SPECTRUM OF PERMANENT'S VALUES AND ITS EXTREMAL MAGNITUDES IN Λ_n^3 AND $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. Let Λ_n^k denote the class of (0,1) square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. The minimal value of k, for which the behavior of the permanent in Λ_n^k is not quite studied, is k=3. We give a simple algorithm for calculation upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 and consider some extremal problems in a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the matrices of which contain in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and n-3 zeros.

1. Introduction

Let Λ_n^k denote the class of (0,1) square matrices containing in each row and in each column exactly k 1's. If $A \in \Lambda_n^3$, then matrix $k^{-1}A$ is doubly stochastic. Therefore, Λ_n^k -matrices are also called *doubly stochastic* (0,1)-matrices (cf. [11]). Let, furthermore, for a given $\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \gamma$, $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \gamma)$ denote the class of square matrices containing in each row and in each column

Definition 1. We call p-spectrum in Λ_n^k (denoting it $ps[\Lambda_n^k]$) the set of all the values which are taken by the permanent in Λ_n^k .

Note that p-spectrum in Λ_n^1 trivially is $\{1\}$. It is known (cf. Tarakanov [25]) that

$$ps[\Lambda_n^2] = \{2, 2^2, 2^3, ..., 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\}.$$

But, for $k \geq 3$, p-spectrum of Λ_n^k , generally speaking, is unknown. Greenstein (cf. [11], point 8.4, Problem 3) put the problem of describing the p-spectrum in Λ_n^3 . In this paper we find p-spectrum on symmetric matrices in Λ_n^3 with ones on the main diagonal and give an algorithm for calculation upper values of p-spectrum in Λ_n^3 . We also obtain several results for a generalized class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ containing in each row and in each column nonzero elements α, β, γ and n-3 zeros. Some results of the present paper were announced by the author in [21].

2. What is known about Λ_n^3 ?

1) Explicit formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. Stanley [24], Ch.1)

(2.1)
$$|\Lambda_n^3| = 6^{-n} \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n, \ k_i \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^{k_2} n!^2 (k_2 + 3k_3)! 2^{k_1} 3^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! k_3!^2 6^{k_3}}.$$

2) Asymptotic formula for $|\Lambda_n^3|$ (cf. O'Neil [12])

(2.2)
$$|\Lambda_n^3| = \frac{(3n)!}{(36)^n} e^{-2} (1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon})),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n.

In addition, note that $|\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|$ with different α, β, γ is, evidently, the number of 3-rowed Latin rectangles of length n such that

$$(2.3) |\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = n! K_n,$$

where K_n is the number of reduced 3-rowed Latin rectangles with the first row $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. It is known (Riordan [13], pp. 204-210) that

(2.4)
$$K_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} D_{n-k} D_k U_{n-2k},$$

where D_n is subfactorial.

(2.5)
$$D_0 = 1, \ D_n = nD_{n-1} + (-1)^n, \ n \ge 1,$$

 $\{U_n\}$ is sequence of Lucas numbers of the Ménage problem which is defined by Cayley recursion (cf. [13], p. 201)

$$U_0 = 1, \ U_1 = -1, \ U_2 = 0,$$

(2.6)
$$U_n = nU_{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2}U_{n-2} + 4\frac{(-1)^n}{n-2}, \quad n \ge 3$$

(see [23], sequences A102761, A000186).

Denote, furthermore, $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of matrices in Λ_n^3 with 1's on the main diagonal. Note that

$$(2.7) ps[\Lambda_n^3] = ps[\overline{\Lambda}_n^3].$$

Indeed, it is well known that every Λ_n^3 -matrix A has a diagonal of ones (i.e., a set of 1's no two in the same row or column). Let l be such a diagonal. There exists a permutation of rows and columns π such that $\pi(l)$ will be the main diagonal of $\pi(A)$. Nevertheless, $per(\pi(A)) = perA$ and (2.7) follows.

3) A known explicit formula for $|\overline{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19]) has a close structure to (2.4):

(2.8)
$$|\overline{\Lambda}_n^3| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} S_{n-k} S_k U_{n-2k},$$

where sequence $\{S_n\}$ is defined by recursion

(2.9)
$$S_0 = 1, S_1 = 0, S_n = (n-1)(S_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}S_{n-2}), n \ge 2.$$

4) Asymptotic formula for $|\overline{\Lambda}_n^3|$ (Shevelev [19])

(2.10)
$$|\overline{\Lambda}_n^3| = C\sqrt{n}(\frac{n}{e})^{2n}(1 + O(n^{-1+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$C = 2\sqrt{\pi e^{-5}} = 0.29098...$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary small for sufficiently large n.

5) Denote $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the set of symmetric matrices in $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$. P-spectrum on $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ is given by the following theorem (Shevelev [16])

Theorem 1. Let R(n;3) denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to 3. To every partition $r \in R(n;3) : n = n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_m$, m = m(r), put in a correspondence the number

(2.11)
$$H(r) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}\$ is defined by the recursion

$$(2.12) a(3) = 6, \ a(4) = 9, \ a(n) = a(n-1) + a(n-2) - 2, \ n \ge 5.$$

Then we have

(2.13)
$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3] = \{ H(r) : r \in R(n;3) \}.$$

6) The maximal value M(n) of permanent in Λ_n^3 was found by Merriell [9].

Theorem 2. If $n \equiv h \pmod{3}$, h = 0, 1, 2, then

$$(2.14) M(n) = 6^{\frac{n-h}{3}} \lfloor (\frac{3}{2})^h \rfloor.$$

Note that, the case h = 0 of (2.14) easily follows from a general Minc-Bregman inequality for permanent of (0,1)-matrices (see [11], point 6.2, and [4]).

7) Put $M(n) = M^{(1)}(n)$. In case of $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, Bolshakov [3] showed that the second maximal $M^{(2)} < M^{(1)}(n)$ of permanent in Λ_n^3 (such that interval $(M^{(2)}, M^{(1)})$ is free from values of permanent in Λ_n^3) equals to

(2.15)
$$M^{(2)}(n) = \begin{cases} 20, & \text{if } n = 6, \\ 120, & \text{if } n = 9, \\ \frac{9}{16}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text{if } n \ge 12. \end{cases}$$

Note that both $M^{(1)}(n)$ and $M^{(2)}(n)$ are attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ (Shevelev [16]).

