Conformal symmetry in non-local field theories

M. A. Rajabpour[a*]

[a]SISSA and INFN, *Sezione di Trieste*, via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

October 18, 2018

Abstract

We have shown that a particular class of non-local free field theory has conformal symmetry in arbitrary dimensions. Using the local field theory counterpart of this class, we have found the Noether currents and Ward identities of the translation, rotation and scale symmetries. The operator product expansion of the energy-momentum tensor with quasi-primary fields is also investigated.

1   Introduction

Non-local field theories are well-known as a method to describe the scaling limit of the long-range interacting systems and they are much studied in statistical physics and string theory. Long-range spin systems [1], rough surfaces [2] and diffusion processes [3] are just few examples among many in statistical physics.

Although many studies have dealt with non-local field theories, mostly calculating the renormalization group equations and scaling properties, we still know very little about the role of the symmetries in these systems. One of the very powerful symmetries is conformal symmetry, which is the Poincare symmetry plus scale and special conformal symmetry. It is powerful because it puts several restrictions on the form of the correlation functions. For

---

[*]e-mail: rajabpour@sissa.it

an extensive study of conformal field theory (CFT), see [4, 5]. For a recent tutorial on conformal symmetry in diverse dimensions, see [6]. Since special conformal symmetry is a symmetry made of translation and inversion, it could be quite surprising if we find conformal symmetry in non-local field theories. The rule for conformal symmetry in non-local field theories is already discussed in the string theory community by usually defining the theory by its correlation functions[1] [8, 9]. Some deformed versions of conformal symmetry in non-local field theories are also discussed in ageing systems, see [10, 11] and reference therein. In this paper we will study systematically the fractional Laplacian field theory (at the level of the lagrangian) which is a generalization of the field theory with different powers of the Laplacian. We first show that this field theory has conformal symmetry in any dimension. In two dimensions it has just global conformal symmetry and not full conformal symmetry. Then we will study different consequences of conformal symmetry in these field theories.

The paper is organized as follows. In the section 2 we define the fractional Laplacian and we show that the fractional Laplacian field theory has conformal symmetry in arbitrary dimensions. In the section 3 we will introduce a method to find the Noether currents of the symmetries in non-local field theories by mapping the non-local field theory to a local field theory. We also study the Ward identities of the translational, rotational and scale invariance. In the section 4 we investigate the operator product expansion structure in the non-local field theories. Finally in the last section we will summarize our findings and give some comments about possible future directions.

2 Conformal symmetry of the fractional Laplacian field theory

In this section we will discuss the conformal symmetry of the fractional Laplacian field theory in general dimensions. The action of the fractional Laplacian field theory is as follows:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \Phi(x)(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(x) d^d x, \qquad (2.1)$$

---

[1]There are also some comments about the conformal symmetry at the level of the non-local Lagrangian [7].

where $(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the fractional laplacian defined by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) = |\mathbf{p}|^{\alpha}\widehat{\Phi(\mathbf{p})}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here we define the Fourier transform as

$$F[f(x)] = \widehat{f(\mathbf{p})} = \int f(\mathbf{x})e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}d^d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.3}$$

The non-local nature of the fractional Laplacian can be seen in its representation in the real space, which is

$$(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi(x) = C(d,\alpha)\int \frac{\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}d^dy, \qquad 0 < \alpha < 2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $C(d,\alpha)$ is a constant [12]. The inverse of the fractional Laplacian is the Riesz potential and has the following form

$$(-\triangle)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Psi(x) = D_1(d,\alpha)\int \frac{\Psi(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}}d^dy, \qquad 0 < \alpha < d, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Psi(x) = (-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi(x)$ and $D_1(d,\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-\alpha}{2})}{2^{\alpha}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$.

