

Discontinuous functional differential equations with delayed or advanced arguments

Rubén Figueroa

June 27, 2017

Departamento de Análise Matemática,
Facultade de Matemáticas,
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Campus Sur,
15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Abstract

We provide new results on the existence of extremal solutions for discontinuous differential equations with a deviated argument which can be either delayed or advanced. The boundary condition is allowed to be discontinuous and to depend functionally on the unknown solution.

1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss the existence of absolutely continuous solutions for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\tau(t)), x) \text{ for almost all (a.a.) } t \in I = [a, b], \\ B(x(c), x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $c \in \{a, b\}$ and $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ is a measurable function which will satisfy either $\tau(t) \leq t$ for a.a. $t \in I$, that is, τ is a *delayed* argument, or $t \leq \tau(t)$ for a.a. $t \in I$, and in such case we say that τ is an *advanced* argument. Moreover, functions f and B may be discontinuous in all of their arguments. Finally, we remark that we will take $c = a$ in delayed problems and $c = b$ in

advanced ones.

Besides its intrinsic mathematical interest, the convenience of including deviated arguments in mathematical models of many real-life processes is well-known deal with. A very simple example is the malthusian model of growth: experience shows that if we replace instant dependence of the form $x'(t) = kx(t)$ by another one of the form $x'(t) = k(x(t - \tau))$, where τ is the optimal age of reproduction for the studied species, the model approaches much better what happens in reality. For a longer discussion about this, we recommend the divulgative paper [10].

As start point for our research, we cite the work of Tamasan in [13]. In that paper, the author proved the existence of extremal solutions for an initial value problem involving an equation with delay, with only monotonicity assumptions in the variable involving this deviated argument. In the last years, some authors looked for new results for this kind of problems, trying to replace monotonicity by less restrictive assumptions (see for instance [6] and [7]). In most cases, authors studied functional differential equations, where deviated problems were only particular cases. This is the case of authors as Jiang and Wei [8], Liz and Nieto [9] or Nieto and Rodríguez-López [11], [12]. Mixed-type equations, that is, with both a delay and an advance argument, were also trashed out, see [1], [5].

The present paper follows the line of [6] and [7]. In [7], Jankowski provides some results for problem (1.1) with delayed argument and boundary condition $g(x(a), x(b)) = 0$. The existence of extremal solutions between given lower and upper solutions is provided there, with the assumptions that f , g and τ are continuous functions, f satisfies a one-sided Lipschitz condition in the spatial variables and g is monotone on its first variable and one-sided Lipschitz in the second one. In [6] the advanced case is treated following analogous techniques. Now, we modify those results in order to let functions f , B and τ be discontinuous, using a generalized monotone method that can also be found in [13].

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we provide a new uniqueness result for discontinuous initial value problems with deviated arguments under strong Lipschitz conditions. In section 3 we state two required maximum principles proved by Jankowsky and then we show our new results for the boundary problem (1.1) with one example of application. Finally, in section 4 we provide a method to construct a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.1) in a particular case.

2 The initial value problem

In this section, as an auxiliary step in order to achieve our goal, we consider the initial value problem with delayed argument

$$x'(t) = g(t, x(t), x(\tau(t))) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I, \quad x(a) = x_a. \quad (2.2)$$

The main result in this section guarantees the existence of a unique solution for problem (2.2) under strong Lipschitz conditions.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be a measurable function such that $\tau(t) \leq t$ for a.a. $t \in I$ and assume that the following conditions hold:*

(H₁) *For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $g(\cdot, x, y)$ is measurable.*

(H₂) *There exists $\psi \in L^1(I)$ such that for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|g(t, x, y)| \leq \psi(t)$.*

(H₃) *There exist nonnegative functions $L_1, L_2 \in L^1(I)$ such that*

$$|g(t, x, y) - g(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq L_1(t)|x - \bar{x}| + L_2(t)|y - \bar{y}|$$

for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then problem (2.2) has a unique absolutely continuous solution.