8) Denote m(n) the minimal value of permanent in Λ_n^3 . In 1979, Voorhoeve [26] obtain a beautiful lower estimate for m(n):

$$(2.16) m(n) \ge 6(\frac{4}{3})^{n-3}.$$

This estimate remains the best even after proof by Egorychev [7] and Falikman [8] the famous Van der Waerden conjectural lower estimate $perA \ge \frac{n!}{n^n}$ for every $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix A. Indeed, this estimate yields only $m(n) \ge 3^n \frac{n!}{n^n}$, such that (2.16) is stronger for $n \ge 4$.

9) Bolshakov [2] found p-spectrum in Λ_n^3 in cases $n \leq 8$. Namely, he added to the evident p-spectrums $ps[\Lambda_3^3] = \{6\}$ and $ps[\Lambda_4^3] = \{9\}$ also the following p-spectrums

$$ps[\Lambda_5^3] = \{12, 13\}, ps[\Lambda_6^3] = \{17, 18, 20, 36\},$$

$$(2.17) ps[\Lambda_7^3] = \{24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 54\},$$
$$ps[\Lambda_8^3] = \{33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48, 49, 52, 72, 78, 81\}.$$

3. A GENERALIZATION OF THEOREM 1 ON MATRICES OF CLASS $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ WITH SYMMETRIC POSITIONS OF ELEMENTS

Denote $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices in $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with β 's on the main diagonal. It is clear that, together with (2.3),

$$(3.1) |\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)| = K_n.$$

Note that, as for sets Λ_n^3 , $\overline{\Lambda}_n^3$, we have

$$(3.2) ps[\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] = ps[\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)].$$

Denote, furthermore, $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the set of matrices $M = \{m_{i,j}\}$ in $\overline{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with symmetric positions of elements: $m_{i,j} = \alpha$ if and only if $m_{j,i} = \gamma$.

P-spectrum on $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3. If to every partition $r \in R(n;3)$: $n = n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_m$, m = m(r), corresponds the number

(3.3)
$$H_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(r) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} a(n_i),$$

where sequence $\{a(n) = a(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; n\}$ is defined by the recursion

$$a(3) = \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 + 3\alpha\beta\gamma,$$

$$a(4) = \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha\beta^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2,$$

$$a(n) = \beta a(n-1) + \alpha\gamma a(n-2) + \beta a(n-2) +$$

(3.4)
$$\alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{n-1}(\gamma - \beta - \alpha), \quad n \ge 5.$$

Then we have

(3.5)
$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)] = \{H_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(r) : r \in R(n;3)\}.$$

Proof. Let S_n be the symmetric permutation group of elements 1, ..., n. Two positions $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2)$ are called *independent* if $i_k \neq j_k$, k=1,2. We shall say that in the $n \times n$ matrix $M = \{m_{ij}\}$ a weight m_{ij} is appropriated to the position (i, j). Let $s \in S_n$ has not any cycle of length less than n. Consider a map

$$\sigma: (i,j) \mapsto (s^i(1), s^j(1)),$$

appropriating to the position $(s^i(1), s^j(1))$ the weight m_{ij} .

Lemma 1. 1) the map σ is bijective; 2) if E is a set of pairwise independent positions, then $\sigma(E)$ is also a set of pairwise independent positions.

Proof. a) Consider two distinct positions

$$(3.6)$$
 $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2),$

such that, at least, one of two inequalities holds

$$(3.7) i_1 \neq i_2, \ j_1 \neq j_2.$$

Let $i_1 \neq i_2$ such that, say, $i_1 > i_2$. Show that $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$. Indeed, if to suppose that $s^{i_1}(1) = s^{i_2}(1)$, then $s^{i_1-i_2} = 1$, i.e., s has a cycle of length $i_1 - i_2 < n$ in spite of the condition. Conversely, if $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, then $i_1 \neq i_2$, since s^{-1} has not any cycle of length less than n as well.

b) Let positions (3.6) be independent. The both of inequalities (3.7) hold and, as in a), we have $s^{i_1}(1) \neq s^{i_2}(1)$, $s^{j_1}(1) \neq s^{j_2}(1)$, i.e. the positions $\sigma((i_1, j_1)), \sigma((i_1, j_1))$ are independent as well.

Lemma 2. Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n. Then (0,1)-matrix S having 1's on only positions

$$(s^1(1),s^2(1)),(s^2(1),s^3(1)),...,(s^{n-1}(1),s^n(1)),(s^n(1),s^1(1))$$

is a incidence matrix of s.

Proof. Since s has not cycles of length less than n, then $\{s^1(1), ..., s^n(1)\}$ is a permutation of numbers $\{1, ..., n\}$. Thus the set of positions of 1's of matrix S coincides with the set of 1's of the incidence matrix of s: (1, s(1)), ..., (n, s(n)).

Let $P = P_n$ be (0,1)-matrix with 1's on positions (1,2), (2,3), ..., (n-1,n), (n,1) only.

Lemma 3. Let $s \in S_n$ have not any cycle of length less than n. If S and S^{-1} are the incidence matrices of s and s^{-1} , then we have

(3.8)
$$\sigma^{-1}(S) = P, \ \sigma^{-1}(S^{-1}) = P^{-1}.$$

Proof. Both of formulas follows from Lemma 2.

Noting that $\sigma(I) = I$, where I is the identity matrix, we conclude that

(3.9)
$$S^{-1} + I + S = \sigma(P^{-1} + I + P).$$

Moreover, since, by the bijection σ , to every diagonal (i.e., to every set of n pairwise independent positions) of the matrix $\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S$ corresponds one and only one diagonal of the matrix $\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P$ with the same products of weights, then we have

$$(3.10) per(\alpha S^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S) = per(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma P).$$

Note that from the definition it follows that, for every matrix $M \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have a representation

$$(3.11) M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S,$$

where S is the incidence matrix of a substitution s. In case when s has not any cycle of length less than n, the matrix M is completely indecomposable matrix in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Thus, by (3.10), all completely indecomposable matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ have the same permanent, equals to $per(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma P)$.

In general, a substitution s with the incidence matrix S in (3.11) cannot have cycles of length less than 3. Indeed, if for some i, we have either s(i) = i or s(s(i)) = i, then in both cases $s(i) = s^{-1}(i)$ which means coincidence of positions 1's of the matrices S and S^{-1} in the i-th row.

