

POSITIVITY IN QUANTUM CLUSTER ALGEBRAS AND FLAGS OF VALUED QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

DYLAN RUPEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a direct proof of the positivity conjecture for adapted quantum cluster variables. Moreover, our process allows one to explicitly compute formulas for all adapted cluster monomials and certain ordered products of adapted cluster monomials. In particular, we describe all cluster monomials in cluster algebras and quantum cluster algebras of rank 2. One may obtain similar formulas for all finite type cluster monomials.

The above results are achieved by computing explicit set-theoretic decompositions of Grassmannians of subrepresentations in adapted valued quiver representations into a disjoint union of products of standard vector space Grassmannians. We actually prove a more general result which should be of independent interest: we compute these decompositions for arbitrary flags of subrepresentations in adapted valued quiver representations.

This implies the existence of counting polynomials for the number of points in these sets over different finite fields. Using this we extend the results of [15] to quantum cluster algebras $\mathcal{A}_q(Q, \mathbf{d})$, where q is an indeterminate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [2] in an attempt to give an algebraic framework for understanding dual canonical bases in coordinate rings of varieties related to a semisimple algebraic group G . When G has a skew-symmetric Cartan matrix and the associated quiver is acyclic, Geiss, Leclerc, and Schröer prove [7] that the coordinate rings of certain unipotent subgroups and unipotent cells of G have the structure of a skew-symmetric cluster algebra. Furthermore they prove that the cluster monomials of such cluster algebras are elements of the dual of Lusztig's semicanonical basis. Thus computing cluster monomials and their products is an important endeavor.

Fix positive integers b and c . In what follows it will be convenient to use the following notation:

$$\text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ write } d_k = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ c & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$ denote the two dimensional torus and \mathcal{F} its field of fractions. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define elements x_k in \mathcal{F} , called *cluster variables*, recursively by

$$x_{k-1}x_{k+1} = x_k^{d_{k-1}} + 1.$$

We define the *cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ to be the subalgebra of \mathcal{F} generated by the x_k . A priori the cluster variables are rational functions in x_1 and x_2 . But, remarkably, a cancellation always occurs and each x_k is actually an element of \mathcal{T} . However, the explicit computation of each x_k as a Laurent polynomial in x_1 and x_2 is a nontrivial task.

In order to describe the cluster monomials we introduce the following notation. Define two-parameter Chebyshev polynomials $U_{k,j}$ recursively by

$$U_{-2,j} = -1, \quad U_{-1,j} = 0, \quad U_{0,j} = 1, \quad U_{k+1,j+1} = d_j U_{k,j} - U_{k-1,j-1}.$$

Fix an integer $m \geq 3$. For $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ let ϵ_j denote the standard basis vector in \mathbb{Z}^{m-1} . For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the \mathbb{Z} -linear form $J_k : \mathbb{Z}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on generators by

$$J_k(\epsilon_j) = \begin{cases} -d_k U_{k-1,2} & \text{for } j = 1, \\ d_k U_{k-j+2,j+1} & \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq k+2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ write

$$C_{m,\mathbf{e}} = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-3} \binom{U_{m-k-2,k} - J_{k-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})}{c_k}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-3}$ such that $d_k U_{m-k-4,k} \geq J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) \geq 0$ for all $-1 \leq k \leq m-3$. We will adopt the convention that $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ if $n < 0$, $k < 0$, or $k > n$. In particular $C_{m,\mathbf{e}} \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{e} and m . Note that $C_{m,\mathbf{e}} = 0$ if $e_1 > U_{m-3,1}$ or $e_2 > U_{m-4,2}$.

Theorem 1.1. *For $m \geq 3$, the cluster variable x_m is given by*

$$x_m = x_1^{-U_{m-3,1}} x_2^{U_{m-2,2}} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} x_1^{d_2 e_2} x_2^{-d_1 e_1} C_{m,\mathbf{e}}.$$

In [4] the authors give explicit Laurent polynomial expressions for the cluster variables in the cluster algebra associated to the Kronecker quiver ($b = c = 2$). Their method involves computing the Euler characteristics of certain Grassmannians of quiver representations. In that case the $C_{m,\mathbf{e}}$ can be shown equivalent to a product of two binomial coefficients. It would be interesting to see if any similar simplification can be found in our expressions for arbitrary b and c . The variables x_m were found using combinatorial methods by Lampe [11] for $b = c$. These expressions are not, a priori, positive, although in [12] the author shows positivity of some coefficients. One might find some interesting binomial identities by comparing our equations. The positivity of these coefficients was first proven in [6].

The cluster variables are grouped into subsets $\{x_k, x_{k+1}\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ called *clusters* and the monomials involving variables from a single cluster are called *cluster monomials*. As a first application of our main result we begin to realize the goal of Fomin and Zelevinsky. We give explicit expressions for all cluster monomials in rank 2 cluster algebras. Moreover, our methods allow one to obtain explicit formulas for certain products of cluster variables written as Laurent polynomials in x_1 and x_2 , e.g. using Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.15 one may compute arbitrary products $x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_r}$ for $3 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_r$. In particular, one may obtain explicit expressions for products of cluster monomials $x_k^{n_1} x_{k+1}^{n_2}$ and $x_\ell^{n_3} x_{\ell+1}^{n_4}$ for $3 \leq k < \ell$. As the cluster monomials are related with the semicanonical basis elements it is important to compute these structure constants.

Theorem 1.2. *For $m \geq 3$, the cluster monomial $x_m^{n_1} x_{m+1}^{n_2}$ is given by*

$$x_m^{n_1} x_{m+1}^{n_2} = y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} x_1^{d_2 e_2} x_2^{-d_1 e_1} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n_1+n_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2} = \mathbf{e}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n_1} C_{m,\mathbf{e}_\ell} \prod_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} C_{m+1,\mathbf{e}_\ell} \right).$$

where

$$y_1 = x_1^{-U_{m-3,1}} x_2^{U_{m-2,2}}, \quad y_2 = x_1^{-U_{m-2,1}} x_2^{U_{m-1,2}}.$$

In particular, each x_m is a Laurent polynomial in the initial cluster variables x_1 and x_2 with positive integer coefficients.

Remark 1.3. *There is a unique automorphism of \mathcal{T} interchanging x_1 and x_2 . By the symmetry of the relations this automorphism fixes $\mathcal{A}(b,c)$ and sends x_m to x_{3-m} for each m . Under this automorphism one obtains formulas for the cluster monomials $x_m^{n_1} x_{m+1}^{n_2}$ for $m \leq -1$. One easily obtains the cluster monomials $x_0^{n_1} x_1^{n_2}$ and $x_2^{n_1} x_3^{n_2}$ from these.*

The Kronecker case has been quantized independently in [10] and [15]. In the first of these, the formulas are proven using combinatorial methods. In the second work the author uses the number of points in certain Grassmannians of quiver representations [17] to give explicit Laurent polynomial expressions for all quantum cluster variables. In particular both works show the coefficients are elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\pm 1}]$. We now extend these results for all b and c .

Let q be a formal variable. Let \mathcal{T}_q be the 2-dimensional quantum torus, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_q = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}] \langle X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1} : X_2 X_1 = q X_1 X_2 \rangle$ and let \mathcal{F}_q be the skew field of fractions of \mathcal{T}_q . For $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ define the rank 2 quantum

cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(b, c)$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{F}_q generated by the quantum cluster variables X_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined recursively by

$$(1.1) \quad X_{m-1}X_{m+1} = q^{d_{m-1}/2}X_m^{d_{m-1}} + 1$$

One easily proves the quantum Laurent phenomenon [1, Corollary 5.2] for rank 2 quantum cluster algebras by showing that any cluster variable can be written as a polynomial in any four consecutive cluster variables. Thus each X_k , in fact, belongs to the subring \mathcal{T}_q of \mathcal{F}_q and so $\mathcal{A}_q(b, c)$ is contained in \mathcal{T}_q . However, the explicit computation of each X_k as a Laurent polynomial in X_1 and X_2 is a nontrivial task. Moreover, the polynomial expressions obtained from this four term recursion involve negative coefficients.

The following Theorem completes this story in rank 2 by giving explicit Laurent polynomial expressions for all quantum cluster monomials in rank 2 quantum cluster algebras $\mathcal{A}_q(b, c)$. In particular the coefficients are elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$, establishing the positivity conjecture for rank 2 quantum cluster algebras. As above one may use Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.15 to compute explicit expressions for certain directed products of quantum cluster variables.

The following notation will be useful in describing the cluster variables. Denote by $(n)_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ the n^{th} q -number, by $(n)_q! = (n)_q(n-1)_q \cdots (2)_q(1)_q$ the n^{th} q -factorial, and by $\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(n)_q!}{(k)_q!(n-k)_q!}$ the q -binomial coefficient. By convention we will take $\binom{n}{k}_q = 0$ if $n < 0$, $k < 0$, or $k > n$. It is well known that $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is a polynomial in q with positive integer coefficients. Let $X_m^{(a_1, a_2)} = q^{a_1 a_2 / 2} X_m^{a_1} X_{m+1}^{a_2}$ denote a cluster monomial of the m^{th} cluster, where by convention we take $X_1^{(a_1, a_2)} = X_1^{(a_1, a_2)}$ to be an initial cluster monomial.