The conformal algebra in d dimensions is made of d generators of translation, $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ generators of rotation, 1 generator of the scale transformation and d generators of the special conformal transformation. It is quite simple to see that the action (2.1) has translational, rotational and scale symmetry for $0 < \alpha < 2$. The invariance of the action with respect to the special conformal transformation, which is composed of translation plus inversion, is not trivial. The transformation corresponding to inversion is $\mathbf{x} \to \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}$. To see that the action (2.1) is conformally invariant, we need to show that under the transformation

$$\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}' = g(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \Phi'(\mathbf{x}') = |J_g|^{\frac{-d+\alpha}{2}}\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2.6}$$

where $g(\mathbf{x})$ is the conformal transformation and $|J_g|$ is the dth root of the Jacobian of the transformation, the action does not change. To have conformal symmetry, we need to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int \Phi(x)(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi(x)d^dx = \frac{1}{2}\int \Phi'(x')(-\triangle')^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi'(x')d^dx', \tag{2.7}$$

3

or

$$|J_g|^{\frac{\alpha+d}{2}}(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Phi'(x)|_{x=x'} = (-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(|J_g|^{\frac{-\alpha+d}{2}}\Phi'(x')). \qquad (2.8)$$

Using the Jacobian of the the inversion transformation, i.e. $|J| = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}$, and using the equality

$$|\mathbf{x}|^{-\alpha-d}\int |\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \mathbf{y}|^{-\alpha-d}f(\mathbf{y})d^dy = \int |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-\alpha-d}|\mathbf{y}|^{-d+\alpha}f(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y}|^2})d^dy, \qquad (2.9)$$

one can easily show that equation (2.8) is valid for the inversion transformation. Checking the validity of equality (2.7) for translation, rotation and scale transformations is almost a trivial task. The above calculation shows that the action (2.1) is conformally invariant for $0 < \alpha < d$. The definition of the case $d = \alpha$ is very similar to the previous one, we just need to consider logarithm in the definition of the Riesz potential

$$(-\triangle)^{-\frac{d}{2}}\Psi(x) = D_2(d,\alpha)\int \log |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-1}\Psi(y)d^dy, \qquad (2.10)$$

where $D_2(d,\alpha) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$. The ordinary Laplacian is just $\alpha = d = 2$, and it is well-known that it is fully conformally invariant. In the appendix we show that $\alpha = d = 4$ is also conformally invariant. We conjecture that the action (2.1) is conformally invariant for all positive real α's. The field $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ is a quasi-primary field with conformal weight $x_\phi = \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$; in other words, the two-point correlation function of the $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ operator is

$$< \Phi(x)\Phi(0) >= \begin{cases} D_1(d,\alpha)\frac{1}{|x|^{d-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < d, \\ D_2(d,\alpha)\log|x|^{-1}, & \alpha = d. \end{cases} \qquad (2.11)$$

The operator $\Phi(x)$ is not the only operator with power law correlations, for $\alpha = d$ it is easy to see that the operator $e^{i\beta\Phi(x)}$ is also quasi-primary and

$$< e^{i\beta\Phi(x)}e^{-i\beta\Phi(0)} >= \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta^2 D_2(d,\alpha)}}. \qquad (2.12)$$

It is not difficult to see that to get a non-trivial correlation function one needs to fix the charges to zero, in other words

$$< e^{i\alpha_1\Phi(x_1)}e^{i\alpha_2\Phi(x_2)}...e^{i\alpha_n\Phi(x_n)} >= 0, \qquad (2.13)$$

4

if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_n \neq 0$. This is a consequence of the symmetry of the action with respect to $\Phi(x) \to \Phi(x) + a$ (this can be seen after partial integration). It is worth mentioning that the following action is also conformally invariant

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\Phi(x)(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(x) + (\Phi^2(x))^{\frac{d}{d-\alpha}} \right) d^d x. \tag{2.14}$$

The scale invariance of the above action can be checked by simple dimensional argument.

3 Ward identities

The field theory defined by (2.1) is a non-local field theory. The non-locality makes the study of the variations of the field theory difficult. One way to overcome this difficulty is to use the local counterpart of the fractional Laplacian. This can be done by following the Caffarelli and Silvestre trick [13], see also [14]. The correspondence is based on the equivalence of the fractional field theory in d dimensions with an ordinary Laplacian in $n = d + 1$ dimensions, in other words

