

Adaptation Reduces Variability of the Neuronal Population Code

Farzad Farkhooi,^{1,*} Eilif Muller,² and Martin P. Nawrot¹

¹Neuroinformatics & Theoretical Neuroscience, Freie Universität Berlin and BCCN-Berlin, Germany

²Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

(Dated: August 8, 2018)

Sequences of events in noise-driven excitable systems with slow variables often show serial correlations among their intervals of events. Here, we employ a master equation for generalized non-renewal processes to calculate the interval and count statistics of superimposed processes governed by a slow adaptation variable. For an ensemble of spike-frequency adapting neurons, this results in the regularization of the population activity and an enhanced post-synaptic signal decoding. We confirm our theoretical results in a population of cortical neurons recorded *in vivo*.

PACS numbers: 87.19.la, 05.40.-a, 87.19.10, 87.19.1c

Statistical models of events assuming the renewal property, that the instantaneous probability for the occurrence of an event depends uniquely on the time since the last event, enjoys a long history of interest and applications in physics. However, many event processes in nature violate the renewal property. For instance, it is known that photon emission in multilevel quantum systems constitutes a non-renewal process [1]. Likewise, the time series of earthquakes typically exhibits a memory of previous shocks [2], as do the times of activated escape from a metastable state, as encountered in various scientific fields such as chemical, biological, and solid state physics [3]. Often, the departure from the renewal property arises when the process under study is modulated by some slow variable, which results in serial correlations among the intervals between successive events. In particular, the majority of spiking neurons in the nervous systems of different species show a serial dependence between inter-event intervals (ISI) due to the fact that their spiking activity is modulated by an intrinsic slow variable of self-inhibition, a phenomenon known as spike-frequency adaptation [4].

In this letter, we present a non-renewal formalism based on a population density treatment that enables us to quantitatively study ensemble processes augmented with a slow noise variable. We formally derive general expressions for the higher-order interval and count statistics of single and superimposed non-renewal processes for arbitrary observation times. In spiking neurons, intrinsic mechanisms of adaptation reduce output variability and facilitate population coding in neural ensembles. We confirm our theoretical results in a set of experimental *in vivo* recordings and analyse their implications for the read-out properties of a postsynaptic neural decoder.

Non-renewal Master Equation. We define the limiting probability density for an event given the state variable x by the so-called hazard function $h_x(x, t)$ where t denotes explicit dependence on time due to external input following [5, 6]. Here, we assume x has a shot-noise-like dynamics, which is widely used as a model of spike in-

duced neuronal adaptation [6]

$$\dot{x} := -x(t)/\tau + q \sum_k \delta(t - t_k), \quad (1)$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function, t_k is the time of the k^{th} event, and q is the quantile change in x at each event. The dynamics of x deviates from standard treatments of shot-noise (such as in [7]) in that the rate of events has a dependence on x as expressed by the hazard function $h_x(x, t)$. It is straightforward to show that the distribution of x in an ensemble, denoted by $\text{Pr}(x, t)$, is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \text{Pr}(x, t) &= \partial_x \left[\frac{x}{\tau} \text{Pr}(x, t) \right] + h_x(x - q, t) \text{Pr}(x - q, t) \\ &\quad - h_x(x, t) \text{Pr}(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Much insight can be gained by applying the method of characteristics [8] to establish a link between the state variable x and its time-like variable t_x . For Eq. (1) we define $t_x = \eta(x) := -\tau \ln(x/q)$, whereby $\frac{d}{dt} t_x = 1$. When an event occurs, $t_x \mapsto \psi(t_x)$, where $\psi(t_x) = \eta(\eta^{-1}(t_x) + q) = -\tau \ln(e^{-t_x/\tau} + 1)$ with its inverse given by $\psi(t_x)^{-1} = -\tau \ln(e^{-t_x/\tau} - 1)$. Thus, we define $h(t_x, t) := h_x(\eta^{-1}(t_x), t)$. This transformation of variables to t_x elucidates the connection of the model to renewal theory. Here, the reset condition after each event is not $t_x \mapsto 0$ (renewal) but $t_x \mapsto \eta(x + q)$ [5]. Therefore, the variable t_x that we may call a 'pseudo age' is a general state variable that no longer represents the time since the last event (age). Transforming variables in Eq. (2) from x to t_x yields in the steady state