Keeping the notation J_k from above, for $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ we write

$$C_{m, \mathbf{e}}^q = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-3} q^{c_k J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})} \binom{U_{m-k-2, k} - J_{k-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})}{c_k}_{q^{d_k}}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-3}$ such that $d_k U_{m-k-4, k} \geq J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) \geq 0$ for all $-1 \leq k \leq m-3$. Note that $C_{m, \mathbf{e}}^q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\pm 1}]$ for all \mathbf{e} and m . Also notice that $C_{m, \mathbf{e}}^q = 0$ if $e_1 > U_{m-3, 1}$ or $e_2 > U_{m-4, 2}$. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $n_1 + n_2 \neq 0$. For $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ and ℓ between 1 and $n_1 + n_2$, we will define

$$\eta_{m, \ell} = \eta_{m, \ell}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2}) = \sum_{r > \ell} [d_1 e_{1, r} U_{m-3, 1} - d_2 e_{2, r} U_{m-2, 2}].$$

Define a \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \rangle = d_1 e_1 f_1 + d_2 e_2 f_2 - d_1 d_2 e_2 f_1$. Now write

$$\zeta_{n_1, n_2} = \zeta(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2}) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq \ell \leq n_1+n_2} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle - \sum_{1 \leq \ell < r \leq n_1+n_2} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle.$$

Theorem 1.4. *For $m \geq 3$, the cluster monomial $X_m^{(n_1, n_2)}$ is given by*

$$X_m^{(n_1, n_2)} = X^{(-n_1 U_{m-3, 1} - n_2 U_{m-2, 1}, n_1 U_{m-2, 2} + n_2 U_{m-1, 2})} \times \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} X^{(d_2 e_2, -d_1 e_1)} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n_1+n_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2} = \mathbf{e}}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{n_1, n_2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \eta_{m, \ell} + \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \eta_{m+1, \ell}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n_1} C_{m, \mathbf{e}_\ell}^q \prod_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} C_{m+1, \mathbf{e}_\ell}^q \right).$$

Remark 1.5. *There exists a unique anti-automorphism $\bar{\cdot}$, called the bar involution, on \mathcal{T}_q interchanging X_1 and X_2 and sending q to q^{-1} . It is easy to see from the relations that $\bar{\cdot}$ fixes $\mathcal{A}_q(b, c)$ and $\overline{X_m} = X_{3-m}$ for all m . Applying the bar involution in Theorem 1.2 one obtains a similar formula for X_m , $m \leq 0$.*

Remark 1.6. *In the case $b = c = 2$, the coefficients $C_{\mathbf{e}, m}^q$ above can be shown [17] equivalent to a product of two quantum binomial coefficients. It would be interesting to see if any similar simplification occurs for other choices of b and c .*

Non-commutative Laurent expressions for iterations of the Kontsevich automorphism were obtained in a recent preprint [13] by different means. It would be interesting to compare our formulas.

The first main result of this paper extends the results of [15] to the case where q is an indeterminate. Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a collection of valuations on the vertices of Q . Let $\mathcal{A}_q(Q, \mathbf{d})$ be the corresponding quantum cluster algebra, see [15] for precise definitions.

Theorem 1.7. *Let q be an indeterminate. Every adapted cluster monomial of $\mathcal{A}_q(Q, \mathbf{d})$ is of the form $X_{M,q}$ for some adapted valued representation M of Q . The structure constants of these cluster monomials written in terms of the initial cluster are given by Laurent polynomials in $q^{1/2d}$ with positive integral coefficients.*

When the values of the quiver are all equal this result was obtained by Qin in [14].

Extending to the case where q is an indeterminate is accomplished by explicitly computing the number of points in the Grassmannians of submodules in valued quiver representations as a polynomial in the size of the finite field over which these representations are defined. We actually prove a more general result that we suspect will be of general interest in its own right. We prove a recursive set-theoretic decomposition of the set of flags in a valued quiver representation. Moreover, we find explicit set-theoretic decompositions of the flags of subrepresentations in adapted valued quiver representations into products of classical vector space Grassmannians. Thus the number of points can be given by a polynomial evaluated at the size of the finite field, see the following two sections for precise definitions.

Let $Q = (Q, \mathbf{d})$ be a valued quiver and write \mathcal{Q} for the Grothendieck group of the category *rep* Q of nilpotent valued representations of Q . Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be an adapted sequence of vertices in Q . Write $P_{\mathbf{i}}$ for the valued representation of Q obtained by applying reflection functors along the sequence \mathbf{i} , that is $P_{\mathbf{i}} = S_{i_1} \cdot S_{i_{m-1}}(S_{i_m})$. We will call these representations *adapted*.

The dimension vectors of adapted representations are positive real roots in the associated root system and we will call these roots *tame*. There is another collection of roots called the real Schur roots of which the tame roots form a subset. To our knowledge there is no known description of the Schur roots. We would like to propose the question of giving a combinatorial description of all tame roots. In particular, do they form a proper subset of the Schur roots. It is known that in finite type and rank 2 root systems all positive real roots are tame roots.

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r \in \mathcal{Q}$ be a sequence of dimension vectors.

Theorem 1.8. *The set of flags in the adapted valued representation $P_{\mathbf{i}}$ of Q can be decomposed into disjoint subsets as follows:*

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}}) = \coprod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_{i_k}}^{c_{k,\ell} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+\varepsilon_k}, \varepsilon_k \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle} \times Gr_{c_{k,\ell} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell-\varepsilon_k} - \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell-\sigma_{i_k}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell}) + \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+\varepsilon_k}, \varepsilon_k \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_{i_k}})$$

where the disjoint union is over all $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \geq \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Remark 1.9. *Thus we see that for all indecomposable representations with tame dimension vectors we can compute the decomposition of the flags. In particular, using the previous result and Theorem 1.7 we can obtain explicit expressions for all finite type cluster monomials. We are curious to know if one may obtain a similar decomposition when the dimension vector is not a tame root.*

Our results only consider the collection of flags in valued quiver representations as sets. It would be interesting to see how our decompositions interact with their structure as algebraic varieties. We suspect that one would obtain an algebraic stratification by replacing the Grassmannians by their Schubert decompositions. The following example shows that in the present form we do not get an algebraic stratification.

Example 1.10. *Consider the star quiver with vertex set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ and arrows $i \longrightarrow 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and consider the adapted representation X defined by assigning $X_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ to vertex 0 and $X_i = \mathbb{F}$ to each vertex $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let f_i be the inclusion to the i^{th} component and let f_{n+1} be the map which sends 1 to the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. One easily checks that this is the representation associated to the adapted sequence $\mathbf{i} = (0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, 0)$. For an increasing sequence of integers $1 \leq a_r \leq \dots \leq a_2 \leq a_1 \leq n$ we will denote by $\mathcal{F}\ell_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ the partial flag variety of flags $0 \subset V_r \subset \dots \subset V_2 \subset V_1 \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\dim V_i = a_i$. One may identify $\mathcal{F}\ell_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ with the variety*

$\mathcal{Fl}_{a_1\alpha_0, \dots, a_r\alpha_0}(X)$ of flags of subrepresentations $0 \subset W_r \subset \dots \subset W_2 \subset W_1 \subset X$ with $\dim W_i = a_i\alpha_0$. According to the previous theorem (really one should apply Lemma 3.4 once) one obtains a set-theoretic decomposition of these flag varieties:

$$\mathcal{Fl}_{a_1\alpha_0, \dots, a_r\alpha_0}(V) = \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{a_\ell, a_{\ell-1}}(\mathbb{F}).$$

This is a confirmation of a well known result that the flag variety has the same number of points as the product of Grassmannians $Gr_{a_\ell, a_{\ell-1}}(\mathbb{F})$. However it is also well known that the geometry does not support this product decomposition as varieties.

2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A portion of these ideas were worked out while the author was attending a workshop at the Fields Institute in July of 2010. The author would like to thank Fields for their support and hospitality. The author would also like to thank his PhD advisor Arkady Berenstein for his guidance and patience.

3. FLAGS OF QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} . For each positive integer n denote by \mathbb{F}_n the degree n extension of \mathbb{F} in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ and note that the largest subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ contained in both \mathbb{F}_n and \mathbb{F}_m is $\mathbb{F}_{gcd(n,m)}$. If $m|n$ we will fix a basis of \mathbb{F}_n over \mathbb{F}_m and thus we may freely identify \mathbb{F}_n as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_m .

Fix an integer n and let $Q = \{Q_0, Q_1, h, t\}$ be a quiver with vertices $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arrows Q_1 , where we denote by $h(a)$ and $t(a)$ the head and tail of an arrow $a \in Q_1$. Let $\mathbf{d} : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a collection of *valuations* associated to the vertices of Q , where we denote the image of a vertex i by d_i . We will call the pair (Q, \mathbf{d}) a *valued quiver*. Since the valuations will be fixed for all time we will usually just write Q for the valued quiver.

Define a *valued representation* $V = (\{V_i\}_{i \in Q_0}, \{\varphi_a\}_{a \in Q_1})$ of Q by assigning an \mathbb{F}_{d_i} -vector space V_i to each vertex $i \in Q_0$ and an $\mathbb{F}_{gcd(d_{h(a)}, d_{t(a)})}$ -linear map $\varphi_a : V_{t(a)} \rightarrow V_{h(a)}$ to each arrow $a \in Q_1$. Notice that $\mathbb{F}_{d_{h(a)}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{d_{t(a)}}$ are both extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{gcd(d_{h(a)}, d_{t(a)})}$ and thus we may view $V_{t(a)}$ and $V_{h(a)}$ as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{gcd(d_{h(a)}, d_{t(a)})}$. Let $W = (\{W_i\}_{i \in Q_0}, \{\psi_a\}_{a \in Q_1})$ denote another valued representation of Q and define a morphism $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ to be a collection $\theta = \{\theta_i \in Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}}(V_i, W_i)\}_{i \in Q_0}$ such that $\theta_{h(a)} \circ \varphi_a = \psi_a \circ \theta_{t(a)}$, i.e. the following diagram commutes, for all $a \in Q_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{t(a)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_a} & V_{h(a)} \\ \downarrow \theta_{t(a)} & & \downarrow \theta_{h(a)} \\ W_{t(a)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_a} & W_{h(a)}. \end{array}$$

Denote by $Rep Q = Rep_{\mathbb{F}}(Q, \mathbf{d})$ the category of all finite dimensional valued representations of Q . For convenience we drop the \mathbb{F} and \mathbf{d} from all notation, this should not lead to any confusion as these quantities will be fixed for the remainder of this note. One easily checks that $Rep Q$ is an abelian category where kernels and cokernels are taken vertex-wise. We will call a representation V *rigid* if $Ext(V, V) = 0$. For $k \in Q_0$ denote by S_k the simple valued representation associated to k , i.e. we assign the vector space \mathbb{F}_{d_k} to vertex k and the zero vector space to each other vertex. We will be interested in $rep Q = rep_{\mathbb{F}}(Q, \mathbf{d})$, the smallest extension closed abelian full subcategory of $Rep Q$ containing the simple representations S_k , $k \in Q_0$. The objects of $rep Q$ are the so called *nilpotent* representations. Let \mathcal{Q} denote the Grothendieck group of $rep Q$ and write $\underline{\dim} V \in \mathcal{Q}$ for the isomorphism class of a valued representation V of Q . Write $\underline{\dim} S_k = \alpha_k$. Since all objects in $rep Q$ have a finite composition series with simple quotients, we may identify \mathcal{Q} with the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{k \in Q_0} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_k$ and thus $\underline{\dim} V$ is identified with the dimension vector $\sum_{k \in Q_0} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{d_k}} V_k)\alpha_k$ of V .

