

SPECTRUM OF PERMANENT'S VALUES AND ITS EXTREMAL MAGNITUDES IN Λ_n^3 AND $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. Let Λ_n^k denote the class of $(0, 1)$ square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. The minimal value of k , for which the behavior of the permanent in Λ_n^k is not quite studied, is $k = 3$. We give a simple algorithm for calculation upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 and consider some extremal problems in a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the matrices of which contain in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and $n - 3$ zeros.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Λ_n^k denote the class of $(0, 1)$ square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. If $A \in \Lambda_n^3$, then matrix $k^{-1}A$ is doubly stochastic. Therefore, Λ_n^k -matrices are also called *doubly stochastic $(0, 1)$ -matrices* (cf. [11]). Let, furthermore, for a given $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma$, $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma)$ denote the class of square matrices containing in each row and in each column

Definition 1. We call p -spectrum in Λ_n^k (denoting it $ps[\Lambda_n^k]$) the set of all the values which are taken by the permanent in Λ_n^k .

Note that p -spectrum in Λ_n^1 trivially is $\{1\}$. It is known (cf. Tarakanov [25]) that

$$ps[\Lambda_n^2] = \{2, 2^2, 2^3, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\}.$$

But, for $k \geq 3$, p -spectrum of Λ_n^k , generally speaking, is unknown. Greenstein (cf. [11], point 8.4, Problem 3) put the problem of describing the p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 . In this paper we find p -spectrum on symmetric matrices in Λ_n^3 with ones on the main diagonal and give an algorithm for calculation upper values of p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 . We also obtain several results for a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ containing in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and $n - 3$ zeros. Some results of the present paper were announced by the author in [21].

2. WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT Λ_n^3 ?

1) Explicit formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. Stanley [24], Ch.1)

$$(2.1) \quad |\Lambda_n^3| = 6^{-n} \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=n, \ k_i \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{k_2} n!^2 (k_2 + 3k_3)! 2^{k_1} 3^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! k_3!^2 6^{k_3}}.$$

2) Asymptotic formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. O'Neil [12])

$$(2.2) \quad |\Lambda_n^3| = \frac{(3n)!}{(36)^n} e^{-2} (1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon})),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n .

In addition, note that $|\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|$ with different α, β, γ is, evidently, the number of 3-rowed Latin rectangles of length n such that

$$(2.3) \quad |\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = n! K_n,$$

where K_n is the number of reduced 3-rowed Latin rectangles with the first row $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is known (Riordan [13], pp. 204-210) that

$$(2.4) \quad K_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} D_{n-k} D_k U_{n-2k},$$

where D_n is subfactorial.

$$(2.5) \quad D_0 = 1, \quad D_n = n D_{n-1} + (-1)^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$\{U_n\}$ is sequence of Lucas numbers of the Ménage problem which is defined by Cayley recursion (cf. [13], p. 201)

$$U_0 = 1, \quad U_1 = -1, \quad U_2 = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad U_n = n U_{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} U_{n-2} + 4 \frac{(-1)^n}{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3$$

(see [23], sequences A102761, A000186).

Denote, furthermore, $\bar{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of matrices in Λ_n^3 with 1's on the main diagonal. Note that

$$(2.7) \quad ps[\Lambda_n^3] = ps[\bar{\Lambda}_n^3].$$

Indeed, it is well known that every Λ_n^3 -matrix A has a diagonal of ones (i.e., a set of 1's no two in the same row or column). Let l be such a diagonal. There exists a permutation of rows and columns π such that $\pi(l)$ will be the main diagonal of $\pi(A)$. Nevertheless, $per(\pi(A)) = per A$ and (2.7) follows.

3) A known explicit formula for $|\bar{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19]) has a close structure to (2.4):

$$(2.8) \quad |\bar{\Lambda}_n^3| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} S_{n-k} S_k U_{n-2k},$$

where sequence $\{S_n\}$ is defined by recursion

$$(2.9) \quad S_0 = 1, \quad S_1 = 0, \quad S_n = (n-1)(S_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}S_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

4) Asymptotic formula for $|\overline{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19])

$$(2.10) \quad |\overline{\Lambda}_n^3| = C\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{2n}(1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon})),$$

where

$$C = 2\sqrt{\pi e^{-5}} = 0.29098\dots$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n .

5) Denote $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of symmetric matrices in $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$. P -spectrum on $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ is given by the following theorem (Shevelev [16])

Theorem 1. *Let $R(n; 3)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to 3. To every partition $r \in R(n; 3) : n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$, $m = m(r)$, put in a correspondence the number*

$$(2.11) \quad H(r) = \prod_{i=1}^m a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is defined by the recursion

$$(2.12) \quad a(3) = 6, \quad a(4) = 9, \quad a(n) = a(n-1) + a(n-2) - 2, \quad n \geq 5.$$

Then we have

$$(2.13) \quad ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3] = \{H(r) : r \in R(n; 3)\}.$$

6) The maximal value $M(n)$ of permanent in Λ_n^3 was found by Merriell [9].

Theorem 2. *If $n \equiv h \pmod{3}$, $h = 0, 1, 2$, then*

$$(2.14) \quad M(n) = 6^{\frac{n-h}{3}} \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^h \rfloor.$$

Note that, the case $h = 0$ of (2.14) easily follows from a general Minc-Bregman inequality for permanent of $(0,1)$ -matrices (see [11], point 6.2, and [4]).

7) Put $M(n) = M^{(1)}(n)$. In case of $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, Bolshakov [3] showed that the second maximal $M^{(2)} < M^{(1)}(n)$ of permanent in Λ_n^3 (such that interval $(M^{(2)}, M^{(1)})$ is free from values of permanent in Λ_n^3) equals to

$$(2.15) \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \begin{cases} 20, & \text{if } n = 6, \\ 120, & \text{if } n = 9 \\ \frac{9}{16}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \geq 12. \end{cases}$$

Note that both $M^{(1)}(n)$ and $M^{(2)}(n)$ are attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ (Shevelev [16]).

8) Denote $m(n)$ the minimal value of permanent in Λ_n^3 . In 1979, Voorhoeve [26] obtain a beautiful lower estimate for $m(n)$:

$$(2.16) \quad m(n) \geq 6\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}.$$

This estimate remains the best even after proof by Egorychev [7] and Falikman [8] the famous Van der Waerden conjectural lower estimate $\text{per } A \geq \frac{n!}{n^n}$ for every $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix A . Indeed, this estimate yields only $m(n) \geq 3^n \frac{n!}{n^n}$, such that (2.16) is stronger for $n \geq 4$.

9) Bolshakov [2] found p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 in cases $n \leq 8$. Namely, he added to the evident p -spectrums $ps[\Lambda_3^3] = \{6\}$ and $ps[\Lambda_4^3] = \{9\}$ also the following p -spectrums

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} ps[\Lambda_5^3] &= \{12, 13\}, \quad ps[\Lambda_6^3] = \{17, 18, 20, 36\}, \\ ps[\Lambda_7^3] &= \{24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 54\}, \\ ps[\Lambda_8^3] &= \{33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48, 49, 52, 72, 78, 81\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. A GENERALIZATION OF THEOREM 1 ON MATRICES OF CLASS $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ WITH SYMMETRIC POSITIONS OF ELEMENTS

Denote $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices in $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with β 's on the main diagonal. It is clear that, together with (2.3),

$$(3.1) \quad |\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = K_n.$$

Note that, as for sets Λ_n^3 , $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad ps[\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] = ps[\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)].$$

Denote, furthermore, $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices $M = \{m_{i,j}\}$ in $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with symmetric positions of elements: $m_{i,j} = \alpha$ if and only if $m_{j,i} = \gamma$.

P -spectrum on $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *If to every partition $r \in R(n; 3) : n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$, $m = m(r)$, corresponds the number*

$$(3.3) \quad H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(r) = \prod_{i=1}^m a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n) = a(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; n)\}$ is defined by the recursion

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} a(3) &= \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 + 3\alpha\beta\gamma, \\ a(4) &= \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha\beta^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2, \\ a(n) &= \beta a(n-1) + \alpha\gamma a(n-2) + \\ &\quad \alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{n-1}(\gamma - \beta - \alpha), \quad n \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(3.5) \quad ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] = \{H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(r) : r \in R(n; 3)\}.$$

Proof. Let S_n be the symmetric permutation group of elements $1, \dots, n$. Two positions $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2)$ are called *independent* if $i_k \neq j_k$, $k=1,2$. We shall say that in the $n \times n$ matrix $M = \{m_{ij}\}$ a weight m_{ij} is appropriated to the position (i, j) . Let $s \in S_n$ has not any cycle of length less than n . Consider a map

$$\sigma : (i, j) \mapsto (s^i(1), s^j(1)),$$

appropriating to the position $(s^i(1), s^j(1))$ the weight m_{ij} .

Lemma 1. 1) the map σ is bijective; 2) if E is a set of pairwise independent positions, then $\sigma(E)$ is also a set of pairwise independent positions.

Proof. a) Consider two distinct positions

$$(3.6) \quad (i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2),$$

such that, at least, one of two inequalities holds

$$(3.7) \quad i_1 \neq i_2, j_1 \neq j_2.$$

Let $i_1 \neq i_2$ such that, say, $i_1 > i_2$. Show that $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$. Indeed, if to suppose that $s^{i_1}(1) = s^{i_2}(1)$, then $s^{i_1-i_2} = 1$, i.e., s has a cycle of length $i_1 - i_2 < n$ in spite of the condition. Conversely, if $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, then $i_1 \neq i_2$, since s^{-1} has not any cycle of length less than n as well.

b) Let positions (3.6) be independent. The both of inequalities (3.7) hold and, as in a), we have $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, $s^{j_1}(1) \neq s^{j_2}(1)$, i.e. the positions $\sigma((i_1, j_1)), \sigma((i_2, j_2))$ are independent as well.

■

Lemma 2. Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n . Then $(0, 1)$ -matrix S having 1's on only positions

$$(s^1(1), s^2(1)), (s^2(1), s^3(1)), \dots, (s^{n-1}(1), s^n(1)), (s^n(1), s^1(1))$$

is a incidence matrix of s .

Proof. Since s has not cycles of length less than n , then $\{s^1(1), \dots, s^n(1)\}$ is a permutation of numbers $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus the set of positions of 1's of matrix S coincides with the set of 1's of the incidence matrix of s : $(1, s(1)), \dots, (n, s(n))$.

■

Let $P = P_n$ be $(0, 1)$ -matrix with 1's on positions $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n), (n, 1)$ only.

Lemma 3. *Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n . If S and S^{-1} are the incidence matrices of s and s^{-1} , then we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma^{-1}(S) = P, \quad \sigma^{-1}(S^{-1}) = P^{-1}.$$

Proof. Both of formulas follows from Lemma 2.

■

Noting that $\sigma(I) = I$, where I is the identity matrix, we conclude that

$$(3.9) \quad S^{-1} + I + S = \sigma(P^{-1} + I + P).$$

Moreover, since, by the bijection σ , to every diagonal (i.e., to every set of n pairwise independent positions) of the matrix $\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S$ corresponds one and only one diagonal of the matrix $\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P$ with the same products of weights, then we have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{per}(\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S) = \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P).$$

Note that from the definition it follows that, for every matrix $M \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have a representation

$$(3.11) \quad M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S,$$

where S is the incidence matrix of a substitution s . In case when s has not any cycle of length less than n , the matrix M is completely indecomposable matrix in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Thus, by (3.10), all completely indecomposable matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ have the same permanent, equals to $\text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma P)$.