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_x} \text{Pr}(t_x) &= -h(t_x) \text{Pr}(t_x) \\ &\quad + (1 - \Theta_0(t_x)) [h(\psi^{-1}(t_x)) \text{Pr}(\psi^{-1}(t_x))], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\Theta_0(t_x)$ is the Heaviside step function, and for convenience we defined $\psi^{-1}(t_x \geq 0) \equiv 0$. An efficient algorithm for solving Eq. (3) is given in [6]. We denote this solution by $\text{Pr}_{eq}(t_x)$. Further, the time-like transformation in Eq. (3) allows computation of the ISI by analogy to the renewal theory [6] and also permits the comparison to the master equation for a renewal process as given in Eq. (6.43) in [9]. The distribution

85 of t_x just prior to an event is a quantity of interest
 86 and it is derived as $\text{Pr}^*(t_x) = h(t_x)\text{Pr}_{eq}(t_x)/r_{eq}$, where
 87 $r_{eq} = \int h(t_x)\text{Pr}_{eq}(t_x)dt_x$ is a normalizing constant and
 88 also the process intensity or rate of the ensemble. Simi-
 89 larly, one can derive the distribution of t_x just after the
 90 event, $\text{Pr}^\dagger(t_x) = \text{Pr}^*(\psi^{-1}(t_x))\frac{d}{dt_x}\psi^{-1}(t_x)$ [6]. Then the
 91 relationship between t_x and the ordinary ISI distribution
 92 can be written as

$$\rho(\Delta) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t_x + \Delta)\Omega(t_x + \Delta)\text{Pr}^\dagger(t_x)dt_x, \quad (4)$$

93 where $\Omega(t_x + \Delta) = e^{-\int_{t_x}^{\Delta} h(t_x + u)du}$. Now the n^{th} moment
 94 μ_n of the distribution and its coefficient of variation C_v
 95 can be numerically determined.

96 *Counting Statistics.* In order to derive the count dis-
 97 tribution, we generalize the elegant approach for deriving
 98 the moment generating function as introduced in [10]: let
 99 $\rho_n(t_n, t_x^n | t_x^0)$ be the joint probability density given its ini-
 100 tial state t_x^0 , where t_n stands for time to n^{th} event and t_x^n
 101 is the corresponding adaptive state of the process. There-
 102 after, one can recursively derive

$$\tilde{\rho}_{n+1}(s, t_x^{n+1} | t_x^0) = \int \tilde{\rho}_n(s, t_x^n | t_x^0) \tilde{\rho}(s, t_x^{n+1} | t_x^n) dt_x^n, \quad (5)$$

103 where $\tilde{\rho}_{n+1}(s, t_x^{n+1} | t_x^0) = \mathcal{L}[\rho_{n+1}(t_{n+1}, t_x^{n+1} | t_x^0)]$ and \mathcal{L} is
 104 the Laplace transform with respect to time, assuming
 105 $\tilde{\rho}_1(s, t_x^1 | t_x^0) = \tilde{\rho}(s, t_x^1 | t_x^0)$ [10]. Next, defining the opera-
 106 tor $\mathbf{P}_n(s)$ and applying Bra-Kat notation as suggested
 107 in [10], leads to the Laplace transform of n^{th} events or-
 108 dinary density

$$\tilde{\rho}_n(s) = \langle 1 | \mathbf{P}_n(s) | \text{Pr}^\dagger \rangle = \langle 1 | [\mathbf{P}(s)]^n | \text{Pr}^\dagger \rangle, \quad (6)$$

109 where the operator \mathbf{P} associated with $\tilde{\rho}(s)$, which inter-
 110 estingly corresponds to the moment generating function
 111 of the sum of n non-independent intervals $\tilde{f}_n(s)$ as de-
 112 fined in [11]. Now, following Eqs. (2.15) in [11] Laplace
 113 transform of count distribution denoted as $\tilde{P}(n, s)$.

