

Solvable Models Of Infrared Gupta-Bleuler Quantum Electrodynamics

Simone Zerella*

Dip. di Fisica, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Abstract

Solvable hamiltonian models are employed to investigate the extent and limitations of the procedures adopted in the perturbative treatment of the infrared divergences, occurring in the Feynman-Dyson expansion of Quantum Electrodynamics. Isometric Möller operators are obtained in the presence of an infrared regularization, after the removal of an adiabatic switching, with the aid of a suitable mass renormalization. We gain an hamiltonian control of the Yennie-Frautschi-Suura infrared factors and discuss the implications on the perturbative prescriptions for inclusive cross-sections.

Key words: Infrared Problem, Quantum Electrodynamics, Local Gauge Quantization, Solvable Models

*email address: simone.zerella@gmail.com

Introduction

In Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), the description of states at asymptotic times and the derivation of the scattering matrix are still open issues.

At the perturbative level, transition amplitudes between states containing a finite number of photons are ill-defined, since radiative corrections due to soft photons typically exhibit logarithmic divergences ([7, 8]). As a consequence, in contrast with ordinary quantum field theories, Dyson's S -matrix ([4]) is only defined in the presence of a low-energy cutoff and the problem of a proper identification of asymptotic states arises.

Already in 1937, in their fundamental paper on the subject ([1]), Bloch and Nordsieck proved that infrared (IR) singularities arise in the perturbative expansion because of basic physical facts. They argued that on the basis of the correspondence principle one has to expect a vanishing probability for the emission of a finite number of photons in any collision process involving electrically charged particles. Moreover, they showed that this prediction is indeed realized within a quantum-mechanical setting, at least under a suitable approximation, not requiring to treat the interaction of the electron with the low-energy modes of the electromagnetic field as a small perturbation and allowing to get an explicit solution. The occurrence of IR divergences can therefore be traced back to the assumption, at the basis of the expansion in powers of the electric charge, that the rate for the emission of radiation decreases with the number of photons.

Exponentiation of the low-energy photon contributions was first conjectured by Schwinger ([18]) and then proved by Yennie, Frautschi and Suura (YFS) in [6] within the framework of the local and covariant Gupta-Bleuler formulation ([5]) of QED .

This led to a pragmatic approach to the problem; one introduces an IR cutoff, sums the transition rates over all final photon-states with energy below the threshold of the experimental arrangement and finally removes the regularization. The finiteness of the result is ensured by the well-known cancellation, for each order in perturbation theory, between the virtual IR divergences and those due to soft-photon emission.

Such a procedure, nowadays at the basis of the perturbative-theoretic treatment of the infrared problem, merely gives inclusive cross-sections, depending on the energy resolution of the photon detectors; therefore the question of whether it is possible to obtain a full quantum mechanical scattering theory, yielding transition amplitudes between pure states, remains open. Furthermore, since the calculations have to be performed in an intermediate, infrared-regularized theory, their outcomes, although finite, do not seem to be founded on solid theoretical basis and in fact have been questioned in the literature ([9]). In particular, the time limit is taken *before* removing the regularization and in principle such an exchange of limits may lead to incorrect results.

It is also important to remark that the standard recipes to handle the low-energy photon singularities somehow avoid to directly take into account the non-perturbative properties involved in the characterization of physical charged states. In this respect, several model-independent investigations ([11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]) made it clear that besides the occurrence of representations of the

asymptotic electromagnetic field “containing an infinite number of photons”, the description of scattering states of abelian gauge theories requires to take into account further peculiarities.

In particular, it was proven that as a consequence of Gauss’ law states carrying an electric charge cannot be obtained by applying local operators to the vacuum and that the state space admits uncountably many charged superselection sectors, non-invariant under Lorentz boosts and with single-particle subspaces not containing proper eigenstates of the mass operator.

Such results were obtained in general settings, independently of the standard perturbative-theoretic framework; quite generally, since its early days the perturbative theory has been mostly applied to extract physical predictions while it has seldom been employed as a tool to gain insights into the structural properties of Quantum Electrodynamics. A careful analysis of the principles of local and covariant quantizations of (abelian) gauge theories has been given in [27],[24]. The problem of the identification of physical charged states in the Gupta-Bleuler formulation has been addressed in [25],[26]. An approach especially focused on the analyticity properties of the scattering matrix has been pursued in [19].

More recently, Steinmann has developed a perturbative formulation in terms of Coulomb-type physical fields ([9]), using an adapted version of Wightman’s formalism ([17]). Steinmann’s strategy is noteworthy because it does not employ an intermediate infrared-regularized theory nor does it rely on the introduction of asymptotic fields, which is problematic in QED ; however, it also raises questions of principle and difficulties at the level of practical computations. In fact, on the one hand the use of specific physical fields demands to employ a non-trivial generalization of Feynman’s rules in the calculation of transition amplitudes, on the other it is limited with respect to the large arbitrariness involved in the description of charged states ([29]); moreover, it leads to cancellations ([20]) and in principle may also imply other physical effects which are still not understood.

The above discussion provides motivations to understand and possibly fill the gap that separates the standard methods from a collision theory in which the non-perturbative aspects of the infrared problem are taken into account.

As a starting point to address this issue we analyze hamiltonian models, characterized by suitable infrared approximations, in order to seek for a formalization of the procedures involved in the local and covariant treatment of the IR divergences occurring in QED . In particular, we shall compare the amplitudes obtained via the infrared diagrammatic in the Feynman-Gupta-Bleuler (FGB) gauge with the outcome of solvable hamiltonian models, based on two different approximations, which from a physical point of view seem to be equally suited for an analysis of the low-energy contributions; the electric dipole approximation and the expansion around a fixed (asymptotic) charged particle four-momentum. Perhaps surprisingly, such a comparison has never been carried out explicitly and IR models have been used to confirm the problems arising in the formulation of scattering, rather than for a systematic analysis of the procedures adopted in the standard approach.

Our main result is that it is possible to reproduce the infrared diagrammatic of QED within an hamiltonian framework, in terms of Möller operators, whose

existence can be established with the aid of an adiabatic switching of the interaction and of a suitable mass counterterm, in the presence of a low-energy regularization.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 1 is devoted to the analysis of the Pauli-Fierz model ([2]), a non-relativistic model based on the dipole approximation, taking Blanchard's treatment ([3]) as a starting point. It is shown that by introducing an adiabatic switching and a mass-renormalization counterterm in the Pauli-Fierz hamiltonian, one obtains Möller operators as strong limits of the corresponding evolution operator in the interaction representation, for each value of an infrared cutoff.

Since the model is formulated in the Coulomb-gauge, while the diagrammatic expansion is performed in local and covariant gauges, in order to set up a comparison with the perturbative expansion we introduce a four-vector model, retaining the approximations of the Pauli-Fierz hamiltonian. With the aid of Möller operators, defined by asymptotic limits of the evolution operator (in the interaction representation) in a suitable topology, in the presence of an infrared cutoff, of a mass renormalization and of an adiabatic switching, the exponentiation of the infrared contributions and the compatibility of the low-energy approximations with the renormalization procedure are reproduced within a hamiltonian framework. However, it is also shown that the dipole approximation necessarily prevents to fully reproduce the infrared Feynman's amplitudes.

In order to cope with these difficulties, in section 2 we introduce hamiltonian models based on an expansion already implicit in [1] and hereafter referred to as Bloch-Nordsieck (*BN*) models. We will first consider a model formulated in the Coulomb-gauge and then seek for a suitable four-vector version of the latter.

In section 3, we prove that the infrared diagrammatic in the *FGB* gauge is reproduced, by means of the Möller operators of the four-vector *BN* model, for each value of the low-energy cutoff.

Moreover, within the same framework the expressions of the inclusive cross-sections, obtained by the standard perturbative recipes, are recovered and the extent and limitations of such an approach are discussed. In particular, we prove that if the charged particle states are described by wave packets the removal of the infrared cutoff in the corresponding inclusive cross-section yields a vanishing result unless suitable coherent representations of the asymptotic photon field are employed, and finally outline the relationship of such a treatment with Chung's approach ([10]).

These results stem from an analysis of infrared models of *QED* also addressing the problems connected with the definition of a scattering matrix and the construction and characterization of physical charged states in the Gupta-Bleuler formulation ([28]).

Notations

The metric $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ of Minkowski-space is adopted and natural units are used ($\hbar = c = 1$).

A four-vector is indicated with v^μ or simply by v , while the symbol \mathbf{v} denotes

a three-dimensional vector. We use the symbol $c \cdot d$ for the indefinite inner product between four-vectors c and d .

The norm of a vector $\phi \in L^2$ is indicated by $\|\phi\|_2$, the Hilbert scalar product by (\cdot, \cdot) and the product of an indefinite inner product space by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The Hilbert-space adjoint of an operator A is denoted by A^* , while the symbol B^\dagger stands for the hermitian conjugate, with respect to the indefinite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, of an operator B defined on an indefinite-metric space.

