

Counting $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ representations in coordinate independent state space of $SU(2)$ Matrix Theory

Yoji Michishita *

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Kagoshima University
Kagoshima, 890-0065, Japan*

September, 2010

Abstract

We consider decomposition of coordinate independent states into $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ representations in $SU(2)$ Matrix theory. To see what and how many representations appear in the decomposition, we compute the character, which is given by a trace over the coordinate independent states, and decompose it into the sum of products of $SO(9)$ and $SU(2)$ characters.

*michishita@edu.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Matrix theory, which is expected to be a correct description of M-theory, is a quantum mechanics with two sets of operators: bosonic coordinate matrices X_i^a and fermionic matrices θ_α^a . This quantum mechanics has $SO(9)$ symmetry of space rotation, and gauge symmetry $SU(N)$. To investigate the structure of wavefunctions in this theory, it is necessary to know the structure of the space spanned by coordinate independent states i.e. states constructed only by θ_α^a . Especially we want to know what representations of $SO(9)$ and $SU(N)$ those states form. However the number of states are enormous even if we only take coordinate independent states, and it makes explicit construction of representations difficult.

In this paper we count numbers of representations appearing in the space of coordinate independent states in the case of $SU(2)$ gauge group, avoiding explicit construction of representations. To do it efficiently we employ the notion of characters in group theory: We introduce χ , a trace of a group element of $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ over the coordinate independent states. If we take an appropriate basis of the states, χ can be calculated explicitly, and by decomposing it into sum of products of $SO(9)$ and $SU(2)$ characters, we can immediately read off what and how many representations appear in the space of coordinate independent states. Similar analyses have been made in [1] for $SO(7) \times SU(2)$ singlets, and in [3] for $SU(N)$ gauge group singlets. As a byproduct of our calculation we can give another proof of the uniqueness of $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ singlet proven in [1, 2].

In the next section we compute χ and perform the decomposition. In Appendix A and B we collect information on group theory necessary for the analysis. Calculations are made with the help of symbolic manipulation program Mathematica.

2 $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ character

Matrix theory has real Grassmann odd operators θ_α^a , where $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ is an $SO(9)$ spinor index and $a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1$ is an adjoint index of the gauge group $SU(N)$. Their anticommutation relation is

$$\{\theta_\alpha^a, \theta_\beta^b\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{ab}. \quad (2.1)$$

For $SU(2)$ gauge group, we have $16 \times 3 = 48$ operators, and half of those are regarded as creation operators and the other half as annihilation operators. Then we can construct $2^{48/2} = 2^{24}$ states. If we fix the adjoint index a then the 8 creation operators give 256 states,

which are classified into 44-dimensional symmetric traceless representation, 84-dimensional 3-rank antisymmetric representation, and 128-dimensional vector-spinor representation of SO(9). If we take the adjoint index into account, the decomposition of 2^{24} states into SO(9) \times SU(2) representations is not immediately clear. To construct gauge invariant wavefunctions it is important to know it. To this end, we introduce the character χ , which is given by the trace over the 2^{24} -dimensional space and is a function of parameters x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 and y :

$$\chi = \text{tr}[\exp(ix_1 J_{12} + ix_2 J_{34} + ix_3 J_{56} + ix_4 J_{78} + iyg^1)], \quad (2.2)$$

where $J_{ij} = -\frac{i}{4}\theta_\alpha^a(\gamma_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}\theta_\beta^a$ are SO(9) generators and $g^a = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\theta_\alpha^b\theta_\alpha^c$ are SU(2) generators. In addition we define $\tilde{\chi}$ by the following, with fermion number operator insertion $(-1)^F$:

$$\tilde{\chi} = \text{tr}[(-1)^F \exp(ix_1 J_{12} + ix_2 J_{34} + ix_3 J_{56} + ix_4 J_{78} + iyg^1)]. \quad (2.3)$$

Here we define traces of states as the sum of contributions from boson states and fermion states. Therefore $\tilde{\chi}$ gives the difference of contributions from boson and fermion states.