114 The Fano factor provides an index for the quantifica-
 115 tion of the count variability. It is defined as $J_T = \sigma_T^2/\mu_T$,
 116 where σ_T^2 and μ_T are the variance and the mean of
 117 the number of events in a certain time window T . It
 118 follows from the additive property of the expectation
 119 that $\mu_T = \int_0^T r(u)du$ and assuming constant firing rate
 120 $\mu_T = r_{eq}T$. To calculate the second moment of $\tilde{P}(n, s)$,
 121 we require $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s = \sum_k \tilde{\rho}_k(s)$, thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s = \langle 1 | \mathbf{P}(s)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}(s))^{-1} | \text{Pr}^\dagger \rangle, \quad (7)$$

122 where \mathbf{I} is the identity operator. Note, assuming a re-
 123 newal interval distribution in Eq. (4) one obtains $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s^r =$
 124 $\tilde{\rho}(s)/(1 - \tilde{\rho}(s))$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[r_{eq}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s] = r_{eq}\mathcal{A}(u)$ is the joint
 125 density of an event at time t and another event at time
 126 $t + u$. Thus, the autocorrelation of events is $A(u) =$
 127 $r_{eq}[\delta(u) + \mathcal{A}(u)]$. Now, by using Eq. (7) and the Eq.

128 (3.3) in [11], the second moment of the count statistics
 129 can be derived. Thus, we obtain the Fano factor

$$J_T = 1 + (2/T) \int_0^T (T - u)\mathcal{A}(u)du - r_{eq}T, \quad (8)$$

130 The asymptotic property of $F = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} J_T$ can be de-
 131 rived from the result stated in Eq. (7.8) in [11] as

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s - 1/(\mu_1 s)] = C_v^2[1/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k] - 1/2, \quad (9)$$

132 where ξ_k is the linear correlation coefficient between two
 133 k lagged intervals. Provided the limit exists, we find $F =$
 134 $C_v^2[1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k]$ in [12].

135 *Superposition.* We now generalize our results on the
 136 counting statistics to the superposition of independent
 137 point processes. This is of practical interest in all cases
 138 where we observe superimposed events that stem from
 139 multiple independent process, e.g. in photon detection
 140 devices, or in the case of a postsynaptic neuron that re-
 141 ceives converging inputs from multiple lines. We study
 142 the superposition of k stationary, orderly, and indepen-
 143 dent processes. The ensemble process will have a rate
 144 $\check{r} = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i$ and following Eq. (4.18) in [13] $\check{\mathcal{A}}(u) =$
 145 $\check{r} + \check{r}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k r_i [\mathcal{A}_i(u) - r_i]$. Here, for the sake of simplic-
 146 ity, we derive the desired relationship between C_v^2 and
 147 the ensemble \check{F} for k identical processes. To this end,
 148 we plug \check{r} and $\mathcal{L}[\check{\mathcal{A}}(u)]$ into the Eq. (9) and therefore
 149 it becomes $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} [\check{\mathcal{A}}_s - 1/(\mu_1 s)] = \text{CV}^2[1/2 + \Xi] - 1/2$,
 150 where CV and $\Xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Xi_i$ are the coefficient of vari-
 151 ation and the interval correlations of the superimposed
 152 process. Note that the left hand side of this equation and
 153 Eq. (9) are similar. Thus, we obtain

$$\text{CV}^2[1 + 2\Xi] = C_v^2[1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i]. \quad (10)$$

154 The left hand side of Eq. (10) is indeed the Fano factor
 155 \check{F} of the ensemble process as desired. Now, [13] sug-
 156 gests as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{CV}^2 \rightarrow 1$. Interestingly, if all individual
 157 processes fulfill the renewal condition, it follows from
 158 Eq. (10) that $\check{F} = C_v^2 = [1 + 2\Xi]$, and therefore if $C_v^2 \neq 1$
 159 the population activity is non-renewal with $\Xi < 0$ ($\Xi > 0$)
 160 for processes with $C_v^2 > 1$ ($C_v^2 < 1$). This important find-
 161 ing explains the numerical observation in [14] regarding
 162 emergence of non-renewal processes as the result of the
 163 superposition operation.

