

A CRITERION FOR NON-DEGENERACY OF SINGULARITIES OF INTEGRABLE HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

DMITRY TONKONOG

ABSTRACT. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic $2n$ -manifold and h_1, \dots, h_n be functionally independent commuting functions on M . We present a geometric criterion for non-degeneracy of a singular point $P \in M$ (i.e. such that $\{dh_i|_P\}_{i=1}^n$ are linearly dependent).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

First we briefly present basic definitions used in the paper following [BF04]. By a (*completely*) *integrable Hamiltonian system* we mean a symplectic $2n$ -manifold (M, ω) with functionally independent commuting functions $h_1, \dots, h_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are traditionally called *integrals*. We associate with the system the *momentum map* $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\mathcal{F}(x) := (h_1(x), \dots, h_n(x))$. A point $x \in M$ is called a *singular (critical) point of rank r* , $0 \leq r < n$, if $\text{rk } d\mathcal{F}|_x = r$. For such points, there is a natural notion of non-degeneracy [BF04, Definition 1.23]. Recall this notion for zero-rank critical points.

Definition 1. Let $(M, \omega, h_1, \dots, h_n)$ be a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. A zero-rank singular point $P \in M$ is called *non-degenerate* if the commutative subalgebra K of $sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ generated by linear parts of the vector fields $\text{sgrad } h_1, \dots, \text{sgrad } h_n$ at point P ¹ is a Cartan subalgebra of $sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The main results are Theorems 1 and 2 below. Now we state Theorem 1 which presents a geometric criterion for non-degeneracy of zero-rank singular points. After discussion of Theorem 1, we recall a general definition of non-degeneracy of r -rank singularities and state Theorem 2 which is a criterion for non-degeneracy of r -rank singularities (when $r = 0$ it coincides with Theorem 1). In §2 we prove Theorem 1 and then prove Theorem 2 by reducing it to Theorem 1. In §3 we present (with permission from both authors) an unpublished result by A.V. Bolsinov and San Vũ Ngọc which provides another criterion of non-degeneracy and sketch its proof analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Consider a completely integrable Hamiltonian system $(M, \omega, h_1, \dots, h_n)$. Let $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the momentum map and $P \in M$ be a zero-rank singular point of the system. Denote by K the set of all singular points of rank 1 in a neighborhood of P .

If the following conditions hold, then P is non-degenerate:

- (a) There exists a non-degenerate linear combination of forms $\{d^2h_i|_P\}_{i=1}^n$.
- (b) The image $\mathcal{F}(K)$ contains n smooth curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, each curve having P as its end point or its inner point (examples for $n = 2$ are found on figure 1). The vectors tangent to $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ at $\mathcal{F}(P)$ are independent in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (c) K is a smooth submanifold of M or, at least, $K \cup \{P\}$ coincides with the closure of the set of all points $x \in K$ having a neighborhood $V(x) \subset M$ for which $K \cap V(x)$ is a smooth submanifold of M .

Remark 1. Notice that condition (c) is very weak. For example, it automatically holds if the integrals h_i are polynomials (in a suitable system of local coordinates at point P) because in this case each D_i is given by a system of algebraic equations. It also holds if K consists of non-degenerate singular points of rank 1 (in this case K is smooth, see [BF04, Proposition 1.18]).

¹ Equivalently, K is generated by linear operators $\{\omega^{-1}d^2h_i|_P\}_{i=1}^n$. The commutativity of K is implied by the fact that h_i commute. Note the definition does not depend on regular linear change of the forms d^2h_i .




FIGURE 1. Bifurcation diagrams satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 1. The diagram (2) appears in the non-analytic case and (3) when the zero-rank point is degenerate.

Remark 2. The reason that makes non-degenerate singularities important is the celebrated Rüssmann-Ito-Vey theorem [Ito89], [Vey78], [BF04, Theorem 1.5]: if P is a non-degenerate singular point of an analytic integrable Hamiltonian system (i.e. M , ω and the integrals are analytic in some local coordinates at P), then there exists a local system of symplectic analytic coordinates at P in which all integrals h_i are polynomials of degree 2 (these polynomials being defined by the type of the Cartan subalgebra from Definition 1).

Remark 3. If conditions (a)—(c) hold, then P automatically has elliptic-hyperbolic type (i.e. is of type $(s, n - s, 0)$ using notation of [BF04, 1.8.4]). So Theorem 1 does not cover focus-focus singularities.

