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#### Abstract

The generalized sine-Gordon (sG) equation $u_{t x}=\left(1+\nu \partial_{x}^{2}\right) \sin u$ was derived as an integrable generalization of the sG equation. In a previous paper (Matsuno Y 2010 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 105204) which is referred to as I, we developed a systematic method for solving the generalized sG equation with $\nu=-1$. Here, we address the equation with $\nu=1$. By solving the equation analytically, we find that the structure of solutions differs substantially from that of the former equation. In particular, we show that the equation exhibits kink and breather solutions and does not admit multi-valued solutions like loop solitons as obtained in I. We also demonstrate that the equation reduces to the short pulse and sG equations in appropriate scaling limits. The limiting forms of the multisoliton solutions are also presented. Last, we provide a recipe for deriving an infinite number of conservation laws by using a novel Bäcklund transformation connecting solutions of the sG and generalized sG equations.
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## 1. Introduction

The generalized sine-Gordon (sG) equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}=\left(1+\nu \partial_{x}^{2}\right) \sin u \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(x, t)$ is a scalar-valued function, $\nu$ is a real parameter, $\partial_{x}^{2}=\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}$ and the subscripts $t$ and $x$ appended to $u$ denote partial differentiation, has been derived in [1] using bi-Hamiltonian methods. In the case of $\nu=-1$, its integrability was established by constructing a Lax pair associated with it and the initial value problem was formulated for decaying initial data by means of the inverse scattering method [2]. Quite recently, we developed a systematic method for solving equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$ and obtained soliton solutions in the form of parametric representation [3], which will be referred to as I hereafter. We showed that the solutions exhibit various new features which have never seen in the sG solitons. We also demonstrated that the generalized sG equation reduces to the physically important short pulse and sG equations in appropriate scaling limits.

In this paper, we consider equation (1.1) with $\nu=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}=\left(1+\partial_{x}^{2}\right) \sin u \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Despite its resemblance to equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$, the analysis of equation (1.2) by the inverse scattering method has not been done and explicit solutions are still unavailable [2]. This is a motivation why we address equation (1.2). We use an exact method of solution developed in I to construct soliton solutions and investigate their properties. One of the remarkable features of equation (1.2) is that it does not admit multi-valued solutions like loop solitons as obtained in I. It is therefore of considerable interest to study the structure of solutions in conparison with those of equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we summarize a direct method of solution in such a manner that is relevant to equation (1.2). Specifically, a hodograph transformation makes it possible to transform equation (1.2) into an integrable system of nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs). This system is further recast to a system of bilinear equations through the dependent variable transformations. The solutions to the bilinear equations can be constructed following the standard procedure in the bilinear
transformation method $[4,5]$. Inverting the relationship that determines the hodograph transformation, we obtain a parametric representation of the solution of equation (1.2) in terms of tau functions. In section 3, we present soliton solutions and investigate their properties focusing mainly on 1 - and 2 -soliton and general multisoliton solutions. Throughout this paper, we use the term 'soliton' as a generic name of elementary solutions such as kink, antikink and breather solutions. In section 4, we show that equation (1.2) reduces to the short pulse equation in an appropriate scaling limit. The limiting form of the multisoliton solution is also presented. We find that the short pulse equation exhibits a novel type of singular soliton solutions. Last, we briefly discuss the reduction to the sG equation, reproducing the known results about the multisoliton solution and its characteristics. In section 5 , we provide a recipe for deriving an infinite number of conservation laws starting from those of the sG equation. It is based on a novel Bäcklund transformation connecting solutions of the sG and generalized sG equations. Section 6 is devoted to conclusion where we discuss some open problems associated with the generalized sG equation. In Appendix A, we present a method for obtaining solutions of traveling type and recover the 1 -soliton solutions.

## 2. Exact method of solution

### 2.1. Hodograph transformation

Here, we summarize an exact method of solution which consists of a sequence of nonlinear transformations. First, we introduce the new dependent variable $r$ in accordance with the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=1-u_{x}^{2}, \quad(0<r<1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to transform equation (1.2) into the conservation law of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}-(r \cos u)_{x}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression makes it possible to define the hodograph transformation $(x, t) \rightarrow(y, \tau)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=r d x+r \cos u d t, \quad d \tau=d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $x$ and $t$ derivatives are then rewritten in terms of the $y$ and $\tau$ derivatives as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+r \cos u \frac{\partial}{\partial y} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the new variables $y$ and $\tau,(2.1)$ and (2.2) are recast into the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{2}=1-r^{2} u_{y}^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)_{\tau}+(\cos u)_{y}=0, \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. Further reduction is possible if one defines the variable $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{y}=\sinh \phi, \quad \phi=\phi(y, \tau) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}=\cosh \phi \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.7) and (2.8) into equation (2.6), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\tau}=\sin u \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we eliminate the variable $\phi$ from (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain a single PDE for $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{\tau y}}{\sqrt{1+u_{y}^{2}}}=\sin u \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, elimination of the variable $u$ gives a single PDE for $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi_{\tau y}}{\sqrt{1-\phi_{\tau}^{2}}}=\sinh \phi \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inverting the hodograph transformation (2.4) and using (2.8), the equation that determines the inverse mapping $(y, \tau) \rightarrow(x, t)$ is found to be governed by the system of linear PDEs for $x=x(y, \tau)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{y}=\cosh \phi,  \tag{2.12a}\\
& x_{\tau}=-\cos u . \tag{2.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is important that the integrability of the system of equations (2.12) is assured by (2.7) and (2.9). Thus, given $\phi$ and $u$, the above system of equations can be integrated to
give the parametric expression of $x$ in terms of $y$ and $\tau$. Remarkably, we were able to perform the integration analytically. This last step for constructing solutions is the core of the present analysis. The main results associated with soliton solutions will be given by theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2 below.

