

A new duality theorem for locally compact spaces

Georgi Dimov, Elza Ivanova

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University, 5 J. Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia,
Bulgaria

Abstract

In 1962, de Vries [2] proved a duality theorem for the category **HC** of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. The composition of the morphisms of the dual category obtained by him differs from the set-theoretic one. Here we obtain a new category dual to the category **HLC** of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps for which the composition of the morphisms is a natural one but the morphisms are multi-valued maps.

MSC: primary 54D45, 18A40; secondary 54E05.

Keywords: Locally compact space; Local contact algebra; Continuous map; δ -ideal; Duality.

1 Introduction

In 1962, de Vries [2] proved a duality theorem for the category **HC** of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. This theorem was the first realization in a full extent of the ideas of the so-called *region-based theory of space*, although, as it seems, de Vries did not know of the existence of such a theory. The region-based theory of space is a kind of point-free geometry and can be considered as an alternative to the well known Euclidean point-based theory of space. Its main idea goes back to Whitehead [23] (see also [22]) and de Laguna [1] and is based on a certain criticism of the Euclidean approach to the geometry, where the points (as well as straight lines and planes) are taken as the basic primitive notions. A. N. Whitehead and T. de Laguna noticed that points, lines and planes are quite abstract entities which have not a separate existence in reality and proposed to put the theory of space on the base of

¹This paper was supported by the project no. 173/2010 “Duality, equivalence and representation theorems and their applications in general topology” of the Sofia University “St. Kl. Ohridski”.

²*E-mail addresses:* gdimov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg, elza@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

some more realistic spatial entities. In Whitehead [23], the notion of region is taken as a primitive notion: it is an abstract analog of a spatial body; also some natural relations between regions are regarded. In [22] Whitehead considers only some mereological relations like “part-of” and “overlap”, while in [23] he adopts from de Laguna [1] the relation of “contact” (“connectedness” in Whitehead’s original terminology) as the only primitive relation between regions. In this way the region-based theory of space appeared as an extension of mereology – a philosophical discipline of “parts and wholes”.

Let us note that neither A. N. Whitehead nor T. de Laguna presented their ideas in a detailed mathematical form. Their ideas attracted some mathematicians and mathematically oriented philosophers to present various versions of region-based theory of space at different levels of abstraction. Here we can mention A. Tarski [20], who rebuilt Euclidean geometry as an extension of mereology with the primitive notion of sphere. Remarkable is also Grzegorzczyk’s paper [13]. Models of Grzegorzczyk’s theory are complete Boolean algebras of regular closed sets of certain topological spaces equipped with the relation of *separation* which in fact is the complement of Whitehead’s contact relation. On the same line of abstraction is also the point-free topology [14].

Let us mention that Whitehead’s ideas of region-based theory of space flourished and in a sense were reinvented and applied in some areas of computer science: Qualitative Spatial Reasoning (QSR), knowledge representation, geographical information systems, formal ontologies in information systems, image processing, natural language semantics etc. The reason is that the language of region-based theory of space allows us to obtain a more simple description of some qualitative spatial features and properties of space bodies. One of the most popular among the community of QSR-researchers is the system of Region Connection Calculus (RCC) introduced by Randell, Cui and Cohn [18].

A celebrated duality for the category **HC** is the Gelfand Duality Theorem [9, 10, 11, 12]. The de Vries Duality Theorem, however, is the first complete realization of the ideas of de Laguna [1] and Whitehead [23]: the models of the regions in de Vries’ theory are the regular closed sets of compact Hausdorff spaces (regarded with the well known Boolean structure on them) and the contact relation ρ between these sets is defined by $F \rho G \iff F \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

The composition of the morphisms of de Vries’ category **DHC** dual to the category **HC** differs from their set-theoretic composition. In 1973, V. V. Fedorchuk [8] noted that the complete **DHC**-morphisms (i.e., those **DHC**-morphisms which are complete Boolean homomorphisms) have a very simple description and, moreover, the **DHC**-composition of two such morphisms coincides with their set-theoretic composition. He considered the *cofull subcategory* (i.e. such a subcategory which has the same objects as the whole category) **DQHC** of the category **DHC** determined by the complete **DHC**-morphisms. He proved that the restriction of de Vries’ duality functor to it produces a duality between the category **DQHC** and the category **QHC** of compact Hausdorff spaces and quasi-open maps (a class of maps introduced by Mardešić and Papic in [16]).

It is natural to try to extend de Vries’ Duality Theorem to the category **HLC**

of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. An important step in this direction was done by Roper [19]. Being guided by the ideas of de Laguna [1] and Whitehead [23], he defined the notion of *region-based topology* which is now known as *local contact algebra* (briefly, LCA or LC-algebra) (see [5]), because the axioms which it satisfies almost coincide with the axioms of local proximities of Leader [15]. In his paper [19], Roper proved the following theorem: there is a bijective correspondence between all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all (up to isomorphism) complete LC-algebras. In [4], using Roper's theorem, the Fedorchuk Duality Theorem was extended to the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and skeletal (in the sense of [17]) maps. Quite recently, in the paper [3], de Vries' Duality Theorem [2] was extended to the category **HLC**. The composition of the morphisms of the obtained there dual category is not the usual composition of maps (i.e., the situation is the same as in the case of de Vries' Duality Theorem). We now obtain a new duality theorem for the category **HLC** such that the composition of the morphisms of the dual category is a natural one (like in the Fedorchuk Duality Theorem for the category **QHC**); however, the morphisms of the dual category are multi-valued maps.

Let us fix the notation.

If \mathcal{C} denotes a category, we write $X \in |\mathcal{C}|$ if X is an object of \mathcal{C} , and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ if f is a morphism of \mathcal{C} with domain X and codomain Y .

All lattices are with top (= unit) and bottom (= zero) elements, denoted respectively by 1 and 0. We do not require the elements 0 and 1 to be distinct. The operation "complement" in Boolean algebras is denoted by " $*$ ". The (positive) natural numbers are denoted by \mathbb{N} (resp., by \mathbb{N}^+). The Alexandroff (one-point) compactification of a locally compact Hausdorff space X is denoted by αX . If X is a set then we will denote by id_X the identity function on X .

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. An algebraic system $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *, C)$ is called a *contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *contact algebra* (abbreviated as CA or C-algebra) ([5]) if the system $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ is a Boolean algebra and C is a binary relation on B , satisfying the following axioms:

- (C1) If $a \neq 0$ then aCa ;
- (C2) If aCb then $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$;
- (C3) aCb implies bCa ;
- (C4) $aC(b \vee c)$ iff aCb or aCc .

We shall simply write (B, C) for a contact algebra. The relation C is called a *contact relation*. When B is a complete Boolean algebra, we will say that (B, C) is a *complete contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *complete contact algebra* (abbreviated as CCA or CC-algebra).

We will say that two C-algebras (B_1, C_1) and (B_2, C_2) are *CA-isomorphic* iff there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that, for each $a, b \in B_1$, aC_1b iff $\varphi(a)C_2\varphi(b)$. Note that in this paper, by a "Boolean isomorphism" we understand

an isomorphism in the category **Bool** of Boolean algebras and Boolean homomorphisms.

A contact algebra (B, C) is called a *normal contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *normal contact algebra* (abbreviated as NCA or NC-algebra) ([2],[8]) if it satisfies the following axioms (we will write “ $-C$ ” for “not C ”):

- (C5) If $a(-C)b$ then $a(-C)c$ and $b(-C)c^*$ for some $c \in B$;
- (C6) If $a \neq 1$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b(-C)a$.

Note that the axioms of NC-algebras are very similar to the Efremovič axioms of proximity spaces [7].

A normal CA is called a *complete normal contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *complete normal contact algebra* (abbreviated as CNCA or CNC-algebra) if it is a CCA. The notion of normal contact algebra was introduced by Fedorchuk [8] under the name *Boolean δ -algebra* as an equivalent expression of the notion of compingent Boolean algebra of de Vries (see its definition below). We call such algebras “normal contact algebras” because they form a subclass of the class of contact algebras and naturally arise in normal Hausdorff spaces.

Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom (C2) follows from the axioms (C6) and (C4).

For any CA (B, C) , we define a binary relation “ \ll_C ” on B (called *non-tangential inclusion*) by “ $a \ll_C b \leftrightarrow a(-C)b^*$ ”. Sometimes we will write simply “ \ll ” instead of “ \ll_C ”.