Since the trace does not depend on choice of orthogonal basis of states, we will take one which makes calculation of the characters easier. Although θ_α^a is real, let us temporarily consider the case where θ_α^a is complex and their anticommutation relation is $\{\theta_\alpha^a, (\theta_\beta^b)^\dagger\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ab}$. (In other words, θ_α^a is given by two copies of the real one $\theta^{(1)}$ and $\theta^{(2)}$: $\theta_\alpha^a = (\theta_\alpha^{(1)a} + i\theta_\alpha^{(2)a})/\sqrt{2}$.) Then we could regard $(\theta_\alpha^a)^\dagger$ as creation operators. Since these operators are covariant under both of SO(9) and SU(2) transformations, the character were given just by sum of characters of antisymmetric tensor product representations $\text{Alt}_n[(\text{SO}(9) \text{ spinor}) \times (\text{SU}(2) \text{ adjoint})]$, which can be calculated by Frobenius formula (See Appendix A). (Such calculation has been done in [3].) However in our case where θ_α^a are real, creation and annihilation operators cannot be separated without losing manifest covariance. Therefore we will take a different way and calculation will be harder than the complex case. First we define θ_α^\pm as

$$\theta_\alpha^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_\alpha^2 \pm i\theta_\alpha^3). \quad (2.4)$$

Note that $(\theta_\alpha^\pm)^\dagger = \theta_\alpha^\mp$. Then nontrivial anticommutation relations are given by

$$\{\theta_\alpha^1, \theta_\beta^1\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{\theta_\alpha^-, \theta_\beta^+\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.5)$$

A vacuum $|0\rangle$ for these operators is defined as follows:

$$\theta_\alpha^- |0\rangle = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and θ_α^+ work as creation operators on this vacuum. Since it is not necessary in the following, we do not specify the action of θ_α^1 on $|0\rangle$. Of course we can make different choices of vacuum and creation operators. All of them give the same coordinate independent state space, and make part of $\text{SO}(9)\times\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry not manifest. As a result those vacua cannot be $\text{SO}(9)\times\text{SU}(2)$ singlet. Our choice makes $\text{SO}(9)$ symmetry manifest at the expense of $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry, and each step of computation of the characters in the following has manifest $\text{SO}(9)$ symmetry. At the end of the computation we will recover manifest $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetry. *

We can immediately see that actions of θ_α^1 and θ_α^\pm can be considered separately, and the characters are decomposed into two parts corresponding to them:

$$\chi = \chi_{\theta^1}\chi_{\theta^\pm}, \quad \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1}\tilde{\chi}_{\theta^\pm}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $[g^1, \theta_\alpha^1] = 0$, χ_{θ^1} and $\tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1}$ can be readily computed. Indeed χ_{θ^1} is just the sum of $\text{SO}(9)$ characters of 2-rank symmetric traceless, 3-rank antisymmetric, and vector-spinor representation:

$$\chi_{\theta^1} = \chi_{[2000]} + \chi_{[0010]} + \chi_{[1001]}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1} = \chi_{[2000]} + \chi_{[0010]} - \chi_{[1001]}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\text{SO}(9)$ representations are indicated by Dynkin labels $[q_1q_2q_3q_4]$. See Appendix B for more information and notation for $\text{SO}(9)$ characters.

Next we compute χ_{θ^\pm} and $\tilde{\chi}_{\theta^\pm}$. States are classified by the number of θ_α^+ on $|0\rangle$:

$$|0\rangle, \quad \theta_\alpha^+ |0\rangle, \quad \theta_{\alpha_1}^+ \theta_{\alpha_2}^+ |0\rangle, \quad \dots, \quad \theta_{\alpha_1}^+ \dots \theta_{\alpha_{16}}^+ |0\rangle. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $g^1 = 8 - \theta_\alpha^+ \theta_\alpha^-$ and $\theta_\alpha^+ \theta_\alpha^-$ works as the number operator for θ_α^+ , in the sector of n θ_α^+ , the factor $\exp(iyg^1)$ in the characters gives $e^{i(8-n)y}$. Obviously this sector forms n -rank antisymmetric product representation of $\text{SO}(9)$ spinor, and therefore contribution to χ_{θ^\pm} from this sector is given by

$$e^{i(8-n)y} \chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor})). \quad (2.10)$$

$\chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor}))$ can be calculated by Frobenius formula. In fact, sectors of n θ_α^+ and of $16-n$ θ_α^+ are in the same representation of $\text{SO}(9)$, because states $\theta_{\alpha_1}^+ \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^+ |0\rangle$ are also expressed as $\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \dots \alpha_{16}} \theta_{\alpha_1}^+ \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^+ |0\rangle$. Indeed straightforward calculation shows $\chi(\text{Alt}_{16-n}(\text{spinor})) = \chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor}))$.