Let $s \in S_n$ be an arbitrary substitution with cycles of length more than 2. Let

$$(3.12) s = \prod_{j=1}^{r} s_j,$$

where $s_j \in S_{l_j}$, $l_j \geq 3$, $\sum_{j=1}^r l_j = n$, be the decomposition of s in a product of cycles. Then the matrix $M = \alpha S^{-1} + \beta I_n + \gamma S$ is a direct sum of the matrices $M_j = \alpha S_{l_j}^{-1} + \beta I + \gamma S_{l_j}$ such that, by (3.10), $per M_j = per(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P)$ and we have

(3.13)
$$perM = \prod_{j=1}^{r} perM_{j} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} per(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_{j}} + \gamma P).$$

It is left to notice that Minc [10] found a recursion (3.4) for $per(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$ and, as well known, the multiplication an $n \times n$ matrix by P^{-1} does not change its permanent.

Therefore, $per(\alpha P^{-1} + \beta I_{l_j} + \gamma P) = per(\alpha I_n + \beta P + \gamma P^2)$.

Example 1. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1,3,2)]$.

We have the following partitions of 11 with the parts not less than 3:

$$11 = 8 + 3 = 7 + 4 = 6 + 5 = 3 + 4 + 4 = 3 + 3 + 5.$$

According to (3.4), for a(n) = a(-1, 3, 2; n), we have a(3) = 16, a(4) = 34 and for $n \ge 5$,

$$a(n) = 3a(n-1) - 2a(n-2) + 6(-1)^{n}.$$

Using induction, we find

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2^{n+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{n+1} + 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_{11}(-1,3,2)] =$$

$$\{a(11), a(3)a(8), a(5)a(6), a^2(3)a(5), a(3)a^2(4)\} = \{4096, 8224, 8320, 8704, 16384, 18496\}.$$

In the following examples we calculate p-spectrum for arbitrary n.

Example 2. Let us find $ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1,2,1)]$.

By induction, for a(n) = a(-1, 2, 1; n), we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & if \ n \ is \ odd, \\ 4, & if \ n \ is \ even. \end{cases}$$

Further, again using induction, one can find that, if n is even, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1,2,1)] = \{4, 4^2, ..., 4^{\lfloor (\frac{n}{4}) \rfloor}\}$$

and, if n is odd, then

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1,2,1)] = \{2, 2 \cdot 4, 2 \cdot 4^2, ..., 2 \cdot 4^{\lfloor (\frac{n-3}{4}) \rfloor}\}.$$

Example 3. Analogously, in case of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1,1,1)$, for a(n)=a(-1,1,1;n), we have

$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 4, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ -2, & if \ n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

and

$$ps[\widehat{\Lambda}_n(-1,1,1)] = \begin{cases} \{1, -2, 4, ..., (-2)^{\lfloor (\frac{n-3}{3}) \rfloor}\}, & if \ n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \{1, -2, 4, ..., (-2)^{\lfloor (\frac{n-6}{3}) \rfloor}, \ (-2)^{\lfloor (\frac{n}{3}) \rfloor}\}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

It is interesting that, in case of n multiple of 3, the permanent omits the value $(-2)^{\lfloor (\frac{n-3}{3})\rfloor}$.

4. Merriell type theorems in a subclasses of $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Note that in class $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the Minc-Bregman inequality and the Merriell theorem, generally speaking, do not hold even for positive α, β, γ . Nevertheles, some restrictions on α, β, γ allow to prove some analogs of the Merriell theorem. Recall that M(n) (2.14) is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. Denote $M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the maximal value of permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 4. Consider a class $\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with the numbers α, β, γ satisfying "triangle inequalities"

$$(4.1) 0 \le \alpha \le \beta + \gamma, \ 0 \le \gamma \le \alpha + \beta,$$

and the following additional conditions

(4.2)
$$a^3(4) \le a^4(3), \ \alpha \gamma + \beta(a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} \le (a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

where sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is defined by recursion (3.4). Then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.3) M_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Proof. Note that conditions (4.1)-(4.2) are satisfied, e.g., in case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. Using induction, let us prove that

$$(4.4) a(n) \le (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

Indeed, for n = 3, this inequality is trivial, while, for n = 4, it follows from the first condition (4.2). Let it hold for $n \le m-1$. Then, according to (3.4), we have

$$a(m) = \beta a(m-1) + \alpha \gamma a(m-2) + \alpha^{m-1}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) + \gamma^{m-1}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) \le \beta (a(3))^{\frac{m-1}{3}} + \alpha \gamma (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}} (\beta (a(3))^{\frac{1}{3}} + \alpha \gamma) \le (a(3))^{\frac{m-2}{3}} (a(3))^{\frac{2}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{m}{3}}.$$

Note that, according Theorem 3, the equality in (4.4) holds in a direct sum of (3×3) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition n = 3 + 3 + ... + 3. Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than $3, n = n_1 + ... + n_m$, such that

$$perA = \prod_{i=3}^{m} a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.4), we have

$$per A \le \prod_{i=3}^{m} a(3)^{\frac{n_i}{3}} = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

This proves (4.3).

Example 4. Consider case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$.

Let us find the values of α , depending on the magnitude of γ , for which the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. According to (3.4), we have

(4.5)
$$a(3) = \alpha^{3} + (\gamma - \alpha)^{3} + \gamma^{3} + 3\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma = 2\gamma^{3},$$

$$(4.6) \quad a(4) = \alpha^4 + (\gamma - \alpha)^4 + \gamma^4 + 4\alpha(\gamma - \alpha)^2\gamma + 2(\alpha\gamma)^2 = 2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4).$$

Thus the condition $a^3(4) \le a^4(3)$ means that $\alpha^4 + \gamma^4 \le 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma^4$, or

$$(4.7) 0 \le \alpha \le (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}} \gamma = 0.7140199...\gamma.$$

and it is easy to verify that the second condition in (4.2) is satisfied as well. As a collorary, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. If (4.7) holds, then, for n multiple of 3, we have

$$(4.8) M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = 2^{\frac{n}{3}} \gamma^n.$$

Simple forms of sequence $\{a(n)\}$ in Examples 1-2 allow to suppose that in case $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$ (or symmetrical case $\beta = \alpha - \gamma$) sequence $\{a(n)\}$ keeps a sufficiently simple form. We find this form in the following lemma.

