

Global dynamics of a cell mediated immunity in viral infection models with distributed delays

Yukihiko Nakata^a

^aBasque Center for Applied Mathematics, Bizkaia Technology Park, Building 500 E-48160 Derio, Spain

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate global dynamics for a system of delay differential equations which describes a virus-immune interaction *in vivo*. The model has two distributed time delays describing time needed for infection of cell and virus replication. Our model admits three possible equilibria, an uninfected equilibrium and infected equilibrium with or without immune response depending on the basic reproduction number for viral infection R_0 and for CTL response R_1 such that $R_1 < R_0$. It is shown that there always exists one equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable by employing the method of Lyapunov functional. More specifically, the uninfected equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable if $R_0 \leq 1$, an infected equilibrium without immune response is globally asymptotically stable if $R_1 \leq 1 < R_0$ and an infected equilibrium with immune response is globally asymptotically stable if $R_1 > 1$. The immune activation has a positive role in the reduction of the infection cells and the increasing of the uninfected cells if $R_1 > 1$.

Key words: viral infection; global asymptotic stability; Lyapunov functional; immune response

1. Introduction

The mathematical models, based on biological interactions, present a framework which can be used to obtain new insights and to interpret experimental data. Many authors have formulated mathematical models which describe the dynamics of virus population *in vivo* and these provide advances in our understanding of HIV-1 (human immunodeficiency virus 1) and other viruses, such as HBV (hepatitis B virus) and HCV (hepatitis C virus) (see [1–3, 5–10, 12, 14–25] and the references therein).

During viral infections, the host immune system reacts with antigen-specific immune response. In particular, cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) play a critical role in antiviral defense by attacking

Email address: nakata@bcmath.org (Yukihiko Nakata).

infected cells. To investigate the relation between antiviral immune response and virus load, Nowak and Bangham [18] developed the following mathematical model.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = s - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}y(t) = kx(t)v(t) - \delta y(t) - py(t)z(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}v(t) = N\delta y(t) - \mu v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}z(t) = qy(t)z(t) - bz(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $x(t)$ denotes the concentration of uninfected target cells at time t , $y(t)$ denotes the concentration of infected cells that produce virus at time t , $v(t)$ denotes the concentration of virus at time t and $z(t)$ denotes the abundance of virus-specific CTLs. Uninfected cells are produced at a constant rate s and die at rate $dx(t)$. Infected cells are produced from uninfected cells and virus at rate $kx(t)v(t)$ and die at rate $\delta y(t)$. Free virus is produced from uninfected cells at rate $N\delta y(t)$, where N denotes the total number of virus particles from one cell, and die at rate $\mu v(t)$. The rate of CTL proliferation is given by $qy(t)z(t)$ and decay at rate $bz(t)$ in the absence of stimulation by the infected cells. Infected cells are killed by CTLs at rate $py(t)z(t)$. All parameters are positive constant.

Korobeinikov [8] studied global properties of a basic viral infection model which ignores immunity ((1.1) with $p = 0$). By assuming that the incidence rate of infection is given by a functional form, more general viral infection models are proposed and investigated (see [6, 9]). Wodarz et al. [23] considered a mathematical model for two types immune responses. Murase et al. [15] and Kajiwara et al. [7] studied stability of some mathematical models for virus-immune interaction dynamics. Recently, Prüss et al., [20] showed that (1.1) always admits an equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable by constructing Lyapunov functions.

On the other hand, in modeling of many biological processes, time delays are usually introduced for the purpose of accurate representations of the phenomena. In virus dynamics, it has been assumed that new virus particles are produced after the initial infection with a time interval and this leads mathematical models by delay differential equations. The estimated values of kinetic parameters are usually changed by these delay differential equations (see [5, 14, 16, 17] and references therein). Mathematical analysis for these models is necessary to obtain an integrated view for the virus dynamics in *vivo*. In particular, the global stability of a steady state for these models will give us a detailed information and enhances our understanding about the virus dynamics.

In this paper, we introduce distributed (continuous) time delays to (1.1) and study its global dynamics. Let h_1 and h_2 be positive constants and $f_1(\tau) : [0, h_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f_2(\tau) : [0, h_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be integrable functions with $\int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) d\tau = 1$. As in Mittler et al., [14] and Nelson et al., [17], we assume that the infected cells $y(t)$ appear after the initial infection with a time period τ and τ is distributed according to $f_1(\tau)$ over the interval $[0, h_1]$, where h_1 is the limit superior of the infection delay. In addition, we assume that a time is needed for the virus production after a virions enter a cell (see also [12, 24]). Thus, we also assume the production delay τ , which is distributed according to $f_2(\tau)$ over the interval $[0, h_2]$, where h_2 is the limit superior of this delay. Then, we obtain the following viral infection model.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = s - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}y(t) = k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)d\tau - \delta y(t) - py(t)z(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}v(t) = N_d \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)y(t-\tau)d\tau - \mu v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}z(t) = qy(t)z(t) - bz(t). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The infection rate k_d satisfies $k_d \leq k$ and the total number of virus particles from one cell N_d satisfies $N_d \leq N$, if we incorporate the probability of surviving of the infected cells and virus particles between the time for infection and for virus production, respectively.

Stability analysis for (1.2) with discrete intracellular delay was carried out by Li and Shu [10] and Zhu and Zou [25]. Recently, based on Li and Shu [10], Li and Shu [11] has investigated a viral infection model with a general target cell dynamics, a nonlinear incidence rate and distributed delay. Li and Shu [10, 11] showed that their model always admits an equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable and it is necessary to have a logistic mitosis term in the target cell dynamics for generating a periodic solution. On the other hand, Zhu and Zou [25] established global stability of an uninfected equilibrium and obtained sufficient conditions for local asymptotic stability of two infected equilibria. However, since Li and Shu [10, 11] did not consider the immune response to the viral infection and Zhu and Zou [25] did not address the global stability of the two infected equilibria for their model, the global dynamics of (1.2) is still unclear and, hence, our main aim is to establish the complete global dynamics. We show that (1.2) has three possible equilibria, an uninfected equilibrium and infected equilibrium with or without immune response and always admits one equilibrium which is always globally asymptotically stable. Moreover, it is shown that if the immune response is activated, then the infected equilibrium with immune response is globally stable. This implies that the immune response has a positive role in the reduction of the infected cells.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show the positivity and ultimately boundedness of the solutions for (1.2) under suitable initial conditions. Then, we introduce two important parameters, the basic reproduction number for viral infection R_0 and for CTL response R_1 , defined by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively, and three possible equilibria for (1.2). In Section 3, we establish global asymptotic stability of these equilibria by constructing Lyapunov functional. It is shown that (1.2) always admits one equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable and, hence, we obtain the complete global dynamics of (1.2). In Section 4, we study discrete delay model which was considered by Zhu and Zou [25] and show that two infected equilibria of their model is not only locally asymptotically stable but also globally asymptotically stable. In Section 5, we offer a brief discussion.

2. Preliminary results

2.1. Positivity and boundedness of the solutions

To investigate the dynamics of (1.2), we set a suitable phase space. Let $\bar{h} = \max\{h_1, h_2\}$. We denote by $C = C([-\bar{h}, 0], \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval $[-\bar{h}, 0]$ into \mathbb{R} equipped with the sup-norm. The nonnegative cone of C is defined as $C_+ = C([-\bar{h}, 0], \mathbb{R}_+)$. From the biological meanings, the initial conditions for (1.2) are

$$x(\theta) = \varphi_1(\theta), y(\theta) = \varphi_2(\theta), v(\theta) = \varphi_3(\theta), z(0) = z_0 \text{ for } \theta \in [-\bar{h}, 0], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varphi_i \in C_+$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $z_0 \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1 *Every solution of (1.2) with (2.1) is nonnegative for $t > 0$. Every solution of (1.2) with (2.1) is positive for $t > \bar{h}$ if $z_0 > 0$ and either*

- i) $\varphi_2(0) + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)\varphi_1(-\tau)\varphi_3(-\tau)d\tau > 0$, or
- ii) $\varphi_3(0) + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)\varphi_2(-\tau)d\tau > 0$.

Furthermore, every solution is bounded above by some positive constant for sufficiently large t .

PROOF. The solution $(x(t), y(t), v(t), z(t))$ of (1.2) with (2.1) exists and is unique on its maximal interval of existence $(0, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma > 0$. We see that $x(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, \sigma)$. Indeed, this follows from that $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = s > 0$ for any $t \in (0, \sigma)$ when $x(t) = 0$ from the first equation of (1.2). It also holds that

$$z(t) = z_0 e^{\int_0^t (qv(s)-b)ds} \geq 0,$$

if $z_0 \geq 0$. In particular, $z(t) > 0$ if $z_0 > 0$.

Let us show the nonnegativity of $y(t)$ and $v(t)$. Since we have

$$\begin{cases} y(t) &= \left(\varphi_2(0) + k_d \int_0^t \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)x(s-\tau)v(s-\tau)d\tau e^{\int_0^s (\delta+pz(u))du} ds \right) e^{-\int_0^t (\delta+pz(s))ds}, \\ v(t) &= \left(\varphi_3(0) + N_d \delta \int_0^t \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)y(s-\tau)d\tau e^{\mu s} ds \right) e^{-\mu t}, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

from (1.2), $y(t) \geq 0$ and $v(t) \geq 0$ for $t > 0$. Now we show $y(t) > 0$ and $v(t) > 0$ for $t > \bar{h}$, if i) or ii) holds.

First, we assume that i) holds. Suppose that there exists a t_1 such that $y(t_1) = 0$. Then, from (2.2),

$$\varphi_2(0) + k_d \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)x(s-\tau)v(s-\tau)d\tau e^{\int_0^s (\delta+pz(u))du} ds = 0,$$

follows. This leads a contradiction to i). Thus, we obtain

$$y(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we suppose that there exists a $t_2 > h_2$ such that $v(t_2) = 0$. Then, from (2.2),

$$\varphi_3(0) + N_d \delta \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)y(s-\tau)d\tau e^{\mu s} ds = 0,$$

follows. On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)y(s-\tau)d\tau ds > 0, \text{ for } t > h_2,$$

by (2.3). This gives a contradiction. Thus, $v(t) > 0$ for $t > h_2$. Similarly, we see $v(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $y(t) > 0$ for $t > h_1$ if ii) holds.

Now we show the boundedness of each solution. Let

$$G(t) = \frac{k_d}{k} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)x(t-\tau)d\tau + y(t) + \frac{p}{q}z(t),$$

then we see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}G(t) &= \left(\frac{k_d}{k} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (s - dx(t-\tau) - kx(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + \left(k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) - py(t)z(t) \right) + \left(py(t)z(t) - \frac{p}{q}bz(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{sk_d}{k} - \frac{dk_d}{k} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) - \frac{p}{q}bz(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}G(t) \leq \frac{sk_d}{k} - \min\{d, \delta, b\}G(t),$$

which implies that $x(t), y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are uniformly bounded on $(0, \sigma)$. Then, $v(t)$ is also uniformly bounded on $(0, \sigma)$. Finally, it follows that $(x(t), y(t), v(t), z(t))$ exists and is unique and positive for any $t > \bar{h}$. \square

Remark 2.2 $y(t)$ and $v(t)$ of (1.2) with (2.1) are identically zero for $t > 0$, if

$$\varphi_2(0) = \varphi_3(0) = \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \varphi_1(-\tau) \varphi_3(-\tau) d\tau = \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \varphi_2(-\tau) d\tau = 0.$$

2.2. Possible equilibria

In this subsection, we show that (1.2) has three possible equilibria. Existence of these equilibria is determined by a combination of two threshold parameters

$$R_0 = \frac{s}{d - \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d}}, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$R_1 = \frac{s}{d - \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} + \frac{k}{k_d} \delta \frac{b}{q}}. \quad (2.5)$$

R_0 and R_1 are called the basic reproduction number for viral infection and for CTL response, respectively (see Gomez-Acevedo et al. [3]). In particular, R_0 denotes the average number of secondary virus produced from a single virus for (1.2).

Theorem 2.3 For (1.2), there exist an uninfected equilibrium

$$E_0 = (x_0, 0, 0, 0), x_0 = \frac{s}{d}, \quad (2.6)$$

an infected equilibrium without immune response

$$E_1 = (x_1^*, y_1^*, v_1^*, 0) = \left(\frac{\mu}{k_d N_d}, \frac{k_d}{k \delta} \left(s - d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} \right), \frac{k_d N_d}{k \mu} \left(s - d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} \right), 0 \right), \quad (2.7)$$

if $R_0 > 1$, and an infected equilibrium with immune response

$$E_2 = (x_2^*, y_2^*, v_2^*, z_2^*) = \left(\frac{s}{d + k \frac{N_d \delta b}{\mu q}}, \frac{b}{q}, \frac{N_d \delta b}{\mu q}, \frac{\delta}{p} \left(\frac{k_d N_d x_2^*}{\mu} - 1 \right) \right), \quad (2.8)$$

if $R_1 > 1$.

PROOF. First of all, we see that (1.2) always has the uninfected equilibrium E_0 . To find other equilibria, we consider the following equations

$$\begin{cases} 0 = s - dx^* - kx^*v^*, \\ 0 = k_dx^*v^* - \delta y^* - py^*z^*, \\ 0 = N_d\delta y^* - \mu v^*, \\ 0 = qy^*z^* - bz^*. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Assume that there exists an equilibrium $E_1 = (x_1^*, y_1^*, v_1^*, 0)$ with $x_1^* > 0, y_1^* > 0, v_1^* > 0$. From the third equation of (2.9), we see

$$y_1^* = \frac{\mu}{N_d\delta} v_1^*. \quad (2.10)$$

Substituting (2.10) into the second equation of (2.9) gives

$$0 = k_dx_1^*v_1^* - \frac{\mu}{N_d}v_1^*.$$

Hence,

$$x_1^* = \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d}.$$

Then from the first equation of (2.9), it follows

$$v_1^* = \frac{s - dx_1^*}{kx_1^*} = \frac{k_d N_d}{k\mu} \left(s - d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} \right).$$

v_1^* and y_1^* is positive, if $R_0 > 1$. Consequently, there exists the infected equilibrium E_1 if $R_0 > 1$.

Next, we assume that there exists an equilibrium $E_2 = (x_2^*, y_2^*, v_2^*, z_2^*)$ with $x_2^* > 0, y_2^* > 0, v_2^* > 0, z_2^* > 0$. We have

$$y_2^* = \frac{b}{q}, v_2^* = \frac{N_d\delta}{\mu} y_2^*, \quad (2.11)$$

from the forth and third equations of (2.9), respectively. Then, we have

$$x_2^* = \frac{s}{d + kv_2^*} = \frac{s}{d + k \frac{N_d\delta b}{\mu q}}, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$z_2^* = \frac{k_dx_2^*v_2^* - \delta y_2^*}{py_2^*},$$

from the first and second equations of (2.9), respectively. By (2.11) and (2.12), we see

$$z_2^* = \frac{k_dx_2^* N_d\delta}{p} - \frac{\delta}{p} = \frac{\delta}{p} \left(\frac{k_d N_d x_2^*}{\mu} - 1 \right) = \frac{\delta}{p} (R_1 - 1).$$

Thus, z_2^* is positive if $R_1 > 1$ and, hence, there exists the infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 . Consequently, the proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.4 For $R_1 > 1$, there exist three equilibria, E_0, E_1 and E_2 . Moreover, we have $x_2^* > x_1^*$ and $y_1^* > y_2^*$, since

$$x_2^* - x_1^* = \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} (R_1 - 1) > 0,$$

and

$$y_1^* - y_2^* = \frac{k_d}{k\delta} \left(s - d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} - \frac{k\delta b}{k_d q} \right) = \frac{k_d}{k\delta} \left(d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} + \frac{k\delta b}{k_d q} \right) (R_1 - 1) > 0,$$

follows. Therefore, for the equilibrium condition, the immune activation has a positive role in the increasing of the uninfected cells and the reduction of the infected cells.

Thus, there exist three possible equilibria depending on the values of R_0 and R_1 defined by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively. We see that $R_0 > R_1$ always holds.

3. Global asymptotic stability of three equilibria

In this section, we study the global dynamics of (1.2) by employing the method of Lyapunov functional. Lyapunov functionals, we construct here, are inspired by McClusky [13] for SIR epidemic models with distributed delay. From the following result, we see that (1.2) always admits one equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable and hence, the global dynamics of (1.2) is fully determined by R_0 and R_1 .

Theorem 3.1 *i) If $R_0 \leq 1$, then the uninfected equilibrium E_0 for (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable.*

ii) Assume that either i) or ii) in Lemma 2.1 holds. If $R_1 \leq 1 < R_0$, then the infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 for (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable.

iii) Assume that $z_0 > 0$ and either i) or ii) in Lemma 2.1 holds. If $R_1 > 1$, then the infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 for (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.1, we introduce some notations. In the Lyapunov functionals, the following function is useful.

$$g(x) = x - 1 - \ln x, \text{ for } x \in (0, +\infty).$$

$g(x)$ has the global minimum at $x = 1$ and $g(1) = 0$.

For simplicity, we will use the following notation in the proof

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_t &= \frac{x(t)}{x_1^*}, \tilde{x}_{t,\tau} = \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x_1^*}, \tilde{y}_t = \frac{y(t)}{y_1^*}, \tilde{y}_{t,\tau} = \frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_1^*}, \tilde{v}_t = \frac{v(t)}{v_1^*}, \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} = \frac{v(t-\tau)}{v_1^*}, \tilde{z}_t = \frac{z(t)}{z_1^*}, \\ \bar{x}_t &= \frac{x(t)}{x_2^*}, \bar{x}_{t,\tau} = \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x_2^*}, \bar{y}_t = \frac{y(t)}{y_2^*}, \bar{y}_{t,\tau} = \frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_2^*}, \bar{v}_t = \frac{v(t)}{v_2^*}, \bar{v}_{t,\tau} = \frac{v(t-\tau)}{v_2^*}, \bar{z}_t = \frac{z(t)}{z_2^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau \in [0, \bar{h}]$.

PROOF. i) We construct the following Lyapunov functional

$$U_0(t) = \frac{k_d}{k} x_0 g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_0}\right) + y(t) + \frac{1}{N_d} v(t) + \frac{p}{q} z(t) + \bar{U}_0(t), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\bar{U}_0(t) = k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t x(s)v(s)dsd\tau + \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t y(s)dsd\tau.$$

We calculate the time derivative of $U_0(t)$ along the solutions of (1.2). We see

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[x_0 g \left(\frac{x(t)}{x_0} \right) \right] &= x_0 \left(\frac{1}{x_0} - \frac{1}{x(t)} \right) (s - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t)) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right) (dx_0 - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t)) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right) dx(t) \left(\frac{x_0}{x(t)} - 1 \right) - \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right) kx(t)v(t) \\
&= -dx(t) \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right)^2 - kx(t)v(t) + kx_0v(t). \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(y(t) + \frac{1}{N_d} v(t) + \frac{p}{q} z(t) \right) \\
&= k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) - p y(t) z(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N_d} \left(N_d \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) y(t-\tau) d\tau - \mu v(t) \right) + \frac{p}{q} (q y(t) z(t) - b z(t)) \\
&= k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) + \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) y(t-\tau) d\tau - \frac{\mu}{N_d} v(t) - \frac{p}{q} b z(t). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \bar{U}_0(t) &= k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (x(t)v(t) - x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)) d\tau + \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (y(t) - y(t-\tau)) ds d\tau \\
&= k_d \left(x(t)v(t) - \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) d\tau \right) + \delta \left(y(t) - \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) y(t-\tau) d\tau \right). \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by adding (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} U_0(t) &= -\frac{k_d d}{k} x(t) \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right)^2 + k_d x_0 v(t) - \frac{\mu}{N_d} v(t) - \frac{p}{q} b z(t) \\
&= -\frac{k_d d}{k} x(t) \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right)^2 + \left(k_d x_0 - \frac{\mu}{N_d} \right) v(t) - \frac{p}{q} b z(t) \\
&= -\frac{k_d d}{k} x(t) \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{x(t)} \right)^2 + \frac{\mu}{N_d} (R_0 - 1) v(t) - \frac{p}{q} b z(t) \leq 0, \text{ for } R_0 \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, every solution of (1.2) tends to M_0 , where M_0 is the largest invariant subset in $\left\{ \frac{dU_0(t)}{dt} = 0 \right\}$ with respect to (1.2). We show that M_0 consists of only the equilibrium E_0 . Let $(x(t), y(t), v(t), z(t))$ be the solution with initial function in M_0 . Then, from the invariance of M_0 , $x(t) = x_0$ and $z(t) = 0$ for any t . Now we have $\frac{d}{dt} x(t) = 0$ and hence, it follows $v(t) = 0$ for any t , from the first equation of (1.2). Then, from the second equation of (1.2), we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y(t) = 0$. Therefore, the uninfected equilibrium E_0 is globally attractive. Since we have $\frac{dU_0(t)}{dt} \leq 0$ for $R_0 \leq 1$ and $U_0(t) \geq U_0(t) - \bar{U}_0(t)$, the uninfected equilibrium E_0 is stable by Hale and Lunel [4, Section 5, Corollary 3.1]. Hence, the uninfected equilibrium E_0 is globally asymptotically stable for $R_0 \leq 1$.

ii) We construct the following Lyapunov functional

$$U_1(t) = \frac{1}{k v_1^*} g \left(\frac{x(t)}{x_1^*} \right) + \frac{y_1^*}{k_d x_1^* v_1^*} g \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_1^*} \right) + \frac{v_1^*}{N_d \delta y_1^*} g \left(\frac{v(t)}{v_1^*} \right) + \frac{p}{k_d x_1^* v_1^* q} z(t) + \bar{U}_1(t), \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\bar{U}_1(t) = \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t g\left(\frac{x(s)v(s)}{x_1^*v_1^*}\right) ds d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t g\left(\frac{y_1(s)}{y_1^*}\right) ds d\tau.$$

We calculate the time derivative of $U_1(t)$ along the positive solutions of (1.2) and show that $\frac{dU_1(t)}{dt} \leq 0$. First, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_1^*}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right) (s - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t)).$$

Since $s = dx_1^* + kx_1^*v_1^*$ holds, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_1^*}\right) \right] &= \frac{1}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right) (dx_1^* + kx_1^*v_1^* - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right) (dx_1^* - dx(t) + kx_1^*v_1^* - kx(t)v(t)) \\ &= -\frac{dx(t)}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right)^2 + kv_1^* \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{x(t)v(t)}{x_1^*v_1^*} \right) \\ &= -\frac{dx(t)}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right)^2 + kv_1^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} \right) (1 - \tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t) \\ &= -\frac{dx(t)}{x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right)^2 + kv_1^* \left(1 - \tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} + \tilde{v}_t \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Secondly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{y(t)}{y_1^*}\right) \right] &= \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) \left(k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) - py(t)z(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) \left(\int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (k_d x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau) - \delta y(t)) d\tau - py(t)z(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\delta = \frac{k_d x_1^* v_1^*}{y_1^*}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{y(t)}{y_1^*}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(k_d x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau) - k_d x_1^* v_1^* \frac{y(t)}{y_1^*} \right) d\tau - \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) py(t)z(t) \\ &= \frac{k_d x_1^* v_1^*}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\frac{x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)}{x_1^* v_1^*} - \frac{y(t)}{y_1^*} \right) d\tau - \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) py(t)z(t) \\ &= \frac{k_d x_1^* v_1^*}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{y}_t} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} - \tilde{y}_t) d\tau - \frac{1}{y_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_1^*}{y(t)} \right) py(t)z(t) \\ &= \frac{k_d x_1^* v_1^*}{y_1^*} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} - \frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t} - \tilde{y}_t + 1 \right) d\tau - \frac{1}{y_1^*} (py(t)z(t) - py_1^* z(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let us calculate the following

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{v(t)}{v_1^*}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{v_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{v_1^*}{v(t)} \right) \left(N_d \delta \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) y(t-\tau) d\tau - \mu v(t) \right).$$

Since, we have $\mu = \frac{N_d \delta y_1^*}{v_1^*}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[g \left(\frac{v(t)}{v_1^*} \right) \right] &= \frac{1}{v_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{v_1^*}{v(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(N_d \delta y(t-\tau) - N_d \delta y_1^* \frac{v(t)}{v_1^*} \right) d\tau \\
&= \frac{N_d \delta y_1^*}{v_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{v_1^*}{v(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_1^*} - \frac{v(t)}{v_1^*} \right) d\tau \\
&= \frac{N_d \delta y_1^*}{v_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_t} \right) \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\tilde{y}_{t,\tau} - \tilde{v}_t) d\tau \\
&= \frac{N_d \delta y_1^*}{v_1^*} \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\tilde{y}_{t,\tau} - \tilde{v}_t - \frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t} + 1 \right) d\tau. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d\bar{U}_1(t)}{dt} \\
&= \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left[g \left(\frac{x(t)v(t)}{x_1^* v_1^*} \right) - g \left(\frac{x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)}{x_1^* v_1^*} \right) \right] d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left[g \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_1^*} \right) - g \left(\frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_1^*} \right) \right] d\tau \\
&= \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t - \ln(\tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t) - \tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} + \ln(\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau})) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\tilde{y}_t - \ln \tilde{y}_t - \tilde{y}_{t,\tau} + \ln \tilde{y}_{t,\tau}) d\tau. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by adding (2.12)-(3.9), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_1(t) = -\frac{dx(t)}{k_d v_1^* x_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^*}{x(t)} \right)^2 + C_1(t, \tau) + C_2(t), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(t, \tau) &= \left(1 - \tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} + \tilde{v}_t \right) \\
&+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} - \frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t} - \tilde{y}_t + 1 \right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\tilde{y}_{t,\tau} - \tilde{v}_t - \frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t} + 1 \right) d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t - \ln(\tilde{x}_t \tilde{v}_t) - \tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau} + \ln(\tilde{x}_{t,\tau} \tilde{v}_{t,\tau})) d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\tilde{y}_t - \ln \tilde{y}_t - \tilde{y}_{t,\tau} + \ln \tilde{y}_{t,\tau}) d\tau, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2(t) &= -\frac{1}{k_d x_1^* v_1^*} (py(t)z(t) - py_1^* z(t)) + \frac{p}{k_d x_1^* v_1^* q} \left(\frac{d}{dt} z(t) \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{k_d x_1^* v_1^*} (py(t)z(t) - py_1^* z(t)) + \frac{1}{k_d x_1^* v_1^*} \left(py(t)z(t) - \frac{p}{q} bz(t) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{k_d x_1^* v_1^*} pz(t) \left(y_1^* - \frac{b}{q} \right). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim $C_2(t) \leq 0$ for all $t > 0$. Since we have $R_1 \leq 1$, $s \leq d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} + \frac{k}{k_d} \delta \frac{b}{q}$ holds from (2.4). Then

$$\frac{k_d}{k \delta} \left(s - d \frac{\mu}{k_d N_d} \right) = y_1^* \leq \frac{b}{q}, \tag{3.13}$$

from (2.7) and hence,

$$C_2(t) \leq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

For $C_1(t, \tau)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(t, \tau) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t}\right) + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t} + 1\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t} + 1\right) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (-\ln(\tilde{x}_t\tilde{v}_t) + \ln(\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau})) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (-\ln\tilde{y}_t + \ln\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}) d\tau \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t}\right) + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t} + 1\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t} + 1\right) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\ln\frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} + \ln\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t}\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\ln\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t}\right) d\tau \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} + \ln\frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t}\right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t} + 1 + \ln\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t}\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(-\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t} + 1 + \ln\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t}\right) d\tau \\ &= -g\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t}\right) - \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau)g\left(\frac{\tilde{x}_{t,\tau}\tilde{v}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{y}_t}\right) d\tau - \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau)g\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_{t,\tau}}{\tilde{v}_t}\right) d\tau \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Consequently, $\frac{dU_1(t)}{dt} \leq 0$ holds from (3.10), (3.14) and (3.15). Hence, every solution of (1.2) tends to M_1 , where M_1 is the largest invariant subset in $\left\{\frac{dU_1(t)}{dt} = 0\right\}$ with respect to (1.2). We show that M_1 consists of only the equilibrium E_1 . Let $(x(t), y(t), v(t), z(t))$ be the solution with initial function in M_1 , then, it holds that

$$x(t) = x_1^*, \frac{x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)}{x_1^*v_1^*} = \frac{y(t)}{y_1^*} \text{ for almost } \tau \in [0, h_1] \text{ and } \frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_1^*} = \frac{v(t)}{v_1^*} \text{ for almost } \tau \in [0, h_2]. \quad (3.16)$$

From the invariance of M_1 , we have $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = 0$ and it then follows that $v(t) = v_1^*$ for any t from the first equation of (1.2). From (3.16), we obtain $y(t) = y(t-\tau) = y_1^*$ for any t and then, $z(t) = 0$ follows from the second equation of (1.2). Therefore, the infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 is globally attractive. Since we have $\frac{dU_1(t)}{dt} \leq 0$ and $U_1(t) \geq U_1(t) - \bar{U}_1(t)$, the infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 is stable by Hale and Lunel [4, Section 5, Corollary 3.1]. Hence, the infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 is globally asymptotically stable for $R_1 \leq 1 < R_0$.

iii) We construct the following Lyapunov functional

$$U_2(t) = \frac{1}{kv_2^*}g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_2^*}\right) + \frac{y_2^*}{k_d\lambda_2^*v_2^*}g\left(\frac{y(t)}{y_2^*}\right) + \frac{v_2^*}{N_d\delta y_2^*}g\left(\frac{v(t)}{v_2^*}\right) + \frac{pz_2^*}{k_d\lambda_2^*v_2^*q}g\left(\frac{z(t)}{z_2^*}\right) + \bar{U}_2(t), \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\bar{U}_2(t) = \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t g\left(\frac{x(s)v(s)}{x_2^*v_2^*}\right) ds d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^t g\left(\frac{y(s)}{y_2^*}\right) ds d\tau.$$

Similar to (2.12), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x_2^*}\right) \right] = -\frac{dx(t)}{x_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_2^*}{x(t)}\right)^2 + kv_2^* \left(1 - \tilde{x}_t\tilde{v}_t - \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_t} + \tilde{v}_t\right). \quad (3.18)$$

We also obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[g \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \left(k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) d\tau - \delta y(t) - p y(t) z(t) \right).$$

Since we have $\delta y_2^* = k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^* - p y_2^* z_2^*$, it holds

$$\delta = \frac{1}{y_2^*} (k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^* - p y_2^* z_2^*).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \left(k_d \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{y_2^*} (k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^* - p y_2^* z_2^*) y(t) - p y(t) z(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \left[\int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(k_d x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) - k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^* \frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \right) d\tau + (p z_2^* y(t) - p y(t) z(t)) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(k_d x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau) - k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^* \frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \right) d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) (p z_2^* y(t) - p y(t) z(t)) \\ &= \frac{k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^*}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\frac{x(t-\tau) v(t-\tau)}{\lambda_2^* v_2^*} - \frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \right) d\tau \\ &+ p z_2^* \left(1 - \frac{y_2^*}{y(t)} \right) \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} - \frac{y(t) z(t)}{y_2^* z_2^*} \right) \\ &= \frac{k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^*}{y_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_t} \right) \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\bar{x}_{t,\tau} \bar{v}_{t,\tau} - \bar{y}_t) d\tau + p z_2^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_t} \right) (\bar{y}_t - \bar{y}_t \bar{z}_t) \\ &= \frac{k_d \lambda_2^* v_2^*}{y_2^*} \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\bar{x}_{t,\tau} \bar{v}_{t,\tau} - \bar{y}_t - \frac{\bar{x}_{t,\tau} \bar{v}_{t,\tau}}{\bar{y}_t} + 1 \right) d\tau + p z_2^* (\bar{y}_t - 1) (1 - \bar{z}_t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Similar to (3.8), we also obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[g \left(\frac{v(t)}{v_2^*} \right) \right] = \frac{N_d \delta y_2^*}{v_2^*} \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\bar{y}_{t,\tau} - \bar{v}_t - \frac{\bar{y}_{t,\tau}}{\bar{v}_t} + 1 \right) d\tau. \quad (3.20)$$

Let us calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \left(\frac{z(t)}{z_2^*} \right) \right] &= \frac{1}{z_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{z_2^*}{z(t)} \right) (q y(t) z(t) - b z(t)) = \frac{1}{z_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{z_2^*}{z(t)} \right) (q y(t) z(t) - q y_2^* z(t)). \\ &= \left(\frac{z(t)}{z_2^*} - 1 \right) (q y(t) - q y_2^*) \\ &= q y_2^* \left(\frac{z(t)}{z_2^*} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} - 1 \right) \\ &= q y_2^* (\bar{z}_t - 1) (\bar{y}_t - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Similar to (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d\bar{U}_2(t)}{dt} \\ &= \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i - \ln(\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i) - \bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau} + \ln(\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau})) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\bar{y}_i - \ln \bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i,\tau} + \ln \bar{y}_{i,\tau}) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Consequently, by adding (3.18)-(3.22), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_2(t) = -\frac{dx(t)}{x_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{x_2^*}{x(t)}\right)^2 + C_3(t, \tau), \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & C_3(t, \tau) \\ &= \left(1 - \bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_i} + \bar{v}_i\right) \\ &+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau} - \bar{y}_i - \frac{\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{y}_i} + 1\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\bar{y}_{i,\tau} - \bar{v}_i - \frac{\bar{y}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{v}_i} + 1\right) d\tau \\ &+ \left[\frac{y_2^*}{k_d x_2^* v_2^*} p z_2^* (\bar{y}_i - 1)(1 - \bar{z}_i) + \frac{p z_2^*}{k_d x_2^* v_2^* q} q y_2^* (\bar{z}_i - 1)(\bar{y}_i - 1) \right] \\ &+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i - \ln(\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i) - \bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau} + \ln(\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau})) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\bar{y}_i - \ln \bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i,\tau} + \ln \bar{y}_{i,\tau}) d\tau \\ &= \left(1 - \bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_i} + \bar{v}_i\right) \\ &+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) \left(\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau} - \bar{y}_i - \frac{\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{y}_i} + 1\right) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) \left(\bar{y}_{i,\tau} - \bar{v}_i - \frac{\bar{y}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{v}_i} + 1\right) d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) (\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i - \ln(\bar{x}_i \bar{v}_i) - \bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau} + \ln(\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau})) d\tau + \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) (\bar{y}_i - \ln \bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i,\tau} + \ln \bar{y}_{i,\tau}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (3.11), we see

$$C_3(t, \tau) = -g \left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_i}\right) - \int_0^{h_1} f_1(\tau) g \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i,\tau} \bar{v}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{y}_i}\right) d\tau - \int_0^{h_2} f_2(\tau) g \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{i,\tau}}{\bar{v}_i}\right) d\tau \leq 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus, $\frac{dU_2(t)}{dt} \leq 0$ holds from (3.23) and (3.24). Hence, the solution of system (1.2) limit to M_2 , where M_2 is the largest invariant subset in $\left\{\frac{dU_2(t)}{dt} = 0\right\}$ with respect to (1.2). We show that M_2 consists of only the equilibrium E_2 . Let $(x(t), y(t), v(t), z(t))$ be the solution with initial function in M_2 , then it holds that

$$x(t) = x_2^*, \frac{x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau)}{x_2^* v_2^*} = \frac{y(t)}{y_2^*} \text{ for almost } \tau \in [0, h_1] \text{ and } \frac{y(t-\tau)}{y_2^*} = \frac{v(t)}{v_2^*} \text{ for almost } \tau \in [0, h_2]. \quad (3.25)$$

From the invariance of M_2 , we have $\frac{d}{dt} x(t) = 0$ and it then follows that $v(t) = v_2^*$ for any t from the first equation of (1.2). From (3.25), we obtain $y(t) = y(t-\tau) = y_2^*$ for any t and then, $z(t) = z_2^*$ follows from the second equation of (1.2). Therefore, the infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 is globally attractive. Since we have $\frac{dU_2(t)}{dt} \leq 0$ and $U_2(t) \geq U_2(t) - \bar{U}_2(t)$, the infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 is stable by Hale and Lunel [4, Section 5, Corollary 3.1]. Hence, the infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 is globally asymptotically stable for $R_1 > 1$.

Finally, the proof of this theorem is complete. \square

4. Applications

Our approach is applicable for discrete delay models. Zhu and Zou [25] studied the following viral infection model with cell mediated immunity.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = s - dx(t) - kx(t)v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}y(t) = ke^{-\delta\tau}x(t-\tau)v(t-\tau) - \delta y(t) - py(t)z(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}v(t) = N\delta y(t) - \mu v(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}z(t) = qy(t)z(t) - bz(t), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with the initial conditions $x(\theta) = \varphi_1(\theta), y(0) = y_0, v(\theta) = \varphi_3(\theta), z(0) = z_0$ for $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, where $\varphi_i(\theta) \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}_+), i = 1, 3, y_0 \geq 0$ and $z_0 \geq 0$. All parameters are positive constant.

For (4.1), similar to (1.2), there exist three possible equilibria. From (2.4) and (2.5), the basic reproduction number for viral infection and for CTL response are given by

$$\bar{R}_0 = \frac{s}{d \frac{\mu}{ke^{-\delta\tau}N}} \text{ and } \bar{R}_1 = \frac{s}{d \frac{\mu}{ke^{-\delta\tau}N_d} + e^{\delta\tau} \delta \frac{b}{q}},$$

respectively. There exist the uninfected equilibrium $E_0(x_0, 0, 0, 0), x_0 = \frac{s}{d}$, the infected equilibrium without immune response $\bar{E}_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1, \bar{v}_1, 0)$ ($\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1, \bar{v}_1 > 0$) if $\bar{R}_0 > 1$ and the infected equilibrium with immune response $\bar{E}_2(\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_2, \bar{v}_2, \bar{z}_2)$ ($\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_2, \bar{v}_2, \bar{z}_2 > 0$) if $\bar{R}_1 > 1$ (see also [25, Section 3]).

Zhu and Zou [25] established the global asymptotic stability of the uninfected equilibrium E_0 for $\bar{R}_0 < 1$. Moreover, they obtained sufficient conditions for the local asymptotic stability of infected equilibria \bar{E}_1 and \bar{E}_2 by analysis of associated characteristic equations. Complete global dynamics for (4.1) is not clear and an open problem. However, similar to Theorem 3.1 in Section 3, we establish the following result.

Theorem 4.1 *i) If $\bar{R}_0 \leq 1$, then the uninfected equilibrium E_0 for (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable.*

ii) Assume $y_0 + \int_0^{\tau} f_1(\tau)\varphi_1(-\tau)\varphi_3(-\tau)d\tau > 0$, or $\varphi_3(0) > 0$. If $\bar{R}_1 \leq 1 < \bar{R}_0$, then the infected equilibrium without immune response \bar{E}_1 for (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

iii) Assume $z_0 > 0$ and either $y_0 + \int_0^{\tau} f_1(\tau)\varphi_1(-\tau)\varphi_3(-\tau)d\tau > 0$, or $\varphi_3(0) > 0$. If $\bar{R}_1 > 1$, then the infected equilibrium with immune response \bar{E}_2 for (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

Zhu and Zou [25, Theorems 3.3, 3.4] showed that the infected equilibrium without immune response \bar{E}_1 is locally asymptotically stable for $\bar{R}_1 < 1 < \bar{R}_0$ and the infected equilibrium with immune response \bar{E}_2 is locally asymptotically stable for $\bar{R}_1 > 1$ if the intracellular delay τ satisfies a condition (see [25, Theorem 3.4]). However, by Theorem 4.1, we establish that \bar{E}_1 is not only locally asymptotically stable but also globally asymptotically stable for $\bar{R}_1 \leq 1 < \bar{R}_0$. Moreover, \bar{E}_2 is globally asymptotically stable, whenever it exists, that is, $\bar{R}_1 > 1$.

5. Discussion

In this paper, we study global dynamics of delay differential equations for a virus-immune interaction *in vivo*. Two distributed time delays represent the time needed for infection of cell

and virus replication. Stability analysis for (1.2) with discrete intracellular delay was carried out by Li and Shu [10] and Zhu and Zou [25]. Li and Shu [10] studied a viral infection model which ignores the immune response to the viral infection and showed that their model always admits an equilibrium which is globally asymptotically stable. Recently, Li and Shu [11] has investigated a general viral infection model with distributed delay which also does not incorporate the immune response. Zhu and Zou [25] established global stability of an uninfected equilibrium and obtained sufficient conditions for local asymptotic stability of two infected equilibria when the distributed delay in (1.2) is given by a discrete. Zhu and Zou [25] did not address the global stability of two infected equilibria for their model.

To obtain an integrated view for the virus-immune interaction dynamics *in vivo*, we investigate the global stability of (1.2) by employing the method of Lyapunov functionals which are motivated by McClusky [13] for delayed epidemic models. (1.2) has three possible equilibria, an uninfected equilibrium and two infected equilibria with or without immune response. A combination of the basic reproduction number for viral infection R_0 and for CTL response R_1 , defined by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively, determine the existence of these equilibria. Moreover, they also fully determine the global dynamics of the model. The uninfected equilibrium E_0 is globally asymptotically stable if $R_0 \leq 1$ and the viruses are cleared. The infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 is globally asymptotically stable if $R_1 \leq 1 < R_0$ and the infection becomes chronic but with no persistent immune response. The infected equilibrium with immune response E_2 is globally asymptotically stable if $R_1 > 1$ and the infection becomes chronic with immune response. Theorem 3.1 is an extension result of the global stability results in Prüss et al., [20] and Li and Shu [10]. Moreover, we improve stability results in Zhu and Zou [25] (see Section 4).

We see that virus eventually persists if $R_0 > 1$, because the infected equilibrium E_1 or E_2 is globally asymptotically stable in this case. The infected equilibrium without immune response E_1 is globally asymptotically stable and the immune response does not work for $R_0 > 1 \geq R_1$. On the other hand, the immune response is activated and there exist two infected equilibria $E_1 = (x_1^*, y_1^*, v_1^*, 0)$ and $E_2 = (x_2^*, y_2^*, v_2^*, z_2^*)$ for $R_1 > 1$. Moreover, in these equilibria, one can see that the relations $x_1^* < x_2^*$ and $y_2^* < y_1^*$ hold due to the effect of immunity (see also Remark 2.4). Therefore, the global stability of E_2 for $R_1 > 1$ indicates that the immune activation has a positive role in the reduction of the infected cells and the increasing of the uninfected cells for $R_1 > 1$.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the referee for the valuable suggestions and numerous comments which led to a significant improvement on the original manuscript. The work of this paper was partially done during the author's visit as members of International Research Training Group 1529 in TU Darmstadt on February, 2010. Finally, the author is grateful to Professor Rico Zacher for the constructive comments and for bringing the paper [20] to his attention at the international workshop "Mathematical Fluid Dynamics" held in Waseda University, Tokyo on 8-16 March 2010.

References

- [1] C. Bartholdy, J. P. Christensen, D. Wodarz, A. R. Thomsen, Persistent Virus Infection despite Chronic Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Activation in Gamma Interferon-Deficient Mice Infected with Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus, *J. Virol.* 74 (22) (2000) 10304–10311.

- [2] R. Culshaw, S. Ruan, R. J. Spiteri, Optimal HIV treatment by maximising immune response, *J. Math. Biol.* 48 (5) (2004) 545–562.
- [3] H. Gomez-Acevedo, M. Y. Li, S. Jacobson, Multistability in a model for ctl response to htlv-i infection and its implications to ham/tsp development and prevention, *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 72 (3) (2010) 681–696.
- [4] J. K. Hale, S. M. Verduyn Lunel, Introduction to functional-differential equations, vol. 99 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [5] A. Herz, S. Bonhoeffer, R. Anderson, R. May, M. Nowak, Viral dynamics in vivo: limitations on estimates of intracellular delay and virus decay, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93 (14) (1996) 7247.
- [6] G. Huang, W. Ma, Y. Takeuchi, Global properties for virus dynamics model with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response, *Applied Mathematics Letters* In Press, Corrected Proof (2009) –.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2009.06.004>
- [7] T. Kajiwara, T. Sasaki, A note on the stability analysis of pathogen-immune interaction dynamics, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 4 (3) (2004) 615–622.
- [8] A. Korobeinikov, Global properties of basic virus dynamics models, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 66 (4) (2004) 879–883.
- [9] D. Li, W. Ma, Asymptotic properties of a HIV-1 infection model with time delay, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 335 (1) (2007) 683–691.
- [10] M. Y. Li, H. Shu, Global dynamics of an in-host viral model with intracellular delay, *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11538-010-9503-x>
- [11] M. Y. Li, H. Shu, Impact of intracellular delays and target-cell dynamics on in vivo viral infections, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 70 (7) (2010) 2434–2448.
- [12] C. Lv, Z. Yuan, Stability analysis of delay differential equation models of HIV-1 therapy for fighting a virus with another virus, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 352 (2) (2009) 672 – 683.
- [13] C. C. McCluskey, Complete global stability for an SIR epidemic model with delay- distributed or discrete, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 11 (1) (2010) 55 – 59.
- [14] J. E. Mittler, B. Sulzer, A. U. Neumann, A. S. Perelson, Influence of delayed viral production on viral dynamics in HIV-1 infected patients, *Mathematical Biosciences* 152 (2) (1998) 143 – 163.
- [15] A. Murase, T. Sasaki, T. Kajiwara, Stability analysis of pathogen-immune interaction dynamics, *J. Math. Biol.* 51 (3) (2005) 247–267.
- [16] P. W. Nelson, J. D. Murray, A. S. Perelson, A model of HIV-1 pathogenesis that includes an intracellular delay, *Mathematical Biosciences* 163 (2) (2000) 201 – 215.
- [17] P. W. Nelson, A. S. Perelson, Mathematical analysis of delay differential equation models of HIV-1 infection, *Math. Biosci.* 179 (1) (2002) 73–94.
- [18] M. Nowak, C. Bangham, Population dynamics of immune responses to persistent viruses, *Science* 272 (5258) (1996) 74.
- [19] A. S. Perelson, Modelling viral and immune system dynamics, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2 (1) (2002) 28–36.
- [20] J. Prüss, R. Zacher, R. Schnaubelt, Global asymptotic stability of equilibria in models for virus dynamics, *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.* 3 (7) (2008) 126–142.
- [21] K. Wang, W. Wang, H. Pang, X. Liu, Complex dynamic behavior in a viral model with delayed immune response, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 226 (2) (2007) 197 – 208.
- [22] Z. Wang, X. Liu, A chronic viral infection model with immune impairment, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 249 (3) (2007) 532 – 542.
- [23] D. Wodarz, J. P. Christensen, A. R. Thomsen, The importance of lytic and nonlytic immune responses in viral infections, *Trends in Immunology* 23 (4) (2002) 194 – 200.
- [24] H. Zhu, X. Zou, Impact of delays in cell infection and virus production on HIV-1 dynamics, *Math. Med. Biol.* 25 (2008) 99–112.
- [25] H. Zhu, X. Zou, Dynamics of a HIV-1 Infection model with cell-mediated immune response and intracellular delay, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 12 (2) (2009) 511–524.