### 2.2. Bilinear formalism

Here, we develop a method for solving a system of PDEs (2.7) and (2.9). Let $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ be solutions of the sG equation

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{\tau y}=\sin \sigma, & \sigma=\sigma(y, \tau) \\
\sigma_{\tau y}^{\prime}=\sin \sigma^{\prime}, & \sigma^{\prime}=\sigma^{\prime}(y, \tau) \tag{2.13b}
\end{array}
$$

The solutions of the above equations can be put into the form [6-9]

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =2 \mathrm{i} \ln \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}  \tag{2.14a}\\
\sigma^{\prime} & =2 \mathrm{i} \ln \frac{g^{\prime}}{g} \tag{2.14b}
\end{align*}
$$

For soliton solutions, the tau functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ satisfy the following system of bilinear equations [6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\tau} D_{y} f \cdot f & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{2}-f^{\prime 2}\right),  \tag{2.15a}\\
D_{\tau} D_{y} f^{\prime} \cdot f^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime 2}-f^{2}\right),  \tag{2.15b}\\
D_{\tau} D_{y} g \cdot g & =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{2}-g^{\prime 2}\right),  \tag{2.16a}\\
D_{\tau} D_{y} g^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime 2}-g^{2}\right), \tag{2.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the bilinear operators $D_{\tau}$ and $D_{y}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tau}^{m} D_{y}^{n} f \cdot g=\left.\left(\partial_{\tau}-\partial_{\tau^{\prime}}\right)^{m}\left(\partial_{y}-\partial_{y^{\prime}}\right)^{n} f(\tau, y) g\left(\tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tau^{\prime}=\tau, y^{\prime}=y}, \quad(m, n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we seek solutions of equations (2.7) and (2.9) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{F^{\prime}}{F} \tag{2.18a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\ln \frac{G^{\prime}}{G}, \tag{2.18b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F, F^{\prime}, G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are new tau functions. If we impose the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime} F=G^{\prime} G, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

among these tau functions, then equations (2.7) and (2.9) can be transformed to the following bilinear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i } D_{y} F^{\prime} \cdot F=\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{\prime 2}-G^{2}\right),  \tag{2.20}\\
& \text { i } D_{\tau} G^{\prime} \cdot G=\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{2}-F^{\prime 2}\right), \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. The proposition below provides the tau functions $F, F^{\prime}, G$ and $G^{\prime}$ in terms of $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.1. If we impose the conditions for the tau functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { i } D_{y} f \cdot g^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} g\right)  \tag{2.22a}\\
\text { i } D_{y} f^{\prime} \cdot g & =\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime} g-f g^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.22b}\\
\text { i } D_{\tau} f \cdot g & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(f g-f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.23a}\\
\text { i } D_{\tau} f^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime} g^{\prime}-f g\right) \tag{2.23b}
\end{align*}
$$

then the solutions of bilinear equations (2.20) and (2.21) subjected to the condition (2.19) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=f g, \quad F^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g^{\prime}  \tag{2.24a}\\
& G=f g^{\prime}, \quad G^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g \tag{2.24b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is obvious that the tau functions (2.24) satisfy the condition (2.19). We first prove (2.20). Substituting (2.24) into the left-hand side of (2.20) and using (2.22), we find that

$$
\text { i } \begin{aligned}
D_{y} F^{\prime} \cdot F & =\mathrm{i}\left\{\left(D_{y} f^{\prime} \cdot g\right) f g^{\prime}-\left(D_{y} f \cdot g^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime} g\right\} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime} g\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{2}-G^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is (2.20). The proof of (2.21) can be done in the same way by using (2.23).

### 2.3. Parametric representation

We demonstrate that the solution of equation (1.2) admits a parametric representation. The following relation is crucial to integrate (2.12):

Proposition 2.2. $\cosh \phi$ is given in terms of the tau functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \phi=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\ln \frac{g^{\prime} g}{f^{\prime} f}\right)_{y} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (2.22), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{i}\left(\ln \frac{g^{\prime} g}{f^{\prime} f}\right)_{y} & =-\mathrm{i} \frac{D_{y} f \cdot g^{\prime}}{f g^{\prime}}-\mathrm{i} \frac{D_{y} f^{\prime} \cdot g}{f^{\prime} g} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(f g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(f^{\prime} g\right)^{2}}{f^{\prime} f g^{\prime} g}-1 \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.18b) and (2.24b) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\cosh \phi & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{G}+\frac{G}{G^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(f g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(f^{\prime} g\right)^{2}}{f^{\prime} f g^{\prime} g} . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The relation (2.25) follows immediately by comparing (2.26) and (2.27).
Integrating (2.12a) with (2.25) by $y$ yields the expression of $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y+\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{g^{\prime} g}{f^{\prime} f}+d(\tau) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is an integration constant which depends generally on $\tau$. The expression (2.28) now leads to our main result:

Theorem 2.1. The solution of equation (1.2) can be expressed by the parametric representation

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(y, \tau)=\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{f g}  \tag{2.29a}\\
x(y, \tau)=y-\tau+\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{g^{\prime} g}{f^{\prime} f}+y_{0} \tag{2.29b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the tau functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ satisfy equations (2.15), (2.16), (2.22) and (2.23) and $y_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant independent of $y$ and $\tau$.

Proof. The expression (2.29a) for $u$ is a consequence of (2.18a) and (2.24a). To prove (2.29b), we substitute (2.28) into (2.12b) and obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}\left(\ln \frac{g^{\prime} g}{f^{\prime} f}\right)_{\tau}+d^{\prime}(\tau)=-\cos u \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of (2.30) is modified by using (2.23) whereas the right-hand side can be expressed by $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ in view of (2.29a). After a few calculations, we find that most terms are cancelled, leaving the equation $d^{\prime}(\tau)=-1$. Integrating this equation, one obtains $d(\tau)=-\tau+y_{0}$, which, substituted into (2.28), gives the expression (2.29b) for $x$.

An interesting feature of the parametric solution (2.29) is that it never exhibits singularities as encountered in the case of equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$ (see I). To demonstrate this, we calculate $u_{x}$ from (2.7) and (2.8) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}=r u_{y}=\tanh \phi \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (2.31) implies that $u_{x}$ always takes finite value and singular solutions such as loop solitons and multi-valued kinks obtained in I never exist for equation (1.2). See Figures 2-4 in I. It should be remarked, however, that the above relation does not exclude the presence of singular solutions like peaked waves (or peakons), for instance which have a finite discontinuity in their slope at the crest. The construction of the latter type of solutions is of some interest from the mathematical point of view.

### 2.4. Multisoliton solutions

The last step in constructing solutions is to find the tau-functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ for the sG equation which satisfy simultaneously the bilinear equations (2.22) and (2.23). The following theorem establishes this purpose:

Theorem 2.2. The tau-functions $f, f^{\prime}, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ given below satisfy both the bilinear forms
(2.15) and (2.16) of the $g G$ equation and the bilinear equations (2.22) and (2.23),

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\xi_{j}+d_{j}+\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j k}\right]  \tag{2.32a}\\
& f^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\xi_{j}+d_{j}-\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j k}\right],  \tag{2.32b}\\
& g=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\xi_{j}-d_{j}+\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j k}\right],  \tag{2.33a}\\
& g^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\xi_{j}-d_{j}-\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j k}\right], \tag{2.33b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{j}=p_{j} y+\frac{1}{p_{j}} \tau+\xi_{j 0}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N),  \tag{2.34a}\\
\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{j k}}=\left(\frac{p_{j}-p_{k}}{p_{j}+p_{k}}\right)^{2}, \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots, N ; j \neq k),  \tag{2.34b}\\
e^{d_{j}}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\mathrm{i} p_{j}}{1-\mathrm{i} p_{j}}}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N) . \tag{2.34c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{j 0}$ are arbitrary complex parameters satisfying the conditions $p_{j} \neq \pm p_{k}$ for $j \neq k, \mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}$ and $N$ is an arbitrary positive integer. The notation $\sum_{\mu=0,1}$ implies the summation over all possible combination of $\mu_{1}=0,1, \mu_{2}=0,1, \ldots, \mu_{N}=0,1$.