Proof. Consider the operator $A : \mathcal{C}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I)$ defined by

$$Ax(t) = x_a + \int_a^t g(s, x(s), x(\tau(s))) ds, \quad t \in I,$$

which is well defined by virtue of conditions (H₁) and (H₂).

It is clear that a fixed point of A is also a solution of problem (2.2) and vice versa, so it suffices to show that operator A has a unique fixed point. We will do it by application of the contractive map theorem.

For $x \in \mathcal{C}(I)$ we consider the norm

$$\|x\|_* = \max_{t \in I} e^{-\lambda(t)} |x(t)|, \quad \text{where } \lambda(t) = \int_a^t (L_1(s) + L_2(s)) ds,$$

which makes $\mathcal{C}(I)$ become a Banach space.

Let $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(I)$. In view of assumption (H_3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Au - Av\|_* &\leq \max_{t \in I} \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t)} \int_a^t |g(s, u(s), u(\tau(s))) - g(s, v(s), v(\tau(s)))| ds \right\} \\
&\leq \max_{t \in I} \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t)} \int_a^t [L_1(s)|u(s) - v(s)| + L_2(s)|u(\tau(s)) - v(\tau(s))|] ds \right\} \\
&\leq \|u - v\|_* \max_{t \in I} \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t)} \int_a^t e^{\lambda(s)} (L_1(s) + L_2(s)) ds \right\} \\
&\leq \|u - v\|_* \max_{t \in I} \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t)} (e^{\lambda(t)} - 1) \right\} \\
&= \|u - v\|_* \max_{t \in I} (1 - e^{-\lambda(t)}) \leq q \|u - v\|_*,
\end{aligned}$$

with $q = (1 - e^{-\|L_1+L_2\|_{L^1(I)}}) < 1$.

Then operator A has a unique fixed point, which is the unique solution of problem (2.2). \square

Remark 2.1 *The previous result hold true if we replace the delayed argument for an advanced one and we consider a final value problem instead of an initial value one. Indeed, let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be such that $\tau(t) \geq t$ a.e. and consider the problem*

$$x'(t) = g(t, x(t), x(\tau(t))) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I, \quad x(b) = x_b. \quad (2.3)$$

Then x is a solution of problem (2.3) if and only if $y(t) = x(-t)$ is a solution of problem

$$y'(t) = h(t, y(t), y(\hat{\tau}(t))) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [-b, -a], \quad y(-b) = x_b, \quad (2.4)$$

where $h(t, y, z) = -g(t, y, z)$ and $\hat{\tau}(t) = -\tau(-t)$, and now problem (2.4) has the form (2.2).

3 Main results and example

In order to establish our new results on the existence of extremal solutions for problem (1.1), we need two maximum principles proved by Jankowski. The first of them, which can be found in [7], concerns problems with delay and the second one, which can be found in [6], concerns problems with advance.

Lemma 3.1 [7, lemma 2.2] *Let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be a measurable function such that $\tau(t) \leq t$ a.e. on I . Assume that $p \in AC(I)$ and that there exist integrable functions K and L , with $L \geq 0$ a.e. on I , satisfying the next inequalities:*

$$\begin{cases} p'(t) \leq -K(t)p(t) - L(t)p(\tau(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I, \\ p(a) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

If

$$\int_a^b L(t)e^{\int_{\tau(t)}^t K(s)ds} dt \leq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

then $p \leq 0$ on I .

Lemma 3.2 [6, lemma 2.1] *Let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be a measurable function such that $t \leq \tau(t)$ a.e. on I . Assume that $p \in AC(I)$ and that there exist integrable functions K and L , with $L \geq 0$ a.e. on I , satisfying the next inequalities:*

$$\begin{cases} p'(t) \geq K(t)p(t) + L(t)p(\tau(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I, \\ p(b) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

If

$$\int_a^b L(t)e^{\int_t^{\tau(t)} K(s)ds} dt \leq 1, \quad (3.8)$$

then $p \leq 0$ on I .

