KdV-Volterra chain.

G.M. Pritula¹ and V.E. Vekslerchik^{1,2}

¹ Institute for Radiophysics and Electronics, Kharkov, Ukraine

² Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, Spain

E-mail: galinapritula@yandex.ru, vadym.vekslerchik@uclm.es

Abstract. This paper is devoted to the system of coupled KdV-like equations. It is shown that this apparently non-integrable system possesses an integrable reduction which is closely related to the Volterra chain. This fact is used to construct the hyperelliptic solutions of the original system.

Submitted to: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.

1. Introduction.

The equation we are going to study is

$$\left(\partial_t - \partial_{xxx}\right)q_n = 6\left(q_{n+1}q_n^3 q_{n-1}\right)_x.$$
(1)

The integrability/non-integrability of this apparently new system is now an open question. On one side, in this paper we establish its relationship with the well-known integrable system, the Volterra hierarchy (VH) [1, 2] (that is why we will call (1) the 'KdV-Volterra chain' (KdVVC)), and present the N-phase periodic solutions from which one can derive the N-soliton ones. According to the widely used hypothesis the existence of more than two-soliton solutions is a strong evidence of the integrability of the problem. On the other side, the system (1) can be easily reduced by taking $q_n = q$ to the generalized KdV equation,

$$q_t - q_{xxx} = 30 q^m q_x \tag{2}$$

with m = 4, that is not of solitonic type for m > 2 [3]. This statement does not have a rigorous proof and is based on the Painlevé-like tests and numerical studies of interaction between solitary waves (see e.g. [4]). However, after so many years it seems improbable that the integrability of the generalized KdV equation can be established. Consequently, according to the Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur hypothesis (another integrability test that is not proved but has a long history of successful applications) the KdVVC is nonintegrable. Here we have an apparent contradiction between the more than two-soliton and Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur tests: the former indicates the integrability of the KdVVC while the latter leads to the opposite conclusion. Probably we have a situation of the conditional integrability introduced by Dorizzi *et al* [5] when equations in high dimensions (the higher KP equations in the case of [5]) are not individually integrable but become such, if one demands that their solutions also satisfy the lower equations of the hierarchy. As one can see below, our situation is almost the same. So, it is clear that the question of integrability/non-integrability of (1) is not trivial and deserves a special study, which is out of the scope of this paper. The main result of the presented work is the fact that equation (1) is one of a small number of nonlinear (2+1)-dimensional systems for which an infinite family of explicit solutions can be derived.

In this paper after reducing equation (1) in section 2 to VH (namely this reduction is the main topic of this paper) and discussing the hyperelliptic solutions for the latter (section 3) we present the corresponding solutions for the KdVVC (sections 4 and 5).

2. Reduction to the VH.

The main result of this paper can be formulated as follows: if functions τ_n solve the system

$$\begin{cases} D_x \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = \tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-2} \\ (D_t - D_{xxx}) \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = 3 \tau_{n+2} \tau_{n-3} - 6a \tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-2} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where a is a constant, $D_{xxx} = D_x^3$ and D_t and D_x are Hirota's bilinear operators,

$$D_t^m D_x^m u \cdot v = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}\right)^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right)^n \left. u\left(t+t', x+x'\right) v\left(t-t', x-x'\right) \right|_{t'=x'=0}, (4)$$

then the quantities

$$p_n = \frac{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1}}{\tau_n^2} \tag{5}$$

solve the equation

$$\left(\partial_t - c\,\partial_x - \partial_{xxx}\right)p_n = 6\left(p_{n+1}p_n^3 p_{n-1}\right)_x\tag{6}$$

with some function c = c(x, t). In the case of constant c (6) is related to (1) by simple Galilean transformation: $q_n(t, x) = p_n(t, x - ct)$.