The converse of Theorem 1 should be discussed. Let P be a non-degenerate zero-rank singular point of a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, and suppose P has elliptic-hyperbolic type. Then condition (a) follows immediately. Conditions (b) and (c) follow in the analytic case (i.e. when M , ω and the integrals are analytic) from the Rüssmann-Ito-Vey theorem. We conjecture that conditions (b) and (c) also follow in the smooth case. Notice that in the smooth case, the bifurcation diagram near an image of a non-degenerate zero-rank point may split as shown on fig. 1(2) (this example is found in [BF04, 1.8.3]). However, here the bifurcation diagram does contain two curves satisfying (b); also see Remark 4.

Remark 4. In Theorem 1 we do not demand that the image $\mathcal{F}(K)$ coincides with the union of γ_i . It may contain additional curves as on fig. 1(2),(3).

However, Rüssmann-Ito-Vey theorem implies: if P is a non-degenerate 0-rank singular point of an analytic integrable system, then $\mathcal{F}(K)$ cannot contain more than n curves with pairwise independent tangent vectors (as on fig. 1(3)). So Theorem 1 implies: if P is a 0-rank singular point of an analytic integrable system and $\mathcal{F}(K)$ contains more than n curves with pairwise independent tangent vectors then either condition (b) fails (which is rarely possible in natural examples), or condition (a) fails: both forms $d^2h_1|_P$, $d^2h_2|_P$ (and all their linear combinations) are degenerate. This situation appears in a wide range of examples, for instance, in the Jukowsky integrable case of rigid body dynamics.

We now turn to a criterion for non-degeneracy of r -rank singularities. Here the definition of non-degeneracy [BF04, Definition 1.23] is as follows.

Definition 2. Let $(M, \omega, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ be a completely integrable Hamiltonian system and $P \in M$ be a singular point of rank r . Find any regular linear change of integrals f_1, \dots, f_n so that the new functions, which we denote h_1, \dots, h_n , satisfy the property: $dh_{r+1}|_P = \dots = dh_n|_P = 0$. Consider the space $L \subset T_P M$ generated by $\text{sgrad } h_1, \dots, \text{sgrad } h_r$ and its ω -orthogonal complement $L' \supset L$. Denote by A_{r+1}, \dots, A_n the linear parts of vector fields $\text{sgrad } h_{r+1}, \dots, \text{sgrad } h_n$. They are commuting operators in $\text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. By [BF04, Lemma 1.8] the subspace L belongs to the kernel of every operator A_{r+1}, \dots, A_n and their image lies in L' . Thus they can be regarded as operators on L'/L . By [BF04, Lemma 1.9] L'/L admits a natural symplectic structure and $A_{r+1}, \dots, A_n \in \text{sp}(L'/L, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{sp}(2n - 2r, \mathbb{R})$. The point $P \in M$ is called *non-degenerate* if A_{r+1}, \dots, A_n generate a Cartan subalgebra in $\text{sp}(2n - 2r, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 5. Clearly, the definition does not depend on a regular linear change of the integrals. In Theorem 2 we will consider integrals such that $dh_{r+1}|_P = \dots = dh_n|_P = 0$. To apply Theorem 2 for a general integrable system $(M, \omega, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ it is sufficient to obtain integrals h_i satisfying this property by a regular linear change of f_i .

Definition 2 is equivalent to P being a non-degenerate singular point of a certain *reduced* integrable system obtained by the given one. Rigorous statement of this well-known fact is found in the proof of Theorem 2 where it helps to reduce Theorem 2 to Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Consider a completely integrable Hamiltonian system $(M, \omega, h_1, \dots, h_n)$. Let $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the momentum map and $P \in M$ be a singular point of rank r . Denote by K the set of all singular points of rank $r + 1$ in a neighborhood of P . Suppose that $dh_{r+1}|_P = \dots = dh_n|_P = 0$ and $h_i(P) = 0$ for all i .*

If the following conditions hold, then P is non-degenerate:

(a) *There exist a number $k \in \{r + 1, \dots, n\}$ and a $(2n - 2r)$ -dimensional subspace $F \subset T_P M$ such that*

$$(a_1) \ F \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^r \text{Ker } dh_j|_P,$$

$$(a_2) \ F \cap \text{Lin} \{ \text{sgrad } h_1|_P, \dots, \text{sgrad } h_r|_P \} = \{0\} \text{ and}$$

$$(a_3) \ \text{the form } d^2 h_k|_F \text{ is non-degenerate.}^2$$

(b) *The intersection of $\mathcal{F}(K) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the plane $\{h_1 = \dots = h_r = 0\}$ contains n smooth curves, each curve having P as its end point or its inner point. The vectors tangent to these curves at $\mathcal{F}(P)$ are independent in \mathbb{R}^n .*

(c) *K is an analytic submanifold of M or, at least, the closure of $K' := K \cap \{x \in M : h_1(x) = \dots = h_r(x) = 0\}$ coincides with the closure of the set of all points $x \in K'$ having a neighborhood $V(x) \subset M$ for which $K' \cap V(x)$ is a smooth submanifold of M .*

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

To prove Theorem 1 we will need the following two lemmas. The first lemma is well-known and the second is proved at the end of this section.