The commutator between operators A and B is denoted by $[A, B]$, the trace of A by $Tr A$, the tensor product of n operators O^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, by $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n O^i$ and the projection on the vector ψ by P_ψ .

We denote by \mathcal{F} the symmetric Fock space, by $\phi^{(n)} \equiv S_n \phi$ the projection of $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ on the n -particle space, with S_n the symmetric n -dimensional projector, and by ω_F the Fock vacuum functional.

In the Coulomb-gauge formulation, $a_s (a_s^*)$ will stand for the photon annihilation (creation) operator-valued distribution, fulfilling the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[a_s(\mathbf{k}), a_{s'}^*(\mathbf{k}')] = \delta_{ss'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'),$$

with s and s' polarization indices.

In the same gauge, the hamiltonian of the free electromagnetic field will be denoted by $H_{0,tr}^{e.m.}$ and the vector potential at time $t = 0$ by

$$\mathbf{A}_{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k}) [a_s(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} + a_s^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}}],$$

with ϵ_s , $s = 1, 2$, orthonormal polarization vectors, satisfying the transversality condition $\mathbf{k} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k}) = 0$.

The annihilation and creation operator-valued distributions in the *FGB* gauge, denoted respectively by $a^\mu(\mathbf{k})$ and $a^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$, fulfill the CCR

$$[a^\mu(\mathbf{k}), a^{\nu\dagger}(\mathbf{k}')] = -g^{\mu\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}').$$

In the same gauge, the hamiltonian of the free e.m. field shall be denoted by $H_0^{e.m.}$ and the vector potential at time $t = 0$ by

$$A^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} [a^\mu(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} + a^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}}] .$$

The convolution with a form factor ρ is indicated by

$$A^\mu(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv \int d^3 \xi \rho(\xi) A^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \xi),$$

and similarly for \mathbf{A}_{tr} . For brevity we write

$$a(f(t)) \equiv \int d^3 k a^\mu(\mathbf{k}) f_\mu(\mathbf{k}, t)$$

and denote by $a_{tr}(f(t))$ the corresponding sum in the Coulomb-gauge.

1 Pauli-Fierz-Blanchard Models

This section is devoted to the introduction of the Pauli-Fierz-Blanchard (*PFB*) model. The model ([2]) describes the interaction of a spin-less Schrödinger particle with the quantum electromagnetic field under suitable infrared approximations.

It was reconsidered three decades later by Blanchard, who investigated the questions connected with a mathematical formulation of the fundamental fact that an infinite number of photons is emitted in any collision process involving electrically charged particles. In [3], he proved the existence of the dynamics and constructed the asymptotic states of the quantum system. In detail, he showed that a unitary operator can be obtained as the limit of the evolution operator in the sense of morphisms of a suitable (C^* -)algebra, defined on the infinite tensor product starting from the algebra of linear continuous operators on a finite product of (Fock) spaces. Furthermore, he established the existence of Möller operators, interpolating between the Pauli-Fierz hamiltonian and its perturbation by a potential term, for a large class of potentials.

Our treatment will require some changes with respect to Blanchard's setting. In fact, since we wish to employ the model in order to investigate the methods at the basis of the local and covariant perturbative treatment of the infrared divergences, a question not addressed in Reference [3], we shall introduce an *IR* cutoff from the start.

In the presence of an infrared regularization, the limits considered by Blanchard exist with respect to the weak topology. However, as we shall see in the sequel, in order to recover the results of the diagrammatic analysis of the infrared contributions it is necessary first to get strong convergence for the asymptotic time-limits of the evolution operator; in a four-vector formulation of the model, Möller operators will then be shown to be definable in a suitable topology.

We consider the infrared-regularized *PFB* hamiltonian

$$H_{\lambda}^{(PFB)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m} + H_{0, tr}^{e.m.} + H_{int, tr} \equiv H_0 + H_{int, tr}, \quad (1)$$

$$H_{int, tr} = -\frac{e}{m} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{tr}(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0). \quad (2)$$

The particle, of mass m , charge e and a spherically symmetric distribution of charge ρ , will also be called electron. The subscript λ on the left-hand side of (1) denotes the fictitious photon mass employed as an *IR* regularization method, by setting $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \equiv \mathbf{k}^2 + \lambda^2$, and the λ -dependence in $H_{0, tr}^{e.m.}$ and in (2) is understood. The functional form of the interaction is dictated by the electric dipole approximation and implies that the electron momentum is conserved, while the total one is not.

The Hilbert space of states of the model is $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{F}$, with L^2 the one-particle space and \mathcal{F} the Fock space of photons of mass λ . Since H_0 acts as a multiplication it is essentially self-adjoint (e.s.a.) on the domain $D_0 = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes D_{F_0}$, with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the Schwartz space of C^∞ functions of rapid decrease on \mathbb{R}^3 , F_0 the dense set of \mathcal{F} spanned by the finite-particle vectors and $D_{F_0} \equiv (\psi \in F_0; \psi^{(n)} \in S_n \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3), \forall n)$.

For sufficiently small $|e|$, the operator (2) is small in the sense of Kato with respect to H_0 , hence the hamiltonian is e.s.a. on D_0 by the Kato-Rellich theorem ([21]); the same result actually holds for any value of $|e|$ as a consequence of the commutator theorem ([31]). The existence of the one-parameter group $U(t) = \exp(-i H t)$ follows by Stone's theorem ([21]).

The evolution operator in the interaction representation obeys

$$i \frac{d U_I(t)}{d t} \equiv H_I(t) U_I(t). \quad (3)$$

Since the commutator of H_I evaluated at different times is a multiple of the identity operator in each definite-momentum restriction of the single-particle sector, in order to solve equation (3) one can make use of the formula ([32])

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]}, \quad (4)$$

which can be derived under the assumptions that A , B and $A+B$ are densely-defined operators on a Hilbert space, with a common domain of analytic vectors, and that $[A, B]$ commutes with A and B . By (4) one obtains

$$U_I(t) = \exp\left(-i \int_0^t dt' H_I(t')\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t dt' \times \int_0^{t'} dt'' [H_I(t'), H_I(t'')]\right), \quad (5)$$

hence

$$U(t) = \exp(-i H_0 t) U_I(t). \quad (6)$$

By explicit calculations one gets

$$U_I(t) = c(t) \exp\left(i e \left(a_{tr}^*(f_{\mathbf{p}}(t)) + a_{tr}(\bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}(t))\right)\right), \quad (7)$$

$$c(t) = \exp\left(\frac{i e^2 \hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{3 m^2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}) \left(t - \frac{\sin \omega_{\mathbf{k}} t}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)\right), \quad (8)$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p}s}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_s(\mathbf{k})}{m} \frac{e^{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} - 1}{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (9)$$

It is straightforward to check that $U_I(t)$ does not converge for large times; in fact the operator (8), obtained by evaluating the commutator in (5), has eigenvalues on plane-wave single-particle states which diverge for $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. In order to cope with this problem it is necessary to introduce a suitable mass counterterm. Moreover, we also need to regularize the oscillating terms occurring in (8)-(9), since, as it will be checked explicitly, such terms only allow for the existence of asymptotic weak limits and thus prevent the strong convergence of the (mass-renormalized) evolution operator. The regularization can be accomplished by replacing the electric coupling by $e^{(ad)}(t) \equiv e e^{-\epsilon |t|}$.

An hamiltonian fulfilling the above requirements is

$$H_{\lambda, R}^{(PFB)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2 m} + H_{0, tr}^{e.m.} + H_{int, tr, R}^{(\epsilon)}, \quad (10)$$

$$H_{int, tr, R}^{(\epsilon)} \equiv H_{int, tr} e^{-\epsilon |t|} + z e^2 \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m} e^{-2\epsilon |t|}, \quad (11)$$

$$z = \frac{2}{3m} \int \frac{d^3k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}). \quad (12)$$

In what follows, we state the results holding for positive times; for $t < 0$, it suffices to replace ϵ by $-\epsilon$ in the expressions below. Upon inserting (11), (12) into the right-hand side of equation (5) we obtain

$$U_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \equiv c_z^{(\epsilon)}(t) \exp(i e (a_{tr}^* (f_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)) + a_{tr} (\bar{f}_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)))), \quad (13)$$

with

$$c_z^{(\epsilon)}(t) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{i e^2 \hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{3m^2} d^{(\epsilon)}(t)\right) \exp\left(i e^2 z \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m} \frac{e^{-2\epsilon t} - 1}{2\epsilon}\right), \quad (14)$$

$$d^{(\epsilon)}(t) = - \int \frac{d^3k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} (\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \epsilon^2)} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}) (e^{-\epsilon t} \sin \omega_{\mathbf{k}} t + \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\epsilon} (e^{-2\epsilon t} - 1)), \quad (15)$$

$$f_{\mathbf{p}_s}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k})}{m} \frac{e^{(i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon) t} - 1}{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon}. \quad (16)$$

Equations (14), (15) provide a regularization of (8). In fact, the oscillating contribution from the first line on the r.h.s of (15) vanishes for $t \rightarrow \infty$ due to the presence of the adiabatic factor. Furthermore, the residual contribution of order $1/\epsilon$ arising from the second line of (15) is canceled in (14), for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, by the z -dependent exponent; hence the existence of the asymptotic time limits and of the adiabatic limit of $c_z^{(\epsilon)}(t)$ is proved.