To prove this statement let us start from the first equation of system (3) which we rewrite as

$$\partial_x \mu_n = \frac{\tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-2}}{\tau_n \tau_{n-1}} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\mu_n = \ln \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_{n-1}}.\tag{8}$$

From (7) we can obtain the following equations for the functions p_n (5) that are related to μ_n by $p_n = \exp(\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n)$:

$$\partial_x p_n = p_n \left(u_{n+1} - u_n \right) \tag{9}$$

$$\partial_{xx}p_n = p_n \left(w_{n+1} - 4w_n + w_{n-1} + u_{n+1}^2 + u_n^2 \right) \tag{10}$$

where, in order to make the formulae more readable, we have introduced

$$u_n = p_n p_{n-1} = \frac{\tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-2}}{\tau_n \tau_{n-1}} \tag{11}$$

$$w_n = u_{n+1}u_n = p_{n+1}p_n^2 p_{n-1} = \frac{\tau_{n+2}\tau_{n-2}}{\tau_n^2}.$$
(12)

On the other hand, differentiating (7) we obtain

$$\partial_{xx}\,\mu_n = w_n - w_{n-1} \tag{13}$$

which implies

$$\partial_{xx} \ln \tau_n = w_n + b \tag{14}$$

where b, in general, is a function of t and x but does not depend on the index n.

Now we can calculate $D_{xxx} \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1}$ and then $\partial_t \mu_n$ and $\partial_t p_n$. Starting from the well-known formula for the Hirota's operators,

$$\frac{D_{xxx} a \cdot b}{ab} = \Lambda_{xxx} + \Lambda_x^3 + 3\Lambda_x \left(\ln ab\right)_{xx}, \qquad \Lambda = \ln \frac{a}{b}, \tag{15}$$

after a little lengthy but simple calculations we get

$$\frac{D_{xxx} \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1}}{\tau_n \tau_{n-1}} = u_n \left(w_{n+1} + 2w_n + 2w_{n-1} + w_{n-2} + u_{n+1}^2 + u_n^2 + u_{n-1}^2 - 2u_{n+1}u_{n-1} + 6b \right).$$
(16)

Substituting this result into the second equation of (3) we come to

$$(\partial_t - c \,\partial_x)\,\mu_n = u_n \left(w_{n+1} + 2w_n + 2w_{n-1} + w_{n-2} + u_{n+1}^2 + u_n^2 + u_{n-1}^2 + u_{n+1}u_{n-1}\right)$$
(17)

with c = 6(b-a), from which one can derive the expression for $\partial_t \ln p_n$. However, before doing that it seems useful to present (17) by means of the formulae for the derivatives of u_n and w_n stemming from (9)

$$\partial_x u_n = u_n \left(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1} \right) \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_x w_n = w_n \left(u_{n+2} + u_{n+1} - u_n - u_{n-1} \right) \tag{19}$$

in the following two forms:

$$(\partial_t - c \,\partial_x)\,\mu_n = \quad (\partial_x + u_n - u_{n-1})\,A_n + B_{n-1} \tag{20}$$

$$= - \left(\partial_x + u_{n+1} - u_n\right) A_n + B_n \tag{21}$$

with

$$A_n = u_n \left(u_{n+1} + u_n + u_{n-1} \right) \tag{22}$$

$$B_n = u_{n+1}u_n \left(2u_{n+2} + 3u_{n+1} + 3u_n + 2u_{n-1}\right).$$
(23)

Using (20) to calculate $(\partial_t - c \partial_x) \mu_{n+1}$ and (21) to calculate $(\partial_t - c \partial_x) \mu_n$ we can easily obtain

$$(\partial_t - c \,\partial_x) \ln p_n = (\partial_t - c \,\partial_x) \,\mu_{n+1} - (\partial_t - c \,\partial_x) \,\mu_n \tag{24}$$

$$= (\partial_x + u_{n+1} - u_n) (A_{n+1} + A_n)$$
(25)

or, recalling the fact that $u_{n+1} - u_{n-1} = \partial_x \ln p_n$,

$$(\partial_t - c \,\partial_x) \, p_n = \partial_x \, p_n \left(A_{n+1} + A_n \right). \tag{26}$$

Comparing the above expression with (10),

$$p_n \left(A_{n+1} + A_n \right) = \partial_{xx} \, p_n + 6 w_n p_n, \tag{27}$$

we obtain

$$\left(\partial_t - c\,\partial_x - \partial_{xxx}\right)p_n = 6\,\partial_x\left(w_n p_n\right) \tag{28}$$

which is nothing but (6).

Equations (3) belong to the VH, one of the classical integrable models for which a wide range of solutions has already been constructed such as, e.g., solitons [1, 2] and quasiperiodic solutions [6, 7, 8, 9]. The main idea behind the present work is to use these results (with minor modifications) to derive solutions for (1) that is possible due to the established relation between (3) and (1). To illustrate this approach we obtain the periodic solutions for (1) starting from the hyperelliptic ones for the VH obtained in [7] (see also [6] for the one-phase (elliptic) case). In the next section we rederive these solutions using an alternative to the methods in [7, 8, 9] and present the formulae we need for our purposes.

