# GRAPH-LIKE ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE DIRICHLET LAPLACIAN IN CONNECTED TUBULAR DOMAINS

CLAUDIO CACCIAPUOTI

## DiSAT, Sezione di Matematica, Università dell'Insubria Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy claudio.cacciapuoti@uninsubria.it

Abstract. We consider the Dirichlet Laplacian in a waveguide of uniform width and infinite length which is ideally divided into three parts: a "vertex region", compactly supported and with non zero curvature, and two "edge regions" which are semi-infinite straight strips. We make the waveguide collapse onto a graph by squeezing the edge regions to half-lines and the vertex region to a point. In a setting in which the ratio between the width of the waveguide and the longitudinal extension of the vertex region goes to zero, we prove the convergence of the operator to a selfadjoint realization of the Laplacian on a two edged graph. In the limit operator, the boundary conditions in the vertex depend on the spectral properties of an effective one dimensional Hamiltonian associated to the vertex region.

Keywords: Quantum graphs, quantum waveguides. MSC 2010: 81Q35, 81Q37, 47A10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Metric graphs are objects of great interest as simple but highly not trivial tools to investigate questions covering several topical issues in pure and applied mathematics. They offer a twofold advantage: they are quite simple objects (in many cases formulas for the relevant quantities can be explicitly computed), showing at the same time non trivial features. We evade the duty of giving an exhaustive list of applications of metric graphs and limit ourselves to point out several recent volumes on the subject [\[3,](#page-20-0) [4,](#page-20-1) [16,](#page-21-0) [37\]](#page-21-1), where an extensive list of references can be found.

Our work is addressed to investigate a long standing problem within the theory of metric graphs, namely, to find a rigorous justification for the use of graph models to approximate dynamics in networks of thin waveguides. In many applications the problem is reduced to compare, in some suitable sense, the Laplacian in a squeezing network of thin tubes with a selfadjoint realization of the Laplacian on the graph. A difficult feature of the problem originates from the fact that requiring selfadjointness does not fix univocally the operator on the graph. Each selfadjoint realization of the Laplacian on a graph is associated to certain boundary (or matching) conditions in the vertices. There are different ways to express the most general selfadjoint boundary conditions, see, e.g., [\[9,](#page-21-2) [29,](#page-21-3) [31\]](#page-21-4), for the sake of simplicity let us specify one of them for the case of a star-graph  $G$ , that is a graph with N edges of infinite length and one vertex v. We denote by  $\mathbb{H}_G$  the Hilbert space naturally associated to  $\mathcal{G}$ , a function  $x \in \mathbb{H}_G$ is a N-component vector valued function,  $x = (x_1, ..., x_N)$ , such that  $x_j \in L^2((0, \infty))$  is the component of the wave function associated to the j-th edge of the graph. In each edge the vertex v is identified with the origin of the half-line  $[0, \infty)$ . Consider an orthogonal projector  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and a selfadjoint operator  $\Theta$  in Ran( $\Pi^{\perp}$ )  $\subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$ , with  $\Pi^{\perp} = \mathbb{I} - \Pi$ . We denote by  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Pi,\Theta}$  the selfadjoint realization of the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$  associated to the couple of operators (Π, Θ). Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_N)$  be a vector in the domain of  $-\Delta_g^{\Pi, \Theta}$  $g^{II, \Theta}$ , then  $x_j \in H^2((0, \infty))$  and x must satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\Pi x(v) = 0 , \quad \Pi^{\perp} x'(v) + \Theta \Pi^{\perp} x(v) = 0 ,
$$

where we denoted by  $x(v)$  and  $x'(v)$  the vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  defined by  $x(v) \equiv (x_1(0), ..., x_N(0))$  and  $x'(v) \equiv (x'_1(0), ..., x'_N(0)),$  moreover  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty}}^{\Pi, \Theta}$  $g_{\overline{G}}^{1,0}x = (-x_1'', \dots, -x_N'').$ 

Among the possible realizations of  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Pi,\Theta}$  we mention:

- The Dirichlet (or decoupling) Laplacian: it is defined by the boundary condition  $x(v)$  = 0, which corresponds to the choice  $\Pi = \mathbb{I}$ , in this case dim[Ran  $(\Pi^{\perp})$ ] = 0.
- The weighted Kirchhoff Laplacian: it is defined by the conditions  $\alpha_j x_i(0) = \alpha_i x_j(0)$ ,  $i, j = 1, ..., N$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{\alpha}_i x_i'(0) = 0$ , with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\alpha_i|^2 \neq 0$ . This conditions correspond to the choice

(1.1) 
$$
(\Pi)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j}{\sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_k|^2}, \quad i, j = 1, ..., N, \qquad \Theta = 0,
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>where  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker symbol. In this case  $\dim[\text{Ran}(\Pi^{\perp})] = 1$ . When all the constants  $\alpha_j$  are equal the operator defined by the choice [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) is called Kirchhoff (or standard) Laplacian and the boundary conditions read  $x_1(0) = ... = x_N(0)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i(0) = 0.$ 

A central problem in using operators in the family  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Pi,\Theta}$  $\mathcal{G}$  to approximate the dynamics generated by the Laplacian in a network of thin tubes squeezing to a graph is to understand which boundary conditions in the vertex arise in limit (see, e.g., [\[17,](#page-21-5) [18,](#page-21-6) [38\]](#page-21-7) for a review on this topic). It turns out that this problem strongly depends on what kind of Laplacian is taken in the squeezing network.

With Neumann conditions on the boundary of the squeezing network (or if one considers a network without boundary see, e.g., [\[19,](#page-21-8) [40\]](#page-21-9)) the problem is in some sense easier. Under general assumptions on the network properties (see the discussion in [\[19\]](#page-21-8)), the limit operator on the graph is characterized by weighted Kirchhoff conditions in the vertex, with  $\alpha_i$  real and the ratio  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  being related to the relative radius of network's edges.

In the setting of a Neumann network a first result can be traced back to the work [\[10\]](#page-21-10), where the convergence of the eigenvalues is used to show that in dimension three or higher it is possible to construct a compact Riemannian manifold such that the first nonvanishing eigenvalue of the Laplacian has arbitrary multiplicity. Later on, the problem has been studied from the point of view of the convergence of stochastics processes, see [\[23\]](#page-21-11) (see also [\[2\]](#page-20-2), for recent results in this direction in a setting with Dirichlet conditions). In [\[19,](#page-21-8) [32,](#page-21-12) [42\]](#page-22-0) the convergence of eigenvalues was analyzed while in [\[40\]](#page-21-9) the convergence of operators in norm resolvent sense was proved (see also [\[43,](#page-22-1) [44\]](#page-22-2)). We also mention the works [\[5,](#page-20-3) [33\]](#page-21-13) in which the problem is analyzed by using the theory of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of manifolds and the techniques developed in [\[34\]](#page-21-14).

In the setting with Neumann boundary conditions we quote also: the work [\[20\]](#page-21-15) in which the convergence of resonances is analyzed and the work [\[21\]](#page-21-16) where the presence of a scaled potential supported in the vertex region is taken into account. It is also worth pointing out that there exist works addressed to the analysis of the corresponding nonlinear problem: see, e.g., [\[41\]](#page-21-17), and references therein; [\[30\]](#page-21-18) in which the convergence of semilinear elliptic equations is studied; and [\[46\]](#page-22-3) for the study of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

The case of a Dirichlet network squeezing to a graph is more complicated and not yet completely understood. One main difficulty is related to the fact that as the width of the network squeezes to zero the energy functional diverges as the inverse of the square of the width. Hence, to get a meaningful, limit a renormalization of the energy is needed. Moreover geometrical perturbations can modify substantially the spectral properties of the Laplacian in the network, a well known phenomenon is, for example, the appearing of isolated eigenvalues in curved waveguides, see [\[15,](#page-21-19) [17,](#page-21-5) [22\]](#page-21-20). Similar problems arise when the confinement is obtained through an holonomic constrain, see, e.g., [\[14\]](#page-21-21).

In [\[39\]](#page-21-22) it is shown that in a Dirichlet network, if the volume of the vertex region is small enough, then the boundary conditions in the vertex are of Dirichlet type. Nevertheless it is known that more general assumptions cannot exclude different limits. In particular it is understood that weighted Kirchhoff conditions of the form described above may arise whenever an effective Hamiltonian associated to the vertex region exhibits eigenvalues at the threshold of the transverse energy see, e.g., [\[25,](#page-21-23) [26,](#page-21-24) [36\]](#page-21-25), and [\[12,](#page-21-26) [13\]](#page-21-27), in which these ideas are further exploited and the occurrence of non homogenous terms in the weighted Kirchhoff condition is pointed out.

In a previous work [\[1\]](#page-20-4) we considered the Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions on the boundary of a planar waveguide of uniform width collapsing onto a two edged graph. We proved that two possible limits can arise:

- In one case, which we call generic, the limit operator on the graph is defined by the Dirichlet condition in the vertex.
- In a second case, which we call non-generic, the limit operator on the graph is defined by a weighted Kirchhoff condition in the vertex. The occurrence of the non-generic case is related to the existence of a zero energy resonance for an effective one dimensional Hamiltonian associated with the squeezing waveguide.

A crucial hypothesis within the model analyzed in [\[1\]](#page-20-4) is the assumption that there exist two scales of energy: a short one associated to the width of the waveguide,  $\delta$ ; and a large one associated to the longitudinal extension of the vertex region,  $\varepsilon$ , with  $\delta < \varepsilon^{5/2}$ . This assumption implies that the dynamics in the waveguide is adiabatically separated into a "fast" component associated to the transverse coordinate and a "slow" component associated to the longitudinal coordinate, making it possible to analyze the problem in two steps. First the dynamics is reduced to a one dimensional one by projecting onto the transverse eigenfunctions and by taking the limit  $\delta \to 0$  (in norm resolvent sense); then the operator on the graph is obtained by taking the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  (in norm resolvent sense) of the effective one dimensional Hamiltonian. For the analysis of a similar problem in three dimensional waveguides with methods of Gamma-convergence we mention the paper [\[11\]](#page-21-28), see also [\[6\]](#page-20-5).

Aim of the present work is to give a better understanding of the result obtained in [\[1\]](#page-20-4) in view of applications to multi-edged graphs. We review the problem separating explicitly the edges and vertex regions in the waveguide. According to the ideas in [\[12,](#page-21-26) [13,](#page-21-27) [25,](#page-21-23) [26,](#page-21-24) [36\]](#page-21-25), we reinterpret the existence of the zero energy resonance (which leads to coupling conditions in the vertex) as an eigenvalue at the energy of the transverse modes for an effective Hamiltonian associated to the vertex region. Moreover in the generic case we obtain better estimates (as compared to [\[1\]](#page-20-4)) for the rate of convergence  $(\delta < \varepsilon^{3/2})$ , instead of  $\delta < \varepsilon^{5/2}$ ).