From now on we will restrict ourselves to quivers with no vertex loops and no cycles of length 2, i.e. between any two vertices all of the arrows go in the same direction.

Just as with ordinary quivers it is useful to consider an equivalent category of modules over the path algebra. The analog for valued quivers of the path algebra is the notion of \mathbb{F} -species. To describe this correspondence we will need to introduce some notation. For $i, j \in Q_0$ denote by d_{ij} the number of arrows

connecting vertices i and j and note that all such arrows are either of the form $i \rightarrow j$ or all of the form $j \rightarrow i$. Define a matrix $B = B_{(Q, \mathbf{d})} = (b_{ij})$ by

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_{ij}d_j/\gcd(d_i, d_j) & \text{if } i \rightarrow j \in Q_1 \\ -d_{ij}d_j/\gcd(d_i, d_j) & \text{if } j \rightarrow i \in Q_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta_{ij} = \gcd(d_i, d_j)$ and $\delta^{ij} = \text{lcm}(d_i, d_j)$. Notice that since $d_i d_j / \delta_{ij} = \delta^{ij}$ we have $d_i b_{ij} = d_{ij} \delta^{ij} = -d_j b_{ji}$ whenever $i \rightarrow j \in Q_1$, i.e. B is skew-symmetrizable. Using this data we will define an \mathbb{F} -species A_Q and show that modules over A_Q are the same as valued representations of Q .

Define $A_0 = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{F}_{d_i}$ and $A_1 = \bigoplus_{b_{ij} > 0} A_{ij}$ where $A_{ij} := \mathbb{F}_{d_i b_{ij}}$. We define an $\mathbb{F}_{d_i} - \mathbb{F}_{d_j}$ -bimodule structure on A_{ij} by setting $A_{ij} = \mathbb{F}^{d_{ij}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}} \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d_{ij}} \mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}$, where $\frac{d_i b_{ij}}{\delta^{ij}} = \gcd(|b_{ij}|, |b_{ji}|) = d_{ij}$. Note that since $\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}$ contains both \mathbb{F}_{d_i} and \mathbb{F}_{d_j} , this gives such a structure.

Now define $A_Q = T_{A_0}(A_1)$ the tensor algebra of A_1 over A_0 . A module X over A_Q is given by an \mathbb{F}_{d_i} -vector space X_i for each vertex i and a \mathbb{F}_{d_j} -linear map $\theta_{ij}^X : X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} A_{ij} \rightarrow X_j$ whenever $b_{ij} > 0$, see [9]. A morphism of A_Q -modules $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a collection $\{f_i\}_{i \in Q_0}$ with $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ an \mathbb{F}_{d_i} -linear map, such that $\theta_{ij}^Y(f_i \otimes id) = f_j \theta_{ij}^X$.

To see that the categories $Rep Q$ and $mod A_Q$ are equivalent consider the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_j}}(X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} A_{ij}, X_j) &\cong \mathbb{F}^{d_{ij}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_j}}(X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} \mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}, X_j), \\ X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} \mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}} &= X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} (\mathbb{F}_{d_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}} \mathbb{F}_{d_j}) \cong X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}} \mathbb{F}_{d_j}, \\ Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_j}}(X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}} \mathbb{F}_{d_j}, X_j) &\cong Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}}(X_i, X_j). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these we obtain an isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_j}}(X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{d_i}} A_{ij}, X_j) \cong \mathbb{F}^{d_{ij}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{d_j}}(X_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}} \mathbb{F}_{d_j}, X_j) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{d_{ij}} Hom_{\mathbb{F}_{\delta^{ij}}}(X_i, X_j).$$

These isomorphisms yield inverse equivalences of categories

$$F : mod A_Q \leftrightarrow Rep Q : F^{-1}.$$

Indeed one can easily check that the commuting squares defining morphisms of modules and representations are compatible under the isomorphism (3.1). Thus the categories $mod A_Q$ and $Rep Q$ are equivalent and we can apply all results concerning \mathbb{F} -species to valued representations.

We now define a symmetrizable Cartan matrix $C = C_{(Q, \mathbf{d})} = (c_{ij})$ associated to the valued quiver Q via

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \\ -|b_{ij}| & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Note that $d_i c_{ij} = d_j c_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in Q_0$. Let Φ denote the root system associated to C . We will identify the root lattice associated to Φ with the Grothendieck group \mathcal{Q} of $rep Q$ by taking as simple roots $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in Q_0}$. For each $k \in Q_0$ define simple reflections $\sigma_k : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ to be the unique \mathbb{Z} -linear map defined on generators by

$$\sigma_k(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - c_{kj} \alpha_k.$$

Let ω_j^\vee denote the unique elements of the dual lattice of \mathcal{Q} satisfying $\langle \alpha_i, \omega_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

For objects $V, W \in rep Q$ write $[V, W] = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $[V, W]^1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ext}(V, W)$. We define the Euler form

$$\langle V, W \rangle = [V, W] - [V, W]^1.$$

It is known, see [16] for example, that $\langle V, W \rangle$ only depends on the classes of V and W in \mathcal{Q} . The Euler form on \mathcal{Q} can be computed by bilinearly extending the following formula

$$\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \begin{cases} d_i & \text{if } i = j \\ -\max(0, d_i b_{ij}) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, abbreviate $\alpha_i^\vee := \frac{1}{d_i}\alpha_i$ and note that $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \mathbf{e} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{e}, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ are integers for all $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}$ define vectors ${}^*\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^* \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by

$${}^*\mathbf{e} = (\langle \alpha_1^\vee, \mathbf{e} \rangle, \dots, \langle \alpha_n^\vee, \mathbf{e} \rangle), \quad \mathbf{e}^* = (\langle \mathbf{e}, \alpha_1^\vee \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{e}, \alpha_n^\vee \rangle).$$

Suppose there exists a skew-symmetric matrix Λ such that $B^T \Lambda = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Write $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$. We also denote by $\Lambda : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ the skew-symmetric bilinear form determined by Λ . We record the following identities for later use.

Lemma 3.1.

- (1) ${}^*\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}^* = -B\mathbf{e}$.
- (2) $\Lambda(\mathbf{b}^i, {}^*\mathbf{d}) = \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ and $\Lambda(\mathbf{d}^*, \mathbf{b}^j) = -\langle \mathbf{d}, \alpha_j \rangle$.
- (3) $\Lambda(B\mathbf{b}, B\mathbf{d}) = \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$.
- (4) $-\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{d} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda(-B\mathbf{d} - {}^*\mathbf{n}, -B\mathbf{b} - {}^*\mathbf{m}) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda({}^*\mathbf{n}, {}^*\mathbf{m})$.

Proof. The identity in (1) follows from a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}^* &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \mathbf{e} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{e}, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle) \alpha_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e_j (\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle - \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle) \alpha_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e_j (-[b_{ij}]_+ + [-b_{ij}]_+) \alpha_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n -e_j b_{ij} \alpha_i \\ &= -B\mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the notation $[b]_+ = \max(0, b)$ and that $d_j b_{ji} = -d_i b_{ij}$. We prove the first identity in (2), the second is proved similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathbf{b}^i, {}^*\mathbf{d}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \Lambda(\mathbf{b}^i, \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \alpha_k^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle \alpha_k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \Lambda(\sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{\ell i} \alpha_\ell, \sum_{k=1}^n -[b_{kj}]_+ \alpha_k + \alpha_j) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_j \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{\ell i} [b_{kj}]_+ \Lambda(\alpha_\ell, \alpha_k) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{\ell i} \Lambda(\alpha_\ell, \alpha_j) \right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [b_{kj}]_+ \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{\ell i} \lambda_{\ell k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{\ell i} \lambda_{\ell j} \right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [b_{kj}]_+ d_i \delta_{ik} + d_i \delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_j ([d_i b_{ij}]_+ + d_i \delta_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{d} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The identity in (3) follows immediately from (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(B\mathbf{b}, B\mathbf{d}) &= \Lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{b}^i, \mathbf{d}^* - * \mathbf{d}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Lambda(\mathbf{b}^i, \mathbf{d}^*) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Lambda(\mathbf{b}^i, * \mathbf{d}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \langle \mathbf{d}, \alpha_i \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{d} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we get (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{d} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(-B\mathbf{d} - * \mathbf{n}, -B\mathbf{b} - * \mathbf{m}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(B\mathbf{d}, B\mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(B\mathbf{d}, * \mathbf{m}) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(* \mathbf{n}, B\mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(* \mathbf{n}, * \mathbf{m}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \rangle + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(* \mathbf{n}, * \mathbf{m}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(* \mathbf{n}, * \mathbf{m}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose vertex k is a source in Q . Denote by $\mu_k Q = (\mu_k Q, \mathbf{d})$ the valued quiver obtained from Q by reversing all arrows at vertex k and leaving the valuations fixed. Note that k is a sink in $\mu_k Q$. Write S'_j for the simple representation of $\mu_k Q$ associated to vertex j . We will abuse notation and also denote by \mathcal{Q} the Grothendieck group of $\text{rep } \mu_k Q$ with $\alpha_j = \underline{\dim} S'_j$ for $j \in \mu_k Q_0$. Since the matrices $C_Q = C_{\mu_k Q}$ are equal, the root systems associated to Q and $\mu_k Q$ are equal and this will not lead to any confusion. Note that S_k is injective since k is a source in Q and S'_k is projective since k is a sink in $\mu_k Q$.

For $k \in Q_0$ let $\text{rep } Q \langle k \rangle$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{rep } Q$ of all valued representations of Q which do not contain S_k as a direct summand. In [5] it is shown that the reflection functors

$$\mathbb{S}_k^- : \text{rep } Q \leftrightarrow \text{rep } \mu_k Q : \mathbb{S}_k^+$$

restrict to inverse equivalences of categories

$$\mathbb{S}_k^- : \text{rep } Q \langle k \rangle \leftrightarrow \text{rep } \mu_k Q \langle k \rangle : \mathbb{S}_k^+.$$

Since it will be clear from context which to use we will drop the \pm from the notation and simply denote both functors by \mathbb{S}_k . See [5] for precise definitions of these functors in terms of the \mathbb{F} -species A_Q . We will use the following results proved in [5].