3. Hyperelliptic solutions for the VH.

Consider the hyperelliptic Riemann surface Γ

$$\Gamma: \qquad w^2 = \mathcal{P}_{2g+1}(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+1} (\xi - \xi_i)$$
(29)

which is a compact Riemann surface of the genus g. One can choose a set of closed contours (cycles) $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1,\dots,g}$ with the intersection indices

$$a_i \circ a_j = b_i \circ b_j = 0, \qquad a_i \circ b_j = \delta_{ij} \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, g$$

$$(30)$$

and find g independent holomorphic differentials ω_k satisfying the normalization conditions

$$\oint_{a_i} \omega_k = \delta_{ik}, \qquad i, k = 1, \dots, g. \tag{31}$$

The matrix of the *b*-periods,

$$\Omega_{ik} = \oint_{b_i} \omega_k \tag{32}$$

determines the so-called period lattice, $L_{\Omega} = \{ \boldsymbol{m} + \Omega \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} \}$, the Jacobian of this surface $\operatorname{Jac}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}^{g}/L_{\Omega}$ (2g torus) and the Abel mapping $\Gamma \to \operatorname{Jac}(\Gamma)$,

$$P \to \int_{P_0}^{P} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{33}$$

where P is a point of Γ , $P = (w, \xi)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the g-vector of the 1-forms, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g)^T$, and P_0 is some fixed point of Γ .

A central object of the theory of the compact Riemann surfaces is the θ -function, $\theta(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \theta(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \Omega),$

$$\theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^{g}} \exp\left\{\pi i\left(\boldsymbol{n},\Omega\boldsymbol{n}\right) + 2\pi i\left(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right)\right\}$$
(34)

where $(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ stands for $\sum_{i=1}^{g} n_i \zeta_i$, which is a quasiperiodic function on \mathbb{C}^{g}

$$\theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\boldsymbol{n}\right) = \theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right) \tag{35}$$

$$\theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\Omega\boldsymbol{n}\right) = \exp\left\{-\pi i\left(\boldsymbol{n},\Omega\boldsymbol{n}\right) - 2\pi i\left(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right)\right\}\theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}\right)$$
(36)

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$.

The calculations presented below are based on the famous Fay's trisecant formula [10, 11] that can be written as

$$\varepsilon_{P_3}^{P_4}\varepsilon_{P_1}^{P_2} \ \theta \ \theta_{P_1P_2}^{P_3P_4} - \varepsilon_{P_1}^{P_3}\varepsilon_{P_2}^{P_4} \ \theta_{P_2}^{P_3} \ \theta_{P_1}^{P_4} + \varepsilon_{P_2}^{P_3}\varepsilon_{P_1}^{P_4} \ \theta_{P_1}^{P_3} \ \theta_{P_2}^{P_4} = 0.$$
(37)

Here

$$\theta_{P_1\dots P_m}^{Q_1\dots Q_m} = \theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{P_i}^{Q_i} \boldsymbol{\omega}\right)$$
(38)

and the skew-symmetric function ε_P^Q , $\varepsilon_P^Q = -\varepsilon_Q^P$, is closely related to the prime form [11] and is given by

$$\varepsilon_P^Q = \theta \left(\boldsymbol{e} + \int_P^Q \boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \tag{39}$$

where \boldsymbol{e} is a zero of the θ -function: $\theta(\boldsymbol{e}) = 0$.

In what follows we will fix the points P_1 , P_2 and P_3 as the images of the points $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = \infty$,

$$P_{1} = O = (+w_{0}, 0)$$

$$P_{2} = \infty$$

$$W_{0} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{2g+1}(0)}$$

$$P_{3} = \overline{O} = (-w_{0}, 0)$$
(40)

and will consider the fourth point appearing in (37) as a variable one. Definition (40) leads to the possibility to take such integration paths in (37) that give

$$\int_{O}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\overline{O}}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{0}$$
(41)

(to this end it is enough, for example, to connect the points O and \overline{O} with ∞ by the curves in Γ having the same projections on the ξ -plane).

Now, by elementary transformations, we can convert the *bilinear* identity (37) into the form which gives us solutions for the VH.