Related to the topic of our paper are also several works which concern the approximation of generic matching conditions in the vertex by scaled Schrödinger operators on the graph, see for example [\[9,](#page-21-2) [35\]](#page-21-29) and the review [\[18\]](#page-21-6). We also point out the paper [\[24\]](#page-21-30) (and references therein) concerning the analysis of the convergence of Schrödinger operators in dimension one to  $\delta'$ -type point interactions.

Here is a short summary of our paper. In section [2](#page-2-0) we define our model for the waveguide as the union of three parts: the two edges and the vertex region. Moreover we define the Dirichlet Laplacian in the waveguide. In section [3](#page-7-0) we present our main results (Theorem [1](#page-8-0) and Theorem [2\)](#page-9-0). Sections [4](#page-9-1) and [5](#page-12-0) are devoted to the proofs of the main theorems. In section [6](#page-17-0) we explain how to interpret the result stated in Theorems [1](#page-8-0) and [2](#page-9-0) in terms of the convergence (in norm resolvent sense) to an operator on the graph, see Theorem [3.](#page-18-0) We close the paper with section [7](#page-19-0) in which we summarize our results and point out some generalizations.

#### 2. The model

<span id="page-2-0"></span>We consider a smooth waveguide of uniform width  $\delta > 0$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We assume that the waveguide can be decomposed into three parts: two straight edges  $E_{1,\delta}$  and  $E_{2,\delta}$  and one vertex region  $V_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  being a parameter characterizing the "longitudinal extension" of the vertex region. Throughout the paper we shall always assume that  $\delta/\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Each edge  $E_{i,\delta}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , can be identified with the manifold  $(E, \mathfrak{h}_{\delta})$  where  $E := (0, \infty) \times (0, 1)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}$  is the metric

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{\delta} := ds^2 + \delta^2 du^2,
$$

where  $s \in (0,\infty)$  and  $u \in (0,1)$ . The vertex region can be identified with the manifold  $(V,\mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ where  $V := (-1, 1) \times (0, 1)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  is the metric

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon}:=\varepsilon^2g_{\delta/\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{d} s^2+\delta^2\,\mathrm{d} u^2
$$

with  $s \in (-1,1)$  and  $u \in (0,1)$ . The function  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon}(s, u)$  is defined by

(2.1) 
$$
g_{\delta/\varepsilon}(s,u) = (1 + u\delta/\varepsilon\gamma(s))^2,
$$

where  $\gamma(s)$  is a function of s and we assume that  $\gamma \in C_0^{\infty}((-1,1))$  and  $\|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}((-1,1))} < 1$ . We note that this implies that  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(V)$  and that for all  $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ , the bounds

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
(2.2) \t 0 < (1 - \|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}((-1,1))})^2 \le \|g_{\delta/\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(V)} \le (1 + \|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}((-1,1))})^2 < 4
$$

hold true. The waveguide is obtained by identifying the boundary of  $E_{1,\delta}$  corresponding to  $s = 0$ with the boundary of  $V_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  corresponding to  $s = -1$  and the boundary of  $E_{2,\delta}$  corresponding to  $s = 0$  with the boundary of  $V_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  corresponding to  $s = 1$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the Hilbert space

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}:=L^2(E_{1,\delta})\oplus L^2(E_{2,\delta})\oplus L^2(V_{\delta,\varepsilon})\,,
$$

where  $L^2(E_{j,\delta}) \equiv L^2(E, \mathfrak{h}_{\delta}),$   $j = 1, 2,$  and  $L^2(V_{\delta,\varepsilon}) \equiv L^2(V, \mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon}).$  Moreover we denote by a capital Greek letter a vector in  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_v)$ . Given two vectors  $\Phi \equiv (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_v) \in \mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ and  $\Psi \equiv (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_v) \in \mathbb{H}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  the scalar product  $(\Phi, \Psi)_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta, \varepsilon}}$  and the norm  $\|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta, \varepsilon}}$  read respectively

$$
(\Phi, \Psi)_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} = \sum_{k=1,2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \overline{\phi_k} \psi_k \det[\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}]^{1/2} ds du + \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \overline{\phi_v} \psi_v \det[\mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon}]^{1/2} ds du,
$$

with

(2.3) 
$$
\det[\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}] = \delta^2, \quad \det[\mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon}] = \delta^2 \varepsilon^2 g_{\delta/\varepsilon},
$$

and  $\|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} = (\Psi, \Psi)^{1/2}_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}$ . In  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  we define the sesquilinear form  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\Phi,\Psi] := \sum_{k=1,2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\overline{\partial \phi_k}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\overline{\partial \phi_k}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial u} \right] \det[\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}]^{1/2} ds du + \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \frac{\overline{\partial \phi_v}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \psi_v}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\overline{\partial \phi_v}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \psi_v}{\partial u} \right] \det[\mathfrak{g}_{\delta,\varepsilon}]^{1/2} ds du.
$$

Below we shall replace the term sesquilinear form by quadratic form (this is justified by the polarization theorem, see, e.g., [\[28\]](#page-21-31)) and we shall also use the notation  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\Psi] \equiv Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\Psi,\Psi]$ . Let  $\mathring{C}^{\infty}$  be the set

<span id="page-3-1"></span>(2.4)  
\n
$$
\mathring{C}^{\infty} := \{ \Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_v) | \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C_0^{\infty}(\overline{E}), \ \psi_v \in C^{\infty}(V) ;
$$
\n
$$
\psi_1(s, 0) = \psi_1(s, 1) = \psi_2(s, 0) = \psi_2(s, 1) = \psi_v(s, 0) = \psi_v(s, 1) = 0 ;
$$
\n
$$
[\partial_s^k \psi_1](0, u) = [(-\varepsilon)^{-k} \partial_s^k \psi_v](-1, u) ; \ [\partial_s^k \psi_2](0, u) = [\varepsilon^{-k} \partial_s^k \psi_v](1, u), \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \},
$$

where we denoted by  $\overline{E}$  the closure of E. The domain  $D(Q_{\delta,\varepsilon})$  of the quadratic form  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is the closure of  $\mathring{C}^{\infty}$  equipped with the norm

$$
\|\Psi\|_{Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}}:=\big(\|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}^2+Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\Psi]\big)^{1/2}
$$

.

We denote by  $H_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the unique selfadjoint operator in  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  associated to the quadratic form  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ 

$$
D(H_{\delta,\varepsilon}) := \{ \Psi \in D(Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}) | \ \forall \, \Phi \in D(Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}), Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\Phi, \Psi] = \langle \Phi, \Xi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}; \ \Xi \in \mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon} \}
$$

$$
H_{\delta,\varepsilon} \Psi := \Xi.
$$

Let  $L^2(E)$  and  $L^2(V)$  be the complex Hilbert spaces endowed with the norms

$$
\|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^2(E)}^2 := \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 |\tilde{\psi}_j|^2 ds du, \ j = 1, 2; \quad \|\tilde{\psi}_v\|_{L^2(V)}^2 := \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 |\tilde{\psi}_j|^2 ds du.
$$

Let us denote by  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  the complex Hilbert space

 $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon} := L^2(E) \oplus L^2(E) \oplus L^2(V, \varepsilon ds du)$ .

Given two vectors  $\tilde{\Phi} \equiv (\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2, \tilde{\phi}_v) \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\tilde{\Psi} \equiv (\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_v) \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  the scalar product  $(\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Psi})_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}$ and the norm  $\|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}$  read

(2.5)  
\n
$$
(\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Psi})_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{k=1,2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 \overline{\tilde{\phi}_k} \tilde{\psi}_k ds du + \varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \overline{\tilde{\phi}_v} \tilde{\psi}_v ds du,
$$
\n
$$
||\tilde{\Psi}||_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} = \left( \|\tilde{\psi}_1\|_{L^2(E)}^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}_2\|_{L^2(E)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\tilde{\psi}_v\|_{L^2(V)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>For all  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  we denote by  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the unitary map  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon} \to \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  defined by

<span id="page-4-3"></span>(2.6) 
$$
(\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_v) \equiv U_{\delta, \varepsilon}(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_v) := (\det[\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}]^{1/4} \psi_1, \det[\mathfrak{h}_{\delta}]^{1/4} \psi_2, \varepsilon^{-1/2} \det[\mathfrak{g}_{\delta, \varepsilon}]^{1/4} \psi_v) = (\delta^{1/2} \psi_1, \delta^{1/2} \psi_2, \delta^{1/2} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/4} \psi_v),
$$

where we used equation  $(2.3)$ .

For all  $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we denote by  $\mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the closure of  $\mathring{C}^{\infty}$ , defined in equation [\(2.4\)](#page-3-1), with respect to the norm (2.7)

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\|\Psi\|_{\dot{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}}^2 := \|\Psi\|_{\bar{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}^2 + \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ \|\partial_s \psi_j\|_{L^2(E)}^2 + \delta^{-2} \|\partial_u \psi_j\|_{L^2(E)}^2 \right] + \varepsilon \left[ \varepsilon^{-2} \|\partial_s \psi_v\|_{L^2(V)}^2 + \delta^{-2} \|\partial_u \psi_v\|_{L^2(V)}^2 \right],
$$

and by  $\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the closure of  $\mathring{C}^\infty$  with respect to the norm

$$
\|\Psi\|^2_{\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon}}:=\|\Psi\|^2_{\mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}}+\sum_{j=1,2}\Big[\|\partial^2_s\psi_j\|^2_{L^2(E)}+\delta^{-4}\|\partial^2_u\psi_j\|^2_{L^2(E)}\Big]+\varepsilon\Big[\varepsilon^{-4}\|\partial^2_s\psi_v\|^2_{L^2(V)}+\delta^{-4}\|\partial^2_u\psi_v\|^2_{L^2(V)}\Big].
$$

We note that  $\mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  coincide with

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\hat{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{1} = \{ \tilde{\Psi} \equiv (\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \tilde{\psi}_{v}) \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon} | \|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\hat{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{1}} < \infty ; \tilde{\psi}_{1}\|_{u=0,1} = \tilde{\psi}_{2}\|_{u=0,1} = 0 ; \tilde{\psi}_{v}\|_{u=0,1} = 0 ;
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\psi}_{1}\|_{s=0} = \tilde{\psi}_{v}\|_{s=-1} , \tilde{\psi}_{2}\|_{s=0} = \tilde{\psi}_{v}\|_{s=1} \},
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>(2.9)  
\n
$$
\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} = \left\{ \tilde{\Psi} \equiv (\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_v) \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon} | \|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon}} < \infty ; \tilde{\psi}_1|_{u=0,1} = \tilde{\psi}_2|_{u=0,1} = 0 ; \tilde{\psi}_v|_{u=0,1} = 0 ;
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\psi}_1|_{s=0} = \tilde{\psi}_v|_{s=-1} ; \tilde{\psi}_2|_{s=0} = \tilde{\psi}_v|_{s=1} ;
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_1}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=-1} ; \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_2}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=1} \right\}.
$$

In formulae  $(2.8)$  and  $(2.9)$ , in the boundary values, the symbols  $\big|_{u=0,1}$  and  $\big|_{s=0,\pm 1}$  are understood as trace operators.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Proposition 2.1.** The Hamiltonian  $H_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is unitarily equivalent to the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  :  $D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon} \to \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  defined by

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
(2.10) \t\t D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) = \mathring{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^2
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(2.11) 
$$
\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\tilde{\psi}_1,\tilde{\psi}_2,\tilde{\psi}_v) = \left( \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \right] \tilde{\psi}_1, \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \right] \tilde{\psi}_2, \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \tilde{\psi}_v \right),
$$

where  $\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon}$  denotes the differential operator

<span id="page-5-4"></span>(2.12) 
$$
\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} := -\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} - \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + W_{\delta/\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}
$$

with

(2.13) 
$$
W_{\delta/\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma^2(s)}{(1+u\delta/\varepsilon\gamma(s))^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{u\delta/\varepsilon\ddot{\gamma}(s)}{(1+u\delta/\varepsilon\gamma(s))^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(u\delta/\varepsilon\dot{\gamma}(s))^2}{(1+u\delta/\varepsilon\gamma(s))^4}.
$$

*Proof.* We prove first that the quadratic form  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  in  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is unitarily equivalent to the quadratic form  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  in  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  defined by

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Phi},\tilde{\Psi}] := \sum_{k=1,2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\overline{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_k}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\overline{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_k}{\partial u} \right] ds du
$$

$$
+ \varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \frac{\overline{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_v}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial s} + \widetilde{W}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \tilde{\phi}_v \tilde{\psi}_v \right) ds du
$$

$$
+ \varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\delta^2} \left( \frac{\overline{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_v}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial u} + \widetilde{W}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \tilde{\phi}_v \tilde{\psi}_v \right) ds du
$$

with  $D(\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) = \tilde{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  (see formula [\(2.8\)](#page-4-0) for the definition of  $\tilde{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ), and

(2.14) 
$$
\widetilde{W}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[ \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{3/4}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}) \right] + \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \right)^2,
$$

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/4} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}) \right] + g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \right)^2.
$$

Let us denote by  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0$  the restriction of the quadratic form  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  to  $\mathring{C}^{\infty}$ , see the definition [\(2.4\)](#page-3-1). Since  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(V)$  and the bounds [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) hold true, the norm

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} := \left(\|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}^2 + \tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Psi}]\right)^{1/2},
$$

is equivalent to the  $\mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ -norm defined in equation [\(2.7\)](#page-4-2). Then the quadratic form  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  coincides with the closure of  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0$  in the norm  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}$ . For any function  $\tilde{\Psi} \in \mathring{C}^{\infty}$ , one has  $\Psi = U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1} \tilde{\Psi} \in \mathring{C}^{\infty}$ , where  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is the unitary map defined in [\(2.6\)](#page-4-3) and  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1}$  its inverse.

Let us define

$$
\tilde Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}^\#[\tilde \Phi,\tilde \Psi]:=Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}[U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1}\tilde \Phi,U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1}\tilde \Psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}]\,.
$$

We shall prove that for any  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathring{C}^{\infty}$ , one has that  $\tilde{Q}^{\#}_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Psi}] = \tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Psi}]$ . From the definitions of  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  and  $Q_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  we have

$$
\begin{split} \tilde{Q}^\#_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Phi},\tilde{\Psi}] = & \sum_{k=1,2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \bigg[\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_k}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_k}{\partial u} \bigg] ds du \\ & + \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^1 \bigg[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \tilde{\phi}_v) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \tilde{\psi}_v) + \frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \tilde{\phi}_v) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \tilde{\psi}_v) \bigg] \varepsilon ds du \, . \end{split}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{split} &\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\tilde{\phi}_v)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\tilde{\psi}_v)\right]\varepsilon dsdu=\\ =&\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_v}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial s}\varepsilon dsdu\\ &+\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{3/4}}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4})\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_v}{\partial s}\tilde{\psi}_v+\overline{\tilde{\phi}_v}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_v}{\partial s}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\right)^2\tilde{\phi}_v\tilde{\psi}_v\right]\varepsilon dsdu\,, \end{split}
$$

and

$$
\begin{split} &\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\tilde{\phi}_{v})\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\tilde{\psi}_{v})\varepsilon dsdu\\ &=\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_{v}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial u}\varepsilon dsdu\\ &+\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\bigg[\frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/4}}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4})\bigg(\overline{\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_{v}}{\partial u}}\tilde{\psi}_{v}+\overline{\tilde{\phi}_{v}}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial u}\bigg)+\frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\delta^{2}}\bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}\bigg)^{2}\tilde{\phi}_{v}\tilde{\psi}_{v}\bigg]\varepsilon dsdu\,. \end{split}
$$

By integration by parts in  $s$  one has

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{3/4}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}) \left( \frac{\partial \widetilde{\phi}_{v}}{\partial s} \widetilde{\psi}_{v} + \overline{\widetilde{\phi}_{v}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial s} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \right)^{2} \widetilde{\phi}_{v} \widetilde{\psi}_{v} \right] \varepsilon ds du
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \widetilde{W}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \widetilde{\phi}_{v} \widetilde{\psi}_{v} \varepsilon ds du ,
$$

where  $W_{\delta/\varepsilon}$  was defined in equation [\(2.14\)](#page-5-1) and we used the fact that the boundary terms are null because  $\gamma \in C_0^{\infty}((-1,1))$ . By integration by parts in u one has

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/4}}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4}) \left( \frac{\partial \widetilde{\phi}_v}{\partial u} \widetilde{\psi}_v + \overline{\widetilde{\phi}_v} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}_v}{\partial u} \right) + \frac{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{1/2}}{\delta^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{-1/4} \right)^2 \widetilde{\phi}_v \widetilde{\psi}_v \right] \varepsilon ds du
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \widetilde{\phi}_v \widetilde{\psi}_v \varepsilon ds du,
$$

where  $W_{\delta/\varepsilon}$  was defined in equation [\(2.14\)](#page-5-1) and we used the fact that the boundary terms are null because  $\tilde{\phi}_v|_{u=0,1} = \tilde{\psi}_v|_{u=0,1} = 0.$ 

Let  $\tilde{H}^{\#}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the Hamiltonian in  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  associated to the quadratic form  $\tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . By integrating by parts in s and u, one sees that for any  $\tilde{\Phi}$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi} \in \mathring{C}^{\infty}$ (2.15)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split} & \tilde{Q}_{\delta,\varepsilon}[\tilde{\Phi},\tilde{\Psi}]=\sum_{k=1,2}\int_{0}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{1} \overline{\tilde{\phi}_{k}}\bigg[-\frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{\psi}_{k}}{\partial s^{2}}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{\psi}_{k}}{\partial u^{2}}\bigg]dsdu \\ &+\int_{-1}^{1}\int_{0}^{1} \overline{\tilde{\phi}_{v}}\bigg[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\bigg(-\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial s^{2}}-\bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\bigg)\tilde{\psi}_{v}+\big(\widetilde{W}_{\delta/\varepsilon}+(\varepsilon/\delta)^{2}\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_{\delta/\varepsilon}\big)\tilde{\psi}_{v}\bigg)-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial u^{2}}\bigg]\varepsilon dsdu \\ &-\int_{0}^{1} \overline{\tilde{\phi}_{1}}\bigg|_{s=0}\bigg[\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{1}}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=0}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=-1}\bigg]du-\int_{0}^{1} \overline{\tilde{\phi}_{2}}\bigg|_{s=0}\bigg[\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{2}}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=0}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}_{v}}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=1}\bigg]du\,, \end{split}
$$

where we used the fact that  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon}(\pm 1, u) = 1$  and the fact that  $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . A straightforward calculation gives

$$
-\bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\bigg) + \widetilde{W}_{\delta/\varepsilon} + (\varepsilon/\delta)^2 \widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_{\delta/\varepsilon} = W_{\delta/\varepsilon}\,.
$$

Then by the first representation theorem, see, e.g., [\[28\]](#page-21-31), we have that the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}^{\#}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  acts as  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  defined in equation [\(2.11\)](#page-5-2). Moreover the domain of  $\tilde{H}^{\#}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is given by the functions in  $\mathring{H}^1_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ such that the boundary terms in equation [\(2.15\)](#page-6-0) are zero and

$$
\|\tilde{H}^{\#}_{\delta,\varepsilon}\tilde{\Psi}\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}<\infty\,.
$$

It is easy to convince oneself that since  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(V)$  and the bounds [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) hold true, one has  $D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{\#}) = \mathring{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^2$  which implies  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{\#} \equiv \tilde{H}$  $\delta, \varepsilon$ .

#### 3. Approximate solution of the resolvent equation

<span id="page-7-0"></span>In this section we give an approximate solution to the resolvent equation  $(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}-z)\tilde{\Psi}=\tilde{\Xi}$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  and for some suitable choice of  $\tilde{\Xi} \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$ , see Theorem [1](#page-8-0) below. In the analysis we are forced to renormalize the spectral parameter z to  $z + n^2 \pi^2/\delta^2$ , with n integer. In the final part of the section we discuss the behavior of the approximate solution as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

We denote by  $h_v$  the Hamiltonian in  $L^2((-1,1))$ 

(3.1) 
$$
D(h_v) := \{ y \in H^2((-1,1)) | y'(\pm 1) = 0 \}
$$

(3.2) 
$$
h_v := -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{\gamma^2(s)}{4}.
$$

For  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$  we denote by  $y_n(s)$  the (real) eigenfunctions of  $h_v$  and by  $\lambda_n$  the corresponding eigenvalues arranged in increasing order

<span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
h_v y_n = -y''_n - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}y_n = \lambda_n y_n ;
$$
  $y'_n(\pm 1) = 0$   $n \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

We assume the normalization  $(y_n, y_m)_{L^2((-1,1))} = \delta_{n,m}, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $r_v(z)$  the resolvent of  $h_v$ ,

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
r_v(z) := (h_v - z)^{-1} \; ; \qquad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R} \, .
$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  the operator  $r_v(z)$  is bounded by  $1/|\text{Im } z|$ , and  $r_v(z) : L^2((-1,1)) \to D(h_v)$ . The integral kernel of  $r_v(z)$  can be written as

.

(3.3) 
$$
r_v(z; s, s') = \sum_n \frac{y_n(s)y_n(s')}{\lambda_n - z}
$$

For *n* large enough one has  $(n-1/2)^2 \pi^2/4 < \lambda_n < (n+1/2)^2 \pi^2/4$  and  $||y_n||_{L^{\infty}((-1,1))} \leq c$ where c does not depend on n (see, e.g., [\[45\]](#page-22-4)). Thus the series in  $(3.3)$  converges absolutely and pointwise for  $s, s' \in [-1, 1]$ . As a function of z, the operator  $r_v(z)$  can be analytically continued to a linear bounded operator for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash {\{\lambda_n\}}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We give a formula for the kernel  $r_v(z; s, s')$  which does not involve series (see, e.g., [\[27,](#page-21-32) Ch 4.2]). For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\zeta_v(z)$  and  $\eta_v(z)$  be two generic solutions of the equations

(3.4) 
$$
-\zeta''_v(z) + (-\gamma^2/4 - z)\zeta_v(z) = 0; \qquad \zeta'_v(z; -1) = 0 - \eta''_v(z) + (-\gamma^2/4 - z)\eta_v(z) = 0; \qquad \eta'_v(z; 1) = 0
$$

and let  $\mathcal{W}_v(z; s)$  be the Wronskian

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{W}_v(z;s) := \eta_v(z;s)\zeta'_v(z;s) - \zeta_v(z;s)\eta'_v(z;s).
$$

We note that  $\mathcal{W}_v(z; s)$  does not depend on s, and we set  $\mathcal{W}_v(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}_v(z; s)$ . The integral kernel of  $r_v(z)$  reads

(3.5) 
$$
r_v(z;s,s') = \begin{cases} \frac{\zeta_v(z;s)\eta_v(z;s')}{\mathcal{W}_v(z)} & s \leq s'\\ \frac{\eta_v(z;s)\zeta_v(z;s')}{\mathcal{W}_v(z)} & s > s' \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-8-4"></span>We distinguish two cases:

- Case 1. Zero is not an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian  $h<sub>v</sub>$  defined in [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2) - [\(3.2\)](#page-7-3)
- Case 2. Zero is an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian  $h_v$  defined in [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2) - [\(3.2\)](#page-7-3). Then we denote by  $n^*$  the integer corresponding to the eigenvalue zero, i.e.,  $\lambda_{n^*} = 0$  and by  $y^* \equiv y_{n^*}$  the corresponding eigenfunction, i.e.,

(3.6) 
$$
h_v y^* = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} y^* - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} y^* = 0
$$

<span id="page-8-10"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span>with  $y^{*'}(\pm 1) = 0$  and  $||y^{*}||_{L^{2}((-1,1))} = 1$ . We define the constants

(3.7) 
$$
\alpha_1 := y^*(-1) ; \quad \alpha_2 := y^*(1).
$$

<span id="page-8-8"></span>By the definition of  $h_v$  we have that if  $y_n$  is an eigenfuction of  $h_v$  then so is  $\bar{y}_n$ . Hence we can assume that  $y^*$  is real, which in turns implies  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us denote by  $\chi_n(u)$  the functions

(3.8) 
$$
\chi_n(u) := \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi u) ; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Definition 3.1.** For any vector  $\tilde{\Xi}_n \equiv (f_1\chi_n, f_2\chi_n, 0)$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2((0,\infty))$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ , with Im  $\sqrt{z} > 0$ , we denote by  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  the vector  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \equiv (\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}, \hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}, \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon})$ , where the functions  $\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  are defined by

<span id="page-8-7"></span>(3.9) 
$$
\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}(s,u) := [(r_0(z)f_1)(s) + q_{1,\varepsilon}e^{i\sqrt{z}s}] \chi_n(u)
$$

(3.10) 
$$
\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}(s,u) := [(r_0(z)f_2)(s) + q_{2,\varepsilon}e^{i\sqrt{z}s}] \chi_n(u)
$$

<span id="page-8-6"></span>(3.11) 
$$
\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}(s,u) := \varepsilon \left[ \xi_{1,\varepsilon} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;s,-1) + \xi_{2,\varepsilon} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;s,1) \right] \chi_n(u),
$$

and where we set

<span id="page-8-9"></span>
$$
(3.12) \qquad (r_0(z)f_j)(s) := \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{ie^{i\sqrt{z}|s-s'|}}{2\sqrt{z}} - \frac{ie^{i\sqrt{z}s}e^{i\sqrt{z}s'}}{2\sqrt{z}} \right) f_j(s')ds' ; \quad \text{Im } \sqrt{z} > 0, \ j = 1, 2.
$$

The constants  $q_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $q_{2,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  are fixed by the relations

<span id="page-8-2"></span>(3.13) 
$$
\xi_{1,\varepsilon} := (p_1 + i\sqrt{z}q_{1,\varepsilon}) ; \quad p_1 := (r_0(z)f_1)'(0) \n\xi_{2,\varepsilon} := (p_2 + i\sqrt{z}q_{2,\varepsilon}) ; \quad p_2 := (r_0(z)f_2)'(0)
$$

and

<span id="page-8-3"></span>(3.14) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, -1) & \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, 1) \\ \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, -1) & \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1,\varepsilon} \\ \xi_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Our main result is expressed in the following Theorem [1](#page-8-0) and Theorem [2,](#page-9-0) the proofs of which are postponed to Section [5](#page-12-0) and Section [4](#page-9-1) respectively.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Theorem 1.** For any vector  $\tilde{\Xi}_n \equiv (f_1\chi_n, f_2\chi_n, 0)$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2((0,\infty))$  let us take  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  as in Def. [3.1.](#page-8-1) Then  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \in D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ , moreover for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and for all  $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon$  the following estimates hold true: Case 1.

$$
\left\| \left[\tilde H_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right] \hat \Psi_\varepsilon - \tilde \Xi_n \right\|_{\tilde {\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant c \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \| \tilde \Xi_n \|_{\tilde {\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \, ;
$$

Case 2.

$$
\left\| \left[\tilde H_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right] \hat \Psi_\varepsilon - \tilde \Xi_n \right\|_{\tilde {\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant c \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{5/2}} \| \tilde \Xi_n \|_{\tilde {\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \, ;
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n.

<span id="page-9-9"></span>**Remark 3.2.** We note the following estimate for the resolvent of  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ : if  $\tilde{\Xi}_n \equiv (f_1\chi_n, f_2\chi_n, 0) \in$  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$ , then

$$
\left| \left( \tilde{\Xi}_n, \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} - \left[ \tilde{H}_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right]^{-1} \tilde{\Xi}_n \right)_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \right| \leqslant \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \|\tilde{\Xi}_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} \left\| \left[ \tilde{H}_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right] \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\Xi}_n \right\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}.
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Theorem 2** (Asymptotic behavior of the solution in the edges). Let us take  $q_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $q_{2,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  as it was done in Eqs. [\(3.13\)](#page-8-2) - [\(3.14\)](#page-8-3). Then: Case 1.

<span id="page-9-5"></span>(3.15) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1,\varepsilon} \\ \xi_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right] \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Case 2.

(3.16) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \left[ \frac{i\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{z}} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \right] \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix};
$$

(3.17) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1,\varepsilon} \\ \xi_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \left[ \Lambda_0^{\perp} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \right] \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

<span id="page-9-8"></span><span id="page-9-7"></span><span id="page-9-6"></span>where we denoted by  $\Lambda_0$  the projector

(3.18) 
$$
\Lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Lambda_0^{\perp} = 1 - \Lambda_0.
$$

Here and in the following for all  $a \geq 0$  we denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^a)$  a  $2 \times 2$  matrix such that  $\|\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^a)\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leqslant c\varepsilon^a.$ 

<span id="page-9-1"></span>4. Asymptotic behavior of the solution in the edges (proof of Theorem [2\)](#page-9-0)

We devote this section to the proof of Theorem [2.](#page-9-0) We start with the proof of the following proposition:

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Proposition 4.1.** For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the following estimates hold true:

Case 1.

(4.1) 
$$
\sup_{s,s'\in[-1,1]} [|r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;s,s')|] \leqslant c;
$$

Case 2.

(4.2) 
$$
\sup_{s,s'\in[-1,1]}\left[\left|r_v(\varepsilon^2z;s,s')+\frac{y^*(s)y^*(s')}{\varepsilon^2z}\right|\right]\leqslant c.
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* We note that in *Case 1* the series  $\sum_{n} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|}$  $\frac{1}{|\lambda_n|}$  is convergent and we use the formula [\(3.3\)](#page-7-1) for the integral kernel  $r_v(z; s, s')$ . Then, in Case 1,

<span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
|r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s')| = \left| \sum_n \frac{y_n(s)y_n(s')}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} \right| \leq \sum_n \frac{|y_n(s)||y_n(s')|}{|\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z|}.
$$

10

Then we use the fact that  $||y_n||_{L^{\infty}((-1,1))} \leq c$  where c does not depend on n, see, e.g., [\[45\]](#page-22-4), and the fact that for  $\varepsilon$  small enough  $|\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z| > 2|\lambda_n|$ . We obtain

$$
|r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s')| \leqslant \max_n \left[ \|y_n\|_{L^\infty((-1,1))}^2 \right] \sum_n \frac{1}{2|\lambda_n|} \leqslant c.
$$

To prove the estimate [\(4.2\)](#page-9-2) we use again the formula [\(3.3\)](#page-7-1); we write the integral kernel  $r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s')$ as

$$
r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') = -\frac{y^*(s)y^*(s')}{\varepsilon^2 z} + \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n(s)y_n(s')}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z}.
$$

We recall that we denoted by  $n^*$  the integer associated to the eigenvalue zero  $\lambda_{n^*} = 0$ . The estimate [\(4.2\)](#page-9-2) follows from the fact that the series  $\sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|}$  $\frac{1}{|\lambda_n|}$  is convergent and by the same argument used for the analysis of Case 1.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Remark 4.2.** We note that Prop. [4.1](#page-9-3) implies that there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ : Case 1.

$$
||r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, \pm 1)||_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq 2 \left( \sup_{s,s' \in [-1,1]} [ |r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s')| ] \right) \leq c;
$$

Case 2.

$$
\left\| r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot , \pm 1) + \frac{y^* y^* (\pm 1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant 2 \bigg( \sup_{s,s' \in [-1,1]} \left[ \left| r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s,s') + \frac{y^*(s)y^*(s')}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right| \right] \bigg) \leqslant c \, .
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem [2:](#page-9-0)

**Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-9-0)** We use the equalities  $(3.13)$  in equation  $(3.14)$  and obtain

$$
\begin{pmatrix} q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, -1) & \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, 1) \\ \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, -1) & \varepsilon r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + i\sqrt{z}q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ p_2 + i\sqrt{z}q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We denote by  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  the matrix

$$
\Lambda_{\varepsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, -1) & r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; -1, 1) \\ r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, -1) & r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; 1, 1) \end{pmatrix},
$$

and we get the identity

$$
\begin{pmatrix} q_{1,\varepsilon} \\ q_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z} \Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In what follows we shall prove that  $\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  is indeed invertible for  $\varepsilon$  small enough.

In Case 1, the estimate  $(4.1)$  gives

$$
|r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;(-1)^j,(-1)^k)| \leqslant c \qquad j,k=1,2
$$

which implies  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and, consequently, that  $\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon\sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  is invertible for small enough  $\varepsilon$  and that the first estimate in equation [\(3.15\)](#page-9-5) holds true. The second estimate in the same equation follows directly from the definition of  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$ , see formula [\(3.13\)](#page-8-2).

In Case 2 we use the formula

$$
r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; (-1)^j, (-1)^k) = -\frac{\alpha_j \alpha_k}{\varepsilon^2 z} + \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n((-1)^j)y_n((-1)^k)}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} \qquad j, k = 1, 2,
$$

see the estimate [\(4.2\)](#page-9-2) in Proposition [4.1.](#page-9-3) Reminding that  $y^*((-1)^k) = \alpha_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2$ , it follows that

$$
\varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon z} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n(-1)y_n(-1)}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} & \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n(-1)y_n(1)}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} \\ \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n(1)y_n(-1)}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} & \sum_{n \neq n^*} \frac{y_n(1)y_n(1)}{\lambda_n - \varepsilon^2 z} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\varepsilon z} \Lambda_0 + \varepsilon \widetilde{\Lambda}_1,
$$

where the matrix  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = \mathcal{O}(1)$  (see Proposition [4.1\)](#page-9-3). To prove that  $\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  is invertible for small enough  $\varepsilon$ , we rewrite

$$
\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon}\Lambda_0 + \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon \Lambda_1
$$

with  $c_0 = i(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)/\sqrt{z}$  and  $\Lambda_1 = -i\sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ . For any vector  $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  we have that

$$
\begin{split}\n\left\| \left( \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon} \Lambda_0 + \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon \Lambda_1 \right) \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 &= \left\| \left( \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \Lambda_0 \underline{v} + \Lambda_0^{\perp} \underline{v} + \varepsilon \Lambda_1 \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left( \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \Lambda_0 \underline{v} + \Lambda_0^{\perp} \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 - \varepsilon^2 \left\| \Lambda_1 \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \left| \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right|^2 \left\| \Lambda_0 \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 + \left\| \Lambda_0^{\perp} \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \right) - \varepsilon^2 \left\| \Lambda_1 \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 - \varepsilon^2 \left\| \Lambda_1 \underline{v} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \geq c \| \underline{v} \|_{\mathbb{C}^2},\n\end{split}
$$

where we used the trivial inequality  $||\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2||_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 \ge ||\underline{v}_1||_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2/2 - ||\underline{v}_2||_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2$ ; the fact that  $\left|\frac{c_0}{\varepsilon}+1\right|^2 \ge \frac{(\text{Im } c_0)^2}{2} > 1$  for small enough  $\varepsilon_1$  and the identity  $||\Lambda_{\v$  $\frac{(\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{L})^2}{\varepsilon^2} \geq 1$  for small enough  $\varepsilon$ ; and the identity  $\|\Lambda_0 \underline{v}\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_0^{\perp} \underline{v}\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 = \|\underline{v}\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2$ . This proves that  $\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  is invertible and that

(4.3) 
$$
(\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z} \Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1).
$$

We get the following formula for the operator  $(\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon \sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$
(\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon\sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1}\varepsilon\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2\sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right]^{-1} \left[-\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{z}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon^2\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right].
$$

Since, by Eq. [\(4.3\)](#page-11-0), one has

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right]^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon),
$$

it follows that

(4.4) 
$$
\varepsilon^2 \left[ i \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}} \Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \right]^{-1} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).
$$

Hence,

<span id="page-11-4"></span>(4.5) 
$$
(\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon\sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1}\varepsilon\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{z} \left[ i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2\sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \right]^{-1}\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).
$$

Next we use the identity (4.6)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n&\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right]^{-1}\Lambda_0 \\
&= \left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon\right]^{-1}\Lambda_0 + i\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z}\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right]^{-1}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon\right]^{-1}\Lambda_0.\n\end{split}
$$

Since  $\Lambda_0$  is a projection, one has

<span id="page-11-2"></span>(4.7) 
$$
\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon\right]^{-1} \Lambda_0 = \left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}} + \varepsilon\right]^{-1} \Lambda_0 = -i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).
$$

Using identities  $(4.4)$  and  $(4.7)$  in  $(4.6)$  we get

$$
\left[i\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \varepsilon - i\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{z}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\right]^{-1} \Lambda_0 = -i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),
$$

which, together with [\(4.5\)](#page-11-4), gives

$$
(\mathbb{I} - i\varepsilon\sqrt{z}\Lambda_{\varepsilon})^{-1}\varepsilon\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{z}}\Lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)
$$

and concludes the proof of [\(3.16\)](#page-9-6).

The estimate [\(3.17\)](#page-9-7) comes from the definition of  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$ , see equation [\(3.13\)](#page-8-2), and from equation  $(3.16)$ .

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>5. Asymptotic behavior of the solution in the vertex region (proof of Theorem [1\)](#page-8-0)

We devote this section to the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-8-0) We first give some preliminary estimates on the function  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$ , see Proposition [5.3](#page-15-0) below.

For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $r_v^{(0)}(z)$  the resolvent of the Neumann Laplacian in  $L^2((-1,1))$ . The integral kernel of  $r_v^{(0)}(z)$  can be derived from formulas  $(3.4)$  -  $(3.5)$  by setting  $\gamma = 0$ ; a straightforward calculation gives

<span id="page-12-6"></span>(5.1) 
$$
r_v^{(0)}(z;s,s') = \begin{cases} -\frac{\cos(\sqrt{z}(s+1))\cos(\sqrt{z}(s'-1))}{\sqrt{z}\sin(2\sqrt{z})} & s \leq s'\\ -\frac{\cos(\sqrt{z}(s-1))\cos(\sqrt{z}(s'+1))}{\sqrt{z}\sin(2\sqrt{z})} & s > s' \end{cases}
$$

**Proposition 5.1.** For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the following estimates hold true:

<span id="page-12-2"></span>(5.2) 
$$
\left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq c \, ; \quad \left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, 1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq c \, ;
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover for any  $g \in L^2((-1,1))$ 

<span id="page-12-3"></span>(5.3) 
$$
\left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)g \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c \|g\|_{L^2((-1,1))},
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

Proof. By a direct computation (5.4)

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, \pm 1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}^2 = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (s \pm 1))}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} \sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})} \right|^2 ds = \frac{\|\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (s \pm 1))\|_{L^2((-1,1))}^2}{|\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})|^2}
$$

The norms  $\|\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2z}(\cdot-1))\|_{L^2((-1,1))}$  and  $\|\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2z}(\cdot+1))\|_{L^2((-1,1))}$  can be bounded by

<span id="page-12-4"></span>
$$
(5.5) \t||\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(\cdot - 1))||_{L^2((-1,1))}^2 = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(s-1)} - e^{-i\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(s-1)}}{2} \right|^2 ds \leqslant c\varepsilon^2.
$$

and similarly

(5.6) 
$$
\|\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(\cdot+1))\|_{L^2((-1,1))}^2 \leqslant c\varepsilon^2
$$

Using the latter estimates in equations [\(5.4\)](#page-12-1) we get

<span id="page-12-5"></span>
$$
\left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, \pm 1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}^2 \leqslant \frac{c \varepsilon^2}{|\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})|^2},
$$

.

which, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, imply the bounds [\(5.2\)](#page-12-2), here we used the trivial inequality  $|\sin w|^2 \ge$  $c|w|^2$  which holds true for any  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  small enough. Also the estimate [\(5.3\)](#page-12-3) can be obtained through a direct calculation. For any  $g \in L^2((-1,1),ds)$ 

$$
\frac{d}{ds} (r_v^{(0)}(z)g)(s) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(s+1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{z})} \int_s^1 \cos(\sqrt{z}(s'-1))g(s')ds' \n+ \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(s-1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{z})} \int_{-1}^s \cos(\sqrt{z}(s'+1))g(s')ds'.
$$

Then

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
(5.7) \left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)g \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq \left\| \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(\cdot + 1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})} \int_{-\infty}^1 \cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (s'-1))g(s')ds' \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}
$$

$$
+ \left\| \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(\cdot - 1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})} \int_{-1}^1 \cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (s'+1))g(s')ds' \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}.
$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all  $s \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$
\left| \int_s^1 \cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(s'-1)) g(s') ds' \right| \leq c \|g\|_{L^2((-1,1))},
$$

which implies

$$
\left\| \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z}(\cdot + 1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})} \int_{-\infty}^1 \cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (s'-1)) g(s') ds' \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}
$$
  

$$
\leq c \frac{1}{|\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z})|} \|\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 z} (\cdot + 1))\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \|g\|_{L^2((-1,1))}.
$$

Using again the estimates  $(5.5)$  and  $(5.6)$  we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\sin(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2z}(\cdot+1))}{\sin(2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2z})}\int_{\cdot}^1\cos(\sqrt{\varepsilon^2z}(s'-1))g(s')ds'\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq c\|g\|_{L^2((-1,1))}.
$$

The second term at the r.h.s. of equation [\(5.7\)](#page-13-0) is similar. Then bound [\(5.3\)](#page-12-3) follows from equation  $(5.7)$ .

<span id="page-13-4"></span>**Proposition 5.2.** For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the following estimates hold true:

Case 1.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>(5.8) 
$$
\left\|\frac{d}{ds}r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1)\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c, \quad \left\|\frac{d}{ds}r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, 1)\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c;
$$

Case 2.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
(5.9) \qquad \left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) + \frac{y^{*'} y^{*} (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c \,, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, 1) + \frac{y^{*'} y^{*} (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c \,.
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

Proof. To prove the estimate [\(5.8\)](#page-13-1) we use the well known resolvent identity

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
(5.10) \quad r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) = \left[ r_v(\varepsilon^2 z) \delta(\cdot + 1) \right](s) = \left[ \left( r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) - r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) (-\gamma^2/4) r_v(\varepsilon^2 z) \right) \delta(\cdot + 1) \right]
$$

$$
= r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) - \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) (-\gamma^2/4) r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) \right](s).
$$

Taking the derivative of the latter expression we obtain

$$
\left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} = \left\| \frac{d}{ds} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) - \frac{d}{ds} \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4) r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) \right\|_{L^2((-1,1))}
$$
  

$$
\leq c \left[ 1 + \| r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) \|_{L^2((-1,1))} \right],
$$

where we used the triangle inequality, estimates [\(5.2\)](#page-12-2) and [\(5.3\)](#page-12-3), and the fact that  $\gamma$  is bounded. As we have proven that in Case 1,  $||r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1)||_{L^2((-1,1))} \leq c$ , see Remark [4.2,](#page-10-0) we get the first estimate in the equation [\(5.8\)](#page-13-1), the proof of the second one is similar and we omit it.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>To prove the estimates [\(5.9\)](#page-13-2), we rewrite the equation [\(5.10\)](#page-13-3) as

$$
(5.11)
$$
\n
$$
r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) = r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) - \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4) \left( r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) + \frac{y^* y^* (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right) \right](s)
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4) \frac{y^* y^* (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right](s).
$$

Then we use the fact that  $y^*$  is the solution of the problem  $(3.6)$  and we get

$$
\[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4)\frac{y^* y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}\](s) = \left[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\frac{y^* y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}\right](s)\,.
$$

But

$$
\left[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} y^*\right](s) = \int_{-1}^1 r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') \frac{d^2}{ds'^2} y^*(s') ds' = -\int_{-1}^1 \frac{d}{ds'} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') \frac{d}{ds'} y^*(s') ds' = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d^2}{ds'^2} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') y^*(s') ds' = -\varepsilon^2 z[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) y^*](s) - y^*(s),
$$

where we used the fact that the boundary terms are null because

$$
\left. \frac{d}{ds} y^* \right|_{s=\pm 1} = 0 \; ; \quad \left. \frac{d}{ds'} r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, \cdot) \right|_{s'=\pm 1} = 0 \, ;
$$

and we also used the fact that

$$
\frac{d^2}{ds'^2}r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') = -\varepsilon^2 z r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, s') - \delta(s - s') .
$$

We remark that  $r_v^{(0)}(z;s,s') = r_v^{(0)}(z;s',s)$ , see equation [\(5.1\)](#page-12-6). We can rewrite the equation  $(5.11)$  as

$$
r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) = r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) - \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4) \left( r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) + \frac{y^* y^* (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right) \right](s)
$$

$$
- \left( \varepsilon^2 z [r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) y^*](s) + y^*(s) \right) \frac{y^* (-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}
$$

or, equivalently.

$$
r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) + y^*(s) \frac{y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}
$$
  
=  $r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z; s, -1) - \left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4) \left( r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, -1) + \frac{y^* y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z} \right) \right](s)$   
-  $\left[ r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z) y^* y^*(-1) \right](s).$ 

Now we can give an estimate of the derivative of the latter equation

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left\|\frac{d}{ds}\big[r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;\cdot,-1)\big]+\frac{y^*{}'y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \\
&\leqslant \left\|\frac{d}{ds}r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z;\cdot,-1)\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} + \left\|\frac{d}{ds}\big[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)(-\gamma^2/4)\big(r_v(\varepsilon^2 z;\cdot,-1)+\frac{y^*{}y^*(-1)}{\varepsilon^2 z}\big)\big]\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \\
&+ \left\|\frac{d}{ds}\big[r_v^{(0)}(\varepsilon^2 z)y^*y^*(-1)\big]\right\|_{L^2((-1,1))} \leqslant c\,,\n\end{split}
$$

where we used the triangle inequality, estimates [\(5.2\)](#page-12-2) and [\(5.3\)](#page-12-3), and the fact that  $\gamma$  is bounded. Moreover we also used the result stated in Remark [4.2](#page-10-0) and the fact that  $||y^*||_{L^2((-1,1))} = 1$ . The proof of the second estimate in equation [\(5.9\)](#page-13-2) is identical and we omit it.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  be as in equation [\(3.11\)](#page-8-6). Then there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the following estimates hold true:

Case 1

(5.12) 
$$
\|\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(V)} \leq c\varepsilon(|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|)\,, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)} \leq c\varepsilon(|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|)\,;
$$

Case 2

$$
(5.13) \qquad \left\|\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}-\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^*\right\|_{L^2(V)} \leqslant c\varepsilon(|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|)\;,\quad \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\bigg[\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}-\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^*\bigg]\right\|_{L^2(V)} \leqslant c\varepsilon(|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|)\,,
$$

<span id="page-15-4"></span>with

$$
\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^*(s, u) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{y^*(s)}{z} [\xi_{1,\varepsilon} y^*(-1) + \xi_{2,\varepsilon} y^*(1)] \chi_n(u) .
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n.

*Proof.* The proposition is a direct consequence of the definition of  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$ , see equation [\(3.11\)](#page-8-6), and of Remark [4.2](#page-10-0) and Proposition [5.2.](#page-13-4)

The following remark applies only to *Case 2*:

**Remark 5.4.** From Theorem [2,](#page-9-0) equation [\(3.17\)](#page-9-7), and since  $y^*(-1) = \alpha_1$  and  $y^*(1) = \alpha_2$  we have that there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ 

<span id="page-15-5"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \xi_{1,\varepsilon} y^*(-1) + \xi_{2,\varepsilon} y^*(1) \right| \leqslant c(|p_1| + |p_2|)
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Thus implying

(5.14) 
$$
\|\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq c(|p_{1}| + |p_{2}|) ; \quad \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} \leq c(|p_{1}| + |p_{2}|).
$$

The following two propositions make preparations for the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-8-0)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>**Proposition 5.5** (Regularity properties of  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$ ). For any vector  $\tilde{\Xi}_n \equiv (f_1\chi_n, f_2\chi_n, 0)$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2((0, \infty))$  let us take  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  as it was done in Definition [3.1.](#page-8-1) Then  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \in D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $r_v(z)$ , see equation [\(3.5\)](#page-8-4), it follows that the functions  $r_v(z^2z; s, \pm 1)$ are well defined and that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $r_v(\varepsilon^2 z; \cdot, \pm 1) \in H^2((-1, 1))$ . Then for any  $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and from the definition of  $\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$ , see equations [\(3.9\)](#page-8-7) - [\(3.11\)](#page-8-6), it follows that,  $\|\hat{\Psi}\|_{\mathring{H}^2_{\delta,\varepsilon}}<\infty.$ 

It remains to prove that the functions  $\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  satisfy the boundary conditions given in the definition of  $D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ , see equation [\(2.10\)](#page-5-3). The boundary conditions in  $u=0,1$  are trivially satisfied due to the definition of the function  $\chi_n(u)$ , see equation [\(3.8\)](#page-8-8).

Since the costants  $q_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $q_{2,\varepsilon}$  are related to the constants  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  through the relation [\(3.14\)](#page-8-3), one has that the functions  $\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  satisfy the conditions:

$$
\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}(-1, u) = q_{1,\varepsilon} \chi_n(u) = \hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}(0, u) \n\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}(1, u) = q_{2,\varepsilon} \chi_n(u) = \hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}(0, u) .
$$

From the definition of  $r_v(z)$ , see equation [\(3.5\)](#page-8-4), one has

<span id="page-15-1"></span>(5.15) 
$$
\frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,1) = \frac{d}{ds}\frac{\zeta_v(z;s)\eta_v(z;1)}{\mathcal{W}_v(z;1)} = \frac{\zeta_v'(z;s)}{\zeta_v'(z;1)}
$$

<span id="page-15-2"></span>(5.16) 
$$
\frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,-1) = \frac{d}{ds}\frac{\eta_v(z;s)\zeta_v(z;-1)}{\mathcal{W}_v(z;-1)} = -\frac{\eta_v'(z;s)}{\eta_v'(z;-1)},
$$

where we used  $\mathcal{W}_v(z) = \mathcal{W}_v(z; 1) = \mathcal{W}_v(z; -1)$  in equations [\(5.15\)](#page-15-1) and [\(5.16\)](#page-15-2) respectively. Since  $\zeta'_v(z; -1) = 0$  and  $\eta'_v(z; 1) = 0$  the following relations hold true

$$
\frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,1)\Big|_{s=1} = 1 ; \quad \frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,-1)\Big|_{s=-1} = -1 ;
$$

$$
\frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,1)\Big|_{s=-1} = \frac{d}{ds}r_v(z;s,-1)\Big|_{s=1} = 0.
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{1,\varepsilon}(0,u) = (r_0(z)f_1)'(0)\chi_n(u) + i\sqrt{z}q_{1,\varepsilon}\chi_n(u) = \xi_{1,\varepsilon}\chi_n(u) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}(-1,u)
$$
  

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{2,\varepsilon}(0,u) = (r_0(z)f_2)'(0)\chi_n(u) + i\sqrt{z}q_{2,\varepsilon}\chi_n(u) = \xi_{2,\varepsilon}\chi_n(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}(1,u),
$$

and we have proven that  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \in D(\tilde{H})$  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ .

<span id="page-16-2"></span>**Proposition 5.6.** For any couple of constants  $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  let us take  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  as we did in equation [\(3.11\)](#page-8-6), then

Case 1.

(5.17) 
$$
\left\| \left[ \tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2} - \varepsilon^2 z \right] \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(V)} \leqslant c \delta[|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}| + |\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|];
$$

Case 2.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
(5.18)\ \left\|\left[\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2}-\varepsilon^2z\right]\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)}\leqslant c\delta[|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|]+\tilde{c}\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\left[\|\hat{\psi}^*_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(V)}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}^*_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)}\right];
$$

where  $\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon}$  was defined in [\(2.12\)](#page-5-4) and c and  $\tilde{c}$  are two constants which do not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n.

*Proof.* We first note that, due to the regularity properties of the function  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$ , see Proposition [5.5,](#page-15-3) for any  $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1$  the function  $\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  belongs to  $L^2(V)$ . Then we note that by our assumption  $\gamma \in C_0^{\infty}((-1,1))$  and from the definition of  $g_{\delta/\varepsilon}$  and  $W_{\delta/\varepsilon}$ , see equations [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2.13\)](#page-5-5) respectively, one has the following estimates

(5.19) 
$$
\left\| \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} - 1 \right\|_{L^{\infty}(V)} \leqslant c_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} ; \quad \left\| W_{\delta/\varepsilon} + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(V)} \leqslant c_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} ; \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(V)} \leqslant c_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}.
$$

From the triangle inequality it follows that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n&\left\|\left[\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2}-\varepsilon^2z\right]\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)} \\
&\leq \left\|\left[-\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}-\frac{\gamma^2}{4}-\varepsilon^2z\right]\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)} \\
&+\left\|W_{\delta/\varepsilon}+\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right\|_{L^\infty(V)}\left\|\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L^\infty(V)}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^2(V)},\n\end{split}
$$

where we used the fact that

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} = n^2 \pi^2 \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \, .
$$

−

By noticing that

$$
\left[ -\frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \varepsilon^2 z \right] \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} = \left[ \frac{1}{g_{\delta/\varepsilon}} - 1 \right] \left[ \frac{\gamma^2}{4} + \varepsilon^2 z \right] \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon},
$$

and using the estimates in equation [\(5.19\)](#page-16-0) we get

$$
\left\| \left[ \tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2} - \varepsilon^2 z \right] \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(V)} \leqslant c \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \left[ \left\| \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(V)} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(V)} \right].
$$

In Case 1 the statement follows from Proposition [5.3.](#page-15-0) In Case 2 one has to use the trivial inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\|\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} &\leq \left\|\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}-\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} + \left\|\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}; \\
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} &\leq \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}-\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(V)} + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}(V)}\n\end{aligned}
$$

and the statement  $(5.18)$  follows from the estimates  $(5.13)$ .