164 *Adaptation in a Neuronal Ensemble.* In [6] it has
 165 been shown by an adiabatic elimination of fast vari-
 166 ables that the master equation description of a detailed
 167 neuron model including voltage dynamics, conductance-
 168 based synapses, and spike-induced adaptation reduces to
 169 a stochastic point process similar to Eq. (3). The corre-
 170 sponding hazard function can be approximated as

$$\hat{h}_x(x) = a_t \exp(-b_t x), \quad (11)$$

171 where a_t and b_t are determined by the time dependent
 172 statistics of inputs [5] and the equilibrium rate consis-
 173 tency equation $r_{eq} \approx \hat{h}_x(r_{eq}q\tau)$ [6] with the solution

$$r_{eq} = \mathcal{W}(abq\tau)/(bq\tau), \quad (12)$$




FIG. 1. Adaptation reduces the Fano factor of the ensemble process. **Left Magenta:** J_T for arbitrary observation time T according to Eqs. (8) and (11) with $bq = 1.4$, $a = 5.0$ and $\tau = 400\text{ms}$. **Blue:** Fano factor for equivalent renewal ensemble process with interval distribution of Eq. (4). **Square Dots:** Numerically estimated Fano factor for superposition of the 5 realization runs of the full-detailed adaptive neuron model as in [6]. **Dash-dotted line:** C_v^2 . **Right Magenta:** Empirical \hat{J}_T estimated from the pooled spike trains of 5 cortical neurons. **Blue:** Fano factor for the pool of shuffled spike trains. **Dash-dotted:** Average C_v^2 of the 5 individual spike trains.

174 where \mathcal{W} is the Lambert function. In the case of van-
175 ishing adaptation ($bq \rightarrow 0$) the process approaches the
176 Poisson process with $r_{eq} \rightarrow a$.

177 We show in [5] that the adaptation dynamics in Eq. (1)
178 produces negative serial correlations $\xi_k < 0$. The
179 strength of serial correlation decays with increasing lag
180 k and depends on the mean adaptation, $E[x] = r_{eq}q\tau$.
181 Such a vanishing of negative serial interval correlations
182 with increasing lag is well supported by a large body of
183 experimental evidence [4]. The departure from the re-
184 newal property induced by adaptation reduces the Fano
185 factor Eq. (8) for the single process as well as for the
186 population model of superimposed processes.

187 We validate our theoretical result of the reduced Fano
188 factor in a set of experimental spike trains of $N = 5$
189 *in vivo* intracellular recorded neurons in the somatosen-
190 sory cortex of the rat. The spontaneous activity of each
191 of these neurons shows negative serial interval correla-
192 tions [15] where the empirical sum over correlation co-
193 efficients amounts to an average $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \xi_i = -0.28$. We
194 construct the population activity by superimposing all
195 5 spike trains. Thereafter, we estimate the Fano factor
196 as a function of the observation time and compare it to
197 the case where, prior to superposition, renewal statistics
198 is enforced for each individual neuron through interval
199 shuffling. Our experimental observation in Fig. 1 (Right)
200 confirms the theoretical prediction of a reduced Fano fac-
201 tor similar to individual neurons [16] in the population
202 level.

203 *Benefits for Neural Coding.* We provide three argu-
204 ments that demonstrate how the mechanism of spike-
205 frequency adaptation benefits neural processing and popu-
206 lation coding. First, our result of a reduced Fano factor
207 $\tilde{F} < C_v^2$ for the population activity of stationary adap-
208 tive processes ($bq > 0$) directly implies a reduction of the

209 noise in the neuronal population rate code. Our analysis
210 of a set of cortical data suggests a reduction of $> 50\%$
211 for long observation times. The reduction of J_T in Fig. 1
212 becomes significant even for small observation times of
213 ≈ 2 average intervals, which is a relevant time scale for
214 the transmission of a population rate signal. This result
215 is reminiscent of an effect that has previously been ac-
216 knowledged as noise shaping and weak stimuli detection
217 expressed in the reduction of the low frequency power in
218 a spectral analysis of spike trains with negative serial in-
219 terval correlations [17]. Our result confirms their findings
220 at the population level.