*In [1] the coordinate independent state space for fixed gauge indices is constructed, and its natural extension to the case with gauge indices is given by $\lambda_\alpha^a \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_\alpha^a + i\theta_{\alpha+8}^a)$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 8$), $(\lambda_\alpha^a)^\dagger |0'\rangle = 0$. This retains manifest gauge symmetry at the expense of $\text{SO}(9)$ symmetry. We retain $\text{SO}(9)$ instead of $\text{SU}(2)$ because the structure of characters of $\text{SU}(2)$ is simpler than that of $\text{SO}(9)$ and it is easier to recover $\text{SU}(2)$ than $\text{SO}(9)$. The vacuum $|0'\rangle$ is related to our $|0\rangle$ by $|0\rangle = \prod_{\alpha=1}^8 \lambda_\alpha^- |0'\rangle$.

Then the total contributions are

$$\chi_{\theta^\pm} = \sum_{n=0}^7 [e^{i(8-n)y} + e^{-i(8-n)y}] \chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor})) + \chi(\text{Alt}_8(\text{spinor})), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\theta^\pm} = \sum_{n=0}^7 [e^{i(8-n)y} + e^{-i(8-n)y}] (-1)^n \chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor})) + \chi(\text{Alt}_8(\text{spinor})). \quad (2.12)$$

As is well-known, representations of $\text{SU}(2)$ are labeled by nonnegative half integers (spins), and for spin n representation eigenvalues of g^1 are $-n, -n+1, \dots, n-1, n$ and the character $\chi_n^{\text{SU}(2)}$ for this representation is given by

$$\chi_n^{\text{SU}(2)} = \text{tr}_{\text{spin } n} e^{iyg^1} = e^{i(-n)y} + e^{i(-n+1)y} + \dots + e^{i(n-1)y} + e^{iny}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that $e^{iny} + e^{-iny} = \chi_n^{\text{SU}(2)} - \chi_{n-1}^{\text{SU}(2)}$. Using this we can rewrite y dependent part of (2.11) and (2.12). Then the total characters are given by the following, in the forms which make decomposition into $\text{SU}(2)$ representations manifest:

$$\chi = \sum_{n=0}^8 \chi_n^{\text{SU}(2)} \chi_n^{\text{SO}(9)}, \quad \tilde{\chi} = \sum_{n=0}^8 \chi_n^{\text{SU}(2)} \tilde{\chi}_n^{\text{SO}(9)}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9)} = \begin{cases} \chi_{\theta^1} [\chi(\text{Alt}_{8-n}(\text{spinor})) - \chi(\text{Alt}_{7-n}(\text{spinor}))] & (n = 0, \dots, 7), \\ \chi_{\theta^1} & (n = 8), \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_n^{\text{SO}(9)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1} [\chi(\text{Alt}_{8-n}(\text{spinor})) + \chi(\text{Alt}_{7-n}(\text{spinor}))] & (n = 0, \dots, 7), \\ \tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1} & (n = 8). \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

$\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9)}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_n^{\text{SO}(9)}$ can be decomposed further, into contributions from boson states and fermion states, denoted by $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}$ and $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}}$ respectively:

$$\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}} = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9)} + \tilde{\chi}_n^{\text{SO}(9)}), \quad \chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9)} - \tilde{\chi}_n^{\text{SO}(9)}). \quad (2.17)$$