In general, a substitution s with the incidence matrix S in (3.11) cannot have cycles of length less than 3. Indeed, if for some i , we have either $s(i) = i$ or $s(s(i)) = i$, then in both cases $s(i) = s^{-1}(i)$ which means coincidence of positions 1's of the matrices S and S^{-1} in the i -th row.

Let $s \in S_n$ be an arbitrary substitution with cycles of length more than 2. Let

$$(3.12) \quad s = \prod_{j=1}^r s_j,$$

where $s_j \in S_{l_j}$, $l_j \geq 3$, $\sum_{j=1}^r l_j = n$, be the decomposition of s in a product of cycles. Then the matrix $M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S$ is a direct sum of the matrices $M_j = \alpha S_{l_j}^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S_{l_j}$ such that, by (3.10), $\text{per} M_j = \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P)$ and we have

$$(3.13) \quad \text{per} M = \prod_{j=1}^r \text{per} M_j = \prod_{j=1}^r \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P).$$

It is left to notice that Minc [10] found a recursion (3.4) for $\text{per}(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$ and, as well known, the multiplication an $n \times n$ matrix by P^{-1} does not change its permanent.

Therefore, $\text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P) = \text{per}(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$.

■

Example 1. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1, 3, 2)]$.

We have the following partitions of 11 with the parts not less than 3:

$$11 = 8 + 3 = 7 + 4 = 6 + 5 = 3 + 4 + 4 = 3 + 3 + 5.$$

According to (3.4), for $a(n) = a(-1, 3, 2; n)$, we have $a(3) = 16$, $a(4) = 34$ and for $n \geq 5$,

$$a(n) = 3a(n-1) - 2a(n-2) + 6(-1)^n.$$

Using induction, we find

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2^{n+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{n+1} + 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1, 3, 2)] =$$

$$\{a(11), a(3)a(8), a(5)a(6), a^2(3)a(5), a(3)a^2(4)\} = \\ \{4096, 8224, 8320, 8704, 16384, 18496\}.$$

■

In the following examples we calculate p -spectrum for arbitrary n .

Example 2. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)]$.

By induction, for $a(n) = a(-1, 2, 1; n)$, we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 4, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Further, again using induction, one can find that, if n is even, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)] = \{4, 4^2, \dots, 4^{\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}\}$$

and, if n is odd, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)] = \{2, 2 \cdot 4, 2 \cdot 4^2, \dots, 2 \cdot 4^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{4} \rfloor}\}.$$

■

Example 3. Analogously, in case of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 1, 1)$, for $a(n) = a(-1, 1, 1; n)$, we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ -2, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 1, 1)] = \begin{cases} \{1, -2, 4, \dots, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor}\}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \{1, -2, 4, \dots, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-6}{3} \rfloor}, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}\}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

It is interesting that, in case of n multiple of 3, the permanent omits the value $(-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor}$.

■

4. MERRIELL TYPE THEOREMS IN A SUBCLASSES OF $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Note that in class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the Minc-Bregman inequality and the Merriell theorem, generally speaking, do not hold even for positive α, β, γ . Nevertheless, some restrictions on α, β, γ allow to prove some analogs of the Merriell theorem. Recall that $M(n)$ (2.14) is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. Denote $M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the maximal value of permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4. *Consider a class $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with the numbers α, β, γ satisfying "triangle inequalities"*

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta + \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha + \beta,$$

and the following additional conditions

$$(4.2) \quad a^3(4) \leq a^4(3), \quad \alpha\gamma + \beta(a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq (a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is defined by recursion (3.4). Then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.3) \quad M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Proof. Note that conditions (4.1)-(4.2) are satisfied, e.g., in case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. Using induction, let us prove that

$$(4.4) \quad a(n) \leq (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Indeed, for $n = 3$, this inequality is trivial, while, for $n = 4$, it follows from the first condition (4.2). Let it hold for $n \leq m-1$. Then, according to (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(m) &= \beta a(m-1) + \alpha\gamma a(m-2) + \alpha^{m-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{m-1}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) \leq \\ &\quad \beta(a(3))^{\frac{m-1}{3}} + \alpha\gamma(a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}} = \\ &\quad (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}}(\beta(a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} + \alpha\gamma) \leq (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}}(a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{m}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, according Theorem 3, the equality in (4.4) holds in a direct sum of (3×3) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition $n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3$. Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than 3, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$, such that

$$\text{per} A = \prod_{i=3}^m a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.4), we have

$$\text{per} A \leq \prod_{i=3}^m a(3)^{\frac{n_i}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

This proves (4.3). ■

Example 4. Consider case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$.

Let us find the values of α , depending on the magnitude of γ , for which the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. According to (3.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad a(3) = \alpha^3 + (\gamma - \alpha)^3 + \gamma^3 + 3\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma = 2\gamma^3,$$

$$(4.6) \quad a(4) = \alpha^4 + (\gamma - \alpha)^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2 = 2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4).$$

Thus the condition $a^3(4) \leq a^4(3)$ means that $\alpha^4 + \gamma^4 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma^4$, or

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma = 0.7140199\dots\gamma.$$

and it is easy to verify that the second condition in (4.2) is satisfied as well. As a collorary, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. If (4.7) holds, then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.8) \quad M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = 2^{\frac{n}{3}}\gamma^n.$$

Simple forms of sequence $\{a(n)\}$ in Examples 1-2 allow to suppose that in case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$ (or symmetrical case $\beta = \alpha - \gamma$) sequence $\{a(n)\}$ keeps a sufficiently simple form. We find this form in the following lemma. ■

Lemma 4. If $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$, then sequence $\{a(n)\}$ which is defined by recursion (3.4) has the form

$$(4.9) \quad a(n) = \begin{cases} 2\gamma^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using induction with the base (4.5) -(4.6), suppose that (4.9) holds for $m \leq n$. Then, by (3.4), for even n , we have

$$a(n+1) = (\gamma - \alpha)a(n) + \alpha\gamma a(n-1) + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) =$$

$$2(\gamma - \alpha)(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) + 2\alpha\gamma^n + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) = 2\gamma^{n+1},$$

while, if n is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(n+1) &= 2(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma^n + \\ 2\alpha\gamma(\alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^{n-1}) + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) &= 2(\alpha^{n+1} + \gamma^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Let now

$$(4.10) \quad \alpha \geq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma = 0.7140199\dots\gamma.$$

Theorem 6. *If (4.11) holds, then, for n multiple of 4, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = (2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

Proof. From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.10) we conclude that

$$(4.12) \quad a(3) \leq (a(4))^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Let us show that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$(4.13) \quad a(n) \leq (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

For $n = 4$, inequality (4.13) is trivial. For $n \geq 5$, we have

$$\alpha^n + \gamma^n = \alpha^n(1 + (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^n) \leq \alpha^n(1 + (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^4)^{\frac{n}{4}} \leq (\alpha^4 + \gamma^4)^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

and thus, using Lemma 4, we have

$$a(n) \leq 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) < 2^{\frac{n}{4}}(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4)^{\frac{n}{4}} = (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than 3, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$, such that

$$\text{per} A = \prod_{i=3}^m a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.13), we have

$$\text{per} A \leq \prod_{i=3}^m a(4)^{\frac{n_i}{4}} = (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

with the equality in a direct sum of (4×4) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition $n = 4 + 4 + \dots + 4$.

■

Note that, if $\alpha \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma$, then in Theorem 5 we have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition $n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3$; in Theorem 6 we also have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition $n = 4 + 4 + \dots + 4$. Note that only in case of the equality

$\alpha = \theta\gamma$, where $\theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ the both of Theorems 5-6 are true for every n multiple of 12 with the equality of the maximums: $(2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 2^{\frac{n}{3}}\gamma^n$. Thus, up to a positive factor γ , the class

$$(4.14) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\theta, 1 - \theta, 1), \quad \theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

possesses an interesting extremal property: it contains $\frac{n}{12} + 1$ maximizing matrices (up to a permutation of the rows and columns), instead of only minimizing matrix, if $\theta \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Indeed, the number of the maximizing matrices (up to a permutation rows and columns), is defined by the number of the following partitions of $n \equiv (\text{mod } 12)$:

$$n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3, \quad n = (4 + 4 + 4) + (3 + \dots + 3), \dots,$$

$$n = \underbrace{4 + \dots + 4}_{3i} + \underbrace{3 + \dots + 3}_{n-12i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{12}.$$

■

5. ESTIMATE OF CARDINALITY OF P-SPECTRUM ON CIRCULANTS IN Λ_n^3 AND $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Denote $\Delta_n^3 \subset \Lambda_n^3$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^3 . Note that a circulant $A \in \Delta_n^3$ has a form $A = P^i + P^j + P^k$, $0 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, where $P = P_n$ is $(0, 1)$ -matrix with 1's on positions $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n), (n, 1)$ only. Multiplicating A by P^{-i} we obtain circulant B of the form

$$B = I_n + P^r + P^s$$

with $\text{per} B = \text{per} A$. Since B is defined by a choice two different values $0 < r < k \leq n$, then trivially

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \binom{n}{2} < \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

Now we prove essentially more exact and practically unimprovable estimate.

Theorem 7. *We have*

$$(5.1) \quad ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \lfloor \frac{n^2 + 3}{12} \rfloor.$$

Proof. Let us back to the general form

$$A = P^i + P^j + P^k, \quad 0 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

Note that, A is defined by a choice of a vector (i, j, k) , but its rotation, i.e., a passage to a vector of the form $(i+l, j+l, k+l) \pmod{n}$, does not change the magnitude of $\text{per} A$. Indeed it corresponds to the multiplication A by P^l , and our statement follows from the equality $\text{per}(P^l A) = \text{per} A$. Besides,

its reflection relatively some diameter of the imaginary circumference of the rotation, by the symmetry, keeps magnitude of the permanent. Since geometrically three points on the imaginary circumference define a triangle, then our problem reduces to a triangle case of the following general problem, posed by Professor Richard H. Reis (South-East University of Massachusetts, USA) in a private communication to Hansraj Gupta in 1978):

” Let a circumference is split by the same n parts. It is required to find the number $R(n, k)$ of the incongruent convex k -gons, which could be obtained by connection of some k from n dividing points. Two k -gons are considered congruent if they are coincided at the rotation of one relatively other along the circumference and (or) by reflection of one of the k -gons relatively some diameter.”

In 1979, Gupta [6] gave a solution of the Reis problem in the form (for a short solution, see author's paper [22]):

$$(5.2) \quad R(n, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{\lfloor \frac{n-h_k}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \right) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d | \gcd(k, n)} \varphi(d) \left(\frac{\frac{n}{d} - 1}{\frac{k}{d} - 1} \right).$$

If to denote $\Delta_n^k \subset \Lambda_n^3$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^k , then from our arguments it follows that

$$(5.3) \quad ps[\Delta_n^k] \leq R(n, k).$$

In case $k = 3$, from (5.2)-(5.3) we find

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-1}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-4}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2+3}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \end{cases}$$

and (5.1) follows.

■

Example 5. In case $n = 5$ we have only two incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_5 + P + P^2$ and $I_5 + P + P^3$.

Nevertheless, the calculations give $per(I_5 + P + P^2) = per(I_5 + P + P^3) = 13$. Thus $ps[\Delta_5^3] = \{13\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_5^3]| = 1$.

Example 6. In case $n = 6$ we have three incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_6 + P + P^2$, $I_6 + P + P^3$ and $I_6 + P^2 + P^4$.