Lemma 3.2. [5, Proposition 2.1] *Let k be a sink or source in Q . For $X \in \text{rep } Q \langle k \rangle$ we have $\underline{\dim} \mathbb{S}_k X = \sigma_k(\underline{\dim} X)$ and $\mathbb{S}_k^2 X = X$.*

Lemma 3.3. [5, Proposition 2.3] *Let $X, X' \in \text{rep } Q$ be indecomposable.*

- (1) *If $k \in Q_0$ is a sink and $\mathbb{S}_k X' \neq 0$, then \mathbb{S}_k induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{S}_k X, \mathbb{S}_k X').$$

- (2) *If $k \in Q_0$ is a source and $\mathbb{S}_k X \neq 0$, then \mathbb{S}_k induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{S}_k X, \mathbb{S}_k X').$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r \in \mathcal{Q}$ be a sequence of dimension vectors. Let $M \in \text{rep } Q$ and write $\mathbf{e}_0 = \underline{\dim} M$ and $\mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$. Define the following sets of flags in M :

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M) = \{0 = M_{r+1} \subset M_r \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = M : \underline{\dim} M_i = \mathbf{e}_i\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^{Q\langle k \rangle}(M) = \{0 = M_{r+1} \subset M_r \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = M : \underline{\dim} M_i = \mathbf{e}_i; M_i, M_i/M_{i+1} \in \text{rep } Q\langle k \rangle\},$$

We will write

$$\mathbf{M} := M_{r+1} \subset M_r \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0$$

for a flag in $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M)$. We will also use the notation $\mathcal{F}\ell_r^Q(M) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M)$. When it is clear from context or irrelevant we will drop the quiver Q from the notation. In what follows we will use bold typesetting to represent a tuple, i.e. $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. By convention we will write $a_0 = a_{r+1} = 0$. For a field K denote by $Gr_{k,n}(K)$ the variety of all k -dimensional subspaces of K^n . By convention we will take $Gr_{k,n}(K) = \emptyset$ if $n < 0$, $k < 0$, or $n < k$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $M \in \text{rep } \mu_k Q\langle k \rangle$ and write $\underline{\dim} M = \mathbf{e}_0$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^{\mu_k Q}(M) = \prod_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 - a_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r - a_r \alpha_k}^{\mu_k Q\langle k \rangle}(M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{a_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + a_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}).$$

Note that the terms in the disjoint union are empty for all but finitely many \mathbf{a} .

Proof. Suppose the left hand side is empty because we do not have $\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} \geq \mathbf{e}_\ell \geq \mathbf{0}$ for all ℓ . Then the last factors are empty for all ℓ . Thus we may assume that $\mathbf{e}_0 \geq \mathbf{e}_1 \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{e}_r \geq \mathbf{0}$. Consider the map $\zeta : \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^{\mu_k Q}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 - a_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r - a_r \alpha_k}^{\mu_k Q\langle k \rangle}(M)$ given by $\mathbf{M} \mapsto \mathbf{N}$ where $M_\ell \cong N_\ell \oplus a_\ell S'_k$ with $N_\ell \in \text{Ob}(\text{rep } \mu_k Q\langle k \rangle)$. To see that this map is well-defined note that if $\phi : N_{\ell+1} \oplus a_{\ell+1} S'_k \rightarrow N_\ell \oplus a_\ell S'_k$, then $\phi(N_{\ell+1}) \subset N_\ell$ since S'_k is projective and $N_{\ell+1} \in \text{Ob}(\text{rep } \mu_k Q\langle k \rangle)$. One also needs to check that $N_\ell/N_{\ell+1} \in \text{Ob}(\text{rep } \mu_k Q\langle k \rangle)$ but this again follows from S'_k being projective and $N_\ell \in \text{Ob}(\text{rep } \mu_k Q\langle k \rangle)$. The map ζ is clearly surjective, and thus one sees that the left hand side is empty if and only if the right hand side is empty. Suppose \mathbf{N} is an element of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 - a_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r - a_r \alpha_k}^{\mu_k Q\langle k \rangle}(M)$ and label the inclusions $N_\ell \subset N_{\ell-1}$ by f_ℓ . The fibers of ζ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-1}(\mathbf{N}) &= \left\{ \{(f_\ell, g_\ell)\} \mid (f_\ell, g_\ell) : N_\ell \oplus a_\ell S'_k \hookrightarrow N_{\ell-1} \oplus a_{\ell-1} S'_k, 1 \leq \ell \leq r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \{g_\ell\} \mid g_\ell : a_\ell S'_k \hookrightarrow N_{\ell-1} \oplus a_{\ell-1} S'_k / N_\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq r \right\} \\ &= \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{a_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + a_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\zeta^{-1}(\mathbf{N})$ only depends on the dimension vectors of the N_i , the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $M \in \text{rep } Q\langle k \rangle$ and write $\underline{\dim} M = \mathbf{e}_0$, $\mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M) = \prod_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 + f_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r + f_r \alpha_k}^{Q\langle k \rangle}(M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{f_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_\ell - \mathbf{e}_{\ell+1} + f_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}).$$

Note that the terms in the disjoint union are empty for all but finitely many \mathbf{f} .

Proof. Notice that the left hand side and also the last factors on the right hand side will be empty unless $\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} \geq \mathbf{e}_\ell \geq \mathbf{0}$ for all ℓ . Thus we will assume this is true. Let Q^* denote the quiver obtained from Q by reversing all the arrows. Note that vertex k is a sink in Q^* . We will use the same notation for the linear

duality functor $(-)^* = \text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{F}) : \text{rep } Q \rightarrow \text{rep } Q^*$. The following equalities follow immediately from Lemma 3.4 and the definition of the functor $(-)^*$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M) &= \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_r, \dots, \mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1}^{Q^*}(M^*) \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_r - \mathbf{f}_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{f}_r \alpha_k}^{Q^* \langle k \rangle}(M^*) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \text{Gr}_{f_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{r-\ell+1} - \mathbf{e}_{r-\ell+2} + f_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}) \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{f}_r \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{f}_1 \alpha_k}^{Q \langle k \rangle}(M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \text{Gr}_{f_{r-\ell+1}, \langle \mathbf{e}_\ell - \mathbf{e}_{\ell+1} + f_{r-\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}) \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{f}_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{f}_r \alpha_k}^{Q \langle k \rangle}(M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \text{Gr}_{f_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_\ell - \mathbf{e}_{\ell+1} + f_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

As above one sees that the left hand side is empty if and only if the right hand side is empty. \square

The following result is well-known.

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\text{Gr}_{\ell, m+n}(\mathbb{F}) = \prod_{a+b=\ell} \mathbb{F}^{a(n-b)} \times \text{Gr}_{a,m}(\mathbb{F}) \times \text{Gr}_{b,n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

Remark 3.7. *Notice that by our conventions both sides will be empty if $\ell < 0$ or $m+n < 0$.*

Now we prove set-theoretic recursions on the sets of flags in $\text{rep } Q$ and $\text{rep } \mu_k Q$ for k a source in Q .

Theorem 3.8. *Let $M \in \text{rep } \mu_k Q \langle k \rangle$ and $\underline{\dim} M = \mathbf{e}_0 \geq \mathbf{e}_1 \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{e}_r \geq \mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^{\mu_k Q}(M) &= \prod_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_1) + c_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_r) + c_r \alpha_k}^Q(\mathbb{S}_k M) \times \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_\ell \langle \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) + c_\ell \alpha_k - c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle} \times \\
&\quad \times \text{Gr}_{c_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms in the disjoint union are empty for all but finitely many \mathbf{c} .

Proof. We get the recursion by combining the previous three Lemmas. Starting from the right hand side we apply Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_1) + c_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_r) + c_r \alpha_k}^Q(\mathbb{S}_k M) \times \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_\ell \langle \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) + c_\ell \alpha_k - c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle} \times \\
&\quad \times \text{Gr}_{c_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}) \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \prod_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_1) + (c_1 + f_1) \alpha_k, \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_r) + (c_r + f_r) \alpha_k}^{Q \langle k \rangle}(\mathbb{S}_k M) \times \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_\ell \langle \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) + c_\ell \alpha_k - c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle} \times \\
&\quad \times \text{Gr}_{c_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}) \times \\
&\quad \times \text{Gr}_{f_\ell, \langle \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}) + c_\ell \alpha_k - c_{\ell+1} \alpha_k + f_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $a_i = c_i + f_i$ and apply Lemma 3.6 to get

$$\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_1) + a_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_r) + a_r \alpha_k}^{Q\langle k \rangle}(\mathbb{S}_k M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{a_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + a_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}d_k).$$

Now using that \mathbb{S}_k is an exact equivalence of categories we get

$$\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1 - a_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r - a_r \alpha_k}^{\mu_k Q\langle k \rangle}(M) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r Gr_{a_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1} - \mathbf{e}_\ell + a_\ell \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}d_k).$$

which by Lemma 3.4 is equal to $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^{\mu_k Q}(M)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $M \in \text{rep } Q\langle k \rangle$ and $\underline{\dim} M = \mathbf{e}_0 \geq \mathbf{e}_1 \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{e}_r \geq \mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}^Q(M) &= \prod_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_1) - c_1 \alpha_k, \dots, \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_r) - c_r \alpha_k}^{\mu_k Q}(\mathbb{S}_k M) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}d_k^{\langle \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + c_\ell \alpha_k - c_{\ell-1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle} \times \\ &\quad \times Gr_{c_\ell, \langle \mathbf{e}_\ell - \mathbf{e}_{\ell+1} + \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell) - \sigma_k(\mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}) + c_{\ell-1} \alpha_k, \omega_k^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}d_k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms in the disjoint union are empty for all but finitely many \mathbf{c} .

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 3.8. \square

If all valuations of Q are equal, then one easily sees that $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(M)$ is a projective algebraic variety. The following proposition is inspired by [3, Prop. 3.6].