Thus we have obtained explicit expressions for the characters, because we know explicit expressions of $\chi_{\theta^1}, \tilde{\chi}_{\theta^1}$ and $\chi(\text{Alt}_n(\text{spinor}))$. However the expressions (2.15) and (2.16) do not tell us what $\text{SO}(9)$ irreducible representations they contain. So our next task is to decompose (2.15) and (2.16) into the sums of $\text{SO}(9)$ characters. Since $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}$ and $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}}$ are given in the form of products of $\text{SO}(9)$ characters, the decomposition can be performed by decomposing tensor product representations of corresponding representations one by one, or by using the following orthogonality relation:

$$\frac{1}{2^4 \cdot 4!} \prod_{i=1}^4 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dx_i}{2\pi} \right) [D_\rho]^2 \chi_{[q'_1, q'_2, q'_3, q'_4]} \chi_{[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]} = \delta_{q'_1, q_1} \delta_{q'_2, q_2} \delta_{q'_3, q_3} \delta_{q'_4, q_4}, \quad (2.18)$$

where D_ρ is defined in Appendix B. We take the latter method. We just computed integrals of products of SO(9) characters and $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}$ or $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}}$ using Mathematica and determined the decompositions completely. Then from them we can immediately read off what SO(9) \times SU(2) representations our 2^{24} -dimensional space are decomposed into, and the multiplicities of those representations. The result is given in Table 1.

As a check of our result, let us compute the numbers of states contributing to $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}$ and $\chi_n^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}}$. Those can be counted by reading each column of Table 1, or by setting $x_i = 0$ in (2.15) and (2.16). We see that these two ways give the same values and the numbers of boson states and fermion states are equal:

$$\chi_0^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_0^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 183040 \text{ states}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\chi_1^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_1^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 439296 \text{ states}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\chi_2^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_2^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 465920 \text{ states}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\chi_3^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_3^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 326144 \text{ states}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\chi_4^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_4^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 161280 \text{ states}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\chi_5^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_5^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 56320 \text{ states}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\chi_6^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_6^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 13312 \text{ states}, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\chi_7^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_7^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 1920 \text{ states}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\chi_8^{\text{SO}(9),\text{B}}, \chi_8^{\text{SO}(9),\text{F}} \rightarrow 128 \text{ states}. \quad (2.27)$$

Then we can confirm that the total number of states is equal to 2^{24} :

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{24} = 16777216 &= (183040 \times 2) \times 1 + (439296 \times 2) \times 3 + (465920 \times 2) \times 5 \\ &+ (326144 \times 2) \times 7 + (161280 \times 2) \times 9 + (56320 \times 2) \times 11 \\ &+ (13312 \times 2) \times 13 + (1920 \times 2) \times 15 + (128 \times 2) \times 17. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

From the first row of Table 1, we see that SO(9) singlet states are decomposed into one singlet and one 13-dimensional representation of SU(2). This is consistent with the result of [1, 2, 4], and gives another proof of the uniqueness of SO(9) \times SU(2) singlet. The second row of Table 1 tells us that SO(9) vector states are decomposed into one 3-dimensional, one 7-dimensional, one 11-dimensional and one 15-dimensional representation, which is consistent with the result of [4]. From the fifth row we see that there is no gauge invariant SO(9) spinor, which means that the condition of full supersymmetry for the linear term in X_i^a in the expansion of zero energy wavefunction is always satisfied, because the condition is in the form that a gauge invariant SO(9) spinor made of the linear term is equal to zero[4].

