

Jensen measures in potential theory

Wolfhard Hansen and Ivan Netuka *

Abstract

It is shown that, for open sets in classical potential theory and – more generally – for elliptic harmonic spaces, the set of Jensen measures for a point is a simple union of closed faces of a compact convex set which has been thoroughly studied a long time ago. In particular, the set of extreme Jensen measures can be immediately identified. The results hold even without ellipticity (thus capturing also many examples for the heat equation) provided a rather weak approximation property for superharmonic functions or a certain transience property holds.

Starting with [5, 6] several papers have been written in the last years, where Jensen measures play a central role [15, 13, 10, 1, 12, 14]. The purpose of this note is to stress that (at least in classical potential theory and – more generally – for elliptic \mathcal{P} -harmonic spaces Y) the set of Jensen measures (for a point x with respect to Y) is a simple union of closed faces of the thoroughly studied compact convex set of representing measures (for x with respect to the cone of potentials on Y). In particular, the set of extreme Jensen measures can be immediately identified using results which are known even for general balayage spaces since 25 years (Theorem 2 and its consequences Corollary 4 and Theorem 6).

The statements hold even without ellipticity (thus capturing also many examples for the heat equation) provided Y satisfies some (rather weak) approximation property (AP) for superharmonic functions or a transience property (TP). In classical potential theory, (TP) is not only a sufficient, but also a necessary condition for the property that every probability measure, which has compact support in Y and is a representing measure for x with respect to potentials on Y , is a Jensen measure for x (Theorem 7). Further, for *bounded* open sets Y in \mathbb{R}^d , this property holds if and only if every connected component of the boundary of Y contains a point in the closure of the set of regular points of Y (Corollary 11).

For Jensen measures with respect to compact sets see the equalities (13) and (14).

To work in reasonable generality, let Y be a \mathcal{P} -harmonic Bauer space (which covers also the heat equation). Let $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ be the set of all continuous real potentials on Y and, for every open set U in Y , let $\mathcal{S}(U)$ denote the set of all superharmonic functions on U . We recall that $\mathcal{S}^+(Y)$ is the set of all superharmonic limits of increasing sequences in $\mathcal{P}(Y)$.

Given a Borel set E in Y , let $\mathcal{C}(E)$ be the set of all continuous real functions on E . For every convex cone \mathcal{F} of lower semicontinuous functions $f: E \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$

*Research supported in part by the project MSM 0021620839 financed by MSMT and by the grant 201/07/0388 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

and $x \in Y$, let $M_x(\mathcal{F})$ denote the set of all positive Radon measures μ on Y which are supported by E such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mu(f^-) < \infty$ and $\mu(f) \leq f(x)^1$, and let $\text{ext } M_x(\mathcal{F})$ denote the set of all extreme points of the convex set $M_x(\mathcal{F})$. We recall that

$$\text{ext } M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) = \{\varepsilon_x^A : A \subset Y\} = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^A : A \text{ Borel set, } x \notin A\}$$

(see [11], [4, VI.2.2, VI.12.4-5]). Moreover, if A is a (Borel) set in Y such that $x \notin A$ and $\varepsilon_x^A \neq \varepsilon_x$, then, by [4, VI.2.2, 4.1-4.4], there exists a finely closed G_δ -set F such that

$$(1) \quad A \subset F \subset \overline{A} \setminus \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_x^A = \varepsilon_x^F.$$

Thus

$$(2) \quad \text{ext } M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^F : F \text{ finely closed } G_\delta\text{-set, } x \notin F\}.$$

Given $x \in Y$ and an open neighborhood U of x , let $J_x(U)$ denote the set of all *Jensen measures* for x with respect to U , that is,

$$(3) \quad J_x(U) := \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{S}(U)) : \text{supp } \mu \subset\subset U\}.^2$$

For example, for every open set V such that $x \in V \subset\subset U$, the harmonic measure $\mu_x^V := \varepsilon_x^{V^c}$ is contained in $J_x(U)$. We observe that $\mathcal{S}(U)$ in (3) can be replaced by $\mathcal{S}(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U)$, since every $u \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ is the limit of an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{S}(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U)$. If constants are harmonic, then, of course, every $\mu \in J_x(U)$ is a probability measure.

Let us note that our assumptions do not exclude that Y is compact. An example is given by the operator $u'' = u$ on the circle $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |z| = 1\}$, where the derivatives are taken with respect to arc length.