Proof. It has been shown that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ from (2.32) and $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ from (2.33) satisfy the bilinear equations (2.15) and (2.16), respectively [6]. To prove that (2.32) and (2.33) satisfy the bilinear equations (2.22) and (2.23), we replace the parameters $p_{j}$ by $-\mathrm{i} p_{j}(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, N)$ and shift the phase parameters as $\xi_{j 0} \rightarrow \xi_{j 0}-d_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ and at the same time change the variables $y$ and $\tau$ as $y \rightarrow \mathrm{i} y, \tau \rightarrow-\mathrm{i} \tau$, respectively. It then turns out that $\xi_{j}$ and $\gamma_{j k}$ defind respectively by (2.34a) and (2.34b) remain the same form. Thus, the proof reduces to the theorem 2.2 in I. See also Remark 2.5 in I.

The parametric solution (2.29) with (2.32) and (2.33) is characterized by the 2 N complex parameters $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{j 0}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$. It produces in general the complex-valued
solutions. The real-valued solutions are obtainable if one imposes certain conditions on these parameters. Actually, there arise various type of solutions depending on values of the parameters. These solutions include kinks, antikinks and breathers. Among them, we consider following three types:

## Type 1: Kink solution

First, let $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{j 0}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ be real quantities. It then follows from (2.34c) that $d_{j}^{*}=-d_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ where the asterisk denotes the complex conjugate. Taking account this fact in (2.32) and (2.33), we find that $f^{\prime}=g^{*}$ and $g^{\prime}=f^{*}$. These relations are substituted into (2.29) to give the real parametric solution

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(y, \tau)=\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{f^{*} g^{*}}{f g}  \tag{2.35a}\\
x(y, \tau)=y-\tau+\mathrm{i} \ln \frac{f^{*} g}{f g^{*}}+y_{0} . \tag{2.35b}
\end{gather*}
$$

As will be demonstrated in section 3, this solution yields the $N$-kink solution if the parameters $p_{j}$ are all positive. The expression $u_{x}$ represents the $N$-soliton solution which decays at infinity. Remarkably, the amplitude of each soliton does not exceed 1 by virtue of the relation (2.31). The $N$-antikink solution is also available if these parameters are set to be negative. We can also produce kink-antikink solutions. For example, let $N=M+M^{\prime}$ where $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are positive integers and assign $M$ positive and $M^{\prime}$ negative values for $N$ parameters $p_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$. Then, the parametric solution represents the solution describing the interaction among $M$ kinks and $M^{\prime}$ antikinks.

## Type 2: Breather solution

The second example is the parameterization which gives rise to the breather solution. To show this, we put $N=2 M$ where $M$ is a positive integer, and specify the parameters $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{j, 0}(j=1,2, \ldots, 2 M)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 j-1}=p_{2 j}^{*}, \quad \xi_{2 j-1,0}=\xi_{2 j, 0}^{*}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from (2.34) and (2.36) that $\xi_{2 j-1}=\xi_{2 j}^{*}, d_{2 j-1}=-d_{2 j}^{*}(j=1,2, \ldots, M)$. It turns out from (2.32) and (2.33) that $f^{\prime}=g^{*}$ and $g^{\prime}=f^{*}$. Then, the solution can be written in the same form as (2.35).

## Type 3: Kink-breather solution

Let $N=2 M+M^{\prime}$ where $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are positive integers. In addition to the parameterization given by $(2.36)$, the $2 M^{\prime}$ parameters $p_{j}(>0)$ and $\xi_{j 0}\left(j=2 M+1,2 M+2, \ldots, 2 M+M^{\prime}\right)$ are chosen to be real. Then, the parameteric solution (2.35) represents the solution describing the interaction among $M$ breathers and $M^{\prime}$ kinks. The antikink-breather solution can be constructed similarly.

For the above three types of solutions, $\phi$ from (2.18b) and $u_{x}$ from (2.31) can be given explicitly in terms of the tau functions $f, g$ and their complex conjugate as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi=\ln \frac{g^{*} g}{f^{*} f},  \tag{2.37}\\
u_{x}=\frac{\left(g^{*} g\right)^{2}-\left(f^{*} f\right)^{2}}{\left(g^{*} g\right)^{2}+\left(f^{*} f\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that (2.37) provides real solutions of equation (2.11).

## 3. Properties of solutions

In this section, we describe the properties of real solutions constructed in section 2 . We address both the kink and breather solutions.

### 3.1. 1-soliton solutions

The tau-functions for the 1 -soliton solutions are given by (2.32) and (2.33) with $N=1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=1+\mathrm{i}^{\xi_{1}+d_{1}}  \tag{3.1a}\\
& g=1+\mathrm{ie}^{\xi_{1}-d_{1}} \tag{3.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=p_{1} y+\frac{\tau}{p_{1}}+\xi_{10}, \quad \mathrm{e}^{d_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\mathrm{i} p_{1}}{1-\mathrm{i} p_{1}}} \tag{3.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real parameters $p_{1}$ and $\xi_{10}$ are related to the amplitude and phase of the soliton, respectively and $\xi_{1}$ is the phase variable characterizing the solution. The parametric representation of the solution (2.35) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1+p_{1}^{2}} \sinh \xi_{1}\right)+\pi \tag{3.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y-\tau+2 \tan ^{-1}\left(p_{1} \tanh \xi_{1}\right)+2 \tan ^{-1} p_{1}+y_{0} . \tag{3.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $u$ solves equation (1.2), then so do the functions $\pm u+2 \pi n$ ( $n$ : integer). For investigating solutions of traveling-wave type like 1 -soliton solutions, it is convenient to parameterize solutions in terms of single variable $\xi_{1}$. To this end, we introduce a new variable $X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \equiv x+c_{1} t+x_{0}=\frac{\xi_{1}}{p_{1}}+2 \tan ^{-1}\left(p_{1} \tanh \xi_{1}\right)+y_{0} \tag{3.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{p_{1}^{2}}+1, \tag{3.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{0}=\xi_{10} / p_{1}-2 \tan ^{-1} p_{1}$. Here, we used (2.3) and (3.2b). Observing the soliton in the original $(x, t)$ coordinate system, it travels to the left at the constant velocity $c_{1}$. The soliton takes the form of a kink or an antikink depending on the sign of $p_{1}$. To see this, we compute $u_{X}$ by using (3.2a) and (3.3a) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{X}=\frac{2 p_{1}}{\sqrt{1+p_{1}^{2}}} \frac{\cosh \xi_{1}}{\cosh ^{2} \xi_{1}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{1+p_{1}^{2}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression implies that if $p_{1}>0$, then the solution $u$ becomes a monotonically increasing function of $X$ and has the boundary values $u(-\infty)=0, u(+\infty)=2 \pi$. If $p_{1}<0$, on the other hand, it represents an antkink solution. Figure 1 shows a typical profile of the kink solution as a function of $X$ together with the corresponding profile of $v \equiv u_{X}$. To study the propagation characteristic of the soliton, we derive the dependence of the soliton velocity on the amplitude. To this end, let $A(>0)$ be the amplitude of $v$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{2\left|p_{1}\right| \sqrt{1+p_{1}^{2}}}{2 p_{1}^{2}+1}=\frac{2 \sqrt{c_{1}}}{c_{1}+1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in passing to the last line, we used the relation (3.3b). Notice from (3.5) and $c_{1}>1$ by (3.3b) that $0<A<1$. Solving (3.5) for $c_{1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{A^{2}}\left[-A^{2}+2+2 \sqrt{1-A^{2}}\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from (3.6) that the velocity of the soliton is a monotonically decreasing function of the amplitude. In other words, the small soliton travels faster than the large soliton.