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose the valuations on the quiver Q are all equal. Let $M, N \in \text{rep } Q$. Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(M \oplus N)) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \in \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{e}_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq r}} \chi(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r}(M)) \chi(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r}(N)).$$

Proof. Define $\pi : M \oplus N \rightarrow N$ to be the projection on the second factor. Fix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}\ell_r(M)$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{F}\ell_r(N)$. We will write

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}}(M \oplus N) = \{ \mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{F}\ell_r(M \oplus N) : \mathbf{L} \cap M = \mathbf{B}, \pi(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{C} \}$$

where

$$\mathbf{L} \cap M = 0 \subset L_r \cap M \subset \dots \subset L_1 \cap M \subset M \text{ and } \pi(\mathbf{L}) = 0 \subset \pi(L_r) \subset \dots \subset \pi(L_1) \subset N.$$

Lemma 3.11. *The following map is an isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(C_i, B_{i-1}/B_i) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}}(M \oplus N) \\ \mathbf{f} = (f_i) &\mapsto \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{f}} \end{aligned}$$

where $L_i^{\mathbf{f}} = \{ b_{i-1} + c_i : b_{i-1} \in B_{i-1}, c_i \in C_i, \pi_i(b_{i-1}) = f_i(c_i) \}$ and $\pi_i : \mathbf{B}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{i-1}/\mathbf{B}_i$ is the natural projection.

Proof. Notice that $L_i^{\mathbf{f}} \cap M = \ker \pi_i = B_i$, $\pi(L_i^{\mathbf{f}}) = C_i$, and $L_i \subset L_{i-1}$ so the map is well defined. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}}(M \oplus N) &\rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(C_i, B_{i-1}/B_i) \\ \mathbf{L} &\mapsto \mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{L}} = (f_i^{\mathbf{L}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i^{\mathbf{L}}(c_i) := \pi_i(b_{i-1})$ for any $b_{i-1} \in B_{i-1}$ such that $b_{i-1} + c_i \in L_i$. If $b_{i-1} + c_i \in L_i$ and $b'_{i-1} + c_i \in L_i$ then $b_{i-1} - b'_{i-1} \in L_i \cap M = B_i$ so that $\pi_i(b_{i-1}) = \pi_i(b'_{i-1})$. Thus η is well defined. It is easy to see that $\eta \circ \zeta$ and $\zeta \circ \eta$ are identity maps. \square

Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(M \oplus N) &\rightarrow \coprod_{\mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{e}_i} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r}(M) \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r}(N) \\ \mathbf{L} &\mapsto (\mathbf{L} \cap M, \pi(\mathbf{L})). \end{aligned}$$

The fiber over a point (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) is $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}}(M \oplus N)$, which by Lemma 3.11 is isomorphic to an affine space with $|\mathbb{F}|^{\sum_{i=1}^r [C_i, B_{i-1}/B_i]}$ elements. The following proposition stated in [8] completes the proof.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\pi: V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism of complex varieties such that there exists some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\chi(\pi^{-1}(w)) = c$ for all $w \in \text{Im}(\pi)$. Then*

$$\chi(V) = c \chi(\text{Im}(\pi)).$$

In particular, if $\pi: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of complex varieties such that for all $w \in \text{Im}(\pi)$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(w)$ is isomorphic to an affine space, then $\chi(V) = \chi(\text{Im}(\pi))$.

□

Remark 3.13. *If one could show that $\sum_{i=1}^r [C_i, B_{i-1}/B_i]$ only depends on the dimension vectors of the C_i and B_i , then the fibers would be the same across all points of $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r}(M) \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r}(N)$ and we would have a set-theoretic decomposition of the set of flags.*

The following is a corollary of the proof of Proposition 3.10 and an analog of Lemma 3.6. We record it now for later use.

Corollary 3.14. *Let $M, N \in \text{rep } Q$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Suppose $\text{Ext}(N, M) = 0$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M \oplus N) = \coprod_{\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}} \mathbb{F}^{\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle} \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{b}}(M) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{c}}(N).$$

Proof. Following the remark above it suffices to show for $B \in \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{b}}(M)$ and $C \in \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{c}}(N)$ that $[C, M/B]$ only depends on the dimension vectors of B and C . To this end we will show that $[C, M/B]^1 = \text{Ext}(C, M/B) = 0$. Consider the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow B \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/B \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow C \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/C \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We apply $\text{Hom}(-, M)$ to the second sequence and $\text{Hom}(C, -)$ to the first sequence to get the following exact diagram taken from the corresponding long exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}(N, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(C, M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{Ext}(C, M/B) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Since $\text{Ext}(N, M) = 0$ we get $\text{Ext}(C, M/B) = 0$, and so

$$[C, M/B] = [C, M/B] - [C, M/B]^1 = \langle C, M/B \rangle$$

only depends on the dimension vectors of C and B .

□

Corollary 3.15. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $M_i \in \text{rep } Q$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Furthermore suppose $\text{Ext}(M_j, M_i) = 0$ for all $i < j$. Write \mathbf{m}_i for the dimension vector of M_i . Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^p M_i \right) = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathcal{Q} \\ 1 \leq i \leq p \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_p = \mathbf{e}}} \prod_{i=1}^p \mathbb{F}^{\sum_{j>i} \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{m}_i - \mathbf{e}_i \rangle} \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}_i}(M_i).$$

We will call $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{Q}_0^m$ an *adapted* sequence of vertices in Q if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i_1 is either a sink or source in Q ;
- for $2 \leq k \leq m-1$ the vertex i_k is either a sink or source in the quiver $\mu_{i_{k-1}} \cdots \mu_{i_1} Q$;
- i_m is any vertex in $\mu_{i_{m-1}} \cdots \mu_{i_1} Q$;
- $\sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_m}$ is reduced.

Let $P_{\mathbf{i}}^Q$ denote the valued representation of Q obtained by applying reflection functors along the sequence \mathbf{i} to the simple valued representation S_{i_m} of $\mu_{i_{m-1}} \cdots \mu_{i_1} Q$, i.e.

$$P_{\mathbf{i}}^Q = \mathbb{S}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbb{S}_{i_{m-1}} S_{i_m}.$$

Note that $P_{\mathbf{i}}^Q \in \text{rep } Q\langle i_1 \rangle$. We will drop the quiver Q from the notation when it will not lead to any confusion. We will call a representation of Q *adapted* if it can be written as a direct sum of representations of the form $P_{\mathbf{i}}$. Repeatedly applying Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 gives a complete decomposition of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})$ into a disjoint union of products of standard vector space Grassmannians (by the definition of $P_{\mathbf{i}}$ this process will eventually terminate). In order to write this decomposition explicitly we will need to introduce some notation. We define $\varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$ by

$$\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k^{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_k \text{ is a sink in } \mu_{i_{k-1}} \cdots \mu_{i_1} Q, \\ -1 & \text{if } i_k \text{ is a source in } \mu_{i_{k-1}} \cdots \mu_{i_1} Q. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ and assume $\underline{\dim} P_{\mathbf{i}}^Q = \mathbf{e}_0 \geq \mathbf{e}_1 \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{e}_r \geq \mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$ with $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathcal{Q}$. For $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq r+1$ we will define $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}$ recursively by

$$\mathcal{J}_{0,\ell} = \mathbf{e}_\ell, \quad \mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell} = \sigma_{i_{k+1}}(\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell}) + \varepsilon_{k+1} c_{k+1,\ell} \alpha_{i_{k+1}}.$$

Theorem 3.16. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be an adapted sequence of vertices in Q . Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}}) = \prod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}^{c_{k,\ell} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+\varepsilon_k}, \varepsilon_k \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle} \times \text{Gr}_{c_{k,\ell}, \langle \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell-\varepsilon_k} - \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell} - \sigma_{i_k}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell}) + \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+\varepsilon_k}, \varepsilon_k \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}^{d_{i_k}})$$

where the disjoint union is over all $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \geq \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Remark 3.17. *It is likely that the inequalities $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \geq \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}$ do not give a complete set of conditions, as some of the Grassmannians in the products may be empty.*

Remark 3.18. *We suspect that replacing the Grassmannians by their Schubert stratification will give a stratification of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})$ as a variety.*

Corollary 3.19. *For \mathbf{i} an adapted sequence in Q , the number of points in the set of flags $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})$ is given by a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q)$, with nonnegative integral coefficients, evaluated at $|\mathbb{F}|$. The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q) &= \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=0}^{m-2} \prod_{\ell=1}^r q^{d_{i_{k+1}} c_{k+1,\ell} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell} - \mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell+\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \varepsilon_{k+1} \omega_{i_{k+1}}^\vee \rangle} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell-\varepsilon_{k+1}} - \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - \sigma_{i_{k+1}}(\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell}) + \mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell+\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \varepsilon_{k+1} \omega_{i_{k+1}}^\vee \rangle \right)_{q^{d_{i_{k+1}}}} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \geq \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Remark 3.20. Recall that by our conventions $\binom{n}{k}_q = 0$ for $n < 0$, $k < 0$, or $n < k$. Also note that the q -binomial coefficients are polynomials in q with positive integral coefficients.

Corollary 3.21. Suppose the valuations on the quiver Q are all equal. Let X be an adapted representation of Q . Then for any $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r \in \mathcal{Q}$ the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(X))$ is nonnegative.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 3.19. \square

Remark 3.22. Using Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 3.19 one may explicitly compute the Euler characteristic of the variety of flags in any adapted representations of an equally valued quiver Q .

For $1 \leq s \leq r$ we write $\mathbf{i}_s = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$. Then write $j^{\max} = \max\{0, t : i_t = j\}$. By convention we will take $P_{\mathbf{i}_0} = 0$ the zero representation of Q .

Proposition 3.23. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ be an adapted sequence of vertices in Q . Let $j, k \in Q_0$ and write $s = j^{\max}$ and $t = k^{\max}$. Then $\text{Ext}(P_{\mathbf{i}_s}, P_{\mathbf{i}_t}) = \text{Ext}(P_{\mathbf{i}_t}, P_{\mathbf{i}_s}) = 0$.

