

ENUMERATION FORMULAS FOR GENERALIZED q -EULER NUMBERS

JANG SOO KIM

ABSTRACT. We find an enumeration formula for a (t, q) -Euler number which is a generalization of the q -Euler number introduced by Han, Randrianarivony, and Zeng. We also give a combinatorial expression for the (t, q) -Euler number and find another formula when $t = \pm q^r$ for any integer r . Special cases of our latter formula include the formula of the q -Euler number recently found by Josuat-Vergès and Touchard-Riordan's formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Euler number E_n is defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sec x + \tan x.$$

Thus E_{2n} and E_{2n+1} are also called the *secant number* and the *tangent number* respectively. In 1879, André [1] showed that E_n is equal to the number of *alternating permutations* of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, i.e., the permutations $\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_n$ such that $\pi_1 < \pi_2 > \pi_3 < \dots$.

There are several q -Euler numbers studied in the literature, for instance, see [5, 7, 8, 9, 15]. In this paper we consider the following q -Euler number $E_n(q)$ introduced by Han et al. [7]:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} E_{2n}(q)x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q^2 x}{1 - \frac{[2]_q^2 x}{\dots}}}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} E_{2n+1}(q)x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q [2]_q x}{1 - \frac{[2]_q [3]_q x}{\dots}}},$$

where $[n]_q = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$. We will use the standard notations:

$$(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^{n-1}), \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}.$$

This q -Euler number also has a nice combinatorial expression found by Chebikin [2]:

$$E_n(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{A}_n} q^{31-2(\pi)},$$

where \mathfrak{A}_n denotes the set of alternating permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $31-2(\pi)$ denotes the number of 31-2 patterns in π .

Recently, Josuat-Vergès [9] found a formula for $E_n(q)$. In Section 6 we show that, by elementary manipulations, his formula can be rewritten as follows:

$$(2) \quad E_{2n}(q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) q^{k(k+1)} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{-i^2},$$

$$(3) \quad E_{2n+1}(q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) q^{k(k+2)} A_k(q^{-1}),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A19, 05A30, 05E35.

Key words and phrases. Euler numbers, Touchard-Riordan's formula, continued fractions.

where $A_0(q) = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$A_k(q) = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2} + \frac{q^{2k+1}}{1-q} \sum_{i=-(k-1)}^{k-1} (-q)^{i^2}.$$

Shin and Zeng [15, Theorem 12] found a parity-independent formula for $E_n(q)$.

We note that (2) is similar to the following formula of Touchard [17] and Riordan [14]:

$$(4) \quad d_n = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}},$$

where d_n is defined by

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q x}{1 - \frac{[2]_q x}{\dots}}}$$

In this paper we introduce the (t, q) -Euler numbers $E_n(t, q)$ defined by

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} E_n(t, q) x^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q [1]_{t,q} x}{1 - \frac{[2]_q [2]_{t,q} x}{\dots}}}$$

where $[n]_{t,q} = (1 - tq^n)/(1 - q)$. Note that $(1 - q)^{2n} E_n(0, q) = (1 - \sqrt{q})^{2n} E_n(-1, \sqrt{q}) = (1 - q)^n d_n$, $E_n(1, q) = E_{2n}(q)$, and $E_n(q, q) = E_{2n+1}(q)$. In fact $E_n(t, q)$ is a special case of the $2n$ th moment $\mu_{2n}(a, b; q)$ of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials $Q_n(x)$ defined by the recurrence

$$2xQ_n(x) = Q_{n+1} + (a + b)q^n Q_n(x) + (1 - q^n)(1 - abq^{n-1})Q_{n-1}(x),$$

and the initial conditions $Q_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $Q_0(x) = 1$. If $a = \sqrt{-qt}$ and $b = -\sqrt{-qt}$, then the $2n$ th moment $\mu_{2n}(a, b; q)$ satisfies $(1 - q)^{2n} E_n(t, q) = 2^{2n} \mu_{2n}(\sqrt{-qt}, -\sqrt{-qt}; q)$. Josuat-Vergès [10, Theorem 6.1.1 or Equation 46] found a formula for $\mu_n(a, b; q)$, which implies that

$$(7) \quad E_n(t, q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{k+i} q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} (qt)^{k-j} \begin{bmatrix} 2k-j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2k-2j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

In the same paper, Josuat-Vergès showed that (2) and (3) can be obtained from (7) using certain summation formulas.

The original motivation of this paper is to find a formula from which one can easily obtain (2), (3), and (4). The main results in this paper are Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 below.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$E_n(t, q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) t^k q^{k(k+1)} T_k(t^{-1}, q^{-1}),$$

where $\{T_k(t, q)\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a family of polynomials in t and q determined uniquely by the recurrence relation: $T_0(t, q) = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$(8) \quad T_k(t, q) = T_{k-1}(t, q) + (1 + t)(-q)^{k^2} + (1 - t^2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-q)^{k^2 - i^2} T_{i-1}(t, q).$$

From the recurrence of $T_k(t, q)$, we immediately get $T_k(-1, q) = 1$ and $T_k(1, q) = \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2}$, which imply (4) and (2) respectively. Using certain weighted lattice paths satisfying the same recurrence relation we obtain the following formula for $T_k(t, q)$.

Corollary 1.2. *We have*

$$T_k(t, q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j+i} t^{2i} q^{j^2+i^2+i} \begin{bmatrix} k-j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ j-i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + t \begin{bmatrix} k-i-1 \\ j-i-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \right).$$

As a consequence of the proof of Corollary 1.2 we can express $T_k(t, q)$ using what we call self-conjugate overpartitions, see Theorem 4.1. This combinatorial expression allows us to find a functional equation for $T_k(t, q)$ which gives a recurrence relation for $T_k(\pm q^r, q)$, see Corollary 4.2. Solving the recurrence relation, we get the following formulas for $T_n(\pm q^r, q)$ for any integer r .

Theorem 1.3. *For $b \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$(9) \quad T_k(q^b, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{i(2k+1)}}{(q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \sum_{j=-(k-i)}^{k-i} (-q)^{j^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2},$$

$$(10) \quad T_k(-q^b, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{i(2k+1)}}{(-q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \frac{(-q; q)_i}{(-q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2},$$

and for $b \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$(11) \quad T_k(q^{-b}, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (q^{1-b}; q)_i (-q)^{k(k-2b+2)+2i} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2},$$

$$(12) \quad T_k(-q^{-b}, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{i(2k-2b-i+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \\ + (-q)^{k^2+2k-2kb} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (-q^{1-b}; q)_i q^{2i} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

Note that (2) and (3) follows immediately from (9) when $b = 0$ and $b = 1$, and (4) from (10) when $b = 0$. When $t = -q$ and $t = -1/q$, we get simple formulas, see Propositions 5.9 and 5.16.