The relations C and \ll are inter-definable. For example, normal contact algebras could be equivalently defined (and exactly in this way they were introduced (under the name of *compingent Boolean algebras*) by de Vries in [2]) as a pair of a Boolean algebra $B = (B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ and a binary relation \ll on B subject to the following axioms:

- ($\ll 1$) $a \ll b$ implies $a \leq b$;
- ($\ll 2$) $0 \ll 0$;
- ($\ll 3$) $a \leq b \ll c \leq t$ implies $a \ll t$;
- ($\ll 4$) $a \ll c$ and $b \ll c$ implies $a \vee b \ll c$;
- ($\ll 5$) If $a \ll c$ then $a \ll b \ll c$ for some $b \in B$;
- ($\ll 6$) If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b \ll a$;
- ($\ll 7$) $a \ll b$ implies $b^* \ll a^*$.

Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom ($\ll 2$) follows from the axioms ($\ll 3$), ($\ll 4$), ($\ll 6$) and ($\ll 7$).

Obviously, contact algebras could be equivalently defined as a pair of a Boolean algebra B and a binary relation \ll on B subject to the axioms ($\ll 1$)-($\ll 4$) and ($\ll 7$).

It is easy to see that axiom (C5) (resp., (C6)) can be stated equivalently in the form of ($\ll 5$) (resp., ($\ll 6$)).

Example 2.2. Recall that a subset F of a topological space (X, τ) is called *regular closed* if $F = \text{cl}(\text{int}(F))$. Clearly, F is regular closed iff it is the closure of an open set.

For any topological space (X, τ) , the collection $RC(X, \tau)$ (we will often write simply $RC(X)$) of all regular closed subsets of (X, τ) becomes a complete Boolean

algebra $(RC(X, \tau), 0, 1, \wedge, \vee, *)$ under the following operations: $1 = X, 0 = \emptyset, F^* = \text{cl}(X \setminus F), F \vee G = F \cup G, F \wedge G = \text{cl}(\text{int}(F \cap G))$. The infinite operations are given by the formulas: $\bigvee\{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \text{cl}(\bigcup\{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\})$, and $\bigwedge\{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \text{cl}(\text{int}(\bigcap\{F_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}))$.

It is easy to see that setting $F \rho_{(X, \tau)} G$ iff $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$, we define a contact relation $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$ on $RC(X, \tau)$; it is called a *standard contact relation*. So, $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)})$ is a CCA (it is called a *standard contact algebra*). We will often write simply ρ_X instead of $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$. Note that, for $F, G \in RC(X)$, $F \ll_{\rho_X} G$ iff $F \subseteq \text{int}_X(G)$.

Clearly, if (X, τ) is a normal Hausdorff space then the standard contact algebra $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)})$ is a complete NCA.

A subset U of (X, τ) such that $U = \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ is said to be *regular open*.

Definition 2.3. Let (B, C) be a CA. Then a non-empty subset σ of B is called a cluster in (B, C) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (K1) If $a, b \in \sigma$ then aCb ;
- (K2) If $a \vee b \in \sigma$ then $a \in \sigma$ or $b \in \sigma$;
- (K3) If aCb for every $b \in \sigma$, then $a \in \sigma$.

The set of all clusters in (B, C) will be denoted by $\text{Clust}(B, C)$.

Proposition 2.4. ([4], [19]) Let (B, C) be a normal contact algebra, σ be a cluster in (B, C) , $a \in B$ and $a \notin \sigma$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \notin \sigma$ and $a \ll b$.

The following notion is a lattice-theoretical counterpart of the Leader's notion of *local proximity* ([15]):

Definition 2.5. ([19]) An algebraic system

$$\underline{B}_l = (B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *, \rho, \mathbb{B})$$

is called a *local contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *local contact algebra* (abbreviated as LCA or LC-algebra) if $(B, 0, 1, \vee, \wedge, *)$ is a Boolean algebra, ρ is a binary relation on B such that (B, ρ) is a CA, and \mathbb{B} is an ideal (possibly non proper) of B , satisfying the following axioms:

- (BC1) If $a \in \mathbb{B}$, $c \in B$ and $a \ll_\rho c$ then $a \ll_\rho b \ll_\rho c$ for some $b \in \mathbb{B}$;
- (BC2) If $a\rho b$ then there exists an element c of \mathbb{B} such that $a\rho(c \wedge b)$;
- (BC3) If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $b \ll_\rho a$.

We shall simply write (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) for a local contact algebra. We will say that the elements of \mathbb{B} are *bounded* and the elements of $B \setminus \mathbb{B}$ are *unbounded*. When B is a complete Boolean algebra, the LCA (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is called a *complete local contact Boolean algebra* or, briefly, *complete local contact algebra* (abbreviated as CLCA or CLC-algebra).

We will say that two local contact algebras (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) and $(B_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1)$ are *LCA-isomorphic* if there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow B_1$ such that, for $a, b \in B$, $a\rho b$ iff $\varphi(a)\rho_1\varphi(b)$, and $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_1$ iff $a \in \mathbb{B}$.

Remark 2.6. Note that if (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is a local contact algebra and $1 \in \mathbb{B}$ then (B, ρ) is a normal contact algebra. Conversely, any normal contact algebra (B, C) can be regarded as a local contact algebra of the form (B, C, B) .

Notation 2.7. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. We denote by $CR(X, \tau)$ the family of all compact regular closed subsets of (X, τ) . We will often write $CR(X)$ instead of $CR(X, \tau)$.

Fact 2.8. ([19]) *Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the triple*

$$(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, CR(X, \tau))$$

is a complete local contact algebra; it is called a standard local contact algebra.

Definition 2.9. ([21]) Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra. Define a binary relation " C_ρ " on B by

$$(1) \quad aC_\rho b \iff (apb \text{ or } a, b \notin \mathbb{B}).$$

It is called the Alexandroff extension of ρ relatively to the LCA (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) (or, when there is no ambiguity, simply, the Alexandroff extension of ρ).

Lemma 2.10. ([21]) *Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra. Then (B, C_ρ) , where C_ρ is the Alexandroff extension of ρ , is a normal contact algebra.*

Definition 2.11. Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra. We will say that σ is a cluster in (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) if σ is a cluster in the NCA (B, C_ρ) . A cluster σ in (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is called bounded if $\sigma \cap \mathbb{B} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.12. [21] *Let (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a local contact algebra and let $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$. Then $\sigma_\infty^{(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})} = \{b \in B \mid b \notin \mathbb{B}\}$ is a cluster in (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) . (Sometimes we will simply write σ_∞ instead of $\sigma_\infty^{(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})}$.)*

Notation 2.13. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. If $x \in X$ then we set:

$$(2) \quad \sigma_x = \{F \in RC(X) \mid x \in F\}.$$

for every $x \in X$, σ_x is a bounded cluster in the standard local contact algebra $(RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, CR(X, \tau))$.

The next theorem was proved by Roeper [19] (but its particular case concerning compact Hausdorff spaces and NC-algebras was proved by de Vries [2]).

Theorem 2.14. (P. Roeper [19] for locally compact spaces and de Vries [2] for compact spaces) *There exists a bijective correspondence Ψ^t between the class of all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CLC-algebras; its restriction to the class of all (up to homeomorphism) compact Hausdorff spaces gives a bijective correspondence between the later class and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CNC-algebras.*

We will now recall (following [21]) the definition of the correspondence Ψ^t (mentioned in the above theorem) and some other facts and notation which will be used later on.

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Set

$$(3) \quad \Psi^t(X, \tau) = (RC(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, CR(X, \tau))$$

Let $\underline{B}_l = (B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a complete local contact algebra. Let $C = C_\rho$ be the Alexandroff extension of ρ . Then (B, C) is a complete normal contact algebra. Put $X = \text{Clust}(B, C)$ and let \mathcal{T} be the topology on X having as a closed base the family $\{\lambda_{(B, C)}(a) \mid a \in B\}$ where, for every $a \in B$, $\lambda_{(B, C)}(a) = \{\sigma \in X \mid a \in \sigma\}$. Sometimes we will write simply λ_B instead of $\lambda_{(B, C)}$. Note that $X \setminus \lambda_B(a) = \text{int}(\lambda_B(a^*))$, the family $\{\text{int}(\lambda_B(a)) \mid a \in B\}$ is an open base of (X, \mathcal{T}) and, for every $a \in B$, $\lambda_B(a) \in RC(X, \mathcal{T})$. It can be proved that $\lambda_B : (B, C) \longrightarrow (RC(X), \rho_X)$ is a CA-isomorphism. Further, (X, \mathcal{T}) is a compact Hausdorff space.

