

Artificial Learning in Artificial Memories

John Robert Burger

Abstract – Successful sequences of memory-mapped actions are assumed known and routinely practiced. Memory is designed below to learn such sequences, that is, to detect their existence and if prompted, to run them without central processing involvement.

Scope

This paper takes advantage of human brain theory to embark on designing artificial brains with learning capability. Applications range from anthropomorphic robots and smart appliances to everyday smart computers. Learning is generally more than the ponderous trial and error optimization process leading to a successful sequence of actions [1]. Learning may be viewed in the biological sense as an internalization process that detects, remembers and reproduces successful sequences. Learning results in performing an action, or possibly several actions concurrently without cognitive effort or thinking, meaning without central processing unit (CPU) involvement.

Memory hardware is designed below to learn actions that have been rehearsed a given number of times, analogous to the way humans learn. Much of what we learn is a sequence of memory-mapped actions, for examples, proper components of walking, order of words for a poem or a catchy musical tune. Such things may be performed without CPU (or thinking) once they have been learned, and this is a key point.

Artificial learning in the above sense (or in any sense) has not yet affected everyday life much. Yet there are countless needs for artificial learning. For example, a person might perform certain keystrokes thousands of times; for instance, he or she opens a mail server, next the inbox is opened, and then email is selected in chronological order, each action thousands of times. Yet today's computers will not learn even this simple procedure.

Learning implies the long term physical modification of underlying circuitry, usually to increase efficacy, and is not merely memory work. Therefore, the design of brains with learning capability is unrelated to the field of *machine learning*, which is limited to the programming of structured computers [2]. Computers today obviously cannot be fundamentally modified by their own programming.

The training of artificial neural networks using, for example, gradient descent, has been construed as learning, but properly this is mathematical optimization [3]. Needless to say this paper is not about the programming of artificial neural networks. Properties of artificial learning for memory-mapped sequences are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Artificial Learning (Memory-mapped Sequences)

1	A result of practice or rehearsal
2	Occurs only within long term memory
3	Enables long term modification of underlying circuitry
4	Permits action without CPU effort
5	Permits action without CPU delays
6	Permits action without CPU memory usage
7	Independent sequences may run concurrently

Overview of a Memory System

Artificial human memory has been modeled as employing an arbitrary set of cues in a routine to find matches within associative memory [4]. One may envision an anthropomorphic system of dynamic (short term) working memory as in Figure 1. Working memory orchestrates long term memory. Long term memory in humans is analogous to PROM (programmable read only memory that may be programmed exactly once). In humans, the contents of working memory are known (via consciousness) but all else, including search processes are hidden.

An important albeit neglected attribute of any memory system is its ability to “learn.” Learning is definitely not memorization. Learning here means an ability to enable the running of a sequence of memory words, but only if and only if the sequence is sufficiently rehearsed, and only if the first word of the sequence is addressed by working memory. This type of learning has been termed “state machine” learning [4].

Artificial Learning for Artificial Memories

A word of memory is assumed to hold any possible component for an image or action. Each word in a practical system can be diagramed as in Figure 2. The signals and commands held by the word are released by activating the enable input, labeled *enable*. Once the signals and commands have all been discharged, there is a done signal, labeled *done*.

To learn something that has been practiced, a *timing filter* is required to detect a repeated sequence. For example the filter must detect whenever a certain word is enabled immediately after another given word is done. A suitable digital filter exploits the delay between components of an action, specified to be *Delay 1* to detect a recurring sequence as in Figure 3. Part (a) indicates that a *done* signal from Word 1 is held for *Delay 1*. Implementation of Delay 1 is not shown here but is straightforward using elements of short term memory. When a second *done* signal arrives, say from Word 2, the AND gate is activated to make X_1 true.

X_1 results in the generation of a single spike. The spike operates a shift register of one-shot D-latches identified as $D_1, D_2 \dots D_{N-1}, D_N$. All D-latches initially are set to Boolean zero (cleared). Each time the 1-2 sequence occurs, a true signal shifts to the left one place in the shift register.

Latch details appear in part (b) of the figure. Note that the D-latches can be set true only once in this plan, since learning, once it occurs, is assumed long term. The spike generator in part (c) uses a standard XOR (exclusive OR) to produce a brief spike on the leading edge of signal X_1 . Spike width is determined by DELAY 2. Spike width is just enough to move the Boolean signal X_1 only one step along the shift register. AND, OR and XOR gates are readily available both in neurons and in hardware [5].

Figure 4 illustrates how learning works. When Q_{12} goes true it enables Word 1 to activate Word 2 directly. A switch S_{12} applies the done signal from Word 1 to the delayed enable of Word 2. Switches are viewed by neuroscientists as synaptic connections promoted by interneurons, but computer engineers create contacts artificially with a FET (Field Effect Transistor).

The goal is a possible state transition from any given word to any other given word. Figure 4 involves only three words, although many more can be used. In general for K words and an arbitrary sequence that may go forward or backward, $K(K-1)$ timing filters are needed. This implies a like number of bus lines for the filter outputs and a like number of switches S_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq K$, $1 \leq j \leq K$, $j \neq i$). Also there must be K lines for memory word outputs and K lines for memory word inputs. Thus the overhead for learning is very reasonable for systems with complexity.

Limitations of the Proposed System

A pitfall is that once a learned action is activated, it executes asynchronously. This could be a drawback if arriving at a sequenced word by mistake, since then the rest of the sequence would begin to execute. In practical memory, as in human memory, it is always possible to override learning. In this case, one simply disables the switches S_{ij} . This returns control to working memory.

It is a property of learning that it cannot be erased easily, so if the learning is wrong, a correct version must be re-learned, which takes time. A limitation of any state machine is that states (words) must be distinguishable. This means that a learned sequence cannot repeat any given word. However, different versions of any given word are easily possible. The above learning executes iterative loops only if working memory repeatedly directs an enable into a word of a sequence.

Conclusions

Learning as above depends on timing filters that anyone can build and verify. These filters detect a sequence that is rehearsed often. Subsequently, this sequence when addressed will run independently of CPU or working memory control. So time and memory are not wasted by a CPU for each step of a sequence. Obviously, this frees the CPU for more important work while a sequence is running. More importantly, long term memory is freed from the constraints of CPU control. Consequently artificial learning creates the possibility of parallel processing since many learned sequences may run simultaneously as long they involve independent memory words.




Fig. 1 Working Memory (Consciousness) provides Cues that are edited subliminally. Recalls are tested unconsciously before being sent to refresh Working Memory




Fig. 2 Word of Long Term Memory

Learning (Digital) Filter




Fig. 3 Learning Filter 1-2 showing how rehearsal results in learning




Fig. 4 Embedded State Machine to Learn a Sequence of Words

References

[1] Sun r, Giles CL, Sequence Learning: From Recognition and Prediction to Sequential Decision Making, July/August 2001 (vol. 16 no. 4) pp. 67-70; [Online]: Available: http://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=Sequence+Learning:+From+Recognition+and+Prediction+to+Sequential+Decision+Making&hl=en&as_sdt=0&as_vis=1&oi=scholar

[2] Alpaydin E, Introduction to Machine Learning, second edition, MIT Press 2010

[3] Haykin S, *Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation*, Macmillan, 1994.

[4] Burger J R, Human Memory Modeled with Standard Analog and Digital Circuits – Inspiration for Man-made Computers, Wiley, 2009

[5] J. R. Burger, XOR at a Single Vertex -- Artificial Dendrites, arXiv:1004.2280v1 [cs.NE], Apr 2010; [Online]: Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.2280>