We note that it is possible to obtain another formula for $T_k(q^b, q)$ for a positive integer b from a result in [13, Section 6], see Section 7.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we interpret $E_n(t, q)$ using δ_k -configurations introduced in [11]. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. In Section 4 we show that $T_k(t, q)$ can be expressed as the sum of certain weights of symmetric overpartitions. Using this expression we also find a functional equation for $T_k(t, q)$. In Section 5 using the functional equation obtained in the previous section we prove Theorem 1.3 which is divided into Corollaries 5.7, 5.8, 5.14, and 5.15. In Section 6 we show that the original formula of $E_n(q)$ in [9] is equivalent to (2) and (3). In Section 7 we propose some open problems.

2. INTERPRETATION OF $E_n(t, q)$ USING δ_k -CONFIGURATIONS

In this section we interpret $E_n(t, q)$ using δ_k -configurations introduced in [11]. The idea is basically the same as in [11].

2.1. S-fractions and weighted lattice paths. An *S-fraction* is a continued fraction of the following form:

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 x}{1 - \frac{c_2 x}{\dots}}}$$

Thus all continued fractions appeared in the introduction are S-fractions. There is a simple combinatorial interpretation for S-fractions using weighted Dyck paths. In this subsection we will find formulas equivalent to Theorem 1.1 using this combinatorial interpretation.

Definition 1. A *Dyck path* of length $2n$ is a lattice path from $(0, 0)$ to $(2n, 0)$ in \mathbb{N}^2 consisting of up steps $(1, 1)$ and down steps $(1, -1)$. We denote by \mathcal{D}_n the set of Dyck paths of length $2n$. A *marked Dyck path* is a Dyck path in which each up step and down step may be marked. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n$ the set of marked Dyck paths of length $2n$. We also denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^*$ the subset of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n$ consisting of the marked Dyck paths without marked peaks. Here, a *marked peak* means a marked up step immediately followed by a marked down step. Given two sequences $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $\mathcal{B} = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$ and $p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_n$, we define the weight $\text{wt}(p; \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ to be the product of a_h (resp. b_h) for each non-marked up step (resp. non-marked down step) between height h and $h - 1$.

Observe that every marked step can be considered as a step of weight 1. We will consider a Dyck path as a marked Dyck path without marked steps. In this identification we have $\mathcal{D}_n \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}_n$.

The following combinatorial interpretation of S-fractions is well-known, see [4].

Lemma 2.1. For two sequences $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $\mathcal{B} = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_1 b_1 x}{1 - \frac{a_2 b_2 x}{\dots}}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).$$

The reader may have noticed that every formula in the introduction has the factor $\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1}$ in its summand. This can be explained by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. [11, Lemma 1.2] For two sequences \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_k^*} \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{A} - \mathbf{1}, \mathcal{B} - \mathbf{1}),$$

where, if $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, the sequence $\mathcal{A} - \mathbf{1}$ means $(a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1, \dots)$.

From now on we fix the following sequences:



$$\mathcal{U} = (-q, -q^2, \dots), \quad \mathcal{V}_t = (-tq, -tq^2, \dots).$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(t, q) &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{wt}(p; ([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots), ([1]_{t,q}, [2]_{t,q}, \dots)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{wt}(p; (1-q, 1-q^2, \dots), (1-tq, 1-tq^2, \dots)) \\ (13) \quad &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_k^*} \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_t). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. δ_k^+ -configurations. We now recall δ_k -configurations. We first need some terminologies on integer partitions.

Definition 2. A *partition* is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of positive integer. Sometime we will consider that infinitely many zeros are attached at the end of λ so that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i > \ell$. Each integer λ_i is called a *part* of λ and the size of λ , denoted $|\lambda|$, is the sum of all parts. The *Ferrers diagram* of λ is the arrangement of left-justified square cells in which the i th topmost row has λ_i cells. We will identify a partition with its Ferrers diagram. *Row i* (resp. *Column i*) means the i th topmost row (resp. leftmost column). The (i, j) -*cell* means the cell in Row i and Column j . An *inner corner* (resp. *outer corner*) of λ is a cell $c \in \lambda$ (resp. $c \in \delta_k/\lambda$) such that $\lambda \setminus \{c\}$ (resp. $\lambda \cup \{c\}$) is a partition. For a partition λ , the *transpose* (or *conjugate*) of λ is the partition, denoted λ^{tr} , such that λ^{tr} has the (i, j) -cell if and only if λ has the (j, i) -cell. For two partition λ and μ we write $\mu \subset \lambda$ if the Ferrers diagram of μ is contained in that of λ . In this case we denote their difference as sets by λ/μ .


 FIGURE 1. An example of δ_k -configuration.

 FIGURE 2. A δ_k^+ -configuration and the corresponding marked Dyck path, where the marked steps are the thicker steps.

Let δ_k denote the staircase partition $(k, k-1, \dots, 1)$. Let $B(m, n)$ denote the box with m rows and n columns, that is, $B(m, n) = \overbrace{(n, n, \dots, n)}^m$. It is well-known, for instance see [16], that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\lambda \subset B(m, n)} q^{|\lambda|} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Definition 3. A δ_k -configuration is a pair (λ, A) of a partition $\lambda \subset \delta_{k-1}$ and a set A of arrows each of which occupies a whole row or a whole column of δ_k/λ or δ_{k-1}/λ . If an arrow occupies a whole row or a whole column of δ_k/λ (resp. δ_{k-1}/λ), we call the arrow a k -arrow (resp. $(k-1)$ -arrow). The *length* of an arrow is the number of cells occupied by the arrow. A *fillable corner* is an outer corner which is occupied by one k -arrow and one $(k-1)$ -arrow. A *forbidden corner* is an outer corner which is occupied by two k -arrows. A δ_k^+ -configuration is a δ_k -configuration without forbidden corners nor $(k-1)$ -arrows.

We note that an arrow in a δ_k -configuration can have length 0. We will represent an arrow of length 0 as a half dot as shown in Figure 1.

There is a natural bijection between $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_k^*$ and Δ_k^+ as follows. For $(\lambda, A) \in \Delta_k^+$, the north-west border of δ_k/λ defines a marked Dyck path of length $2k$ where the marked steps correspond to the segments on the border with arrows, see Figure 2.

For a δ_k -configuration $C = (\lambda, A)$, we define the weight $\text{wt}_{t,q}(C)$ by

$$\text{wt}_{t,q}(C) = (-1)^{|A|} t^{\text{h}(A)} q^{2|\lambda| + \|A\|},$$

where $\|A\|$ is the sum of the arrow lengths and $\text{h}(A)$ is the number of horizontal arrows. For example, if C is the δ_k -configuration in Figure 2, we have $\text{wt}_{t,q}(C) = (-1)^7 t^4 q^{2 \cdot 8 + 1 + 3 + 4 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 2}$.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $C \in \Delta_k^+$ corresponds to $p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_k^*$ in the bijection described above. Then we have

$$(15) \quad \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_t) = t^k q^{k(k+1)} \text{wt}_{t-1, q^{-1}}(C),$$

which implies that

$$(16) \quad \sum_{p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_k^*} \text{wt}(p; \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_t) = t^k q^{k(k+1)} \sum_{C \in \Delta_k^+} \text{wt}_{t-1, q^{-1}}(C).$$

Proof. Let $C = (\lambda, A)$. By the construction of the bijection sending C to p , it is easy to see that

$$\text{wt}(p; \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_t) = \prod_{i=1}^k r(i)c(i),$$

where $r(i) = -tq^{(k+1-i)-\lambda_i}$ if there is no horizontal arrow in Row i and $r(i) = 1$ otherwise, and $c(i) = -tq^{(k+1-i)-\lambda_i^{\text{tr}}}$ if there is no vertical arrow in Column i and $c(i) = 1$ otherwise.