PROPOSITION 1. *If Y is compact, then $J_x(Y) = M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$ and*

$$\text{ext } J_x(Y) = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^{V^c} : x \in V \subset Y, V \text{ finely open } K_\sigma\text{-set}\}.$$

Proof. Let Y be compact. Then $\mathcal{S}(U) = \mathcal{S}^+(U)$ by the minimum principle (and the constant function 0 is the only harmonic function on Y). Hence $J_x(Y) = M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$, and the proof is finished by (2). \square

In the following, we assume that Y is not compact. Given an open set $U \subset\subset Y$, let

$$S(U) := \{u \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U}) : u|_U \in \mathcal{S}(U)\}.$$

If W is an open neighborhood of \overline{U} , then $(\mathcal{S}(W) \cap \mathcal{C}(W))|_{\overline{U}} \subset S(U)$. So, for $x \in Y$,

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_{x \in U \subset\subset Y} M_x(S(U)) = \bigcup_{x \in U \subset\subset Y} J_x(U) \subset J_x(Y) \subset M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)).$$

¹We write $\mu(f)$ instead of $\int f d\mu$.

²For sets $A \subset B \subset Y$, we write $A \subset\subset B$, if the closure \overline{A} of A is a compact subset of B .

THEOREM 2. *Let $x \in Y$ and suppose that the union of all $J_x(U)$, $U \subset\subset Y$, is the set $J_x(Y)$. Then*³

$$(5) \quad \text{ext } J_x(Y) = \bigcup_{x \in U \subset\subset Y} \text{ext } M_x(S(U))$$

$$(6) \quad = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^{V^c} : x \in V \subset\subset Y, V \text{ finely open } K_\sigma\text{-set}\}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in U \subset\subset Y$. By [4, VII.9.5], $M_x(S(U))$ is a closed face of $M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$. Hence (4) and our assumption imply (5). Moreover, again by [4, VII.9.5],

$$(7) \quad \text{ext } M_x(S(U)) = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^A : A \text{ Borel set, } x \notin A, \beta(U^c) \subset A\},$$

where $\beta(U^c)$ is the largest finely closed subset of U^c such that $U^c \setminus \beta(U^c)$ is semipolar. To prove (6) it is sufficient to know that $\beta(U^c)$ is a subset of U^c containing the interior of U^c .

Indeed, given a finely open set V such that $x \in V \subset\subset Y$, we may choose an open set $U \subset\subset Y$ such that $\bar{V} \subset U$, and then $\varepsilon_x^{V^c} \in \text{ext } M_x(S(U))$, by (7). Conversely, let U be open, $x \in U \subset\subset Y$, and $\mu \in \text{ext } M_x(S(U)) \setminus \{\varepsilon_x\}$. Then, by (7) and (1), there exists a finely open K_σ -set V such that $x \in V \subset \bar{U}$ and $\mu = \varepsilon_x^{V^c}$. \square

For a first application of Theorem 2, we introduce the following approximation property:

(AP) For every compact K in Y , there exists a bounded⁴ open neighborhood U of K such that, for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U)$, there is a function $v \in \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{C}(Y)$ satisfying $|u - v| < \varepsilon$ on K .

If F is a closed set in Y , then the connected components of F^c are open, since Y is locally connected. If K is a compact in Y , then the union \hat{K} of K and all bounded connected components of K^c is compact (see [9, Lemma 1]; its proof uses only that Y is locally compact and locally connected).

PROPOSITION 3. *If Y is elliptic, then (AP) holds.*

Proof. Let K be a compact set in Y , let L be a compact neighborhood of \hat{K} , and let U be the interior of the compact set \hat{L} . Since $Y \setminus \hat{L}$ is the union of all unbounded connected components of L^c , the set $(Y \setminus \hat{L}) \cup \{\infty\}$ is connected in the Aleksandrov compactification $Y_\infty := Y \cup \{\infty\}$, and hence its closure, that is, the set $(Y \setminus U) \cup \{\infty\}$, is connected as well. Therefore every connected component of U^c is unbounded.

By [3, Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2.1], we obtain that, given $u \in \mathcal{S}(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $v \in \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{C}(Y)$ such that $|u - v| < \varepsilon$ on \hat{K} (cf. also [8, Theorem 6.9] for the classical case and [9, Theorem 1] for the case of a Brelot space satisfying the axiom of domination). \square

Moreover, by [3, Theorem 6.1], it is clear that (AP) holds for many open sets Y in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $d \geq 1$, with respect to the heat equation. For example, (AP) holds if Y is a convex open set in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.