Let $1 \in \mathbb{B}$. Then $C = \rho$ and $\mathbb{B} = B$, so that $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = (B, C, B) = (B, C)$ is a complete normal contact algebra, and we put

$$(4) \quad \Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = \Psi^a(B, C, B) = \Psi^a(B, C) = (X, \mathcal{T}).$$

Let $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$. Then the set $\sigma_\infty = \{b \in B \mid b \notin \mathbb{B}\}$ is a cluster in (B, C) and, hence, $\sigma_\infty \in X$. Let $L = X \setminus \{\sigma_\infty\}$. Then $L = \text{BClust}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, i.e. L is the set of all bounded clusters of (B, C_ρ) (sometimes we will write $L_{\underline{B}_l}$ or L_B instead of L); let the topology $\tau (= \tau_{\underline{B}_l})$ on L be the subspace topology, i.e. $\tau = \mathcal{T}|_L$. Then (L, τ) is a locally compact Hausdorff space. We put

$$(5) \quad \Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = (L, \tau).$$

Let $\lambda_{\underline{B}_l}^l(a) = \lambda_{(B, C_\rho)}(a) \cap L$, for each $a \in B$. We will write simply λ_B^l (or even $\lambda_{(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})}$ when $\mathbb{B} \neq A$) instead of $\lambda_{\underline{B}_l}^l$ when this does not lead to ambiguity. One can show that:

- (I) L is a dense subset of X ;
- (II) λ_B^l is a Boolean isomorphism of the Boolean algebra B onto the Boolean algebra $RC(L, \tau)$;
- (III) $b \in \mathbb{B}$ iff $\lambda_B^l(b) \in CR(L)$;
- (IV) $a\rho b$ iff $\lambda_B^l(a) \cap \lambda_B^l(b) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence, $X = \alpha L$ and $\lambda_B^l : (B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (RC(L), \rho_L, CR(L))$ is an LCA-isomorphism. Note also that for every $b \in B$, $\text{int}_{L_B}(\lambda_B^l(b)) = L_B \cap \text{int}_X(\lambda_B(b))$.

For every CLCA (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) and every $a \in B$, set

$$(6) \quad \lambda_{\underline{B}_l}^g(a) = \lambda_{(B, C_\rho)}(a) \cap \Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}).$$

We will write simply λ_B^g instead of $\lambda_{\underline{B}_l}^g$ when this does not lead to ambiguity. Thus, when $1 \in \mathbb{B}$, we have that $\lambda_B^g = \lambda_B$, and if $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$ then $\lambda_B^g = \lambda_B^l$. Hence we get that

$$(7) \quad \lambda_B^g : (B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (\Psi^t \circ \Psi^a)(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \text{ is an LCA-isomorphism.}$$

We have that:

(8) the family $\{\text{int}_{\Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})}(\lambda_B^g(a)) \mid a \in \mathbb{B}\}$ is an open base of $\Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.

Let (L, τ) be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $B = RC(L, \tau)$, $\mathbb{B} = CR(L, \tau)$ and $\rho = \rho_L$. Then $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = \Psi^t(L, \tau)$. It can be shown that the map

(9) $t_{(L, \tau)} : (L, \tau) \longrightarrow \Psi^a(\Psi^t(L, \tau))$,

defined by $t_{(L, \tau)}(x) = \{F \in RC(L, \tau) \mid x \in F\} (= \sigma_x)$, for all $x \in L$, is a homeomorphism.

Therefore $\Psi^a(\Psi^t(L, \tau))$ is homeomorphic to (L, τ) and $\Psi^t(\Psi^a(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}))$ is LCA-isomorphic to (B, ρ, \mathbb{B}) .

Note that if (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is an LCA, $X = \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}') = \lambda_B^g(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then for every $a \in RC(X)$, $a = \bigvee \{b \in \mathbb{B}' \mid b \ll_{\rho_X} a\}$ holds. Hence, for every $a \in A$,

(10) $a = \bigvee \{b \in \mathbb{B} \mid b \ll_{\rho} a\}$.

Definition 2.15. ([3]) Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be an LCA. An ideal I of A is called a δ -ideal if $I \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ and for any $a \in I$ there exists $b \in I$ such that $a \ll_{\rho} b$. If I_1 and I_2 are two δ -ideals of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) then we put $I_1 \leq I_2$ iff $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. We will denote by $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ the poset of all δ -ideals of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) .

Fact 2.16. ([3]) Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be an LCA. Then, for every $a \in A$, the set $I_a = \{b \in \mathbb{B} \mid b \ll_{\rho} a\}$ is a δ -ideal. Such δ -ideals will be called principal δ -ideals.

Recall that a frame is a complete lattice L satisfying the infinite distributive law $a \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee \{a \wedge s \mid s \in S\}$, for every $a \in L$ and every $S \subseteq L$.

Fact 2.17. ([3]) Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be an LCA. Then the poset $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ of all δ -ideals of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is a frame. The finite meets and arbitrary joins in $I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ coincide with the corresponding operations in the frame $\text{Idl}(A)$ of all ideals of A .

We will often use the following elementary fact: the join $\bigvee \{I_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of a family of ideals of a distributive lattice A in the frame $\text{Idl}(A)$ of all ideals of A is the set $I = \{\bigvee \{x_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_1\} \mid \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_1 \text{ is finite, } x_{\gamma} \in I_{\gamma} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$ of elements of A (see, e.g., [6]).

Proposition 2.18. ([3]) Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, where (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is a CLCA, and $\sigma_1 \cap \mathbb{B} = \sigma_2 \cap \mathbb{B}$. Then $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

Recall that if A is a distributive lattice then an element $p \in A \setminus \{1\}$ is called a prime element of A if for each $a, b \in A$, $a \wedge b = p$ implies that $a = p$ or $b = p$. The prime elements of the frame $I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, where (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) is an LCA, will be called prime δ -ideals of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) .

Proposition 2.19. ([3]) Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a CLCA. If $\sigma \in \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then $\mathbb{B} \setminus \sigma = J_{\sigma}$ is a prime δ -ideal of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) . If J is a prime δ -ideal of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) then there exists a unique $\sigma \in \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ such that $\sigma \cap \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B} \setminus J$.

Theorem 2.20. ([3]) *Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a CLCA, $X = \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $\mathcal{O}(X)$ be the frame of all open subsets of X . Then there exists a frame isomorphism*

$$\iota : (I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(X), \subseteq), \quad I \mapsto \bigcup \{\lambda_A^g(a) \mid a \in I\},$$

where $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ is the frame of all δ -ideals of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) .

3 A new duality theorem

Notation 3.1. We denote by **HLC** the category of all locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all continuous mappings between them.

Definition 3.2. Let **MDHLC** be the category whose objects are all CLCAs and whose morphisms $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ are all multi-valued maps which satisfy the following conditions:

- (M1) For every $a \in A$, $\varphi(a) \in I(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$;
- (M2) $\varphi(a \wedge b) = \varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$, for every $a, b \in A$;
- (M3) $\varphi(a) = \bigvee \{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$, for every $a \in A$;
- (M4) $\varphi(0) = \{0\}$;
- (M5) If $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{B}$, $a_i \ll b_i$, where $i = 1, 2$, then $\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2) \subseteq \varphi(b_1) \vee \varphi(b_2)$;
- (M6) For every $b \in \mathbb{B}'$, there exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \in \varphi(a)$.

The composition \diamond between two morphisms $\varphi : (A_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1) \longrightarrow (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2)$ and $\psi : (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2) \longrightarrow (A_3, \rho_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ is defined by $(\psi \diamond \varphi)(a) = \bigvee \{\psi(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\}$. The identity morphism $i_A : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is defined by $i_A(a) = I_a$ (see 2.16 for I_a).

Remark 3.3. Using Fact 2.17, it can be easily seen that in the axiom (M2) the expression “ $\varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$ ” can be replaced by “ $\varphi(a) \cap \varphi(b)$ ”, and, in (M3), “ \bigvee ” can be replaced by “ \bigcup ”. Note also that the expression “ $\bigvee \{\psi(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\}$ ” can be written down in the form “ $\bigvee \psi(\varphi(a))$ ”, and hence $(\psi \diamond \varphi)(a) = \bigvee \psi(\varphi(a))$, i.e. our definition of the composition between two morphisms in the category **MDHLC** is enough natural.

Proposition 3.4. *MDHLC is a category.*

Proof. We will first prove that for every (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) , i_A is an **MDHLC**-morphism. Indeed, it is obvious that (M1), (M2) and (M4) are satisfied. Since (BC1) implies that $i_A(a) = I_a = \bigvee \{I_b \mid b \in I_a\}$, we get that (M3) is fulfilled. We will now show that condition (M5) is fulfilled. Let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{B}$, $a_i \ll b_i$, $i = 1, 2$. We have to show that $I_{a_1 \vee a_2} \subseteq I_{b_1} \vee I_{b_2}$. Let $c \ll a_1 \vee a_2$. Then $c = (c \wedge a_1) \vee (c \wedge a_2)$. Since $c \wedge a_1 \leq a_1 \ll b_1$ and $c \wedge a_2 \leq a_2 \ll b_2$, we get that $c \wedge a_1 \in I_{b_1}$ and $c \wedge a_2 \in I_{b_2}$. Hence $c = (c \wedge a_1) \vee (c \wedge a_2) \in I_{b_1} \vee I_{b_2}$. So, $I_{a_1 \vee a_2} \subseteq I_{b_1} \vee I_{b_2}$. For verifying (M6), let $b \in \mathbb{B}$; then, by (BC1), there exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \ll a$; hence $b \in I_a = i_A(a)$. So, i_A is a **MDHLC**-morphism.