221 Our second argument is concerned with the transmis-
222 sion of a population rate signal. We may define a func-
223 tional neural ensemble by the common postsynaptic tar-
224 get neuron that receives the converging input of all en-
225 semble members. To elucidate the postsynaptic effect
226 of adaptation we simplify the ensemble autocorrelation
227 function $A(u)$ following [18] with an exponential approx-
228 imation

$$\hat{A}(u) = r_{eq}\delta(u) + [(F - 1)/2\tau_c] \exp(-u/\tau_c), \quad (13)$$

229 where the second term is the approximation of $r_{eq}A(u)$.
230 For given observation time window u , and τ_c the reduc-
231 tion of F implies that $\hat{A}_u^r < \hat{A}_u$. Therefore, the postsy-
232 naptic neuron receives inputs from an adaptive ensemble
233 that expresses an extended autocorrelation structure as
234 compared to the inputs from a non-adaptive ensemble.
235 Following the theory on the effect of input autocorrela-
236 tion on signal transmission in spiking neurons as devel-
237 oped in [18], a longer τ_c reduces the input current fluc-
238 tuations and this facilitates a faster and more reliable
239 transmission of the modulated input rate signal by the
240 postsynaptic target neuron.

241 Finally we argue that a postsynaptic neuron can bet-
242 ter decode a small change in its input if the presynaptic
243 neurons are adaptive. To this end, we compute the in-
244 formation gain of the postsynaptic activity, between two
245 counting distributions of an adaptive presynaptic ensem-
246 ble when $\hat{h}_x(x)$ is adiabatically transferred to $\hat{h}_x(x - \epsilon)$
247 with a small change ϵ in the input ensemble. It is con-
248 venient to use $\tilde{\rho}_n(s)$ which associated with counting dis-
249 tribution $\tilde{P}(n, s)$. Thus, we apply the Kullback-Leibler
250 divergence to the Eq. (6) before and after the adiabatic
251 change in the input

$$D_{KL}(\tilde{\rho}_n^\epsilon || \tilde{\rho}_n) = \sum_i \tilde{\rho}_i^\epsilon(s) \ln(\tilde{\rho}_i^\epsilon(s)/\tilde{\rho}_i(s)). \quad (14)$$

252 Using Eq. (7) we obtain $D_{KL}(\tilde{\rho}_n^\epsilon || \tilde{\rho}_n) = \mathcal{A}_s^\epsilon [\ln(\mathcal{A}_s^\epsilon/\mathcal{A}_s)]$.
253 Due to Eqs. (1) and (12), the mean adaptation after the
254 change is $E[x^\epsilon] = \tau q r_{eq}^\epsilon$. If $\epsilon > 0$ it follows that $r_{eq}^\epsilon \geq r_{eq}$.
255 Therefore the mean adaptation level increases and the
256 adapted process exhibits stronger negative serial correla-
257 tions and $\mathcal{A}_s^\epsilon > \mathcal{A}_s$. Thus, by Eq. (13), it is straight
258 forward to deduce that $D_{KL} > D_{KL}^r$, for renewal and
259 adaptive processes with identical interval distributions.




FIG. 2. Information gain per spike due to adaptation. **Left:** Transfer of equilibrium rate for fixed ϵ change of the input in adaptive and Poisson model. **Right:** Kullback-Leibler Divergence per extra spike as the measure of information gain for n^{th} event density of adaptive and Poisson processes while $u = 200\text{ms}$ and $\epsilon = 0.01\text{nS}$ with the same initial r_{eq} and $\kappa = r_{eq}^\epsilon - r_{eq}$.

We now compute the information gain of the adaptive ensemble process relative to a matched Poisson rate model. For different initial rate values r_{eq} we assume a small but fixed increase ϵ in the input that we express in parameter changes a^ϵ and b^ϵ in Eq. (11) as outlined in [5]. This leads to an increase $\kappa = r_{eq}^\epsilon - r_{eq}$ in rate that is effectively constant over a wide range of initial values r_{eq} (Fig. 2, Left). In the rate model, assuming the same initial value of r_{eq} , the same input step leads to a higher equilibrium rate increase $\kappa^{\text{Poisson}} > \kappa$, which depends on the initial rate (Fig. 2, Left) because the rate model lacks a mechanism of self-inhibition, which in the adaptive model counteracts the rate increase. Thereafter, we compute the Kullback-Leibler divergence for both models and normalize it by the change in the output rate κ . The result in Fig. 2 (Right) shows that D_{KL}/κ is larger for the adaptive model than for the rate model across the range of tested input rates. Thus, the information per extra spike is larger in the adaptive ensemble than in the renewal ensemble, and a postsynaptic neuron can discriminate small changes ϵ more efficiently, even though the absolute change in firing rate is lower.