The calculations give $per(I_6 + P + P^2) = 20$, $per(I_6 + P + P^3) = 17$, while $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = 36$. Thus $ps[\Delta_6^3] = \{17, 20, 36\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_6^3]| = 3$.

Note that a respectively large magnitude of $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4)$ is explained

by its decomposability in a direct product of circulants $(I_3 + P + P^2) \otimes (I_3 + P + P^2)$, such that $\text{per}(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = (\text{per}(I_3 + P + P^2))^2 = 6^2 = 36$.

6. ALGORITHM OF CALCULATIONS OF UPPER MAGNITUDES OF THE PERMANENT IN $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$

Theorem 1 allows, using some additional arguments, to give an algorithm of calculations of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. In connection with this, we need an important lemma for numbers (2.12).

Lemma 5. *For $n_1, n_2 \geq 3$, we have*

$$(6.1) \quad a(n_1 + n_2) \leq a(n_1)a(n_2), \quad n_1, n_2 \geq 3.$$

Proof. By usual way, from (2.12) we find

$$(6.2) \quad a(n) = \varphi^n + 2 + (-1)^n \varphi^{-n},$$

where $\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ is the golden ratio.

Denote $\varepsilon(n) = (-1)^n \varphi^{-n}$. Since $n \geq 3$, then $|\varepsilon(n)| < 0.24$, and, consequently, if $n = n_1 + n_2$, then $(2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(2 + \varepsilon(n_2)) > 1.76^2 > 3$. Therefore, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} a(n_1)a(n_2) &= (\varphi^{n_1} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(\varphi^{n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_2)) > \\ \varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 3 &> \varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1 + n_2) = a(n_1 + n_2). \end{aligned}$$

■

Note that, actually, the difference between the hand sides of (6.3) more than $1.76(\varphi^{n_1} + \varphi^{n_2})$.

Let now $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence sets

$$(6.4) \quad H_{m;3}(r) = \{\Pi_{r_i \in r} a_{r_i}\}; \quad H_{m;4}(\rho) = \{\Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} a_{\rho_i}\}$$

In case $m = 3$, when $\rho = \emptyset$, let us agree that $H_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$.

Consider now the set $L_t^{(j)} = L_t^{(j)}(n)$:

$$(6.5) \quad L_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}} y : y \in H_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 9^{3t+j} 6^{i-4t-j}\}.$$

Theorem 8. *(algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$). If $n \geq 4(3t + j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $L_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|L_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.*

Proof. Note that the proof is the same for every value of j . Therefore, let us consider, say, $j = 0$. From (6.1) it follows that, if $r \in R(n; 3)$ contains λ_3 parts 3 and $\lambda_3 \leq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, then, for $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$, we have

$$6^{\lambda_3} y \leq 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}.$$

This means that for the formation the list of all upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ in the condition $n \geq 12t$, which are bounded from below by $6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}$, it is sufficient to consider only a part of the spectrum containing numbers $\{6^{\lambda_3} y\}$, where $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$ with the opposite condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$. From the equality $3\lambda_3 + \dots + n\lambda_n = n$ with the condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, we have

$$4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n \leq n - 3(\frac{n}{3} - 4t) = 12t.$$

Since $12t$ does not depend on n , there is only a finite assembly of such partition for arbitrary n . This ensures a possibility of the realization of the algorithm.

For the considered $r \in R(n; 3)$, for $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, we have $H_{n;3}(r) = 6^{\frac{n-m}{3}}$, where $y \in H_{m;4}(\rho)$, and m has the form $m = 3i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4t$. Thus we should choose only $H_{n;3}(r) \geq 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}$, and this yields

$$y \geq 9^{3t} 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} = 9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4t.$$

■

In order to use Theorem 8 for calculation the upper magnitudes $M^{(1)}(n) > M^{(2)}(n) > \dots$ of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$, in case, say, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

1) we write a list of partition of numbers $3i$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, 4t$ with the parts not less than 4.

2) The corresponding values of y we compare with $9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}$ and keep only $y \geq 9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}$.

3) After that we regulate over decrease numbers $\{y 6^{\frac{n}{3}-i}\}$.

Below we give the first 10 upper magnitudes $\widehat{M}^{(1)} > \widehat{M}^{(2)} > \dots > \widehat{M}^{(10)}$, of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ for $n \geq 24$, via numbers $\{a(n)\}$ (2.12).

$$(6.6) \quad \widehat{M}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} a(3)^{\frac{n}{3}} = 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(3)^{\frac{n-4}{3}} = \frac{3}{2}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2 a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{9}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Formula (6.6) shows that $M^{(1)}(n)$ is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.

$$(6.7) \quad \widehat{M}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3 a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{9}{16}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-7}{3}} = \frac{31}{36}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-5}{3}} = \frac{13}{6}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.8) \quad \widehat{M}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-6}{3}} = \frac{5}{9}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^4a(3)^{\frac{n-16}{3}} = \frac{27}{32}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(8)a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{49}{36}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.9) \quad \widehat{M}^{(4)} = \begin{cases} a(4)a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{24}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{5}{6}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{31}{24}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.10) \quad \widehat{M}^{(5)} = \begin{cases} a(9)a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{36}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{16}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^5a(3)^{\frac{n-20}{3}} = \frac{81}{64}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.11) \quad \widehat{M}^{(6)} = \begin{cases} a(4)a(8)a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{49}{144}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{169}{216}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{5}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.12) \quad \widehat{M}^{(7)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^2a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-15}{3}} = \frac{31}{96}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(10)a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{125}{216}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-17}{3}} = \frac{39}{32}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.13) \quad \widehat{M}^{(8)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^6a(3)^{\frac{n-24}{3}} = \frac{81}{256}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(9)a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{24}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{65}{54}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.14) \quad \widehat{M}^{(9)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-18}{3}} = \frac{5}{16}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)a(8)a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{637}{1296}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2a(4)a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{169}{144}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.15) \quad \widehat{M}^{(10)} = \begin{cases} a(5)a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{403}{1296}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-19}{3}} = \frac{31}{64}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(11)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{67}{72}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

7. MAIN CONJECTURAL INEQUALITY FOR MAXIMUM OF PERMANENT IN COMPLETELY INDECOMPOSABLE Λ_n^3 -MATRICES

Denote $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$ the set of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_n^3 , i. e., the set of Λ_n^3 -matrices containing no Λ_m^3 -submatrices. Let $\mu_1(n)$ denote the maximum of permanent in $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$. Our very plausible conjecture which we call "main conjectural inequality (MCI)" is the following.

Conjecture 1. (Cf. [21], pp. 165-166) For $n_1, n_2 \geq 3$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \mu_1(n_1 + n_2) \leq \mu_1(n_1)\mu_1(n_2).$$

In Lemma 5 we essentially proved that in subclass $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the MCI is valid.

Besides, in all known cases MCI holds. Moreover, as we shall see, our algorithm of calculation the consecutive upper magnitudes ($M = M_1 > M_2 > \dots$) of permanent in Λ_n^3 , which is based on MCI, reproduces all Merriell's and Bolshakov's results for M_1 and M_2 . Note also that, for sufficiently large n , the number of consecutive upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 grows very quickly with every step of extension of the list of known p -specrums for small n . E.g., using the found by Bolshakov $ps[\Lambda_i^3]$, $i \leq 8$, we obtain, for sufficiently large n , 4, 7 and 11 upper values of $ps[\Lambda_n^3]$ in cases $n = 3k$, $2k+1$ and $3k+2$ correspondingly. After calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$, the number of upper values of, e.g., $ps[\Lambda_{3k}^3]$ increases more than thrice.

8. ALGORITHM OF CALCULATIONS OF UPPER MAGNITUDES OF THE PERMANENT IN Λ_n^3 BASED ON MCI

Let $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence sets

$$(8.1) \quad \pi_{m;3}(r) = \{\Pi_{r_i \in r} x_{r_i}\}; \quad \pi_{m;4}(\rho) = \{\Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} x_{\rho_i}\}$$

where x_s runs through all values of permanent in set $\Lambda_{s,1}^3$ of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_s^3 (in case $m = 3$, when $\rho = \emptyset$, let us agree that $\pi_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$).

Consider now the set $E_t^{(j)} = E_t^{(j)}(n)$:

$$(8.2) \quad E_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}} y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 9^{3t+j} 6^{i-4t-j}\}.$$

Theorem 9. (algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3). If $n \geq 4(3t+j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $E_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|E_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 .

Proof. We need three lemmas.

Lemma 6. For $n \geq 4$, we have

$$(8.3) \quad \mu_1(n) \leq 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let, firstly, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Note that $\mu_1(4) = D_4 = 9$. Using (7.1), we find

$$\mu_1(n) \leq \mu_1(4)\mu_1(n-4) \leq \dots \leq (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Let, furthermore, $n \equiv i \pmod{4}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that, by (2.17), $\mu_1(5) \leq 13 < 3^{2.5}$. Therefore, using (7.1), we have

$$\mu_1(n) \leq (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n-5i}{4}} (\mu_1(5))^i < 3^{\frac{n-5i}{2}} 3^{2.5i} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

■

Lemma 7. *Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n$ be a partition of n with the parts not less than 3. If $\lambda_3 \leq l$, and n has the form $n = 3l + 4m$, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(8.4) \quad \prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^l 9^m.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 6, we have

$$\prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^{\lambda_3} \sqrt{3}^{4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n} \leq 6^l \sqrt{3}^{n-\lambda_3} = 6\sqrt{3}^{4m} = 6^l 9^m.$$

■

Lemma 8. *Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n$ and*

$$\lambda_3 \leq \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t, \quad n \geq 4(3t+j),$$

where t is a nonnegative integer and j is the residue of n modulo 3, $j = 0, 1, 2$, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$, we have

$$(8.5) \quad \prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^{\frac{n-4j}{3}-4t} 9^{3t+j}.$$

Proof. Put $l = \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t$, $m = \frac{n-3l}{4} = 3t+j$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 7.

■

It is left to note that after these lemmas proof of Theorem 9 is the same as proof of Theorem 8.

■

Note that the using of this algorithm is based on the small elements of p -spectrum.

Consider, e.g., case $t = 0$, $j = 2$. According to (8.2), we have

$$(8.6) \quad E_0^{(2)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{6^{\frac{n-2-3i}{3}} y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 81 \cdot 6^{i-2}\} = \{6^{\frac{n-5}{3}} \text{per } A, A \in \Lambda_5^3 : 6 \text{per } A \geq 81\} \cup \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}.$$

Note that, the second set is a simpleton, since, by MCI, $\mu_1(8, 3) \leq (\mu_1(4, 3))^2 = 81$. Since, by (2.17), $M(5) = 13 < \frac{81}{6}$, then the first set in (8.6) is empty. Thus $E_0^{(2)} = E_0^{(2)}(n)$ is simpleton:

$$E_0^{(2)} = \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}$$

and we have

$$M^{(1)}(n) = 81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}, \quad n \geq 8,$$

which corresponds to Merriell's result in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Further research of the set (8.2), using (2.17), gives the following results:

1) $j = 0, \quad n \geq 24$.

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{9}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= \frac{5}{9} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$. Note that a more detailed analysis shows that after calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ in this case one can obtain the first $12 + |G|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , where $G = ps[\Lambda_9^3] \cap ([69, 116] \setminus \{72, 78, 102, 108\})$.

2) $j = 1, \quad n \geq 28$.

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= \frac{3}{2} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{8}{9} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= \frac{31}{36} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{27}{32} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \\ M^{(5)}(n) &= \frac{5}{6} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{13}{15} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(7)}(n) = \frac{169}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting that in this case $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ is not used up to $M^{(7)}$, but the continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_{10}^3]$.