Lemma 4. If $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$, then sequence $\{a(n)\}$ which is defined by recursion (3.4) has the form

(4.9)
$$a(n) = \begin{cases} 2\gamma^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using induction with the base (4.5) -(4.6), suppose that (4.9) holds for $m \le n$. Then, by (3.4), for even n, we have

$$a(n+1) = (\gamma - \alpha)a(n) + \alpha\gamma a(n-1) + 2\alpha^{n}(\alpha - \gamma) =$$

$$2(\gamma - \alpha)(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) + 2\alpha\gamma^n + 2\alpha^n(\alpha - \gamma) = 2\gamma^{n+1},$$

while, if n is odd, then we have

$$a(n+1) = 2(\gamma - \alpha)\gamma^{n} +$$

$$2\alpha\gamma(\alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^{n-1}) + 2\alpha^{n}(\alpha - \gamma) = 2(\alpha^{n+1} + \gamma^{n+1}).$$

Let now

(4.10)
$$\alpha \ge (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}} \gamma = 0.7140199...\gamma.$$

Theorem 6. If (4.11) holds, then, for n multiple of 4, we have

$$(4.11) M_n(\alpha, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma) = (2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

Proof. From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.10) we conclude that

$$(4.12) a(3) \le (a(4))^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Let us show that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$(4.13) a(n) \le (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}.$$

For n = 4, inequality (4.13) is trivial. For $n \ge 5$, we have

$$\alpha^n + \gamma^n = \alpha^n \left(1 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^n\right) \le \alpha^n \left(1 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^4\right)^{\frac{n}{4}} \le \left(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4\right)^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

and thus, using Lemma 4, we have

$$a(n) \le 2(\alpha^n + \gamma^n) < 2^{\frac{n}{4}}(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4)^{\frac{n}{4}} = (a(4))^{\frac{n}{4}}, \ n \ge 3.$$

Let now $A \in \widehat{\Lambda}_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By Theorem 3, there exists a partition of n with the parts not less than 3, $n = n_1 + ... + n_m$, such that

$$perA = \prod_{i=3}^{m} a(n_i)$$

and, in view of (4.13), we have

$$perA \le \prod_{i=3}^{m} a(4)^{\frac{n_i}{4}} = (a(3))^{\frac{n}{4}}$$

with the equality in a direct sum of (4×4) -matrices of $\widehat{\Lambda}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which corresponds to the partition n = 4 + 4 + ... + 4.

Note that, if $\alpha \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\gamma$, then in Theorem 5 we have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition n = 3 + 3 + ... + 3; in Theorem 6 we also have only maximizing matrix (up to a permutation of the rows and columns) which corresponds to the partition n = 4 + 4 + ... + 4. Note that only in case of the equality

 $\alpha = \theta \gamma$, where $\theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ the both of Theorems 5-6 are true for every n multiple of 12 with the equality of the maximums: $(2(\alpha^4 + \gamma^4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 2^{\frac{n}{3}}\gamma^n$. Thus, up to a positive factor γ , the class

(4.14)
$$\widehat{\Lambda}_n(\theta, 1 - \theta, 1), \ \theta = (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

possesses an interesting extremal property: it contains $\frac{n}{12} + 1$ maximizing matrices (up to a permutation of the rows and columns), instead of only minimazing matrix, if $\theta \neq (2^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Indeed, the number of the maximizing matrices (up to a permutation rows and columns), is defined by the number of the following partitions of $n \equiv \pmod{12}$:

$$n = 3 + 3 + \dots + 3, \quad n = (4 + 4 + 4) + (3 + \dots + 3), \dots,$$

$$n = \underbrace{4 + \dots + 4}_{3i} + \underbrace{3 + \dots + 3}_{n-12i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{12}.$$

5. Estimate of Cardinality of P-spectrum on circulants in Λ_n^3 and $\Lambda_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Denote $\Delta_n^3 \subset \Lambda_n^3$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^3 . Note that a circulant $A \in \Delta_n^3$ has a form $A = P^i + P^j + P^k$, $0 \le i < j < k \le n$, where $P = P_n$ is (0,1)-matrix with 1's on positions (1,2),(2,3),...,(n-1,n),(n,1) only. Multiplicating A by P^{-i} we obtain circulant B of the form

$$B = I_n + P^r + P^s$$

with perB = perA. Since B is defined by a choice two different values $0 < r < k \le n$, then trivially

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \le \binom{n}{2} < \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

Now we prove essentially more exact and practically unimprovable estimate.

Theorem 7. We have

$$(5.1) ps[\Delta_n^3] \le \lfloor \frac{n^2 + 3}{12} \rfloor.$$

Proof. Let us back to the general form

$$A = P^i + P^j + P^k, \ 0 \le i \le j \le k \le n.$$

Note that, A is defined by a choice of a vector (i, j, k), but its rotation, i.e., a passage to a vector of the form $(i+l, j+l, k+l) \pmod{n}$, does not change the magnitude of perA. Indeed it corresponds to the multiplication A by P^l , and our statement follows from the equality $per(P^lA) = perA$. Besides,

its reflection relatively some diameter of the imaginary circumference of the rotation, by the symmetry, keeps magnitude of the permanent. Since geometrically three points on the imaginary circumference define a triangle, then our problem reduces to a triangle case of the following general problem, posed by Professor Richard H. Reis (South-East University of Massachusetts, USA) in a private communication to Hansraj Gupta in 1978):

"Let a circumference is split by the same n parts. It is required to find the number R(n,k) of the incongruent convex k-gons, which could be obtaind by connection of some k from n dividing points. Two k-gons are considered congruent if they are coincided at the rotation of one relatively other along the circumference and (or) by reflection of one of the k-gons relatively some diameter."

In 1979, Gupta [6] gave a solution of the Reis problem in the form (for a short solution, see author's paper [22]):

(5.2)
$$R(n,k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\lfloor \left(\frac{n-h_k}{2} \right) \rfloor}{\lfloor \left(\frac{k}{2} \right) \rfloor} \right) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(k,n)}} \varphi(d) \left(\frac{\frac{n}{d} - 1}{\frac{k}{d} - 1} \right) \right).$$

If to denote $\Delta_n^k \subset \Lambda_n^3$ the set of the circulants in Λ_n^k , then from our arguments it follows that

$$(5.3) ps[\Delta_n^k] \le R(n,k).$$

In case k = 3, from (5.2)-(5.3) we find

$$ps[\Delta_n^3] \le \begin{cases} \frac{n^2}{12}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-1}{12}, & if \ n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2-4}{12}, & if \ n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{n^2+3}{12}, & if \ n \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \end{cases}$$

and (5.1) follows.

Example 5. In case n = 5 we have only two incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_5 + P + P^2$ and $I_5 + P + P^3$.

Nevertheless, the calculations give $per(I_5 + P + P^2) = per(I_5 + P + P^3) = 13$. Thus $ps[\Delta_5^3] = \{13\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_5^3]| = 1$.