Discussion. Our results point out a new aspect of spike frequency adaptation that benefits the reliable transmission and postsynaptic decoding of the neural population code. This aspect adds to the known properties of compression and temporal filtering of sensory input signals [19] in spike frequency adapting neurons. The specific result of Eq. (10) is also of practical consequence for the empirical analysis of the so-called multi-unit activity. By estimating Fano factor and serial correlations we readily obtain an estimate of the average C_v and serial correlation of the individual processes.

We developed a new formalism to treat event emitting systems that are influenced by a slow state variable, and we provide a number of useful general results on the higher order event statistics of superimposed renewal and non-renewal event processes, which are applicable to a wide range of event-based systems in nature [5]. The derivation of the state dependent hazard and mas-

ter equation [6] assumes incoherent input fluctuation as in the mean-field theory, where common input is negligible. Treating a network with coherent fluctuations as encountered in finite size networks requires an alternative derivation of the hazard function [5].

Acknowledgments. We thank Carl van Vreeswijk and Stefano Cardanobile for valuable comments, and Clemens Bousein for making the data available for re-analysis. This work was funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research to BCCN Berlin.

* Corresponding author: farzad@zedat.fu-berlin.de

- [1] See Refs. [6-7] and [19-26] in F. C. Soler, F. J. Rodriguez, and G. Zumofen, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053813 (2008).
- [2] V. N. Livina, S. Havlin, and A. Bunde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 208501 (2005).
- [3] B. Lindner and T. Schwalger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 210603 (2007).
- [4] Y. H. Liu and X. J. Wang, J Comput Neurosci **10**, 25 (2001); F. Farkhooi, M. F. Strube-Bloss, and M. P. Nawrot, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 021905 (2009).
- [5] Supplementary material at .
- [6] E. Muller, L. Buesing, J. Schemmel, and K. Meier, Neural Comp. **19**, 2958 (2007).
- [7] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods*, 3rd ed. (Springer, 2004).
- [8] M. de Kamps, Neural Comp. **15**, 2129 (2003).
- [9] W. Gerstner and W. M. Kistler, *Spiking Neuron Models*, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [10] C. van Vreeswijk, in *Analysis of Parallel Spike Trains*, Springer Series in Comput. Neurosci. (Springer, 2010).
- [11] J. A. McFadden, J. of the Royal Stat. Soc. Series B **24**, 364 (1962).
- [12] D. R. Cox and P. A. W. Lewis, *The Statistical Analysis of Series of Events*, Methuen's Monographs on Applied Probability and Statistics (Methuen, London, 1966).
- [13] D. Cox and V. Isham, *Point Processes*, 1st ed. (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1980).
- [14] A. J. Lawrence, J. of the Royal Stat. Soc. Series B **35**, 306 (1973); H. Câteau and A. D. Reyes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 058101 (2006); B. Lindner, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 022901 (2006).
- [15] M. P. Nawrot, C. Bousein, V. Rodriguez-Molina, A. Aertsen, S. Gruen, and S. Rotter, Neurocomputing **70**, 1717 (2007).
- [16] R. Ratnam and M. E. Nelson, J. Neurosci. **20**, 6672 (2000).
- [17] M. J. Chacron, B. Lindner, and A. Longtin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 080601 (2004); I. Fuwape and A. B. Neiman, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 051922 (2008); M. J. Chacron, A. Longtin, and L. Maler, J. Neurosci. **21**(14), 5328 (2001).
- [18] R. Moreno-Bote, A. Renart, and N. Parga, Neural Comput **20**, 1651 (2008); R. Moreno, J. de la Rocha, A. Renart, and N. Parga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 288101 (2002).
- [19] B. N. Lundstrom, M. H. Higgs, W. J. Spain, and A. L. Fairhall, Nat Neurosci **11**, 1335 (2008).