The convergence of the evolution operator (13) on the Hilbert space of states \mathcal{H} can now be established:

Lemma 1. *By choosing the coefficient of the mass counterterm as in (12), both the large-time limits and the adiabatic limit of the evolution operator (13), defining the Möller operators, exist in the strong topology of \mathcal{H} :*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm} &= s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} U_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(-t) = \\ &= \exp\left(-i e \sum_s [a_s^* (f_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_s}) + a_s (\bar{f}_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_s})]\right), \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{p}_s}(\mathbf{k}) &\equiv L^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\mathbf{p}_s}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv L^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} f_{\mathbf{p}_s}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \\ &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k})}{m} \frac{i}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The existence of the strong limits (18) can be proved with the aid of the Lebesgue dominated-convergence theorem. Moreover, the Segal operator $\Phi(f_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t)) \equiv a_{tr}(f_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t)) + a_{tr}^*(\bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t))$ admits F_0 as a dense and invariant set of analytic vectors and is therefore e.s.a. on F_0 due to Nelson's analytic vector theorem ([21]). Linearity and Fock-space estimates imply that $\Phi(f_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t))$ converges strongly to $\Phi(f_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}))$ on F_0 . As the limiting operator is e.s.a. on F_0 , one has convergence in the strong generalized sense and can therefore apply Trotter's theorem ([21]) to prove the existence of the time-limits in (17). A similar proof allows to establish the strong convergence of $\Phi(f_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}))$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Before continuing our analysis we wish to prove the statement, made above, that without the adiabatic regularization one would only obtain weak asymptotic limits. In fact, by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma one gets the existence of the limits $w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp\infty} f_{\mathbf{p}s}^{(\epsilon=0)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = f_{\mathbf{p}s}(\mathbf{k})$ in the weak Hilbert topology, while $\|f_{\mathbf{p}s}^{(\epsilon=0)}(t) - f_{\mathbf{p}s}\|_2$ does not converge to zero for asymptotic times. Consequently, the time limits of the Segal operator considered above only exist as weak limits.

We can now introduce, for a fixed value of λ , the S -matrix

$$S_\lambda \equiv s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t, t' \rightarrow +\infty} U_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \mathscr{W} U_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t'). \quad (19)$$

In the above formula, \mathscr{W} is a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} with improper one-particle momentum eigenstates in its domain, able to connect particle states with different momenta and thus allowing for a non-trivial scattering.

In order to compare the results from the infrared diagrammatic of QED with those obtained from the expansion of Möller's operators in powers of the electric charge, it is necessary to formulate a hamiltonian model in a gauge employing four independent photon degrees of freedom, such as Feynman's gauge. With this aim, we introduce a four-vector model, demanding in particular that it should retain the approximations of the Pauli-Fierz hamiltonian.

The model is defined by the hamiltonian

$$H_\lambda^{(PFBR)} = m + \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}^2 + H_0^{e.m.} + e \tilde{v} \cdot A(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0), \quad (20)$$

with $\tilde{v}^\mu = (1 + \mathbf{v}^2/2, \mathbf{v})$, $\mathbf{v} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{p}}/m$, and will be referred to as $PFBR$ model. Its space is the tensor product $\mathcal{V}_0 \equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{G}_0$, with L^2 the single charged-particle Hilbert space and \mathcal{G}_0 an indefinite metric photon space, to be constructed below.

Since \mathbf{v} is e.s.a. on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and commutes with the hamiltonian, we search for a solution $U_{I, \mathbf{v}}(t)$ of equation (3) corresponding to the hamiltonian $H_{I, \mathbf{v}}$, obtained by decomposing (20) on the joint spectrum of the component operators of \mathbf{v} . Following an heuristic procedure, motivated by the fact that for (almost) all value of \mathbf{v} the commutator of $H_{I, \mathbf{v}}$ evaluated at different times is a multiple of the identity, we employ formula (4) and find a formal solution of equation (3)

$$U_{I, \mathbf{v}}(t) \sim \exp(-ie(a^\dagger(f_{\tilde{v}}(t)) + a(\bar{f}_{\tilde{v}}(t))))), \quad (21)$$

$$f_{\tilde{v}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{v}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{e^{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} - 1}{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (22)$$

As the space obtained by applying smeared canonical soft-photon variables to the Fock vacuum Ψ_F is only equipped with an indefinite inner product, the questions arise of how to prove the existence of the dynamics and whether it is possible to control the asymptotic limits of the evolution operator.

In the standard case, one would apply the *GNS* theorem ([23]) to the (positive and normalized) Fock functional, defined on the polynomial canonical photon algebra, and obtain a unique Hilbert space by taking completions and quotients. In the absence of positivity, the linear space \mathcal{D}_0 obtained by applying polynomials of a and a^\dagger to Ψ_F can be regarded as given by a generalized *GNS* construction over the Fock functional, acting on the polynomial \star -algebra $\mathcal{A}^{e.m.}$ generated by the canonical soft-photon variables, smeared with square-integrable functions.

Quite generally, the indefinite metric space obtained via the *GNS* procedure, starting from a non-positive functional and a \star -algebra \mathcal{A} , is neither closed nor does it admit a univocally determined closure. The fact that the (formal) solution (21) contains exponentials of the canonical variables of the soft-photon field shows on the one hand that a suitable completion of \mathcal{D}_0 is indeed needed and on the other suggests that \mathcal{D}_0 should be extended as to include the set of finite linear combinations of vectors $\Psi_{g,h}$, obtained by applying suitable exponential operators to the Fock vacuum and singled out by the condition

$$a^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{g,h} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) + i h^{\mu}(\mathbf{k})) \Psi_{g,h}, \quad (23)$$

with g^{μ}, h^{μ} real-valued square-integrable functions.

Such an extension can be obtained as follows. Let $\mathcal{A}_{ext}^{e.m.}$ be the \star -algebra generated by the canonical variables of the electromagnetic field and by variables $W(g, h)$, indexed by four-vector functions with real-valued components in L^2 and fulfilling

$$W(g, h)^{\star} = W(-g, -h), \quad W(g, h)^{\star} W(g, h) = 1, \quad (24)$$

$$W(g, h) W(l, m) = \exp(i(\langle g, m \rangle - \langle h, l \rangle)) W(l, m) W(g, h), \quad (25)$$

$$[a(\bar{f}), W(g, h)] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \langle f, n \rangle W(g, h), \quad n \equiv g + i h. \quad (26)$$

In the above formulae the symbol \star stands for the algebra's involution and

$$\langle f, g \rangle \equiv (f^0, g^0) - \sum_i (f^i, g^i). \quad (27)$$

The Fock functional is characterized by the following expectations over $\mathcal{A}_{ext}^{e.m.}$:

$$\omega_F(a(\bar{f}_1) a^{\star}(f_2)) = -\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle, \quad (28)$$

$$\omega_F(W(g, h)) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(\langle g, g \rangle + \langle h, h \rangle)\right). \quad (29)$$

In fact, expectations over monomials of a and a^* can be expressed in terms of (28) with the aid of Wick's theorem ([33]), while those over monomials of W are identified by (29) up to a phase factor, due to (25).

As an extension of \mathcal{D}_0 we consider the space \mathcal{G}_0 obtained via the GNS construction over $\omega_F(\mathcal{A}_{ext}^{e.m.})$ and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ its indefinite inner product. In what follows \mathcal{G}_0 is regarded as a topological space with the weak topology τ_w , defined by the family of seminorms $p_y(x) = |\langle y, x \rangle_0|$, with $y \in \mathcal{G}_0$. The dynamics of the model in the interaction representation is determined by an operator $U_I: \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$, such that for (almost) all \mathbf{v} $U_{I, \mathbf{v}}$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ -isometric and leaves invariant a τ_w -dense subspace of \mathcal{G}_0 on which it is weakly differentiable, with a time-derivative satisfying equation (3).

The solution (21) fulfills equation (3) in the weak topology, on the domain \mathcal{C}_0 generated by the application to the Fock vacuum of polynomials and exponentials of the photon variables, smeared by functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Such a domain is left invariant by the time-evolution and is weakly dense in \mathcal{G}_0 , as follows by applying Schwartz's inequality to the explicit expressions of the inner products. For instance, let us consider one photon states, $\psi_f \equiv a^\dagger(f) \Psi_F$. The inner product $\langle \psi_g, \psi_f \rangle_0$ can be approximated with arbitrary precision by $\langle \psi_g, \psi_s \rangle_0$, $s \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, due to the estimate

$$|\langle \psi_g, \psi_s - \psi_f \rangle_0| \leq \sum_{\mu} \|g^\mu\|_2 \|s^\mu - f^\mu\|_2 \quad (30)$$

and to the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In order to complete the proof of the density of \mathcal{C}_0 in the τ_w -topology, one can use similar estimates for the inner products between multi-photon states.

