij}^{ab}$  in [\(19\)](#page-3-0). Having only  $n_o(n_o+1)/2 + n_v(n_v+1)/2 - 1$  matrix elements  $u_i^j$ i and  $u_a^b$  as parameters ( $n_o$  and  $n_v$  are the dimensions of the occupied and virtual subspaces, the latter can be in principle infinite) it is not possible, in general, to reproduce all  $n_{\rm o}(n_{\rm o} 1/n_v(n_v-1)/4$  nontrivial values of  $T_{ij}^{ab}$ . The differences between the reduced one-electron quantities

$$
Q_i^j = \tau_{ik}^{ab} \tau_{jk}^{ab} - T_{ik}^{ab} T_{jk}^{ab}, \tag{20}
$$

$$
Q_a^b = \tau_{ij}^{ac} \tau_{ij}^{bc} - T_{ij}^{ac} T_{ij}^{bc} \tag{21}
$$

can be brought to zero by an appropriate choice of  $u_i^j$  $i_i$  and  $u_a^b$  in [\(13\)](#page-2-2), in that case, however, the correlation energy [\(11\)](#page-2-0) may differ from the exact one. The minimization of either

$$
q = Q_i^j Q_i^j + Q_a^b Q_a^b \tag{22}
$$

or

$$
q = Q_i^j \bar{R}_{ik}^{jl} Q_k^l + Q_a^b \bar{R}_{ac}^{bd} Q_c^d \tag{23}
$$

with respect to  $u_i^j$  $u_i^j$  and  $u_a^b$  with the constraint of reproducing the full-CI correlation energy by [\(12\)](#page-2-1) leads to the equations which uniquely define the correction potentials in either case. A more simple function

$$
q = \left(\tau_{ij}^{ab} - T_{ij}^{ab}\right) \left( \left(F_c^a \delta_{bd} + F_d^b \delta_{ac}\right) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \left(F_i^k \delta_{jl} + F_j^l \delta_{ik}\right) \right) \left(\tau_{kl}^{cd} - T_{kl}^{cd}\right) \tag{24}
$$

can also be used as a measure of the deviation between the doubles coefficients.

The existence of such (not necessarily multiplicative) potentials  $u_o(\mathbf{r}; [\rho_0])$  and  $u_v(\mathbf{r}; [\rho_0])$ as functionals of the Hartree-Fock density follows from the fact that there is a one-toone mapping between the external potential  $v(\mathbf{r})$  and the Hartree-Fock density  $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$ , the relationship can be schematically described as  $\rho_0 \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Psi_{\text{CI}} \Rightarrow u_0, u_v$ .

In analogy to the traditional density-functional theory the development of approximate functionals of this new type can start from the consideration of the uniform electron gas as the simplest model system. A local density approximation of the form

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
u_{o}(\mathbf{r}) = u^{\text{ueg}}\left(\rho_{0}(\mathbf{r})\right)\alpha\left(\rho_{0}(\mathbf{r})\right), \qquad (25)
$$

$$
u_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r}) = u^{\text{neg}}\left(\rho_0(\mathbf{r})\right) \left(1 + \alpha\left(\rho_0(\mathbf{r})\right)\right),\tag{26}
$$

can be proposed, where the function  $u^{\text{ueg}}(\rho)$  is chosen as to reproduce, within this method, the exact correlation energy of the uniform electron gas, and the function  $\alpha(\rho)$  can be parametrized in some way, the simplest choices being the constants  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = -1$ .

#### III. CONCLUSIONS

The new form of the correlation energy functional of the Hartree-Fock density proposed here is based on a physically meaningful description of the electron correlation using the two-particle equations [\(13\)](#page-2-2). Approximate methods of this type should be able to describe the dispersion interaction in a natural way and should not contain self-interaction error. It remains to be seen if the simplest local density approximation [\(25\)](#page-4-0) and [\(26\)](#page-4-0) will show higher accuracy in molecular applications than the standard MP2 method. Such comparison will become possible as soon as the function  $u^{\text{ueg}}(\rho)$  will be available from numerical calculations of the uniform electron gas, which we are currently investigating. More sophisticated density-functional models of our corrections potentials  $u_o(\mathbf{r}; [\rho_0])$  and  $u_v(\mathbf{r}; [\rho_0])$  can also be developed, an empirical parametrization [\[7\]](#page-5-6) being the simplest choice.

- <span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[2] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [3] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] W. Kohn, Y. Meir, and D. E. Makarov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4153 (1998).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] C. Møller and M. S. Plesset, Phys. Rev. 46, 618 (1934).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] E. R. Davidson, Phys. Rev. A 42, 2539 (1990).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys **107**, 8554 (1997).