

**First order phase transition in a modified Ziff-Gulari-Barshad model with self-oscillating reactant coverages**

A. K. Mukherjee and I. Sinha\*

Department of Applied Chemistry,  
Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University,  
Varanasi 221005, India

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 542 2454072; fax: +91 542 2368428.

Email: isinha.apc@itbhu.ac.in

**Abstract**

Using kinetic Monte Carlo simulations, we study the effect of oscillatory kinetics due to surface reconstructions on Ziff-Gulari-Barshad (ZGB) model discontinuous phase transition. To investigate the transition, we compute fourth-order cumulant values and do extensive finite size scaling analysis. It is found that the discontinuous transition still exists. On inclusion of desorption in the model, this phenomenon continues till a certain desorption rate. Above this, the finite size scaling analysis suggests anomalous transition behavior. Controlling parameter versus fourth order cumulant plots show interesting variations with increase in the desorption rate. We calculate several points on the coexistence curve.


PACS number(s): 82.65.+r, 64.60.Ht, 05.40.-a

Keywords: ZGB model of surface reaction; surface reconstruction; CO desorption.

## 1. Introduction

Surface reaction models exhibit rich and complex variety of phenomena, including chaotic behavior, bistability, critical phenomena, out-of equilibrium phase transitions, etc. Understanding of such complex behavior can be helpful in explaining experimental results associated with catalysis and also for designing more efficient processes [1, 2]. The study of phase transitions in such non-equilibrium surface reaction models has attracted considerable attention. While a vast amount of research has been conducted on universality in continuous transitions [3, 4], comparatively little attention has been given to discontinuous transitions. The Ziff-Gulari-Barshad (ZGB) model [5] gives us an opportunity to study the discontinuous transitions of a monomer-dimer surface reaction to a monomer-poisoned state, which is a simple analogue **of** the catalytic oxidation of CO. Because of its widespread industrial applications, the oxidation reaction of CO is one of the most studied model cases in heterogeneous catalysis.

The ZGB model assumes the catalytic surface to be a two-dimensional square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. This model follows the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism for catalytic reaction [6].



Here ‘S’ denotes a vacant site on the surface. The normalized probability  $P_{\text{CO}}$  (proportional to the respective reactant pressure) determines the possibility that the next molecule to strike the surface is CO. Otherwise; an oxygen molecule may be selected to approach the surface with probability  $1 - P_{\text{CO}}$  ( $= P_{\text{O}_2}$ ). The CO molecule from the gas

phase can adsorb only onto a vacant site, while the oxygen molecule first dissociates into atoms and then may get adsorbed onto two neighboring vacant sites. The processes are irreversible once they occur. Adjacent O and CO react instantaneously to form  $\text{CO}_2$ , which desorbs leaving behind two empty sites. The Monte Carlo simulations, based on this simplified picture of the surface, show two kinetic (or irreversible) phase transitions. Below a certain probability ( $P_{\text{CO}} < P_1$ ), the surface is oxygen poisoned, while above a higher CO partial pressure ( $P_{\text{CO}} > P_1$ ), the surface is CO poisoned. The first oxygen poisoning transition at  $P_1$  is continuous, whereas the second transition (at  $P_2$ ) to the CO poisoned state is discontinuous.

There are several aspects of this simplistic model that do not follow the experimental reality. Foremost among them is that real systems do not show the second order transition to an oxygen-poisoned state. This may be because oxygen does not impede the adsorption of CO or due to the occurrence of an Eley-Rideal (ER) type mechanism parallel to the basic Langmuir-Hinshelwood (LH) mechanism [7-9]. On the other hand, discontinuous transitions between states of low and high CO coverage, governed by temperature changes, have been observed experimentally [10]. Above a certain critical temperature the transition is smooth. Inclusion of CO desorption rate ( $k$ ) in the ZGB model (popularly denoted as the ZGB-k model) was found to model this effect successfully. At the critical desorption rate ( $k_c$ ) the transition becomes continuous [11] and above  $k_c$  exhibits a smooth crossover. The inclusion of the ER step in the ZGB model results in termination of the coexistence curve at a value of  $k_c$  that decreases with the probability of the ER step [12]. Subjecting the model to symmetrically oscillating

reactant pressure leads to the smoothening of the ZGB-k phase transition [13]. The introduction of an asymmetrically oscillating reactant pressure makes the transition second-order [14].