The uniqueness of the solution is then a consequence of the following

Lemma 2. *If two one-parameter groups $U(a)$ and $V(a)$, defined on a vector space endowed with an indefinite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, are $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -isometric, leave a linear subspace D stable and have the same weak derivative $-iH$ on it, they coincide on D .*

Proof. By the assumed properties of the one-parameter groups one has

$$\frac{d}{da} \langle x, V(-a) U(a) y \rangle = -i \langle V(a) x, (H - H) y \rangle = 0, \forall x, y \in D.$$

□

Proceeding as for the PFB model, we introduce the adiabatic mean and the renormalization counterterm,

$$H_{\lambda, R}^{(\epsilon)} = m + \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}^2 + H_0^{e.m.} + e \tilde{v} \cdot A(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0) e^{-\epsilon|t|} + \\ - \frac{3}{4} m z e^2 e^{-2\epsilon|t|} \equiv m + \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}^2 + H_0^{e.m.} + H_{int, R}^{(\epsilon)}, \quad (31)$$

and determine the corresponding evolution operator $U_{I, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t)$ in the interaction picture. Since the interaction hamiltonian is covariant up to the second order in the four-velocity operator of the charge, we shall omit the fourth order

contribution arising from the commutator in equation (5); the value of the commutator in such an approximation will be denoted by $\tilde{h}_z^{(\epsilon)}(t)$. For positive times, $U_{I,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t)$ is given by

$$U_{I,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \tilde{h}_z^{(\epsilon)}(t) \exp(-i e (a^\dagger (f_{\tilde{v}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)) + a (\overline{f_{\tilde{v}}^{(\epsilon)}}(t))))), \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_z^{(\epsilon)}(t) \equiv & \exp\left(-\frac{i e^2}{2} d^{(\epsilon)}(t)\right) \times \\ & \times \exp\left(-3 i e^2 m z \frac{e^{-2\epsilon t} - 1}{8\epsilon}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$f_{\tilde{v},\mu}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{v}_\mu}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{e^{(i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon) t} - 1}{i \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon}. \quad (34)$$

In order to obtain the results holding for $t < 0$, one has to replace ϵ by $-\epsilon$ in the expressions above.

The asymptotic limits of the evolution operator are given by the following

Proposition 1. *The large-time limits and the adiabatic limit of the evolution operator (32) exist on \mathcal{V}_0 and define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ -isometric Möller operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\lambda)} &= \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} U_{I,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(-t) = \\ &= \tilde{h}_{\mp,z} \exp(i e [a^\dagger (f_{\tilde{v}}) + a (\overline{f_{\tilde{v}}})]), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{\mp,z} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} \tilde{h}_z^{(\epsilon)}(t) = 1 + O(\mathbf{v}^2), \quad (36)$$

$$f_{\tilde{v}}^\mu(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{v}^\mu}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{i}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (37)$$

Proof. By equations (25)-(29) and by estimates as (30), the strong convergence of the coherence functions (34) in L^2 implies the weak convergence for the corresponding expectations on \mathcal{G}_0 of polynomials and exponentials of the smeared soft-photon variables. \square

Since \mathcal{G}_0 is *not* a complete space with respect to the topology τ_w , one has to give the limiting vector and then to control the convergence; this is possible since the model is solvable. Moreover, the property of $\Omega_{\pm}^{(\lambda)}$ of preserving the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ has to be checked explicitly; in fact, since the Möller operators are defined as weak limits on \mathcal{G}_0 , such a property does not follow from the fact that the evolution operator is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ -isometric.

We can now define the scattering operator

$$S_\lambda^{(PFBR)} = \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t, t' \rightarrow +\infty} U_{I,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \mathcal{W} U_{I,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t'). \quad (38)$$

In (38), \mathcal{W} is an isometric operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{G}_0$, playing the same role as in (19); in the comparison with the diagrammatic expansion, its matrix

elements are interpreted as the non-infrared contributions to the corresponding scattering process.

The expansion in powers of the electric charge of suitable matrix elements of the Möller operators of the *PFBR* model can be shown to reproduce qualitatively the infrared contributions of Dyson's power series, under the dipole approximation and in the non-relativistic limit. For instance, consider the transition amplitude for the scattering between two single-particle states ψ_v and $\psi_{v'}$, without external (massive) photons,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\lambda^{(PFBR)})_{v',v} &\equiv \langle \Omega_-^{(\lambda)} (\psi_{v'} \otimes \Psi_F), \mathscr{W} \Omega_+^{(\lambda)} (\psi_v \otimes \Psi_F) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \Omega_{-, \mathbf{v}'}^{(\lambda)} \Psi_F, \Omega_{+, \mathbf{v}}^{(\lambda)} \Psi_F \rangle \mathscr{W}_{v',v}, \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{+, \mathbf{v}}^{(\lambda)}$ is obtained from the spectral decomposition of $\Omega_+^{(\lambda)}$ with respect to the operator \mathbf{v} . The radiative soft-photon corrections to the basic process are reproduced by the term in brackets in the second line of equation (39). In particular, the exponentiation of the low-energy radiative corrections turns out to be a consequence of equation (4) and the compatibility of the dipole approximation with the renormalization procedure is displayed non-perturbatively. However, by a direct calculation one can check that contributions from unphysical polarizations occur in the (infrared-regularized) transition amplitudes.

The relevant point is that such a result depends neither on the approximation introduced in the interaction hamiltonian nor on that adopted in the computation of the evolution operator (32), but is rather a difficulty common to all four-vector gauge quantizations in the presence of the electric dipole approximation. In order to clarify this issue, first we stress that such an approximation requires the transversality of the electron current and thus prevents the local conservation of the electric charge in a gauge involving four independent photon degrees of freedom. Then, the standard argument explaining the cancellation of the unphysical contributions to Feynman's amplitudes can no longer be applied because it is based on the free-field character of $\partial \cdot A$, which in turn relies on the validity of the continuity equation.

Furthermore, one can verify that the lack of local charge-conservation of the *PFBR* model yields indeed infrared effects. As a matter of fact, the power series expansion of Dyson's S -matrix elements for, say, the scattering of an electron by a potential, contains powers of the scalar photon contribution $(\frac{p'^0}{p' \cdot k} - \frac{p^0}{p \cdot k})^2 \simeq \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2} [(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}]^2$; since such terms do not appear in the presence of the dipole approximation, residual contributions from longitudinal photons occur in the transition amplitudes.

Therefore, the dipole approximation does not allow to fully reproduce the results obtained in the perturbative-theoretic treatment of the infrared divergences. The analysis carried out so far will nonetheless prove useful; in fact, the methods and the procedures upon which it is based will be also employed in the investigation of the models that will be introduced in the next section.

2 Bloch-Nordsieck Models

In the present section we introduce hamiltonian models based on an approximation first devised by Bloch and Nordsieck. Such an approximation amounts to a first-order expansion around a fixed four-momentum of each charge, with respect to the energy-momentum transfer.

Consider the one-particle Dirac hamiltonian with minimal coupling,

$$H = \alpha \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{p}} - e \mathbf{A}) + \beta m + e A^0 \equiv H_D - e \alpha \cdot \mathbf{A} + e A^0, \quad (40)$$

and an eigenstate $\psi_{+,p}(x) = e^{-i p \cdot x} u_r(\mathbf{p})$ of H_D with momentum \mathbf{p} and positive energy $E_{\mathbf{p}}$, $u_r(\mathbf{p})$ being a spinor with elicity r . Let $u_r(\mathbf{p}) = u_r(\mathbf{p}_0) + O(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0)$; by the algebraic relations of Dirac's matrices one finds

$$\begin{aligned} H_D \psi_{0,p}(x) &= [\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2} m] \psi_{0,p}(x) + \\ &+ O(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0), \psi_{0,p}(x) \equiv e^{-i p \cdot x} u_r(\mathbf{p}_0), \mathbf{v} \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}_0} / \mathbf{p}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The \mathbf{v} -dependent terms on the first line of (41) could also be obtained by formally replacing the matrices α and β in H_D respectively by the (diagonal in the spinor indices) matrices \mathbf{v} and $\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2}$. Even if this result may seem to rely on the linearity of H_D with respect to the α matrices, it is indeed more general; for instance, it would also be obtained by a similar expansion starting from the eigenvalue equation for the Klein-Gordon hamiltonian.