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{y>0} (\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y))^2 y^{1-\alpha} d^d x dy = C \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\Phi(x)(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(x) \right) d^d x, \tag{3.1}$$

where C is a constant and $0 < \alpha < 2$ (for an extension to the range $0 < \alpha < d$ as long as α is not an integer see [14]) and $\tilde{\Phi}(x,0) = \Phi(x)$. The above equality can be easily shown in momentum space [13] . It is also easy to show that $\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)$ and $\Phi(x)$ have the same two point functions in $\mathbf{x}$ space. Using the Euler-Lagrange equation of the local field theory, i.e. $\partial^\mu (y^{1-\alpha} \partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y)) = 0$, one can show that

$$C(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(x) = \lim_{y \to 0} y^{1-\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}_y(x,y) = \delta^d(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Motivated by the above correspondence, we study the symmetries of the local field theory instead of the non-local fractional Laplacian. First of all we notice that our field theory is translational invariant in $\mathbf{x}$ space so we will have d generators of translation. In addition we have $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ generators of rotation in $\mathbf{x}$ space and also d generators of special conformal

transformations and a generator of the scale transformation. The space of the symmetries of the two field theories in the equation (3.1) is the same. The very tricky symmetry is the scale invariance which is highly dependent on the y coordinate.

The conserved currents J_a^μ of the local field theory are defined by the response of the action to the infinitesimal transformation of the coordinates $x'^\mu = x^\mu + \omega_a \frac{\delta x'^\mu}{\delta \omega_a}(x)$ and fields $\tilde{\Phi}'(x', y') = \tilde{\Phi}(x, y) + \omega^a \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \omega_a}(x, y)$. For the generic field theory $S = \int \mathcal{L}[\tilde{\Phi}(x, y), \partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x, y)] y^{1-\alpha} d^d x dy$ the transformation of the action is

$$S' - S = \int_{y>0} \partial_\mu J_a^\mu \omega^a d^d x dy, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$J_a^\mu = y^{1-\alpha} \Big((\delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y))} \partial_\nu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y)) \frac{\delta x^\nu}{\delta \omega_a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y))} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \omega_a} \Big), \tag{3.4}$$

and $\mu = 1, 2, ..., n$. From now on we will use italic letters for the quantities in the $\mathbf{x}$ space and the Greek letters for the quantities in the $(\mathbf{x}, y)$ space. It is worth mentioning that to get the equation (3.3) we do not need to do any partial integration. The conserved current associated with the translational invariance is the canonical energy-momentum tensor and has the following form:

$$T_{\mu i}^c = y^{1-\alpha} \Big(\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x, y) \partial_i \tilde{\Phi}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu i} (\partial \tilde{\Phi}(x, y))^2 \Big), \tag{3.5}$$

where $i = 1, 2, ..., d$. Using the equations of motion it is easy to show that $\partial^\mu T_{\mu i}^c = 0$. Formally one can also define $T_{\mu y}^c$ by the same formula, but one should keep in mind that it is not a conserved current. Since the above energy-momentum tensor is already symmetric, one can write the associated conserved current of the rotational invariance as

$$j_{\mu i j} = T_{\mu i}^c x_j - T_{\mu j}^c x_i. \tag{3.6}$$

Using the equations of motion, one can again easily show that $\partial^\mu j_{\mu i j} = 0$. The last and the most tricky conserved current is the dilation current, it can be written as

$$D_\mu = -x_\nu T_\mu^{c\nu} + y^{1-\alpha} x_\phi \tilde{\Phi}(x, y) \partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x, y), \tag{3.7}$$

6

where $x_\phi = \frac{n-1-\alpha}{2} = \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$ and $\partial^\mu D_\mu = 0$. Notice that the energy-momentum tensor is living in $d+1$ dimensions, so we expect that the weight of this energy-momentum tensor will be $d+1$. On the other hand, the non-local field theory is living in d dimensions so to get a sensible energy-momentum tensor for our system it is reasonable to integrate $T(x,y)$ over y from zero to infinity. We define

$$t_{\mu\nu} = \int_0^\infty T_{\mu\nu}^c dy. \tag{3.8}$$

Using the above definition, one can write the Ward identity of the translational invariance in **x** space as

$$\partial^j < t_{ij}\mathbf{X} > = -\sum_k \delta(x - x_k)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^i} < \mathbf{X} >, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathbf{X}$ is any operator living in the **x** space and without any derivative over y. The Ward identity of the rotational symmetry is

$$< (t_{ij} - t_{ji})\mathbf{X} > = -i\sum_k \delta(x - x_k)S_{ij}^k < \mathbf{X} >, \tag{3.10}$$