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-8-0)

**Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-8-0)** The fact that  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \in D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$  was proved in Proposition [5.5.](#page-15-3) From the definition of the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  and of the vector  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  we have that

$$
\left[\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{\delta^2}-z\right]\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}=\left(f_1\chi_n,f_2\chi_n,\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\bigg[\tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2}-\varepsilon^2z\bigg]\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}\right),
$$

where we used

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} - \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right] \hat{\psi}_{j,\varepsilon} = f_j \chi_n \qquad j = 1, 2 \, .
$$

Then

(5.20) 
$$
\left\| \left[ \tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z \right] \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\Xi}_n \right\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \left\| \left[ \tilde{L}_{\delta/\varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(\delta/\varepsilon)^2} - \varepsilon^2 z \right] \hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(V)},
$$

recall the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}}$  in Eq. [\(2.5\)](#page-4-4). We note moreover that from the definition of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , see equation  $(3.13)$ , one has

(5.21) 
$$
|p_j| \leq c \|f_j\|_{L^2((0,\infty))}; \quad j=1,2.
$$

Using the last estimate in equations [\(3.17\)](#page-9-7) and [\(5.14\)](#page-15-5) it follows that

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
[|\xi_{1,\varepsilon}|+|\xi_{2,\varepsilon}|] \leqslant c \|\tilde{\Xi}_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} ; \quad \left[\left\|\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^*\right\|_{L^2(V)}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\hat{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^*\right\|_{L^2(V)}\right] \leqslant c \|\tilde{\Xi}_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} ,
$$

where we used  $\|\tilde{\Xi}_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} = \left[\|f_1\|_{L^2((0,\infty))}^2 + \|f_2\|_{L^2((0,\infty))}^2\right]^{1/2}$  and where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n. Then Theorem [1](#page-8-0) follows from the last estimate, from equation [\(5.20\)](#page-17-1) and from Proposition [5.6.](#page-16-2)

### 6. Limit operator on the graph

<span id="page-17-0"></span>We denote by  $\mathbb{H}_G$  the complex Hilbert space

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}} := L^2((0,\infty)) \oplus L^2((0,\infty))
$$

with standard scalar product and norm. In  $\mathbb{H}_G$  we define the following selfadjoint operators:

Definition 6.1 (Limit operators on the graph).

$$
D(\mathbf{h}^{dec}) := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}} | x_1, x_2 \in H^2((0, \infty)); x_1(0) = x_2(0) = 0 \}
$$

$$
\mathbf{h}^{dec}(x_1, x_2) = (-x_1'', -x_2'').
$$

$$
D(\mathbf{h}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}) := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}} \middle| x_1, x_2 \in H^2((0, \infty)); \Lambda_0^{\perp} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{pmatrix} = 0; \Lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} x_1'(0) \\ x_2'(0) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}
$$

$$
\mathbf{h}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(x_1, x_2) = (-x_1'', -x_2''),
$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  is the projector defined in equation [\(3.18\)](#page-9-8).

We note that the operators  $h^{dec}$  and  $h^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$  belong to the family of operators  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{\Pi,\Theta}$  described in the introduction. In particular the operator  $h^{dec}$  coincides with the Dirichlet (or decoupling) Laplacian on the graph and the operator  $h^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$  coincides with the weighted Laplacian (for  $N = 2$ ).

For any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\mathbf{r}^{dec}(z)$  and  $\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z)$  the resolvents of  $\mathbf{h}^{dec}$  and  $\mathbf{h}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$  respectively,  $\mathbf{r}^{dec}(z) = (\mathbf{h}^{dec} - z)^{-1}$  and  $\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z) = (\mathbf{h}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} - z)^{-1}$ . We note that for any vector  $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ 

$$
\mathbf{r}^{dec}(z)(f_1, f_2) = (r_0(z)f_1, r_0(z)f_2),
$$

where the operator  $r_0(z)$  was defined in equation [\(3.12\)](#page-8-9). Moreover

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(z)(f_1, f_2) = (r_0(z)f_1 + q_1e^{i\sqrt{z}} \cdot, r_0(z)f_2 + q_2e^{i\sqrt{z}} \cdot),
$$

with

$$
\binom{q_1}{q_2} = \frac{i\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{z}} \binom{p_1}{p_2} ; \quad p_1 = (r_0(z)f_1)'(0) ; \ p_2 := (r_0(z)f_2)'(0) ;
$$

note that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are defined accordingly to the definition used in Eq. [\(3.13\)](#page-8-2).

Let us define the operator  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n : \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ 

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\tilde{\Psi}:=((\chi_n,\tilde{\psi}_1)_{L^2((0,1))},(\chi_n,\tilde{\psi}_2)_{L^2((0,1))})\in\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}},
$$

for any vector  $\tilde{\Psi} \equiv (\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \tilde{\psi}_v) \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n^* : \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}} \to \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$  the adjoint of  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n$ . For any vector  $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$  the action of  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n^*$  is given by

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n^*(g_1,g_2)=(g_1\chi_n,g_2\chi_n,0)\in\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}.
$$

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Theorem 3.** For any vector  $\tilde{\Xi}_n \equiv (f_1\chi_n, f_2\chi_n, 0)$ , and for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the following estimates hold true: Case 1. For all  $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon^{3/2}$ 

$$
\left\| \left[ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \tilde{R}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z - n^2 \pi^2 / \delta^2) - \mathbf{r}^{dec}(z) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \right] \tilde{\Xi}_n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \leqslant c \left[ \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} + \varepsilon \right] \|(f_1, f_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}};
$$

Case 2. For all  $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon^{5/2}$ 

$$
\left\| \left[ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \tilde{R}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z - n^2 \pi^2/\delta^2) - \mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \right] \tilde{\Xi}_n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \leqslant c \left[ \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{5/2}} + \varepsilon \right] \|(f_1, f_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}};
$$

where c is a constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n, and

$$
\tilde{R}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z-n^2\pi^2/\delta^2):=\left(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{\delta^2}-z\right)^{-1}.
$$

Proof. We give the proof for the Case 2 only. The proof in the Case 1 is identical and we omit it. Since the operators  $\tilde{R}(z - n^2 \pi^2/\delta^2)$  and  $\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z)$  are bounded by  $|\text{Im } z|^{-1}$ , it is enough to prove that for any vector  $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{H}_G$  one has

$$
\left| \left( (g_1, g_2), \left[ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \tilde{R}_{\delta, \varepsilon} (z - n^2 \pi^2 / \delta^2) - \mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \right] \tilde{\Xi}_n \right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \right| \leqslant c \left( \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{5/2}} \right) \| (g_1, g_2) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \| (f_1, f_2) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}}.
$$

The following inequality holds true (6.1)

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n&\left|\left((g_1,g_2),\left[\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\tilde{R}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z-n^2\pi^2/\delta^2)-\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(z)\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\right]\tilde{\Xi}_n\right)\right|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}}\right| \\
&\leqslant\n\left|\left((g_1,g_2),\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\left[\tilde{R}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z-n^2\pi^2/\delta^2)\tilde{\Xi}_n-\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}\right]\right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}}\right|+\left|\left((g_1,g_2),\left[\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(z)\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n\tilde{\Xi}_n\right]\right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}}\right|,\n\end{split}
$$

where the vector  $\hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  was given in Def. [3.1.](#page-8-1) From Rem. [3.2](#page-9-9) and Th. [1,](#page-8-0) and since  $\|\tilde{\Xi}_n\|_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}} =$  $||(f_1, f_2)||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}},$  it follows that

$$
\left| \left( (g_1, g_2), \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \Big[ \tilde{R}_{\delta, \varepsilon} (z - n^2 \pi^2 / \delta^2) \tilde{\Xi}_n - \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \Big] \right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \right|
$$
  
= 
$$
\left| \left( \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n^*(g_1, g_2), \Big[ \tilde{R}_{\delta, \varepsilon} (z - n^2 \pi^2 / \delta^2) \tilde{\Xi}_n - \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \Big] \right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}} \right| \leq c \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^{5/2}} ||(g_1, g_2)||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} ||(f_1, f_2)||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}}.
$$

The second term on the right hand side of equation [\(6.1\)](#page-18-1) can be explicitly written as

$$
\left| \left( (g_1, g_2), \left[ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{r}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n \tilde{\Xi}_n \right] \right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \right|
$$
  
=  $\left| \left( (g_1, g_2), \left( [q_{1,\varepsilon} - q_1] e^{i\sqrt{z}} \cdot , [q_{2,\varepsilon} - q_2] e^{i\sqrt{z}} \cdot \right) \right)_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} \right| \leq c \varepsilon ||(g_1, g_2)||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}} ||(f_1, f_2)||_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}},$ 

<span id="page-19-0"></span>where we used the result of Theorem [2,](#page-9-0) equation [\(3.16\)](#page-9-6), and the estimate [\(5.21\)](#page-17-2).  $\Box$ 

## 7. Conclusions

We used the unitary map  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ , see equation [\(2.6\)](#page-4-3) and studied the problem in the Hilbert space  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\varepsilon}$ . In this section we discuss our results in the more natural Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ .

In our model the width of the waveguide  $\delta$  must be intended as a function of  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^a$ , for some positive, large enough constant a. In what follows we shall not mark explicitly the fact that  $\delta$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ .

Let use define the functions  $\chi_{\delta,n}$ 

$$
\chi_{\delta,n}(u) := \delta^{-1/2} \chi_n(u) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}} \sin n\pi u \qquad n = \mathbb{N},
$$

were the functions  $\chi_n$  were defined in equation [\(3.8\)](#page-8-8).

The main idea of our approach is to find an approximate solution for the resolvent equation, i.e., for any vector in  $\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  of the form  $\Xi_{\delta,n} = (f_1\chi_{\delta,n}, f_2\chi_{\delta,n}, 0)$ , where  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2((0,\infty))$  we look for an approximate solution of the equation

$$
\left[H_{\delta,\varepsilon}-\frac{n^2\pi^2}{\delta^2}-z\right]\Psi=\Xi_{\delta,n}\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}.
$$

In section [3](#page-7-0) we showed an explicit approximate solution which is in the domain of the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . The following proposition is a consequence of the fact that  $U_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is unitary and maps  $D(H_{\delta,\varepsilon})$  to  $D(\tilde{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ , the proof follows directly from Theorem [1.](#page-8-0)

**Proposition 7.1.** For any vector  $\Xi_{\delta,n} \equiv (f_1 \chi_{\delta,n}, f_2 \chi_{\delta,n}, 0)$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2((0,\infty))$  let us take  $\Psi_\varepsilon=U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1}\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon,$  where the vector  $\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon$  was given in Definition [3.1.](#page-8-1) Moreover in Case 1 assume  $\delta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^{3/2}$  and in Case 2 assume  $\delta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^{5/2}$ . Then  $\Psi_{\varepsilon} \in D(H_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ , and for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ 

$$
\left[H_{\delta,\varepsilon} - \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\delta^2} - z\right] \Psi_{\varepsilon} = \Xi_{\delta,n} + \Phi_{\varepsilon}
$$

with

$$
\|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} \leqslant c_{\varepsilon} \|\Xi_{\delta,n}\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} ,
$$

where  $c_{\varepsilon}$  does not depend on  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and n, and  $c_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