According to the above discussion, we introduce the models defined by the hamiltonians, respectively in the Coulomb-gauge and in the *FGB* gauge,

$$H_\lambda^{(\mathbf{v})} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + H_{0,tr}^{e.m.} - e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{tr}(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv H_0^{(\mathbf{v})} + H_{int}^{(\mathbf{v})}, \quad (42)$$

$$H_\lambda^{(v)} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + H_0^{e.m.} + e v \cdot A(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv H_0^{(v)} + H_{int}^{(v)}. \quad (43)$$

with $v \equiv (1, \mathbf{v})$. The e.m. potentials occurring in (42), (43) will be interpreted as describing soft-photon degrees of freedom. Moreover, \mathbf{v} is a self-adjoint operator, commuting with the Weyl algebra \mathcal{A}_{ch} generated by the canonical variables of the electron and with the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}_{soft}^{e.m.}$ generated by the soft-photon canonical variables, to be identified as the observable corresponding to the asymptotic velocity of the particle. Let α_s , $s \in \mathbb{R}^3$, be the one-parameter group of *-automorphisms governing the time evolution of the algebra of observables in the interaction picture; for a positive time t , we shall write for brevity $\alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{soft}) \equiv \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{ch}) \cup \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{soft}^{e.m.})$.

First we consider the model formulated in the Coulomb-gauge. The Hilbert space of states corresponding to an irreducible representation of $\alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{soft})$ is $\mathcal{H}_+ \equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbf{v}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F}$, with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbf{v}_+)$ the space spanned by the one charged-particle states, indexed by the constant value \mathbf{v}_+ taken by \mathbf{v} in the chosen representation; with an obvious change of notation, \mathcal{H}_- is the carrier of an irreducible representation of $\alpha_{-t}(\mathcal{A}_{soft})$.

Since for \mathbf{v} a multiple of the identity the hamiltonian (42) is e.s.a. on $D = \sum_{n < +\infty} \phi_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \psi^{(n)}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\psi^{(n)} \in S_n \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{S}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the existence of the dynamics follows by Stone's theorem. The equations of

the motion in the interaction representation can still be solved with the aid of formula (4). One gets

$$\mathcal{U}_{I, tr, \lambda}(t) = c_1(t) \exp(i e (a_{tr}^*(f_{\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t)) + a_{tr}(\overline{f_{\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t)}))), \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(t) &= \exp\left(\frac{i e^2 \mathbf{v}^2}{3} d_1(t)\right), \\ d_1(t) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} v \cdot \mathbf{k}} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}) \left(t - \frac{\sin v \cdot \mathbf{k} t}{v \cdot \mathbf{k}}\right), \\ f_{\mathbf{v} s \hat{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{k}, t) &= e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k}) \frac{e^{i v \cdot \mathbf{k} t} - 1}{i v \cdot \mathbf{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

With the same motivations and following the same treatment as in the first section, we introduce the adiabatic renormalized hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda, R}^{(\mathbf{v}), (\epsilon)} &= H_0^{(\mathbf{v})} + H_{int}^{(\mathbf{v})} e^{-\epsilon |t|} + \\ &+ e^2 z_1(v) \mathbf{v}^2 e^{-2 \epsilon |t|} \equiv H_0^{(\mathbf{v})} + H_{int, R}^{(\mathbf{v}), (\epsilon)}, \quad (45) \end{aligned}$$

$$z_1(v) = \frac{1}{3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k})}{v \cdot \mathbf{k}}. \quad (46)$$

By applying formula (4), one obtains the corresponding evolution operator in the interaction representation; for positive times

$$\mathcal{U}_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) = h_{z_1}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \exp(i e (a_{tr}^*(f_{\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)) + a_{tr}(\overline{f_{\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)}))), \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{z_1}^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= \exp\left(\frac{i e^2 \mathbf{v}^2}{3} d_1^{(\epsilon)}(t)\right) \times \\ &\times \exp\left(i e^2 z_1(v) \mathbf{v}^2 \frac{e^{-2 \epsilon t} - 1}{2 \epsilon}\right), \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= - \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} ((v \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 + \epsilon^2)} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}) \left(e^{-\epsilon t} \sin v \cdot \mathbf{k} t + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{v \cdot \mathbf{k}}{2 \epsilon} (e^{-2 \epsilon t} - 1)\right), \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{\mathbf{v} s \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{k}, t) = e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k}) \frac{e^{i v \cdot \mathbf{k} t - \epsilon t} - 1}{i v \cdot \mathbf{k} - \epsilon}. \quad (50)$$

The results for $t < 0$ are obtained by replacing ϵ with $-\epsilon$ in the expressions above. One can then prove the following

Lemma 3. *The evolution operator in the interaction representation corresponding to the hamiltonian (45), with the coefficient of the counterterm given*

by (46), admits well-defined asymptotic limits, yielding the Møller operators in the Coulomb-gauge

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\lambda)} &= s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} \mathcal{U}_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(-t) = \\ &= \exp(-i e \sum_s [a_s^*(f_{\mathbf{v} \mp s \hat{\mathbf{x}}}) + a_s(\overline{f_{\mathbf{v} \mp s \hat{\mathbf{x}}})]), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$f_{\mathbf{v} s \hat{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{i \mathbf{v} \cdot \epsilon_s(\mathbf{k})}{v \cdot k}. \quad (52)$$

The $t \rightarrow +\infty$ limit in (51) exists in the strong topology of \mathcal{H}_+ , and likewise for $t \rightarrow -\infty$ one has strong convergence on \mathcal{H}_- .

Proof. As for lemma 1. □

In the sequel we shall also consider representations of the algebras \mathcal{A}_{out} (\mathcal{A}_{in}) generated by the variables $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{out}$ ($\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{in}$) $\equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and \mathbf{v} and by the asymptotic soft-photon variables in the interaction picture.

The scattering operator of the model is defined as

$$S_{\lambda} = s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t, t' \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{U}_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \mathcal{W} \mathcal{U}_{I, tr, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t'), \quad (53)$$

with \mathcal{W} a unitary operator with one-particle states of definite \mathbf{v} in its domain, able to intertwine between (irreducible) representations of \mathcal{A}_{in} and \mathcal{A}_{out} with different values of \mathbf{v} .

We shall now turn to the analysis of the model defined by the hamiltonian (43), which will be also referred to as four-vector *BN* model. Concerning the problems posed by the absence of a positive scalar product, we refer to the discussion made in the first section. With the aid of formula (4), one can determine a formal solution for the evolution operator in the interaction representation:

$$\mathcal{U}_I(t) = c_2(t) \exp(-i e (a^\dagger(f_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t)) + a(\overline{f_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t)}))), \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{i e^2 v^2}{2} d_1(t)\right), \\ f_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^\mu(\mathbf{k}, t) &= e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) v^\mu}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{e^{i v \cdot k t} - 1}{i v \cdot k}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove its existence and uniqueness, it is necessary to construct a suitable space.

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{ext} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{ch} \cup \mathcal{A}_{soft, ext}$, with \mathcal{A}_{ch} the Weyl algebra of the charge and $\mathcal{A}_{soft, ext}$ the algebra generated by the canonical soft-photon variables and by variables $W(g_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}, h_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}})$, indexed by four-vector functions

$$g_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) f(\mathbf{k}), \quad h_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv -\sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) f(\mathbf{k}), \quad (55)$$

with f^μ real-valued and squared-integrable, and fulfilling equations (24)-(26). The reason why we allow for smearing functions of the form (55) is that we need to construct a stable space with respect to the evolution operator (54).

In order to define the space of the model, we perform the *GNS* construction over $\omega_F(\mathcal{A}_{ext})$ and single out the spaces \mathcal{R}_\pm of the representations obtained by central decomposition with respect to the variable \mathbf{v} and corresponding respectively to the values $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_\pm$. Such spaces can be written as tensor products $\mathcal{R}_\pm = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbf{v}_\pm) \otimes \mathcal{G}_\pm$, with \mathcal{G}_\pm linear spaces endowed with an indefinite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In what follows we regard \mathcal{G}_\pm as topological spaces with the weak topology τ_w , defined by the seminorms $p_y(x) = |\langle y, x \rangle|$, $y \in \mathcal{G}_\pm$.

The dynamics in the interaction representation is determined for $t > 0$ by an operator $\mathcal{U}_I : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+$, whose restriction to \mathcal{G}_+ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -isometric and leaves invariant a τ_w -dense subspace of \mathcal{G}_+ on which it is weakly differentiable, with a time-derivative satisfying equation (3). The existence and uniqueness of the evolution operator can be proved as for the *PFBR* model; a (formal) solution is determined with the aid of formula (4) and its uniqueness follows by the existence of the time-derivative on a weakly dense subset of \mathcal{G}_+ and by Lemma 2. For $t < 0$, the same results hold for $\mathcal{U}_I : \mathcal{R}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_-$.