Let $\varphi_1 : (A_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1) \longrightarrow (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2)$ and $\varphi_2 : (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2) \longrightarrow (A_3, \rho_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ be **MDHLC**-morphisms. We will prove that $\varphi = \varphi_2 \diamond \varphi_1$ is an **MDHLC**-morphism.

We have that $\varphi(a) = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b) \mid b \in \varphi_1(a)\}$. The axiom (M1) is obviously fulfilled. Further, for every $a_1, a_2 \in A_1$,

$$\varphi(a_1 \wedge a_2) = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b) \mid b \in \varphi_1(a_1 \wedge a_2)\} = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b) \mid b \in \varphi_1(a_1) \cap \varphi_1(a_2)\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a_1) \wedge \varphi(a_2) &= \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_1) \mid b_1 \in \varphi_1(a_1)\} \wedge \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_2) \mid b_2 \in \varphi_1(a_2)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_2) \wedge \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_1) \mid b_1 \in \varphi_1(a_1)\} \mid b_2 \in \varphi_1(a_2)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_1) \wedge \varphi_2(b_2) \mid b_1 \in \varphi_1(a_1)\} \mid b_2 \in \varphi_1(a_2)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b_1 \wedge b_2) \mid b_1 \in \varphi_1(a_1), b_2 \in \varphi_1(a_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If, for $i = 1, 2$, $b_i \in \varphi_1(a_i)$ then $b_1 \wedge b_2 = b \in \varphi_1(a_1) \cap \varphi_1(a_2)$. So, $\varphi(a_1) \wedge \varphi(a_2) \subseteq \varphi(a_1 \wedge a_2)$. Conversely, from $b \in \varphi_1(a_1) \cap \varphi_1(a_2)$ and $b = b \wedge b$, we get that $\varphi(a_1 \wedge a_2) \subseteq \varphi(a_1) \wedge \varphi(a_2)$. Hence, condition (M2) is satisfied.

We will prove that $\varphi(a) = \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$ for every $a \in A$, i.e. $\bigvee\{\varphi_2(c) \mid c \in \varphi_1(a)\} = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(d) \mid d \in \varphi_1(b), b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$. Let $c \in \varphi_1(a)$. Then, by (M3) and Remark 3.3, there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \ll a$ and $c \in \varphi_1(b)$. Conversely, let $d \in \varphi_1(b)$, $b \in \mathbb{B}$, $b \ll a$. Then $d \in \varphi_1(a)$. Hence, the axiom (M3) is fulfilled.

Since $\varphi(0) = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b) \mid b \in \varphi_1(0)\} = \bigvee\{\varphi_2(b) \mid b \in \{0\}\} = \varphi_2(0) = \{0\}$, we get that condition (M4) is satisfied.

Let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{B}$, $a_i \ll b_i$, $i = 1, 2$. We will prove that $\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2) \subseteq \varphi(b_1) \vee \varphi(b_2)$, i.e.

$$\bigvee\{\varphi_2(c) \mid c \in \varphi_1(a_1 \vee a_2)\} \subseteq \bigvee\{\varphi_2(d) \mid d \in \varphi_1(b_1)\} \vee \bigvee\{\varphi_2(e) \mid e \in \varphi_1(b_2)\}.$$

Let $c \in \varphi_1(a_1 \vee a_2)$. Then $c \in \varphi_1(b_1) \vee \varphi_1(b_2)$, i.e. there exist $d_1 \in \varphi_1(b_1)$ and $e_1 \in \varphi_1(b_2)$ such that $c = d_1 \vee e_1$. There exists $d \in \varphi_1(b_1)$ such that $d_1 \ll d$ and there exists $e \in \varphi_1(b_2)$ such that $e_1 \ll e$. Then $\varphi_2(c) = \varphi_2(d_1 \vee e_1) \subseteq \varphi_2(d) \vee \varphi_2(e)$. So, the axiom (M5) is satisfied.

Let $c \in \mathbb{B}_3$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}_2$ such that $c \in \varphi_2(b)$. There exists $a \in \mathbb{B}_1$ such that $b \in \varphi_1(a)$. Hence $c \in \varphi(a)$. So, condition (M6) is also fulfilled.

Hence $\varphi_2 \diamond \varphi_1$ is an **MDHLC**-morphism.

We will now show that the composition is associative. Let $\varphi : (A_1, \rho_1, \mathbb{B}_1) \longrightarrow (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2)$, $\psi : (A_2, \rho_2, \mathbb{B}_2) \longrightarrow (A_3, \rho_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ and $\chi : (A_3, \rho_3, \mathbb{B}_3) \longrightarrow (A_4, \rho_4, \mathbb{B}_4)$ be **MDHLC**-morphisms. We have that, for every $a \in A_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \diamond (\psi \diamond \chi))(a) &= \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in (\psi \diamond \chi)(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi \diamond \psi) \diamond \chi)(a) &= \bigvee\{(\varphi \diamond \psi)(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \psi(c)\} \mid c \in \chi(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \bigcup\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $b \in \bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}$. Then $b = \bigvee\{b_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $b_i \in \psi(c_i)$ and $c_i \in \chi(a)$. Setting $c = \bigvee\{c_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, we get that $c \in \chi(a)$ and, by (M1) and (M2), $\bigvee\{\psi(c_i) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \subseteq \psi(c)$. Therefore $b \in \psi(c)$. We get that $\bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\} = \bigcup\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}$. Hence, the composition “ \diamond ” is associative.

Finally, if $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ is a **MDHLC**-morphism then, for every $a \in A$, $(\varphi \diamond i_A)(a) = \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in I_a\} = \bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\} = \varphi(a)$ (since

φ satisfies condition (M3)), and $(i_B \diamond \varphi)(a) = \bigvee \{I_b \mid b \in \varphi(a)\} = \varphi(a)$. Hence, $\varphi \diamond i_A = \varphi$ and $i_B \diamond \varphi = \varphi$.

All this shows that **MDHLC** is a category. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an **HLC**-morphism. Define a map $\varphi_f : \Psi^t(Y) \rightarrow \Psi^t(X)$ by:*

$$(11) \quad \forall G \in RC(Y), \quad \varphi_f(G) = \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))\}.$$

*Then φ_f is an **MDHLC**-morphism.*

Proof. We have to prove that φ_f satisfies the conditions (M1)-(M6) from Definition 3.2. We start by showing that for each $G \in RC(Y)$, $\varphi_f(G)$ is a δ -ideal. Obviously, $\varphi_f(G)$ is a lower set. If $F_1, F_2 \in \varphi_f(G)$ then $F_1 \vee F_2 = F_1 \cup F_2 \in \varphi_f(G)$. So, $\varphi_f(G)$ is an ideal. If $F \in \varphi_f(G)$ then F is compact and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))$. Hence there exists an open $U \subseteq X$ such that $\text{cl}(U)$ is compact and $F \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))$. Then $\text{cl}(U) \in CR(X)$ and hence $\text{cl}(U) \in \varphi_f(G)$. So, $\varphi_f(G)$ is a δ -ideal. Thus, condition (M1) is fulfilled.

Let $G, H \in RC(Y)$. Then

$$\varphi_f(G \wedge H) = \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G \wedge H))\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_f(G) \cap \varphi_f(H) &= \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G)), F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))\} \\ &= \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G \cap H))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{int}(G \cap H)$ is a regular open set, we get that $\text{int}(G \wedge H) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(G \cap H))) = \text{int}(G \cap H)$. So, $\varphi_f(G \wedge H) = \varphi_f(G) \cap \varphi_f(H)$. Thus, the axiom (M2) is satisfied.

For verifying (M3), we have to prove that $\{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))\} = \bigvee \{\{F' \in CR(X) \mid F' \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))\} \mid H \in CR(Y), H \subseteq \text{int}(G)\}$. It is obvious that the right part is a subset of the left part. For proving the converse inclusion, let $F \in CR(X)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))$. Then $f(F) \subseteq \text{int}(G)$ and $f(F)$ is compact. Let $\Omega = \{\text{int}(H) \mid H \in CR(Y), H \subseteq \text{int}(G)\}$. Then $\bigcup \Omega = \text{int}(G)$. Hence Ω covers $f(F)$. Therefore there exist H_1, \dots, H_n such that $\text{int}(H_1), \dots, \text{int}(H_n) \in \Omega$ and $f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{int}(H_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i \subseteq \text{int}(G)$. Set $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$. Then $H \in CR(Y)$

and $H \subseteq \text{int}(G)$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{int}(H_i) \subseteq \text{int}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i)$, we get that $f(F) \subseteq \text{int}(H)$, i.e. $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))$. Thus, condition (M3) is fulfilled.