SO(9)		SU(2) spin								
representation	dimension	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
[0, 0, 0, 0]	1	1						1		
[1, 0, 0, 0]	9		1		1		1		1	
[0, 1, 0, 0]	36		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
[0, 0, 1, 0]	84	2		2	1	2	1	2		1
[0, 0, 0, 1]	16		1	1		1	1	1	1	
[2, 0, 0, 0]	44	1		2		2		1		1
[0, 2, 0, 0]	495	1		2	1	2	1	1		
[0, 0, 2, 0]	1980	2		2	1	1		1		
[0, 0, 0, 2]	126		2	1	2	1	2	1	1	
[1, 1, 0, 0]	231		2	2	2	2	2	1	1	
[1, 0, 1, 0]	594		3	2	4	2	3	1	1	
[1, 0, 0, 1]	128	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1
[0, 1, 1, 0]	1650		2	2	3	2	2	1		
[0, 1, 0, 1]	432	1	2	3	3	3	3	2	1	
[0, 0, 1, 1]	768		2	3	2	3	2	1	1	
[3, 0, 0, 0]	156		1		2		1			
[0, 3, 0, 0]	4004				1					
[0, 0, 3, 0]	23595	1								
[0, 0, 0, 3]	672	1	1	1	2	1	1	1		
[2, 1, 0, 0]	910	1	1	2	2	2	1	1		
[2, 0, 1, 0]	2457	2	1	4	2	3	1	1		
[2, 0, 0, 1]	576	1	2	3	3	3	2	1	1	
[1, 2, 0, 0]	2574		1	1	2	1	1			
[1, 0, 2, 0]	12012		2	1	1					
[1, 0, 0, 2]	924	1	2	4	3	4	2	2	1	
[0, 2, 1, 0]	15444	1		1		1				
[0, 2, 0, 1]	4928		1	2	2	2	1			
[0, 1, 2, 0]	27027		1							
[0, 1, 0, 2]	2772	1	2	3	3	3	2	1		
[0, 0, 2, 1]	13200		1	1						
[0, 0, 1, 2]	4158		2	1	2	1	1			
[1, 1, 1, 0]	9009		2	3	2	2	1			
[1, 1, 0, 1]	2560	1	3	4	5	4	3	2		
[1, 0, 1, 1]	5040	1	3	4	4	3	2	1		
[0, 1, 1, 1]	12672	1	2	2	2	1	1			
[4, 0, 0, 0]	450	1		1	1	1				
[0, 0, 0, 4]	2772			1		1				
[3, 1, 0, 0]	2772		1	1	1	1				
[3, 0, 1, 0]	7700		2	1	2					
[3, 0, 0, 1]	1920		2	2	2	2	1			
[1, 0, 0, 3]	4608	1	1	2	2	2	1			
[0, 1, 0, 3]	12672		1	1	1	1				
[0, 0, 1, 3]	16896				1					
[2, 2, 0, 0]	8748	1		1		1				
[2, 0, 2, 0]	44352	1		1						
[2, 0, 0, 2]	3900		3	2	4	2	2			
[0, 2, 0, 2]	27456		1		1					
[2, 1, 1, 0]	31500		1	1	1					
[2, 1, 0, 1]	9504	1	2	3	3	2	1			
[2, 0, 1, 1]	19712	1	2	3	2	1				
[1, 2, 0, 1]	24192		1	1	1	1				
[1, 1, 0, 2]	15444	1	2	3	3	2	1			
[1, 0, 2, 1]	76032		1							
[1, 0, 1, 2]	25740	1	1	2	1	1				
[0, 1, 1, 2]	60060			1						
[1, 1, 1, 1]	65536	1	1	1	1					
[5, 0, 0, 0]	1122		1							
[4, 1, 0, 0]	7140			1						
[4, 0, 1, 0]	20196	1		1						
[4, 0, 0, 1]	5280	1	1	1	1					
[1, 0, 0, 4]	18018				1					
[3, 0, 0, 2]	12375	1	1	2	1	1				
[2, 0, 0, 3]	18480		1	1	1	1				
[3, 1, 0, 1]	27648		1	1	1					
[3, 0, 1, 1]	59136		1	1						
[1, 1, 0, 3]	67200			1						
[2, 1, 0, 2]	54675		1	1	1					
[2, 0, 1, 2]	96228		1							
[6, 0, 0, 0]	2508	1								
[5, 0, 0, 1]	12672		1							
[4, 0, 0, 2]	32725		1							
[3, 0, 0, 3]	56320	1								

Table 1: Multiplicities of $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ representations in the 2^{24} -dimensional space. Shaded rows indicate contributions from fermion states.

3 Discussion

We have computed the $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ character for coordinate independent states in $SU(2)$ Matrix theory and have decomposed it into the sum of products of $SO(9)$ and $SU(2)$ characters. It immediately gives the decomposition of those states into $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ representations, and gives another proof of the uniqueness of the coordinate independent $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ singlet state.

A next natural question is if similar calculation can be done in the case of $SU(N)$ gauge group[5]. Especially it is an interesting question if there are two or more $SO(9) \times SU(N)$ singlet states, or it is unique as in the $SU(2)$ case.

Another question is if all the states can be constructed by acting θ_α^a on the unique $SO(9) \times SU(2)$ singlet state. We can give a hint for it if we can count numbers of such states and compare the result with Table 1.

