

ON BRENTI'S CONJECTURE ABOUT THE LOG-CONCAVITY OF THE CHROMATIC POLYNOMIAL

SUKHADA FADNAVIS

ABSTRACT. The chromatic polynomial is a well studied object in graph theory. There are many results and conjectures about the log-concavity of the chromatic polynomial and other polynomials related to it. The location of the roots of these polynomials has also been well studied. One famous result due to A. Sokal and C. Borgs provides a bound on the absolute value of the roots of the chromatic polynomial in terms of the highest degree of the graph. We use this result to prove a modification of a log-concavity conjecture due to F. Brenti. The original conjecture of Brenti was that the chromatic polynomial is log-concave on the natural numbers. This was disproved by Paul Seymour by presenting a counter example. We show that the chromatic polynomial $P_G(q)$ of graph G is in fact log-concave for all $q > C\Delta + 1$ for an explicit constant $C < 10$, where Δ denotes the highest degree of G . We also provide an example which shows that the result is not true for constants C smaller than 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph coloring is a very well-studied subject. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ we say that a function $\alpha : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$ is a q -coloring of G if for each edge (u, v) of G we have $\alpha(u) \neq \alpha(v)$. In general given a graph G it is difficult to say whether it has a q -coloring or not, and hence also difficult to count the exactly number of q -colorings. Let $P_G(q)$ be the number of q -colorings of G . If we try to evaluate $P_G(q)$ by inclusion exclusion then we see that P_G is in fact a polynomial known as the *chromatic polynomial*:

$$(1) \quad P_G(q) = \sum_{E' \subset E} (q)^{C(E')} (-1)^{|E'|},$$

where the sum is over all subsets E' of E and $C(E')$ denotes the number of connected components in E' .

Even though evaluating the chromatic polynomial exactly is a difficult problem in general, many of its properties have been studied extensively. There is a rich literature about the log-concavity of the chromatic polynomial. For example see [3], [2], [10]. The roots of the chromatic polynomial have also been extensively studied [5], [4], [8], [1], [6].

Many interesting conjectures about chromatic polynomials can be found in literature. We study here one such conjecture due to F. Brenti. We will fix graph G and use the short notation $P(q)$ to denote the chromatic polynomial whenever there is no ambiguity. In [3] F. Brenti made the following conjecture about the chromatic

polynomial; D. Welsh also made the same conjecture in a private communication with Paul Seymour [7]:

Conjecture 1.1. (*Brenti and Welsh*) *The chromatic polynomial is log-concave for integer values of q above the chromatic number i.e.*

$$(2) \quad P(q-1)P(q+1) \leq P(q)^2, \text{ for all } q \geq \chi(G),$$

where $\chi(G)$ denotes the chromatic number of G .

This conjecture was verified for a large class of graphs in [3]. But it fails to be true in general.

Paul Seymour [7] disproved the conjecture by providing the following counterexample.

Theorem 1.2. (*Paul Seymour [7]*) *Let H be a graph on $6n$ vertices defined as follows. Consider the vertices partitioned into six equal disjoint subsets A_1, \dots, A_6 . For $u \in A_i$ and $v \in A_j$ and $i < j$, there is an edge joining u and v if and only if (i, j) belongs to the set*

$$\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4), (1, 5), (3, 5), (1, 6), (2, 6)\}.$$

Then,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} P_H(5) &\geq 27^n, \\ P_H(7) &\geq 217^n \text{ and} \\ P_H(6) &\leq 1080 \times 72^n + 210 \times 64^n + 360 \times 48^n + 360 \times 36^n + 90 \times 16^n. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad P_H(5)P_H(7) > P_H(6)^2,$$

when n is large.

Note that in the above example $\chi(H) = 3$ for all n . So the original conjecture of Brenti and Welsh places a restriction on the number of colors, q , that does not necessarily depend on how large the graph is.

We show that if we change the restriction on q then log-concavity of the chromatic polynomial holds. In particular we show the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *The chromatic polynomial is log-concave for integer values of q above $C\Delta + 1$ i.e.*

$$(5) \quad P(q-1)P(q+1) \leq P(q)^2, \text{ for all } q \geq C\Delta + 1,$$

where Δ denotes the highest degree of G , and C is a constant. In particular we know that the above is true for $C = \sqrt{2}K^*$ where $K^* < 7$ is a constant.