In order to construct Møller operators, we introduce the renormalized hamiltonian, in the presence of the adiabatic approximation,

$$H_{\lambda, R}^{(v), (\epsilon)} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + H_{0, \lambda}^{e, m.} + e v \cdot A(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) e^{-\epsilon |t|} + e^2 z_2(v) v^2 e^{-2\epsilon |t|} = H_{0, \lambda}^{(v)} + H_{int, R}^{(v), (\epsilon)}, \quad (56)$$

$$z_2(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k})}{v \cdot \mathbf{k}} = \frac{3}{2} z_1(v). \quad (57)$$

For positive times, the corresponding evolution operator in the interaction representation is

$$\mathcal{U}_{I, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) = h_{z_2}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \exp(i e (a^\dagger(f_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)) + a(\overline{F}_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon)}(t)))), \quad (58)$$

$$h_{z_2}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \equiv \exp\left(-\frac{i e^2 v^2}{2} d_1^{(\epsilon)}(t)\right) \times \exp\left(-i e^2 z_2(v) v^2 \frac{e^{-2\epsilon t} - 1}{2\epsilon}\right), \quad (59)$$

$$f_{v \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\epsilon), \mu}(\mathbf{k}, t) = e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) v^\mu}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{e^{i v \cdot \mathbf{k} t - \epsilon t} - 1}{i v \cdot \mathbf{k} - \epsilon}. \quad (60)$$

The results holding for $t < 0$ are obtained by replacing ϵ by $-\epsilon$ in the expressions above. The asymptotic limits are controlled in the following

Proposition 2. *Both the large-time limits and the adiabatic limit of the evolution operator (58), defining the Møller operators of the four-vector BN model, exist and are given by*

$$\Omega_\pm^{(\lambda)} \equiv \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} \mathcal{U}_{I, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(-t) \equiv \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Omega_{\pm, \epsilon}^{(\lambda)} = \exp(i e [a^\dagger(f_{v \mp \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\lambda)}) + a(\overline{F}_{v \mp \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^{(\lambda)})]), \quad (61)$$

$$f_{v \mp \hat{\mathbf{x}}}^\mu(\mathbf{k}, t) = e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) v_\mp^\mu}{\sqrt{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{i}{v_\mp \cdot \mathbf{k}}. \quad (62)$$

The weak limit for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ($t \rightarrow -\infty$) is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -isometric on \mathcal{R}_+ (\mathcal{R}_-).

Proof. As for Proposition 1. \square

We can now introduce the scattering operator of the four-vector BN model,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda^{(FGB)} &= \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t, t' \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{U}_{I, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t) \mathcal{W} \mathcal{U}_{I, \lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(t') \equiv \\ &\equiv \tau_w - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S_{\lambda, (\epsilon)}^{(FGB)}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

with \mathcal{W} an isometric operator with one-particle states in its domain, able to intertwine between (irreducible) representations of \mathcal{A}_{in} and \mathcal{A}_{out} labeled by different values of v , and thus allowing to get non-vanishing transition amplitudes. In the comparison of (63) with the Feynman-Dyson expansion, the matrix elements of \mathcal{W} will be interpreted as yielding the infrared-finite contributions, obtained by factoring out the YFS exponentials from the scattering amplitudes.

3 Hamiltonian Control Of The Infrared Contributions

This section is devoted to the proof that the Möller operators of the four-vector BN model reproduce the YFS infrared factors and to the hamiltonian formulation of the recipes leading to infrared-finite inclusive cross-sections. It is important to recall that the perturbative calculations are performed in the interaction representation, in the presence of an infrared cutoff, and that the Fock property of the state of the incoming photon field is required to hold also after the removal of the regularization.

For definiteness, we consider as a basic process $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ the scattering of an electron by a potential and suppose that the incoming (outgoing) particle state is described by a plane wave, with four-velocity v (v'). In the sequel, the symbol Λ will stand for an energy scale conventionally dividing the soft photons from the high-energy photons; accordingly, the subspaces of \mathcal{F} spanned by the photon states of energy below and above Λ will be denoted respectively by \mathcal{F}_{soft} and \mathcal{F}_{hard} .

The process $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is supposed not to involve low-energy photons, while it may possibly involve hard photons. We denote by $\eta_{e.m.}^{in}$ ($\eta_{e.m.}^{out}$) the state of the incoming (outgoing) e.m. field and by $\xi_{e.m.}^{in}$ ($\xi_{e.m.}^{out}$) its restriction to \mathcal{F}_{hard} ; under the above assumptions, $\eta_{e.m.}^{in(out)} = \Psi_F \otimes \xi_{e.m.}^{in(out)}$, with Ψ_F the vacuum vector of \mathcal{F}_{soft} .

The isometric operator \mathcal{W} in (63) will be interpreted as the hard-photon part of the scattering operator, hence it is supposed to act as the identity operator on \mathcal{F}_{soft} ; moreover, the same property is assumed to hold for the restrictions of $\Omega_\pm^{(\lambda)}$ to \mathcal{F}_{hard} . The transition amplitude for the reaction $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is therefore given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\beta \alpha} \equiv \langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \xi_{e.m.}^{out}, \mathcal{W} (\psi_v \otimes \xi_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle. \quad (64)$$

First of all we wish to show that the IR radiative corrections to the basic process are reproduced by the contributions of the Möller operators to the matrix element

$$(S_{\lambda}^{(FGB)})_{\beta\alpha} = \langle \Omega_{-}^{(\lambda)}(\psi_{v'} \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out}), \mathcal{W} \Omega_{+}^{(\lambda)}(\psi_v \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle, \quad (65)$$

given by

$$\langle \Omega_{-,v'}^{(\lambda)} \Psi_F, \Psi_F \rangle \langle \Psi_F, \Omega_{+,v}^{(\lambda)} \Psi_F \rangle \exp(e^2 [a(\bar{f}_{v'\hat{x}}), a^\dagger(f_{v\hat{x}})]). \quad (66)$$

As a matter of fact, the last term of (66) provides the corrections due to soft photons emitted and absorbed from different charged lines; by explicit computation, it equals the perturbative result, summed to all orders:

$$\exp(e^2 v \cdot v' \int \frac{d^3k}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k}) \frac{i}{-v \cdot k} \frac{i}{-v' \cdot k}). \quad (67)$$

Moreover, the expressions in brackets in (66) yield residual infrared contributions, due to renormalization. In particular, the first (second) expression is related to the infrared-singular part of the wave-function renormalization constant relative to the outgoing (incoming) external fermion leg; for instance, the first term in brackets equals

$$\sqrt{Z_{2,IR,\lambda}(v')} = \exp\left(-\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{IR,\lambda}^{(\epsilon)}(v')}{\partial(i\epsilon)} \Big|_{\epsilon=0}\right). \quad (68)$$

Equation (68) yields the non-perturbative relation between the IR contribution $Z_{2,IR,\lambda}(v')$ to the wave-function renormalization constant relative to the outgoing leg and the dominant low-energy contribution $\Sigma_{IR,\lambda}(v')$ to the radiative correction from the second-order electron self-energy part on the same leg. In this respect, we recall that an infrared-singular term as (68) arises from renormalization in the FGB gauge, for each external fermion line, whenever on-shell renormalization conditions are imposed. As it can be inferred from the analyses carried out in References [7, 8], it is non-trivial to obtain such a result in an order-by-order diagrammatic treatment.

The hamiltonian expression of the amplitude for the reaction $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, including the soft-photon radiative corrections, is therefore

$$\langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out}, S_{\lambda}^{(FGB)}(\psi_v \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle = \mathcal{W}_{\beta\alpha} \times \exp\left(\frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{j,l=1}^2 \eta_j \eta_l v_j \cdot v_l \int \frac{d^3k}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k})}{v_j \cdot k v_l \cdot k}\right), \quad (69)$$

with $v_1 \equiv v$, $v_2 \equiv v'$, $\eta_2 = 1 = -\eta_1$.

We can now turn to the examination of the effects due to the emission of low-energy radiation. The corrections to the basic process due to the emission of n soft photons with polarization indices $\mu_1 \dots \mu_n$ and four-momenta $k_1 \dots k_n$

from, say, the outgoing fermion line are given, for a fixed ϵ , by the matrix element

$$\langle \Omega_{-, v', \epsilon}^{(\lambda)} \Psi_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}, \Psi_F \rangle = \Pi_{j=1}^n \frac{e \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_j)}{\sqrt{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}_j}}} \frac{v'{}^{\mu_j} e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j}}{-v' \cdot \mathbf{k}_j - i \epsilon}. \quad (70)$$

The transition amplitude for the emission of n soft photons in the process $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{W}_{\beta \alpha}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} &\equiv \langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \Psi_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \otimes \xi_{e.m.}^{out}, S_{\lambda, (\epsilon)}^{(FGB)}(\psi_v \otimes \Psi_F \otimes \xi_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle = \\ &= \mathscr{W}_{\beta \alpha} \Pi_{j=1}^n \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k}_j)}{\sqrt{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}_j}}} \sum_{l=1}^2 \frac{\eta_l e v_l^{\mu_j}}{-v_l \cdot \mathbf{k}_j - i \eta_l \epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The last important result of the analysis of the infrared diagrammatic that we have to reproduce is the occurrence of infrared-divergent phases in the transition amplitude for a process described by the sum of one-particle hamiltonians, with at least two charged particles in either the initial or the final state and with asymptotic dynamics supposed to be governed by the sum of free hamiltonians.