Example 6. In case n = 6 we have three incongruent triangles corresponding to circulants $I_6 + P + P^2$, $I_6 + P + P^3$ and $I_6 + P^2 + P^4$.

The calculations give $per(I_6 + P + P^2) = 20$, $per(I_6 + P + P^3) = 17$, while $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = 36$. Thus $ps[\Delta_6^3] = \{17, 20, 36\}$, and $|ps[\Delta_6^3]| = 3$. Note that a respectively large magnitude of $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4)$ is explained

by its decomposability in a direct product of circulants $(I_3 + P + P^2) \otimes (I_3 + P + P^2)$, such that $per(I_6 + P^2 + P^4) = (per(I_3 + P + P^2))^2 = 6^2 = 36$.

6. Algorithm of calculations of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$

Theorem 1 allows, using some additional arguments, to give an algorithm of calculations of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$. In connection with this, we need an important lemma for numbers (2.12).

Lemma 5. For $n_1, n_2 \geq 3$, we have

(6.1)
$$a(n_1 + n_2) \le a(n_1)a(n_2), n_1, n_2 \ge 3.$$

Proof. By usual way, from (2.12) we find

(6.2)
$$a(n) = \varphi^n + 2 + (-1)^n \varphi^{-n},$$

where $\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ is the golden ratio.

Denote $\varepsilon(n) = (-1)^n \varphi^{-n}$. Since $n \geq 3$, then $|\varepsilon(n)| < 0.24$, and, consequently, if $n = n_1 + n_2$, then $(2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(2 + \varepsilon(n_2) > 1.76^2 > 3$. Therefore, we have

$$a(n_1)a(n_2) = (\varphi^{n_1} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1))(\varphi^{n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_2)) >$$

(6.3)
$$\varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 3 > \varphi^{n_1+n_2} + 2 + \varepsilon(n_1+n_2) = a(n_1+n_2).$$

Note that, actually, the difference between the hand sides of (6.3) more than $1.76(\varphi^{n_1} + \varphi^{n_2})$.

Let now $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, j = 0, 1, 2, and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence sets

(6.4)
$$H_{m;3}(r) = \{ \Pi_{r_i \in r} a_{r_i} \}; \ H_{m;4}(\rho) = \{ \Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} a_{\rho_i} \}$$

In case m = 3, when $\rho = \emptyset$, let us agree that $H_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$. Consider now the set $L_t^{(j)} = L_t^{(j)}(n)$:

(6.5)
$$L_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{ 6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}} y : y \in H_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \ge 9^{3t+j} 6^{i-4t-j} \}.$$

Theorem 8. (algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$). If $n \geq 4(3t+j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $L_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|L_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.

Proof. Note that the proof is the same for every value of j. Therefore, let us consider, say, j=0. From (6.1) it follows that, if $r \in R(n;3)$ contains λ_3 parts 3 and $\lambda_3 \leq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, then, for $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$, we have

$$6^{\lambda_3}y \le 6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t}9^{3t}.$$

This means that for the formation the list of all upper magnitudes of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ in the condition $n \geq 12t$, which are bounded from below by $6^{\frac{n}{3}-4t}9^{3t}$, it is sufficient to consider only a part of the spectrum containing numbers $\{6^{\lambda_3}y\}$, where $y \in H_{n-3\lambda_3;4}(\rho)$ with the opposite condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3}-4t$. From the equality $3\lambda_3 + ... + n\lambda_n = n$ with the condition $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3}-4t$, we have

$$4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n \le n - 3(\frac{n}{3} - 4t) = 12t.$$

Since 12t does not depend on n, there is only a finite assembly of such partition for arbitrary n. This ensures a possibility of the realization of the algorithm.

For the considered $r \in R(n;3)$, for $\lambda_3 \geq \frac{n}{3} - 4t$, we have $H_{n;3}(r) = 6^{\frac{n-m}{3}}$, where $y \in H_{m;4}(\rho)$, and m has the form m = 3i, $1 \leq i \leq 4t$. Thus we should choose only $H_{n;3}(r) \geq 6^{\frac{n}{3} - 4t} 9^{3t}$, and this yields

$$y \ge 9^{3t} 6^{\frac{n}{3} - 4t} = 9^{3t} 6^{i - 4t}, \ i = 1, 2, ..., 4t.$$

In order to use Theorem 8 for calculation the upper magnitudes $M^{(1)}(n) > M^{(2)}(n) > \dots$ of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$, in case, say, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

- 1) we write a list of partition of numbers 3i, i = 2, 3, ..., 4t with the parts not less than 4.
- 2) The corresponding values of y we compare with $9^{3t}6^{i-4t}$ and keep only $y \ge 9^{3t}6^{i-4t}$.
- 3) After that we regulate over decrease numbers $\{y6^{\frac{n}{3}-i}\}$. Below we give the first 10 upper magnitudes $\widehat{M}^{(1)} > \widehat{M}^{(2)} > ... > \widehat{M}^{(10)}$, of the permanent in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ for $n \geq 24$, via numbers $\{a(n)\}$ (2.12).

(6.6)
$$\widehat{M}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} a(3)^{\frac{n}{3}} = 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(3)^{\frac{n-4}{3}} = \frac{3}{2}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^{2}a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{9}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Formula (6.6) shows that $M^{(1)}(n)$ is attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$.

(6.7)
$$\widehat{M}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3 a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{9}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-7}{3}} = \frac{31}{36} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-5}{3}} = \frac{13}{6} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.8)
$$\widehat{M}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-6}{3}} = \frac{5}{9}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^4a(3)^{\frac{n-16}{3}} = \frac{27}{32}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(8)a(3)^{\frac{n-8}{3}} = \frac{49}{36}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.9)
$$\widehat{M}^{(4)} = \begin{cases} a(4)a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{24}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{5}{6}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{31}{24}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.10)
$$\widehat{M}^{(5)} = \begin{cases} a(9)a(3)^{\frac{n-9}{3}} = \frac{13}{36}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^{2}a(5)a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{16}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^{5}a(3)^{\frac{n-20}{3}} = \frac{81}{64}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.11)
$$\widehat{M}^{(6)} = \begin{cases} a(4)a(8)a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{49}{144}6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2)a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{169}{216}6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^2a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{5}{4}6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.12)
$$\widehat{M}^{(7)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^2 a(7) a(3)^{\frac{n-15}{3}} = \frac{31}{96} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(10) a(3)^{\frac{n-10}{3}} = \frac{125}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3 a(5) a(3)^{\frac{n-17}{3}} = \frac{39}{32} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