Now consider $t^k q^{k(k+1)} \text{wt}_{t^{-1}, q^{-1}}(C)$. By the identities

$$\begin{aligned} t^k q^{k(k+1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^k (-tq^{k+1-i})(-q^{k+1-i}), \\ 2|\lambda| &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\text{tr}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that if there is an arrow in Row i (resp. Column i) then its length is $(k+1-i) - \lambda_i$ (resp. $(k+1-i) - \lambda_i^{\text{tr}}$), it is easy to check

$$t^k q^{k(k+1)} \text{wt}_{t^{-1}, q^{-1}}(C) = \prod_{i=1}^k r(i)c(i),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.2

From now on we denote

$$T_k(t, q) = \sum_{C \in \Delta_k^+} \text{wt}_{t, q}(C).$$


For brevity we will also write T_k instead of $T_k(t, q)$.

By (13) and (16), we have

$$E_n(t, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) t^k q^{k(k+1)} T_k(t^{-1}, q^{-1}).$$

Thus in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it remains to prove the recurrence relation (8). We need some results in [11]. We begin by defining a set which is in bijection with Δ_k^+ .


A *miniature* of a δ_k -configuration is the restriction of it to the $(k-i, i)$ -cell, the $(k-i, i+1)$ -cell, and the $(k-i+1, i)$ -cell for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, where any $(k-1)$ -arrows in Column $i+1$ or Row $k-i+1$ are ignored. For example, the miniatures of the δ_k -configuration in Figure 1 are



where the bottommost miniature appears first.

Definition 4. A δ_k^- -configuration is a δ_k -configuration (λ, A) satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) There is neither fillable corner nor forbidden corner.
- (2) Every k -arrow has length 1.
- (3) For any miniature, if there is a horizontal (resp. vertical) k -arrow in the bottom (resp. right) cell, then the middle cell is contained in λ . Moreover, if the bottom (resp. right) cell has a horizontal (resp. vertical) k -arrow and a vertical (resp. horizontal) $(k-1)$ -arrow, then the right (resp. bottom) cell has a horizontal (resp. vertical) k -arrow. Pictorially, these mean the following:







FIGURE 3. List of exceptions.


 FIGURE 4. The sign-reversing involution ϕ on $\Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$. The topmost good exception is colored red.

The set of δ_k^- -configurations is denoted by Δ_k^- .

Josuat-Vergès and the author [11, Proposition 4.1] found a bijection $\psi : \Delta_k^+ \rightarrow \Delta_k^-$ preserving $\text{wt}_{1,q}$, i.e. $\text{wt}_{1,q}(\psi(C)) = \text{wt}_{1,q}(C)$ for all $C \in \Delta_k^+$. From the construction of ψ in their paper, it is clear that ψ also preserves the number of horizontal arrows. Thus we also have $\text{wt}_{t,q}(\psi(C)) = \text{wt}_{t,q}(C)$ for all $C \in \Delta_k^+$, which implies

$$(17) \quad T_k = \sum_{C \in \Delta_k^-} \text{wt}_{t,q}(C).$$

Since $\Delta_{k-1}^+ \subset \Delta_k^-$, we can rewrite (17) as

$$(18) \quad T_k = T_{k-1} + \sum_{C \in \Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+} \text{wt}_{t,q}(C).$$

In order to compute the sum in (18), we need a property of the elements in $\Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$.

Lemma 3.1. [11, Lemma 4.2] *Let $C \in \Delta_k^-$. Then $C \in \Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$ if and only if C has a miniature listed in Figure 3.*

We call the miniatures in Figure 3 *exceptions*. The exceptions B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , and B_4 are called *bad exceptions*, and the others are called *good exceptions*.

Now we can compute the sum in (18).




FIGURE 5. The elements in $\Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$ containing only bad exceptions.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{C \in \Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+} \text{wt}_{t,q}(C) = (1+t)(-q)^{k^2} + (1-t^2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-q)^{k^2-i^2} T_{i-1}.$$

Proof. We will construct a sign-reversing involution ϕ on $\Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$, i.e. an involution satisfying $\text{wt}_{t,q}(\phi(C)) = -\text{wt}_{t,q}(C)$ if $\phi(C) \neq C$. If $\phi(C) = C$, we call C a *fixed point* of ϕ .

Suppose $C \in \Delta_k^- \setminus \Delta_{k-1}^+$. By Lemma 3.1, C has an exception. If C has a good exception, find the topmost good exception. If the topmost good exception is $G_0^{(i)}$ (resp. $G_1^{(i)}$) for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$, we define $\phi(C)$ to be the configuration obtained from C by replacing the topmost good exception with $G_1^{(i)}$ (resp. $G_0^{(i)}$), see Figure 4. If C has no good exceptions, we define $\phi(C) = C$. The map ϕ is certainly a sign-reversing involution whose fixed points are those containing only bad exceptions.

Now suppose that C has only bad exceptions. Note that the topmost bad exception determined the miniatures below it because the miniature below B_1, B_2, B_3 must be B_1 and the miniature below B_4 must be B_2 . Furthermore, B_1 or B_2 can be the topmost exception only if it intersects with the first row. Thus C looks like one of the configurations in Figure 5. Since there is no exception above the topmost bad exception, the sub-configurations consisting of '?'s in the last two configurations in Figure 5 are contained in Δ_{i-1}^+ , where i can be any integer in $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. Thus the weight sum of the configurations in Figure 5 are, from left to right,

$$(-q)^{k^2}, \quad t(-q)^{k^2}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-q)^{k^2-i^2} T_{i-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} -t^2(-q)^{k^2-i^2} T_{i-1}.$$

Since the left hand side of the equation of the lemma is the weight sum of fixed points of ϕ , we are done. \square

From (18) and Lemma 3.2 we get the recurrence relation (8) for T_k , thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

In order to find a formula for T_k from the above recurrence relation, we introduce a lattice path model for T_k . We consider the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ in which the unit length is defined to be $\sqrt{2}$ so that the area of a unit square is 2. In this lattice the area of the right triangle with three vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, and $(0, -1)$ is 1.