Lemma 1. *A commutative subalgebra $K \subset sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Cartan subalgebra if and only if K is n -dimensional and it contains an element (a linear operator) whose eigenvalues are all different.*

Lemma 2. *Suppose $A, B \in sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ or $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $AB = BA$ and $\text{Ker } A \cap \text{Ker } B = \{0\}$. Then the subspaces $\text{Ker } A$ and $\text{Ker } B$ are symplectic.*

*Proof of Theorem 1. Step 1. Introducing new integrals.*³ Denote $D_i := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\gamma_i) \cap K$. Condition (b) enables us to construct a new set $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of independent commuting integrals such that $f_j|_{D_i} \equiv 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$. Indeed, let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism taking γ_i to the i -axis and $\mathcal{F}(P)$ to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$; then define $f_i := gh_i$. Below we work with the new integrals f_i . Although the corresponding momentum maps for $\{h_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ are different, the critical set K remains the same. Moreover, $\{d^2 f_i|_P\}$ are obtained from $\{d^2 h_i|_P\}$ by a regular linear change given by the operator $dg|_{\mathcal{F}(P)}$, so we can verify Definition 1 for $\{f_i\}$ as well as for $\{h_i\}$. Below we write $d^2 f_i$ instead of $d^2 f_i|_P$ (and the same for other functions). Denote

$$T_i := \bigcap_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ j \neq i}} \text{Ker } d^2 f_j.$$

*Step 2. Proof that $T_i \neq \{0\}$ for each i .*⁴ In this step we use condition (c). Suppose that $T_i = \{0\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then some linear combination of the forms $d^2 f_j$, $j \neq i$, is non-degenerate. Let F be the linear combination of functions h_j , $j \neq i$, with the same coefficients. We obtain: (1°) $d^2 F$ is non-degenerate and (2°) $F|_{D_i} \equiv 0$ since $h_j|_{D_i} \equiv 0$ for $j \neq i$. By (1°) and the Morse lemma there exists a punctured neighborhood $U'(P) \subset M$ of point P such that $dF(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U'(P)$. Now let $x \in D_i$ have a neighborhood $V(x)$ such that $V(x) \cap D_i$ is a smooth submanifold. By (2°) we get $d(F|_{D_i})(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U'(P)$, meaning that $dF(x) \perp T_x D_i$. But x is a point of rank 1,

²Notation must not be confusing: $d^2 h_i|_F$ means $d^2 h_i|_P$ restricted on F .

³In the first two steps we do not use the symplectic structure on M and condition (a). The arguments in these two steps are essentially known.

⁴If we were given that $\overline{D_i} = D_i \cup \{P\}$ is a smooth submanifold, then $T_i \neq \{0\}$ follows from the obvious inclusion $T_P \overline{D_i} \subset T_i$.

so $dF(x)$ and $df_i(x)$ are linearly dependent. Since $dF(x) \neq 0$, this implies that $df_i(x) \perp T_x D_i$, thus $f_i|_{D_i}(x) = 0$. By (c), this holds for almost all $x \in U'(P)$ so $f_i|_{D_i} \equiv \text{const}$. On the other hand, $f_i|_{D_i}$ is not a constant function since the image $f_i(D_i)$ is a line segment and not a point. This contradiction shows that $T_i \neq \{0\}$.

Step 3. Proof that T_i is symplectic. Consider the linear map A_i which is the linearization of the vector field $\text{sgrad } f_i$ (equivalently, $A_i = \omega^{-1}d^2f_i$). Clearly, $\text{Ker } A_i = \text{Ker } d^2f_i$ and $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ commute with each other. Without loss of generality, it suffices to show that T_n is symplectic. Consider the operator $B := \omega^{-1}d^2H$ where d^2H is a non-degenerate linear combination of $\{d^2h_i\}_{i=1}^n$, so that $\text{Ker } B = \{0\}$. We have used condition (a). Notice that B commutes with A_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 2 applied to A_1 and B , we obtain that $\text{Ker } A_1$ is symplectic. Clearly, $\text{Ker } B|_{\text{Ker } A_1} = \{0\}$. By Lemma 2 applied to $A_2|_{\text{Ker } A_1}$ and $B|_{\text{Ker } A_1}$, we obtain that $\text{Ker } A_2|_{\text{Ker } A_1} = \text{Ker } A_1 \cap \text{Ker } A_2$ is symplectic. Clearly, $\text{Ker } B|_{\text{Ker } A_1 \cap \text{Ker } A_2} = \{0\}$. Proceeding this way, we finally obtain that $T_n = \text{Ker } A_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{Ker } A_{n-1}$ is symplectic.