We have that $0 = \emptyset$, so $\varphi_f(\emptyset) = \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset\} = I_\emptyset$. Therefore, φ_f satisfies condition (M4).

For verifying the axiom (M5), we have to prove that for every $G_i, H_i \in CR(Y)$ such that $G_i \subseteq \text{int}(H_i)$, where $i = 1, 2$, the following inclusion holds:

$$\{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G_1 \cup G_2))\} \subseteq$$

$$\{F' \in CR(X) \mid F' \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_1))\} \vee \{F'' \in CR(X) \mid F'' \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_2))\}.$$

Let $F \in CR(X)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G_1 \cup G_2))$. Then

$$F \subseteq f^{-1}(G_1 \cup G_2) = f^{-1}(G_1) \cup f^{-1}(G_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_1)) \cup f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_2)).$$

Obviously, $\Omega_i = \{\text{int}(K) \mid K \in CR(X), K \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_i))\}$ covers $f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_i))$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ is a cover of $f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_1)) \cup f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_2))$ and hence $F \subseteq \bigcup \Omega$. Since F is compact, there exist $\text{int}(K_1), \dots, \text{int}(K_m) \in \Omega_1$ and $\text{int}(K'_1), \dots, \text{int}(K'_n) \in \Omega_2$ such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{int}(K_i) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{int}(K'_j)$. Put $F_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i$

and $F_2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^n K'_j$. Then $F_i \in CR(X)$ and $F_i \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H_i))$, where $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $F \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 \in \varphi_f(H_1) \vee \varphi_f(H_2)$. Hence $F \in \varphi_f(H_1) \vee \varphi_f(H_2)$.

Finally, we will show that (M6) is fulfilled. Let $F \in CR(X)$. For every $y \in f(F)$ there exists a neighborhood O_y of y such that $\text{cl}(O_y)$ is compact. Since $f(F)$ is compact, there exist $y_1, \dots, y_n \in f(F)$ such that $f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_{y_i}$. Let $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(O_{y_i})$. Then $G \in CR(Y)$ and $f(F) \subseteq \text{int}(G)$. Hence $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(G))$, i.e. $F \in \varphi_f(G)$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *For each $X \in |\mathbf{HLC}|$, set $\Delta^t(X) = \Psi^t(X)$ (see Theorem 2.14 for the notation Ψ^t), and for each $f \in \mathbf{HLC}(X, Y)$, put $\Delta^t(f) = \varphi_f$ (see Proposition 3.5 for the notation φ_f). Then $\Delta^t : \mathbf{HLC} \rightarrow \mathbf{MDHLC}$ is a contravariant functor.*

Proof. Let $X \in |\mathbf{HLC}|$ and $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = \Delta^t(X)$. We will show that $\Delta^t(\text{id}_X) = i_A$. Indeed, let $\varphi = \Delta^t(\text{id}_X)$. Then, by (11), $\varphi(G) = \{F \in CR(X) \mid F \subseteq \text{int}(G)\} = \{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid a \ll G\} = I_G = i_A(G)$, for every $G \in RC(X)$ ($= A$). Thus $\Delta^t(\text{id}_X) = i_A$.

Let now $f_1 \in \mathbf{HLC}(X_1, X_2)$, $f_2 \in \mathbf{HLC}(X_2, X_3)$ and $f = f_2 \circ f_1$. We will show that $\Delta^t(f) = \Delta^t(f_1) \diamond \Delta^t(f_2)$. Set, for short, $\varphi = \Delta^t(f)$, $\varphi_1 = \Delta^t(f_1)$ and $\varphi_2 = \Delta^t(f_2)$. Then, for every $G_3 \in RC(X_3)$, we have that $\varphi_2(G_3) = \{F_2 \in CR(X_2) \mid f_2(F_2) \subseteq \text{int}(G_3)\}$,

$$(12) \quad \varphi(G_3) = \{F_1 \in CR(X_1) \mid F_1 \subseteq f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3)))\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1 \diamond \varphi_2)(G_3) &= \bigvee \{\varphi_1(F_2) \mid F_2 \in \varphi_2(G_3)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\{F_1 \in CR(X_1) \mid f_1(F_1) \subseteq \text{int}(F_2)\} \mid \\ &\quad F_2 \in CR(X_2), f_2(F_2) \subseteq \text{int}(G_3)\} \\ &= \{\bigcup \{F_1^i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, (\forall i = 1, \dots, k)[(F_1^i \in CR(X_1)) \wedge \\ &\quad ((\exists F_2^i \in CR(X_2))(f_1(F_1^i) \subseteq \text{int}(F_2^i) \subseteq F_2^i \subseteq f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3)))]\}\} \\ &= \{F_1 \in CR(X_1) \mid (\exists F_2 \in CR(X_2)) \\ &\quad (f_1(F_1) \subseteq \text{int}(F_2) \subseteq F_2 \subseteq f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $\varphi(G_3) = (\varphi_1 \diamond \varphi_2)(G_3)$, i.e. that the corresponding right sides R and $R_{1,2}$ of (12) and the equation after it are equal. Let $F_1 \in R$. Then $F_1 \in CR(X_1)$ and $f_1(F_1) \subseteq f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3))$. Since $f_1(F_1)$ is a compact subset of X_2 , there exists

$F_2 \in CR(X_2)$ such that $f_1(F_1) \subseteq \text{int}(F_2) \subseteq F_2 \subseteq f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3))$. Thus, $F_1 \in R_{1,2}$. Conversely, if $F_1 \in R_{1,2}$ then $F_1 \in CR(X_1)$ and there exists $F_2 \in CR(X_2)$ such that $f_1(F_1) \subseteq \text{int}(F_2) \subseteq F_2 \subseteq f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3))$. Then $F_1 \subseteq f_1^{-1}(F_2) \subseteq f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(\text{int}(G_3)))$. Therefore $F_1 \in R$. So, we have proved that $\Delta^t(f) = \Delta^t(f_1) \diamond \Delta^t(f_2)$. All this shows that Δ^t is a contravariant functor. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \rho', \mathbb{B}')$ be an MDHLC-morphism. Define a map $f_\varphi : \Psi^a(B, \rho', \mathbb{B}') \longrightarrow \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ by setting*

$$(13) \quad \forall \sigma' \in \Psi^a(B, \rho', \mathbb{B}'), f_\varphi(\sigma') \cap \mathbb{B} = \{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid (\forall b \in A)((a \ll_\rho b) \rightarrow (\varphi(b) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset))\}.$$

Then f_φ is defined correctly and f_φ is an HLC-morphism.

Proof. Let $\sigma' \in \Psi^a(B, \rho', \mathbb{B}')$. Set $J = \mathbb{B} \setminus (f_\varphi(\sigma') \cap \mathbb{B})$. We will first prove that J is a prime δ -ideal of (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) . Note that $J = \{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid \exists b \in \mathbb{B} \text{ such that } a \ll_\rho b \text{ and } \varphi(b) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset\}$.

Obviously, J is a lower set. By (M4), $0 \in J$ (because $0 \ll_\rho 0$). Let $a, b \in J$. Then there exist $a', b' \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a \ll_\rho a', b \ll_\rho b'$ and $\varphi(a') \cap \sigma' = \emptyset, \varphi(b') \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. There exist $a'', b'' \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a \ll_\rho a'' \ll_\rho a'$ and $b \ll_\rho b'' \ll_\rho b'$. Hence, by (M5), $\varphi(a'' \vee b'') \subseteq \varphi(a') \vee \varphi(b')$. Since, by Proposition 2.19, $\mathbb{B}' \setminus \sigma'$ is a δ -ideal and $\varphi(a') \cup \varphi(b') \subseteq \mathbb{B}' \setminus \sigma'$, we get that $\varphi(a') \vee \varphi(b') \subseteq \mathbb{B}' \setminus \sigma'$. Thus $\varphi(a'' \vee b'') \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. Since $a \vee b \ll_\rho a'' \vee b''$, we obtain that $a \vee b \in J$. Hence J is an ideal.

Let $a \in J$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a \ll_\rho b$ and $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a \ll_\rho c \ll_\rho b$. Then, obviously, $c \in J$ and $a \ll_\rho c$. Hence J is a δ -ideal.