Note, however, that if one transforms to the laboratory coordinate system $(Z, T)$ defined by the relations $Z=x+t, T=x-t$, then the velocity $\hat{c}_{1}$ of the soliton $u_{Z}$ turns out to be a monotonically increasing function of the amplitude $\hat{A}$. Indeed, expression corresponding to (3.6) becomes $\hat{c}_{1}=1-2 /\left(\hat{A}^{2}+1\right), \hat{A}>1$. This feature is the same as that of the sG soliton solution expressed in terms of the laboratory coordinate. The situation is different for soliton solutions of equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$, as detailed in I. In this case, the velocity would become a monotonically decreasing function of the amplitude for certain range of the amplitude parameter.

In view of the importance of the 1 -soliton solution as an elementary solution, we provide an alternative derivation of the solution in appendix. The derivation is simpler compared with that presented in section 2 and is used frequently in reducing PDEs to tractable ordinary differential equations (ODEs).

## Figure 1

### 3.2. 2-soliton solutions

The tau-functions for the 2 -soliton solutions read from (2.32) and (2.33) with $N=2$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+d_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{2}+d_{2}}\right)-\delta \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+d_{1}+d_{2}}  \tag{3.7a}\\
& g=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}-d_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{2}-d_{2}}\right)-\delta \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}-d_{1}-d_{2}} \tag{3.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{j}=p_{j} y+\frac{\tau}{p_{j}}+\xi_{j 0}, \quad \mathrm{e}^{d_{j}}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\mathrm{i} p_{j}}{1-\mathrm{i} p_{j}}} \quad(j=1,2), \quad \delta=\frac{\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parametric solution (2.35) with (3.7) represents three types of solutions, depending on values of the parameters $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{0 j}(j=1,2)$, i.e., kink-kink, kink-antikink and breather solutions.

### 3.2.1. Kink-kink solution

If we specify $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be positive and $\xi_{01}$ and $\xi_{02}$ be real, then the kink-kink solution is obtained. The solution represents the so-called $4 \pi$ kink. In figure 2a-c, we depict a typical
profile of $v\left(\equiv u_{x}\right)$ instead of $u$ for three different times. It represents the interaction of two solitons with the amplitudes $A_{1}=0.38$ and $A_{2}=0.75$. As evidenced from figure 2, a smaller soliton overtakes, interacts and emerges ahead of a larger soliton. This reflects the fact that the velocity of each soliton is a monotonically decreasing function of its amplitude (see (3.6)). The general formula for the phase shift arising from the interaction of $N$ solitons will be given by (3.18) below. In particular, for $N=2$, it reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1} & =-\frac{1}{p_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{p_{1}-p_{2}}{p_{1}+p_{2}}\right)^{2}+4 \tan ^{-1} p_{2}  \tag{3.8a}\\
\Delta_{2} & =\frac{1}{p_{2}} \ln \left(\frac{p_{1}-p_{2}}{p_{1}+p_{2}}\right)^{2}-4 \tan ^{-1} p_{1} \tag{3.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be verified from (3.8) that $\Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$ for $0<p_{1}<p_{2}$. In the present example, formula (3.8) yields $\Delta_{1}=10.3$ and $\Delta_{2}=-4.2$. If one observes the interaction process in the laboratory coordinate system introduced in section 3.1, then one can see that the larger (smaller) soliton suffers a positive (negative) phase shift after the interaction, which is in accordance with the property of the sG 2-soliton solution written in terms of the laboratory coordinate.

## Figure 2 a-c

### 3.2.2. Breather solution

The breather solution can be constructed following the parameterization given by (2.36). For $M=1$, let

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=a+\mathrm{i} b, \quad p_{2}=a-\mathrm{i} b=p_{1}^{*}, \quad(a>0, b>0),  \tag{3.9a}\\
\xi_{10}=\lambda+\mathrm{i} \mu, \quad \xi_{20}=\lambda-\mathrm{i} \mu=\xi_{10}^{*} . \tag{3.9b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, $f$ and $g$ from (2.32) and (2.33) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+d_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}^{*}-d_{1}^{*}}\right)+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}+d_{1}-d_{1}^{*}}  \tag{3.10a}\\
& g=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}-d_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}^{*}+d_{1}^{*}}\right)+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}-d_{1}+d_{1}^{*}} \tag{3.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{1}=\theta+\mathrm{i} \chi,  \tag{3.10c}\\
\theta=a\left(y+\frac{1}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \tau\right)+\lambda,  \tag{3.10d}\\
\chi=b\left(y-\frac{1}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \tau\right)+\mu,  \tag{3.10e}\\
\mathrm{e}^{d_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1-a^{2}-b^{2}+2 \mathrm{i} a}{a^{2}+(1-b)^{2}}} \equiv \alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta} . \tag{3.10f}
\end{gather*}
$$

The tau functions $f$ and $g$ can be written in terms of the new variables defined by (3.10) as

$$
\begin{align*}
f & =1+\left\{-\alpha \sin (\chi+\beta)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \sin (\chi-\beta)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\theta}+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \theta} \cos 2 \beta \\
& +\mathrm{i}\left[\left\{\alpha \cos (\chi+\beta)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \cos (\chi-\beta)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\theta}+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \theta} \sin 2 \beta\right],  \tag{3.11a}\\
g & =1+\left\{\alpha \sin (\chi+\beta)-\frac{1}{\alpha} \sin (\chi-\beta)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\theta}+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \theta} \cos 2 \beta \\
& +\mathrm{i}\left[\left\{\alpha \cos (\chi+\beta)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \cos (\chi-\beta)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\theta}-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \theta} \sin 2 \beta\right] . \tag{3.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

The phase variable $\theta$ characterizes the envelope of the breather whereas the phase variable $\chi$ governs the internal oscillation. The parametric solution can be written by (2.35). In figure 3a-c, a typical profile of $u$ is depicted for three different times. We see that the breather propagates to the left while changing its profile. The propagation characteristic of the breather is similar to that presented in I.

Figure 3 a-c

## 3.3. $N$-soliton solutions

The solutions including an arbitrary number of solitons can be constracted from the parametric representation (2.35) with tau functions (2.32) and (2.33). There exist a variety of solutions which are composed of any combination of kink, antikink and breather solutions. Here, we address the $N$-kink solutions and $M$ breather solutions. For the former solutions, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions for large time and derive the
formulas for the phase shift while for the latter ones, we provide a recipe for constructing $M$ breather solution from the $N$-soliton solution. As an example, we present a solution describing the interaction between a kink and a breather.

### 3.3.1. N-kink solution

Let the velocity of the $j$ th kink be $c_{j}=\left(1 / p_{j}^{2}\right)+1\left(p_{j}>0\right)$ and order the magnitude of the velocity of each kink as $c_{1}>c_{2}>\ldots>c_{N}$. We observe the interaction of $N$ kinks in a moving frame with a constant velocity $c_{n}$. We take the limit $t \rightarrow-\infty$ with the phase variable $\xi_{n}$ being fixed. We then find that $f$ and $g$ have the following leading-order asymptotics

$$
\begin{align*}
& f \sim \delta_{n} \exp \left[\sum_{j=n+1}^{N}\left(\xi_{j}+d_{j}+\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)\right]\left(1+\mathrm{ie}^{\xi_{n}+d_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(-)}}\right),  \tag{3.12a}\\
& g \sim \delta_{n} \exp \left[\sum_{j=n+1}^{N}\left(\xi_{j}-d_{j}+\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)\right]\left(1+\mathrm{ie}^{\xi_{n}-d_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(-)}}\right), \tag{3.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{n}^{(-)}=\sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{p_{n}-p_{j}}{p_{n}+p_{j}}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.12c}\\
& \delta_{n}=\prod_{n+1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(\frac{p_{j}-p_{k}}{p_{j}+p_{k}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.12d}
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute (3.12) into (2.35), we obtain the asymptotic form of $u$ and $x$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \sim 2 \tan ^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1+p_{n}^{2}} \sinh \left(\xi_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(-)}\right)\right]+\pi  \tag{3.13a}\\
x \sim y-\tau+2 \tan ^{-1}\left[p_{n} \tanh \left(\xi_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(-)}\right)\right]+4 \sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}+2 \tan ^{-1} p_{n}+y_{0} . \tag{3.13b}
\end{gather*}
$$

As $t \rightarrow+\infty$, the expressions corresponding to (3.13) are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \sim 2 \tan ^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1+p_{n}^{2}} \sinh \left(\xi_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(+)}\right)\right]+\pi,  \tag{3.14a}\\
x \sim y-\tau+2 \tan ^{-1}\left[p_{n} \tanh \left(\xi_{n}+\delta_{n}^{(+)}\right)\right]+4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}+2 \tan ^{-1} p_{n}+y_{0} . \tag{3.14b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}^{(+)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(\frac{p_{n}-p_{j}}{p_{n}+p_{j}}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.14c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{c}$ be the center position of the $n$th kink in the $(x, t)$ coordinate system. It simply stems from the relation $\xi_{n}+\delta_{n}^{( \pm)}=0$ by invoking (3.13a) and (3.14a). Thus, as $t \rightarrow-\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{c}+c_{n} t+x_{n 0} \sim-\frac{1}{p_{n}} \delta_{n}^{(-)}+4 \sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}+y_{0} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{n 0}=\xi_{n 0} / p_{n}-2 \tan ^{-1} p_{n}$. As $t \rightarrow+\infty$, on the other hand, the corresponding expression turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{c}+c_{n} t+x_{n 0} \sim-\frac{1}{p_{n}} \delta_{n}^{(+)}+4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}+y_{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take into account the fact that all kinks propagate to the left, we can define the phase shift of the $n$th kink as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}=x_{c}(t \rightarrow-\infty)-x_{c}(t \rightarrow+\infty) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.12c), (3.14c), (3.15) and (3.16), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{n}=\frac{1}{p_{n}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(\frac{p_{n}-p_{j}}{p_{n}+p_{j}}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{p_{n}-p_{j}}{p_{n}+p_{j}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +4 \sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}-4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tan ^{-1} p_{j}, \quad(n=1,2, \ldots, N) . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.18) coincides with the formula for the phase shift arising from the interaction of $N$ kinks of the sG equation [6, 7, 9] whereas the second and third terms appear as a consequence of the coordinate transformation (2.3).

### 3.3.2. M-breather solution

The construction of the $M$-breather solution can be done following the similar procedure to that for the 1-breather solution developed in section 3.2.2. To proceed, we specify the parameters in (2.32) and (2.33) for the tau-functions $f$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 j-1}=p_{2 j}^{*} \equiv a_{j}+\mathrm{i} b_{j}, \quad a_{j}>0, \quad b_{j}>0, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M) \tag{3.19a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2 j-1,0}=\xi_{2 j, 0}^{*} \equiv \lambda_{j}+\mathrm{i} \mu_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M) \tag{3.19b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the phase variables $\xi_{2 j-1}$ and $\xi_{2 j}$ are written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{2 j-1}=\theta_{j}+\mathrm{i} \chi_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M)  \tag{3.20a}\\
\xi_{2 j}=\theta_{j}-\mathrm{i} \chi_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M) \tag{3.20b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the real phase variables

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{j}=a_{j}\left(y+c_{j} \tau\right)+\lambda_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M),  \tag{3.20c}\\
\chi_{j}=b_{j}\left(y-c_{j} \tau\right)+\mu_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M),  \tag{3.20d}\\
c_{j}=\frac{1}{a_{j}^{2}+b_{j}^{2}}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, M) . \tag{3.20e}
\end{gather*}
$$

The parametric solution (2.35) with (3.19) and (3.20) describes multiple collisions of $M$ breathers. One can perform an asymptotic analysis for the $M$-breather solution, showing that the $M$-breather solution splits into $M$ single breathers as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$. The resulting asymptotic form of the solution is, however, too complicated to write down and hence we omit the detail. One can refer to the similar analysis to that for the $M$-breather solution of the short pulse equation [10].

### 3.3.3 Kink-breather solution

We take a 3 -soliton solution with parameters $p_{j}$ and $\xi_{0 j}(j=1,2,3)$. If one impose the conditions that $p_{2}=p_{1}^{*}, \xi_{02}=\xi_{01}^{*}$ as already specified for the breather solution (see section 3.2.2) and $p_{3}(>0), \xi_{03}$ real for the kink solution, then the expression of $u$ would represent a solution describing the interaction between a kink and a breather. We choose $p_{1}, p_{2}, \xi_{10}$ and $\xi_{20}$ as those given by (3.9). Then, the tau functions $f$ and $g$ from (2.32) and (2.33) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=1+\mathrm{i}\left(s_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{1}^{*}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}^{*}}+s_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{3}}\right)+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{s_{1}}{s_{1}^{*}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}} \\
-\delta_{13} s_{1} s_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{3}}-\delta_{13}^{*} \frac{s_{3}}{s_{1}^{*}} \mathrm{E}^{*}+\xi_{3}  \tag{3.21a}\\
\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{s_{1} s_{3}}{s_{1}^{*}} \delta_{13} \delta_{13}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}+\xi_{3}}, \\
g=1+\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}}+s_{1}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}^{*}}+\frac{1}{s_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{3}}\right)+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{s_{1}^{*}}{s_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\delta_{13}}{s_{1} s_{3}} e^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{3}}-\delta_{13}^{*} \frac{s_{1}^{*}}{s_{3}} e^{{ }_{1}^{*}+\xi_{3}}+\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \frac{s_{1}^{*}}{s_{1} s_{3}} \delta_{13} \delta_{13}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{*}+\xi_{3}} . \tag{3.21b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{d_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1-b+\mathrm{i} a}{1-b-\mathrm{i} a}}=\frac{1}{s_{2}^{*}}, \quad s_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\mathrm{i} p_{3}}{1-\mathrm{i} p_{3}}}, \quad \delta_{13}=\left(\frac{a-p_{3}+\mathrm{i} b}{a+p_{3}+\mathrm{i} b}\right)^{2}=\delta_{23}^{*} . \tag{3.21c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 4a-c shows a typical profile of $v \equiv u_{x}$ for three different times. We see that the soliton overtakes the breather whereby it suffers a phase shift. An asymptotic analysis using the tau functions (3.21) yields the formula for the phase shift of the soliton, which we denote $\Delta$. Actually, one has for $p_{3}^{2}<a^{2}+b^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{2}{p_{3}} \ln \frac{\left(p_{3}+a\right)^{2}+b^{2}}{\left(p_{3}-a\right)^{2}+b^{2}}+4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 a}{1-a^{2}-b^{2}}, \tag{3.22a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $a^{2}+b^{2}<p_{3}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=-\frac{2}{p_{3}} \ln \frac{\left(p_{3}+a\right)^{2}+b^{2}}{\left(p_{3}-a\right)^{2}+b^{2}}-4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 a}{1-a^{2}-b^{2}} . \tag{3.22b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present example, formula (3.22a) gives $\Delta=7.7$.

Figure 4 a-c

### 3.3.4 Breather-breather solution

The breather-breather (or 2-breather) solution is reduced from a 4 -soliton solution following the procedure described in section 3.3.2. Figure 5a-c shows a typical profile of $u$ for three different times. It represents a typical feature common to the interaction of solitons, i.e., each breather recovers its profile after collision.

## Figure 5a-c

## 4. Reduction to the short pulse and sG equations

We write the short pulse equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}=u-\frac{\nu}{6}\left(u^{3}\right)_{x x} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(x, t)$ represents the magnitude of the electric field and $\nu$ is a real constant. The short pulse equation (4.1) with $\nu=-1$ was proposed as a model nonlinear equation describing the propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in nonlinear media [11]. Quite recently, equation (4.1) with $\nu=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t x}=u-\frac{1}{6}\left(u^{3}\right)_{x x} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was shown to model the evolution of ultra-short pulses in the band gap of nonlinear metamaterials [12]. Here, we demonstrate that the generalized sG equation (1.2) is reduced to an alternative version of the short pulse equation (4.2) by taking an appropriate scaling limit combined with a coordinate transformation. The reduced forms of equations corresponding to (2.7), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) are also presented. The $N$-soliton solution of the short pulse equation can be derived from that of the generalized sG equation. The reduction to the sG equation is briefly discussed.

### 4.1. Reduction to the short pulse equation

### 4.1.1. Scaling limit of the generalized $s G$ equation

The reduction to the short pulse equation (4.2) can be done by employing the procedure developed in I. Therefore, we outline the result. Let us first introduce new variables with bar according to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{u}=\frac{u}{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{x}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}(x+t), \quad \bar{y}=\frac{y}{\epsilon} \quad \bar{y}_{0}=\frac{y_{0}}{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{t}=\epsilon t, \quad \bar{\tau}=\epsilon \tau, \\
\bar{p}_{j}=\epsilon p_{j}, \quad \bar{\xi}_{j 0}=\xi_{j 0}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N), \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small parameter and the quantities with bar are assumed to be order 1. Rewriting equation (1.2) in terms of the new variables and expanding $\sin \epsilon \bar{u}$ in an infinite series with respect to $\epsilon$ and comparing terms of order $\epsilon$ on both sides, we obtain equation (4.2) written by the new variables.

Under the scaling (4.3), expression (2.7) is invariant and hence we put $\bar{\phi}=\phi$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{\bar{y}}=\sinh \bar{\phi} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.9) then reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{\bar{\tau}}=\bar{u} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.10) and (2.11) now become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\bar{u}_{\bar{y} \bar{y}}}{\sqrt{1+\bar{u}_{\bar{y}}^{2}}}=\bar{u}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \bar{\phi}_{\bar{\tau} \bar{y}}=\sinh \bar{\phi} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Equation (4.7) is known as the sinh-Gordon equation.

### 4.1.2. Scaling limit of the $N$-soliton solution

To derive the scaling limit of the $N$-soliton solution, we use the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j} d_{j}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1+\frac{\mathrm{i} p_{j}}{\epsilon}}{1-\frac{\mathrm{i} p_{j}}{\epsilon}}\right)^{\frac{\mu_{j}}{2}}=\exp \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\right)\left(1-\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{j}}{\bar{p}_{j}}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the scaled variables (4.3). These are substituted into (2.32a) to obtain the expansion of the tau function $f$

$$
\begin{align*}
f & =\sum_{\mu=0,1}\left(1-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{j}}{\bar{p}_{j}}\right) \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\bar{\xi}_{j}+\pi \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{j k}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =\bar{f}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \bar{f}_{\bar{\tau}}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{4.9a}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{f}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\bar{\xi}_{j}+\pi \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{j k}\right],  \tag{4.9b}\\
\bar{\xi}_{j}=\bar{p}_{j} \bar{y}+\frac{\bar{\tau}}{\bar{p}_{j}}+\bar{\xi}_{j 0}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N)  \tag{4.9c}\\
\mathrm{e}^{\bar{\gamma}_{j k}}=\left(\frac{\bar{p}_{j}-\bar{p}_{k}}{\bar{p}_{j}+\bar{p}_{k}}\right)^{2}, \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots, N ; j \neq k) . \tag{4.9d}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from (2.32b), (2.32c), (2.32d) and (4.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}=\bar{g}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \bar{g}_{\bar{\tau}}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .  \tag{4.10a}\\
& g=\bar{g}+\mathrm{i} \epsilon \bar{g}_{\bar{\tau}}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .  \tag{4.10b}\\
& g^{\prime}=\bar{f}+\mathrm{i} \epsilon \bar{f}_{\bar{\tau}}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \tag{4.10c}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j} \bar{\xi}_{j}+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{j k}\right] . \tag{4.10d}
\end{equation*}
$$

By introducing (4.3), (4.9) and (4.10) into (2.29) and taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the parametric solution of the short pulse equation (4.2) in terms of the tau functions $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}=2\left(\ln \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{f}}\right)_{\bar{\tau}},  \tag{4.11a}\\
\bar{x}=\bar{y}-2(\ln \bar{f} \bar{g})_{\bar{\tau}}+\bar{y}_{0} \tag{4.11b}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the tau functions are real, then (4.11) gives rise to real solutions. The construction of real solutions can be done by means of the procedure developed for the short pulse equation $[10,13,14]$.

We exemplify real solutions by taking all parameters be real. It turns out that the solution develops singularities. We can confirm this fact by considering the simplest 1-soliton solution. In fact, it follows from (4.9) and (4.10) that the corresponding tau functions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=1-\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}}, \quad g=1+\mathrm{e}^{\xi_{1}}, \quad \xi_{1}=p_{1} y+\frac{\tau}{p_{1}}+\xi_{10} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bar appended to the variables is omitted for simplicity. The parametric solution (4.11) now becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=-\frac{2}{p_{1}} \frac{1}{\sinh \xi_{1}},  \tag{4.13a}\\
x=y-\frac{2}{p_{1}} \frac{1}{\tanh \xi_{1}}-\frac{2}{p_{1}}+y_{0} . \tag{4.13b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Although $u_{x}$ takes finite value as expected from the scaling limit of the relation (2.31), u itself diverges as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The general $N$-soliton solution exhibits the singular nature. Note from (4.5) and (4.11a) that $\bar{\phi}=2 \ln (\bar{g} / \bar{f})$ gives the singular $N$-soliton solution of the sinh-Gordon equation (4.7) [15]. The more detailed description of solutions will be reported elsewhere.

### 4.2. Reduction to the sG equation

If we introduce the following new scaled variables

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}=u, \quad \bar{x}=\epsilon x, \quad \bar{y}=\epsilon y, \quad \bar{t}=\frac{t}{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{\tau}=\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}, \\
\bar{p}_{j}=\frac{p_{j}}{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{\xi}_{j 0}=\xi_{j 0}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N), \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

then in the limit of $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can deduce the generalized sG equation (1.2) to the sG equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{\bar{t} \bar{x}}=\sin \bar{u} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling limit of (2.29b) now leads to the expression $\bar{y}=\bar{x}$ which, combined with the obvious relation $\bar{\tau}=\bar{t}$, yields the limiting form of the tau functions (2.32) and (2.33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\bar{f}, \quad f^{\prime}=\bar{f}^{\prime}, \quad g=\bar{f}, \quad g^{\prime}=\bar{f}^{\prime} \tag{4.16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{f}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\bar{\xi}_{j}+\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{j k}\right]  \tag{4.16b}\\
\bar{f}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu=0,1} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j}\left(\bar{\xi}_{j}-\frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \mu_{j} \mu_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{j k}\right],  \tag{4.16c}\\
\bar{\xi}_{j}=\bar{p}_{j} \bar{x}+\frac{\bar{t}}{\bar{p}_{j}}+\bar{\xi}_{j 0}, \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N)  \tag{4.16d}\\
\mathrm{e}^{\bar{\gamma}_{j k}}=\left(\frac{\bar{p}_{j}-\bar{p}_{k}}{\bar{p}_{j}+\bar{p}_{k}}\right)^{2}, \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots, N ; j \neq k) \tag{4.16e}
\end{gather*}
$$

The parametric solution (2.29) with the tau functions (2.32) and (2.33) reduces to the usual form of the $N$-soliton solution of the sG equation i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(\bar{x}, \bar{t})=2 \mathrm{i} \ln \frac{\bar{f}^{\prime}}{\bar{f}} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the scaling limit of the amplitude, the appropriate scaling is $A=\epsilon \bar{A}$. This relation and the scaling $p_{1}=\epsilon \bar{p}_{1}$ from (4.14) are introduced into (3.5) to obtain the limiting relation $\bar{A}=2 \bar{p}_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}>0$, which is just the amplitude of the sG soliton in the $(x, t)$ coordinate system. The phase shift is scaled by $\bar{\Delta}_{n}=\epsilon \Delta_{n}$. The limiting form of the phase shift is given by the first term on the right-hand side of (3.18), reproducing the
formula for the $N$-soliton solution of the sG equation $[6,8,9]$. Thus, the sG limit can be performed consistently.

## 5. Conservation laws

The generalized sG equation (1.2) possesses an infinite number of conservation laws. Their construction can be done following the similar procedure to that developed for equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$ [2]. Here, we shall demonstrate it shortly.

First, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=u-\mathrm{i} \sinh ^{-1} u_{y} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct substitution, we find the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\tau y}-\sin \sigma=\left\{\left(1+u_{y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\}\left\{\frac{u_{\tau y}}{\left(1+u_{y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\sin u\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $u$ is a solution of equation (2.10), then $\sigma$ given by (5.1) satisfies the sG equation (2.13a). Recall that equation (2.10) is a transformed form of an integrable equation (1.2) by means of the hodograph transformation (2.3). Thus, relation (5.1) gives a Bäcklund transformation between solutions $u$ and $\sigma$ of the two integrable equations. This observation allows us to obtain conservation laws of equation (1.2) quite simply. First, note that the sG equation (2.13a) admits local conservation laws of the form $[16,17]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n, \tau}=Q_{n, y}, \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are polynomials of $\sigma$ and its $y$-derivatives. Rewriting this relation in terms of the original variables $x$ and $t$ by (2.4) and using equation (2.2), we can recast (5.3) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r P_{n}\right)_{t}=\left(r P_{n} \cos u+Q_{n}\right)_{x} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r P_{n} d x, \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then become the conservation laws of equation (1.2) upon substitution of (5.1). The explicit calculation of conservation laws can be done straightforwardly. We present the first three of them. The corresponding $P_{n}$ for the sG equation may be written as $[16,17]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=1-\cos \sigma, \quad P_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}, \quad P_{2}=\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{y}^{4}-\sigma_{y y}^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.5), (5.6) and the relations $r_{x}=-u_{x} u_{x x} / r,\left(u_{x} / r\right)_{x}=u_{x x} / r^{3}$ which stem from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{0}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(r-\cos u) d x  \tag{5.7a}\\
I_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{u_{x}^{2}}{r}-\frac{u_{x x}^{2}}{r^{5}}\right) d x  \tag{5.7b}\\
I_{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{u_{x}^{4}}{r^{3}}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{x x}^{2}}{r^{5}}+\frac{1}{r^{7}}\left(u_{x x x}^{2}-\frac{5}{2} u_{x x}^{2}\right)+\frac{7 u_{x x}^{4}}{r^{9}}-\frac{35}{4} \frac{u_{x x}^{4}}{r^{11}}\right] d x . \tag{5.7c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that terms including the imaginary unit i do not appear in (5.7) which take the form of total differential and become zero after integration with respect to $x$.

The conservation laws generated by the procedure outlined above reduce to those of the short pulse and sG equations in the scaling limits described in section 4. In particular, the first three conservation laws of the short pulse equation (4.2) read

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{0}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(r-1) d x  \tag{5.8a}\\
I_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u_{x x}^{2}}{r^{5}} d x  \tag{5.8b}\\
I_{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{u_{x x x}^{2}}{r^{7}}+\frac{7 u_{x x}^{4}}{r^{9}}-\frac{35}{4} \frac{u_{x x}^{4}}{r^{11}}\right) d x . \tag{5.8c}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed a systematic procedure for solving the generalized sG equation (1.2). The structure of solutions was found to differ substantially from that of the generalized sG equation (1.1) with $\nu=-1$ which has been detailed in I. We have presented three types of solutions, i.e., kink, breather and kink-breather solutions and investigated their properties. We emphasize that equation (1.2) does not admit singular solutions in the sense that solutions must have finite slope as required by the relation (2.31). Consequently, loop solitons and other types of multi-valued solutions never exist.

The existence of multisoliton solutions and an infinite number of conservation laws strongly support the complete integrability of the equation although its rigorous proof must be discussed in a different mathematical context. Another interesting issue to be resolved in a future work will be the initial value problem. In the case of equation (1.1)
with $\nu=-1$, the solution to the problem can be expressed by the solution of a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem [2]. At present, however, whether the method employed in [2] works well or not for equation (1.2) is not known. As for solutions, the bilinear formalism used here and in I can be applied to equation (1.1) as well to obtain periodic solutions. Actually, some periodic solutions have been presented for the short pulse equation (4.19) with $\nu=-1[13,14]$. We expect that the generalized $s G$ equation exhibits a variety of periodic solutions when compared with those of the sG equation. These problems are currently under study.

## Appendix A. An alternative derivation of the 1-soliton solutions

The 1-soliton solutions take the form of traveling wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u(X), \quad X=x+c_{1} t+x_{0} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this expression into equation (1.2) and integrating the resultant ODE once with respect to $X$ under the boundary condition $u(-\infty)=0(\bmod 2 \pi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{X}^{2}=\frac{\left(c_{1}-\cos u\right)^{2}-\left(c_{1}-1\right)^{2}}{\left(c_{1}-\cos u\right)^{2}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{X}^{2} \geq 0$, we must require that the right-hand side of (A.2) is nonnegative. One can see that this condition becomes $c_{1} \geq \cos ^{2}(u / 2)$. In accordance with (3.3b), we solve equation (A.2) under the condition $c_{1}>1$. To proceed, we define a new variable $\xi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\int\left(c_{1}-\cos u\right) d \xi \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, equation (A.2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\xi}= \pm \sqrt{\left(c_{1}-\cos u\right)^{2}-\left(c_{1}-1\right)^{2}} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (A.4) is integrated through the change of the variable $s=\tan (u / 2)$. After a few calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s= \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_{1}-1}{c_{1}}} \frac{1}{\sinh \sqrt{c_{1}-1} \xi} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos u=\frac{1-s^{2}}{1+s^{2}}=1-\frac{2\left(c_{1}-1\right)}{c_{1} \sinh ^{2} \sqrt{c_{1}-1} \xi+c_{1}-1} . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (A.6) into (A.3) and performing the integration with respect to $\xi$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(c_{1}-1\right) \xi+2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}-1}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{c_{1}-1} \xi\right)+y_{0}\right. \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0}$ is an integration constant. It follows from (A.5) and the boundary condition for $u$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}-1}} \sinh \sqrt{c_{1}-1} \xi\right)+\pi \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $c_{1}=\left(1 / p_{1}^{2}\right)+1\left(p_{1}>0\right)$ and $\xi=p_{1} \xi_{1}$, then we can see that (A.7) and (A.8) coincide with (3.3) and (3.2a), respectively.
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## Figure captions

Figure 1. The profile of a kink $u$ (solid line) and corresponding profile of $v \equiv u_{X}$ (broken line). The parameter $p_{1}$ is set to 0.4 and the parameter $y_{0}$ is chosen such that the center position of $u_{X}$ is at $X=0$.

Figure 2. The profile of a two-soliton solution $v \equiv u_{x}$ for three different times, $\mathrm{a}: t=0, \mathrm{~b}$ : $t=2$, c: $t=4$. The parameters are chosen as $p_{1}=0.2, p_{2}=0.5, \xi_{10}=-8, \xi_{20}=0$.

Figure 3. The profile of a breather solution for three different times, $\mathrm{a}: t=0, \mathrm{~b}: t=5, \mathrm{c}:$ $t=10$. The parameters are chosen as $p_{1}=0.3+0.5 \mathrm{i}, p_{2}=p_{1}^{*}=0.3-0.5 \mathrm{i}, \xi_{10}=$ $\xi_{20}^{*}=0$.

Figure 4. The profile of $v \equiv u_{x}$ for three different times which represents the interaction between a soliton and a breather, $\mathrm{a}: t=0, \mathrm{~b}: t=15, \mathrm{c}: t=30$. The parameters are chosen as $p_{1}=0.2+0.4 \mathrm{i}, p_{2}=p_{1}^{*}=0.2-0.4 \mathrm{i}, p_{3}=0.3, \xi_{10}=\xi_{20}=0, \xi_{30}=-30$.

Figure 5. The profile of a breather-breather solution $u$ for three different times, a: $t=0$, $\mathrm{b}: t=15, \mathrm{c}: t=30$. The parameters are chosen as $p_{1}=0.1+0.2 \mathrm{i}, p_{2}=p_{1}^{*}=$ $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{i}, p_{3}=0.15+0.3 \mathrm{i}, p_{4}=p_{3}^{*}=0.15-0.3 \mathrm{i}, \xi_{10}=\xi_{20}^{*}=-15, \xi_{30}=\xi_{40}^{*}=0$.
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