(6.13)
$$\widehat{M}^{(8)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^6 a(3)^{\frac{n-24}{3}} = \frac{81}{256} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)a(9)a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)a(6)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{65}{54} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{M}^{(9)} = \begin{cases} a(4)^3 a(6) a(3)^{\frac{n-18}{3}} = \frac{5}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5) a(8) a(3)^{\frac{n-13}{3}} = \frac{637}{1296} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(5)^2 a(4) a(3)^{\frac{n-14}{3}} = \frac{169}{144} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{M}^{(10)} = \begin{cases} a(5)a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-12}{3}} = \frac{403}{1296} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ a(4)^3 a(7)a(3)^{\frac{n-19}{3}} = \frac{31}{64} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a(11)a(3)^{\frac{n-11}{3}} = \frac{67}{72} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, & if \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

7. Main conjectural inequality for maximum of permanent in completely indecomposable Λ_n^3 -matrices

Denote $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$ the set of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_n^3 , i. e., the set of Λ_n^3 -matrices containing no Λ_m^3 -submatrices. Let $\mu_1(n)$ denote the maximum of permanent in $\Lambda_{n,1}^3$. Our very plausible conjecture which we call "main conjectural inequality (MCI)" is the following.

Conjecture 1. (Cf. [21], pp. 165-166) For
$$n_1, n_2 \ge 3$$
, we have (7.1)
$$\mu_1(n_1 + n_2) \le \mu_1(n_1)\mu_1(n_2).$$

In Lemma 5 we essentially proved that in subclass $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$ the MCI is valid.

Besides, in all known cases MCI holds. Moreover, as we shall see, our algorithm of calculation the consecutive upper magnitudes ($M=M_1>M_2>\dots$) of permanent in Λ_n^3 , which is based on MCI, reproduces all Merriell's and Bolshakov's results for M_1 and M_2 . Note also that, for sufficiently large n, the number of consecutive upper magnitudes of permanent in Λ_n^3 grows very quickly with every step of extension of the list of known p-specrums for small n. E.g., using the found by Bolshakov $ps[\Lambda_i^3]$, $i \leq 8$, we obtain, for sufficiently large n, 4, 7 and 11 upper values of $ps[\Lambda_n^3]$ in cases n=3k, 2k+1 and 3k+2 correspondingly. After calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$, the number of upper values of, e.g., $ps[\Lambda_{3k}^3]$ increases more than thrice.

8. Algorithm of calculations of upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ^3_n based on MCI

Let $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, j = 0, 1, 2, and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $R(m; \nu)$ denote the set of all partitions of n with parts more than or equal to ν . For us an important role play cases $\nu = 3, 4$. To $r \in R(m; 3)$, $\rho \in R(m; 4)$ put in a correspondence sets

(8.1)
$$\pi_{m;3}(r) = \{ \Pi_{r_i \in r} x_{r_i} \}; \ \pi_{m;4}(\rho) = \{ \Pi_{\rho_i \in \rho} x_{\rho_i} \}$$

where x_s runs through all values of permanent in set $\Lambda_{s,1}^3$ of completely indecomposable matrices in Λ_s^3 (in case m=3, when $\rho=\varnothing$, let us agree that $\pi_{3;4}$ is a singleton $\{6\}$).

Consider now the set $E_t^{(j)} = E_t^{(j)}(n)$:

(8.2)
$$E_t^{(j)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4t+j} \{6^{\frac{n-j-3i}{3}}y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \ge 9^{3t+j}6^{i-4t-j}\}.$$

Theorem 9. (algorithm of calculation of upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3). If $n \geq 4(3t+j)$, then the ordered over decrease set $E_t^{(j)}$ gives the $|E_t^{(j)}|$ upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 .

Proof. We need three lemmas.

Lemma 6. For $n \geq 4$, we have

$$\mu_1(n) \le 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let, firstly, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Note that $\mu_1(4) = D_4 = 9$. Using (7.1), we find

$$\mu_1(n) \le \mu_1(4)\mu_1(n-4) \le \dots \le (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n}{4}} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Let, furthermore, $n \equiv i \pmod{4}$, i = 1, 2, 3. Note that, by (2.17), $\mu_1(5) \le 13 < 3^{2.5}$. Therefore, using (7.1), we have

$$\mu_1(n) \le (\mu_1(4))^{\frac{n-5i}{4}} (\mu_1(5))^i < 3^{\frac{n-5i}{2}} 3^{2.5i} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Lemma 7. Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + ... + n\lambda_n$ be a partition of n with the parts not less than 3. If $\lambda_3 \leq l$, and n has the form n = 3l + 4m, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, i = 3, 4, ..., n, we have

(8.4)
$$\prod_{i=3}^{n} (per A_i)^{\lambda_i} \le 6^l 9^m.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 6, we have

$$\prod_{i=3}^{n} (per A_i)^{\lambda_i} \le 6^{\lambda_3} \sqrt{3}^{4\lambda_4 + \dots + n\lambda_n} \le 6^l \sqrt{3}^{n-\lambda_3} = 6\sqrt{3}^{4m} = 6^l 9^m.$$

Lemma 8. Let $n = 3\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + ... + n\lambda_n$ and

$$\lambda_3 \le \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t, \ n \ge 4(3t+j),$$

where t is a nonnegative integer and j is the residue of n modulo 3, j = 0, 1, 2, then, for completely indecomposable matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$, i = 3, 4, ..., n, we have

(8.5)
$$\prod_{i=3}^{n} (perA_i)^{\lambda_i} \le 6^{\frac{n-4j}{3}-4t} 9^{3t+j}.$$

Proof. Put $l = \frac{n-4j}{3} - 4t$, $m = \frac{n-3l}{4} = 3t + j$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 7.

It is left to note that after these lemmas proof of Theorem 9 is the same as proof of Theorem 8.

Note that the using of this algorithm is based on the small elements of p-spectrum.