We also show that the constant C cannot be smaller than 1 by providing an example in section 3

Note that in Theorem 1.2 H has $\chi(H) = 3$ but $\Delta = 5n - 5$. Thus, the statement here is much weaker than the original conjecture.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We shall need the following theorem due to A. Sokal [9], C. Borgs [1] and Fernández and Procacci [6]. It provides a bound on the zeros of chromatic polynomials of general graphs. But before that we need the following definitions:

Definition 2.1. Function F is defined as:

$$(6) \quad F(a) = \frac{a + e^a}{\log(1 + ae^{-a})}.$$

Define constant $K = \min_a F(a) \leq F(2/5) = 7.964... < 8$.

Definition 2.2. Function F is defined as:

$$(7) \quad F(y) = \frac{y}{(2-y)\log y}.$$

Define constant $K^* = \min_{1 < y < 2} F(y) = 6.907...$

Theorem 2.3. ([9],[1], [6]) Let G be a graph on n vertices with maximum degree Δ . Then,

$$(8) \quad |P_G(q)| > 0 \text{ for all } q \text{ such that } |q| > C\Delta,$$

for a constant C .

A. Sokal [9] and C.Borgs [1] showed that $C \leq K = 7.964.. < 8$ as above and it was strengthened by Fernández and Procacci [6] to show that $C \leq K^* = 6.907.. < 7$.

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Since $P_G(q)$ has real coefficients it can be factored into linear and quadratic real factors. Let's say the real roots of P are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ and the complex roots are $\beta_1, \overline{\beta_1}, \dots, \beta_s, \overline{\beta_s}$. Hence,

$$(9) \quad P_G(q) = (q - \alpha_1) \dots (q - \alpha_r) (q^2 - (\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_1})q + |\beta_1|^2) \dots (q^2 - (\beta_s + \overline{\beta_s})q + |\beta_s|^2).$$

Theorem 2.3 gives us the bounds,

$$(10) \quad |\alpha_i| \leq K^* \Delta \text{ and } |\beta_j| \leq K^* \Delta,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Note that,

$$(11) \quad (q - 1 - \alpha_i)(q + 1 - \alpha_i) = (q - \alpha_i)^2 - 1 < (q - \alpha_i)^2,$$

and also both the LHS and RHS are positive since $|\alpha_i| \leq K^* \Delta \leq q - 1$ when $q > \sqrt{2}K^* \Delta + 1$.

Now let $p(q) = q^2 - (\beta_j + \overline{\beta_j})q + |\beta_j|^2$. Note that $p(q) = |q - \beta_j|^2 > 0$ for all real q . Hence it suffices to prove that,

$$(12) \quad p(q)^2 \leq p(q-1)p(q+1) \text{ for } q > \sqrt{2}K^* \Delta + 1.$$

To see this let $\beta_j = a_j + ib_j$ for a_j, b_j real. Then,

$$q^2 - (\beta_j + \overline{\beta_j})q + |\beta_j|^2 = q^2 - (2a_j)q + (a_j^2 + b_j^2) = (q - a_j)^2 + b_j^2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(q-1)p(q+1) \\
&= ((q-1-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)((q+1-a_j)^2 + b_j^2) \\
&= ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2 + 1 - 2q + 2a_j)((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2 + 1 + 2q - 2a_j) \\
&= ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 + 2((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2) + 1 - 4(q-a_j)^2 \\
&= ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 + 1 - 2(q-a_j)^2 + 2b_j^2 \\
(13) \quad &\leq ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 + 1 - 2(q-a_j)^2 + 2((K^*\Delta)^2 - a_j^2) \\
&= ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 + 1 + 2(K^*\Delta)^2 - 2(a_j^2 + (q-a_j)^2) \\
&\leq ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 + 1 + 2(K^*\Delta)^2 - 4(q/2)^2 \\
&\leq ((q-a_j)^2 + b_j^2)^2 \text{ since } |q| > \sqrt{2}K^*\Delta + 1 \\
&= p(q)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The first inequality above is true because $|\beta_j|^2 = a_j^2 + b_j^2 \leq 2(K^*\Delta)^2$. The second inequality is true since $2a^2 + 2b^2 \geq (a+b)^2$ for real numbers a, b .

Multiplying together the above linear and quadratic inequalities we get the desired result. \square

3. EXAMPLE

In this section we show that the constant in theorem 1.3 cannot be less than 1. To show this we construct a slight modification of the counterexample due to Paul Seymour 1.2.