In order to recover the phases arising from, say, n electrons in the final state of a certain process, we first evaluate $\omega_F(\otimes_{i=1}^n \Omega_{-, \epsilon, i}^{(\lambda) \dagger})$, with $\Omega_{-, \epsilon, i}^{(\lambda)}$ the Möller operator relative to the charge i , for a fixed ϵ . In particular, we obtain the term

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(-\frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{j < l = 2}^n v_j \cdot v_l \int \frac{d^3 k}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - (\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{k})^2} \times \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_l)} \tilde{\rho}^2(\mathbf{k})}{(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_l) \cdot \mathbf{k} - 2 i \epsilon} \right) + O(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

and, by integrating over the directions of the photon momentum \mathbf{k} ,

$$\exp \left(-\frac{i e^2}{2} \sum_{j < l = 2}^n \frac{1}{4 \pi \beta_{j l}} \int_0^{k_{max}} d|\mathbf{k}| \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \lambda^2} \right), \quad (73)$$

with $\beta_{j l}$ the relative four-velocity of the charges j and l in the rest frame of either. In order to employ covariance in the calculation, the form factor $\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{k})$ occurring in (72) has been replaced by a covariant ultraviolet cutoff, indicated by k_{max} . The exponent in (73) yields the sum of infrared-divergent phases, one for each couple of outgoing electrons, that is obtained within the perturbative framework from the evaluation of the corresponding Feynman amplitude.

We can also express in operatorial terms the prescriptions yielding infrared-finite inclusive cross-sections. Let E be the threshold energy of the photon detectors and assume that the experimental arrangement is such that not more than a total energy E_T goes into unobserved photons. The expression for the inclusive cross-section is given by (equation (13.3.11) in [7])

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha \rightarrow \beta; E, E_T) &= b(E/E_T; A_{v'v}) \Gamma_{\beta \alpha}(E) = \\ &= b(E/E_T; A_{v'v}) \left(\frac{E}{\Lambda} \right)^{A_{v'v}} \Gamma_{\beta \alpha}(\Lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}(E)$ is the transition rate for the process $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ involving the corrections due to virtual soft-photons with energy above E , $A_{v',v}$ is a positive function of the four-velocities of the charges and b is a kinematical contribution, due to the constraint on E_T , whose maximum value is $b_{max} = 1 = b(0; 0)$.

In order to recover equation (74) within the hamiltonian framework, first we introduce further notations. We indicate respectively by $\mathcal{F}_<$ and $\mathcal{F}_>$ the subspaces of \mathcal{F}_{soft} spanned by the real photons of mass λ , with energy below and above E , omitting the λ -dependence. The restriction of Ω_{\pm} to $\mathcal{F}_<$ ($\mathcal{F}_>$) is denoted by $\Omega_{\pm}^{(<)} (\Omega_{\pm}^{(>)})$ and the symbol $\chi_{\pm, v}^{(<)} (\bar{\chi}_{\pm, v}^{(<)})$ stands for the coherent state $\Omega_{\pm, v, \lambda}^{(<)} \Psi_0 (\Omega_{\pm, v, \lambda}^{\dagger (<)} \Psi_0)$, with Ψ_0 the vacuum state of $\mathcal{F}_<$. The restriction of $\eta_{e.m.}^{out(in)}$ to the subspace $\mathcal{F}_> \otimes \mathcal{F}_{hard}$ spanned by the photons with energy above E is denoted by $\eta_{e.m.}^{out(in)(>)}$.

The S -matrix operator including the corrections due to the hard photons and to the soft photons with energy above E is $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(E) \equiv \Omega_-^{\dagger (>)} \mathcal{W} \Omega_+^{(>)}$. For a fixed value of λ one evaluates integrals “over the phase space of the unobserved photons”, which will be henceforth written as sums over the states e_k of a basis of $\mathcal{F}_<$, with the primed summation \sum'_k denoting that the constraint on E_T is taken into account.

By evaluating the absolute value squared of (69) we obtain the infrared-regularized transition rate involving the soft-photon radiative corrections:

$$|\langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out}, S_{\lambda}^{(FGB)} (\psi_v \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle|^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda}\right)^{A_{v'v}} |\mathcal{W}_{\beta\alpha}|^2. \quad (75)$$

Taking into account that the contribution of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(E)$ to a given scattering matrix element can be computed simply by replacing, in the explicit expression for the matrix element, the infrared cutoff λ with the effective cutoff E , we find that the term $\Gamma_{\beta\alpha}(E)$ on the right hand side of (74), which constitutes the bulk of the inclusive cross-section, is reproduced by

$$|\langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out(>)}, \overline{\mathcal{W}}(E) (\psi_v \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in(>)}) \rangle|^2 = \left(\frac{E}{\Lambda}\right)^{A_{v'v}} |\mathcal{W}_{\beta\alpha}|^2. \quad (76)$$

This result implies that *the finiteness of the inclusive cross-sections is a consequence of the exponentiation of the infrared contributions.*

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} b(E/E_T; A_{\beta\alpha}) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum'_k |\langle \Omega_{-, v', \lambda}^{(<)} e_k, \Omega_{+, v, \lambda}^{(<)} \Psi_0 \rangle|^2 = \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{N}_{E, E_T} Tr(\rho_{E, E_T} P_{\Xi_{v'v}^{(<)}(\lambda)}). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

In the second line of the above equation, which yields the operatorial expression of the contribution due to the constraint on E_T , there appear the density matrix $\rho_{E, E_T} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{E, E_T}^{-1} \sum'_k P_{e_k}$, with \mathcal{N}_{E, E_T} a normalization factor, and the projection operator on the vector $\Xi_{v'v}^{(<)}(\lambda) \equiv \Omega_{-, v', \lambda}^{\dagger (<)} \chi_{+, v}^{(<)}(\lambda)$. It may be useful to point out that although such a term has to be taken into account in order to reproduce quantitatively the experimental data, it does not play a relevant role from a theoretical point of view.

The limitations of the perturbative recipes lay in the fact that if wave packets are employed in the description of the charged particle states, *even* the inclusive cross-sections vanish in the infrared limit, under the same hypothesis for the representation of the e.m. field. We prove this statement for a particular process; in order to establish the same result for any given process a simple generalization of the proof given in the following is required.

We consider an incoming wave-packet $\phi = \int d^3 v \phi(\mathbf{v}) \psi_v$ and allow for a final state described in terms of a density matrix on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathcal{F}_<$. The representation of the *in* photon field is assumed to be Fock, also in the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit; in particular, without loss of generality we suppose that both the incoming photons and the outgoing detected photons are described by the same states as in (75). The corresponding inclusive cross-section is given for fixed λ by

$$\sum_k' \int d^3 v' |\zeta(\mathbf{v}')|^2 |\langle \psi_{v'} \otimes e_k \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out(>)}, S_\lambda^{(FGB)}(\phi \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in}) \rangle|^2 \quad (78)$$

and its behaviour for small λ is governed by the term

$$Tr(\rho_{E, E_T} P_{\Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}}(\lambda)), \quad (79)$$

with $\Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}(\lambda) \equiv \Omega_{-, v', \lambda}^{\dagger(<)} \int d^3 v \phi(\mathbf{v}) \chi_{+, v}^{(<)}(\lambda)$.

We can easily show that (79) approaches zero for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and therefore that the expression (78) vanishes in the same limit. It is enough to prove the statement for the upper bound of (79) given by $\lim_{E_T \rightarrow \infty} Tr(\rho_{E, E_T} P_{\Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}}(\lambda))$. Taking into account that for $E_T \rightarrow \infty$ the density matrix ρ_{E, E_T} approaches the identity operator on $\mathcal{F}_<$ and that for the inner product between coherent states one has

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \langle \chi_{+, w}^{(<)}(\lambda), \chi_{+, v}^{(<)}(\lambda) \rangle = 0, \quad v \neq w, \quad (80)$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lim_{E_T \rightarrow \infty} Tr(\rho_{E, E_T} P_{\Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}}(\lambda)) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}(\lambda), \Phi_{v'\phi}^{(<)}(\lambda) \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int d^3 v d^3 w \phi(\mathbf{v}) \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{w}) \langle \chi_{+, w}^{(<)}(\lambda), \chi_{+, v}^{(<)}(\lambda) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

The vanishing of the inclusive cross-section can be understood in terms of the superselection of the asymptotic particle momenta. In fact, by solving the Heisenberg equations of the model for fixed λ , one can check that the standard assumption that the Fock property of the incoming photon field also holds for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ leads, in the same limit, to a non-Fock representation of the e.m. field at finite times, indexed by the four-momentum p_{in} of the charge. The superselection of p_{in} then follows by an argument as that devised and exploited in [11] and [16]; therefore, interference terms between different momentum components of a wave packet cannot contribute to the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit of (78).