where S_{ij}^k is the spin generator appropriate for the k-th field of the set X. The Ward identity of the scale symmetry has a form that is a bit disappointing;

$$\begin{aligned}
< t_i^i X > &+ \int dy y < \partial^i T_{iy}\mathbf{X} > + x_{\phi_k}\int dy y^{1-\alpha} < \partial^i\left(\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial_i\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\right)\mathbf{X} > \\
&= -\sum_k \delta(x - x_k)x_{\phi_k} < \mathbf{X} >,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where x_{ϕ_k} is the weight appropriate for the k-th field of the set $\mathbf{X}$. In usual CFT studies the second and the third terms in the left hand side disappear because there one starts with a traceless energy-momentum tensor which helps to write the conserved dilation current as $D_\mu = x^\nu T_{\nu\mu}$. It seems that this is not a trivial task to do in our model because making $T_{\mu\nu}$ traceless in n dimensions does not mean that we can write D_μ fully with respect to the energy-momentum tensor. The trouble comes from the fact that the energy-momentum tensor is not conserved in the y direction while D_μ is fully affected by also the y space. In other words $\partial^\mu D_\mu = T_\mu^\mu + x^\nu\partial^\mu T_{\nu\mu} = T_\mu^\mu + y\partial^\mu T_{\mu y}$, where the second term is zero in

the usual CFT due to the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor in the whole space. Another way to view this problem is to look at the form of the virial in the equation (3.11), $V_i = \int dy y T_{iy} + x_\phi \int dy y^{1-\alpha} \left(\tilde{\Phi}(x,y) \partial_i \tilde{\Phi}(x,y) \right)$. Although it is possible to write the second term as the gradient of a tensor, it is impossible to do the same with the first term. This is in contrast with what one expects from the previous arguments [6, 15] which state that the virial should be a gradiant of a tensor for conformally invariant models (for an example see the appendix). This means that although t_{ij} carries some properties of the energy-momentum tensor in the $\mathbf{x}$ space it is not exactly the same as the conventional energy-momentum tensor. In two dimensions, it is probably impossible to find a traceless energy-momentum tensor because tracelessness means that the action is invariant under full conformal symmetry [15] which we already know is not true in our case.

4 Operator product expansion

In this section, we study the leading terms of the operator product expansion of the energy-momentum tensor with the primary fields. For simplicity we first focus on the case $\alpha = 1$. Although the explicit dependence on y in the action and the energy-momentum tensor disappears, the original field theory is still a non-local field theory. For this case one can simply use the traceless energy-momentum tensor of the bosonic field theory in n dimensions. To bring out the connection to well-known facts in bosonic field theory, we write the action as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y))^2 d^d x dy, \tag{4.1}$$

with the following two point function

$$< \tilde{\Phi}(x,y) \tilde{\Phi}(0,0) > = \frac{1}{S_n(n-2)r^{n-2}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + y^2}$ and $S_n = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma[\frac{n}{2}]}$. We define the energy-momentum tensor with the

following equation[2]:

$$\delta S = -\frac{1}{S_n} \int \partial^\mu T_{\mu\nu} \omega^\nu d^d x dy. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the traceless energy-momentum tensor is

$$\frac{T_{\mu\nu}}{S_n} = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Big(n\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial_\nu \tilde{\Phi}(x,y) - (n-2)\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\tilde{\Phi}(x,y) -$$
$$\delta_{\mu\nu}\Big((\partial\tilde{\Phi}(x,y))^2 - \frac{n-2}{n}\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial^2\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\Big)\Big). \tag{4.4}$$