Proof. If either j or k does not appear in \mathbf{i} then the statement is trivial. So we assume j and k both appear in \mathbf{i} and $s \leq t$. Then by Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show

$$\text{Ext}(S_{i_s}, S_{i_s} \cdots S_{i_{t-1}} S_{i_t}) = \text{Ext}(S_{i_s} \cdots S_{i_{t-1}} S_{i_t}, S_{i_s}) = 0.$$

But this follows from the fact that $\alpha = \sigma_{i_s} \cdots \sigma_{i_{t-1}}(\alpha_{i_t}) + \alpha_{i_s}$ is not a root. Indeed, there are no indecomposable representations with dimension vector α , see [9]. This implies that for any exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow S_{i_s} \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow S_{i_s} \cdots S_{i_{t-1}} S_{i_t} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow S_{i_s} \cdots S_{i_{t-1}} S_{i_t} \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow S_{i_s} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

we must have $X_1 = Y_1 \oplus Y_1'$ and $X_2 = Y_2 \oplus Y_2'$. But since $S_{i_s} \cdots S_{i_{t-1}} S_{i_t}$ is indecomposable, this can only hold if the sequences split. \square

For each $1 \leq k \leq n$ write $j_k = k^{\max}$. Let $P = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n P_{i_{j_k}}$. For an object $M \in \text{rep } Q$ write $\text{add}(M)$ for the full additive subcategory of $\text{rep } Q$ consisting of direct summands of M^n , $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 3.24. For any $X, Y \in \text{add}(P)$ we have $\text{Ext}(X, Y) = 0$.

Corollary 3.25. Let \mathbf{i} be an adapted sequence in Q and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for each $M \in \text{add}(P)$ the number of points in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ is given by a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q)$, with nonnegative integer coefficients, evaluated at

$|\mathbb{F}|$. Moreover, if we write $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n P_{i_{j_k}}^{\nu_k}$ and $p_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \nu_{\ell}$, then the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q) &= \sum_{\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_p = \mathbf{e}} q^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=p_k+1}^{p_{k+1}} \sum_{r>\ell} (\mathbf{e}_r, \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{j_{k+1}-1}}(\alpha_{k+1}) - \mathbf{e}_{\ell})} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{p_1} \mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}_{\ell}}(P_{i_{j_1}})}(q) \times \cdots \times \prod_{\ell=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} \mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}_{\ell}}(P_{i_{j_n}})}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 3.15. \square

Remark 3.26. Combining Corollary 3.25 with Corollary 3.19 we get explicit formulas for any $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q)$ with $M \in \text{add}(P)$.

4. APPLICATIONS TO CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

Suppose there exists a skew-symmetric matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ such that $B^T \Lambda = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Let d be the least common multiple of all the denominators of the λ_{ij} 's. For an indeterminate q let $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, q}$ denote the n -dimensional quantum torus, i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, q} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm \frac{1}{2d}}] \langle X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1} \mid X_i X_j = q^{\lambda_{ij}} X_j X_i \rangle.$$

For each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we define a monomial $X^{(\mathbf{a})} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, q}$ by:

$$X^{(\mathbf{a})} := q^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij} a_i a_j} X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_n^{a_n}.$$

Let $M \in \text{rep } Q$ and write $\mathbf{m} = [M] \in \mathcal{Q}$. In [15] the author defines elements X_M in the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, |\mathbb{F}|}$ by

$$X_M = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}} |\mathbb{F}|^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{e} \rangle} |Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M)| X^{(-B\mathbf{e} - * \mathbf{m})}.$$

For each adapted sequence \mathbf{i} , it is shown in [15] that X_{P_i} is a quantum cluster variable in the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\mathbb{F}|}(Q, \mathbf{d})$. Moreover one easily sees that for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $X_{P_{i_{j_k}}} \neq 1$, the cluster variables $X_{P_{i_{j_k}}}$ belong to the same cluster. We will call these *adapted* cluster variables since they are obtained by mutating from the initial cluster along an adapted sequence. One of our main results is that an analogous result holds in the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(Q, \mathbf{d})$. For adapted representations $M \in \text{add}(P)$ we define elements $X_{M,q} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda, q}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad X_{M,q} = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{e} \rangle} P_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q) X^{(-B\mathbf{e} - * \mathbf{m})}.$$

This is well-defined by Corollary 3.25. .

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $M, N \in \text{rep } Q$ have counting polynomials and write \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{n} for their dimension vectors. Furthermore suppose $\text{Ext}(N, M) = 0$. Then*

$$X_{N,q} X_{M,q} = q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(*\mathbf{n}, *\mathbf{m})} X_{M \oplus N, q}.$$

Proof. Notice that from Corollary 3.14 we get a similar decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M \oplus N)}(q)$ in terms of $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M)}(q)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(N)}(q)$. Using Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{N,q} X_{M,q} &= \sum_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{Q}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{d} \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{d}}(N)}(q) X^{(-B\mathbf{d} - * \mathbf{n})} \\ &\quad \cdot q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{b}}(M)}(q) X^{(-B\mathbf{b} - * \mathbf{m})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}} q^{\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{b}}(M)}(q) \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{d}}(N)}(q) X^{(-B\mathbf{e} - * (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}))} \\ &\quad \cdot q^{-\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b} \rangle} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{d} \rangle} q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(-B\mathbf{d} - * \mathbf{n}, -B\mathbf{b} - * \mathbf{m})} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(*\mathbf{m}, *\mathbf{n})} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{Q}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e} \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M \oplus N)}(q) X^{(-B\mathbf{e} - * (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}))} \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(*\mathbf{n}, *\mathbf{m})} X_{M \oplus N, q}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let \mathbf{i} be an adapted sequence in Q and write $P = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n P_{i_{j_k}}$. Let $M, N \in \text{add}(P)$ and write \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{n} for their dimension vectors. Then $X_{M,q}$ and $X_{N,q}$ quasi-commute, in particular*

$$X_{M,q} X_{N,q} = q^{\Lambda(*\mathbf{m}, *\mathbf{n})} X_{N,q} X_{M,q}.$$

We get the following important consequence of Corollary 3.19 and Corollary 3.25.

Theorem 4.3. *Let q be an indeterminate. The adapted cluster variable of $\mathcal{A}_q(Q, \mathbf{d})$ obtained from the initial cluster by mutating along the adapted sequence \mathbf{i} is $X_{P_i, q}$. Moreover, every adapted cluster monomial is of the form $X_{M,q}$ for some adapted representation M . The structure constants of these cluster monomials written in terms of the initial cluster are given by Laurent polynomials in $q^{1/2d}$ with positive integral coefficients.*

Proof. The proof of the first statement is identical to the proof of the main result in [15] since the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_i)}$ satisfy the same recursion as the Grassmannians. The second statement follows from Proposition 4.1. The final assertion follows from Corollary 3.25 and the specialization of Corollary 3.19 to one step flags. □

Remark 4.4. *Using equation (4.1), Corollary 3.19 and Corollary 3.25 we get explicit formulas for all adapted quantum cluster monomials.*

5. RANK 2 QUIVERS AND CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

In this section we specialize Theorem 3.16 to rank 2 valued quivers and obtain a more explicit decomposition of the set of flags of preprojective and postinjective indecomposable representations.

Fix positive integers b and c . Let $d = \gcd(b, c)$ and let $Q = Q_{b,c}$ be the quiver $\circ_1 \xleftarrow{d} \circ_2$ with d arrows. We define a valued representation V of Q by assigning an \mathbb{F}_c -vector space V_1 to the first vertex, an \mathbb{F}_b -vector space V_2 to the second vertex, and an \mathbb{F}_d -linear map $\varphi_i : V_2 \rightarrow V_1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, to each arrow. Denote by $\underline{\dim} V = (\dim V_1, \dim V_2)$ the *dimension vector* of V . Let S_1 and S_2 denote the simple representations associated to the vertices of Q . Write $\text{rep } Q$ for the category of finite dimensional representations of Q . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2$ denote the Grothendieck group of $\text{rep } Q$ where we write $\alpha_1 = [S_1]$ and $\alpha_2 = [S_2]$. Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -b \\ -c & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ be a Cartan matrix and identify the root lattice of the associated root system Φ with \mathcal{Q} by taking $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ as simple roots. We write $\sigma_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, $i = 1, 2$, for the simple reflections associated to the simple roots, where $\sigma_1(\alpha_2) = b\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\sigma_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + c\alpha_2$.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be an adapted sequence of vertices in Q . Notice that $i_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i_{k+1} \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{i_k\}$ for all k . In order to describe the dimension vector of $P_{\mathbf{i}}$ we introduce the following notation. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ write

$$d_k = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ c & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we will write $\sigma_1(\alpha_2) = d_2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\sigma_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + d_1\alpha_2$. Define two-parameter Chebyshev polynomials $U_{k,j}$ recursively by

$$U_{-2,j} = -1, \quad U_{-1,j} = 0, \quad U_{0,j} = 1, \quad U_{k+1,j+1} = d_j U_{k,j} - U_{k-1,j-1}.$$

Note that $U_{k,j}$ only depends on the parity of j . The following Lemma gives an expression for the two-parameter Chebyshev polynomials in terms of the normalized Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_k(x)$ defined recursively by:

$$U_{-2}(x) = -1, \quad U_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad U_0(x) = 1, \quad U_k(x) = xU_{k-1}(x) - U_{k-2}(x).$$

Lemma 5.1.

$$U_{k,j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d_{j+1}/d_j} U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, this implies $U_{k,j}$ does not depend on j when k is even.

Proof. We proceed by induction on k the cases $k = -2, -1, 0$ being trivial. Assume k is odd, $U_{k,j} = \sqrt{d_{j+1}/d_j} U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}})$ and $U_{k-1,j-1} = U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{k+1,j+1} &= d_j U_{k,j} - U_{k-1,j-1} \\ &= \sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}} U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) - U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) \\ &= \sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}} U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) - U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) \\ &= U_{k+1}(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) \\ &= U_{k+1}(\sqrt{d_{j+1} d_{j+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume k is even, $U_{k,j} = U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}})$ and $U_{k-1,j-1} = \sqrt{d_j/d_{j-1}} U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{k+1,j+1} &= d_j U_{k,j} - U_{k-1,j-1} \\
&= d_j U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) - \sqrt{d_j/d_{j-1}} U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) \\
&= \sqrt{d_j/d_{j-1}} \left(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j} U_k(\sqrt{d_j d_{j+1}}) - U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) \right) \\
&= \sqrt{d_j/d_{j-1}} \left(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j} U_k(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) - U_{k-1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) \right) \\
&= \sqrt{d_j/d_{j-1}} U_{k+1}(\sqrt{d_{j-1} d_j}) \\
&= \sqrt{d_{j+2}/d_{j+1}} U_{k+1}(\sqrt{d_{j+1} d_{j+2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we describe the dimension vectors of the P_i .