Accordingly, we prove below that in order to obtain an infrared-finite inclusive cross-section it is necessary to choose suitable non-Fock (coherent) representations of the low-energy modes of the incoming e.m. field. With the same assumptions for the final state, we perform the calculations for an *in* state whose restriction to $L^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_<$ is given by

$$\Phi^{(<)}(\lambda) \equiv \int d^3v \phi(\mathbf{v}) \psi_v \otimes \bar{\chi}_{+,v}^{(<)}(\lambda). \quad (82)$$

We can suppose, without losing generality, that the incoming photons with energy above E are described by the state $\eta_{e.m.}^{in(>)}$. Under these hypotheses, the inclusive cross-section has the same behaviour, for small λ , as the expression obtained by replacing $\Phi_{v',\phi}^{(<)}(\lambda)$ with $\Psi_{v',\phi}^{(<)}(\lambda) \equiv \int d^3v \phi(\mathbf{v}) \bar{\chi}_{-,v'}^{(<)}(\lambda)$ in (79). Note that the previously considered upper bound has now the non-vanishing limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lim_{E_T \rightarrow \infty} Tr(\rho_{E,E_T} P_{\Psi_{v',\phi}^{(<)}}(\lambda)) = \int d^3v d^3w \phi(\mathbf{v}) \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{w}). \quad (83)$$

For the cross-section one obtains indeed the infrared-finite result

$$\int d^3v' |\zeta(\mathbf{v}')|^2 |\langle \psi_{v'} \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{out(>)}, \overline{\mathcal{W}}(E)(\phi \otimes \eta_{e.m.}^{in(>)}) \rangle|^2 \times \\ \times \mathcal{N}_{E,E_T} Tr(\rho_{E,E_T} P_{\bar{\chi}_{-,v'}^{(<)}}), \quad (84)$$

with $\bar{\chi}_{-,v'}^{(<)} \equiv \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \bar{\chi}_{-,v'}^{(<)}(\lambda)$.

We stress that while the term (79) depends both on the incoming wave packet and on the four-velocity v' of the outgoing particle, the second line of (84) only depends on v' . As a result, the overall expression turns out to be an integral over the final states of the absolute value squared of an infrared-finite amplitude; hence, as we will check below, one can obtain an amplitude free of *IR* divergences for the transition between the same initial state and a suitably chosen final (coherent) state.

Since hereafter we do not consider inclusive cross-sections, the symbol $<$ will no longer denote quantities related to the undetected photons but will refer to the overall soft-photon contributions. By the separation of the infrared factors we can then write $\bar{\chi}_{\pm,v}(\lambda) \equiv \bar{\chi}_{\pm,v}^{(<)}(\lambda) = \Omega_{\pm,v,\lambda}^{\dagger(<)} \Psi_F$.

Let ϕ and ξ be the initial and final particle wave-packet states; it is straightforward to check that transition amplitudes of the form

$$\langle \Xi^{(<)}(\lambda) \otimes \beta_{>}, S_{\lambda}^{(FGB)}(\Phi^{(<)}(\lambda) \otimes \alpha_{>}) \rangle, \quad (85)$$

with $\Phi^{(<)}(\lambda)$ given by (82), $\Xi^{(<)}(\lambda) \equiv \int d^3v \xi(\mathbf{v}) \psi_v \otimes \bar{\chi}_{-,v}(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_{>}, \beta_{>}$ hard-photon states, admit a finite and non-vanishing infrared limit. As matter of fact, it follows from (63) that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \langle \Xi^{(<)}(\lambda) \otimes \beta_{>}, S_{\lambda}^{(FGB)}(\Phi^{(<)}(\lambda) \otimes \alpha_{>}) \rangle = \\ = \langle \xi \otimes \beta_{>}, \mathcal{W}(\phi \otimes \alpha_{>}) \rangle. \quad (86)$$

Therefore, the representations of the photon field required to obtain finite inclusive cross-sections in the presence of wave-packets allow indeed for finite transition amplitudes, which are expressed as matrix elements of the hard-photon scattering operator \mathscr{W} . The latter can then be identified with Chung's scattering matrix; as a matter of fact, the main result of the approach pursued in [10] is that it is possible to obtain infrared-finite transition amplitudes between suitable coherent scattering states.

We wish to remark that it is possible to carry out an analysis of the main properties of the four-vector BN model, independently of a comparison with the standard approach, by dropping the adiabatic approximation and the infrared cutoff and by employing the Heisenberg representation ([28]).

Within this framework, one can consider the algebra of observables, obeying the relativistically covariant Heisenberg equations of the model, and construct its Haag-Ruelle ([30]) asymptotic limits. In particular, the automorphism between the *in* and *out* algebras, defining the scattering matrix for $\lambda = 0$, turns out to be the limit of a one-parameter group of automorphisms constructed in terms of the family of infrared-regularized scattering matrices (63). Moreover, it is implementable, uniquely up to an element of the commutant of the algebra generated by the asymptotic vector potential, and an implementer can be shown to be the operator \mathscr{W} . Finally, we point out that the identification of \mathscr{W} as Chung's S -matrix agrees with the aforementioned results; in fact, Chung's approach is based on the decomposition of asymptotic states as coherent states indexed by the asymptotic momenta in the Gupta-Bleuler formulation.

Acknowledgments

The approach developed in this paper is essentially based on preliminary work on solvable hamiltonian models by Giovanni Morchio and Franco Strocchi, devoted to a better understanding of the role of local and covariant formulations in the treatment of the infrared problem in Quantum Electrodynamics. I would like to thank Giovanni Morchio for extensive discussions on these topics and for having carefully read the manuscript.

References

- [1] F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck, Phys. Rev. **52**, 54 (1937).
- [2] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Nuovo Cimento **15**, 167 (1938).
- [3] P. Blanchard, Discussion mathématique du modèle de Pauli et Fierz relatif à la catastrophe infrarouge, Comm. Math. Phys. **19**, 301 (1970).
- [4] F.J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1736 (1949); Phys. Rev. **82**, 428 (1951).
- [5] S. N. Gupta, Proc. Phys. Soc. London **A63**, 681 (1950); K. Bleuler, Helv. Phys. Acta **23**, 567 (1950).
- [6] D.R. Yennie, S.C. Frautschi and H. Suura, Ann. Phys. **13**, 379 (1961).
- [7] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol. I, Chapt. 13 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

- [8] J.M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, *The Theory of Photons and Electrons*, Second Expanded Edition, Chapt. 16 (Springer, New York, 1976).
- [9] O. Steinmann, *Perturbative Quantum Electrodynamics and Axiomatic Field Theory* (Springer Verlag, New York, 2000).
- [10] V. Chung, Phys. Rev. **140B**, 1110 (1965).
- [11] F. Strocchi and A.S. Wightman, Jour. Math. Phys. **15**, 2198 (1974).
- [12] R. Ferrari, L.E. Picasso and F. Strocchi, Comm. Math. Phys. **35**, 25 (1974); Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., **A39**, 1 (1977).
- [13] J. Fröhlich, G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, Charged sectors and scattering states in quantum electrodynamics, Ann. Phys. **119**, 241 (1979).
- [14] J. Fröhlich, G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, Spontaneous breaking of the Lorentz group in *QED*, Phys. Lett. **89B**, 61 (1979).
- [15] D. Buchholz, The Physical State Space of Quantum Electrodynamics, Comm. Math. Phys. **85**, 49 (1982).
- [16] D. Buchholz, Gauss' law and the infraparticle problem, Phys. Lett. **B 174**, 331 (1986).
- [17] R.F. Streater and A.S. Wightman, *PCT, spin and statistics and all that* (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
- [18] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **76**, 790 (1949).
- [19] H.P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 467 (1983), Phys. Rev. **D 28**, 1386 (1983); T. Kawai and H.P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. **D 52**, 2484 (1995).
- [20] O. Steinmann, Comm. Math. Phys. **237**, 181 (2003).
- [21] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. I. Functional Analysis, Academic Press 1972, Chapt. VIII.
- [22] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer 1966.
- [23] S. Sakai, *C* – algebras and W* – algebras*, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1971.
- [24] K. Symanzik, *Lectures on Lagrangian Field Theory*, DESY report T-71/1.
- [25] G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, Nucl. Phys. **B211**, 471 (1983); **232**, 547 (1984).
- [26] G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, Ann. Phys. **168**, 27 (1986).
- [27] F. Strocchi, Gauge Problem in Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. **162**, 1429 (1967).
- [28] S. Zerella, Scattering Theories In Models Of Quantum Electrodynamics, PhD Thesis, Università degli Studi di Pisa, unpublished (2009).
- [29] D. Buchholz, S. Doplicher, G. Morchio, J.E. Roberts, F. Strocchi, Asymptotic abelianess and braided tensor *C** categories in *Rigorous Quantum Field Theory*, pages 49-64, A. Boutet de Monvel et al. Eds., Birkhauser 2006.
- [30] R. Haag, Phys. Rev. **112**, 669 (1958), D. Ruelle, Helv. Phys. Acta **35**, 147 (1962).

- [31] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press 1972, Chapt. X.
- [32] R. M. Wilcox, *Jour. Math. Phys.*, **8**, 962 (1967).
- [33] G. C. Wick, *Phys. Rev.* **80**, 268 (1950).