

On the metric dimension of bilinear forms graphs

Min Feng Kaishun Wang*

Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

Abstract

The metric dimension of a graph is the least number of vertices in a set with the property that the list of distances from any vertex to those in the set uniquely identifies that vertex. Bailey and Meagher [2] obtained an upper bound on the metric dimension of Grassmann graphs. In this paper we obtain an upper bound on the metric dimension of bilinear forms graphs.

Key words: bilinear forms graph; resolving set; metric dimension.

2010 MSC: 05C12, 05E30.

1 Introduction

Let Γ be a connected graph. For any two vertices u and v , $d(u, v)$ denotes the distance between u and v . By an ordered set of vertices, we mean a set $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ on which the ordering (w_1, \dots, w_k) has been imposed. For an ordered subset $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$, we refer to the k -vector $\mathcal{D}(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), \dots, d(v, w_k))$ as the *metric representation of v with respect to W* . A *resolving set* of a graph Γ is an ordered subset of vertices W such that $\mathcal{D}(u|W) = \mathcal{D}(v|W)$ if and only if $u = v$. The *metric dimension* of Γ , denoted by $\mu(\Gamma)$, is the smallest size of all the resolving sets of Γ .

Metric dimension was first introduced in the 1970s, independently by Harary and Melter [8] and by Slater [11]. It is a parameter that has appeared in various applications, as diverse as combinatorial optimisation, pharmaceutical chemistry, robot navigation and sonar. In recent years, a considerable literature has been developed in graph theory. An interesting case is that of distance-regular graphs. For Johnson graphs and Hamming graphs, various results on the metric dimension have been obtained in [3, 6, 7, 9, 10]. Recently, Bailey and Meagher [2] obtained an upper bound on the metric dimension of Grassmann graphs. In this paper we consider bilinear forms graphs, and obtain an upper bound of their metric dimension.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: wangks@bnu.edu.cn

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. Throughout this paper, \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} denotes the $(n+d)$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , and N denotes a fixed n -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} .

The *bilinear forms graph* $H_q(n, d)$ has as its vertex set the set of all d -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} intersecting trivially with N , and two vertices are adjacent if they intersect in a subspace of dimension $d-1$. The bilinear forms graph $H_q(n, d)$ is a distance-regular graph with q^{nd} vertices and diameter $\min(n, d)$ such that the distance between two vertices A and B is $d - \dim(A \cap B)$. For more information about distance-regular graphs, we refer readers to [5].

Note that $H_q(n, 1)$ is a complete graph whose metric dimension is $q^n - 1$. Also, $H_q(n, d)$ is isomorphic to $H_q(d, n)$, we only need to consider the case $n \geq d \geq 2$.

In this paper, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq d \geq 2$. Then the metric dimension of the bilinear forms graph $H_q(n, d)$ satisfies*

$$\mu(H_q(n, d)) \leq \begin{cases} q^{n+d-1} & \text{if } n \geq d+2, \\ q^{n+d} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 by constructing resolving sets. Our construction requires some notion from finite geometry.

A *partition* of the vector space V is a set \mathcal{P} of subspaces of V such that any non-zero vector is contained in exactly one element of \mathcal{P} . If $T = \{\dim W \mid W \in \mathcal{P}\}$, the partition \mathcal{P} is said to be a *T-partition* of V .

Proposition 2.1 ([4, Lemma 2]) *Let s and t be positive integers with $s+t = n+d$, then there exists an $\{s, t\}$ -partition of \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} .*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We divide the proof in two cases:

Case 1. $n \geq d+2$. The proof of Proposition 2.1 implies that \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} has an $\{n-1, d+1\}$ -partition $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\tilde{N}, W_1, \dots, W_m\}$, where $\tilde{N} \subset N$, $\dim \tilde{N} = n-1$ and $\dim W_i = d+1$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Note that $m = q^{n-1}$.

For each i , let $N_i = W_i \cap N$. Since $\dim(W_i + N) = n+d$, $\dim N_i = 1$. Suppose

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{M}_i,$$

where \mathcal{M}_i is the collection of d -subspace of W_i intersecting trivially with N_i . For any $U \in \mathcal{M}$, $U + N = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d}$, so $U \cap N = \{0\}$. It follows that \mathcal{M} is a subset of the vertex set of $H_q(n, d)$.

Next we shall prove \mathcal{M} is a resolving set of $H_q(n, d)$. We only need to show that, for any two distinct vertices, there exists a vertex $U \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\dim(A \cap U) \neq \dim(B \cap U). \tag{1}$$

For each i , let $A_i = A \cap W_i$, $B_i = B \cap W_i$. Since $A \neq B$, there exists an i such that $A_i \neq B_i$. Suppose $\dim A_i = s \leq \dim B_i = t$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ be a basis for B_i and $\{\theta\}$ be a basis for N_i .