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathbf{i} be an adapted sequence of vertices in Q . Then we have*

$$\dim P_i = \begin{cases} U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-2,2}\alpha_2 & \text{if } i_1 = 1, \\ U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2 & \text{if } i_1 = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on m , the case $m = 1$ being trivial. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2) &= (d_2 U_{m-1,2} - U_{m-2,1})\alpha_1 + U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2 \\
&= U_{m,3}\alpha_1 + U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2 \\
&= U_{m,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2, \\
\sigma_2(U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-2,2}\alpha_2) &= U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + (d_1 U_{m-1,1} - U_{m-2,2})\alpha_2 \\
&= U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + (d_1 U_{m-1,1} - U_{m-2,0})\alpha_2 \\
&= U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m,2}\alpha_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.2 and the definition of P_i . \square

Now we specialize Theorem 3.16 to the rank 2 preprojective indecomposable case, i.e. where $i_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_k = 1$ for all k . Assume $m \geq 2$. For $1 \leq j \leq m+1$ let ϵ_j denote the standard generators of \mathbb{Z}^{m+1} . For $-1 \leq k \leq m-1$ define a \mathbb{Z} -linear map $J_k : \mathbb{Z}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on generators by

$$J_k(\epsilon_j) = \begin{cases} -U_{k-1,2} & \text{if } j = 1, \\ U_{k-j+2,j+1} & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq k+2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fix $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ and for $0 \leq \ell \leq r+1$ let $\mathbf{e}_\ell = e_{1,\ell}\alpha_1 + e_{2,\ell}\alpha_2$. For $-1 \leq k \leq m-1$ define the numbers $J_{k,\ell} := J_k(\mathbf{e}_\ell, \mathbf{c})$, in particular one may write

$$J_{k,\ell} = U_{k,3}e_{2,\ell} - U_{k-1,2}e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^k U_{k-j,j+1}c_{j,\ell}$$

where by convention we take $c_{k,0} = c_{k,r+1} = 0$ for all k . The following Lemmas will be useful in translating Theorem 3.16 to the rank 2 case.

Lemma 5.3. *For all k and ℓ we have $d_k J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} = J_{k+1,\ell}$.*

Proof. We will prove this when k is even, the proof for k odd is similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_k J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= d_k \left(U_{k,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k-1,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^k U_{k-j,j+1} c_{j,\ell} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(U_{k-1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k-2,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} U_{k-j-1,j+1} c_{j,\ell} \right) + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= (d_k U_{k,3} - U_{k-1,3}) e_{2,\ell} - (d_k U_{k-1,2} - U_{k-2,2}) e_{1,\ell} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_k U_{k-j,j+1} - U_{k-j-1,j+1}) c_{j,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= (d_2 U_{k,2} - U_{k-1,1}) e_{2,\ell} - (d_2 U_{k-1,2} - U_{k-2,1}) e_{1,\ell} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (d_k U_{k-2j,2j+1} - U_{k-2j-1,2j+1}) c_{2j,\ell} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (d_k U_{k-2j+1,2j} - U_{k-2j,2j}) c_{2j-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= U_{k+1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k,3} e_{1,\ell} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (d_{2j} U_{k-2j,2j} - U_{k-2j-1,2j-1}) c_{2j,\ell} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (d_{2j} U_{k-2j+1,2j} - U_{k-2j,2j-1}) c_{2j-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= U_{k+1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} U_{k+1-2j,2j+1} c_{2j,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} U_{k+1-2j+1,2j+1} c_{2j-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= U_{k+1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} U_{k+1-2j,2j+1} c_{2j,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} U_{k+1-2j+1,2j} c_{2j-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= U_{k+1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^k U_{k+1-j,j+1} c_{j,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell} \\
&= U_{k+1,3} e_{2,\ell} - U_{k,2} e_{1,\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} U_{k+1-j,j+1} c_{j,\ell} \\
&= J_{k+1,\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that we defined $\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq r+1$ recursively by

$$\mathcal{J}_{0,\ell} = \mathbf{e}_\ell, \quad \mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell} = \sigma_{i_{k+1}}(\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell}) + c_{k+1,\ell} \alpha_{i_{k+1}}.$$

Lemma 5.4. For $0 \leq \ell \leq r+1$ and $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} = \begin{cases} J_{k-1,\ell} \alpha_1 + J_{k,\ell} \alpha_2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ J_{k,\ell} \alpha_1 + J_{k-1,\ell} \alpha_2 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We show this by induction on k , the case $k = 0$ following directly from the definitions. Now if k is even and thus $i_{k+1} = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell} &= \sigma_{i_{k+1}}(\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell}) + c_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_{i_{k+1}} \\
&= \sigma_1(J_{k-1,\ell}\alpha_1 + J_{k,\ell}\alpha_2) + c_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_1 \\
&= (d_2 J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell})\alpha_1 + J_{k,\ell}\alpha_2 \\
&= (d_k J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell})\alpha_1 + J_{k,\ell}\alpha_2 \\
&= J_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_1 + J_{k,\ell}\alpha_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If k is odd and thus $i_{k+1} = 2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{k+1,\ell} &= \sigma_{i_{k+1}}(\mathcal{J}_{k,\ell}) + c_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_{i_{k+1}} \\
&= \sigma_2(J_{k,\ell}\alpha_1 + J_{k-1,\ell}\alpha_2) + c_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_2 \\
&= J_{k,\ell}\alpha_1 + (d_1 J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell})\alpha_2 \\
&= J_{k,\ell}\alpha_1 + (d_k J_{k,\ell} - J_{k-1,\ell} + c_{k+1,\ell})\alpha_2 \\
&= J_{k,\ell}\alpha_1 + J_{k+1,\ell}\alpha_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.5. For $0 \leq \ell \leq r+1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell-1} - \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell} - \sigma_{i_k}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell}) + \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}, \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle = J_{k-2,\ell-1} - d_{k-1}J_{k-1,\ell} + J_{k,\ell+1}.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.4 and the assumption that \mathbf{i} is sink adapted, i.e. $i_k = 1$ if k is odd and $i_k = 2$ if k is even. □

Theorem 5.6. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a sink adapted sequence in Q . Let $\underline{\dim} P_{\mathbf{i}} = U_{m-1,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-2,2}\alpha_2 = \mathbf{e}_0$ and write $\mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$. Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}}) = \coprod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_{k,\ell}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - J_{k,\ell+1} \rangle \times Gr_{c_{k,\ell}, J_{k-2,\ell-1} - d_{k-1}J_{k-1,\ell} + J_{k,\ell+1}}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k})$$

where the disjoint union is over all $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r)^{m-1}$ such that $J_{k,\ell} \geq J_{k,\ell+1}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq r$ and $-1 \leq k \leq m-1$.

Remark 5.7. Considering the condition on \mathbf{c} for $k = -1, 0$ we see that the set of flags is empty unless $\mathbf{e}_0 \geq \mathbf{e}_1 \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{e}_r \geq \mathbf{e}_{r+1} = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. Specializing the general decomposition of flags from Theorem 3.16 to rank 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r}(P_{\mathbf{i}}) &= \prod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_{k,\ell}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}, \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle \times Gr_{c_{k,\ell}, \langle \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell-1} - \mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell} - \sigma_{i_k}(\mathcal{J}_{k-1,\ell}) + \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell+1}, \omega_{i_k}^\vee \rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k}) \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_{k,\ell}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{k,\ell} - J_{k,\ell+1} \rangle \times Gr_{c_{k,\ell}, J_{k-2,\ell-1} - d_{k-1}J_{k-1,\ell} + J_{k,\ell+1}}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k})
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. □

In order to obtain the nicest possible specialization of Theorem 5.6 to one step flags, i.e. quiver Grassmannians, we will need the following

Lemma 5.8. For any $m, n, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$U_{m,j}U_{n,j-1} - U_{m-1,j-1}U_{n+1,j} = U_{m-k,j-k}U_{n-k,j-k-1} - U_{m-k-1,j-k-1}U_{n-k+1,j-k}.$$

In particular, $U_{m,j}U_{n,j-1} - U_{m-1,j-1}U_{n+1,j} = U_{n-m,j-m-1}$.

Proof. For any $m, n, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{m,j}U_{n,j-1} - U_{m-1,j-1}U_{n+1,j} \\ &= (d_{j-1}U_{m-1,j-1} - U_{m-2,j-2})U_{n,j-1} - U_{m-1,j-1}(d_{j-1}U_{n,j-1} - U_{n-1,j-2}) \\ &= U_{m-1,j-1}U_{n-1,j-2} - U_{m-2,j-2}U_{n,j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first statement follows. Taking $k = m$ gives the second statement. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a sink adapted sequence in Q . Then we have the following set-theoretic identity:*

$$Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_{\mathbf{i}}) = \coprod_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{F}_{d_k}^{c_k J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})} \times Gr_{c_k, U_{m-k, k} - d_{k-1} J_{k-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})}(\mathbb{F}_{d_k})$$

where the disjoint union is over all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ such that $U_{m-k-2, k} \geq J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m-2$.

Proof. Notice that when $r = 1$ by definition we have $J_{k,2} = 0$ for all k . Applying Lemma 5.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k-2,0} &= U_{k-2,3}e_{2,0} - U_{k-3,2}e_{1,0} \\ &= U_{k-2,3}U_{m-2,2} - U_{k-3,2}U_{m-1,1} \\ &= U_{k-2,3}U_{m-2,2} - U_{k-3,2}U_{m-1,3} \\ &= U_{m-k,4-k} \\ &= U_{m-k,k} \end{aligned}$$

for all k . The result follows. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a sink adapted sequence in Q . Then the number of points in $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_{\mathbf{i}})$ is given by a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q)$, with nonnegative integer coefficients, evaluated at $|\mathbb{F}|$. The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_{\mathbf{i}})}(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} q^{d_k c_k J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})} \binom{U_{m-k, k} - d_{k-1} J_{k-1}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c})}{c_k}_{q^{d_k}}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ such that $U_{m-k-2, k} \geq J_k(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m-2$.

Let q be a formal variable. Let \mathcal{T}_q be the 2-dimensional quantum torus, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_q = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}] \langle X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1} : X_2 X_1 = q X_1 X_2 \rangle$. Define the quantum cluster variables X_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, recursively by

$$(5.1) \quad X_{m-1} X_{m+1} = q^{d_{m-1}/2} X_m^{d_{m-1}} + 1$$

For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $X_m^{(a_1, a_2)} = q^{a_1 a_2 / 2} X_m^{a_1} X_{m+1}^{a_2}$ for the cluster monomials of the m^{th} cluster. We denote the initial cluster monomials by $X^{(a_1, a_2)} = X_1^{(a_1, a_2)}$. Let V be a valued representation of Q which has counting polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(V)}(q)$ for the sizes of all Grassmannians $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(V)$. Write \mathbf{v} for the dimension vector of V and define the element $X_{V,q}$ of the quantum torus \mathcal{T}_q by

$$(5.2) \quad X_{V,q} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{e})} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(V)}(q) X^{(d_2 e_2 - v_1, d_1 v_1 - v_2 - d_1 e_1)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 4.3, for $m \geq 3$ the cluster variable X_m is equal to $X_{P_i, q}$ where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{m-2})$ is a sink adapted sequence in Q , i.e. with $i_1 = 1$. Let $\mathbf{i}' = (i_1, \dots, i_{m-1})$ be the adapted sequence in Q containing one more vertex. Thus the cluster $\{X_m, X_{m+1}\}$ is just the pair $\{X_{P_i, q}, X_{P_{i'}, q}\}$. Now we compute the commutation exponent of X_{P_i} and $X_{P_{i'}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^*(U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-4,2}\alpha_2), *(U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-3,2}\alpha_2) \\ &= \Lambda(U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + (U_{m-4,2} - d_1 U_{m-3,1})\alpha_2, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + (U_{m-3,2} - d_1 U_{m-2,1})\alpha_2) \\ &= \Lambda(U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + (U_{m-4,0} - d_1 U_{m-3,1})\alpha_2, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + (U_{m-3,0} - d_1 U_{m-2,1})\alpha_2) \\ &= \Lambda(U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 - U_{m-2,2}\alpha_2, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 - U_{m-1,2}\alpha_2) \\ &= U_{m-1,2}U_{m-3,1} - U_{m-2,2}U_{m-2,1} \end{aligned}$$

which, by Lemma 5.8, is always -1 . Using Corollary 3.24 and Corollary 3.25 we get polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_i^{n_1} \oplus P_{i'}^{n_2})}(q)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_i^{n_1} \oplus P_{i'}^{n_2})}(q) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathcal{Q} \\ 1 \leq i \leq n_1+n_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{n_1+n_2} = \mathbf{e}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n_1} q^{\sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-4,2}\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}_\ell}(P_i)}(q) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} q^{\sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-3,2}\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}_\ell}(P_{i'})}(q). \end{aligned}$$

So by Proposition 4.1 the cluster monomials of the m^{th} cluster are given by $X_m^{(n_1, n_2)} = X_{P_i^{n_1} \oplus P_{i'}^{n_2}}$ and thus $X_m^{(n_1, n_2)}$ can be expressed by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} X_m^{(n_1, n_2)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{e}, (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1})\alpha_1 + (n_1 U_{m-4,2} + n_2 U_{m-3,2})\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e} \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(P_i^{n_1} \oplus P_{i'}^{n_2})}(q) \times \\ &\quad \times X^{(d_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1}), d_1 (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1}) - (n_1 U_{m-4,2} + n_2 U_{m-3,2}) - d_1 \mathbf{e}_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

To get a more palatable expression we manipulate the exponents of q appearing in $X_m^{(n_1, n_2)}$ using the identities $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle = d_1$, $\langle \alpha_2, \alpha_2 \rangle = d_2$, $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$, and $\langle \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \rangle = -d_1 d_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-4,2}\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle + \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-3,2}\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{e}, (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1})\alpha_1 + (n_1 U_{m-4,2} + n_2 U_{m-3,2})\alpha_2 - \mathbf{e} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-3,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-4,2}\alpha_2 \rangle - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle + \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, U_{m-2,1}\alpha_1 + U_{m-3,2}\alpha_2 \rangle - \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{r>\ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{e}, (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1})\alpha_1 + (n_1 U_{m-4,2} + n_2 U_{m-3,2})\alpha_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \sum_\ell \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \sum_{r>\ell} [d_1 e_{1,r} U_{m-3,1} + d_2 e_{2,r} (U_{m-4,2} - d_1 U_{m-3,1})] + \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{r>\ell} [d_1 e_{1,r} U_{m-2,1} + d_2 e_{2,r} (U_{m-3,2} - d_1 U_{m-2,1})] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [d_1 e_1 (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1}) + d_2 e_2 ((n_1 U_{m-4,2} + n_2 U_{m-3,2}) - d_1 (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1}))] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{r \leq \ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle - \sum_{r > \ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle \right] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_1} \sum_{r>\ell} [d_1 e_{1,r} U_{m-3,1} - d_2 e_{2,r} U_{m-2,2}] + \sum_{\ell=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{r>\ell} [d_1 e_{1,r} U_{m-2,1} + d_2 e_{2,r} U_{m-1,2}] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [d_1 e_1 (n_1 U_{m-3,1} + n_2 U_{m-2,1}) + d_2 e_2 (n_1 U_{m-2,2} + n_2 U_{m-1,2})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{r \leq \ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle - \sum_{r > \ell} \langle \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\ell \rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the initial cluster monomial associated to \mathbf{e} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& X^{(d_2e_2-(n_1U_{m-3,1}+n_2U_{m-2,1}),d_1(n_1U_{m-3,1}+n_2U_{m-2,1})-(n_1U_{m-4,2}+n_2U_{m-3,2})-d_1e_1)} \\
&= X^{(d_2e_2-n_1U_{m-3,1}-n_2U_{m-2,1},-n_1(U_{m-4,2}-d_1U_{m-3,1})-n_2(U_{m-3,2}-d_1U_{m-2,1})-d_1e_1)} \\
&= X^{(d_2e_2-n_1U_{m-3,1}-n_2U_{m-2,1},-n_1(U_{m-4,0}-d_1U_{m-3,1})-n_2(U_{m-3,0}-d_1U_{m-2,1})-d_1e_1)} \\
&= X^{(d_2e_2-n_1U_{m-3,1}-n_2U_{m-2,1},n_1U_{m-2,2}+n_2U_{m-1,2}-d_1e_1)} \\
&= q^{-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(-(n_1U_{m-3,1}+n_2U_{m-2,1})\alpha_1+(n_1U_{m-2,2}+n_2U_{m-1,2})\alpha_2,d_2e_2\alpha_1-d_1e_1\alpha_2)} \\
&\quad X^{(-n_1U_{m-3,1}-n_2U_{m-2,1},n_1U_{m-2,2}+n_2U_{m-1,2})} X^{(d_2e_2,-d_1e_1)} \\
&= q^{\frac{1}{2}[d_1e_1(n_1U_{m-3,1}+n_2U_{m-2,1})-d_2e_2(n_1U_{m-2,2}+n_2U_{m-1,2})]} \\
&\quad X^{(-n_1U_{m-3,1}-n_2U_{m-2,1},n_1U_{m-2,2}+n_2U_{m-1,2})} X^{(d_2e_2,-d_1e_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 follows from these calculations. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Setting $q = 1$ in Theorem 1.4 gives Theorem 1.2. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Berenstein, A., and Zelevinsky, A. “Quantum cluster algebras.” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 195, **2** (2005), pp. 405–455.
- [2] Fomin, S., and Zelevinsky, A. “Cluster algebras. I. Foundations.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), no. 2, pp. 497–529.
- [3] Caldero, P., Chapoton, F. “Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations.” *Comment. Math. Helv.* 81 (2006), no. 3, pp. 595–616.
- [4] Caldero, P., and Zelevinsky, A. “Laurent expansions in cluster algebras via quiver representations.” *Mosc. Math. J.* 6 (2006), no. 3, pp. 411–429, 587.
- [5] Dlab, V., and Ringel, C. “Indecomposable Representations of Graphs and Algebras.” *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **173** (1976).
- [6] Dupont, G. “Positivity in coefficient-free rank two cluster algebras.” *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (2009), no. 1, Research Paper 98.
- [7] Geiss, C., Leclerc, B., and Schroer, J. “Cluster Algebra Structures and Semicanonical Bases for Unipotent Groups.” arXiv Preprint: math/0703039
- [8] Geiss, C., Leclerc, B., Schröer, J., ”Semicanonical Bases and Preprojective Algebras. II. A Multiplication Formula.” *Compos. Math.* 143 (2007), no. 5, 1313-1334.
- [9] Hubery, A. “Quiver Representations Respecting a Quiver Automorphism: A generalisation of a Theorem of Kac, Representations of algebras and related topics.” 187–200, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [10] Lampe, P. “A quantum cluster algebra of Kronecker type and the dual canonical basis.” Preprint: math/1002.2762v2.
- [11] Lampe, P. Private communication.
- [12] Lee, K. “On cluster variables of rank two acyclic cluster algebras”, ArXiv Preprint: math.CO/1008.1829.
- [13] Lee, K. “A step toward the cluster positivity conjecture”, ArXiv Preprint: math.QA/1103.2726.
- [14] Qin, F. “Quantum Cluster Variables via Serre Polynomials.” Preprint: math.QA/1004.4171v2.
- [15] Rupel, D. “On a Quantum Analog of the Caldero-Chapoton Formula.” *IMRN* 2010; doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnq192
- [16] Schiffmann, O. “Lectures on Hall Algebras.” Preprint: math/0611617v1.
- [17] Szanto, C. “On the cardinalities of Kronecker quiver Grassmannians.” ArXiv Preprint: math.RT/0903.1928.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, DRUPEL@UOREGON.EDU