Appendix

A Frobenius formula

The character $\chi(R)$ of a representation R is given by a trace of a group element g over states in R : $\chi(R) = \text{tr}_R(g)$, and we define $\chi(R^k)$ by $\chi(R^k) = \text{tr}_R(g^k)$. Then the character of n -rank antisymmetric tensor product $\text{Alt}_n(R)$ of a representation R can be computed by the following Frobenius formula:

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_n(R)) = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{k=1}^n k i_k = n \\ i_k: \text{nonnegative integer}}} (-1)^{n + \sum_{k=1}^n i_k} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{[\chi(R^k)]^{i_k}}{i_k! \cdot k^{i_k}}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here we count contributions from boson states and fermions states additively. If states in representation R are fermionic and we count them with minus sign, then the sign factor in the above formula must be changed from $(-1)^{n + \sum_{k=1}^n i_k}$ to $(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^n i_k}$. Since we need explicit expressions in the text, we show some of them for reader's convenience:

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_0(R)) = 1, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_1(R)) = \chi_1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_2(R)) = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_3(R)) = \frac{1}{6}(\chi_1^3 - 3\chi_1\chi_2 + 2\chi_3), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_4(R)) = \frac{1}{24}(\chi_1^4 - 6\chi_1^2\chi_2 + 3\chi_2^2 + 8\chi_1\chi_3 - 6\chi_4), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_5(R)) = \frac{1}{120}(\chi_1^5 - 10\chi_1^3\chi_2 + 15\chi_1\chi_2^2 + 20\chi_1^2\chi_3 - 20\chi_2\chi_3 - 30\chi_1\chi_4 + 24\chi_5), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_6(R)) = \frac{1}{720}(\chi_1^6 - 15\chi_1^4\chi_2 + 45\chi_1^2\chi_2^2 - 15\chi_2^3 + 40\chi_1^3\chi_3 - 120\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3 + 40\chi_3^2 - 90\chi_1^2\chi_4 + 90\chi_2\chi_4 + 144\chi_1\chi_5 - 120\chi_6), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_7(R)) = \frac{1}{5040}(\chi_1^7 - 21\chi_1^5\chi_2 + 105\chi_1^3\chi_2^2 - 105\chi_1\chi_2^3 + 70\chi_1^4\chi_3 - 420\chi_1^2\chi_2\chi_3 + 210\chi_2^2\chi_3 + 280\chi_1\chi_3^2 - 210\chi_1^3\chi_4 + 630\chi_1\chi_2\chi_4 - 420\chi_3\chi_4 + 504\chi_1^2\chi_5 - 504\chi_2\chi_5 - 840\chi_1\chi_6 + 720\chi_7), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\chi(\text{Alt}_8(R)) = \frac{1}{40320}(\chi_1^8 - 28\chi_1^6\chi_2 + 210\chi_1^4\chi_2^2 - 420\chi_1^2\chi_2^3 + 105\chi_2^4 + 112\chi_1^5\chi_3 - 1120\chi_1^3\chi_2\chi_3 + 1680\chi_1\chi_2^2\chi_3 + 1120\chi_1^2\chi_3^2 - 1120\chi_2\chi_3^2 - 420\chi_1^4\chi_4 + 2520\chi_1^2\chi_2\chi_4 - 1260\chi_2^2\chi_4 - 3360\chi_1\chi_3\chi_4 + 1260\chi_4^2 + 1344\chi_1^3\chi_5 - 4032\chi_1\chi_2\chi_5 + 2688\chi_3\chi_5 - 3360\chi_1^2\chi_6 + 3360\chi_2\chi_6 + 5760\chi_1\chi_7 - 5040\chi_8), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $\chi_k = \chi(R^k)$.

B SO(9) representations and characters

Representations of SO(9) are uniquely specified by the Dynkin label $[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]$, where q_1, q_2, q_3 and q_4 are nonnegative integers. In the context of Matrix theory, even and odd q_4 correspond to bosonic and fermionic states respectively. The highest weight μ of the representation $[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]$ is given by the linear combination of fundamental weights μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 , and μ_4 : $\mu = q_1\mu_1 + q_2\mu_2 + q_3\mu_3 + q_4\mu_4$, where

$$\mu_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \mu_2 = (1, 1, 0, 0), \quad \mu_3 = (1, 1, 1, 0), \quad \mu_4 = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Dimension of $[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]$ can be computed by the following expression obtained from Weyl dimension formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4] &= \prod_{i=1}^4 \left(1 + \frac{2(q_i + \dots + q_3) + q_4}{9 - 2i} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \left(1 + \frac{q_i + \dots + q_{j-1} + 2(q_j + \dots + q_3) + q_4}{9 - 2i - 2j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \left(1 + \frac{q_i + \dots + q_{j-1}}{j - i} \right), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where, for $i = 4$, expressions as $q_i + \dots + q_3$ should be ignored.