The operator product expansion of the above energy-momentum tensor with a primary operator is[3]

$$T_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\Phi}(0,0) = A^n_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\Phi}(0,0) + B^n_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial^\lambda\tilde{\Phi}(0,0), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$A^n_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\frac{nx_{\tilde\phi}}{n-1}(r_\mu r_\nu - \frac{1}{n}r^2\delta_{\mu\nu})}{r^{n+2}}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$B^n_{\mu\nu\lambda} = B^{1n}_{\mu\nu\lambda} + B^{2n}_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$B^{1n}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\Big(\frac{r_\mu\delta_{\nu\lambda} + r_\nu\delta_{\mu\lambda} - (2/n)r_\lambda\delta_{\mu\nu}}{r^n}\Big), \tag{4.8}$$

$$B^{2n}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\Big(\frac{(r_\mu r_\nu - \frac{1}{n}r^2\delta_{\mu\nu})r_\lambda}{r^{n+2}}\Big), \tag{4.9}$$

with $x_{\tilde\phi} = \frac{n-2}{2}$. The integration over y gives

$$t_{ij}\Phi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}d\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}A^d_{ij}\Phi(0) + \Big(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}d\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}B^{1d}_{ijk} + d(d-1)\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}B^{2d}_{ijk}\Big)\partial^k\Phi(0) + ..., \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$A^d_{ij} = \frac{\frac{(d+1)x_\phi}{d}(r_i r_j - \frac{1}{d}r^2\delta_{ij})}{r^{d+2}}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$B^{1d}_{ijk} = \frac{d+1}{2d}\Big(\frac{r_i\delta_{jk} + r_j\delta_{ik} - (2/d)r_k\delta_{ij}}{r^d}\Big) \tag{4.12}$$

$$B^{2d}_{ijk} = \frac{(d-1)(d+1)}{2d}\Big(\frac{(r_i r_j - \frac{1}{d}r^2\delta_{ij})r_k}{r^{d+2}}\Big). \tag{4.13}$$

---

[2]The normalization is chosen to be coherent with the well-known results for $\alpha = 1$, see for example [16].

As we will see for $\alpha \neq 1$ different normalization leads to the known OPEs.

[3]This form is valid for generic CFT with generic primary operators [17].

9

To get an idea about the above results we now investigate the most interesting dimension $d = 2$. Define the following energy-momentum tensors in the complex plane

$$t_{zz} = t_{00} - 2it_{10} - t_{11}, \qquad (4.14)$$

$$t_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = t_{00} + 2it_{10} - t_{11}, \qquad (4.15)$$

$$t_{\bar{z}z} = \frac{1}{4}(t_{00} + t_{11}). \qquad (4.16)$$

It is easy to show that

$$t_{zz}\Phi(0) = \frac{x_\phi}{z^2}\Phi(0) + \frac{5\partial_z\Phi(0,0)}{2z} + \frac{\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}}\Phi(0,0)}{2z^2} + ..., \qquad (4.17)$$

$$t_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\Phi(0) = \frac{x_\phi}{\bar{z}^2}\Phi(0) + \frac{5\partial_{\bar{z}}\Phi(0,0)}{2\bar{z}} + \frac{z\partial_z\Phi(0,0)}{2\bar{z}^2} + ..., \qquad (4.18)$$

where $x_\phi = \frac{d-1}{2}$. All of the terms, except the most singular one, do not have the usual CFT form (in usual CFT we have $t_{zz}\Phi(0) = \frac{x_\phi}{z^2}\Phi(0) + \frac{\partial_z\Phi(0)}{z}$). This is not surprising because as we already noticed the Ward identity of the dilation symmetry does not have the usual form. The first term is very similar to the usual CFT term, however, one should be careful that the above equation still needs to be renormalized by C. The equation (4.17) shows that t_{ij} has some properties that we expect for the conformal field theories. t_{ij} carries some important features of the energy-momentum tensor; for example, (4.17) suggests that one can consider $t_{zz}(t_{\bar{z}\bar{z}})$ as a holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) operator with spin $2(-2)$. Getting the OPE of the energy-momentum tensor with itself is a non-trivial task. Doing blindly the two integrations over the y space of the OPE of the two energy-momentum tensors in the (**x**,y) space will give the infinity. This seems consistent with the observation in [9], however, we think that more study in this direction is necessary.

For generic α one can consider the following conserved energy-momentum tensor

$$\frac{T_{\mu i}}{S_n} = -\frac{y^{1-\alpha}}{2(n-1)}\left(n\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial_i\tilde{\Phi}(x,y) - (n-2)\tilde{\Phi}(x,y)\partial_\mu\partial_i\tilde{\Phi}(x,y) - \delta_{\mu i}(\partial\tilde{\Phi}(x,y))^2\right), (4.19)$$

where $\partial^\mu T_{\mu i} = 0$. Note that the above energy-momentum tensor is symmetric but not traceless, i.e. $T_i^i \neq 0$. Then the OPE of T with $\tilde{\Phi}$ after using (4.2) and Wick's theorem is

$$T_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\Phi}(0,0) = \frac{(n+1-\alpha)y^{1-\alpha}}{2(n-1)}\frac{(r_\mu r_\nu - \frac{1}{n+1-\alpha}r^2\delta_{\mu\nu})}{r^{n+3-\alpha}}\tilde{\Phi}(0,0) + ... \qquad (4.20)$$

10

After integration over y one can simply write

$$t_{ij}\Phi(0) = \frac{(d-1)\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}{4\Gamma(\frac{2+d-\alpha}{2})}\frac{(r_i r_j - \frac{1}{d}r^2\delta_{ij})}{r^{d+2}}\Phi(0) + ...$$ (4.21)

Renormalization of t_{ij} will lead us to the well-known form of the first term of the OPE of the energy-momentum tensor and a primary field.

5   Conclusion

In this paper we showed that non-local field theories can have conformal symmetry in arbitrary dimensions. This gives an example of a field theory in two dimensions which is globally conformally invariant but not fully conformally symmetric [15, 20, 18]. Using the local counterpart of the non-local field theory, we proposed a way to extract the Noether currents of the non-local field theories. The Ward identities of the translation, rotation and scale transformations were derived. The Ward identity of the scale symmetry does not have the usual form that we expect in CFT. In other words we have not been able to write all the conserved currents with respect to the energy-momentum tensor. We do not have a particular argument to rule out the possibility of writing all the conserved currents with respect to an improved energy-momentum tensor except in two dimensions. Finding such an improved energy-momentum-tensor is a subject for further studies in this direction. We should point out here that since our starting point was the fractional Laplacian field theory, we encountered the possibility of using the fractional derivative in the construction of the operator structure of our model. This is the reason that we have energy-momentum tensor in our construction which is absent in the earlier discussions [8].

Using the energy-momentum tensor defined in the third section, we also calculated the different operator product expansions. Some terms in the operator product expansions (the most singular terms) have forms very similar to the cases in the usual CFTs, the less singular terms are quite different from the usual CFT. We have not been able to find a consistent OPE for the two energy-momentum tensors.

Appendix :Symmetric traceless energy-momentum tensor of the fourth derivative free theory

In this appendix we study the symmetries of the fourth derivative free theory. The purpose of this appendix is to give an idea about the difference between the conformal symmetry in the two dimensions and higher dimensions. We show that it is possible to find a symmetric traceless energy-momentum tensor in $d > 2$ but it is impossible to find a traceless-energy-momentum tensor in $d = 2$ except for $\alpha = 2$. The action of the fourth derivative free theory is

$$S = \int d^d x (\triangle \psi(x))^2, \tag{5.22}$$

where $\triangle \psi(x) = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \psi(x)$. Consider the transformation (2.6) with $\alpha = 4$. The canonical energy-momentum tensor which is the generator of translation has the following form

$$T^c_{\mu\nu} = -2\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi(x) \triangle \psi(x) + 2\partial_\nu \psi \partial_\mu (\triangle \psi(x)) + \delta_{\mu\nu} (\triangle \psi(x))^2. \tag{5.23}$$

The above energy-momentum tensor is not symmetric. To get a symmetric energy-momentum tensor one can use the following Belinfante tensor defined for arbitrary dimension:

$$B_{\rho\mu\nu} = -2\delta_{\mu\nu} \triangle \psi(x) \partial_\rho \psi + 2\delta_{\rho\nu} \triangle \psi(x) \partial_\mu \psi, \tag{5.24}$$

which is antisymmetric with respect to the first two indices. Using the above tensor one can write the Belinfante energy-momentum tensor as

$$T^B_{\mu\nu} = T^c_{\mu\nu} + \partial^\rho B_{\mu\rho\nu} = 2\partial_\nu \psi \partial_\mu (\triangle \psi(x)) +$$
$$2\partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu (\triangle \psi(x)) - \delta_{\mu\nu} \Big((\triangle \psi(x))^2 + 2\partial_\rho \psi \partial_\rho (\triangle \psi(x)) \Big). \tag{5.25}$$