Step 4. Proof that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_i = T_P M$. By condition (a), any n non-zero vectors $v_i \in T_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are independent. (Indeed, suppose to the contrary that v_1 is a linear combination of $\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then by construction $v_1 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } d^2f_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker } d^2h_i \subset \text{Ker } d^2h_k = \{0\}$, which contradicts to $v_1 \neq 0$.) Combining this with the fact that $\dim T_i \geq 2$ (which follows from Steps 2, 3), we obtain that $\dim T_i = 2$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_i = T_P M$.

Step 5. Final step. Consider the maps A_i introduced in Step 3. By construction, $\text{Ker } A_i = \text{Ker } d^2f_i = \bigcup_{j=1, \dots, n, j \neq i} T_j$. This means that for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, we obtain that $A_i|_{T_j} \equiv 0$, and $\text{Ker } A_i|_{T_i} = \{0\}$. Let us prove that P is non-degenerate. Clearly, $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent. Since each T_i is symplectic, the eigenvalues of $A_i|_{T_i}$ are $\{\pm\lambda_i \neq 0\}$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$. The eigenvalues of a linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i A_i$ are $\{\pm\mu_i \lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ which are clearly all different for well-chosen coefficients μ_i . Thus P is non-degenerate by Definition 1, Lemma 1 and the argument in Step 1. Proof of Theorem 1 is finished. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. By the Darboux theorem, we can complete functions $p_1 := h_1, \dots, p_r := h_r$ up to a coordinate system $\{p_i, q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ at point P such that $\{p_i, p_j\} = 0$, $\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Denote $\Pi := \text{Lin } \{\partial/\partial p_i, \partial/\partial q_i\}_{i=r+1}^n \subset T_P M$. Consider a symplectic submanifold $Q \subset M$ in a neighborhood of P given by equations $\{p_i = 0, q_i = 0\}_{i=1}^r$; then $T_P Q = \Pi$. By Definition 2 P is non-degenerate if the restrictions $\{\omega^{-1}d^2h_{r+1}|_{\Pi}, \dots, \omega^{-1}d^2h_n|_{\Pi}\}$ generate a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n - 2r, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, this is equivalent to P being a non-degenerate zero-rank singular point of the restricted (reduced) completely integrable Hamiltonian system $(Q, \omega|_Q, \{h_i|_Q\}_{i=r+1}^n)$. We can apply Theorem 1 to this restricted system by verifying the three conditions of Theorem 1.

By (a₁) $F \subset \text{Lin } (\Pi \cup \{\partial/\partial q_i\}_{i=1}^r)$; by (a₂) the projection $F \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \Pi$ has zero kernel and is an isomorphism since $\dim F = \dim \Pi$. Since h_i commute, it follows that $\{h_i\}_{i=r+1}^n$ do not depend on $\{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$, so $d^2h_k(v) = d^2h_k(\text{pr } v)$ for $v \in \text{Lin } (\Pi \cup \{\partial/\partial q_i\}_{i=1}^r)$. Together with (a₃) this implies that $d^2h_k|_{\Pi}$ is non-degenerate. Condition (a) of Theorem 1 is verified.

Let \tilde{K} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ denote respectively the set of 1-rank points near P and the momentum map of the restricted system. Condition (b) of Theorem 1 follows from the given condition (b) because $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{K}) = \mathcal{F}(K) \cap \{h_1 = \dots = h_r = 0\}$. Condition (c) of Theorem 1 follows from the given condition (c). Indeed, $\tilde{K} = K \cap Q$ and since all gradients $\{dh_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent of $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^r$, K' is a cylinder over \tilde{K} . So if K is an analytic submanifold or K' is ‘almost everywhere regular’ in the sense of condition (c) then \tilde{K} also ‘almost everywhere regular’, i.e. satisfies condition (c) in Theorem 1. ■

Proof of Lemma 2. Let us prove that $\text{Ker } B$ is symplectic. It is sufficient to consider the \mathbb{C} -case. In this paragraph we reduce the Lemma to the case $\text{Ker } A = \{0\}$. Consider the Jordan decomposition of A and B as the sum of their semisimple and nilpotent parts: $A = A_s + A_n$, $B = B_s + B_n$, where A_n and B_n are nilpotent. Clearly,

- the kernel $K := \text{Ker } B_s$ is a symplectic subspace of \mathbb{C}^{2n} ;
- $\text{Ker } B \subset K$ and $B|_K = B_n|_K$ is nilpotent;

- since A and B commute, the kernel K is an invariant subspace of A .