Let $I_1, I_2 \in I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = J$. Suppose that $J \neq I_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Hence there exists $a_i \in I_i \setminus J$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then, for every $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a_1 \ll b$ or $a_2 \ll b$, we have that $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset$. There exists $b_i \in I_i$ such that $a_i \ll b_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $b_i \notin J$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $b = b_1 \wedge b_2$. Then $b \in I_1 \cap I_2 = J$ and thus $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. Using (M2), we get that $\varphi(b_1) \cap \varphi(b_2) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. There exists $d_i \in \varphi(b_i) \cap \sigma'$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\varphi(b_i)$ is a δ -ideal, there exists $l_i \in \varphi(b_i)$ such that $d_i \ll l_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $l_i \in \sigma'$ but $l_i^* \notin \sigma'$ (since $d_i(-C_\rho)l_i^*$), where $i = 1, 2$. Hence $l_1^* \vee l_2^* \notin \sigma'$. Then $l_1 \wedge l_2 \in \sigma'$. Moreover, $l_1 \wedge l_2 \in \varphi(b_1) \cap \varphi(b_2) \cap \sigma'$, which is a contradiction.

So, J is a prime δ -ideal. Obviously, $\mathbb{B} \setminus J = f_\varphi(\sigma') \cap \mathbb{B}$. Now, by Proposition 2.19, there exists a unique bounded cluster σ in (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) whose intersection with \mathbb{B} is equal to $\mathbb{B} \setminus J$. Thus $f_\varphi(\sigma') = \sigma$. All this shows that f_φ is defined correctly.

We will now prove that f_φ is a continuous function. Let $F \in CR(X)$, where $X = \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$. Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $F = \lambda_A^g(a)$. Set $U = \text{int}(F)$. Then $U = \text{int}(\lambda_A^g(a)) = X \setminus \lambda_A^g(a^*)$. We will show that $f_\varphi^{-1}(U) = \iota_B(\varphi(a)) (= \bigcup \{\lambda_B^g(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\})$. Indeed, let $\sigma' \in f_\varphi^{-1}(U)$. Then $f_\varphi(\sigma') = \sigma \in U = X \setminus \lambda_A^g(a^*)$. Hence $a^* \notin \sigma$ and $a \in \sigma$. We have that

$$(14) \quad \sigma \cap \mathbb{B} = \{c \in \mathbb{B} \mid \forall d \in \mathbb{B} \text{ such that } c \ll d, \varphi(d) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We will prove that $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, since $a^* \notin \sigma$, Proposition 2.4 implies that there exists $a_1 \in A$ such that $a^* \ll_{C_\rho} a_1^*$ and $a_1^* \notin \sigma$. Then $a_1 \ll_{C_\rho} a$ and $a_1 \in \sigma$.

Since $a \in \mathbb{B}$, we get that $a_1 \in \mathbb{B}$. Hence $a_1 \ll_{\rho} a$ and $a_1 \in \mathbb{B} \cap \sigma$. Then, by (14), $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset$. So, $\sigma' \in \iota_B(\varphi(a))$. Thus, $f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U) \subseteq \iota_B(\varphi(a)) = V$. Note that, by Theorem 2.20, V is an open subset of $\Psi^a(B, \rho', \mathbb{B}')$.

Conversely, let $\sigma' \in \iota_B(\varphi(a))$ and $\sigma = f_{\varphi}(\sigma')$. Then $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset$. We will prove that $a^* \notin \sigma$. Suppose first that for every $e \ll a$, $\varphi(e) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$. We have, by (M3), that $\varphi(a) = \bigvee \{\varphi(e) \mid e \ll a\}$. Also, by Proposition 2.19, $J_{\sigma'} = \mathbb{B}' \setminus \sigma'$ is a δ -ideal. Since $\bigcup_{e \ll a} \varphi(e) \subseteq J_{\sigma'}$, we get that $\varphi(a) \subseteq J_{\sigma'}$, i.e. $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma' = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Hence, there exists an $e \ll a$ such that $\varphi(e) \cap \sigma' \neq \emptyset$. Then $e \in \mathbb{B}$ (since $a \in \mathbb{B}$) and by (14), $e \in \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Since $e \ll_{\rho} a$, we have that $e(-\rho)a^*$. Using the fact that $e \in \mathbb{B}$, we get that $e(-C_{\rho})a^*$. Hence $a^* \notin \sigma$. So, $\sigma \in \text{int}(\lambda_A^g(a)) = U$. Thus $\sigma' \in f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U)$. So, we have proved that

$$(15) \quad f_{\varphi}^{-1}(\text{int}(\lambda_A^g(a))) = \iota_B(\varphi(a)), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Now, using (8), we obtain that f_{φ} is a continuous function. \square

Proposition 3.8. *For each $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \in |\mathbf{MDHLC}|$, set $\Delta^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = \Psi^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ (see the text immediately after Theorem 2.14 for the notation Ψ^a), and for each \mathbf{MDHLC} -morphism $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow (B, \rho', \mathbb{B}')$, put $\Delta^a(\varphi) = f_{\varphi}$ (see Proposition 3.7 for the notation f_{φ}). Then $\Delta^a : \mathbf{MDHLC} \rightarrow \mathbf{HLC}$ is a contravariant functor.*

Proof. Let (A, ρ, \mathbb{B}) be a CLCA, $X = \Delta^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $f = \Delta^a(i_A)$. We will show that $f = \text{id}_X$. Indeed, by (13), we have that for every $\sigma \in X$, $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B} = \{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid (\forall b \in A)[(a \ll_{\rho} b) \rightarrow (I_b \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset)]\}$. By Proposition 2.18, it is enough to prove that $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B} = \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Let $a \in f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}$. Suppose that $a \notin \sigma$. Then there exists $b \in \sigma$ such that $a(-C_{\rho})b$. Thus $a \ll_{\rho} b^*$ and we get that $I_{b^*} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in I_{b^*} \cap \sigma$. Then $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll_{\rho} b^*$. This implies that $c(-C_{\rho})b$. Since $b, c \in \sigma$, we get a contradiction. So, $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B} \subseteq \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Conversely, let $a \in \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Let $b \in A$ and $a \ll_{\rho} b$. Then $a \in I_b \cap \sigma$, i.e. $I_b \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Thus $a \in f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}$. Hence $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B} = \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. So, we have proved that $\Delta^a(i_A) = \text{id}_X$.

Let now $\varphi_i \in \mathbf{MDHLC}((A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i), (A_{i+1}, \rho_{i+1}, \mathbb{B}_{i+1}))$, where $i = 1, 2$, and $\varphi = \varphi_2 \diamond \varphi_1$. Set $f_i = \Delta^a(\varphi_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, and let $f = \Delta^a(\varphi)$. We will show that $f = f_1 \circ f_2$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, set $X_i = \Delta^a(A_i, \rho_i, \mathbb{B}_i)$ and $\ll_i = \ll_{\rho_i}$. Let $\sigma_3 \in X_3$ and set $\sigma'_1 = f(\sigma_3)$. We have that $\sigma'_1 \cap \mathbb{B}_1 = \{a_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \mid (\forall b_1 \in A_1)[(a_1 \ll_1 b_1) \rightarrow (\sigma_3 \cap \bigvee \{\varphi_2(b_2) \mid b_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1)\}) \neq \emptyset]\}$ $= \{a_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \mid (\forall b_1 \in A_1)[(a_1 \ll_1 b_1) \rightarrow (\exists k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \exists c_1, \dots, c_k \in \varphi_1(b_1) \text{ and } \exists d_i \in \varphi_2(c_i), \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ such that } \bigvee \{d_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\} \in \sigma_3)]\}$ $= \{a_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \mid (\forall b_1 \in A_1)[(a_1 \ll_1 b_1) \rightarrow (\exists c \in \varphi_1(b_1) \text{ such that } \varphi_2(c) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset)]\} = R$. Further, set $\sigma'_2 = f_2(\sigma_3)$. Then we have that $\sigma'_2 \cap \mathbb{B}_2 = \{a_2 \in \mathbb{B}_2 \mid (\forall b_2 \in A_2)[(a_2 \ll_2 b_2) \rightarrow (\exists c_2 \in \varphi_2(b_2) \cap \sigma_3)]\}$. Now, $f_1(\sigma'_2) \cap \mathbb{B}_1 = \{a_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \mid (\forall b_1 \in A_1)[(a_1 \ll_1 b_1) \rightarrow (\exists c_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1) \cap \sigma'_2)]\} = \{a_1 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \mid (\forall b_1 \in A_1)[(a_1 \ll_1 b_1) \rightarrow (\exists c_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1) \text{ such that } (\forall d_2 \in A_2)((c_2 \ll_2 d_2) \rightarrow (\varphi_2(d_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset)))]\} = R_{1,2}$. By Proposition 2.18, it is enough to show that $R = R_{1,2}$. Let $a_1 \in R$, $b_1 \in A_1$ and $a_1 \ll_1 b_1$. Then there exists $c_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1)$ such that $\varphi_2(c_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset$. Let $d_2 \in A_2$ and $c_2 \ll_2 d_2$. Then $\varphi_2(d_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, this follows from the facts that $\varphi_2(c_2) \subseteq \varphi_2(d_2)$ and $\varphi_2(c_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset$. So, $a_1 \in R_{1,2}$. Conversely, let $a_1 \in R_{1,2}$, $b_1 \in A_1$ and $a_1 \ll_1 b_1$. Then