An extensively studied aspect of catalytic oxidation of CO on Pt-group metal surfaces is the oscillatory kinetics observed both in the low pressure and the high reactant pressure conditions. Oscillations in the low-pressure limit are due to coupling of surface reconstructions with the reactant coverage [15]. In contrast, oscillations at higher pressures (up to atmospheric) may arise because of coupling of the CO oxidation reactions with surface oxidation [15-17].

Within the ZGB framework, Albano proposed a modified model, which demonstrated oscillatory behavior of coverage due to surface reconstructions [18, 19]. This modification naturally led to the disappearance of the continuous transition present in the classical ZGB model. When sufficiently long time average of the oscillating CO coverage for a given  $P_{\text{CO}}$  is taken as the order parameter, this model also suggests that there is a discontinuous transition from the oscillatory reactive to a completely poisoned state at a critical value of  $P_{\text{CO}}$ . In the present study, we first do an extensive finite-size scaling numerical analysis to confirm that indeed there exists a first order irreversible transition in this model.

We then consider the effect of CO desorption on this phase transition. To the best of our knowledge, the effect of desorption rate on this model has not yet been studied. This is in contrast to the extensive studies on phase diagram of the earlier mentioned ZGB-k model. At increasing desorption rates, we subject the data obtained from kinetic Monte Carlo simulations to finite size scaling analysis. We also use this data to determine

the fourth order (Binder) cumulants [20, 21] that indicate the universality class to which the phase transition belongs. An extensive investigation of the nature of the coexistence curve has been carried out with respect to the desorption rate.

## 2. Model and simulation procedure

Under low-pressure regimes, the catalytic oxidation of CO on Pt surfaces exhibits oscillatory behavior due to the difference in the sticking coefficients for O<sub>2</sub> on the different surface phases. The transition between the surface phases is adsorbate induced. Thus, the adsorption of CO up to a certain threshold leads to a first order transition of the reconstructed surface phase [hex on Pt (100) and 1x2 on Pt (110)] to the non-reconstructed surface phase [1x1 on both Pt (100) and Pt (110)]. On Pt (100) the ratio of the O<sub>2</sub> sticking coefficients between reconstructed and non-reconstructed is  $<10^{-2}$ . On Pt (110) surface the ratio between sticking coefficients is lesser and oscillations are observed only over a small range of pressures. In this study, we consider the global reconstruction model of Albano approximating the Pt (100) case. Keeping in view the wide difference between the O<sub>2</sub> sticking coefficients of the reconstructed and non-reconstructed phases, their corresponding model values are assumed to be 0 and 1, respectively. In context of the present model, once an oxygen molecule has been selected to approach the catalyst surface (with probability  $1 - P_{CO}$ ), the sticking coefficient is the probability of adsorption of the dissociated oxygen atoms on to adjacent vacant sites.

The simulations start from an empty square lattice of side length  $L$ . A time unit or the Monte Carlo step (MCS) of our simulation involves  $L^2$  trials, i.e. during a time unit each site of the lattice is visited once on an average. Each simulation, at a fixed value of  $P_{CO}$ , consists of the following sequence of events. The initial state of the surface

corresponds to the reconstructed phase with the oxygen-sticking coefficient equal to zero. Effectively, this means that only adsorption of CO occurs in a random manner on the vacant sites in model catalyst surface at a rate proportional to  $P_{\text{CO}}$ . Once CO coverage ( $\theta_{\text{CO}}$ ) exceeds  $\geq 0.485$ , all sites in the model catalyst surface transform to the non-reconstructed phase. The sticking coefficient of oxygen becomes 1, the normal ZGB algorithm is initiated and  $\theta_{\text{CO}}$  decreases because of reactions between neighboring adsorbed CO and O. Whenever the condition  $\theta_{\text{CO}} \leq 0.1$  is achieved, all sites in the system are assumed to transform to the reconstructed phase. Thereafter, as mentioned earlier, only CO adsorption occurs at a rate proportional to  $P_{\text{CO}}$  until the condition  $\theta_{\text{CO}} \geq 0.485$  is achieved again. This completes one cycle of the adsorbate coverage induced transition. The critical adsorbate coverage conditions ( $\theta_{\text{CO}} \geq 0.485$  and  $\theta_{\text{CO}} \leq 0.1$ ) at which the catalyst surface undergoes phase transitions, and the corresponding oxygen sticking coefficients are typically the values used by Albano in his model [18]. The purpose of using these values is to enable comparison with the results of reference [18]. Changing the critical adsorbate coverage conditions ( $\theta_{\text{CO}} \geq 0.485$  and  $\theta_{\text{CO}} \leq 0.1$ ), as described above, affects the results only qualitatively [18].