Let us prove that $\text{Ker } A|_K = \{0\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\text{Ker } A|_K \neq \{0\}$. By the commutativity condition this kernel is $B|_K$ -invariant. But $B|_K$ is nilpotent, so $\text{Ker } A|_K \cap \text{Ker } B|_K \neq \{0\}$. On the other hand, $\text{Ker } A|_K \cap \text{Ker } B|_K \subset \text{Ker } A \cap \text{Ker } B = \{0\}$. This contradiction proves that $\text{Ker } A|_K = \{0\}$. Clearly, $A|_K, B|_K \in sp(\dim K, \mathbb{C})$ and recall that $\text{Ker } B \subset K$. So it is sufficient to prove the Lemma for $A|_K$ and $B|_K$.

Thus, we can prove that $\text{Ker } B$ is symplectic assuming that $\text{Ker } A = \{0\}$. This means $\text{Ker } A_s = \{0\}$. We get $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0} \text{Ker } (A_s - \lambda E)$. So it is sufficient to prove that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0$ the subspace $\text{Ker } B|_{\text{Ker } (A_s - \lambda E) \cup \text{Ker } (A_s + \lambda E)}$ is symplectic. Clearly, $L := \text{Ker } (A_s - \lambda E) \cup \text{Ker } (A_s + \lambda E)$ is a symplectic subspace of \mathbb{C}^{2n} . So there is a certain symplectic basis in which the symplectic form has the standard matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ -E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and such that

$$A_s|_L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda E & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda E \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{thus, since } A_s|_L \text{ and } B|_L \text{ commute, } B|_L = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\overline{B_1}^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows immediately that $\text{Ker } B|_L$ is symplectic. The proof is finished. ■

3. A RELATED RESULT BY A.V. BOLSINOV AND SAN VŨ NGỌC

Now we present (with permission from both authors) an unpublished result by A.V. Bolsinov and San Vũ Ngọc which provides another criterion of non-degeneracy.

Theorem 3 [A.V. Bolsinov and San Vũ Ngọc]. *Consider a completely integrable Hamiltonian system on a symplectic $2n$ -manifold (M, ω) with independent integrals h_1, \dots, h_n in involution. Let $P \in M$ be an isolated zero-rank singular point. Consider the subspace L in $\text{Sym}^2 T_P^* M$ (space of symmetric bilinear forms on $T_P M$) generated by $d^2 h_1|_P, \dots, d^2 h_n|_P$.*

The point P is non-degenerate if and only if $\dim L = n$ and there exist $Q, R \in L$ such that $\det Q \neq 0$ and the spectrum of $Q^{-1}R$ contains exactly n different eigenvalues.

Note that in both Theorems 1, 3 the symplectic form ω is implicitly present only in the condition that h_i are in involution. The ‘only if’ part in Theorem 2 is quite easy.

Sketch of a proof of the ‘if’ part in Theorem 3 analogous to the proof of Theorem 1. Set $T_i := \text{Ker } (Q - \lambda_i R)$ where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of $Q^{-1}R$. We immediately get that $T_i \neq \{0\}$ and even $\dim T_i = 2$. Obviously, $T_i \cap T_j = \{0\}$ and T_i is invariant under $A_j := \omega^{-1} d^2 h_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that T_i is symplectic. So we can repeat Steps 4, 5 and write down the spectrum of a linear combination of $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$. The existence of a linear combination with simple spectrum follows from the fact that $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are independent (by condition $\dim K = n$). ■

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to V.V. Fokicheva, A.A. Konyaev, I.K. Kozlov, A.Yu. Moskvina, A.A. Oshemkov, F.Yu. Popelensky for useful comments and especially to A.V. Bolsinov and A.T. Fomenko for fruitful discussions and constant support.

REFERENCES

- [BF04] *A.V. Bolsinov, A.T. Fomenko.* Integrable Hamiltonian systems. Geometry, topology, classification. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL (2004).
- [Ito89] *H. Ito.* Convergence of Birkhoff normal forms for integrable systems. Comment. Math. Helvetici. v. 64 pp. 412–461 (1989).
- [Vey78] *J. Vey.* Sur certains systemes dynamiques separables. Amer. J. Math. v. 100 pp. 591–614 (1978).

DEPARTMENT OF DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY AND APPLICATIONS, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, 199992, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: dtonkonog@gmail.com