Consider, e.g., case t = 0, j = 2. According to (8.2), we have

$$E_0^{(2)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \{ 6^{\frac{n-2-3i}{3}} y : y \in \pi_{3i+j;4}(\rho), y \ge 81 \cdot 6^{i-2} \} =$$

$$\{6^{\frac{n-5}{3}}perA, \ A \in \Lambda_5^3 : 6perA \ge 81\} \cup \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}.$$

Note that, the second set is a simpleton, since, by MCI, $\mu_1(8,3) \leq (\mu_1(4,3))^2 = 81$. Since, by (2.17), $M(5) = 13 < \frac{81}{6}$, then the first set in (8.6) is empty. Thus $E_0^{(2)} = E_0^{(2)}(n)$ is simpleton:

$$E_0^{(2)} = \{81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}\}$$

and we have

$$M^{(1)}(n) = 81 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-8}{3}}, \ n \ge 8,$$

which corresponds to Merriell's result in case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Further research of the set (8.2), using (2.17), gives the following results: 1) $j = 0, n \ge 24$.

$$M^{(1)}(n) = 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{9}{16} 6^{\frac{n}{3}},$$

$$M^{(3)}(n) = \frac{5}{9} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{24} 6^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$
(8.7)

The continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$. Note that a more detailed analysis shows that after calculation $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ in this case one can obtain the first 12 + |G| upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , where $G = ps[\Lambda_9^3] \cap ([69, 116] \setminus \{72, 78, 102, 108\})$.

2)
$$j = 1, n \ge 28.$$

$$M^{(1)}(n) = \frac{3}{2} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{8}{9} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}},$$

$$M^{(3)}(n) = \frac{31}{36} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{27}{32} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}},$$

$$M^{(5)}(n) = \frac{5}{6} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{13}{15} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}, \quad M^{(7)}(n) = \frac{169}{216} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}.$$

It is interesting that in this case $ps[\Lambda_9^3]$ is not used up to $M^{(7)}$, but the continuation of this list requires the knowing of $ps[\Lambda_{10}^3]$.

3)
$$j = 2, n \ge 32.$$

$$M^{(1)}(n) = \frac{9}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(2)}(n) = \frac{13}{6} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}},$$

$$M^{(3)}(n) = 2 \cdot 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(4)}(n) = \frac{13}{9} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}},$$

$$M^{(5)}(n) = \frac{49}{36} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(6)}(n) = \frac{4}{3} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}},$$

$$M^{(7)}(n) = \frac{31}{24} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, \quad M^{(8)}(n) = \frac{81}{64} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}},$$

$$(8.9) M^{(9)}(n) = \frac{5}{4} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, M^{(10)}(n) = \frac{11}{9} 6^{\frac{n-2}{3}}, M^{(11)}(n) = \frac{39}{32} 6^{\frac{n-1}{3}}.$$

Note that the method not only gives a possibility to calculate the upper magnitudes of the permanent in Λ_n^3 , but also shows those direct products

on which they are attained. E.g., in (8.9) M_9 is attained on direct products of some matrices $A_i \in \Lambda_i^3$:

$$A_8 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_3}_{\stackrel{n-1}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_7 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_3}_{\stackrel{n-11}{3}}; \quad A_4 \otimes A_4 \otimes A_6 \otimes \underbrace{A_3 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_3}_{\stackrel{n-14}{3}}.$$

Note also that the comparison of (8.7)-(8.9) with (6.6)-(6.15) shows that the following calculated $M^{(i)}$ are attained in $\widehat{\Lambda}_n^3$, $n \geq 32$: in case $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)};$$

in case $n \equiv 1 \mod 3$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(7)}$$

(and is not attained $M^{(2)}$);

in case $n \equiv 2 \mod 3$,

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(5)}, M^{(7)}, M^{(8)}, M^{(9)}, M^{(11)}$$

(and are not attained $M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(6)}, M^{(10)}$).

9. Algorithm of a testing the parity of values of the permanent in Λ_n^3

It seems that among all known methods of calculation of the permanent only Ryser's method (cf. [11], Ch.7) could be used for a creating an algorithm of a testing the parity of values of the permanent. Let A be $n \times n$ -matrix. Let A_r be a matrix which is obtained by changing some r columns of A by zero columns. Denote $S(A_r)$ the product of row sums of A_r . Then, by Ryser's formula, we have

$$perA = \sum S(A_0) - \sum S(A_1) +$$

(9.1)
$$\sum S(A_2) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \sum S(A_{n-1}).$$

Let now A have integer elements. Introduce the following matrix function

(9.2)
$$\Upsilon(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all row sums of } A \text{ are odd,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (9.1) we have

$$per A \equiv \sum \Upsilon(A_0) - \sum \Upsilon(A_1) +$$

(9.3)
$$\sum \Upsilon(A_2) + \dots + \sum \Upsilon(A_{n-1}) \pmod{2}.$$

Using (9.3), let us create an algorithm of a search of the odd values of the permanent in Λ_n^3 . Since, evidently, $perA \equiv detA \pmod{2}$, then A should have pairwise distinct columns. Note that cases $n \equiv j \pmod{3}$, j = 0, 1, 2,

are considered by the same way. Suppose, say, n=3t. According to (9.3), we are interested in only cases when after removing $r \ge 1$ columns of A, all row sums will be odd. Suppose that after removing r columns of A, we have that p sums remain to equal to 3 and n-p sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to 2(n-p)=6t-2p. Since, removing a column, we remove three 1's, then the number of the removed columns equals to $r=2t-\frac{2p}{3}$. Thus p=3m and r=2(t-m), m=0,1,...,t-1. However, if m=t-1, then r=2. By the condition, these two columns are distinct, therefore, we conclude that at least one row sum equals to 2. The contradiction shows that the testing sequence is r=4,6,...,2t. In cases $n\equiv 1,2\pmod{3}$ we obtain the same testing sequence.

Example 7. Let us check the parities of values of the permanent of circulants in $\Delta_7^3 \subset \Lambda_7^3$.

In this case $t = \lfloor \frac{7}{3} \rfloor = 2$ and, therefore, the testing sequence contains only term r = 4. Note that matrix A_r has all odd rows if and only if one row sum equals to 3 and each of 6 other row sums equals to 1. Indeed, let after the removing 4 columns of A, remain p sums equal to 3 and 7 - p sums equal to 1. This means that the total number of the removed 1's equals to 2(7-p) and the number of the removed columns equals to $r = 4 = \frac{14-2p}{3}$, i.e., p = 1. Moreover, since in a circulant all rows are congruent shifts of the first one, it is sufficient to consider the case when precisely the first row sum equals to 3 and others equal to 1 (the multiplication on 7 does not change the parity of the result). This opens a possibility of a momentary handy test on the parity every circulant of class Δ_7^3 . This test consists of the removing all four columns beginning with 0. If now every rows 2, ..., 7 has one 1, then the permanent is even; otherwise, it is odd. We check now directly that from $\binom{7}{3} = 35$ circulants exactly 21 ones have odd permanent.