Making the shift $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \to \boldsymbol{\zeta}_n = \boldsymbol{\zeta} + n\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and introducing the function $\Theta_n(P)$ by

$$\Theta_n(P) = \theta \left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_n + \boldsymbol{\delta}(P) \right) \tag{42}$$

where the vectors $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}(P)$ are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = \int_{\overline{O}}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\delta}(P) = \int_{O}^{P} \boldsymbol{\omega}$$
(43)

the Fay's identity (37) can be rewritten as

$$u(P)\Theta_{n-1}(O)\Theta_n(P) - \Theta_n(O)\Theta_{n-1}(P) = \tilde{u}(P)\Theta_{n-2}(O)\Theta_{n+1}(P)$$
(44)

with

$$u(P) = \frac{\varepsilon_{O}^{\overline{O}}}{\varepsilon_{O}^{\infty}}, \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}^{P}}{\varepsilon_{\overline{O}}^{P}} \qquad \tilde{u}(P) = \frac{\varepsilon_{O}^{P}}{\varepsilon_{\overline{O}}^{P}}.$$
(45)

This equation implies that the function

$$T_n(P) = \alpha^{n^2/2} [u(P)]^n \Theta_n(P)$$
(46)

where α is a constant that will be defined later solves

$$T_{n-1}(O) T_n(P) - T_n(O) T_{n-1}(P) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(P) T_{n-2}(O) T_{n+1}(P)$$
(47)

with

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(P) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_O^{\infty}}{\varepsilon_O^{\overline{O}}}\right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon_O^P \varepsilon_{\overline{O}}^P}{(\varepsilon_\infty^P)^2}.$$
(48)

Noting that the fraction $\varepsilon_O^P \varepsilon_{\overline{O}}^P / (\varepsilon_{\infty}^P)^2$ has the same zeroes and poles as the projection $\xi(P)$,

$$\xi(P): \qquad P = (w,\xi) \to \xi \tag{49}$$

one can conclude that it is possible to find the value of the constant α which ensures

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(P) = \xi(P). \tag{50}$$

Now we have to introduce the dependence of $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ on an infinite number of 'times' t_j in such a way that the shift

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} \to \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \boldsymbol{\delta}(P) \tag{51}$$

is the Miwa's shift

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{t}) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}(..., t_j, ...) \to \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{t} + [\boldsymbol{\xi}]) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(..., t_j + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}^j}{j}, ...\right).$$
(52)

To do this, let us take ξ as a local parameter near the point O of Γ . Thus the forms ω_i can be presented as

$$\omega_i = \tilde{\omega}_i(\xi) \, d\xi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \, \xi^k d\xi \tag{53}$$

which leads to

$$\int_{O}^{P} \omega_i = \int_{0}^{\xi} \tilde{\omega}_i(\eta) d\eta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \frac{\xi^{k+1}}{k+1}$$
(54)

and

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_j \frac{\xi^j}{j} \tag{55}$$

where ζ_j is the vector with the components $\tilde{\omega}_{i,j-1}$. Now it is easy to check that if we take

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_j t_j, \tag{56}$$

then

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(\mathbf{t} + [\boldsymbol{\xi}]\right) - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(\mathbf{t}\right) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(P). \tag{57}$$

In a similar way using the expansion

$$\ln u(P) = \sum_{j} \lambda_j \xi^j \tag{58}$$

one can introduce the function

$$\phi\left(\mathbf{t}\right) = \sum_{j} \phi_{j} t_{j} \tag{59}$$

with

$$\phi_j = j\lambda_j \tag{60}$$

KdV-Volterra chain.

such that

$$u(P) = e^{\phi(t+[\xi]) - \phi(t)}.$$
(61)

Combining the above results one can present the functions $T_n(P)$ as functions $\tau_n(t)$:

$$T_n(O) = \tau_n(\mathbf{t}), \qquad T_n(P) = \tau_n(\mathbf{t} + [\xi])$$
(62)

where

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}) = \alpha^{n^2/2} e^{n\phi(\mathbf{t})} \theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{t}) + n\boldsymbol{\nu}\right)$$
(63)

and conclude that functions $\tau_n(t)$ solve

$$\tau_{n-1}(t) \ \tau_n(t+[\xi]) - \tau_n(t) \ \tau_{n-1}(t+[\xi]) = \xi \ \tau_{n-2}(t) \ \tau_{n+1}(t+[\xi]).$$
(64)