The simulations are then carried out at different desorption rates ( $k$ ), ranging from 0 to 0.02. In this case, for each reactant pressure, a Monte Carlo simulation is carried out by generating a sequence of adsorption (with probability  $1-k$ ) and desorption (with probability  $k$ ) trials. Rest of the algorithm remains the same.

### 3. Results and discussion

Corresponding to  $\theta_{\text{CO}}$ , the oxygen coverage and  $\text{CO}_2$  production rate are denoted by  $\theta_{\text{O}}$  and  $R_{\text{CO}_2}$  respectively. Fig. 1a, 1b and 1c show the oscillatory behavior of  $\theta_{\text{CO}}$ ,  $\theta_{\text{O}}$  and  $R_{\text{CO}_2}$  respectively with increase in simulation time (in MCS) at  $k=0$ . The results are presented at  $P_{\text{CO}}=0.5238$ , which is near the transition. There is some fluctuation in both the period and amplitude of the oscillations. This is similar to the results given in reference [18] for near transition regimes. However, over longer periods of time, the overall oscillatory behavior seems to be in a steady range. All such simulations at and near the transition are carried out for  $1.3 \times 10^5$  MCS time length. Out of these, the initial  $10^5$  steps are disregarded. Keeping in view the oscillatory nature of  $R_{\text{CO}_2}$  and  $\theta_{\text{CO}}$ , we take their time average over the next  $3 \times 10^4$  steps and denote them as  $\bar{R}_{\text{CO}_2}$  and  $\bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}}$ . The latter  $\bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}}$  is assumed to be the order parameter [13]. It must be mentioned that equivalent results are obtained even if  $\bar{R}_{\text{CO}_2}$  is considered to be the order parameter.

Earlier studies have demonstrated that the fourth-order reduced cumulant of the order parameter is an effective approach to locate and classify even non-equilibrium phase transitions [11, 12]. The fourth-order cumulant, taking  $\bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}}$  as the order parameter, is given by the following expression.

$$u_L = 1 - \frac{\left\langle \left( \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}} - \langle \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}} \rangle \right)^4 \right\rangle_L}{3 \left\langle \left( \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}} - \langle \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}} \rangle \right)^2 \right\rangle_L^2} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1a




Fig. 1b




Fig. 1c

Fig. 1 Oscillations in system parameters with time (in MCS). a)  $\theta_{CO}$  versus time, b)  $\theta_O$  versus time and c)  $R_{CO_2}$  versus time plots.



Here  $\langle \rangle_L$  denotes the average over the results of different simulations carried out for a  $L \times L$  system. The number of simulations ( $N$ ) required for generating sufficient statistics is computed by  $N \times (L \times L) = 4.5 \times 10^5$ . For instance,  $N = 72$  when  $L = 250$ . In Fig. 2a, we show the variation of  $u_L$  with  $P_{\text{CO}}$  for different system sizes with desorption rate  $k = 0$ . Plots for all system sizes display the typically expected behavior in the coexistence region. That is, a positive maximum of the cumulant with adjacent negative deep minima and tending to zero as one moves away from the transition. The negative deep minima on either side correspond to the beginning and the end of the coexistence region. The maxima of  $u_L$  defines the  $L$ -dependent transition point.

For systems with  $k = 0$ , at all sizes considered, the maximum values of the cumulants ( $u_L^{\text{max}}$ ) are at or above  $0.66 \sim 2/3$  (Fig. 2b). This indicates that the transition is first order, since the cumulant has a lower value for continuous transitions [14, 21]. Further, as shown in Fig. 2b, the curves representing  $u_L$  for different  $L$  seem to cross or touch around the common point  $P_{\text{CO}} = 0.5248$ , which gives us an estimate of the critical value of the coexistence point for this phase transition. We note that Albano [18] had suggested the value  $P_{\text{CO}} = 0.5235 \pm 0.0005$  as the critical point.