Remark 1. In 1967, Ryser [14] did a conjecture that the number of the transversals of a latin square from elements 1, ..., n (i.e., the number of subsets of its n pairwise distinct elements, none in the same row or column) has the same parity as n. If n is even, then the conjecture has been proved by Balasubramanian [1]. Besides, in [1] Balasubramanian did a conjecture for the parity of a sum of permanents, such that the truth of this conjecture yields Ryser's hypothesis for odd n. In the same year (1990), using the result of Example 7, the author disproved Balasubramanian's conjecture (private communication to Brualdi). It is interesting that soon Parker (see[5], p.258)

indeed found several latin squares of order 7 with even number of transversals. Add that later ([18]) we found even an infinite set of counterexamples to the Balasubramanian conjecture.

10. Open problems

- 1. To prove the MCI (Section 7).
- 2. (Cf.[17],pp.171-172). Consider class $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$, where $0 \leq a \leq b < \frac{4e-9}{6}$. Since $\Lambda_n(1, 1, 1) = \Lambda_n^3$, then Voorhoeve's lower estimate for the permanent (2.16) trivially holds for matrices in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$. It is clear that, for a > 0, b > 0, it should exist an essentially stronger lower estimate. However, using Van der Waerden-Egorychev-Falikman theorem to class $\Lambda_n(\frac{1}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+a}{3+a+b}, \frac{1+b}{3+a+b})$ of doubly stochastic matrices, for the permanent of $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$ -matrices we obtain even weaker lower estimate of the order $C_1\sqrt{n}(\frac{3+a+b}{e})^n << C(\frac{4}{3})^n$. The problem is to find a stronger lower estimate for the permanent in $\Lambda_n(1, 1+a, 1+b)$.
- 3. (Cf.[17],pp.115-116). Let M be a circulant of order n with integer elements. We conjecture that, for every integer m, we have $perM \equiv (-1)^n per(mJ_n M) \pmod{n}$, where $n \times n$ -matrix J_n consists of 1's only. A special case of this conjecture, for m = 1, $M = I_n + P + ... + P^{k-1}$ in the equivalent terms was formulated by Yamamoto [27] and proved for $k \leq 3$. The author [15] proved the truth of the conjecture in case m = 1 for arbitrary circulant M (including Yamamoto's conjecture for every k). In [17] the conjecture was proved for every m and prime m. The question is open in case of composite m even in case k = 3.
- 4. Two Latin rectangles let us call equivalent, if the sets of their elements in the corresponding columns are the same. Note that numbers $|\Lambda_n^3|$ one can treat as the numbers of equivalence classes of Latin triangles. Let $A = I_n + P + P^2$. In [20] the author proved that the cardinality of the corresponding equivalent class is $2^n + 6 + 2(-1)^n$. To find the cardinality of the equivalent class which is defined by matrix $I_n + P + P^3$.

References

- [1] K. Balasubramanian, On transversals of Latin squares, Linear Algebra Appl., 131 (1990), 125-129.
- [2] V. I. Bolshakov, On spectrum of permanent on Λ_n^k , Proc. of Seminar on Descrete Math. and Appl., MSU (1986), 65-73 (in Russian).
- [3] V. I. Bolshakov, On upper values of permanent on Λ_n^k , Combin. Analysis, MSU, 7 (1986), 92-118 (in Russian).
- [4] L. M. Bregman, Some properties of nonnegative matrices and their permanents, DAN USSR, **211**, (1973), no.1, 27-30.
- [5] R. Brualdi and H. Ryser, Combinatorial matrix theory, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1991.

- [6] H. Gupta, Enumeration of incongruent cyclic k-gons, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 10 (1979), no.8, 964-999.
- [7] G. P. Egorychev, The solution of van der Waerden's problem for permanents, Advance in Math., 42 (1981), 299-305.
- [8] D. I. Falikman, Proof of the van der Waerden's conjecture on the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, Mat. Zametki, 29, (1981), no.6, 931-938, 957 (in Russian).
- [9] D. Merriell, The maximum permanent in Λ_n^k , Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 9 (1980), no.2, 81-91.
- [10] H. Minc, On permanents of circulants, Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972),477-484.
- [11] H. Minc, Permanents. Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [12] P. E. O'Neil, Asymptotics and random matrices with row-sum and column-sum restrictions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 1276-1282.
- [13] J. Riordan, An introduction to combinatorial analysis, Wiley, Fourth printing, 1967.
- [14] H. Ryser, Neuere Probleme in der Kombinatorik. In: Vortrage uber Komb., Oberwolfash, 1967, 69-91.
- [15] V. S. Shevelev, On the Yamamoto's conjecture, Dokl Ukrainian Acad. Sci. 11 (1988), 30-33 (in Russian).
- [16] V. S. Shevelev, On a method of constructing of rook polynomials and some its applications, Combin. Analysis, MSU, 8 (1989), 124-138 (in Russian).
- [17] V. S. Shevelev, Some questions of the theory of permanets of cyclic matrices; Problems 10-12. In book: Permanents: theory and applications. Collections of papers and problems, edited by G. P. Egorychev. Krasnojarsk, 1990, 109-126; 171-173 (in Russian).
- [18] V. S. Shevelev, An algorithm for testing the parity of a permanent (or determinant) and counterexamples to a conjecture of K. Balasubramanian, Dep. VINITI, no.1692-B91, Moscow, 1991 (in Russian).
- [19] V. S. Shevelev, Reduced Latin rectangles and square matrices with equal row and column sum, Diskr. Mat., 4 (1992),no.1, 91-110, (in Russian).
- [20] V. S. Shevelev, An extension of Moser's class of 4-rowed Latin rectangles, DAN of Ukraine 3 (1992), 15-19 (in Russian).
- [21] V. S. Shevelev, Some problems of the theory of enumerating the permutations with restricted positions, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Teoriya Veroyatnostei, Matematicheskaya Statistika, Teoreticheskaya Kibernetika 30 (1992), 113-177 (in Russian).
- [22] V. S. Shevelev, Necklaces and convex k-gons, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 35 (2004), no. 5, 629-638.
- [23] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (http://oeis.org).
- [24] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1, Wadsworth, Inc. California, 1986.
- [25] V. E. Tarakanov, Combinatorial problems on binary matrices, Combin. Analysis, MSU, 5 (1989), 4-15 (in Russian).
- [26] M. Voorhoeve, A lower bound for the permanets of certain (0,1) matrices, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A82=Indag Math., 41 (1979), 83-86.
- [27] K. Yamamoto, Structure polynomial of Latin rectangles and its application to a combinatorial problem, Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyusyu University, Series A, 10 (1956), 1-13.