Remark 3.1 *In the original papers, the previous lemmas are proven with the assumption that function K is continuous; however the same proofs work in the case that K is only integrable, as we assume. In the same way, in both lemmas we ask the deviated argument to be only measurable, although in the original version continuity was required.*

The last lemma we need is a version of Bolzano's theorem, whose proof can be found in [2].

Lemma 3.3 [2, lemma 2.3] *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \leq b$, and let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $h(a) \leq 0 \leq h(b)$ and*

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow x^-} h(z) \geq h(x) \geq \limsup_{z \rightarrow x^+} h(z) \text{ for all } x \in [a, b]. \quad (3.9)$$

Then there exist $c_1, c_2 \in [a, b]$ such that $h(c_1) = 0 = h(c_2)$ and if $h(c) = 0$ for some $c \in [a, b]$ then $c_1 \leq c \leq c_2$, i.e., c_1 and c_2 are, respectively, the least and the greatest of the zeros of h in $[a, b]$.

Before introducing our main result for problem (1.1) we define the concepts of lower and upper solutions for that problem. We denote by $AC(I)$ the set of absolutely continuous functions on I .

Definition 3.1 *We say that $\alpha \in AC(I)$ is a lower solution for problem (1.1) if the composition $t \in I \rightarrow f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\tau(t)), \alpha)$ is measurable and the next inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) \leq f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\tau(t)), \alpha) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I, \\ B(\alpha(c), \alpha) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

We define an upper solution for problem (1.1) analogously, by reversing the previous inequalities.

Now we are ready to establish the main results.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be a measurable function such that $\tau(t) \leq t$ a.e. Suppose that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in AC(I)$ which are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.1) with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I , and assume that for $f : I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times AC(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $B : \mathbb{R} \times AC(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following conditions hold:*

- (H₁) *There exists $\psi \in L^1(I)$ such that for a.a. $t \in I$, all $x \in [\alpha(t), \beta(t)]$, all $y \in [\alpha(\tau(t)), \beta(\tau(t))]$ and all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta] = \{\xi \in AC(I) : \alpha(t) \leq \xi(t) \leq \beta(t) \text{ on } I\}$ we have $|f(t, x, y, \gamma)| \leq \psi(t)$.*
- (H₂) *There exist integrable functions K, L , with $L \geq 0$ a.e. on I , satisfying (3.6) and such that*

$$f(t, x, y, \gamma) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{\gamma}) \leq K(t)(\bar{x} - x) + L(t)(\bar{y} - y)$$

if $\alpha(t) \leq x \leq \bar{x} \leq \beta(t)$, $\alpha(\tau(t)) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq \beta(\tau(t))$ and $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma} \leq \beta$.

- (H₃) *For all $\xi \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\liminf_{y \rightarrow x^-} B(y, \xi) \geq B(x, \xi) \geq \limsup_{y \rightarrow x^+} B(y, \xi),$$

and $B(x, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Then problem (1.1) has extremal solutions in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. Let's consider the operator $G : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow AC(I)$ such that maps each $\xi \in [\alpha, \beta]$ to $G\xi$ defined as the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, \xi(t), \xi(\tau(t)), \xi) - K(t)[x(t) - \xi(t)] - L(t)[x(\tau(t)) - \xi(\tau(t))], \\ x(a) = x_\xi, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where x_ξ is the greatest solution of the algebraic equation $B(x, \xi) = 0$.

Claim 1: Operator G is well-defined. Due to hypothesis (H_3) and lemma 3.3, the number x_ξ is well-defined. On the other hand, by theorem 2.2 it is clear that $G\xi$ is a well-defined absolutely continuous function.

Claim 2: G is a nondecreasing operator which maps $[\alpha, \beta]$ into itself. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ with $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$. First of all, notice that by condition (H_3) it is $G\xi_1(a) \leq G\xi_2(a)$. Indeed, by definition it is $G\xi_1(a) = x_{\xi_1}, G\xi_2(a) = x_{\xi_2}$ and by the monotonicity of B on its second variable we have

$$0 = B(x_{\xi_1}, \xi_1) \geq B(x_{\xi_1}, \xi_2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$B(\beta(a), \xi_2) \geq B(\beta(a), \beta) \geq 0,$$

so by application of lemma 3.3 function $B(\cdot, \xi_2)$ has at least one zero in $[x_{\xi_1}, \beta]$, and then $x_{\xi_1} \leq x_{\xi_2}$.

On the other hand, by condition (H_2) we have

$$(G\xi_1 - G\xi_2)'(t) \leq -K(t)[G\xi_1(t) - G\xi_2(t)] - L(t)[G\xi_1(\tau(t)) - G\xi_2(\tau(t))],$$

so by lemma 3.1 we have $G\xi_1 \leq G\xi_2$ on I and then operator G is nondecreasing. The same argument shows that $G\beta \leq \beta$ on I , so G maps $[\alpha, \beta]$ into itself.

Claim 3: Operator G have the extremal fixed points, which are extremal solutions of problem (1.1). We have already proven that G is a nondecreasing operator from the functional interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ into itself. Moreover, notice that for $\xi \in [\alpha, \beta]$ we have

$$|G\xi'(t)| \leq \psi(t) + (|K(t)| + L(t))(\beta(t) - \alpha(t)),$$

where function in the right-hand side is integrable on I (notice that $\beta - \alpha \in L^\infty(I)$), so by application of [3], proposition 1.4.4, we obtain that G has the extremal fixed points, that is, the greatest, x^* , and the least one, x_* , with

$$x^* = \max\{x \in [\alpha, \beta] : x \leq Gx\}, \quad x_* = \min\{x \in [\alpha, \beta] : Gx \leq x\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Let's show that x_* is the least solution of (1.1) in $[\alpha, \beta]$ (in a similar way we could prove that x^* is the greatest one). First of all, by virtue of definition of operator G it is clear that a fixed point of G is also a solution of (1.1). On the other hand, let $\zeta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ be another solution of problem (1.1). So, it is also clear that $G\zeta = \zeta$ and by the formula (3.12) we obtain that $x_* \leq \zeta$. Then, x_* is the least solution of (1.1) in $[\alpha, \beta]$. \square

Remark 3.2 Notice that condition (H_2) in theorem 3.4 holds if, in particular, f is nondecreasing on its spatial variables.

The next result is the analogous of version of theorem 3.4 for the advanced case.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\tau : I \rightarrow I$ be a measurable function such that $t \leq \tau(t)$ a.e. Suppose that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in AC(I)$ which are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.1) with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I , and assume that for $f : I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times AC(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $B : \mathbb{R} \times AC(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following conditions hold:

- (H₁) There exists $\psi \in L^1(I)$ such that for a.a. $t \in I$, all $x \in [\alpha(t), \beta(t)]$, all $y \in [\alpha(\tau(t)), \beta(\tau(t))]$ and all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$ we have $|f(t, x, y, \gamma)| \leq \psi(t)$.
- (H₂) There exist integrable functions K, L , with $L \geq 0$ a.e. on I , satisfying (3.8) and such that

$$f(t, x, y, \gamma) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{\gamma}) \geq -K(t)(\bar{x} - x) - L(t)(\bar{y} - y)$$

if $\alpha(t) \leq x \leq \bar{x} \leq \beta(t)$, $\alpha(\tau(t)) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq \beta(\tau(t))$ and $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma} \leq \beta$.

- (H₃) For all $\xi \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\liminf_{y \rightarrow x^-} B(y, \xi) \geq B(x, \xi) \geq \limsup_{y \rightarrow x^+} B(y, \xi),$$

and $B(x, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Then, problem (1.1) has extremal solutions in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. The proof is the same that in theorem 3.4, now using lemma 3.2 instead of lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.3 Notice that condition (H_2) in theorem 3.5 holds if, in particular, f is nonincreasing on its spatial variables.

Remark 3.4 Notice that neither f nor B need be continuous in theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

We finish this section by considering an example of a problem that, as far as we know, cannot be studied by any paper in the literature.

Example 3.1 Let's consider the boundary value problem with advance argument

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = \phi(x(t)) + \sin t x(\sqrt{t}) \equiv Fx(t) \text{ for a.a. } t \in I = [0, 1], \\ x(1) - x(0) = \lambda, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$ and

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right), & \text{if } x \in \left[1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\alpha(t) = 0$ and $\beta(t) = t$, $t \in I$. Then,

$$F\alpha(t) = 0 = \alpha'(t), \text{ a.e. on } I; \quad B(\alpha(1), \alpha) = -\lambda < 0,$$

and

$$F\beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \sin 1 < 1 = \beta'(t), \text{ a.e. on } I; \quad B(\beta(1), \beta) = 1 - \lambda > 0,$$

so α and β are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution for problem (3.13) which moreover satisfy $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I .

On the other hand, conditions (H_1) and (H_2) in theorem 3.5 hold with $\psi \equiv 1$, $K \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ and $L(t) = \sin t$. Note that in this case we have

$$\int_0^1 L(t) e^{\int_t^{\sqrt{t}} K(s) ds} dt \leq \sin 1 e^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1.$$

As condition (H_4) also holds, we conclude that problem (3.13) has the extremal solutions in the functional interval $[\alpha, \beta]$.

4 On the existence of lower and upper solutions in particular cases

The purpose of this section is to provide a method to obtain a lower and an upper solution under certain assumptions that we will specify later. It is a well-known fact that the construction of lower and upper solutions for a concrete problem is not a trivial question and, in most cases, it constitutes the *real* problem.

The next method is based in the disquisitions of Tamasan in [13].

Theorem 4.1 *In problem (1.1) assume that:*

- (C₁) τ is a delayed argument.
- (C₂) For a.a. $t \in I$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\gamma \in AC(I)$ the function $f(t, x, \cdot, \gamma)$ is nondecreasing.
- (C₃) There exists a nondecreasing linear functional $\phi : AC(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $B(x(a), x) = x(a) - \phi(x)$ for all $x \in AC(I)$.
- (C₄) For a.a. $t \in I$, all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\gamma \in AC(I)$ we have

$$|f(t, x, y, \gamma)| \leq p(t)h(|x|, |y|),$$

where $p \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function in both of its arguments and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{du}{h(u, u)} = \infty.$$

A sufficient condition for the existence of a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.1) is that there exist nonnegative numbers m, n_α, n_β , with $n_i \leq m$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, such that

$$m - \phi(w) \geq n_i(1 - \phi(1)), \quad i = \alpha, \beta, \quad (4.14)$$

where w is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$w'(t) = p(t)h(w(t), w(t)), \quad t \in I, \quad w(a) = m. \quad (4.15)$$

In that case,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= -w(t) + n_\alpha, \\ \beta(t) &= w(t) - n_\beta \end{aligned}$$

are respectively a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.1), which moreover satisfy $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I .

Proof. We will show that α is a lower solution for (1.1).

For a.a. $t \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'(t) &= -w'(t) = -p(t)h(w(t), w(t)) \leq -p(t)h(w(t) - n_\alpha, w(t) - n_\alpha) = \\ &= -p(t)h(-\alpha(t), -\alpha(t)) \leq f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(t), \alpha) \leq f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\tau(t)), \alpha).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$B(\alpha(a), \alpha) = -w(a) + n_\alpha + \phi(w - n_\alpha),$$

so condition $B(\alpha(a), \alpha) \leq 0$ is equivalent to

$$w(a) - \phi(w) \geq n_\alpha(1 - \phi(1)).$$

It can be proven analogously that β is an upper solution. The fact that $n_i \leq m$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, guarantees that $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I . \square

Remark 4.1 *Theorem 4.1 hold true if we replace point a by b everywhere and conditions (C_1) and (C_2) by the next ones:*

$(C_1)'$ τ is an advanced argument.

$(C_2)'$ For a.a. $t \in I$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$ the function $f(t, x, \cdot, \gamma)$ is nonincreasing.

Example 4.1 *Consider a boundary value problem with delay as*

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = x(\tau(t)) + \tanh[x(\frac{1}{2})], & t \in I = [0, 1], \quad 0 \leq \tau(t) \leq t \text{ a.e.}, \\ x(0) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t, s)x(s) ds dt, \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

where k is a nonnegative kernel such that $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t, s) ds dt = K = \frac{1}{8}$ and, as usual, square brackets $[\cdot]$ means integer part.

In this case, it is $f(t, x, y) = y + 1$ and then condition (C_4) in theorem 4.1 holds for $p \equiv 1$ and $h(x, y) = y + 1$. So, if we solve the initial value problem (4.15) we obtain $w(t) = (m + 1)e^t - 1$, and now condition (4.14) says that we need m, n_i , $i = \alpha, \beta$, such that $m \geq n_i$ and

$$m - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t, s)[(m + 1)e^s - 1] ds dt \geq n_i(1 - K). \quad (4.17)$$

As

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 k(t, s)[(m+1)e^s - 1] \leq [(m+1)e - 1]K$$

and $K = \frac{1}{8}$, inequality (4.17) holds if

$$m - \frac{(m+1)e - 1}{8} \geq n_i \left(\frac{7}{8} \right).$$

In particular, we can take $m = 3$ and $n_i = 1$, $i = \alpha, \beta$, so

$$\alpha(t) = 2 - 4e^t; \quad \beta(t) = 4e^t - 2, \quad t \in I,$$

are a lower and an upper solution for problem (4.16) such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ on I .

The reader can now check that all conditions in theorem 3.4 hold with $\psi(t) = 4e^t - 1$ and $L \equiv 0$, so this problem has extremal solutions in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wants to thank Prof. Rodrigo L. Pouso for his valuable comments and support during the development of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Dyki, *Boundary problems for differential equations with advanced arguments*, Nonlinear Stud. 15 (2008), no. 2, 123-135.
- [2] D. Franco, R. L. Pouso, *Nonresonance conditions and extremal solutions for first-order impulsive problems under weak assumptions*, ANZIAM J. 44 (2003), 393-407.
- [3] S. Heikkilä and V. Lakshmikantham, "Monotone iterative techniques for discontinuous nonlinear differential equations", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [4] X. L. Hu, Z. F. Zhou, *Existence of positive periodic solutions for functional differential equations with impulses and delay*, J. Hefei Univ. Technol. Nat. Sci. 32 (2009), no. 4, 583-587.
- [5] V. A. Ilea, *An existence result of the solution formixed type functional differential equations with parameter*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum 12 (2007), no. 1, 59-65.

- [6] T. Jankowski, *Advanced differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 304 (2005), 490–503.
- [7] T. Jankowski, *On delay differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Bound. Value. Probl. 2 (2005), 201–214.
- [8] D. Jiang, J. Wei, *Monotone method for first- and second-order periodic boundary value problems and periodic solutions of functional differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 50 (2002), 885–898.
- [9] E. Liz, J. J. Nieto, *Periodic boundary value problems for a class of functional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 200, (1996), pp. 680–686
- [10] E. Liz, *Sobre ecuaciones diferenciales con retraso, dinámica de poblaciones y números primos*, MATerials MATemàtics Volum 2006, treball no. 17, Departament de Matemàtiques de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- [11] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Existence and approximation of solutions for nonlinear functional differential equations with periodic boundary value conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl. 40 (2000), 433–442.
- [12] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Remarks on periodic boundary value problems for functional differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 158 (2003), 339–353.
- [13] A. Tamaskan, *Extremal solutions for the discontinuous delay-equations*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 41 (1996), n 4, 107–112.