For nonnegative integers k and j , let $M[(k, 0) \rightarrow (0, -j)]$ denote the set of paths from $(k, 0)$ to $(0, -j)$ consisting of west steps $(-1, 0)$ and southwest steps $(-1, -1)$. We define the weight $w(p)$ of $p \in M[(k, 0) \rightarrow (0, -j)]$ to be

$$(19) \quad w(p) = (-1)^j q^{A(R)} (1-t^2)^s V,$$

where $A(R)$ is the area of the region R bounded by the x -axis, the y -axis, and p , s is the number of southwest steps immediately followed by a west step, and $V = 1+t$ if the last step is southwest, and $V = 1$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.3. *For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$T_k = \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{p \in M[(k, 0) \rightarrow (0, -j)]} w(p).$$




FIGURE 6. An example of $p \in M[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, -j)]$. The region S obtained from R by removing the right triangle with three vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, -j)$, and $(j, 0)$ can be identified with the partition $\lambda = (5, 4, 2, 2) \subset B(j, k - j)$.

Proof. Let T'_k denote the right hand side of the equation. We will show that T'_k satisfies the same recurrence relation in (8).

Observe that T'_k is the sum of $w(p)$ for all paths p from $(k, 0)$ to a point on the y -axis consisting of west steps and southwest steps. The weight sum of such paths p starting with a west step is T'_{k-1} . Suppose now that p starts with a southwest step. If p has only southwest steps, then p must be a path from $(k, 0)$ to $(0, -k)$ and $w(p) = (-1)^k q^{k^2} (1+t)$. Otherwise we may assume that the first west step of p is the $(i+1)$ st step for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Let p' be the path obtained from p by removing the first $i+1$ steps and shifting the remaining path upwards by i units. Then p' is a path from $(k-i-1, 0)$ to a point on the y -axis and $w(p) = (-1)^i q^{k^2 - (k-i)^2} (1-t^2) w(p')$. Summarizing these, we get

$$T'_k = T'_{k-1} + (1+t)(-q)^{k^2} + (1-t^2) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i q^{k^2 - (k-i)^2} T'_{k-i-1}.$$

Changing the index i to $k-i$ in the above sum, we obtain that T_k and T'_k satisfy the same recurrence relation. Since $T_0 = T'_0 = 1$, we have $T_k = T'_k$. \square

Suppose $p \in M[(k, 0) \rightarrow (0, -j)]$. Then the region R in (19) contains the right triangle with three vertices $(0, 0)$, $(j, 0)$, and $(0, -j)$ whose area is j^2 . If we remove this right triangle from R , the remaining region S can be identified with a partition $\lambda \subset B(j, k-j)$ as shown in Figure 6. Then we have $A(S) = 2|\lambda|$. Moreover, s equals the number of inner corners of λ , which is the number $\text{dist}(\lambda)$ of distinct parts, and $V = 1+t$ if $\lambda_j = 0$, and $V = 1$ if $\lambda_j > 0$. Therefore, we have

$$(20) \quad w(p) = (-q)^{j^2} q^{2|\lambda|} (1-t^2)^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} V,$$

where $V = 1+t$ if $\lambda_j = 0$, and $V = 1$ if $\lambda_j > 0$. Since $M[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, -j)] = \emptyset$ if $j > k$, we get

$$(21) \quad T_k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-q)^{j^2} \left(\sum_{\lambda \subset B(j, k-j)} q^{2|\lambda|} (1-t^2)^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} + \sum_{\lambda \subset B(j-1, k-j)} t q^{2|\lambda|} (1-t^2)^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} \right).$$

Lemma 3.4. For nonnegative integers m and n , we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \subset B(m, n)} x^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{i=0}^m q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n+m-i \\ m-i \end{bmatrix}_q (x-1)^i.$$

Proof. Let P_n denote the set of partitions such that the largest part is at most n and every part is nonzero. It is not hard to see that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in P_n} y^{\ell(\lambda)} x^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{yxq^i}{1-yq^i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + y(x-1)q^i) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-yq^j},$$




FIGURE 7. An overpartition and its conjugate

where $\ell(\lambda)$ is the number of parts of λ . Then by the q -binomial theorem [6, Exercise 1.2 (vi)], we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + y(x-1)q^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q y^i (x-1)^i.$$

Since the condition $\lambda \subset B(m, n)$ is equivalent to $\lambda \in P_n$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \subset B(m, n)} x^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} &= [y^{\leq m}] \left(\sum_{\lambda \in P_n} y^{\ell(\lambda)} x^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} \right) \\ &= [y^{\leq m}] \left(\sum_{i=0}^n q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q y^i (x-1)^i \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-yq^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\min(m, n)} q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q (x-1)^i \cdot [y^{\leq m-i}] \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-yq^j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $[y^{\leq m}]f(y)$ means the sum of the coefficients of y^j in $f(y)$ for $j \leq m$. Note that it is no harm to replace $\min(m, n)$ with m in the last sum of the above equation. Since

$$[y^{\leq m-i}] \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-yq^j} \right) = \sum_{\lambda \subset B(m-i, n)} q^{|\lambda|} = \begin{bmatrix} n+m-i \\ m-i \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

we are done. \square

Now we can complete the proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Applying Lemma 3.4 to (21), we obtain that T_k is equal to

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-q)^{j^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j q^{i^2+i} \begin{bmatrix} k-j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} k-i \\ j-i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} (-t^2)^i + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} tq^{i^2+i} \begin{bmatrix} k-j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} k-i-1 \\ j-i-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} (-t^2)^i \right),$$

which gives the desired formula. \square

4. SELF-CONJUGATE OVERPARTITIONS

In this section we will express the sum $T_k(t, q)$ in the previous section using overpartitions. Overpartitions were first introduced by Corteel and Lovejoy [3]. We define overpartitions in a slightly different way, but it should be clear that the two definitions are equivalent.

Definition 5. An *overpartition* is a partition in which each inner corner may be marked. For an overpartition λ , we define the *conjugate* of λ in the natural way: the partition is transposed and the cell (i, j) is marked if and only if the cell (j, i) is marked in λ , see Figure 7. A *self-conjugate overpartition* is an overpartition whose conjugate is equal to itself. We denote by $\mathcal{SCP}(k)$ the set of self-conjugate overpartitions whose underlying partitions are contained in $B(k, k)$. A *diagonal cell* is the (i, i) -cell for some i . For an overpartition λ , the number of diagonal cells is denoted by $\text{diag}(\lambda)$, and the number of marked cells is denoted by $\text{mark}(\lambda)$. The *main diagonal* is the infinite set of (i, i) -cells (not necessarily contained in λ) for all i .




FIGURE 8. The construction of $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)$ from an overpartition λ whose underlying partition is contained in $B(j, k - j)$.

Recall that by Lemma 3.3 and (20) we have

$$(22) \quad T_k(t, q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\lambda \subset B(j, k-j)} (-q)^{j^2} q^{2|\lambda|} (1-t^2)^{\text{dist}(\lambda)} V,$$

where $\text{dist}(\lambda)$ is the number of distinct parts of λ , and $V = 1 + t$ if $\lambda_j = 0$, and $V = 1$ if $\lambda_j > 0$. Since $\text{dist}(\lambda)$ is equal to the number of inner corners of λ , the factor $(1-t^2)^{\text{dist}(\lambda)}$ in (22) can be understood as marking each inner corner or not. Thus (22) can be rewritten as

$$(23) \quad T_k(t, q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{j+\text{mark}(\lambda)} t^{2\text{mark}(\lambda)} q^{j^2} q^{2|\lambda|} V,$$

where the latter sum is over all overpartitions λ whose underlying partitions are contained in $B(j, k - j)$. For such an overpartition λ , we construct $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)$ which is obtained from the box $B(j, j)$ by attaching λ to the right of the box and its conjugate to the bottom of the box as shown in Figure 8. Then ν always has even number of marked cells and

$$(-1)^{j+\text{mark}(\lambda)} t^{2\text{mark}(\lambda)} q^{j^2} q^{2|\lambda|} = (-1)^{\text{diag}(\nu) + \lfloor \frac{\text{mark}(\nu)}{2} \rfloor} t^{\text{mark}(\nu)} q^{|\nu|}.$$

On the other hand, in (23) $V = 1 + t$ if $\lambda_j = 0$, and $V = 1$ if $\lambda_j > 0$, equivalently, $V = 1 + t$ if ν has an inner corner on the main diagonal, and $V = 1$ otherwise. Considering $V = 1 + t$ as marking the diagonal inner corner or not, we can express $T_k(t, q)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$T_k(t, q) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)} (-1)^{\text{diag}(\nu) + \lfloor \frac{\text{mark}(\nu)}{2} \rfloor} t^{\text{mark}(\nu)} q^{|\nu|}.$$

We close this section by finding a functional equation for $T_k(t, q)$ which will serve as a recurrence relation in the next section.

Corollary 4.2. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$(1-tq)T_k(tq, q) = T_k(t, q) + t^2 q^{2k+1} T_{k-1}(t, q).$$

Proof. For $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)$, let $\omega(\nu) = (-1)^{\text{diag}(\nu) + \lfloor \frac{\text{mark}(\nu)}{2} \rfloor} t^{\text{mark}(\nu)} q^{|\nu|}$. Then

$$T_k(t, q) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)} \omega(\nu).$$

We can think of $\omega(\nu)$ as the product of the weight of the cells and marks in ν , which are defined as follows:

- (1) every non-diagonal cell has weight q ,
- (2) every diagonal cell has weight $-q$,
- (3) every mark above the main diagonal has weight $-t$, and
- (4) every mark below or on the main diagonal has weight t .




FIGURE 9. Moving the marks in $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k)$ when there is a special mark in the outer corner on the main diagonal.

In order to express the left hand side of the equation we define $\mathcal{SOP}'(k)$ to be the set of $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)$ in which the unique corner on the main diagonal may have a special mark. Note that the corner of the main diagonal can be an inner corner or an outer corner depending on ν , and if it is an inner corner, then this corner may have two marks, one is non-special and the other is special. For $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k)$, we define $\omega'(\nu)$ to be the product of weights of the cells and marks, which are defined as follows:

- (1) every non-diagonal cell has weight q ,
- (2) every diagonal cell has weight $-q$,
- (3) every mark above the main diagonal has weight $-tq$,
- (4) every mark below or on the main diagonal has weight tq , and
- (5) if there is a special mark, it has weight $-tq$.

It is easy to see that

$$(1 - tq)T_k(tq, q) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k)} \omega'(\nu).$$

Let X be the set of $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k)$ which has an inner corner on the main diagonal with only one mark. For $\nu \in X$, we define ν' to be the element in X that is obtained by switching the mark in the inner corner on the main diagonal to special one or non-special one. It is clear that $\omega'(\nu') = -\omega'(\nu)$. Thus the sum of $\omega'(\nu)$ for all $\nu \in X$ is zero and we get

$$(1 - tq)T_k(tq, q) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k) \setminus X} \omega'(\nu).$$

Now suppose $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k) \setminus X$. For each mark above (resp. below) the main diagonal, if it is in Row i (resp. Column i), delete the mark and add a cell in Row $i + 1$ (resp. Column $i + 1$) and mark the new cell. If there is a special mark in the outer corner on the diagonal, then add a cell to ν to fill this outer corner and change the special mark to a non-special mark, see Figure 9. If there are one non-special mark and one special mark in the inner corner on the main diagonal, which is in Row i and Column i , then delete the two marks, add one cell to Row $i + 1$ and one cell to Column $i + 1$, and mark the two new cells, see Figure 10. Let μ be the resulting overpartition. From the construction it is clear that $\omega'(\nu) = \omega(\mu)$. Also, it is not hard to see that μ is an element in $\mathcal{SOP}(k)$ or an element in $\mathcal{SOP}(k + 1)$. Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k + 1)$, the $(1, k + 1)$ -cell and the $(k + 1, 1)$ -cell of μ are marked inner corners, and the overpartition μ' obtained from μ by deleting Row 1 and Column 1 satisfies $\mu' \in \mathcal{SOP}(k - 1)$ and $\omega(\mu) = t^2 q^{2k+1} \omega(\mu')$. Note that the sign does not change because μ' has one less diagonal cells and two less marks than μ . Thus we have

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k) \setminus X} \omega'(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k)} \omega(\nu) + t^2 q^{2k+1} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}(k-1)} \omega(\nu),$$

which finishes the proof. \square




FIGURE 10. Moving the marks in $\nu \in \mathcal{SOP}'(k)$ when there is a special mark in the inner corner on the main diagonal.

5. ANOTHER FORMULA FOR $T_k(\pm q^r, q)$

In this section we will find another formula for $T_k(t, q)$ when $t = \pm q^r$ for any integer r . To this end we need to divide the cases when $r \geq 0$ and $r \leq 0$. For a sign $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, and nonnegative integers b and k , we define

$$\alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = T_k(\epsilon q^b, q), \quad \beta_\epsilon(b, k) = T_k(\epsilon q^{-b}, q).$$

Note that for $b \geq 0$, we have

$$(24) \quad \alpha_\epsilon(b, 0) = \beta_\epsilon(b, 0) = 1.$$

Recall that from the recurrence (8) of $T_k(t, q)$, we immediately get $T_k(-1, q) = 1$ and $T_k(1, q) = \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2}$. Thus we have

$$(25) \quad \alpha_-(0, k) = \beta_-(0, k) = T_k(-1, q) = 1,$$

$$(26) \quad \alpha_+(0, k) = \beta_+(0, k) = T_k(1, q) = \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2}.$$

Substituting $t = \epsilon q^{b-1}$ in Corollary 4.2, we obtain

$$(1 - \epsilon q^b)T_k(\epsilon q^b, q) = T_k(\epsilon q^{b-1}, q) + q^{2k+2b-1}T_{k-1}(\epsilon q^{b-1}, q).$$

If $b \geq 1$, we can divide the both sides of the above equation by $1 - \epsilon q^b$ to get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *For integers $b, k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon q^b} \alpha_\epsilon(b-1, k) + \frac{q^{2k+2b-1}}{1 - \epsilon q^b} \alpha_\epsilon(b-1, k-1).$$

Substituting $t = \epsilon q^{-b}$ in Corollary 4.2, we obtain

$$(1 - \epsilon q^{1-b})T_k(\epsilon q^{1-b}, q) = T_k(\epsilon q^{-b}, q) + q^{2k-2b+1}T_{k-1}(\epsilon q^{-b}, q),$$

which implies the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For integers $b, k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\beta_\epsilon(b, k) = (1 - \epsilon q^{1-b})\beta_\epsilon(b-1, k) - q^{2k-2b+1}\beta_\epsilon(b, k-1).$$

Now we have recurrence relations and initial conditions for $\alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$ and $\beta_\epsilon(b, k)$. Thus we can use the idea in Section 4 to compute $\alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$ and $\beta_\epsilon(b, k)$. As we did in Section 4 we define the unit length in the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to be $\sqrt{2}$.

5.1. Formula for $T_k(\pm q^r, q)$ when $r \geq 0$. Suppose m and n are nonnegative integers with $m = 0$ or $n = 0$. We define $L[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]$ to be the set of lattice paths from (b, k) to (m, n) consisting of west steps $(-1, 0)$ and southwest steps $(-1, -1)$ without any west steps on the x -axis. The condition that there is no west step on the x -axis guarantees that the lattice path ends when it first touches the x -axis or the y -axis.

For $p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]$ we define the weight $w(p)$ by

$$(27) \quad w(p) = q^{A(R)} \prod_{i=m+1}^b \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon q^i} \prod_{i=n+1}^k q^{2i},$$

where $A(R)$ is the area of the upper region R of the rectangle with four vertices $(0, 0)$, $(b, 0)$, $(0, k)$, and (b, k) divided by the path p .

Lemma 5.3. *For $b, k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 0 \\ mn=0}} \alpha_\epsilon(m, n) \sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]} w(p).$$

Proof. Let $F(b, k)$ denote the right hand side and let $f_{m, n}(b, k)$ denote the latter sum there. Using a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, one can easily check that for $b, k \geq 1$,

$$f_{m, n}(b, k) = \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon q^b} f_{m, n}(b-1, k) + \frac{q^{2k+2b-1}}{1 - \epsilon q^b} f_{m, n}(b-1, k-1).$$

Thus $F(b, k)$ and $\alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$ satisfy the same recurrence relation. Since $F(b, k) = \alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$ when $b = 0$ or $k = 0$, we get $F(b, k) = \alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$ for all $b, k \geq 0$. \square

Since $\alpha_\epsilon(m, 0) = 1$, the formula in the previous lemma can be written as

$$(28) \quad \alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_\epsilon(0, n) \sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) + \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, 0)]} w(p).$$

Now we compute the weight sums in (28).

Lemma 5.4. *For $b, k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) = \frac{q^{(k-n)(2k+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \left[\begin{matrix} b \\ k-n \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]$. From the definition of $w(p)$ in (27), we have

$$w(p) = \frac{q^{k(k+1)-n(n+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \cdot q^{A(R)}.$$

Since p consists of west steps and southwest steps, the region contains the right triangle with three vertices $(0, n)$, $(0, k)$, and $(k-n, k)$, whose area is $(k-n)^2$, see Figure 11. Let S be the region obtained from R by removing this right triangle. Then S is contained in the quadrilateral with four vertices $(0, n)$, $(k-n, k)$, (b, k) , and $(b-k+n, n)$. Again by the fact that p consists of west steps and southwest steps, one can identify S with a partition λ contained in $B(k-n, b-k+n)$. In this identification we have $A(S) = 2|\lambda|$. Thus, we get


$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) &= \frac{q^{k(k+1)-n(n+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \cdot q^{(k-n)^2} \sum_{\lambda \subset B(k-n, b-k+n)} q^{2|\lambda|} \\ &= \frac{q^{(k-n)(2k+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \left[\begin{matrix} b \\ k-n \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 5.5. *For $b \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, 0)]} w(p) = \frac{(\epsilon q; q)_m}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2m+1)} \left[\begin{matrix} b-m-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2}.$$

Proof. This is similar to the proof of the previous lemma. The only difference is that since the last step of p is always a southwest step, p visits $(m+1, 1)$ right before its end point. Then the same argument works, so we omit the details. \square


 FIGURE 11. An example of $p \in L[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]$.

Finally, we obtain a formula for $\alpha_\epsilon(b, k)$.

Theorem 5.6. For $b \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{i(2k+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \alpha_\epsilon(0, k-i) + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \frac{(\epsilon q; q)_i}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

Proof. By (28) and Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we have

$$\alpha_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{(k-n)(2k+1)}}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ k-n \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \alpha_\epsilon(0, n) + \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(\epsilon q; q)_m}{(\epsilon q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2m+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

In the first sum the summand is zero unless $k-n \geq 0$, and in the second sum the summand is zero unless $m \leq b-1$. Replacing $k-n$ with i in the first sum and m with i in the second sum we get the desired formula. \square

By Theorem 5.6 with $\epsilon = +$ and (26), we get a formula for $T_k(q^b, q)$.

Corollary 5.7. For $b \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$T_k(q^b, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{i(2k+1)}}{(q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \sum_{j=-(k-i)}^{k-i} (-q)^{j^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

If $b = 1$ in Corollary 5.7, we have that for $k \geq 1$,

$$T_k(q, q) = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2} + \frac{q^{2k+1}}{1-q} \sum_{i=-(k-1)}^{k-1} (-q)^{i^2},$$

which together with Theorem 1.1 implies (3).

By Theorem 5.6 with $\epsilon = -$ and (25), we get a formula for $T_k(-q^b, q)$.

Corollary 5.8. For $b \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$T_k(-q^b, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{i(2k+1)}}{(-q; q)_b} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \frac{(-q; q)_i}{(-q; q)_b} q^{k(2k+2i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} b-i-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

If $b = 1$ in Corollary 5.8, we have that for $k \geq 1$,

$$(29) \quad T_k(-q, q) = \frac{1 + q^{2k+1}}{1 + q}.$$

Note that the above identity is also true for $k = 0$. This gives the following formula for $E_n(-q, q)$.

Proposition 5.9. We have

$$E_n(-q, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (-1)^k \frac{q^{k^2} + q^{(k+1)^2}}{1+q}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1,

$$E_n(-q, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (-q)^k q^{k(k+1)} T_k(-q^{-1}, q^{-1}).$$

By (29) we get

$$(-q)^k q^{k(k+1)} T_k(-q^{-1}, q^{-1}) = (-q)^{k^2+2k} \frac{1+q^{-2k-1}}{1+q^{-1}} = (-1)^k \frac{q^{k^2} + q^{(k+1)^2}}{1+q},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

5.2. Formula for $T_k(\pm q^r, q)$ when $r \leq 0$. Suppose m and n are nonnegative integers with $m = 0$ or $n = 0$. We define $L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]$ to be the set of lattice paths from (b, k) to (m, n) consisting of west steps $(-1, 0)$ and south steps $(0, -1)$ without west steps on the x -axis nor south steps on the y -axis. For $p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]$ we define the weight $w(p)$ by

$$(30) \quad w(p) = q^{-A(R)} \prod_{i=m+1}^b (1 - \epsilon q^{1-i}) \prod_{i=n+1}^k (-q^{2i+1}),$$

where $A(R)$ is the area of the upper region R of the rectangle with four vertices $(0, 0)$, $(b, 0)$, $(0, k)$, and (b, k) divided by the path p .

Lemma 5.10. *For $b, k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\beta_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 0 \\ mn=0}} \beta_\epsilon(m, n) \sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, n)]} w(p).$$

Proof. Since this can be done similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, we omit the proof. \square

Notice that $L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)] = \emptyset$ unless $(b, k) = (0, 0)$. Since $\beta_\epsilon(m, 0) = 1$, the formula in the previous lemma can be written as follows: if $(b, k) \neq (0, 0)$, we have

$$(31) \quad \beta_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \beta_\epsilon(0, n) \sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) + \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, 0)]} w(p).$$

Lemma 5.11. *For $b, k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$ with $(b, k) \neq (0, 0)$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) = (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{(k-n)(k+n-2b+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+k-n-1 \\ k-n \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]$. From the definition of $w(p)$ in (30), we have

$$w(p) = q^{-A(R)} (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-1)^{k-n} q^{(k+1)^2 - (n+1)^2}.$$

Note that R is contained in the rectangle with four vertices $(0, n)$, $(0, k)$, (b, n) , and (b, k) , see Figure 12. Let R' be the region of this rectangle minus R . Then $-A(R) = -2b(k-n) + A(R')$. Since the last step of p is a west step, R' can be identified with a partition $\lambda \subset B(k-n, b-1)$, which is rotated by an angle of 180° , and $A(R') = 2|\lambda|$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]} w(p) &= (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-1)^{k-n} q^{(k-n)(k+n+2) - 2b(k-n)} \sum_{\lambda \subset B(k-n, b-1)} q^{2|\lambda|} \\ &= (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{(k-n)(k+n-2b+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+k-n-1 \\ k-n \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 5.12. *For $b, k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ with $(b, k) \neq (0, 0)$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (m, 0)]} w(p) = (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_{b-m} (-q)^{k(k-2b+2) + 2(b-m)} \begin{bmatrix} k+b-m-1 \\ b-m \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

Proof. This can be done by the same argument as in the proof of the previous lemma. \square




FIGURE 12. An example of $p \in L'[(b, k) \rightarrow (0, n)]$. The lower region R' can be identified with a rotated partition contained in $B(k - n, b - 1)$.

Now we can find a formula for $\beta_\epsilon(b, k)$.

Theorem 5.13. For $b, k \geq 0$ with $(b, k) \neq (0, 0)$, we have

$$\beta_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{i(2k-2b-i+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \beta_\epsilon(0, k-i) + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_i (-q)^{k(k-2b+2)+2i} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

Proof. By (31) and Lemmas 5.11 and 5.12, we have

$$\beta_\epsilon(b, k) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{(k-n)(k+n-2b+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+k-n-1 \\ k-n \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \beta_\epsilon(0, n) + \sum_{m \geq 1} (\epsilon q^{1-b}; q)_{b-m} (-q)^{k(k-2b+2)+2(b-m)} \begin{bmatrix} k+b-m-1 \\ b-m \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

In the first sum the summand is zero unless $k - n \geq 0$, and in the second sum the summand is zero unless $b - m \geq 0$. By replacing $k - n$ with i in the first sum and $b - m$ with i in the second sum, we get the desired formula. \square

By Theorem 1.1 with $\epsilon = +$ and (26), we get a formula for $T_k(q^{-b}, q)$.

Corollary 5.14. For $b \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$T_k(q^{-b}, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (q^{1-b}; q)_i (-q)^{k(k-2b+2)+2i} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

If $b = 1$ in Corollary 5.14, we get

$$T_k(1/q, k) = (-q)^{k^2},$$

which together with Theorem 1.1 implies

$$E_n(1/q, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (-1)^k,$$

which is equal to 1 if $n = 0$, and 0 otherwise. Notice that this corresponds to the trivial identity:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n(1/q, q) x^n = 1.$$

By Theorem 1.1 with $\epsilon = -$ and (25), we get a formula for $T_k(-q^{-b}, q)$.

Corollary 5.15. *For $b \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$T_k(-q^{-b}, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-q^{1-b}; q)_b (-q)^{i(2k-2b-i+2)} \begin{bmatrix} b+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \\ + (-q)^{k^2+2k-2kb} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (-q^{1-b}; q)_i q^{2i} \begin{bmatrix} k+i-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.$$

If $b = 1$ in Corollary 5.15, we get

$$T_k(-1/q, q) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-q)^{i(2k-i)} + (-q)^{k^2} \\ = (-q)^{k^2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-q)^{(k-i)(k+i)} \\ = (-q)^{k^2} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{-i^2},$$

which together with Theorem 1.1 implies the following formula for $E_n(-1/q, q)$.

Proposition 5.16. *We have*

$$E_n(-1/q, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{i^2}.$$

We note that Proposition 5.16 was first discovered by Josuat-Vergès (personal communication).

6. THE ORIGINAL FORMULA OF JOSUAT-VERGÈS FOR $E_n(q)$

The original formula for $E_n(q)$ in [9] is the following:

$$(32) \quad E_{2n}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{2k} (-1)^{i+k} q^{i(2k-i)+k},$$

$$(33) \quad E_{2n+1}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n+1}{n-k} - \binom{2n+1}{n-k-1} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} (-1)^{i+k} q^{i(2k+2-i)}.$$

In this section we prove that (2) and (3) are equivalent to (32) and (33) respectively. By changing the index i with $i+k$ in (32) we obtain (2). For the second identity, let

$$f(k) = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} (-1)^{i+k} q^{i(2k+2-i)}.$$

Using Pascal's identity, we obtain that $(1-q)^{2n} E_{2n+1}(q)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n+1}{n-k} - \binom{2n+1}{n-k-1} \right) f(k) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k-1} - \binom{2n}{n-k-2} \right) f(k) + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) f(k) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) f(k-1) + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) f(k).$$

Since $\left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) f(k-1) = 0$ when $k = 0$ and $k = n+1$, we have

$$(34) \quad E_{2n+1}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (f(k) + f(k-1)).$$

Thus in order to get (3) it suffices to show $f(k) + f(k-1) = q^{k(k+2)} A_k(q^{-1})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q)f(k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} (-1)^{i+k} q^{i(2k+2-i)} = \sum_{i=-(k+1)}^k (-1)^{i+2k+1} q^{(i+k+1)(k+1-i)} \\ &= -q^{(k+1)^2} \sum_{i=-(k+1)}^k (-q)^{-i^2} = (-1)^k - q^{(k+1)^2} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{-i^2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f(k) + f(k-1) = 1$ if $k = 0$, and for $k \geq 1$,

$$f(k) + f(k-1) = -\frac{q^{(k+1)^2}}{1-q} \sum_{i=-k}^k (-q)^{-i^2} - \frac{q^{k^2}}{1-q} \sum_{i=-(k-1)}^{k-1} (-q)^{-i^2},$$

which is easily seen to be equal to $q^{k(k+2)} A_k(q^{-1})$. Thus we get (3).

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have found a formula for the coefficient $E_n(t, q)$ of x^n in the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_q [1]_{t,q} x}{1 - \frac{[2]_q [2]_{t,q} x}{\dots}}}$$

Since $E_n(t, q)$ is a generalization of the q -Euler number, it is natural to consider a similar generalization of (5). Thus we propose the following problem.

Problem 1. Find a formula for the coefficient of x^n in the following continued fraction:

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_{t,q} x}{1 - \frac{[2]_{t,q} x}{\dots}}}$$

Also, we can consider a generalization of $E_n(t, q)$ as follows.

Problem 2. Find a formula for the coefficient of x^n in the following continued fraction:

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{[1]_{y,q} [1]_{t,q} x}{1 - \frac{[2]_{y,q} [2]_{t,q} x}{\dots}}}$$

Recently Prodinger [13] expressed the continued fractions (in fact the corresponding T -fractions, see [11, Lemma 6.1] for the relation between S -fractions and T -fractions) in the above two problems as fractions of formal power series when both y and t are equal to q^d for a positive integer d . From another result of Prodinger [13, Section 11], one can obtain the following formula for $T_k(q^b, q)$ for a positive integer b :

$$(35) \quad T_k(q^b, q) = \sum_{i=0}^b q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{j=-k}^{k-i} (-1)^j q^{j^2+i(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} k+j+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Problem 3. Find a direct proof of the equivalence of (9) and (35).

In the introduction we have two formulas (7) and Corollary 1.2 for $E_n(t, q)$. Using hypergeometric series Kim and Stanton [12] showed that these are equivalent and simplified to the following formula:

$$(36) \quad E_n(t, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) (-q)^k \sum_{i=0}^k t^i q^{\binom{k-i}{2}} (q; q^2)_i \begin{bmatrix} k+i \\ k-i \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Han et al. [7] introduced the polynomials $P_n^\alpha(x, q)$ defined by $P_0^\alpha(x, q) = 1$ and

$$P_n^\alpha(x, q) = [x, a]_q \frac{[x, b]_q P_{n-1}^\alpha([x, c]_q, q) - [x, d]_q P_{n-1}^\alpha(x, q)}{1 + (q-1)x},$$

where $\alpha = (a, b, c, d)$ is a tuple of nonnegative integers and $[x, n]_q = xq^n + [n]_q$. They proved that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n^\alpha(x, q) z^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^d [b-d]_q [x, a]_q z}{1 - \frac{q^a [c]_q [x, b]_q z}{1 - \frac{q^d [b-d+c]_q [x, a+c]_q z}{1 - \frac{q^a [2c]_q [x, b+c]_q z}{\dots}}}}.$$

One can easily check that $E_n(t, q) = P_n^{(0,1,2,0)}([1]_{t,q}, q)$. Thus as a special case of [7, Proposition 1] we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n(t, q) z^n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{tq^{2m+1} [2m]_{t,q}!}{\prod_{i=0}^m (tq^{2i+1} + [2i+1]_{t,q}^2)} z^m.$$

Using the idea in the last section of [15] Zeng proved the following formula (personal communication):

$$(37) \quad E_n(t, q) = t^{-n} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{n-i} \frac{q^{2m-2in+i^2-n-i} [2m]_{t,q}! [2i+1]_{t,q}^{2n}}{[2i]_q!! [2m-2i]_q!! \prod_{k=0, k \neq i}^m [2k+2i+2]_{t^2,q}},$$

where $[2m]_{t,q}! = \prod_{i=1}^{2m} [i]_{t,q}$ and $[2i]_q!! = \prod_{k=1}^i [2k]_q$.

Problem 4. Find a direct proof of the equivalence of (36) and (37).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Dennis Stanton for helpful discussions especially on the idea of the proof of Lemma 3.4. I also thank Matthieu Josuat-Vergès for helpful comments and Jiang Zeng for the formula (37).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. André. Développement de $\sec x$ et $\tan x$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 88:965–967, 1879.
- [2] D. Chebikin. Variations on descents and inversions in permutations. Electron. J. Combin., 15:R132, 2008.
- [3] S. Corteel, J. Lovejoy. Overpartitions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356:1623–1635 (electronic), 2004.
- [4] P. Flajolet. Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions. Discrete Math., 32:125–161, 1980.
- [5] D. Foata, G.-N. Han. The q -tangent and q -secant numbers via basic Eulerian polynomials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138:385–393, 2010.
- [6] G. Gasper, M. Rahman. Basic hypergeometric series, volume 96 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2004. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- [7] G.-N. Han, A. Randrianarivony, J. Zeng. Un autre q -analogue des nombres d’Euler. Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 42:B42e, 1999.
- [8] T. Huber, A. J. Yee. Combinatorics of generalized q -Euler numbers. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 117:361–388, 2010.
- [9] M. Josuat-Vergès. A q -enumeration of alternating permutations. European J. Combin., 31:1892–1906, 2010. p
- [10] M. Josuat-Vergès. Combinatorics of the three-parameter PASEP partition function. Electron. J. Combin., 18:#P22, 2011.
- [11] M. Josuat-Vergès, J. S. Kim. Touchard-Riordan formulas, T-fractions, and Jacobi’s triple product identity. to appear in Ramanujan Journal. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.5608>.
- [12] J. S. Kim, D. Stanton. Moments of Askey-Wilson polynomials. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3446>
- [13] H. Prodinger. On Touchard’s continued fraction and extensions: combinatorics-free, self-contained proofs. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5186>.
- [14] J. Riordan. The distribution of crossings of chords joining pairs of $2n$ points on a circle. Math. Comp., 29:215–222, 1975. Collection of articles dedicated to Derrick Henry Lehmer on the occasion of his seventieth birthday.
- [15] H. Shin, J. Zeng. The q -tangent and q -secant numbers via continued fractions. European J. Combin., 31:1689–1705, 2010.

- [16] R. P. Stanley. Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1, volume 49 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [17] J. Touchard. Sur un problème de configurations et sur les fractions continues. Canadian J. Math., 4:2-25, 1952.
E-mail address: kimjs@math.umn.edu