By Theorem 2, we now obtain the following.

³If Y is a \mathcal{P} -harmonic Brelot space satisfying the axiom of domination, then we may replace “ V finely open” by “ V fine domain” (see [7, Theorem 12.7]).

⁴We say that a subset of Y is bounded, if it is relatively compact, unbounded, if not.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that Y is elliptic or, more generally, that (AP) holds. Then, for every $x \in Y$, $J_x(Y)$ is the union of all $J_x(U)$, $U \subset\subset Y$, and (5), (6) hold.*

Proof. Let $x \in Y$, $\mu \in J_x(Y)$, and $K := \{x\} \cup \text{supp } \mu$. We choose an open set $U \subset\subset Y$ according to (AP). Let $u \in \mathcal{S}(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By (AP), there exists $v \in \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{C}(Y)$ such that $|u - v| < \varepsilon$ on K , and therefore

$$\mu(u) \leq \mu(v) + \mu(K)\varepsilon \leq v(x) + \mu(K)\varepsilon \leq u(x) + (\mu(K) + 1)\varepsilon.$$

Hence $\mu(u) \leq u(x)$, $\mu \in J_x(U)$, and the proof is finished by Theorem 2. \square

For the heat equation on an open set Y in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , the union $\bigcup_{U \subset\subset Y} J_x(U)$ may be a proper subset of $J_x(Y)$.

EXAMPLE 5. *Let $Y := \{(y', t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t < 1 + \cos \pi y'\}$ be equipped with the sheaf of solutions to the heat equation $\partial^2 u / \partial (y')^2 = \partial u / \partial t$ and let*

$$V := (-2, 2) \times (-1, 0), \quad x := (0, 0), \quad \mu := {}^Y \varepsilon_x^{V^c}.$$

Then

$$\mu \in J_x(Y) \setminus \bigcup_{U \subset\subset Y} J_x(U).$$

Proof. Indeed, $\text{supp } \mu$ is the compact set $\partial V \setminus ((-2, 2) \times \{0\})$ in Y and $\mu(1) = 1$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$V_n := \{(y', t) \in V : t < -1/n\} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n := (0, -2/n).$$

Then, for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{C}(Y)$,

$$\mu(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_n}^{V_n}(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n) = u(x).$$

Therefore $\mu \in J_x(Y)$. Now let U be an open set such that $x \in U \subset\subset Y$ and $\text{supp } \mu \subset U$. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that the line segment $\{1\} \times (-\eta, 0)$ does not intersect U . For $y = (y', t) \in U$, let $u(y) := 0$, if $t \leq -\eta$ or $y' < 1$, and $u(y) := t + \eta$, if $t > -\eta$ and $y' > 1$. Then $u \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ and $\mu(u) > 0 = u(x)$. Hence $\mu \notin J_x(U)$. \square

For a second application of Theorem 2, which yields an even stronger result, we introduce the following transience property:

(GTP) There is a strictly positive harmonic function h_0 on Y such that, for every compact K in Y , the set $\{R_{h_0}^K = h_0\}$ is compact.

We say that (TP) holds if (GTP) is satisfied with $h_0 = 1$.

To get a first feeling for (GTP) let us assume for the moment that $h_0 > 0$ is a harmonic function on Y . Then (GTP) certainly holds if

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} R_{h_0}^K(y) / h_0(y) < 1,$$

which in turn is true, if there exists a potential on Y such that $p > 0$ and p/h_0 vanishes at infinity. In particular, in the classical case, (TP) holds, if Y is a bounded

regular set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$ (take any strictly positive continuous potential p_0 on an open ball containing the closure of Y and define $p(y) := p_0(y) - \mu_y^Y(p_0)$).

Moreover, let K be a compact in Y . Then the function $R_{h_0}^K$ is harmonic on K^c , and $R_{h_0}^K = h_0$ on \hat{K} , by the minimum principle. Since \hat{K} is compact, we see that the set $\{R_{h_0}^K = h_0\}$ is compact, if $R_{h_0}^K < h_0$ on every unbounded connected component W of K^c . In particular, (GTP) holds, if Y is elliptic and if, for every compact K in Y , there is only one unbounded connected component W of K^c . Indeed, otherwise $R_{h_0}^K = h_0$ on W , and hence $R_{h_0}^K = h_0$ on Y , which is impossible, since $R_{h_0}^K$ is bounded by a potential.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that (GTP) holds (with h_0). Then, for every $x \in Y$,*

$$(9) \quad \bigcup_{U \subset \subset Y} J_x(U) = J_x(Y) = \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) : \text{supp } \mu \subset \subset Y, \mu(h_0) = h_0(x)\}.$$

Moreover, (5) and (6) hold.