To further confirm that this is indeed a discontinuous transition behavior, we did finite size scaling analysis of the fluctuations in  $\theta_{\text{CO}}$  in a  $L \times L$  system. This quantity was evaluated by the following expression.

$$\chi_L = L^2 \left( \langle \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}}^2 \rangle_L - \langle \bar{\theta}_{\text{CO}} \rangle_L^2 \right) \quad (2)$$





Fig. 2 a) The fourth-order cumulant  $u_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  for systems of different sizes ( $k=0$ ). b) Magnified view of the same plot showing region near  $u_L^{\max}$ . The dotted lines joining the data points are guides to the eye. The errors are of the order of 1% for the highest values of  $u_L$ .

Fig. 3a depicts  $\chi_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  plots for systems ( $k = 0$ ) with different sizes. As expected, the maximum values of the order parameter fluctuation curve shift and increase in height with increasing  $L$ . For an equilibrium first order phase transition the relation  $\chi_L \sim L^d$  should be followed. Here  $d$  is the spatial dimension of the system. Thus, in Fig. 3b we plot  $\ln(\chi_L^{\max})$  against  $\ln(L)$ . A perfectly linear fit is obtained with a slope 2.003. This agrees very well with expected value of  $d = 2$  for a first order transition [22, 23].

We now present the results for systems with  $k > 0$ . Fig. 4a gives plots of  $u_L$  against  $P_{CO}$  for three different  $k \geq 0$  values (till  $k = 0.0178$ ). All systems are of size  $L = 250$ . Firstly, we observe that the  $u_L^{\max}$  does not show any particular trend with the value of  $k$ . That is,  $u_L^{\max}$  lies in the range 0.62 to 0.664 for these systems. On considering different system sizes  $L$ , at a given desorption rate  $k$ , again  $u_L^{\max}$  lies in the same range (0.62 to 0.66). Therefore, in this case, the value of  $u_L^{\max}$  alone does not seem to give any indication into nature of the phase transition. We also observe that the depth of the partially poisoned side minima relative to the other minima consistently decreases with  $k$ . Plots of  $u_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  for  $k > 0.0178$  are shown in Fig. 4b. Now, for  $k = 0.0179$  and 0.0185 the depths of the adjacent minima are almost equal. However, at  $k = 0.02$  the depth of the reactive side minima is lesser than that of the other side (Fig. 4b). The symmetry of the plot seems to have reversed from that observed for  $k = 0.01$  and 0.0178 in Fig. 4a.

To get a better understanding of this phenomenon, we carried out finite size scaling analysis. Fig. 5a shows  $\ln(\chi_L^{\max})$  versus  $\ln(L)$  plots for systems subjected to





Fig. 3 a) The order-parameter fluctuation  $\chi_L$  against  $L$  plots for different system sizes ( $k = 0$ ). The dotted lines joining the data points are guides to the eye. b) Plot of  $\ln(\chi_L^{\max})$  versus  $\ln(L)$ .  $\chi_L^{\max}$  is the maximum value of  $\chi_L$  taken from part a) of the figure. The slopes are reproducible to  $\pm 1\%$  of the values obtained.




Fig. 4a




Fig. 4b

Fig. 4 a) The fourth-order cumulant  $u_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  for systems with  $k \leq 0.0178$ . For the sake of comparison the plot for  $k = 0$  condition is also included. b) The fourth-order cumulant  $u_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  for systems with  $k \geq 0.0179$ . All plots are for system size  $L = 250$ . The errors are of the order of 5% for the highest values of  $u_L$ .





Fig. 5 Plots of  $\ln(\chi_L^{\max})$  versus  $\ln(L)$  a) for systems at different  $k \leq 0.0178$  and b) for systems with  $k \geq 0.0179$ . The slopes are reproducible to  $\pm 1\%$  of the values obtained.

different desorption rates. At  $k = 0.01$  the slope of plot gives  $d = 2.008$ , which suggests that the transition remains first order. The value of the slope for  $k = 0.0178$  is  $d = 1.982$ , which again is very close to the required  $d = 2$ . Thereafter, at  $k = 0.0179$  and above (Fig. 5b), the  $\ln(\chi_L^{\max})$  versus  $\ln(L)$  plots have anomalously high slope values. The plots still appear to be linear. The correlation coefficients for the best linear fits are also good ( $R > 0.99$ ). However, the exponent ( $d$ ) value becomes anomalous and always remains significantly greater than the expected value of 2. We can, therefore, only comment that the system does not have a phase transition but only a smooth crossover for systems with  $k \geq 0.0179$ .