Since an element w of Weyl group of $\text{SO}(9)$ acts on a weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ as sign flip and permutation σ of components:

$$w \cdot \lambda = (\pm \lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \pm \lambda_{\sigma(2)}, \pm \lambda_{\sigma(3)}, \pm \lambda_{\sigma(4)}), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

the character for $[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]$, denoted by $\chi_{[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]}$, is given by the following expression obtained from Weyl character formula:

$$\chi_{[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]} \equiv \text{tr}_{[q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4]} \left[\exp(iJ_{12}x_1 + iJ_{34}x_2 + iJ_{56}x_3 + iJ_{78}x_4) \right] = \frac{D_{\rho+\mu}}{D_\rho}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $\rho = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4$ is the Weyl vector, and

$$D_\lambda = 16 \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^4 \sin(\lambda_{\sigma(i)} x_i) = 16 \det[\sin(\lambda_j x_i)]. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Table 2 shows some correspondences between Dynkin labels and representations which we usually construct by taking tensor products of vector and spinor representations, and characters of some of them are given by

$$\chi_{[0000]} = 1, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\chi_{[1000]} = 1 + (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2), \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{[0010]} &= 4 + 3(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2) + (c_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_4^2 + c_2^2 c_3^2 + c_2^2 c_4^2 + c_3^2 c_4^2) \\ &\quad + (c_1^2 c_2^2 c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_2^2 c_4^2 + c_1^2 c_3^2 c_4^2 + c_2^2 c_3^2 c_4^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\chi_{[0001]} = c_1^1 c_2^1 c_3^1 c_4^1, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{[2000]} &= 4 + (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2) \\ &\quad + (c_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_4^2 + c_2^2 c_3^2 + c_2^2 c_4^2 + c_3^2 c_4^2) + (c_1^4 + c_2^4 + c_3^4 + c_4^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\chi_{[1001]} = 4c_1^1 c_2^1 c_3^1 c_4^1 + (c_1^3 c_2^1 c_3^1 c_4^1 + c_1^1 c_2^3 c_3^1 c_4^1 + c_1^1 c_2^1 c_3^3 c_4^1 + c_1^1 c_2^1 c_3^1 c_4^3), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where $c_i^n = 2 \cos(nx_i/2)$.

References

- [1] J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm and M. Trzetrzelewski, “*Construction of the Zero-Energy State of $SU(2)$ -Matrix Theory: Near the Origin*”, arXiv:0809.5270 [hep-th]. *Nucl. Phys.* **B817** (2009) 155.

Dynkin label	dimension	representation
[0,0,0,0]	1	singlet
[1,0,0,0]	9	vector
[0,1,0,0]	36	2-rank antisymmetric
[0,0,1,0]	84	3-rank antisymmetric
[0,0,0,1]	16	spinor
[0,0,0,2]	126	4-rank antisymmetric
[1,0,0,1]	128	vector-spinor
[n,0,0,0]	$(2n + 7)(n + 6)!/(7! \cdot n!)$	n -rank symmetric traceless

Table 2: Correspondences between Dynkin labels and representations

- [2] M. Hynke and M. Trzetrzelewski, “*Uniqueness of the coordinate independent Spin(9)×SU(2) state of Matrix Theory*” arXiv:1004.3397 [hep-th].
- [3] M. Trzetrzelewski, “*The number of gauge singlets in supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics*”, arXiv:0708.2946 [hep-th], *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 085012.
- [4] Y. Michishita, “*On gauge transformation property of coordinate independent SO(9) vector states in SU(2) Matrix Theory*”, *JHEP* **09** (2010) 075, arXiv:1008.2580 [hep-th].
- [5] Y. Michishita, work in progress.