Equation (64) is nothing but the so-called functional representation of the VH [12]. Expanding it in the power series in ξ one can show that any of its solutions also solves equations of the VH, the first three of which are given by

$$D_{t_1} \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = \tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-2} \tag{65}$$

$$D_{t_2} \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = D_{t_1} \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_{n-2} \tag{66}$$

$$(8D_{t_3} + D_{t_1t_1t_1}) \ \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = (6D_{t_2} + 3D_{t_1t_1}) \ \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_{n-2} \tag{67}$$

Eliminating the t_2 -derivatives one can rewrite the last equation as

$$(D_{t_3} - D_{t_1 t_1 t_1}) \tau_n \cdot \tau_{n-1} = 3\tau_{n+2}\tau_{n-3} - 6b \tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-2}$$
(68)

where, recall, $b = \partial_{t_1t_1} \ln \tau_n - w_n$ and does not depend on *n* by virtue of (65). Thus the functions τ_n given by (63) together with (56) and (58), (59) are hyperelliptic solutions for equations (3) with a = b. This means that we have everything necessary to construct the hyperelliptic solutions for the KdVVC (1).

4. Hyperelliptic solutions for the KdVVC.

As it can be seen from the results of the previous section, the τ -functions (63) constructed from the hyperelliptic θ -functions satisfy equations (3), (14) with a = b. This means that we do not need to know the exact values of the constants a and b because the parameter c appearing in (6) for p_n is automatically equal to zero in this case. In other words, we do not need to make the Galilean transformation and the hyperelliptic solutions for the KdVVC, q_n , are given by

$$q_n = \frac{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1}}{\tau_n^2}.$$
 (69)

Recalling the definition of τ_n (63) one can note that the functions $\phi(t)$ cancel themselves due to the structure of (69). We have introduced the function u(P) in (46), and hence the function $\phi(t)$ in (61), to rewrite the Fay's identity as the standard bilinear functional equations of the VH [12]. In principle one can deduce directly from (44) some functional equations for the quantities $\Theta_{n-1}\Theta_{n+1}/\Theta_n^2$ which lead to the differential equations solved by p_n and q_n . However, in this case one has to perform more cumbersome calculations giving up the advatages of the bilinear approach. Moreover, we have not used this way because one of the goals of this work is to demostrate the relationship of (1) with the standard Volterra equations. The disappearance of the factor $e^{n\phi(t)}$ from the final formulae for q_n is a typical effect of the bilinear and the inverse scattering approaches: periodic solutions of integrable equations lead to quasiperiodic solutions of corresponding linear and bilinear problems. In some sense, the Fay-like formulae of the previous section contain more information than we need for the present work: for example, the above mentioned function $\phi(t)$ which is inessential for q_n would be crucial if we were deriving the Baker-Akhiezer function related to (69).

The role of the parameter α is different: the factor $\alpha^{n^2/2}$ 'survives' the bilinearization procedure of calculating $\tau_{n-1}\tau_{n+1}/\tau_n^2$ and appears in the final formulae as the 'amplitude' of the solutions.

Thus,

$$q_n = \alpha \frac{\theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{n+1}\right) \theta\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{n-1}\right)}{\theta^2\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_n\right)}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\zeta}_n = \boldsymbol{\zeta} + n\boldsymbol{\nu}.$$
(70)

The dependence of q_n on x and t can be established by comparing (3) and (68). It is obvious that we have to identify x with t_1 and t with t_3 neglecting all the other times $t_k, k \neq 1, 3$ (they play the role of a constant):

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}(x,t) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 \, x + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_3 \, t + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_*, \qquad \boldsymbol{\zeta}_* = \text{constant} \tag{71}$$

where $\zeta_{1,3}$ are the vectors with the components

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1)_i &= \tilde{\omega}_{i0} &= \tilde{\omega}_i(0) \\ (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_3)_i &= \tilde{\omega}_{i2} &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}_i''(0). \end{aligned}$$

$$(72)$$

5. Elliptic solutions for the KdVVC.

In this section we rewrite solutions (70), (71) and calculate all the constant parameters in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions. Expression (70) for g = 1 becomes

$$q_n = \alpha \frac{\vartheta_3\left(\zeta_{n+1}\right)\vartheta_3\left(\zeta_{n-1}\right)}{\vartheta_3^2\left(\zeta_n\right)}, \qquad \zeta_n = \zeta + n\nu \tag{73}$$