We now discuss the results in context of earlier studies in this area. Firstly, the role of desorption rate for the present model is similar to that found in the ZGB- $k$  model studies. That is, the parameter desorption plays the role of temperature in both the models. However, while in the ZGB- $k$  model the coexistence curve terminates at an Ising like critical point, in the present case there seems to be only a smooth crossover above a certain value of desorption rate.

#### **4. Conclusions**

A modified ZGB model including oscillatory kinetics due to adsorbate-induced reversible transitions in the structure of the catalyst has been considered. Extensive kinetic Monte Carlo simulations have been carried out to study the phase transition in this model. The modified ZGB model still shows the first order phase transition when there is no desorption. This has been concluded from fourth-order cumulant and finite size scaling numerical analysis. The value of the critical point for this transition is quite close to that of the discontinuous transition in the classical ZGB model. On considering

increasing desorption rates in the model, we have found that for  $k \geq 0.0179$ , there is only a smooth crossover between the concerned states. We conclude this on the basis of extensive finite size scaling numerical analysis. While the fourth order cumulant values do not give any indication of this development, there seems to be some typical change in the nature of the  $u_L$  versus  $P_{CO}$  plots around  $k \geq 0.0179$ .

## References

- [1] K. Christmann, *Introduction to Surface Physical Chemistry* Steinkopff Verlag, Darmstadt, 1991.
- [2] V. P. Zhdanov and B. Kazemo, Surf. Sci. Rep. 20 (1994) 113-189.
- [3] H. Hinrichsen, Adv. Phys. 49 (2000) 815-958.
- [4] G. Odor, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76 (2004) 663-724.
- [5] R.M. Ziff, E. Gulari, Y. Barshad, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2553-2556.
- [6] T. Engel, G. Ertl, Adv. Catal. 28 (1979) 1-78.
- [7] P. Meakin, J. Chem. Phys. 93 (1990) 2903-2911.
- [8] S. S. Tambe, V. K. Yayaraman, and B. D. Kulkarni, Chem. Phys. Lett. 225 (1994) 303-308.
- [9] A.K. Mukherjee, I. Sinha, Appl. Surf. Sci. 255 (2009) 6168-6172.
- [10] M. Ehsasi, M. Matloch, O. Frank, J.H. Block, K. Christmann, F.S. Rys, W. Hirschwald, J. Chem. Phys. 91 (1989) 4949-4960.
- [11] E. Machado, G.M. Buendía, P.A. Rikvold, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005) 031603-12.
- [12] G. M. Buendía, E. Machado, and P. A. Rikvold, J. Chem. Phys. 131 (2009) 184704-6.
- [13] I. Sinha, A.K. Mukherjee, Physica A 389 (2010) 3128-3133.



- [14] E. Machado, G.M. Buendía, P.A. Rikvold, R.M. Ziff, *Phys. Rev. E* 71 (2005) 016120-7.
- [15] R. Imbihl, G. Ertl, *Chem. Rev.* 95 (1995) 697-733.
- [16] B.C. Sales, J.E. Turner, M.B. Maple, *Surf. Sci.* 114 (1982) 381-394.
- [17] V.K. Noussiou, A. Provata, *Chemical Physics* 348 (2008) 11–20.
- [18] E. V. Albano, *Langmuir* 13 (1997) 4013-4017.
- [19] E. V. Albano, *J. Chem. Phys.* 109 (1998) 7498-7505.
- [20] D. P. Landau and K. Binder, *A Guide to Monte Carlo Simulations in Statistical Physics* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, V. K., 2000d, Chap. 4.2.3.3.
- [21] K. Binder and D. P. Landau, *Phys. Rev. B* 30 (1984) 1477-1485.
- [22] B. Nienhuis and M. Nauenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 35 (1975) 477-479.
- [23] M. E. Fisher and A. N. Berker, *Phys. Rev. B* 26 (1982) 2507-2513.