there exists $c_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1)$ such that $(\forall d_2 \in A_2)[(c_2 \ll_2 d_2) \rightarrow (\varphi_2(d_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset)]$. Since $\varphi_1(b_1)$ is a δ -ideal, there exists $c'_2 \in \varphi_1(b_1)$ such that $c_2 \ll_2 c'_2$. Then $\varphi_2(c'_2) \cap \sigma_3 \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $a_1 \in R$. So, we have proved that $f = f_1 \circ f_2$. All this shows that Δ^a is a contravariant functor. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If $\varphi : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$ is an LCA-isomorphism then the multi-valued map $\tilde{\varphi} : (A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}')$, where $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = I_{\varphi(a)}$, is a MDHLC-isomorphism.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\tilde{\varphi}$ satisfies conditions (M1) and (M4). Further, we have that $\tilde{\varphi}(a \wedge b) = I_{\varphi(a \wedge b)} = I_{\varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)} = I_{\varphi(a)} \cap I_{\varphi(b)} = \tilde{\varphi}(a) \wedge \tilde{\varphi}(b)$. So, condition (M2) is fulfilled.

We will prove that for every $a \in A$, $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = \bigvee \{\tilde{\varphi}(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$, i.e.

$$I_{\varphi(a)} = \bigvee \{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}.$$

Indeed, let $b \in \mathbb{B}$ and $b \ll a$. Then $\varphi(b) \ll \varphi(a)$. Hence $I_{\varphi(b)} \subseteq I_{\varphi(a)}$. Therefore $\bigvee \{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\} \subseteq I_{\varphi(a)}$. Conversely, let $c' \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Then $c' \in \mathbb{B}'$ and $c' \ll \varphi(a)$. There exists $c'' \in \mathbb{B}'$ such that $c' \ll c'' \ll \varphi(a)$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $c'' = \varphi(c)$. Then $\varphi(c) \ll \varphi(a)$; hence $c \ll a$ and $\varphi(c) = c'' \gg c'$. Therefore $c' \in I_{\varphi(c)}$, where $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll a$. Thus, $I_{\varphi(a)} \subseteq \bigcup \{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\} \subseteq \bigvee \{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$. So, condition (M3) is also fulfilled.

We will now verify (M5). Let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{B}$ and $a_i \ll b_i$, where $i = 1, 2$. We will prove that $\tilde{\varphi}(a_1 \vee a_2) \subseteq \tilde{\varphi}(b_1) \vee \tilde{\varphi}(b_2)$, i.e. $I_{\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2)} \subseteq I_{\varphi(b_1)} \vee I_{\varphi(b_2)}$. Indeed, let $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll \varphi(a_1 \vee a_2)$. Then $c \ll \varphi(a_1) \vee \varphi(a_2)$. We have that $c \wedge \varphi(a_1) \leq \varphi(a_1) \ll \varphi(b_1)$, $c \wedge \varphi(a_2) \leq \varphi(a_2) \ll \varphi(b_2)$ and $c = (c \wedge \varphi(a_1)) \vee (c \wedge \varphi(a_2))$. Set $d_i = c \wedge \varphi(a_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $d_i \ll \varphi(b_i)$, i.e. $d_i \in I_{\varphi(b_i)}$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $c = d_1 \vee d_2$. Hence $c \in I_{\varphi(b_1)} \vee I_{\varphi(b_2)}$. So, $I_{\varphi(a_1 \vee a_2)} \subseteq I_{\varphi(b_1)} \vee I_{\varphi(b_2)}$, i.e. $\tilde{\varphi}(a_1 \vee a_2) \subseteq \tilde{\varphi}(b_1) \vee \tilde{\varphi}(b_2)$.

We will show that condition (M6) is satisfied, i.e. that $\bigcup \{\tilde{\varphi}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{B}\} = \mathbb{B}'$ holds. Indeed, let $b' \in \mathbb{B}'$. Then there exists $b'' \in \mathbb{B}'$ such that $b' \ll b''$. There exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b'' = \varphi(a)$. Then $b' \in I_{\varphi(a)} = \tilde{\varphi}(a)$.

Hence, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an MDHLC-morphism. Analogously, we obtain that $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}$ is an MDHLC-morphism.

We will prove that $\tilde{\varphi} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} = i_B$ and $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \tilde{\varphi} = i_A$. Indeed, $(\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \tilde{\varphi})(a) = \bigvee \{\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}(b) \mid b \in \tilde{\varphi}(a)\} = \bigvee \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\}$ and $i_A(a) = I_a$ for every $a \in A$. So, we have to prove that $I_a = \bigvee \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\}$. Indeed, let $c \in I_a$. Then $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll a$. Hence there exists $d \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $c \ll d \ll a$. Set $b = \varphi(d)$. Then $b \ll \varphi(a)$, i.e. $b \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Also, $c \ll d = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(d)) = \varphi^{-1}(b)$, i.e. $c \in I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)}$. Hence $I_a \subseteq \bigcup \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\}$. Conversely, let $c \in I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)}$, where $b \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Then $c \ll \varphi^{-1}(b)$ and $b \ll \varphi(a)$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(b) \ll \varphi^{-1}\varphi(a) = a$, we get that $c \ll a$, i.e. $c \in I_a$. So, $\bigcup \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\} \subseteq I_a$. Hence $I_a = \bigcup \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\}$. Then $I_a = \bigvee \{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\}$. So, $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \tilde{\varphi} = i_A$. Analogously, we get that $\tilde{\varphi} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} = i_B$.

Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a MDHLC-isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.10. *The identity functor Id_{MDHLC} and the functor $\Delta^t \circ \Delta^a$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{MDHLC}((A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), (B, \eta, \mathbb{B}'))$. We have to show that $\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g \diamond \varphi = \Delta^t(\Delta^a(\varphi)) \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g$, where $\widetilde{\lambda}_A^g(a) = I_{\lambda_A^g(a)}$ (see 3.9). (Note that, by (7), λ_A^g and λ_B^g are LCA-isomorphisms and, hence, by Proposition 3.9, $\widetilde{\lambda}_A^g$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g$ are **MDHLC**-isomorphisms.)

Set $\Delta^a(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) = X$, $\Delta^a(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}') = Y$ and $\varphi' = \Delta^t(\Delta^a(\varphi)) (= \Delta^t(f_\varphi))$. Hence $\varphi' : (RC(X), \rho_X, CR(X)) \rightarrow (RC(Y), \rho_Y, CR(Y))$. Then, for each $F \in RC(X)$, $\varphi'(F) = \{G \in CR(Y) \mid G \subseteq f_\varphi^{-1}(\text{int}(F))\}$. Hence, for every $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi' \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g)(a) &= \bigvee \{\varphi'(b) \mid b \in \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g(a)\} = \bigvee \{\varphi'(b) \mid b \in I_{\lambda_A^g(a)}\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\varphi'(G) \mid G \in CR(X), G \ll \lambda_A^g(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\varphi'(G) \mid G \in CR(X), G \subseteq \text{int}(\lambda_A^g(a))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\{H \in CR(Y) \mid H \subseteq f_\varphi^{-1}(\text{int}G)\} \mid G \in CR(X), G \subseteq \text{int}\lambda_A^g(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \mathbb{B}', \lambda_B^g(b') \subseteq f_\varphi^{-1}(\text{int}(\lambda_A^g(c)))\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll_\rho a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (15), $f_\varphi^{-1}(\text{int}(\lambda_A^g(a))) = \iota_B(\varphi(a))$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi' \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g)(a) &= \bigvee \{\{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \mathbb{B}', \lambda_B^g(b') \subseteq \iota_B(\varphi(c))\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll_\rho a\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \varphi(c)\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that for every $b' \in \mathbb{B}'$, $\lambda_B^g(b')$ is compact and hence there exist $b'_1, \dots, b'_n \in \varphi(c)$ such that $\lambda_B^g(b') \leq \bigvee \{\lambda_B^g(b'_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$; conversely, for every $b' \in \varphi(c)$, $\lambda_B^g(b') \subseteq \iota_B(\varphi(c))$.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g \diamond \varphi)(a) &= \bigvee \{\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{I_{\lambda_B^g(b)} \mid b \in \varphi(a)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \mathbb{B}, b' \ll b\} \mid b \in \varphi(a)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi' \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g)(a) &= \{\lambda_B^g(b'_1 \vee \dots \vee b'_k) \mid b'_i \in \varphi(c_i), c_i \in \mathbb{B}, c_i \ll a, k \in \mathbb{N}^+, i = 1, \dots, k\} \\ &= \{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \varphi(c), c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g \diamond \varphi)(a) &= \{\lambda_B^g(b'_1 \vee \dots \vee b'_k) \mid b'_i \ll b_i, b_i \in \varphi(a), k \in \mathbb{N}^+, i = 1, \dots, k\} \\ &= \{\lambda_B^g(b') \mid b' \in \varphi(a)\} = \lambda_B^g(\varphi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Let b' be such that $\lambda_B^g(b') \in (\varphi' \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g)(a)$, i.e. $b' \in \varphi(c)$, where $c \in \mathbb{B}$, $c \ll a$. Since $\varphi(c) \subseteq \varphi(a)$, we get that $\lambda_B^g(b') \in (\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g \diamond \varphi)(a)$.