3) $j = 2, \quad n \geq 32$.

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= \frac{9}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{13}{6} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= 2 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{9} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(5)}(n) &= \frac{49}{36} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{4}{3} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(7)}(n) &= \frac{31}{24} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(8)}(n) = \frac{81}{64} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(9)}(n) &= \frac{5}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(10)}(n) = \frac{11}{9} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(11)}(n) = \frac{39}{32} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the method not only gives a possibility to calculate the upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , but also shows those direct products

on which they are attained. E.g., in (8.9) M_9 is attained on direct products of some matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$:

$$A_8 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-8}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_7 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-11}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_4 \otimes A_6 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-14}{3}}.$$

Note also that the comparison of (8.7)-(8.9) with (6.6)-(6.15) shows that the following calculated $M^{(i)}$ are attained in $\hat{\Lambda}_n^3$, $n \geq 32$:

in case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)};$$

in case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(7)}$$

(and is not attained $M^{(2)}$);

in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(7)}, M^{(8)}, M^{(9)}, M^{(11)}$$

(and are not attained $M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(10)}$).

9. ALGORITHM OF A TESTING THE PARITY OF VALUES OF THE PERMANENT IN Λ_n^3

It seems that among all known methods of calculation of the permanent only Ryser's method (cf. [11], Ch.7) could be used for a creating an algorithm of a testing the parity of values of the permanent. Let A be $n \times n$ -matrix. Let A_r be a matrix which is obtained by changing some r columns of A by zero columns. Denote $S(A_r)$ the product of row sums of A_r . Then, by Ryser's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per} A &= \sum S(A_0) - \sum S(A_1) + \\ (9.1) \quad &\sum S(A_2) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \sum S(A_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let now A have integer elements. Introduce the following matrix function

$$(9.2) \quad \Upsilon(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all row sums of } A \text{ are odd,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (9.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per} A &\equiv \sum \Upsilon(A_0) - \sum \Upsilon(A_1) + \\ (9.3) \quad &\sum \Upsilon(A_2) + \dots + \sum \Upsilon(A_{n-1}) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (9.3), let us create an algorithm of a search of the odd values of the permanent in Λ_n^3 . Since, evidently, $\text{per} A \equiv \det A \pmod{2}$, then A should have pairwise distinct columns. Note that cases $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$,

are considered by the same way. Suppose, say, $n = 3t$. According to (9.3), we are interested in only cases when after removing $r \geq 1$ columns of A , all row sums will be odd. Suppose that after removing r columns of A , we have that p sums remain to equal to 3 and $n - p$ sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to $2(n - p) = 6t - 2p$. Since, removing a column, we remove three 1's, then the number of the removed columns equals to $r = 2t - \frac{2p}{3}$. Thus $p = 3m$ and $r = 2(t - m)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$. However, if $m = t - 1$, then $r = 2$. By the condition, these two columns are distinct, therefore, we conclude that at least one row sum equals to 2. The contradiction shows that the testing sequence is $r = 4, 6, \dots, 2t$. In cases $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ we obtain the same testing sequence.

Example 7. *Let us check the parities of values of the permanent of circulants in $\Delta_7^3 \subset \Lambda_7^3$.*

In this case $t = \lfloor \frac{7}{3} \rfloor = 2$ and, therefore, the testing sequence contains only term $r = 4$. Note that matrix A_r has all odd rows if and only if one row sum equals to 3 and each of 6 other row sums equals to 1. Indeed, let after the removing 4 columns of A , remain p sums equal to 3 and $7 - p$ sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to $2(7 - p)$ and the number of the removed columns equals to $r = 4 = \frac{14 - 2p}{3}$, i.e., $p = 1$. Moreover, since in a circulant all rows are congruent shifts of the first one, it is sufficient to consider the case when precisely the first row sum equals to 3 and others equal to 1 (the multiplication on 7 does not change the parity of the result). This opens a possibility of a momentary handy test on the parity every circulant of class Δ_7^3 . This test consists of the removing all four columns beginning with 0. If now every rows 2, ..., 7 has one 1, then the permanent is even; otherwise, it is odd. We check now directly that from $\binom{7}{3} = 35$ circulants exactly 21 ones have odd permanent.

Remark 1. *In 1967, Ryser [14] did a conjecture that the number of the transversals of a latin square from elements $1, \dots, n$ (i.e., the number of subsets of its n pairwise distinct elements, none in the same row or column) has the same parity as n . If n is even, then the conjecture has been proved by Balasubramanian [1]. Besides, in [1] Balasubramanian did a conjecture for the parity of a sum of permanents, such that the truth of this conjecture yields Ryser's hypothesis for odd n . In the same year (1990), using the result of Example 7, the author disproved Balasubramanian's conjecture (private communication to Brualdi). It is interesting that soon Parker (see[5], p.258)*

indeed found several latin squares of order 7 with even number of transversals. Add that later ([18]) we found even an infinite set of counterexamples to the Balasubramanian conjecture.

10. OPEN PROBLEMS

1. To prove the MCI (Section 7).
2. (Cf.[17],pp.171-172). Consider class $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$, where $0 \leq a \leq b < \frac{4e-9}{6}$. Since $\Lambda_n(1, 1, 1) = \Lambda_n^3$, then Voorhoeve's lower estimate for the permanent (2.16) trivially holds for matrices in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$. It is clear that, for $a > 0$, $b > 0$, it should exist an essentially stronger lower estimate. However, using Van der Waerden-Egorychev-Falikman theorem to class $\Lambda_n(\frac{1}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+a}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+b}{3+a+b})$ of doubly stochastic matrices, for the permanent of $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$ -matrices we obtain even weaker lower estimate of the order $C_1 \sqrt{n}(\frac{3+a+b}{e})^n < C(\frac{4}{3})^n$. The problem is to find a stronger lower estimate for the permanent in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$.
3. (Cf.[17],pp.115-116). Let M be a circulant of order n with integer elements. We conjecture that, for every integer m , we have $\text{per} M \equiv (-1)^n \text{per}(mJ_n - M) \pmod{n}$, where $n \times n$ -matrix J_n consists of 1's only. A special case of this conjecture, for $m = 1$, $M = I_n + P + \dots + P^{k-1}$ in the equivalent terms was formulated by Yamamoto [27] and proved for $k \leq 3$. The author [15] proved the truth of the conjecture in case $m = 1$ for arbitrary circulant M (including Yamamoto's conjecture for every k). In [17] the conjecture was proved for every m and prime n . The question is open in case of composite m even in case $k = 3$.
4. Two Latin rectangles let us call equivalent, if the sets of their elements in the corresponding columns are the same. Note that numbers $|\Lambda_n^3|$ one can treat as the numbers of equivalence classes of Latin triangles. Let $A = I_n + P + P^2$. In [20] the author proved that the cardinality of the corresponding equivalent class is $2^n + 6 + 2(-1)^n$. To find the cardinality of the equivalent class which is defined by matrix $I_n + P + P^3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Balasubramanian, *On transversals of Latin squares*, Linear Algebra Appl., **131** (1990), 125-129.
- [2] V. I. Bolshakov, *On spectrum of permanent on Λ_n^k* , Proc. of Seminar on Discrete Math. and Appl., MSU (1986), 65-73 (in Russian).
- [3] V. I. Bolshakov, *On upper values of permanent on Λ_n^k* , Combin. Analysis, MSU, **7** (1986), 92-118 (in Russian).
- [4] L. M. Bregman, *Some properties of nonnegative matrices and their permanents*, DAN USSR, **211**, (1973), no.1, 27-30.
- [5] R. Brualdi and H. Ryser, *Combinatorial matrix theory*, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1991.

- [6] H. Gupta, *Enumeration of incongruent cyclic k -gons*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **10** (1979), no.8, 964-999.
- [7] G. P. Egorychev, *The solution of van der Waerden's problem for permanents*, Advance in Math., **42** (1981), 299-305.
- [8] D. I. Falikman, *Proof of the van der Waerden's conjecture on the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Mat. Zametki, **29**, (1981), no.6, 931-938, 957 (in Russian).
- [9] D. Merriell, *The maximum permanent in Λ_n^k* , Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **9** (1980), no.2, 81-91.
- [10] H. Minc, *On permanents of circulants*, Pacific J. Math. **42** (1972), 477-484.
- [11] H. Minc, *Permanents*. Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [12] P. E. O'Neil, *Asymptotics and random matrices with row-sum and column-sum restrictions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 1276-1282.
- [13] J. Riordan, *An introduction to combinatorial analysis*, Wiley, Fourth printing, 1967.
- [14] H. Ryser, *Neuere Probleme in der Kombinatorik*. In: Vortrage uber Komb., Oberwolfach, 1967, 69-91.
- [15] V. S. Shevelev, *On the Yamamoto's conjecture*, Dokl Ukrainian Acad. Sci. **11** (1988), 30-33 (in Russian).
- [16] V. S. Shevelev, *On a method of constructing of rook polynomials and some its applications*, Combin. Analysis, MSU, **8** (1989), 124-138 (in Russian).
- [17] V. S. Shevelev, *Some questions of the theory of permanents of cyclic matrices; Problems 10-12*. In book: Permanents: theory and applications. Collections of papers and problems, edited by G. P. Egorychev. Krasnojarsk, 1990, 109-126; 171-173 (in Russian).
- [18] V. S. Shevelev, *An algorithm for testing the parity of a permanent (or determinant) and counterexamples to a conjecture of K. Balasubramanian*, Dep. VINITI, no.1692-B91, Moscow, 1991 (in Russian).
- [19] V. S. Shevelev, *Reduced Latin rectangles and square matrices with equal row and column sum*, Diskr. Mat., **4** (1992), no.1, 91-110, (in Russian).
- [20] V. S. Shevelev, *An extension of Moser's class of 4-rowed Latin rectangles*, DAN of Ukraine **3** (1992), 15-19 (in Russian).
- [21] V. S. Shevelev, *Some problems of the theory of enumerating the permutations with restricted positions*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Teoriya Veroyatnostei, Matematicheskaya Statistika, Teoreticheskaya Kibernetika **30** (1992), 113-177 (in Russian).
- [22] V. S. Shevelev, *Necklaces and convex k -gons*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **35** (2004), no. 5, 629-638.
- [23] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (<http://oeis.org>).
- [24] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 1, Wadsworth, Inc. California, 1986.
- [25] V. E. Tarakanov, *Combinatorial problems on binary matrices*, Combin. Analysis, MSU, **5** (1989), 4-15 (in Russian).
- [26] M. Voorhoeve, *A lower bound for the permanents of certain $(0,1)$ matrices*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A82=Indag Math., **41** (1979), 83-86.
- [27] K. Yamamoto, *Structure polynomial of Latin rectangles and its application to a combinatorial problem*, Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyusyu University, Series A, **10** (1956), 1-13.

SPECTRUM OF PERMANENT'S VALUES AND ITS EXTREMAL MAGNITUDES IN Λ_n^3 AND $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. Let Λ_n^k denote the class of $(0, 1)$ square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. The minimal value of k , for which the behavior of the permanent in Λ_n^k is not quite studied, is $k = 3$. We give a simple algorithm for calculation upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 and consider some extremal problems in a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the matrices of which contain in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and $n - 3$ zeros.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Λ_n^k denote the class of $(0, 1)$ square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. If $A \in \Lambda_n^3$, then matrix $k^{-1}A$ is doubly stochastic. Therefore, Λ_n^k -matrices are also called *doubly stochastic $(0, 1)$ -matrices* (cf. [11]). Let, furthermore, for a given $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma$, $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma)$ denote the class of square matrices containing in each row and in each column

Definition 1. We call p -spectrum in Λ_n^k (denoting it $ps[\Lambda_n^k]$) the set of all the values which are taken by the permanent in Λ_n^k .

Note that p -spectrum in Λ_n^1 trivially is $\{1\}$. It is known (cf. Tarakanov [25]) that

$$ps[\Lambda_n^2] = \{2, 2^2, 2^3, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\}.$$

But, for $k \geq 3$, p -spectrum of Λ_n^k , generally speaking, is unknown. Greenstein (cf. [11], point 8.4, Problem 3) put the problem of describing the p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 . In this paper we find p -spectrum on symmetric matrices in Λ_n^3 with ones on the main diagonal and give an algorithm for calculation upper values of p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 . We also obtain several results for a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ containing in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and $n - 3$ zeros. Some results of the present paper were announced by the author in [21].

2. WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT Λ_n^3 ?1) Explicit formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. Stanley [24], Ch.1)

$$(2.1) \quad |\Lambda_n^3| = 6^{-n} \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=n, \ k_i \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{k_2} n!^2 (k_2 + 3k_3)! 2^{k_1} 3^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! k_3!^2 6^{k_3}}.$$

2) Asymptotic formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. O'Neil [12])

$$(2.2) \quad |\Lambda_n^3| = \frac{(3n)!}{(36)^n} e^{-2} (1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon})),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n .

In addition, note that $|\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|$ with different α, β, γ is, evidently, the number of 3-rowed Latin rectangles of length n such that

$$(2.3) \quad |\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = n! K_n,$$

where K_n is the number of reduced 3-rowed Latin rectangles with the first row $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is known (Riordan [13], pp. 204-210) that

$$(2.4) \quad K_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} D_{n-k} D_k U_{n-2k},$$

where D_n is subfactorial.

$$(2.5) \quad D_0 = 1, \quad D_n = n D_{n-1} + (-1)^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$\{U_n\}$ is sequence of Lucas numbers of the Ménage problem which is defined by Cayley recursion (cf. [13], p. 201)

$$U_0 = 1, \quad U_1 = -1, \quad U_2 = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad U_n = n U_{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} U_{n-2} + 4 \frac{(-1)^n}{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3$$

(see [23], sequences A102761, A000186).

Denote, furthermore, $\bar{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of matrices in Λ_n^3 with 1's on the main diagonal. Note that

$$(2.7) \quad ps[\Lambda_n^3] = ps[\bar{\Lambda}_n^3].$$

Indeed, it is well known that every Λ_n^3 -matrix A has a diagonal of ones (i.e., a set of 1's no two in the same row or column). Let l be such a diagonal. There exists a permutation of rows and columns π such that $\pi(l)$ will be the main diagonal of $\pi(A)$. Nevertheless, $per(\pi(A)) = per A$ and (2.7) follows.

3) A known explicit formula for $|\bar{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19]) has a close structure to (2.4):

$$(2.8) \quad |\bar{\Lambda}_n^3| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} S_{n-k} S_k U_{n-2k},$$

where sequence $\{S_n\}$ is defined by recursion

$$(2.9) \quad S_0 = 1, \quad S_1 = 0, \quad S_n = (n-1)(S_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}S_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

4) Asymptotic formula for $|\bar{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19])

$$(2.10) \quad |\bar{\Lambda}_n^3| = C\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{2n}(1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon})),$$

where

$$C = 2\sqrt{\pi e^{-5}} = 0.29098\dots$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n .

5) Denote $\hat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of symmetric matrices in $\bar{\Lambda}_n^3$. P -spectrum on $\hat{\Lambda}_n^3$ is given by the following theorem (Shevelev [16])

Theorem 1. *Let $R(n; 3)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to 3. To every partition $r \in R(n; 3) : n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$, $m = m(r)$, put in a correspondence the number*

$$(2.11) \quad H(r) = \prod_{i=1}^m a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is defined by the recursion

$$(2.12) \quad a(3) = 6, \quad a(4) = 9, \quad a(n) = a(n-1) + a(n-2) - 2, \quad n \geq 5.$$

Then we have

$$(2.13) \quad ps[\hat{\Lambda}_n^3] = \{H(r) : r \in R(n; 3)\}.$$

6) The maximal value $M(n)$ of permanent in Λ_n^3 was found by Merriell [9].

Theorem 2. *If $n \equiv h \pmod{3}$, $h = 0, 1, 2$, then*

$$(2.14) \quad M(n) = 6^{\frac{n-h}{3}} \lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^h \rfloor.$$

Note that, the case $h = 0$ of (2.14) easily follows from a general Minc-Bregman inequality for permanent of $(0,1)$ -matrices (see [11], point 6.2, and [4]).

7) Put $M(n) = M^{(1)}(n)$. In case of $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, Bolshakov [3] showed that the second maximal $M^{(2)} < M^{(1)}(n)$ of permanent in Λ_n^3 (such that interval $(M^{(2)}, M^{(1)})$ is free from values of permanent in Λ_n^3) equals to

$$(2.15) \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \begin{cases} 20, & \text{if } n = 6, \\ 120, & \text{if } n = 9 \\ \frac{9}{16}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \geq 12. \end{cases}$$

Note that both $M^{(1)}(n)$ and $M^{(2)}(n)$ are attained in $\hat{\Lambda}_n^3$ (Shevelev [16]).

8) Denote $m(n)$ the minimal value of permanent in Λ_n^3 . In 1979, Voorhoeve [26] obtain a beautiful lower estimate for $m(n)$:

$$(2.16) \quad m(n) \geq 6\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}.$$

This estimate remains the best even after proof by Egorychev [7] and Falikman [8] the famous Van der Waerden conjectural lower estimate $\text{per } A \geq \frac{n!}{n^n}$ for every $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix A . Indeed, this estimate yields only $m(n) \geq 3^n \frac{n!}{n^n}$, such that (2.16) is stronger for $n \geq 4$.

9) Bolshakov [2] found p -spectrum in Λ_n^3 in cases $n \leq 8$. Namely, he added to the evident p -spectrums $ps[\Lambda_3^3] = \{6\}$ and $ps[\Lambda_4^3] = \{9\}$ also the following p -spectrums

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} ps[\Lambda_5^3] &= \{12, 13\}, \quad ps[\Lambda_6^3] = \{17, 18, 20, 36\}, \\ ps[\Lambda_7^3] &= \{24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 54\}, \\ ps[\Lambda_8^3] &= \{33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48, 49, 52, 72, 78, 81\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. A GENERALIZATION OF THEOREM 1 ON MATRICES OF CLASS $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ WITH SYMMETRIC POSITIONS OF ELEMENTS

Denote $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices in $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with β 's on the main diagonal. It is clear that, together with (2.3),

$$(3.1) \quad |\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = K_n.$$

Note that, as for sets Λ_n^3 , $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad ps[\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] = ps[\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)].$$

Denote, furthermore, $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices $M = \{m_{i,j}\}$ in $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with symmetric positions of elements: $m_{i,j} = \alpha$ if and only if $m_{j,i} = \gamma$.

P -spectrum on $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *If to every partition $r \in R(n; 3) : n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$, $m = m(r)$, corresponds the number*

$$(3.3) \quad H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(r) = \prod_{i=1}^m a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n) = a(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; n)\}$ is defined by the recursion

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} a(3) &= \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 + 3\alpha\beta\gamma, \\ a(4) &= \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha\beta^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2, \\ a(n) &= \beta a(n-1) + \alpha\gamma a(n-2) + \\ &\quad \alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{n-1}(\gamma - \beta - \alpha), \quad n \geq 5, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(3.5) \quad ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] = \{H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(r) : r \in R(n; 3)\}.$$

Proof. Let S_n be the symmetric permutation group of elements $1, \dots, n$. Two positions $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2)$ are called *independent* if $i_k \neq j_k$, $k=1,2$. We shall say that in the $n \times n$ matrix $M = \{m_{ij}\}$ a weight m_{ij} is appropriated to the position (i, j) . Let $s \in S_n$ has not any cycle of length less than n . Consider a map

$$\sigma : (i, j) \mapsto (s^i(1), s^j(1)),$$

appropriating to the position $(s^i(1), s^j(1))$ the weight m_{ij} .

Lemma 1. 1) the map σ is bijective; 2) if E is a set of pairwise independent positions, then $\sigma(E)$ is also a set of pairwise independent positions.

Proof. a) Consider two distinct positions

$$(3.6) \quad (i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2),$$

such that, at least, one of two inequalities holds

$$(3.7) \quad i_1 \neq i_2, j_1 \neq j_2.$$

Let $i_1 \neq i_2$ such that, say, $i_1 > i_2$. Show that $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$. Indeed, if to suppose that $s^{i_1}(1) = s^{i_2}(1)$, then $s^{i_1-i_2} = 1$, i.e., s has a cycle of length $i_1 - i_2 < n$ in spite of the condition. Conversely, if $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, then $i_1 \neq i_2$, since s^{-1} has not any cycle of length less than n as well.

b) Let positions (3.6) be independent. The both of inequalities (3.7) hold and, as in a), we have $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, $s^{j_1}(1) \neq s^{j_2}(1)$, i.e. the positions $\sigma((i_1, j_1)), \sigma((i_2, j_2))$ are independent as well.

■

Lemma 2. Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n . Then $(0, 1)$ -matrix S having 1's on only positions

$$(s^1(1), s^2(1)), (s^2(1), s^3(1)), \dots, (s^{n-1}(1), s^n(1)), (s^n(1), s^1(1))$$

is a incidence matrix of s .

Proof. Since s has not cycles of length less than n , then $\{s^1(1), \dots, s^n(1)\}$ is a permutation of numbers $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus the set of positions of 1's of matrix S coincides with the set of 1's of the incidence matrix of s : $(1, s(1)), \dots, (n, s(n))$.

■

Let $P = P_n$ be $(0, 1)$ -matrix with 1's on positions $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n), (n, 1)$ only.

Lemma 3. *Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n . If S and S^{-1} are the incidence matrices of s and s^{-1} , then we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma^{-1}(S) = P, \quad \sigma^{-1}(S^{-1}) = P^{-1}.$$

Proof. Both of formulas follows from Lemma 2.

■

Noting that $\sigma(I) = I$, where I is the identity matrix, we conclude that

$$(3.9) \quad S^{-1} + I + S = \sigma(P^{-1} + I + P).$$

Moreover, since, by the bijection σ , to every diagonal (i.e., to every set of n pairwise independent positions) of the matrix $\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S$ corresponds one and only one diagonal of the matrix $\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P$ with the same products of weights, then we have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{per}(\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S) = \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P).$$

Note that from the definition it follows that, for every matrix $M \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have a representation

$$(3.11) \quad M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S,$$

where S is the incidence matrix of a substitution s . In case when s has not any cycle of length less than n , the matrix M is completely indecomposable matrix in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Thus, by (3.10), all completely indecomposable matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ have the same permanent, equals to $\text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma P)$.

In general, a substitution s with the incidence matrix S in (3.11) cannot have cycles of length less than 3. Indeed, if for some i , we have either $s(i) = i$ or $s(s(i)) = i$, then in both cases $s(i) = s^{-1}(i)$ which means coincidence of positions 1's of the matrices S and S^{-1} in the i -th row.

Let $s \in S_n$ be an arbitrary substitution with cycles of length more than 2. Let

$$(3.12) \quad s = \prod_{j=1}^r s_j,$$

where $s_j \in S_{l_j}$, $l_j \geq 3$, $\sum_{j=1}^r l_j = n$, be the decomposition of s in a product of cycles. Then the matrix $M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S$ is a direct sum of the matrices $M_j = \alpha S_{l_j}^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S_{l_j}$ such that, by (3.10), $\text{per} M_j = \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P)$ and we have

$$(3.13) \quad \text{per} M = \prod_{j=1}^r \text{per} M_j = \prod_{j=1}^r \text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P).$$

It is left to notice that Minc [10] found a recursion (3.4) for $\text{per}(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$ and, as well known, the multiplication an $n \times n$ matrix by P^{-1} does not change its permanent.

Therefore, $\text{per}(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P) = \text{per}(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$.

■

Example 1. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1, 3, 2)]$.

We have the following partitions of 11 with the parts not less than 3:

$$11 = 8 + 3 = 7 + 4 = 6 + 5 = 3 + 4 + 4 = 3 + 3 + 5.$$

According to (3.4), for $a(n) = a(-1, 3, 2; n)$, we have $a(3) = 16$, $a(4) = 34$ and for $n \geq 5$,

$$a(n) = 3a(n-1) - 2a(n-2) + 6(-1)^n.$$

Using induction, we find

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2^{n+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{n+1} + 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1, 3, 2)] =$$

$$\{a(11), a(3)a(8), a(5)a(6), a^2(3)a(5), a(3)a^2(4)\} = \\ \{4096, 8224, 8320, 8704, 16384, 18496\}.$$

■

In the following examples we calculate p -spectrum for arbitrary n .

Example 2. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)]$.

By induction, for $a(n) = a(-1, 2, 1; n)$, we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 4, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Further, again using induction, one can find that, if n is even, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)] = \{4, 4^2, \dots, 4^{\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}\}$$

and, if n is odd, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 2, 1)] = \{2, 2 \cdot 4, 2 \cdot 4^2, \dots, 2 \cdot 4^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{4} \rfloor}\}.$$

■

Example 3. Analogously, in case of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 1, 1)$, for $a(n) = a(-1, 1, 1; n)$, we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ -2, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1, 1, 1)] = \begin{cases} \{1, -2, 4, \dots, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor}\}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \{1, -2, 4, \dots, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-6}{3} \rfloor}, (-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}\}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

It is interesting that, in case of n multiple of 3, the permanent omits the value $(-2)^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor}$.

■

4. MERRIELL TYPE THEOREMS IN A SUBCLASSES OF $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Note that in class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the Minc-Bregman inequality and the Merriell theorem, generally speaking, do not hold even for positive α, β, γ . Nevertheless, some restrictions on α, β, γ allow to prove some analogs of the Merriell theorem. Recall that $M(n)$ (2.14) is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. Denote $M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the maximal value of permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4. *Consider a class $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with the numbers α, β, γ satisfying "triangle inequalities"*

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta + \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha + \beta,$$

and the following additional conditions

$$(4.2) \quad a^3(4) \leq a^4(3), \quad \alpha\gamma + \beta(a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq (a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is defined by recursion (3.4). Then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.3) \quad M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Proof. Note that conditions (4.1)-(4.2) are satisfied, e.g., in case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. Using induction, let us prove that

$$(4.4) \quad a(n) \leq (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Indeed, for $n = 3$, this inequality is trivial, while, for $n = 4$, it follows from the first condition (4.2). Let it hold for $n \leq m-1$. Then, according to (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(m) &= \beta a(m-1) + \alpha\gamma a(m-2) + \alpha^{m-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{m-1}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) \leq \\ &\quad \beta(a(3))^{\frac{m-1}{3}} + \alpha\gamma(a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}} = \\ &\quad (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}}(\beta(a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} + \alpha\gamma) \leq (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}}(a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{m}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, according Theorem 3, the equality in (4.4) holds in a direct sum of (3×3) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition $n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3$. Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than 3, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$, such that

$$\text{per} A = \prod_{i=3}^m a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.4), we have

$$\text{per} A \leq \prod_{i=3}^m a(3)^{\frac{n_i}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

This proves (4.3). ■

Example 4. Consider case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$.

Let us find the values of α , depending on the magnitude of γ , for which the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. According to (3.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad a(3) = \alpha^3 + (\gamma - \alpha)^3 + \gamma^3 + 3\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma = 2\gamma^3,$$

$$(4.6) \quad a(4) = \alpha^4 + (\gamma - \alpha)^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2 = 2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4).$$

Thus the condition $a^3(4) \leq a^4(3)$ means that $\alpha^4 + \gamma^4 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma^4$, or

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma = 0.7140199\dots\gamma.$$

and it is easy to verify that the second condition in (4.2) is satisfied as well. As a collorary, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. If (4.7) holds, then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.8) \quad M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = 2^{\frac{n}{3}}\gamma^n.$$

Simple forms of sequence $\{a(n)\}$ in Examples 1-2 allow to suppose that in case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$ (or symmetrical case $\beta = \alpha - \gamma$) sequence $\{a(n)\}$ keeps a sufficiently simple form. We find this form in the following lemma. ■

Lemma 4. If $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$, then sequence $\{a(n)\}$ which is defined by recursion (3.4) has the form

$$(4.9) \quad a(n) = \begin{cases} 2\gamma^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using induction with the base (4.5) -(4.6), suppose that (4.9) holds for $m \leq n$. Then, by (3.4), for even n , we have

$$a(n+1) = (\gamma - \alpha)a(n) + \alpha\gamma a(n-1) + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) =$$

$$2(\gamma - \alpha)(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) + 2\alpha\gamma^n + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) = 2\gamma^{n+1},$$

while, if n is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(n+1) &= 2(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma^n + \\ 2\alpha\gamma(\alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^{n-1}) + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) &= 2(\alpha^{n+1} + \gamma^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Let now

$$(4.10) \quad \alpha \geq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma = 0.7140199\dots\gamma.$$

Theorem 6. *If (4.10) holds, then, for n multiple of 4, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = (2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

Proof. From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.10) we conclude that

$$(4.12) \quad a(3) \leq (a(4))^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Let us show that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$(4.13) \quad a(n) \leq (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

For $n = 4$, inequality (4.13) is trivial. For $n \geq 5$, we have

$$\alpha^n + \gamma^n = \alpha^n(1 + (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^n) \leq \alpha^n(1 + (\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^4)^{\frac{n}{4}} \leq (\alpha^4 + \gamma^4)^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

and thus, using Lemma 4, we have

$$a(n) \leq 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) < 2^{\frac{n}{4}}(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4)^{\frac{n}{4}} = (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than 3, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$, such that

$$\text{per} A = \prod_{i=3}^m a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.13), we have

$$\text{per} A \leq \prod_{i=3}^m a(4)^{\frac{n_i}{4}} = (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

with the equality in a direct sum of (4×4) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition $n = 4 + 4 + \dots + 4$.

■

Note that, if $\alpha \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma$, then in Theorem 5 we have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition $n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3$; in Theorem 6 we also have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition $n = 4 + 4 + \dots + 4$. It is interesting that, only in case of the equality

$\alpha = \theta\gamma$, where $\theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, the both of Theorems 5-6 are true for every n multiple of 12 with the equality of the maximums: $(2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 2^{\frac{n}{3}}\gamma^n$. Thus, up to a positive factor γ , the class

$$(4.14) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\theta, 1 - \theta, 1), \quad \theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

possesses an interesting extremal property: it contains $\frac{n}{12} + 1$ maximizing matrices (up to a permutation of the rows and columns), instead of only maximizing matrix, if $\theta \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Indeed, the number of the maximizing matrices (up to a permutation rows and columns), is defined by the number of the following partitions of $n \equiv (\text{mod } 12)$:

$$n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3, \quad n = (4 + 4 + 4) + (3 + \dots + 3), \dots,$$

$$n = \underbrace{4 + \dots + 4}_{3i} + \underbrace{3 + \dots + 3}_{n-12i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{12}.$$

■

5. ESTIMATE OF CARDINALITY OF P-SPECTRUM ON CIRCULANTS IN Λ_n^3 AND $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Denote $\Delta_n^3 \subset \Lambda_n^3$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^3 . Note that a circulant $A \in \Delta_n^3$ has a form $A = P^i + P^j + P^k$, $0 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, where $P = P_n$ is $(0, 1)$ -matrix with 1's on positions $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n), (n, 1)$ only. Multiplicating A by P^{-i} we obtain circulant B of the form

$$B = I_n + P^r + P^s$$

with $\text{per} B = \text{per} A$. Since B is defined by a choice of two different values $0 < r < k \leq n$, then trivially

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \binom{n}{2} < \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

Now we prove essentially more exact and practically unimprovable estimate.

Theorem 7. *We have*

$$(5.1) \quad ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \lfloor \frac{n^2 + 3}{12} \rfloor.$$

Proof. Let us back to the general form

$$A = P^i + P^j + P^k, \quad 0 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

Note that, A is defined by a choice of a vector (i, j, k) , but its rotation, i.e., a passage to a vector of the form $(i+l, j+l, k+l) \pmod{n}$, does not change the magnitude of $\text{per} A$. Indeed it corresponds to the multiplication A by P^l , and our statement follows from the equality $\text{per}(P^l A) = \text{per} A$. Besides,

its reflection relatively some diameter of the imaginary circumference of the rotation, by the symmetry, keeps magnitude of the permanent. Since geometrically three points on the imaginary circumference define a triangle, then our problem reduces to a triangle case of the following general problem, posed by Professor Richard H. Reis (South-East University of Massachusetts, USA) in a private communication to Hansraj Gupta in 1978):

” Let a circumference is split by the same n parts. It is required to find the number $R(n, k)$ of the incongruent convex k -gons, which could be obtained by connection of some k from n dividing points. Two k -gons are considered congruent if they are coincided at the rotation of one relatively other along the circumference and (or) by reflection of one of the k -gons relatively some diameter.”

In 1979, Gupta [6] gave a solution of the Reis problem in the form (for a short solution, see author's paper [22]):

$$(5.2) \quad R(n, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\binom{\lfloor \frac{n-h_k}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \right) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d | \gcd(k, n)} \varphi(d) \left(\frac{\frac{n}{d} - 1}{\frac{k}{d} - 1} \right).$$

If to denote $\Delta_n^k \subset \Lambda_n^k$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^k , then from our arguments it follows that

$$(5.3) \quad ps[\Delta_n^k] \leq R(n, k).$$

In case $k = 3$, from (5.2)-(5.3) we find

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-1}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-4}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2+3}{12}, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \end{cases}$$

and (5.1) follows.

■

Example 5. In case $n = 5$ we have only two incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_5 + P + P^2$ and $I_5 + P + P^3$.

Nevertheless, the calculations give $per(I_5 + P + P^2) = per(I_5 + P + P^3) = 13$. Thus $ps[\Delta_5^3] = \{13\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_5^3]| = 1$.

Example 6. In case $n = 6$ we have three incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_6 + P + P^2$, $I_6 + P + P^3$ and $I_6 + P^2 + P^4$.

The calculations give $per(I_6 + P + P^2) = 20$, $per(I_6 + P + P^3) = 17$, while $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = 36$. Thus $ps[\Delta_6^3] = \{17, 20, 36\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_6^3]| = 3$.

Note that a respectively large magnitude of $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4)$ is explained

by its decomposability in a direct product of circulants $(I_3 + P + P^2) \otimes (I_3 + P + P^2)$, such that $\text{per}(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = (\text{per}(I_3 + P + P^2))^2 = 6^2 = 36$.

It is clear that, in case of circulants in $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the upper estimate (5.1) yields either the same estimate, if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, or $\lfloor \frac{n^2+3}{4} \rfloor$, if $\alpha = \beta \neq \gamma$ (and in the symmetric cases), or $\lfloor \frac{n^2+3}{2} \rfloor$, if α, β, γ are distinct numbers.

Add that a bijection indicated in [22] allows to apply formula (5.2) to enumerating the two-color bracelets of n beads, k of which are black and $n - k$ are white (see, e.g., the author's explicit formulas for sequences A032279-A032282, A005513-A005516 in [23]).

6. ALGORITHM OF CALCULATIONS OF UPPER MAGNITUDES OF THE PERMANENT IN $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$

Theorem 1 allows, using some additional arguments, to give an algorithm of calculations of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. In connection with this, we need an important lemma for numbers (2.12).

Lemma 5. *For $n_1, n_2 \geq 3$, we have*

$$(6.1) \quad a(n_1 + n_2) \leq a(n_1)a(n_2), \quad n_1, n_2 \geq 3.$$

Proof. By usual way, from (2.12) we find

$$(6.2) \quad a(n) = \varphi^n + 2 + (-1)^n \varphi^{-n},$$

where $\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ is the golden ratio.

Denote $\varepsilon(n) = (-1)^n \varphi^{-n}$. Since $n \geq 3$, then $|\varepsilon(n)| < 0.24$, and, consequently, if $n = n_1 + n_2$, then $(2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(2 + \varepsilon(n_2)) > 1.76^2 > 3$. Therefore, we have

$$(6.3) \quad a(n_1)a(n_2) = (\varphi^{n_1} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(\varphi^{n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_2)) > \varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 3 > \varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1 + n_2) = a(n_1 + n_2).$$

■

Note that, actually, the difference between the hand sides of (6.3) more than $1.76(\varphi^{n_1} + \varphi^{n_2})$.

Let now $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence the sets

$$(6.4) \quad H_{m;3}(r) = \{\Pi_{r_i \in r} a_{r_i}\}; \quad H_{m;4}(\rho) = \{\Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} a_{\rho_i}\}.$$

In case $m = 3$, when $\rho = \emptyset$, let us agree that $H_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$.

Consider now the set $L_t^{(j)} = L_t^{(j)}(n)$:

$$(6.5) \quad L_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}} y : y \in H_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 9^{3t+j} 6^{i-4t-j}\}.$$

Theorem 8. (algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$). If $n \geq 4(3t + j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $L_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|L_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.

Proof. Note that the proof is the same for every value of j . Therefore, let us consider, say, $j = 0$. From (6.1) it follows that, if $r \in R(n; 3)$ contains λ_3 parts 3 and $\lambda_3 \leq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, then, for $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$, we have

$$6^{\lambda_3} y \leq 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}.$$

This means that for the formation the list of all upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ in the condition $n \geq 12t$, which are bounded from below by $6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}$, it is sufficient to consider only a part of the spectrum containing numbers $\{6^{\lambda_3} y\}$, where $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$ with the opposite condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$. From the equality $3\lambda_3 + \dots + n\lambda_n = n$ with the condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, we have

$$4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n \leq n - 3(\frac{n}{3} - 4t) = 12t.$$

Since $12t$ does not depend on n , there is only a finite assembly of such partition for arbitrary n . This ensures a possibility of the realization of the algorithm.

For the considered $r \in R(n; 3)$, for $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, we have $H_{n;3}(r) = 6^{\frac{n-m}{3}}$, where $y \in H_{m;4}(\rho)$, and m has the form $m = 3i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4t$. Thus we should choose only $H_{n;3}(r) \geq 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} 9^{3t}$, and this yields

$$y \geq 9^{3t} 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t} = 9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4t.$$

■

In order to use Theorem 8 for calculation the upper magnitudes $M^{(1)}(n) > M^{(2)}(n) > \dots$ of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$, in case, say, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

1) we write a list of partition of numbers $3i$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, 4t$ with the parts not less than 4.

2) The corresponding values of y we compare with $9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}$ and keep only $y \geq 9^{3t} 6^{i-4t}$.

3) After that we regulate over decrease numbers $\{y 6^{\frac{n}{3}-i}\}$.

Below we give the first 10 upper magnitudes $\widehat{M}^{(1)} > \widehat{M}^{(2)} > \dots > \widehat{M}^{(10)}$, of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ for $n \geq 24$, via numbers $\{a(n)\}$ (2.12).

$$(6.6) \quad \widehat{M}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} a(3)^{\frac{n}{3}} = 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(3)^{\frac{n-4}{3}} = \frac{3}{2}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2 a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{9}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Formula (6.6) shows that $M^{(1)}(n)$ is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.

$$(6.7) \quad \widehat{M}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3 a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{9}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-7}{3}} = \frac{31}{36} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5) a(3)^{\frac{n-5}{3}} = \frac{13}{6} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.8) \quad \widehat{M}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-6}{3}} = \frac{5}{9} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^4 a(3)^{\frac{n-16}{3}} = \frac{27}{32} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(8) a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{49}{36} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.9) \quad \widehat{M}^{(4)} = \begin{cases} a(4) a(5) a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4) a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{5}{6} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4) a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{31}{24} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.10) \quad \widehat{M}^{(5)} = \begin{cases} a(9) a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{36} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2 a(5) a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{16} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^5 a(3)^{\frac{n-20}{3}} = \frac{81}{64} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.11) \quad \widehat{M}^{(6)} = \begin{cases} a(4) a(8) a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{49}{144} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2 a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{169}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2 a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{5}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.12) \quad \widehat{M}^{(7)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^2 a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-15}{3}} = \frac{31}{96} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(10) a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{125}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3 a(5) a(3)^{\frac{n-17}{3}} = \frac{39}{32} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.13) \quad \widehat{M}^{(8)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^6 a(3)^{\frac{n-24}{3}} = \frac{81}{256} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4) a(9) a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5) a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{65}{54} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.14) \quad \widehat{M}^{(9)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3 a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-18}{3}} = \frac{5}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5) a(8) a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{637}{1296} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2 a(4) a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{169}{144} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$(6.15) \quad \widehat{M}^{(10)} = \begin{cases} a(5) a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{403}{1296} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3 a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-19}{3}} = \frac{31}{64} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(11) a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{67}{72} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

7. MAIN CONJECTURAL INEQUALITY FOR MAXIMUM OF PERMANENT IN COMPLETELY INDECOMPOSABLE Λ_n^3 -MATRICES

Denote $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$ the set of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_n^3 , i. e., the set of Λ_n^3 -matrices containing no Λ_m^3 -submatrices. Let $\mu_1(n)$ denote the

maximum of permanent in $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$. Our very plausible conjecture which we call "*main conjectural inequality (MCI)*" is the following.

Conjecture 1. (Cf. [21], pp. 165-166) For $n_1, n_2 \geq 3$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \mu_1(n_1 + n_2) \leq \mu_1(n_1)\mu_1(n_2).$$

In Lemma 5 we essentially proved that in subclass $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the MCI is valid.

Besides, in all known cases MCI holds. Moreover, as we shall see, our algorithm of calculation the consecutive upper magnitudes ($M = M_1 > M_2 > \dots$) of permanent in Λ_n^3 , which is based on MCI, reproduces all Merriell's and Bolshakov's results for M_1 and M_2 . Note also that, for sufficiently large n , the number of consecutive upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 grows very quickly with every step of extension of the list of known p -specrums for small n . E.g., using the found by Bolshakov $ps[\Lambda_i^3]$, $i \leq 8$, we obtain, for sufficiently large n , 4, 7 and 11 upper values of $ps[\Lambda_n^3]$ in cases $n = 3k$, $2k+1$ and $3k+2$ correspondingly. After calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$, the number of upper values of, e.g., $ps[\Lambda_{3k}^3]$ increases more than thrice.

8. ALGORITHM OF CALCULATIONS OF UPPER MAGNITUDES OF THE PERMANENT IN Λ_n^3 BASED ON MCI

Let $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence sets

$$(8.1) \quad \pi_{m;3}(r) = \{\Pi_{r_i \in r} x_{r_i}\}; \quad \pi_{m;4}(\rho) = \{\Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} x_{\rho_i}\}$$

where x_s runs through all values of permanent in set $\Lambda_{s,1}^3$ of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_s^3 (in case $m = 3$, when $\rho = \emptyset$, let us agree that $\pi_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$).

Consider now the set $E_t^{(j)} = E_t^{(j)}(n)$:

$$(8.2) \quad E_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}} y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 9^{3t+j} 6^{i-4t-j}\}.$$

Theorem 9. (algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3). If $n \geq 4(3t+j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $E_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|E_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 .

Proof. We need three lemmas.

Lemma 6. For $n \geq 4$, we have

$$(8.3) \quad \mu_1(n) \leq 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let, firstly, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Note that $\mu_1(4) = D_4 = 9$. Using (7.1), we find

$$\mu_1(n) \leq \mu_1(4)\mu_1(n-4) \leq \dots \leq (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Let, furthermore, $n \equiv i \pmod{4}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that, by (2.17), $\mu_1(5) \leq 13 < 3^{2.5}$. Therefore, using (7.1), we have

$$\mu_1(n) \leq (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n-5i}{4}} (\mu_1(5))^i < 3^{\frac{n-5i}{2}} 3^{2.5i} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

■

Lemma 7. *Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n$ be a partition of n with the parts not less than 3. If $\lambda_3 \leq l$, and n has the form $n = 3l + 4m$, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(8.4) \quad \prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^l 9^m.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 6, we have

$$\prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^{\lambda_3} \sqrt{3}^{4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n} \leq 6^l \sqrt{3}^{n-\lambda_3} = 6\sqrt{3}^{4m} = 6^l 9^m.$$

■

Lemma 8. *Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n$ and*

$$\lambda_3 \leq \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t, \quad n \geq 4(3t+j),$$

where t is a nonnegative integer and j is the residue of n modulo 3, $j = 0, 1, 2$, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$, we have

$$(8.5) \quad \prod_{i=3}^n (\text{per } A_i)^{\lambda_i} \leq 6^{\frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t} 9^{3t+j}.$$

Proof. Put $l = \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t$, $m = \frac{n-3l}{4} = 3t+j$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 7.

■

It is left to note that, after these lemmas, the proof of Theorem 9 is the same as proof of Theorem 8.

■

Note that the using of this algorithm is based on the small elements of p -spectrum.

Consider, e.g., case $t = 0$, $j = 2$. According to (8.2), we have

$$E_0^{(2)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{6^{\frac{n-2-3i}{3}} y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \geq 81 \cdot 6^{i-2}\} =$$

$$(8.6) \quad \{6^{\frac{n-5}{3}} \text{per} A, \ A \in \Lambda_n^3 : 6 \text{per} A \geq 81\} \cup \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}.$$

Note that, the second set is a simpleton, since, by MCI, $\mu_1(8, 3) \leq (\mu_1(4, 3))^2 = 81$. Since, by (2.17), $M(5) = 13 < \frac{81}{6}$, then the first set in (8.6) is empty. Thus $E_0^{(2)} = E_0^{(2)}(n)$ is simpleton:

$$E_0^{(2)} = \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}$$

and we have

$$M^{(1)}(n) = 81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}, \ n \geq 8,$$

which corresponds to Merriell's result in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Further research of the set (8.2), using (2.17), gives the following results:

1) $j = 0, \ n \geq 24$.

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{9}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= \frac{5}{9} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$. Note that a more detailed analysis shows that after calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ in this case one can obtain the first $12 + |G|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , where $G = ps[\Lambda_9^3] \cap ([69, 116] \setminus \{72, 78, 102, 108\})$.

2) $j = 1, \ n \geq 28$.

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= \frac{3}{2} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{8}{9} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= \frac{31}{36} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{27}{32} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \\ M^{(5)}(n) &= \frac{5}{6} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{13}{15} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(7)}(n) = \frac{169}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting that in this case $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ is not used up to $M^{(7)}$, but the continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_{10}^3]$.

3) $j = 2, \ n \geq 32$.

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(1)}(n) &= \frac{9}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{13}{6} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(3)}(n) &= 2 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{9} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(5)}(n) &= \frac{49}{36} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{4}{3} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \\ M^{(7)}(n) &= \frac{31}{24} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(8)}(n) = \frac{81}{64} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(8.9) \quad M^{(9)}(n) = \frac{5}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(10)}(n) = \frac{11}{9}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(11)}(n) = \frac{39}{32}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}.$$

Note that the method not only gives a possibility to calculate the upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , but also indicates those direct products on which they are attained. E.g., in (8.9) M_9 is attained on direct products of some matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$:

$$A_8 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-8}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_7 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-11}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_4 \otimes A_6 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \dots \otimes A_3}_{\frac{n-14}{3}}.$$

Note also that the comparison of (8.7)-(8.9) with (6.6)-(6.15) shows that the following calculated $M^{(i)}$ are attained in $\hat{\Lambda}_n^3$, $n \geq 32$:

in case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)};$$

in case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(7)}$$

(and is not attained $M^{(2)}$);

in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(7)}, M^{(8)}, M^{(9)}, M^{(11)}$$

(and are not attained $M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(10)}$).

9. ALGORITHM OF A TESTING THE PARITY OF VALUES OF THE PERMANENT IN Λ_n^3

It seems that, among all known methods of calculation of the permanent, only Ryser's method (cf. [11], Ch.7) could be used for a creating an algorithm of a testing the parity of values of the permanent. Let A be $n \times n$ -matrix. Let A_r be a matrix which is obtained by changing some r columns of A by zero columns. Denote $S(A_r)$ the product of row sums of A_r . Then, by Ryser's formula, we have

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{per } A &= \sum S(A_0) - \sum S(A_1) + \\ &\sum S(A_2) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \sum S(A_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let now A have integer elements. Introduce the following matrix function

$$(9.2) \quad \Upsilon(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all row sums of } A \text{ are odd,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (9.1) we have

$$\text{per } A \equiv \sum \Upsilon(A_0) - \sum \Upsilon(A_1) +$$

$$(9.3) \quad \sum \Upsilon(A_2) + \dots + \sum \Upsilon(A_{n-1}) \pmod{2}.$$

Using (9.3), let us create an algorithm of a search of the odd values of the permanent in Λ_n^3 . Since, evidently, $\text{per} A \equiv \det A \pmod{2}$, then A should have pairwise distinct columns. Note that cases $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are considered by the same way. Suppose, say, $n = 3t$. According to (9.3), we are interested in only cases when after removing $r \geq 1$ columns of A , all row sums will be odd. Suppose that after removing r columns of A , we have that p sums remain to equal to 3 and $n - p$ sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to $2(n - p) = 6t - 2p$. Since, removing a column, we remove three 1's, then the number of the removed columns equals to $r = 2t - \frac{2p}{3}$. Thus $p = 3m$ and $r = 2(t - m)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$. However, if $m = t - 1$, then $r = 2$. By the condition, these two columns are distinct, therefore, we conclude that at least one row sum equals to 2. The contradiction shows that the testing sequence is $r = 4, 6, \dots, 2t$. In cases $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$ we obtain the same testing sequence.

Example 7. *Let us check the parities of values of the permanent of circulants in $\Delta_7^3 \subset \Lambda_7^3$.*

In this case $t = \lfloor \frac{7}{3} \rfloor = 2$ and, therefore, the testing sequence contains only term $r = 4$. Note that matrix A_r has all odd rows if and only if one row sum equals to 3 and each of 6 other row sums equals to 1. Indeed, let after the removing 4 columns of A , remain p sums equal to 3 and $7 - p$ sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to $2(7 - p)$ and the number of the removed columns equals to $r = 4 = \frac{14 - 2p}{3}$, i.e., $p = 1$. Moreover, since in a circulant all rows are congruent shifts of the first one, it is sufficient to consider the case when precisely the first row sum equals to 3 and others equal to 1 (the multiplication on 7 does not change the parity of the result). This opens a possibility of a momentary handy test on the parity every circulant of class Δ_7^3 . This test consists of the removing all four columns beginning with 0. If now every rows 2, ..., 7 has one 1, then the permanent is even; otherwise, it is odd. We check now directly that from $\binom{7}{3} = 35$ circulants exactly 21 ones have odd permanent.

Remark 1. *In 1967, Ryser [14] did a conjecture that the number of the transversals of a latin square from elements $1, \dots, n$ (i.e., the number of subsets of its n pairwise distinct elements, none in the same row or column) has the same parity as n . If n is even, then the conjecture has been proved*

by Balasubramanian [1]. Besides, in [1] Balasubramanian did a conjecture for the parity of a sum of permanents, such that the truth of this conjecture yields Ryser's hypothesis for odd n . In the same year (1990), using the result of Example 7, the author disproved Balasubramanian's conjecture (private communication to Brualdi). It is interesting that soon Parker (see [5], p.258) indeed found several latin squares of order 7 with even number of transversals. Add that later ([18]) we found even an infinite set of counterexamples to the Balasubramanian conjecture.

10. OPEN PROBLEMS

1. To prove the MCI (Section 7).
2. (Cf.[17], pp.171-172). Consider class $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$, where $0 \leq a \leq b < \frac{4e-9}{6}$. Since $\Lambda_n(1, 1, 1) = \Lambda_n^3$, then Voorhoeve's lower estimate for the permanent (2.16) trivially holds for matrices in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$. It is clear that, for $a > 0$, $b > 0$, it should exist an essentially stronger lower estimate. However, using Van der Waerden-Egorychev-Falikman theorem to class $\Lambda_n(\frac{1}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+a}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+b}{3+a+b})$ of doubly stochastic matrices, for the permanent of $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$ -matrices we obtain even weaker lower estimate of the order $C_1 \sqrt{n} (\frac{3+a+b}{e})^n << C(\frac{4}{3})^n$. The problem is to find a stronger lower estimate for the permanent in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$.
3. (Cf.[17], pp.115-116). Let M be a circulant of order n with integer elements. We conjecture that, for every integer m , we have $\text{per} M \equiv (-1)^n \text{per}(mJ_n - M) \pmod{n}$, where $n \times n$ -matrix J_n consists of 1's only. A special case of this conjecture, for $m = 1$, $M = I_n + P + \dots + P^{k-1}$ in the equivalent terms was formulated by Yamamoto [27] and proved for $k \leq 3$. The author [15] proved the truth of the conjecture in case $m = 1$ for arbitrary circulant M (including Yamamoto's conjecture for every k). In [17] the conjecture was proved for every m and prime n . The question is open in case of composite n even in case $k = 3$.
4. Two Latin rectangles let us call equivalent, if the sets of their elements in the corresponding columns are the same. Note that numbers $|\Lambda_n^3|$ one can treat as the numbers of equivalence classes of Latin triangles. Let $A = I_n + P + P^2$. In [20] the author proved that the cardinality of the corresponding equivalent class is $2^n + 6 + 2(-1)^n$. To find the cardinality of the equivalent class which is defined by matrix $I_n + P + P^3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Balasubramanian, *On transversals of Latin squares*, Linear Algebra Appl., **131** (1990), 125-129.
- [2] V. I. Bolshakov, *On spectrum of permanent on Λ_n^k* , Proc. of Seminar on Discrete Math. and Appl., MSU (1986), 65-73 (in Russian).

- [3] V. I. Bolshakov, *On upper values of permanent on Λ_n^k* , Combin. Analysis, MSU, **7** (1986), 92-118 (in Russian).
- [4] L. M. Bregman, *Some properties of nonnegative matrices and their permanents*, DAN USSR, **211**, (1973), no.1, 27-30.
- [5] R. Brualdi and H. Ryser, *Combinatorial matrix theory*, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1991.
- [6] H. Gupta, *Enumeration of incongruent cyclic k -gons*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **10** (1979), no.8, 964-999.
- [7] G. P. Egorychev, *The solution of van der Waerden's problem for permanents*, Advance in Math., **42** (1981), 299-305.
- [8] D. I. Falikman, *Proof of the van der Waerden's conjecture on the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Mat. Zametki, **29**, (1981), no.6, 931-938, 957 (in Russian).
- [9] D. Merriell, *The maximum permanent in Λ_n^k* , Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **9** (1980), no.2, 81-91.
- [10] H. Minc, *On permanents of circulants*, Pacific J. Math. **42** (1972), 477-484.
- [11] H. Minc, *Permanents*. Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [12] P. E. O'Neil, *Asymptotics and random matrices with row-sum and column-sum restrictions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 1276-1282.
- [13] J. Riordan, *An introduction to combinatorial analysis*, Wiley, Fourth printing, 1967.
- [14] H. Ryser, *Neuere Probleme in der Kombinatorik*. In: Vortrage uber Komb., Oberwolfach, 1967, 69-91.
- [15] V. S. Shevelev, *On the Yamamoto's conjecture*, Dokl Ukrainian Acad. Sci. **11** (1988), 30-33 (in Russian).
- [16] V. S. Shevelev, *On a method of constructing of rook polynomials and some its applications*, Combin. Analysis, MSU, **8** (1989), 124-138 (in Russian).
- [17] V. S. Shevelev, *Some questions of the theory of permanents of cyclic matrices; Problems 10-12*. In book: Permanents: theory and applications. Collections of papers and problems, edited by G. P. Egorychev. Krasnojarsk, 1990, 109-126; 171-173 (in Russian).
- [18] V. S. Shevelev, *An algorithm for testing the parity of a permanent (or determinant) and counterexamples to a conjecture of K. Balasubramanian*, Dep. VINITI, no.1692-B91, Moscow, 1991 (in Russian).
- [19] V. S. Shevelev, *Reduced Latin rectangles and square matrices with equal row and column sum*, Diskr. Mat., **4** (1992), no.1, 91-110, (in Russian).
- [20] V. S. Shevelev, *An extension of Moser's class of 4-rowed Latin rectangles*, DAN of Ukraine **3** (1992), 15-19 (in Russian).
- [21] V. S. Shevelev, *Some problems of the theory of enumerating the permutations with restricted positions*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Teoriya Veroyatnostei, Matematicheskaya Statistika, Teoreticheskaya Kibernetika **30** (1992), 113-177 (in Russian).
- [22] V. S. Shevelev, *Necklaces and convex k -gons*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **35** (2004), no. 5, 629-638.
- [23] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (<http://oeis.org>).
- [24] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 1, Wadsworth, Inc. California, 1986.
- [25] V. E. Tarakanov, *Combinatorial problems on binary matrices*, Combin. Analysis, MSU, **5** (1989), 4-15 (in Russian).
- [26] M. Voorhoeve, *A lower bound for the permanents of certain (0,1) matrices*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A82=Indag Math., **41** (1979), 83-86.
- [27] K. Yamamoto, *Structure polynomial of Latin rectangles and its application to a combinatorial problem*, Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyusyu University, Series A, **10** (1956), 1-13.