To prove the convergence to an operator on the graph (in norm resolvent sense) we note that in the edges the approximate solution  $\Psi_{\varepsilon} = U_{\delta,\varepsilon}^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}$  remains factorized in the coordinates s and  $u$ :

$$
\psi_{1,\varepsilon}(s,u) = \left[ \left( r_0(z)f_1 \right)(s) + q_{1,\varepsilon} e^{i\sqrt{z}s} \right] \chi_{\delta,n}(u) \equiv x_{1,\varepsilon}(s) \chi_{\delta,n}(u)
$$
  

$$
\psi_{2,\varepsilon}(s,u) = \left[ \left( r_0(z)f_2 \right)(s) + q_{2,\varepsilon} e^{i\sqrt{z}s} \right] \chi_{\delta,n}(u) \equiv x_{2,\varepsilon}(s) \chi_{\delta,n}(u),
$$

see also Def. [3.1.](#page-8-1) We interpret the s dependent parts in  $\psi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\psi_{2,\varepsilon}$ , i.e., the functions  $x_{1,\varepsilon}$ and  $x_{2,\varepsilon}$ , as functions on the edges of the graph and consider the vector  $(x_{1,\varepsilon}, x_{2,\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ . By definition for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $x_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $x_{2,\varepsilon} \in H^2((0,\infty))$  and these functions satisfy the equation

$$
-x''_{j,\varepsilon} - zx_{j,\varepsilon} = f_j \qquad j = 1,2 \,.
$$

As we are able to compute the limit of  $x_{\varepsilon,1}(0) \equiv q_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $x_{\varepsilon,2}(0) \equiv q_{2,\varepsilon}$ ,  $x'_{\varepsilon,1}(0) \equiv \xi_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $x'_{\varepsilon,2}(0) \equiv \xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we can prove that, see Theorem [2,](#page-9-0)

in Case 1

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x_{\varepsilon,1}(0) = 0, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x_{\varepsilon,2}(0) = 0, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x'_{\varepsilon,1}(0) = p_1, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} x'_{\varepsilon,2}(0) = p_2,
$$

implying that the limit operator on the graph is the Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions in the vertex;

while in *Case 2* 

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \alpha_2 x_{\varepsilon,1}(0) - \alpha_1 x_{\varepsilon,2}(0) \right] = 0, \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \alpha_1 x'_{\varepsilon,1}(0) + \alpha_2 x'_{\varepsilon,2}(0) \right] = 0
$$

where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are two real constants defined by the zero energy eigenvector  $y^*$  of the Hamiltonian  $h_v$ , see equations [\(3.6\)](#page-8-5) and [\(3.7\)](#page-8-10). In this case the limit operator on the graph is the Laplacian with a weighted Kirchhoff condition in the vertex. In Case 2 one of the constants  $\alpha_1$ or  $\alpha_2$  may be equal to zero but they cannot be both equal to zero; in this case the limit operator on the graph is defined by a Dirichlet condition on one of the edges and a Neumann condition on the other.

We remark that the analysis performed here can be used also to prove the results of [\[7\]](#page-20-6) and [\[8\]](#page-20-7) which cover some generalizations of the model presented in [\[1\]](#page-20-4).

We also note that our method applies to settings with one or both edges having finite length. The form of the solution in the vertex region (i.e. the function  $\hat{\psi}_{v,\varepsilon}$  in Definition [3.1\)](#page-8-1) does not change, while the solution in the edge regions must be adapted to fulfill the boundary condition in each endpoint.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Gianfausto Dell'Antonio and Emanuele Costa for many enlightening exchanges of views. The author is also indebted to Sergio Albeverio for pointing out at first the topic of the paper to his attention, for useful comments and discussions, and for the kind support during the writing of this work. The warm hospitality and support of the Mathematical Institute of Tohoku University are also gratefully acknowledged. Most of the work was done while the author was employed at the Hausdorff Institute for Mathematics which is acknowledged for the support. The work was also partially financed by the JSPS postdoctoral fellowship program and by the FIR 2013 project "Condensed Matter in Mathematical Physics", Ministry of University and Research of Italian Republic (code RBFR13WAET).

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[1] Albeverio, S., Cacciapuoti, C., and Finco, D., Coupling in the singular limit of thin quantum waveguides, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 032103.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[2] Albeverio, S. and Kusuoka, S., *Diffusion processes in thin tubes and their limits on graphs*, Ann. Probab. 40 (2012), no. 4, 2131–2167.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[3] Berkolaiko, G., Carlson, R., Fulling, S., and Kuchment, P., Quantum graphs and their applications, Contemporary Math., vol. 415, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[4] Berkolaiko, G. and Kuchment, P., Introduction to quantum graphs, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 186, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[5] Bonciocat, A. I., Curvature bounds and heat kernels: discrete versus continuous spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Universit¨at Bonn, <http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de:90/2008/1497/1497.htm>, 2008.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[6] Bouchitté, G., Mascarenhas, M. L., and Trabucho, L., On the curvature and torsion effects in one dimensional waveguides, ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var. 13 (2007), no. 4, 793–808.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[7] Cacciapuoti, C. and Exner, P., Nontrivial edge coupling from a Dirichlet network squeezing: the case of a bent waveguide, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007), no. 26, F511–F523.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[8] Cacciapuoti, C. and Finco, D., Graph-like models for thin waveguides with Robin boundary conditions, Asymptot. Anal. 70 (2010), 199–230.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[9] Cheon, T., Exner, P., and Turek, O., Approximation of a general singular vertex coupling in quantum graphs, Ann. Physics 325 (2010), no. 3, 548–578.
- <span id="page-21-28"></span><span id="page-21-10"></span>[10] Colin de Verdiere, Y., Sur la multiplicité de la premiere valeur propre non nulle du laplacien, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 61 (1986), no. 1, 254–270.
- [11] De Oliveira, C. R., Quantum singular operator limits of thin Dirichlet tubes via Γ-convergence, [arXiv:1010.2101v](http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.2101)1 [math-ph] (2010), 28pp.
- <span id="page-21-26"></span>[12] Dell'Antonio, G. F. and Costa, E., Effective Schrödinger dynamics on  $\varepsilon$ -thin Dirichlet waveguides via quantum graphs: I. Star-shaped graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Theo.  $43$  (2010), no.  $47$ , 474014, 23pp.
- <span id="page-21-27"></span>[13] Dell'Antonio, G. and Michelangeli, A., Dynamics on a graph as the limit of the dynamics on a "fat graph", Mathematical Technology of Networks, Springer, 2015, pp. 49–64.
- <span id="page-21-21"></span><span id="page-21-19"></span>[14] Dell'Antonio, G. and Tenuta, L., Quantum graphs as holonomic constraints, J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006), 072102.
- [15] Duclos, P. and Exner, P., Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions, Rev. in Math. Phys. 7 (1995), no. 1, 73–102.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[16] Exner, P., Keating, J. P., Kuchment, P., Sunada, T., and Teplyaev, A., Analysis on graphs and its applications, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 77, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- <span id="page-21-6"></span><span id="page-21-5"></span>[17] Exner, P. and Kovařík, H., Quantum waveguides, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer, 2015.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[18] Exner, P., Vertex couplings in quantum graphs: approximations by scaled Schrödinger operators, Proceedings of the ICM Satellite Conference Mathematics in Science and Technology (New Delhi 2010), 2011, pp. 71–92.
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>[19] Exner, P. and Post, O., Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. 54 (2005), 77–115.
- [20] Exner, P. and Post, O., Convergence of resonances on thin branched quantum waveguides, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 092104, 43pp.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>[21] Exner, P. and Post, O., Approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds, J. Phys. A: Math. Theo.  $42$  (2009), 415305, 22pp.
- <span id="page-21-20"></span><span id="page-21-11"></span> $[22]$  Exner, P. and Šeba, P., *Bound states in curved quantum wavequides*, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989), no. 11, 2574–2580.
- [23] Freidlin, M. I. and Wentzel, A. D., *Diffusion processes on graphs and averaging principle*, Ann. Probab. 21 (1993), no. 4, 2215–2245.
- <span id="page-21-30"></span>[24] Golovaty, Yu D.. and Hryniv, R. O., On norm resolvent convergence of Schrödinger operators with  $\delta'$ -like potentials, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010), no. 15, 155204.
- <span id="page-21-24"></span><span id="page-21-23"></span>[25] Grieser, D., Spectra of graph neighborhoods and scattering, Proc. London Math. Soc. 97 (2008), no. 3, 718– 752.
- [26] Harmer, M., Pavlov, B., and Yafyasov, A., Boundary conditions at the junction, J. Comput. Electron. 6 (2007), 153–157.
- <span id="page-21-32"></span>[27] Hellwig, G., Differential operators of mathematical physics, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Reading (MA) Palo Alto London Don Mills (ON), 1967.
- <span id="page-21-31"></span><span id="page-21-3"></span>[28] Kato, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1980.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>[29] Kostrykin, V. and Schrader, R., Kirchhoff 's rule for quantum wires, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999), no. 4, 595–630.
- [30] Kosugi, S., A semilinear elliptic equation in a thin network-shaped domain, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), no. 3, 673–697.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span><span id="page-21-4"></span>[31] Kuchment, P., Quantum graphs. I. Some basic structures, Waves Random Media 14 (2004), no. 1, S107–S128.
- [32] Kuchment, P. and Zeng, H., Convergence of spectra of mesoscopic systems collapsing onto a graph, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 (2001), no. 2, 671–700.
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>[33] Kuroda, H., The Mosco convergence of Dirichlet forms approximating the Laplace operators with the delta potential on thin domains, [arXiv:1106.5476](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5476) [math.AP] (2011), 16pp.
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>[34] Kuwae, K. and Shioya, T., Convergence of spectral structures: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry, Comm. Anal. Geom. 11 (2003), no. 4, 599–673.
- <span id="page-21-29"></span>[35] Man'ko, S. S., Schrödinger operators on star graphs with singularly scaled potentials supported near the vertices, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 12, 123521, 13pp.
- <span id="page-21-25"></span>[36] Molchanov, S. and Vainberg, B., Laplace operator in networks of thin fibers: spectrum near the threshold, Stochastic analysis in mathematical physics, Proceedings of a Satellite Conference of ICM 2006 (2006), 69–93, edited by G. Ben Arous, A.-B. Cruzeiro, Y. Le Jan, J.-C. Zambrini.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[37] Mugnolo, D., Semigroup methods for evolution equations on networks, Understanding Complex Systems, Springer, 2014.
- <span id="page-21-22"></span><span id="page-21-7"></span>[38] Post, O., Spectral analysis on graph-like spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2039, Springer, 2012.
- [39] Post, O., Branched quantum wave guides with Dirichlet boundary conditions: the decoupling case, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005), no. 22, 4917–4931.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span><span id="page-21-9"></span>[40] Post, O., Spectral convergence of quasi-one-dimensional spaces, Ann. Henri Poincaré 7 (2006), 933–973.
- [41] Raugel, G., Dynamics of partial differential equations on thin domains, Dynamical systems, Lecture Notes in Math. 1609 (1995), 208–315.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[42] Rubinstein, J. and Schatzman, M., Variational problems on multiply connected thin strips. I. Basic estimates and convergence of the Laplacian spectrum, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 160 (2001), no. 4, 271–308.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[43] Saitō, Y., The limiting equation for Neumann Laplacians on shrinking domains, Electron. J. Diff. Equations 2000 (2000), no. 31, 1–25.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span><span id="page-22-2"></span>[44] Saitō, Y., Convergence of the Neumann Laplacian on shrinking domains, Analysis (Munich) 21 (2001), no. 2, 171–204.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[45] Titchmarsh, E. C., Eigenfunction expansions: Part I, Oxford University Press, London, 1962.
- [46] Uecker, H., Grieser, D., Sobirov, Z., Babajanov, D., and Matrasulov, D., Soliton transport in tubular networks: transmission at vertices in the shrinking limit, Phys. Rev. E 91 (2015), no. 2, 023209, 8pp.