Conversely, let b' be such that $\lambda_B^g(b') \in (\widetilde{\lambda}_B^g \diamond \varphi)(a)$, i.e. $b' \in \varphi(a)$. By (M3), $\varphi(a) = \bigvee \{\varphi(c) \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\} = \{d_1 \vee \dots \vee d_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, d_i \in \varphi(c_i), c_i \ll a, c_i \in \mathbb{B}\}$. Hence $b' = d_1 \vee \dots \vee d_k$, $d_i \in \varphi(c_i)$, $c_i \in \mathbb{B}$, $c_i \ll a$, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Set $c = \bigvee \{c_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$. Then $c \ll a$, $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $d_i \in \varphi(c)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence $b' \in \varphi(c)$. Thus, $\lambda_B^g(b') \in (\varphi' \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g)(a)$.

Hence, $\lambda_B^g \diamond \varphi = \Delta^t(\Delta^a(\varphi)) \diamond \widetilde{\lambda}_A^g$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *The identity functor $Id_{\mathbf{HLC}}$ and the functor $\Delta^a \circ \Delta^t$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{HLC}(X, Y)$. We have to show that $t_Y \circ f = \Delta^a(\Delta^t(f)) \circ t_X$, where $t_X(x) = \sigma_x$ for every $x \in X$. (Recall that, by (9), t_X and t_Y are homeomorphisms.) Set $f' = \Delta^a(\Delta^t(f)) (= \Delta^a(\varphi_f))$. Then, for each $\sigma \in \Delta^a(\Delta^t(X))$, we have that $f'(\sigma) = \sigma'$, where $f'(\sigma) \cap CR(Y) = \{G \in CR(Y) \mid (\forall H \in RC(Y))((G \subseteq \text{int}(H)) \rightarrow (\varphi_f(H) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset))\}$.

Now, for every $x \in X$, $(f' \circ t_X)(x) = f'(\sigma_x) = \sigma'$, where $\sigma' \cap CR(Y) = \{G \in CR(Y) \mid (\forall H \in RC(Y))((G \subseteq \text{int}(H)) \rightarrow (\exists F \in RC(X) \text{ such that } x \in F \text{ and } F \in \varphi_f(H)))\}$. Hence $\sigma' \cap CR(Y) = \{G \in CR(Y) \mid (\forall H \in RC(Y))((G \subseteq \text{int}(H)) \rightarrow (\exists F \in RC(X) \text{ such that } x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))))\}$.

Further, $(t_Y \circ f)(x) = \sigma_{f(x)}$, where $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap CR(Y) = \{G \in CR(Y) \mid f(x) \in G\}$.

Let $G \in \sigma_{f(x)} \cap CR(Y)$. Then $f(x) \in G$. We will prove that $G \in \sigma'$. Let $H \in CR(Y)$ and $G \subseteq \text{int}(H)$. We will prove that there exists an $F \in RC(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))$. Indeed, $f(x) \in G \subseteq \text{int}(H)$. Since f is continuous, there exists an open $U \subseteq X$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{int}(H)$. Since X is a locally compact T_2 -space, there exists an $F \in CR(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq U$. Then $f(F) \subseteq f(U) \subseteq \text{int}(H)$, i.e. $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))$. So, $G \in \sigma' \cap CR(Y)$. Hence $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap CR(Y) \subseteq \sigma' \cap CR(Y)$.

Conversely, let $G \in CR(Y) \cap \sigma'$. We will prove that $f(x) \in G$. Indeed, suppose that $f(x) \notin G$. Then there exists an $H \in CR(Y)$ such that $G \subseteq \text{int}(H) \subseteq Y \setminus \{f(x)\}$. We have that there exists an $F \in CR(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{int}(H))$. Then $f(x) \in \text{int}(H)$, which is a contradiction. So, $f(x) \in G$. Hence $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap CR(Y) \supseteq \sigma' \cap CR(Y)$.

We get that $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap CR(Y) = \sigma' \cap CR(Y)$. Then, by Proposition 2.18, $\sigma_{f(x)} \equiv \sigma'$. So, $t_Y \circ f = \Delta^a(\Delta^t(f)) \circ t_X$. \square

The next theorem, which is the main result of this paper, follows from Theorem 2.14 and Propositions 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.11.

Theorem 3.12. (The Main Theorem) *The categories \mathbf{HLC} and \mathbf{MDHLC} are dually equivalent.*

References

- [1] T. de Laguna, Point, line and surface as sets of solids, *The Journal of Philosophy*, 19 (1922), 449-461.
- [2] H. de Vries, *Compact Spaces and Compactifications, an Algebraic Approach*, Van Gorcum, The Netherlands, 1962.
- [3] G. Dimov, A de Vries-type duality theorem for the category of locally compact spaces and continuous maps - I, *Acta Math. Hungarica*, DOI: 10.1007/s10474-010-9270-z.
- [4] G. Dimov, Some generalizations of the Fedorchuk duality theorem - I, *Topology Appl.*, 156 (2009), 728-746.
- [5] G. Dimov and D. Vakarelov, Contact algebras and region-based theory of space: a proximity approach - I, *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 74 (2006), 209-249.

- [6] Ph. Dwinger, *Introduction to Boolean Algebras*, Physica Verlag, Würzburg, 1961.
- [7] V. Efremovič, Infinitesimal spaces, DAN SSSR, 76 (1951), 341-343. (In Russian)
- [8] V. V. Fedorchuk, Boolean δ -algebras and quasi-open mappings, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 14 (5) (1973), 1088-1099 = Siberian Math. J. 14 (1973), 759-767 (1974).
- [9] I. M. Gelfand, On normed rings, Doklady Akad. Nauk U.S.S.R. 23 (1939), 430-432.
- [10] I. M. Gelfand, Normierte Ringe, Mat. Sb. 9 (1941), 3-24.
- [11] I. M. Gelfand, M. A. Naimark, On the embedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space, Mat. Sb. 12 (1943), 197-213.
- [12] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, Über verschiedene Methoden der Einführung der Topologie in die Menge der maximalen Ideale eines normierten Ringes, Mat. Sb. 9 (1941), 25-39.
- [13] A. Grzegorzcyk, Axiomatization of geometry without points, Synthese, 12 (1960), 228-235.
- [14] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [15] S. Leader, Local proximity spaces, Math. Annalen, 169 (1967), 275-281.
- [16] S. Mardešić, P. Papic, Continuous images of ordered compacta, the Suslin property and dyadic compacta, Glasnik mat.-fis. i astronom. 17 (1-2) (1962), 3-25.
- [17] J. Mioduszewski, L. Rudolf, H-closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces, Dissert. Math. (Rozpr. Mat.) 66 (1969) 1-52.
- [18] D. A. Randell, Z. Cui and A. G. Cohn, A spatial logic based on regions and connection, in: B. Nebel, W. Swartout and C. Rich (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*, Morgan Kaufmann (Los Allos, CA, 1992), 165-176.
- [19] P. Roeper, Region-based topology, Journal of Philosophical Logic, 26 (1997), 251-309.
- [20] A. Tarski, Les fondements de la géométrie des corps, *First Polish Mathematical Congress*, (Lwów, 1927) (English translation in: J. H. Woodger (Ed.), *Logic, Semantics, Metamathematics*, Clarendon Press (1956).)
- [21] D. Vakarelov, G. Dimov, I. Duntsch and B. Bennett, A proximity approach to some region-based theories of space, J. Applied Non-Classical Logics, 12 (2002), 527-559.
- [22] A. N. Whitehead, *An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Natural Knowledge*, University Press (Cambridge, 1919).
- [23] A. N. Whitehead, *Process and Reality*, MacMillan (New York, 1929).