Proof. We fix a point $x \in Y$, a compact K in Y , and define

$$N := \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) : \text{supp } \mu \subset K, \mu(h_0) = h_0(x)\}.$$

By assumption, there exists a bounded open neighborhood W of $K \cup \{x\}$ such that $R_{h_0}^K < h_0$ on W^c . Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of \overline{W} . If we show that

$$(10) \quad N \subset M_x(S(U)),$$

then we obtain, by (4), that (9) holds, and the proof is finished by Theorem 2.

To prove (10) we observe that N is a closed face of $M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$(11) \quad N \cap \text{ext } M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) \subset M_x(S(U)).$$

So let us fix $\mu \in \text{ext } M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$, $\mu \neq \varepsilon_x$, such that $\text{supp } \mu \subset K$ and $\mu(h_0) = h_0(x)$. By (2), there is a finely closed G_δ -set F such that $x \notin F$ and $\mu = \varepsilon_x^F$. By [4, VI.9.4],

$$\varepsilon_x^{F \cap K} = \varepsilon_x^F|_K + (\varepsilon_x^F|_{K^c})^{F \cap K} = \varepsilon_x^F.$$

So we may assume that $F \subset K$. The set $V := W \setminus F$ is a finely open K_σ -set, $x \in V$, and $\overline{V} \subset U$. We define $\sigma := 1_F \varepsilon_x^{V^c}$, $\tau := 1_{W^c} \varepsilon_x^{V^c}$, and note that

$$\varepsilon_x^{V^c} = \sigma + \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \varepsilon_x^F = \sigma + \tau^F,$$

where the last equality follows by [4, VI.9.4]. If $\tau \neq 0$, then

$$\tau^F(h_0) = \tau(R_{h_0}^F) \leq \tau(R_{h_0}^K) < \tau(h_0),$$

and therefore

$$h_0(x) = \mu(h_0) = (\sigma + \tau^F)(h_0) < (\sigma + \tau)(h_0) = \varepsilon_x^{V^c}(h_0),$$

a contradiction. Thus $\tau = 0$ and $\mu = \sigma = \varepsilon_x^{V^c} \in M_x(S(U))$. \square

Given $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let

$$u_z(y) := \begin{cases} \ln 1/|y - z|, & \text{if } d \geq 2, \\ |y - z|^{2-d}, & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For classical potential theory, we have the following strong converse to Theorem 6.

THEOREM 7. *Let Y be a Greenian open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$. Then (TP) holds, if*

$$(12) \quad J_x(Y) = \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) : \text{supp } \mu \subset\subset Y, \mu(1) = 1\} \quad (x \in Y).$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that Y is connected.

If $d = 1$, then Y is of the form (a, b) , (a, ∞) , or $(-\infty, a)$. In the first case, (TP) holds, since Y is a bounded regular set. In the second case, every $p \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ is increasing, whereas the function $z \mapsto -z$ is harmonic on Y , and therefore $\varepsilon_y \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)) \setminus J_x(Y)$, whenever $a < y < x < \infty$. Similarly, if $Y = (-\infty, a)$.

So let $d \geq 2$ and suppose that (TP) does not hold. Then $Y \neq \mathbb{R}^d$, and there exist a compact set K in Y and $x_n \in Y \setminus K$ such that $R_1^K(x_n) = 1$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the sequence (x_n) is unbounded in Y . So, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu_n := \varepsilon_{x_n}^K \in M_{x_n}(\mathcal{P}(Y)), \quad \text{supp } \mu_n \subset K, \quad \mu_n(1) = 1.$$

Let us assume first that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| = \infty$. Fixing any $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus Y$, we may choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_z(x_n) < u_z$ on K . Then $u_z(x_n) < \mu_n(u_z)$, and hence $\mu_n \notin J_{x_n}(Y)$. Next, we suppose that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| < \infty$. Then (x_n) has a limit point $z \in \partial Y$, and we may choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_z(x_n) > u_z$ on K . Now $-u_z(x_n) < \mu_n(-u_z)$, and again $\mu_n \notin J_{x_n}(Y)$.

Thus in both cases (12) does not hold. This finishes the proof. \square

We introduce the following weak regularity property for open sets Y in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$.