(we use the notation of the book [13]) and can be rewritten using the Jacobi functions as

$$q_n = q_* f\left(z_n\right) \tag{74}$$

where

$$f(z) = f(z; a, k) = 1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(a, k) \operatorname{sn}^2(z, k)$$
(75)

and

$$z_n = K(k) (2\zeta_n + 1), \qquad a = 2K(k)\nu$$
(76)

with K(k) being the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. Starting from the standard formulae for the derivatives of the elliptic functions one can obtain the following identity for the function f(z):

$$f''(z) = \beta_2 f^2(z) + \beta_1 f(z) + \beta_0$$
(77)

where

$$\beta_{2} = -\frac{6}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} a}$$

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{12}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} a} - 4(1+k^{2})$$

$$\beta_{0} = -\frac{6}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} a} + 4(1+k^{2}) - 2k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2} a.$$
(78)

Another useful property of the function f(z) stems from the superposition formulae for the elliptic functions:

$$f(z+a)f^{2}(z)f(z-a) = \gamma_{1}f(z) + \gamma_{0}$$
(79)

where

$$\gamma_{1} = 4 \operatorname{cn}^{2} a \operatorname{dn}^{2} a
\gamma_{0} = f^{2}(a) - 4 \operatorname{cn}^{2} a \operatorname{dn}^{2} a.$$
(80)

Using (77) and (79) one can verify the fact that the functions

$$q_n(x,t) = q_* f(z+na) \tag{81}$$

with

$$z = z(x,t) = \kappa x + \varpi t + \text{constant.}$$
(82)

satisfy the equation

$$\kappa^3 \frac{d^2 q_n}{dz^2} - \varpi q_n + 6\kappa q_{n-1} q_n^2 q_{n+1} = C = \text{constant}$$
(83)

provided

$$\kappa^2 = -6\frac{\gamma_1}{\beta_2}q_*^4, \qquad \varpi = \beta_1\kappa^3 + 6\gamma_0\kappa q_*^4 \tag{84}$$

(the constant C is given by $C = \gamma_0 \kappa^3 q_*$) which implies that functions q_n solve (1). Substituting the values of β_i , γ_i we come to the final result: the elliptic solutions of the KdVVC are given by (81), (75) and (82) with

$$\kappa = 2\operatorname{sn} a \operatorname{cn} a \operatorname{dn} a q_*^2 \tag{85}$$

$$\varpi = 6 \left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}^2 2a} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}^2 a} - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + k^2 \right) \right] \kappa^3.$$
(86)

Acknowledgements.

This work has been partially supported by grants FIS2007-29093-E (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain) and PCI-08-0093 (Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain).

References

- S.V. Manakov, 1974, Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems. Soviet Phys. JETP 40, 269–274.
- [2] M. Kac and P. van Moerbeke, 1975, On an explicitly soluble system of nonlinear differential equations related to certain Toda lattices. Advances in Mathematics, 16, 160–169.
- [3] R.M. Miura, 1976, The Korteweg-de Vries equation: A survey of results. SIAM Review, 18, 412– 459.
- [4] M.J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, 1992, Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [5] B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, P. Winternitz, 1986, Are all the equations of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy integrable? J. Math. Phys., 27, 2848-2852.
- [6] V.L. Vereshchagin, 1988, Hamiltonian structure of averaged difference systems. Matematicheskie Zametki, 44, 584-595, English translation: Mathematical Notes, 44, 798–805.
- [7] W. Bulla, F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, and G. Teschl, 1998, Algebro-geometric quasi-periodic finite-gap solutions of the Toda and Kac-van Moerbeke hierarchies. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 135 (Providence: American Mathematical Society).
- [8] G. Teschl, 2000, Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 72, (Providence: American Mathematical Society).
- [9] F. Gesztesy, J. Michor, H. Holden, G. Teschl, 2008, Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions. II: (1+1)-dimensional discrete models. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [10] J. Fay, 1973, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces. Lect. Notes in Math., 352 (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer).
- [11] D.Mumford, 1984, Tata lectures on Theta II. (Boston: Birkhauser).
- [12] V.E. Vekslerchik, 2005, Functional representation of the Volterra hierarchy. J. of Nonlin. Math. Phys., 12, 409–431.
- [13] H. Bateman, 1955, Higher Transcendental Functions, Volume 3. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman and compiled by the staff of the Bateman manuscript project, director Arthur Erdélyi (New York, Toronto, London: Mc Graw-Hill).