

# Representation formula for the entropy and functional inequalities

Joseph Lehec \*

October 22, 2018

## Abstract

We prove a stochastic formula for the Gaussian relative entropy in the spirit of Borell's formula for the Laplace transform. As an application, we give simple proofs of a number of functional inequalities.

## 1 Introduction: Borell's formula

Let  $\gamma_d$  be the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\gamma_d(dx) = \frac{e^{-|x|^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} dx$$

where  $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$  denotes the Euclidean norm of  $x$ . In [5, 6] Borell proves the following representation formula. Given a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $B$  and a bounded function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^f d\gamma_d\right) = \sup_u \left[ \mathbb{E}\left(f(B_1) + \int_0^1 u_s ds\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |u_s|^2 ds \right], \quad (1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all random processes  $u$ , say bounded and adapted to the Brownian filtration. Among other applications, he derives easily the Prékopa-Leindler inequality. The name *Borell's formula* may be unfair to Boué and Dupuis who in an earlier paper [7] obtained a stronger result, allowing the function  $f$  to depend on the whole path  $(B_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  (see Theorem 9 below for a precise statement). Anyway, Borell and Boué-Dupuis agree that representation formulas such as (1) arose much earlier in optimal control theory, particularly in Fleming and Soner's work [14], and Borell should definitely be credited for bringing these techniques in the context of functional inequalities.

The present article deals with relative entropy. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, m)$  be a measured space and  $\mu$  be a probability measure. The relative entropy of  $\mu$  is defined by

$$H(\mu | m) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{d\mu}{dm} \log\left(\frac{d\mu}{dm}\right) dm \quad \text{if } \mu \ll m$$

---

\*CEREMADE (UMR CNRS 7534) Université Paris-Dauphine.

and  $H(\mu | m) = +\infty$  otherwise. It is well known that there is a Legendre duality between relative entropy and logarithmic Laplace transform:

$$H(\mu | m) = \sup_f \left( \int f \, d\mu - \log \left( \int_{\Omega} e^f \, dm \right) \right). \quad (2)$$

The purpose of this article is to prove a representation formula for the Gaussian relative entropy, both in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and in the Wiener space, providing the entropy counterparts of the results mentioned above. All these formulas have a common feature: Girsanov's theorem. However, our approach is somewhat different from that of Borell and Boué-Dupuis: it draws a connection with the work of Föllmer [15, 16] which makes the whole argument arguably simpler. As an application, we give new, unified and simple proofs of a number of Gaussian inequalities.

## 2 Representation formula for the entropy

This section contains the main results of the article. Let us recall a couple of classical facts about relative entropy, see for instance [24, section 10] and the references therein. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of a Polish topology on  $\Omega$  then it is enough to take the supremum over bounded and continuous function in (2). In particular the map  $\mu \mapsto H(\mu | m)$  is lower semicontinuous with respect to the topology of weak convergence of measures. If  $T: (\Omega, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\Omega', \mathcal{A}')$  is a measurable map then

$$H(\mu \circ T^{-1} | m \circ T^{-1}) \leq H(\mu | m) \quad (3)$$

and assuming that  $H(\mu | m) < +\infty$ , equality occurs if and only if the density  $d\mu/dm$  is a function of  $T$ .

We now describe the setting of the article. Let  $\mathbb{W}$  be the space of continuous paths

$$\{w \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d), w_0 = 0\}$$

equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact intervals. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the associated Borel  $\sigma$ -field and let  $\gamma$  be the Wiener measure on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $x_t: w \mapsto w_t$  be the coordinate process and  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the natural filtration of  $x$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{B}$  coincides with the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\cup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_t$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the Cameron-Martin space: a path  $U$  belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$  if there exists  $u \in L^2([0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$U_t = \int_0^t u_s \, ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The norm of  $U$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  is then defined by

$$\|U\| = \left( \int_0^{+\infty} |u_s|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  embeds continuously in  $\mathbb{W}$ . Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  equipped with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  we call *drift* any adapted process  $U$  which belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$  almost surely. Lastly, our Brownian motions are always  $d$ -dimensional, standard and always start from 0.

## 2.1 The upper bound

We shall use repeatedly Girsanov's formula, see [19, chapter 6].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $B$  be a Brownian motion defined on some filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$  and let  $U$  be a drift. Letting  $\mu$  be the law of  $B + U$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Write  $U_t = \int_0^t u_s \, ds$  and assume for the moment that  $\|U\|^2 = \int_0^\infty |u_s|^2 \, ds$  is uniformly bounded. Then by Novikov's criterion

$$M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t u_s \cdot dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u_s|^2 \, ds\right), \quad t \geq 0$$

is a uniformly integrable martingale and Girsanov's formula applies. Under

$$d\mathbb{Q} = M_\infty \, d\mathbb{P}$$

the process  $X := B + U$  is a Brownian motion. Therefore  $X$  has law  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  under  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ , respectively. Then by (3)

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \leq \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{Q}) = -\mathbb{E} \log(M_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2,$$

which concludes the proof when  $\|U\|$  is bounded. In the general case, define the stopping time

$$T_n = \inf(t \geq 0, \int_0^t |u_s|^2 \, ds \geq n),$$

let  $U_n$  be the stopped process  $(U_n)_t = U_{t \wedge T_n}$  and  $\mu_n$  be the law of  $B + U_n$ . With probability 1 we have  $\|U\|^2 < +\infty$ , thus  $T_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $U_n \rightarrow U$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , hence in  $\mathbb{W}$ . Therefore  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly. Also  $\mathbb{E} \|U_n\|^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2$  by monotone convergence. Thus, using the lower semicontinuity of the entropy (observe that  $\mathbb{W}$  is a Polish space)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) &\leq \liminf_n \mathbb{H}(\mu_n \mid \gamma) \\ &\leq \liminf_n \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U_n\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* It follows immediately that when  $\mathbb{E} \|U\|^2 < +\infty$ , the law of  $B + U$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Wiener measure  $\gamma$ . Let us point out that this is actually true for all drifts  $U$ , even if  $\mathbb{E} \|U\|^2 = +\infty$ , see [19, chapter 7].

## 2.2 Föllmer's drift

Let us address the question whether, given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{W}$ , equality can be achieved in (4). Recall that  $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the coordinate process on Wiener space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \gamma)$  and that  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is its natural filtration. The following is due to Föllmer [15, 16].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B})$  having density  $F$  with respect to  $\gamma$ . There exists an adapted process  $u$  such that under  $\mu$  the following holds.*

1. *The process  $U_t = \int_0^t u_s \, ds$  belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$  almost surely.*
2. *The process  $y = x - U$  is a Brownian motion.*
3. *The relative entropy of  $\mu$  is*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^\mu \|U\|^2.$$

We sketch the proof for completeness.

*Proof.* Throughout  $\mathbb{E}^\gamma$  and  $\mathbb{E}^\mu$  denote expectations with respect to  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  respectively. On  $\mathcal{G}_t$  the measure  $\mu$  has density

$$F_t := \mathbb{E}^\gamma(F \mid \mathcal{G}_t),$$

with respect to  $\gamma$ . A standard martingale argument shows that

$$\mu\left(\inf_{t \geq 0} F_t > 0\right) = \mu(F > 0) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Since Brownian martingales can be represented as stochastic integrals there exists an adapted process  $v$  satisfying

$$\gamma\left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v_s|^2 \, ds < +\infty\right) = 1 \quad (6)$$

and

$$F_t = 1 + \int_0^t v_s \cdot dx_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let  $u$  be the process defined by

$$u_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{F_t > 0\}} (F_t)^{-1} v_t.$$

It is adapted and (5) and (6) yield

$$\mu\left(\int_0^\infty |u_s|^2 \, ds < +\infty\right) = 1,$$

which is the first assertion of the theorem.

The assertion 2 follows from Girsanov's formula, see [19, Theorem 6.2].

Under  $\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= 1 + \int_0^t F_s u_s \cdot dx_s \\ &= 1 + \int_0^t F_s u_s \cdot dy_s + \int_0^t F_s |u_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula (recall that  $F$  is positive and  $y$  is a Brownian motion under  $\mu$ ) we obtain

$$\log(F) = \int_0^{+\infty} u_s \cdot dy_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} |u_s|^2 ds.$$

If  $\mathbf{E}^\mu \|U\|^2 < +\infty$  the local martingale part in the equation above is integrable and has mean 0 so that

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \mathbf{E}^\mu \log(F) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}^\mu \|U\|^2.$$

Again, a localization argument shows that this equality remains valid when  $\mathbf{E}^\mu \|U\|^2 = +\infty$ , see [15, Lemma (2.6)].  $\square$

To finish this subsection, we give a formula for Föllmer's drift when the underlying density has a Malliavin derivative, we refer to the first chapter of [20] for the (little amount of) Malliavin calculus we shall use. For suitable  $F: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we let  $DF: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be the Malliavin derivative of  $F$ . The domain of  $D$  in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \gamma)$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{D}^2$ . If  $F \in \mathbb{D}^2$  then the Clark-Ocone formula asserts

$$\mathbf{E}^\gamma(F \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = 1 + \int_0^t \mathbf{E}^\gamma(D_s F \mid \mathcal{G}_s) \cdot dx_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We obtain the following result.

**Lemma 3.** *When  $F \in \mathbb{D}^2$  the process  $u_t$  given by Theorem 2 is*

$$u_t = \frac{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(D_t F \mid \mathcal{G}_t)}{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(F \mid \mathcal{G}_t)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(F \mid \mathcal{G}_t) > 0\}}.$$

*This implies that  $\mu$ -almost surely*

$$u_t = \mathbf{E}^\mu \left( \frac{D_t F}{F} \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right).$$

### 2.3 Optimal drift in a strong sense

According to Theorem 2, the filtered probability space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathcal{G})$  carries a Brownian motion  $y$ . The process  $x = y + U$  has law  $\mu$  and the drift  $U$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}^\mu \|U\|^2.$$

Still, it remains open whether *given* a probability space, a filtration and a Brownian motion, there exists a drift achieving equality in (4).

In this section, we show that this is indeed the case, under some restriction on the measure  $\mu$ . The approach is taken from the article [4] in which Baudoin treats the case of Brownian bridges (see subsection 2.5 below). We refer to [21] for the background on stochastic differential equations.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $B$  be a Brownian motion defined on some filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{W}$ , absolutely continuous with respect to  $\gamma$  and let  $u_t: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the associated Föllmer process. If the stochastic differential equation*

$$X_t = B_t + \int_0^t u_s(X) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{7}$$

*has the pathwise uniqueness property, then it has a unique strong solution. This solution  $X$  satisfies the following.*

1. *The process  $U_t = \int_0^t u_s(X) \, ds$  belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$  almost surely.*
2. *The process  $X$  has law  $\mu$ .*
3. *The relative entropy of  $\mu$  is given by*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2.$$

*Proof.* According to Theorem 2, on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  the coordinate process  $x$  satisfies

$$x_t = y_t + \int_0^t u_s(x) \, ds$$

where  $y$  is a Brownian motion. Therefore (7) has a weak solution. By Yamada and Watanabe's theorem, if pathwise uniqueness holds then (7) has a unique strong solution. Moreover, since pathwise uniqueness implies uniqueness in law, the solution  $X$  has law  $\mu$ . The rest of Theorem 4 concerns the law of  $X$ , so it is contained in Theorem 2.  $\square$

We end this section by showing that for a reasonably large class of measures  $\mu$ , the stochastic differential equation (7) does satisfy the pathwise uniqueness property.

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the class of probability measures on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \gamma)$  having a density of the form

$$F(w) = \Phi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n}) \tag{8}$$

for some integer  $n$ , for some sample  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$  and for some function  $\Phi: (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

- $\Phi$  is Lipschitz.

- $\nabla\Phi$  is Lipschitz.
- There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\Phi \geq \epsilon$ .

**Lemma 6.** *If  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  then the equation (7) has the pathwise uniqueness property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  have density  $F$  given by (8). Then  $F \in \mathbb{D}^2$  and

$$DF(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i \Phi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n}) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t_i]}$$

where  $\nabla_i \Phi$  is the gradient of  $\Phi$  in the  $i$ -th variable. By Lemma 3, the process associated to  $\mu$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(w) &= \frac{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(D_t F(w) \mid \mathcal{G}_t)}{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(F(w) \mid \mathcal{G}_t)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(\nabla_i \Phi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{G}_t)}{\mathbf{E}^\gamma(\Phi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{G}_t)} \mathbf{1}_{[0, t_i]}(t). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$|u_t(w) - u_t(\tilde{w})| \leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |w_s - \tilde{w}_s|. \quad (9)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and for all  $w, \tilde{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ . Fix  $t \geq 0$  and assume that  $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . By the Markov property of the Brownian motion

$$\mathbf{E}(\Phi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \Psi(w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_k}, w_t)$$

where  $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_k, x)$  equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{W}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_k, x + w_{t_{k+1}-t}, \dots, x + w_{t_n-t}) \gamma(dw).$$

Then observe that  $\|\Psi\|_{\text{lip}} \leq \|\Phi\|_{\text{lip}}$ . We have a similar property when  $0 \leq t < t_1$  and when  $t_n \leq t$ . The argument applies also to  $\nabla_i \Phi$ . The inequality (9) follows easily.  $\square$

To sum up, we have the following representation formula.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$  be a filtered probability space and let  $B: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be a Brownian motion. For all  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$  we have*

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \min_U \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \|U\|^2 \right)$$

where the minimum is on all drifts  $U$  such that  $B + U$  has law  $\mu$ .

## 2.4 The Boué and Dupuis formula

In this subsection the previous results are translated in terms of log-Laplace using the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded from below. For every positive  $\epsilon$  there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$  such that*

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}} e^f d\gamma\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{W}} f d\mu - \mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) + \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* By monotone convergence we can assume that  $f$  is also bounded from above, and that  $\int e^f d\gamma = 1$ . Set  $F = e^f$  and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{W}$ . Using  $t \log(t) \leq |t - 1| + |t - 1|^2/2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) - \int f d\mu &\leq \int \left| \frac{G}{F} - 1 \right| F d\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \frac{G}{F} - 1 \right|^2 F d\gamma \\ &\leq \|F - G\|_{L^1(\gamma)} + C \|F - G\|_{L^2(\gamma)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $G$  is the density of  $\mu$  and  $C$  is some constant (recall that  $f$  is bounded below). Therefore, it is enough to prove that there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$  whose density  $G$  is arbitrarily close to  $F$  in  $L^2(\gamma)$ . This is left to the reader.  $\square$

Here is the Boué and Dupuis formula.

**Theorem 9.** *For every function  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable and bounded from below, we have*

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}} e^f d\gamma\right) = \sup_U \left[ \mathbb{E}\left(f(B + U) - \frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2\right) \right],$$

where the supremum is taken over all drifts  $U$ .

This is actually slightly more general than the result in [7], which concerns the space  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  for some finite time horizon  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a drift and  $\mu$  be the law of  $B + U$ . By Proposition 1 and the entropy/log-Laplace duality

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(B + U) - \frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2\right) \leq \int f d\mu - \mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) \leq \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}} e^f d\gamma\right).$$

On the other hand, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfying (10). Since  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ , Theorem 7 asserts that there exists a drift  $U$  such that  $B + U$  has law  $\mu$  and satisfying

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\|U\|^2.$$

Then (10) becomes

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}} e^f d\gamma\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(f(B + U) - \frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2\right) + \epsilon,$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$



## 2.5 Brownian bridges

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{W}$  satisfying

$$\mu(dw) = \rho(w_1) \gamma(dw) \quad (11)$$

where  $\rho$  is some density on  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d)$  is said to be a Brownian bridge. It can be seen as the law of a Brownian motion conditioned to have law  $\rho(x)\gamma_d(dx)$  at time 1.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\nu$  have density  $\rho$  with respect to  $\gamma_d$ , we have*

$$H(\nu | \gamma_d) = \inf_{\mu} \left( H(\mu | \gamma) \right)$$

where the infimum is on all probability measures satisfying  $\mu \circ (x_1)^{-1} = \nu$ . The infimum is attained when  $\mu$  is the bridge (11).

In other words, among all processes having law  $\nu$  at time 1, the bridge minimizes the relative entropy. This is essentially a particular case of (3), see also [4] and [17, page 161].

Assume that  $\rho$  is differentiable and that  $\nabla \rho \in L^2(\gamma_d)$ . Then  $F(w) = \rho(w_1)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{D}^2$  and has Malliavin derivative

$$DF(w) = \nabla \rho(w_1) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}.$$

By Lemma 3 the Föllmer process of the bridge  $\mu$  is such that

$$u_t = E^\mu(\nabla \log(\rho)(w_1) | \mathcal{G}_t) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t), \quad \mu - a.s.$$

We obtain the following result.

**Lemma 11.** *Under  $\mu$ , the process  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a martingale. In particular*

$$E^\mu(u_t) = E^\mu \nabla \log(\rho)(w_1) = E^\gamma \nabla \rho(w_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \nu(dx).$$

Now assume that  $\rho$  and  $\nabla \rho$  are Lipschitz and that  $\rho \geq \epsilon$ , so that the bridge  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is easily seen that  $u_t$  can also be written as

$$u_t(w) = \nabla \log P_{1-t} \rho(w_t) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t),$$

where  $P_t$  denotes the heat semigroup on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\partial_t P_t = \frac{1}{2} \Delta P_t.$$

The stochastic differential equation (7) becomes

$$X_t = B_t + \int_0^{t \wedge 1} \nabla \log(P_{1-s} \rho)(X_s) ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

By Lemma 6, there is a unique strong solution. Combining Lemma 10 with Theorem 4 we obtain the following dual formulation of Borell's result (1).

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\nu$  and  $\rho$  be as above. Then*

$$\mathbb{H}(\nu \mid \gamma_d) = \inf_U \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2 \right)$$

where the infimum is taken on all drifts  $U$  satisfying  $B_1 + U_1 = \nu$  in law. The infimum is attained by the drift

$$U_t = \int_0^{t \wedge 1} \nabla \log(P_{1-s}\rho)(X_s) \, ds,$$

where  $X$  is the unique solution of (12).

### 3 Applications

Following Borell, we now derive functional inequalities from the representation formula. Let us point out that in all but one applications we use Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 rather than Theorem 7.

#### 3.1 Transportation cost inequality

Let  $T_2$  be the transportation cost for the Euclidean distance squared: given two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$T_2(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 \, d\pi(x, y) \right)^{1/2}, \quad (13)$$

where the infimum is taken over all couplings  $\pi$  of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , namely all probability measures on the product space  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  having marginals  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . There is a huge literature about this optimization problem, usually referred to as Monge-Kantorovitch problem, see Villani's book [25]. Talagrand's inequality asserts that

$$T_2(\nu, \gamma_d) \leq 2 \mathbb{H}(\nu \mid \gamma_d)$$

for every probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The purpose of this subsection is to prove a Wiener space version of this inequality.

On Wiener space the natural definition of  $T_2$  involves the norm of the Cameron-Martin space  $\mathbb{H}$ : given two probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B})$

$$T_2(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left( \int_{\mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W}} \|w - w'\|^2 \, \pi(dw, dw') \right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all couplings  $\pi$  of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that  $w - w' \in \mathbb{H}$  for  $\pi$ -almost all  $(w, w')$ .

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B})$ . Then*

$$T_2(\mu, \gamma) \leq 2 \mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma).$$

Here is a short proof based of Theorem 2. Fair enough, Feyel and Üstünel [13] have a very similar argument.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\gamma$  (otherwise  $\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) = +\infty$ ). According to Theorem 2 there exists a Brownian motion  $B$  and a drift  $U$  such that  $B + U$  has law  $\mu$  and

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2.$$

Then  $(B, B + U)$  is a coupling of  $(\gamma, \mu)$  and by definition of  $\mathbb{T}_2$

$$\mathbb{T}_2(\mu, \gamma)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \|U\|^2 = 2\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma). \quad \square$$

Let us point out that Talagrand's inequality can be recovered easily from this theorem, applying it to a Brownian bridge. Details are left to the reader.

### 3.2 Logarithmic Sobolev inequality

In this section we prove the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the Wiener measure, which extends the classical log-Sobolev inequality for the Gaussian measure, due to Gross [18]. When  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \gamma)$  with density  $F$  such that  $DF$  is well defined, the Fisher information of  $\mu$  is

$$\mathbb{I}(\mu | \gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{W}} \frac{\|DF\|^2}{F} d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{W}} \left\| \frac{DF}{F} \right\|^2 d\mu.$$

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\mu$  have density  $F$  with respect to  $\gamma$  and assume that  $F \in \mathbb{D}^2$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}(\mu | \gamma). \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* We consider the probability space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Recall that  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the filtration of the coordinate process. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, letting

$$u_t = \mathbb{E}^\mu \left( \frac{D_t F}{F} \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right)$$

we have

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^\mu \int_0^\infty |u_t|^2 dt.$$

By Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E}^\mu |u_t|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}^\mu \left| \frac{D_t F}{F} \right|^2$$

so that

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu | \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^\mu \left\| \frac{DF}{F} \right\|^2$$

which is the result. □

This may not be the most straightforward proof, see [9]. Let us emphasize that applying (14) to a Brownian bridge yields the usual log-Sobolev inequality. More precisely, let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  having a smooth density  $\rho$  with respect to  $\gamma_d$  and let  $\mu$  be the measure on  $\mathbb{W}$  given by

$$\mu(dw) = \rho(w_1) \gamma(dw).$$

Then  $H(\nu | \gamma_d) = H(\mu | \gamma)$ . On the other hand letting  $F(w) = \rho(w_1)$  we have

$$DF(w) = \nabla \rho(w_1) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]},$$

which implies easily that  $I(\nu | \gamma_d) = I(\mu | \gamma)$ . Thus (14) becomes

$$H(\nu | \gamma_d) \leq \frac{1}{2} I(\nu | \gamma_d).$$

### 3.3 Shannon's inequality

Given a random vector  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  having density  $\rho$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure, Shannon's entropy is defined as

$$S(\eta) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log(\rho) \, dx.$$

In other words  $S(\eta) = -H(\nu | \lambda_d)$  where  $\nu$  is the law of  $\eta$  and  $\lambda_d$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $\eta, \xi$  be independent random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$*

$$S(\cos(\theta)\eta + \sin(\theta)\xi) \geq \cos(\theta)^2 S(\eta) + \sin(\theta)^2 S(\xi). \quad (15)$$

This inequality plays a central role in information theory, see [12] for an overview on the topic.

*Proof.* Let  $\nu_\theta$  be the law of  $\cos(\theta)\eta + \sin(\theta)\xi$ . By Theorem 2, Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 there exists a Brownian motion  $X$  and a drift  $U$  such that

- $X_1 + U_1$  has law  $\nu_0$ .
- $H(\nu_0 | \gamma_d) = \mathbf{E}\|U\|^2/2$ .
- $\mathbf{E}(U) = \mathbf{E}(\eta) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$ .

Similarly, there exists a Brownian motion  $Y$  and a drift  $V$  satisfying the corresponding properties for  $\nu_{\pi/2}$ . Besides, we can clearly assume that  $Y$  is independent of  $X$ . Then  $\cos(\theta)X + \sin(\theta)Y$  is a Brownian motion and

$$\cos(\theta)X_1 + \sin(\theta)Y_1 + \cos(\theta)U_1 + \sin(\theta)V_1$$

has law  $\nu_\theta$ . By Proposition 1 and Lemma 10

$$H(\nu_\theta | \gamma_d) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\|\cos(\theta)U + \sin(\theta)V\|^2.$$

Denoting the inner product in  $\mathbb{H}$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}\langle U, V \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}U, \mathbb{E}V \rangle = (\mathbb{E}\eta) \cdot (\mathbb{E}\xi),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\nu_\theta \mid \gamma_d) &\leq \cos(\theta)^2 \mathbb{H}(\nu_0 \mid \gamma_d) + \sin(\theta)^2 \mathbb{H}(\nu_{\pi/2} \mid \gamma_d) \\ &\quad + \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) (\mathbb{E}\eta) \cdot (\mathbb{E}\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This is easily seen to be equivalent to (15).  $\square$

### 3.4 Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Let us focus on a family of inequalities dating back to Brascamp and Lieb's article [8] on optimal constants in Young's inequality. Since then a number of nice alternate proofs have been discovered, see [3, 10] and the survey article [1]. This subsection is inspired by the (unpublished) proof of Maurey relying on Borell's formula.

Let  $E$  be a Euclidean space, let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be subspaces and for all  $i$  let  $P_i$  be the orthogonal projection with range  $E_i$ . The crucial hypothesis is the so-called frame condition: there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i P_i = \text{id}_E. \quad (16)$$

Let  $x \in E$ , we then have  $|x|^2 = (\sum c_i P_i x) \cdot x$  and since  $P_i$  is an orthogonal projection

$$|x|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |P_i x|^2. \quad (17)$$

From now on  $\mathbb{W}$  denotes the space of continuous paths taking values in  $E$  and starting from 0 and  $\gamma$  denotes the Wiener measure on  $\mathbb{W}$ . The spaces  $\mathbb{W}_i$  and measures  $\gamma_i$  are defined similarly.

**Theorem 16.** *Under the frame condition, for every probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{W}$  we have*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i),$$

where  $\mu_i = \mu \circ P_i^{-1}$  is the push-forward of  $\mu$  by the projection  $P_i$ .

*Proof.* According to Theorem 2 there exists a standard Brownian motion  $B$  on  $E$  and a drift  $U$  such that  $B + U$  has law  $\mu$  and

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\|U\|^2.$$

Since  $P_i$  is an orthogonal projection, the process  $P_i B$  is a standard Brownian motion on  $E_i$ . Also  $P_i B + P_i U$  has law  $\mu \circ P_i^{-1} = \mu_i$ . By Proposition 1

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\|P_i U\|^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

On the other hand, the frame condition (17) implies easily that

$$\|U\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|P_i U\|^2$$

pointwise. Taking expectation yields the result.  $\square$

As observed by Carlen and Cordero [10], this super-additivity property of the relative entropy is equivalent to the following Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

**Corollary 17.** *Under the frame condition, given  $m$  functions  $F_i: \mathbb{W}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{W}} \prod_{i=1}^m (E_i \circ P_i)^{c_i} d\gamma \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{W}_i} F_i d\gamma_i \right)^{c_i}.$$

When the functions  $F_i$  depend only on the point  $w_1$  rather than on the whole path  $w$  we recover the usual Brascamp-Lieb inequality for the Gaussian measure.

### 3.5 Reversed Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Again  $E$  is a Euclidean space and  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are subspaces satisfying the frame condition (16). Observe that if  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  belong to  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  respectively, then for any  $y \in E$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (17) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i \right) \cdot y &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (x_i \cdot P_i y) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |P_i y|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} |y|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i \right|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |x_i|^2. \quad (18)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}_i$  be the class of probability measures on  $E_i$  which satisfy the conditions of Definition 5, replacing  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $E_i$ . Here is the reversed version of Theorem 16.

**Theorem 18.** *Given  $m$  probability measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_m$  respectively, there exist  $m$  processes  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  (defined on the same probability space) such that*

1.  $X_i$  has law  $\mu_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

2. Letting  $\mu$  be the law of  $\sum c_i X_i$  we have

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbf{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i).$$

*Proof.* Again let  $B$  be a standard Brownian motion on  $E$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the process  $P_i B$  is a standard Brownian motion on  $E_i$ . Since  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}_i$  there exists a drift  $U_i$  such that the process  $X_i = P_i B + U_i$  has law  $\mu_i$  and

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|U_i\|^2.$$

Let  $X = \sum c_i X_i$  and let  $\mu$  be the law of  $X$ . Since  $\sum c_i P_i$  is the identity of  $E$

$$X = B + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i.$$

By Proposition 1, we get

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i \right\|^2.$$

On the other hand (18) easily implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \|U_i\|^2,$$

pointwise. Taking expectation we get the result.  $\square$

This sub-additivity property of the entropy is a multi-marginal version of the *displacement convexity* property put forward by Sturm [22]. By duality, we obtain the following reversed Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

**Corollary 19.** *Assuming the frame condition, given  $m$  functions  $F_i: \mathbb{W}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  bounded away from 0, and a function  $G: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m F_i(w_i)^{c_i} \leq G\left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i w_i\right) \quad (19)$$

for all  $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{W}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{W}_m$ , we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{W}_i} F_i \, d\gamma_i \right)^{c_i} \leq \int_{\mathbb{W}} G \, d\gamma.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 8, for every  $i$ , there exists a measure  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}_i$  such that

$$\log \left( \int_{\mathbb{W}_i} F_i \, d\gamma_i \right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{W}_i} \log(F_i) \, d\mu_i - \mathbf{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i) + \epsilon.$$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be the random processes given by the previous theorem, let  $X = \sum c_i X_i$  and let  $\mu$  be the law of  $X$ . Then by duality and the hypothesis (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}} G \, d\gamma\right) &\geq \mathbb{E} \log(G)(X) - \mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \log(F_i)(X_i)\right) - \mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{H}(\mu \mid \gamma) \leq \sum c_i \mathbb{H}(\mu_i \mid \gamma_i)$ , this is at least

$$\sum c_i \left( \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{W}_i} F_i \, d\gamma_i\right) - \epsilon \right).$$

Letting  $\epsilon$  tend to 0 yields the result.  $\square$

Again when the functions depend only on the value of the path at time 1, we recover the reversed Brascamp-Lieb inequality for the Gaussian measure, which is due to Barthe [2].

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Patrick Cattiaux and Massimiliano Gubinelli for communicating references and to Christian Léonard, Bernard Maurey and Patrick Cattiaux again for valuable discussions.

## References

- [1] K. Ball. Convex geometry and functional analysis, in *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, vol. 1, edited by W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, North Holland (2001) 161–194.
- [2] F. Barthe. On a reverse form of the Brascamp-Lieb inequality, *Invent. Math.* 134 (2) (1998) 335–361.
- [3] F. Barthe and N. Huet. On Gaussian Brunn-Minkowski inequalities, *Studia Math.* 191 (3) (2009) 283–304.
- [4] F. Baudoin. Conditioned stochastic differential equations: theory, examples and application to finance. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 100 (2002) 109–145.
- [5] C. Borell. Diffusion equations and geometric inequalities, *Potential Anal.* 12 (1) (2000) 49–71.
- [6] C. Borell. Isoperimetry, log-concavity, and elasticity of option prices, in *New Directions in Mathematical Finance*, edited by P. Wilmott and H. Rasmussen, Wiley (2002) 73–91.



- [7] M. Boué and P. Dupuis. A variational representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion, *Ann. Probab.* 26 (4) (1998) 1641–1659.
- [8] H. J. Brascamp and E. H. Lieb. Best constants in Youngs inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions. *Adv. Math.* 20 (1976) 151–173.
- [9] M. Capitaine, E.P. Hsu and M. Ledoux. Martingale representation and a simple proof of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces, *Electron. Comm. Probab.* 2 (1997) 71–81.
- [10] E. Carlen and D. Cordero-Erausquin. Subadditivity of the entropy and its relation to Brascamp-Lieb type inequalities, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 19 (2009) 373–405.
- [11] D. Cordero-Erausquin and M. Ledoux. The geometry of Euclidean convolution inequalities and entropy, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (8) (2010) 2755–2769.
- [12] A. Dembo, T.M. Cover and J.A. Thomas. Information Theoretic Inequalities, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 37 (6) (1991) 1501–1518.
- [13] D. Feyel and A.S. Üstünel, Measure transport on Wiener space and the Girsanov theorem, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 334 (11) (2002) 1025–1028.
- [14] W.H. Fleming and H.M. Soner. Controlled Markov processes and viscosity solutions. Second edition. *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*, 25. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [15] H. Föllmer, An entropy approach to the time reversal of diffusion processes, in *Stochastic differential systems (Marseille-Luminy, 1984)*, Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci. 69, Springer (1985) 156–163.
- [16] H. Föllmer. Time reversal on Wiener space, in *Stochastic processes—mathematics and physics (Bielefeld, 1984)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1158, Springer (1986) 119–129.
- [17] H. Föllmer. Random fields and diffusion processes. in *École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XV–XVII, 1985–87*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1362, Springer (1988) 101–203.
- [18] L. Gross. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.* 97 (4) (1975) 1061–1083.
- [19] R.S. Liptser and A.N. Shiriyayev. Statistics of random processes. Vol. 1. General theory. Translated from the Russian original by A.B. Aries. Second edition. Applications of Mathematics 5. *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

- [20] D. Nualart. The Malliavin calculus and related topics. Second edition. Probability and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [21] L.C.G. Rogers and D. Williams. Diffusions, Markov processes, and martingales. Vol. 2. Itô calculus. Reprint of the second (1994) edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [22] K.T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I. Acta Math. 196 (1) (2006) 65–131.
- [23] M. Talagrand. Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (3) (1996) 587–600.
- [24] S.R.S Varadhan. Large deviations and applications. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 46. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1984.
- [25] C. Villani. Optimal transport. Old and new. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.