(WRP) Every connected component of ∂Y contains a point in the closure of Y_r .

Of course, (WRP) trivially holds, if $d = 1$. Suppose for a moment that $d = 2$. Then, for every irregular point $z \in \partial Y$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$, such that the boundary of $B(z, \delta)$ does not intersect ∂Y (see [2, Theorem 7.3.9]), and hence the singleton $\{z\}$ is the connected component of ∂Y containing z . So (WRP) holds if and only if Y_r is dense in ∂Y .

Moreover, the following example may be instructive. Let Y_0 be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, and let I be a closed line segment, $0 \in I \subset Y_0$. Choosing pairwise disjoint closed balls B_n in $B(0, 1/n) \setminus I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that their union T is thin at 0, we define $Y := Y_0 \setminus (I \cup T)$. Then $\partial Y \setminus Y_r = I$ and (WRP) holds.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let Y be an open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, bounded if $d = 2$, such that (WRP) is satisfied. Then (TP) holds.*

Proof. If $d \geq 3$, let $X := \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p := |\cdot|^{2-d}$. If $d = 2$, we take $R > 0$ such that \overline{Y} is contained in the open disc $X := B(0, R)$, and define $p := \ln R/|\cdot|$.

We fix a compact K in Y and claim that $R_1^K < 1$ outside the compact set \hat{K} . So let W be a connected component of $Y \setminus K$ which is unbounded in Y . By ellipticity,

it suffices to show that $R_1^K(y) < 1$ for some point $y \in W$. Clearly, this is true, if W is unbounded in X , since R_1^K is bounded by a multiple of p .

So let us assume that W is bounded in X . Then $\emptyset \neq A := \partial W \setminus K \subset \partial Y \setminus K$. We claim that A intersects the closure F of Y_r . Indeed, suppose that $A \cap F = \emptyset$. Let $z \in A$ and let B be an open ball in $X \setminus (K \cup F)$ containing z . Then the set $B \cap \partial Y$ is polar, and hence $B \setminus \partial Y$ is connected (see [2, Corollary 5.1.5]). This implies that $B \setminus \partial Y \subset W$ and $B \cap \partial Y \subset A$. So A is open in ∂Y . Let C be a connected component of ∂Y intersecting A . Then $A \cap C$ is both open and closed in C , and hence $A \cap C = C$. By (WRP), $C \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Hence $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$, proving our claim.

So there exists a point $z \in \partial W \cap F$. Let B be an open ball, $z \in B \subset\subset X \setminus K$. Then $B \cap Y_r \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $B \setminus Y$ is nonpolar. We fix $y \in B \cap W$. By [4, VI.9.4] or by the minimum principle,

$$\mu_y^{Y \setminus K}(B) \geq \mu_y^{Y \cap B}(B) > 0.$$

By [4, VI.2.9], we finally conclude that

$$R_1^K(y) = {}^Y \varepsilon_y^K(K) = \mu_y^{Y \setminus K}(K) \leq 1 - \mu_y^{Y \setminus K}(B) < 1.$$

□

REMARK 9. If $d = 2$, the boundedness of Y in Proposition 8 may not be dropped. Indeed, let $Y := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2: |y| > 1\}$. Then $Y_r = \partial Y$, and hence (WRP) holds. Choosing $K := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2: |y| = 2\}$, the set $\{R_1^K = 1\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2: |y| \geq 2\}$ is not compact (and hence (TP) does not hold). Moreover, taking $x := (3, 0)$ and $\mu := {}^Y \varepsilon_x^K$, we have $\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$, $\text{supp } \mu = K$, and $\mu(1) = 1$, but $\mu \notin J_x(Y)$, since $\mu(u_0) > u_0(x)$. So even (12) does not hold.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let Y be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, such that (WRP) does not hold. Then (12) does not hold.*

Proof. By assumption, there exists a connected component C of ∂Y and a connected open neighborhood U of C which does not intersect Y_r . Then the set $U \cap \partial Y$ is polar, and hence $U \setminus \partial Y$ is connected (see [2, Corollary 5.1.5]). This implies that $U \setminus \partial Y = U \cap Y$. Let V be an open set such that $C \subset V$ and $\bar{V} \subset U$. We fix $z \in C$ and $x \in V \cap Y$ such that $|x - z| < \text{dist}(z, \partial V)$, and define $\nu := \mu_x^V$. Then $\nu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$, since polar sets are removable singularities for functions in $\mathcal{P}(Y)$. However, $-u_z > -u_z(x)$ on ∂V , and hence $\nu(-u_z) > -u_z(x)$, $\nu \notin J_x(Y)$. □

Combining Theorem 6 with Propositions 8 and 10 we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 11. *For every bounded open set Y in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (WRP) holds.
- (TP) holds.
- $\bigcup_{U \subset\subset Y} J_x(U) = J_x(Y) = \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)): \text{supp } \mu \subset\subset Y, \mu(1) = 1\}$.
- $J_x(Y) = \{\mu \in M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y)): \text{supp } \mu \subset\subset Y, \mu(1) = 1\}$.