Case 1.1. $s < t$. Note that $\{\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ is linearly independent. Extend this to a basis $\{\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t}\}$ for W_i ; let U be the d -dimensional space spanned by $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t}\}$. By construction, U is an element of \mathcal{M} satisfying

$$\dim(A \cap U) = \dim(A_i \cap U) \leq \dim A_i = s < t = \dim B_i = \dim(B_i \cap U) = \dim(B \cap U),$$

so (1) holds.

Case 1.2. $s = t$. Since $A_i \neq B_i$, there exists an $\alpha \in A_i \setminus B_i$. Then each of $\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$, $\{\alpha, \theta\}$ and $\{\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ is linearly independent.

Case 1.2.1. $\{\alpha, \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ is linearly dependent. Extend $\{\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ to a basis $\{\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t}\}$ for W_i and let U be the d -dimensional space spanned by $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t}\}$. Since $\alpha \notin U$, U is an element of \mathcal{M} such that

$$\dim(A \cap U) = \dim(A_i \cap U) < \dim A_i = \dim(B \cap U),$$

so (1) holds.

Case 1.2.2. $\{\alpha, \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\}$ is linearly independent. Extend this to the basis $\{\alpha, \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t-1}\}$ for W_i and let U be the d -dimensional space spanned by $\{\alpha + \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t-1}\}$. Since both $\{\theta, \alpha + \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t-1}\}$ and $\{\alpha, \alpha + \theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{d-t-1}\}$ are linearly independent, we have $\theta \notin U$ and $\alpha \notin U$. Consequently, there exists a $U \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\dim(A \cap U) < \dim(B \cap U),$$

so (1) holds.

By [5, Lemma 9.3.2], $|\mathcal{M}| = q^{n+d-1}$. Hence, $\mu(H_q(n, d)) \leq q^{n+d-1}$.

Case 2. $d \leq n \leq d + 1$.

By the proof of Proposition 2.1, \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d} has an $\{n, d\}$ -partition $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{N, V_1, \dots, V_m\}$, where $\dim V_i = d$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Note that $m = q^n$.

Let \bar{N} be a fixed 1-dimensional subspace of N . For each i , let $W_i = \bar{N} + V_i$. Suppose

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{M}_i,$$

where \mathcal{M}_i is the collection of d -subspace of W_i intersecting trivially with \bar{N} . For any $U \in \mathcal{M}$, $U + N = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+d}$, so $U \cap N = \{0\}$. It follows that \mathcal{M} is a subset of the vertex set of $H_q(n, d)$.

Similar to Case 1, \mathcal{M} is a resolving set of $H_q(n, d)$ with $|\mathcal{M}| = q^{n+d}$. Hence, $\mu(H_q(n, d)) \leq q^{n+d}$.

By above discussion, we complete the proof. \square

Babai [1] obtained bounds on a parameter of primitive distance-regular graphs which is equivalent to the metric dimension. A natural question is to compare our

result with those. For the case of the bilinear forms graph $H_q(n, d)$, Babai's most general bound (see [1, Theorem 2.1]) yields

$$\mu(H_q(n, d)) < 4\sqrt{q^{nd}} \log(q^{nd}),$$

while his stronger bound (see [1, Theorem 2.4]) yields

$$\mu(H_q(n, d)) < 2d \cdot \frac{q^{nd}}{q^{nd} - M} \cdot \log(q^{nd}),$$

where

$$M = \max_{0 \leq i \leq d} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q (q^i - 1)(q^i - q) \cdots (q^i - q^{i-1}).$$

For $n, d \geq 4$, our bound is better than Babai's most general bound. For the left case, there is some q such that our bound is better. Babai's stronger bound is difficult to evaluate exactly, so we conduct some experiments using MATLAB to compare this bound with our bound. We find our bound is better in most cases for $q = 2$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Yuefeng Yang for communicating. This research is supported by NSF of China (10871027) and NCET-08-0052.

References

- [1] L. Babai, On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups, *Ann. Math.* 113 (1981), 553-568.
- [2] R.F. Bailey and K. Meagher, On the metric dimension of Grassmann graphs, arXiv:1010.4495v2 [math.CO].
- [3] R.F. Bailey and P.J.Cameron, Base size, metric dimension and other invariants of groups and graphs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 43 (2011), 209-242.
- [4] A. Beutelspacher, Partition of finite vector spaces: an application of Frobenius number in geometry, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 31 (1978), 202-208.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara and D.R. Wood, On the metric dimension of Cartesian products of graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 21 (2007), 423-441.
- [7] V. Chvátal, Mastermind, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983), 325-329.
- [8] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combin.* 2(1976), 191-195; 4 (1977), 318.

- [9] B. Lindström, On a combinatorial detection problem. I', Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. 9 (1964), 195-207.
- [10] A. Sebő and E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, Math. Oper. Res. 29 (2004), 383-393.
- [11] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, Conger. Number. 14 (1975), 549-559.