Using the above energy-momentum tensor, one can simply write the generator of the rotation as

$$j_{\mu\nu\rho} = T^B_{\mu\nu}x_\rho - T^B_{\mu\rho}x_\nu. \tag{5.26}$$

The generator of the scale invariance is

$$D^\mu = x^\nu T^{c\mu}_\nu - \frac{d-2}{2}\triangle\psi(x)\partial^\mu\psi + (\frac{d}{2} - 3)\psi\partial^\mu\triangle\psi(x). \tag{5.27}$$

We define now the following tensor

$$\sigma^{\alpha\mu} = 2\partial^\alpha\psi\partial^\mu\psi - 2\psi\partial^\alpha\partial^\mu\psi + (d-2)\delta^{\alpha\mu}\psi\triangle\psi(x), \tag{5.28}$$

then the virial of the field ψ will have the following form

$$V^\mu = \partial_\alpha\sigma^{\alpha\mu} = d\triangle\psi(x)\partial^\mu\psi + (d-4)\psi\partial^\mu\triangle\psi(x). \tag{5.29}$$

One can easily show that

$$\partial_\mu V^\mu = d(\triangle\psi(x))^2 + (2d-4)\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\triangle\psi(x) \tag{5.30}$$

Using the above definitions one can define the following traceless improved energy-momentum tensor [21] :

$$T^t_{\mu\nu} = T^B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda\partial_\rho X^{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}, \tag{5.31}$$

where

$$X^{\lambda\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{d-2}\Big(\delta^{\lambda\rho}\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \delta^{\lambda\mu}\sigma^{\rho\nu} - \delta^{\lambda\nu}\sigma^{\rho\mu} + \delta^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\lambda\rho} + \frac{1}{d-1}(\delta^{\lambda\rho}\delta^{\mu\nu} - \delta^{\lambda\mu}\delta^{\rho\nu})\sigma^\alpha_\alpha\Big). \tag{5.32}$$

Then one can also write

$$D^\mu = x^\nu T^{t\mu}_\nu. \tag{5.33}$$

The above procedure is not repeatable for $d = 2$ because $X^{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}$ in (5.32) becomes singular. This is related to the fact that the action (5.22) does not have full conformal symmetry, as we already know. It is only invariant under Möbius transformations. The situation is very similar to the non-local field theories that we have discussed in sections 2 and 3.

References

[1] M. E. Fisher, Shang-keng Ma, and B. G. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 917920.

[2] J. Kondev and C. L. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 4580, M. A. Rajabpour and S. M. Vaez Allaei, Phys. Rev. E. 80 (2009) 011115 [arXiv:0907.0881]

[3] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, Fract. Calc. App. Anal. 1 (1998) 167.

[4] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1997).

[5] J. Cardy, Conformal Invariance in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, eds. Domb and Lebowitz, Vol. XI, (Academic, New York, 1986).

[6] R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi [arXiv:1101.4886]

[7] V. S. Rychkov, A. Vichi, Phys.Rev.D 80 (2009) 045006

[8] I. Heemskerk, J. Penedones, J. Polchinski, J. Sully, JHEP (2009) 0910:079

[9] S. El-Showk, K. Papadodimas [arXiv:1101.4163]

[10] X. Durang, M. Henkel, J. Phys. A Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 395004

[11] M. Henkel, M. Pleimling, *Non-equilibrium phase transitions II: Ageing and dynamical scaling far from equilibrium* Springer Verlag (Heidelberg) (2010)

[12] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.

[13] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 no. 7-9 (2007) 12451260

[14] S.-Y. A. Chang, M. del Mar Gonźalez, Adv. Math. 226:2 (2011)1410

[15] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B, 303 (1988) 226

[16] A. Cappelli and A. Coste, Nucl.Phys. B314 (1989) 707-740.

[17] J. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B 290 [FS20] (1987) 355.

[18] D. Dorigoni, S. Rychkov [arXiv:0910.1087]

[19] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 46 (1987) 129; [JETP Lett. 46 (1987) 160]

[20] V. Riva and J. L. Cardy, Phys. Lett. B 622 (2005) 339 [arXiv:hep-th/0504197].

[21] C. Callan, S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. 59 (1970) 42