Consider a graph S on n^2 vertices as follows. Let A_1, \dots, A_6 be disjoint sets of vertices such that $|A_i| = n$ for all i . For $u \in A_i$ and $v \in A_j$ and $i < j$, there is an edge joining u and v if and only if (i, j) belongs to the set

$$\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4), (1, 5), (3, 5), (1, 6), (2, 6)\}.$$

Further, let v_1, \dots, v_{n^2-6n} be the remaining $n^2 - 6n$ vertices with edges from v_i to all other vertices in the graph, for all i . Thus, maximum degree of the graph is $\Delta = n^2 - 1$. Note that,

$$(14) \quad P_S(k) = \binom{k}{n^2 - 6n} \times (n^2 - 6n)! \times P_H(k - n^2 - 6n),$$

where H is the graph in 1.2. This can be seen as follows: choose colors for vertices v_1, \dots, v_{n^2-6n} . The remaining vertices induce a graph isomorphic to H and it needs to be colored with the remaining $k - n^2 - 6n$ colors. Hence by 1.2 we have,

(15)

$$\begin{aligned}
P_H(n^2 - 6n + 5) &\geq \binom{n^2 - 6n + 5}{n^2 - 6n} \times (n^2 - 6n)! \times 27^n, \\
P_H(n^2 - 6n + 7) &\geq \binom{n^2 - 6n + 7}{n^2 - 6n} \times (n^2 - 6n)! \times 217^n \text{ and} \\
P_H(n^2 - 6n + 6) &\leq \\
&\binom{n^2 - 6n + 6}{n^2 - 6n} \times (n^2 - 6n)! \times (1080 \times 72^n + 210 \times 64^n + 360 \times 48^n + 360 \times 36^n + 90 \times 16^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for large n one has,

$$(16) \quad P_H(n^2 - 6n + 6)^2 \leq P_H(n^2 - 6n + 5)P_H(n^2 - 6n + 7).$$

Since in this example $\Delta = n^2 - 1$ and,

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2 - 6n + 7}{n^2 - 1} = 1,$$

we see that the constant in theorem 1.3 cannot be smaller than 1.

4. FURTHER COMMENTS

The example above shows that if the constraint on q is stated in terms of Δ then C cannot be smaller than 1. But it is still not clear if the correct constraint on q should be stated in terms of Δ . In the above example $\chi(S) = n^2 - 3n$. So the possibility that $P_G(q)$ is log-concave for $q > C\chi(G)$ is not ruled out. This result would be stronger than the result we prove here since $\chi(G) < \Delta + 1$ and many times much smaller. We think that such a result will not be true. It will be interesting to find a family of graphs with bounded chromatic numbers but for which the chromatic polynomials fail to be log-concave for bigger and bigger values of q .

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Alan Sokal for pointing out the work of Fernandez and Procacci [6] and other useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Christian Borgs. Absence of zeros for the chromatic polynomial on bounded degree graphs. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 15(1-2):63–74, 2006.
- [2] Francesco Brenti. Unimodal, log-concave and polya frequency sequences in combinatorics. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 81(413), 1989.
- [3] Francesco Brenti. Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 332(2):pp. 729–756, 1992.
- [4] Francesco Brenti, Gordon Royle, and David Wagner. Location of zeros of chromatic and related polynomials of graphs. *Canadian Journal of mathematics*, 46(1):55–80, 1994.
- [5] Jason I. Brown. On the roots of chromatic polynomials. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 72(2):251 – 256, 1998.
- [6] Roberto FernÁndez and Aldo Procacci. Regions without complex zeros for chromatic polynomials on graphs with bounded degree. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 17:225–238, March 2008.
- [7] Paul Seymour. Two chromatic polynomial conjectures. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, 70(1):184–196, 1997.
- [8] Alan D. Sokal. Bounds on the complex zeros of (di)chromatic polynomials and potts-model partition functions. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 10(1):41–77, 2001.
- [9] Alan D. Sokal. Bounds on the complex zeros of (di)chromatic polynomials and potts-model partition functions. *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, 10(1):41–77, 2001.
- [10] Richard Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 576(1):500–535, 1989.

E-mail address: Sukhada Fadnavis <sukhada@math.stanford.edu>

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, BLDG. 380, STANFORD, CA, 94305