REMARK 12. Again, the boundedness of Y cannot be dropped. For $d = 2$ see the example discussed in Remark 9, where only (WRP) holds. Suppose now that $d \geq 3$, let $z_0 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and $Y := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}z_0$. Then $\partial Y = \mathbb{R}z_0$ and $Y_r = \emptyset$ so that (WRP) is not satisfied. But (TP) and the other two properties hold, since $y \mapsto |y|^{2-d}$ is a potential on Y . However, *all* properties are satisfied, if $Y := B(0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{R}z_0$.

Finally, let K be a compact set in (a general \mathcal{P} -harmonic Bauer space) Y and $x \in K$. Then it is, of course, possible to define

$$J_x(K) := \bigcap_{K \subset U} J_x(U)$$

(see [12]). However, this does not yield anything new (see [4, Sections VII.8 and VII.9]). Indeed, clearly

$$S_0(K) := \bigcup_{K \subset U} S(U)|_K = \bigcup_{K \subset U} (S(U) \cap \mathcal{C}(U))|_K.$$

Let G be the fine interior of K . Then, by [4, VII.9.2], the uniform closure of $S_0(K)$ is the set $S(K, G)$ of all continuous real functions u on K such that $\varepsilon_y^{V^c}(u) \leq u(y)$, for all finely open $V \subset\subset G$ and all $y \in V$. Thus

$$(13) \quad J_x(K) = M_x(S_0(K)) = M_x(S(K, G)).$$

By [4, VII.9.5], $M_x(S(K, G))$ is a closed face of $M_x(\mathcal{P}(Y))$ and

$$(14) \quad \text{ext } M_x(S(K, G)) = \{\varepsilon_x\} \cup \{\varepsilon_x^{B^c} : B \text{ Borel, } x \in B \subset K\}.$$

References

- [1] M. Alakhrass. Superharmonic functions and multiply superharmonic functions and Jensen measures in axiomatic Brelot spaces. *McGill University, Montreal, Canada*, 2009.
- [2] D.H. Armitage and S.J. Gardiner. *Classical potential theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2001.
- [3] J. Bliedtner and W. Hansen. Simplicial cones in potential theory. II. Approximation theorems. *Invent. Math.*, 46(3):255–275, 1978.
- [4] J. Bliedtner and W. Hansen. *Potential Theory – An Analytic and Probabilistic Approach to Balayage*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1986.
- [5] B.J. Cole and T.J. Ransford. Subharmonicity without semicontinuity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 147:420–442, 1997.
- [6] B.J. Cole and T.J. Ransford. Jensen measures and harmonic measures. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 541:29–53, 2001.

- [7] B. Fuglede. *Finely harmonic functions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 289.
- [8] S. J. Gardiner. *Harmonic approximation*, volume 221 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] S.J. Gardiner, M. Goldstein, and K. GowriSankaran. Global approximation in harmonic spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 122(1):213–221, 1994.
- [10] B.N. Khabibullin. Criteria for (sub-)harmonicity and continuation of (sub-)harmonic functions. *Siber. Math. J.*, 44(4), 2003.
- [11] G. Mokobodzki. Éléments extrémaux pour le balayage. In *Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, dirigé par M. Brelot, G. Choquet et J. Deny (1969/70), Exp. 5*, page 14. Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1971.
- [12] T. Perkins. Harmonic functions on compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n . *Syracuse University, Syracuse, USA*, 2009.
- [13] E.A. Poletsky. Approximation by harmonic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(11):4415–4427, 1997.
- [14] T.J. Ransford. Jensen measures. In *Approximation, complex analysis, and potential theory (Montreal, QC, 2000)*, volume 37 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 221–237. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [15] S. Roy. Extreme Jensen measures. *Ark. Mat.*, 46(1):153–182, 2008.

Wolfhard Hansen, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany, e-mail: hansen@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Ivan Netuka, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic, email: netuka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz