

GEOMETRY OF COHERENT STATES OF CCR ALGEBRAS

YAMAGAMI Shigeru*

Graduate School of Mathematics

Nagoya University

Nagoya, 464-8602, JAPAN

yamagami@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

[2000]46L60, 46L51

ABSTRACT. Geometric positions of square roots of coherent states of CCR algebras are investigated along with an explicit formula for transition amplitudes among them, which is a natural extension of our previous results on quasifree states and will provide a new insight into quasi-equivalence problems of quasifree states.

INTRODUCTION

Coherent states are most extensively studied in the case of finite degree of freedom but most of its formality works in the infinite dimensional case as well, which is especially useful in extracting behaviour of classical fields from quantum ones.

We here study coherent states in the form of shifted quasifree states as a continuation of our previous article [5].

A quasifree state φ_S is a special state of a CCR C^* -algebra parametrized by its covariance form S . Let μ_S be the Gaussian measure of covariance form given by $A = (S^{1/2} + \bar{S}^{1/2})^2/2$.

Let α be a linear function to be used to shift the measure μ_S with the shifted measure denoted by $\mu_{S,\alpha}$, which is the Gaussian measure of covariance form A and the mean functional α . The shift operation is also applicable to quasifree states with the shifted state denoted by $\varphi_{S,\alpha}$. Our main result is then an explicit formula for the transition amplitude $(\varphi_{S,\alpha}^{1/2}|\varphi_{T,\beta}^{1/2})$ between square roots of coherent states $\varphi_{S,\alpha}$ and $\varphi_{T,\beta}$, which is in turn equal to the Hellinger integral of $\mu_{S,\alpha}$ and $\mu_{T,\beta}$. Thus the geometric position of vectors $\{\varphi_{S,\alpha}^{1/2}\}$ is exactly that of classical Gaussian measures $\{\mu_{S,\alpha}\}$ in the L^2 -space.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let C be a C^* -algebra. Given states φ, ψ of C , let $\varphi^{1/2}$ and $\psi^{1/2}$ be their GNS-vectors in the universal representation space $L^2(C^{**})$ of C , with their inner product $(\varphi^{1/2}|\psi^{1/2})$ referred to as the transition amplitude.

By a presymplectic vector space, we shall mean a pair (V, σ) of a real vector space V and an alternating form σ on V . Given a presymplectic vector space (V, σ) , the

*Partially supported by KAKENHI(22540217)

CCR C*-algebra, denoted by $C^*(V, \sigma)$, is the universal C*-algebra generated by symbols $\{e^{ix}\}_{x \in V}$ under the Weyl form of CCR's

$$e^{ix}e^{iy} = e^{-i\sigma(x,y)/2}e^{i(x+y)}, \quad (e^{ix})^* = e^{-ix}, \quad x, y \in V.$$

A positive (sesquilinear) form S on the complexified vector space $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a covariance form on (V, σ) if

$$S(x, y) - \overline{S}(x, y) = i\sigma(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in V^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Here $\overline{S}(x, y) = \overline{S(\overline{y}, \overline{x})}$.

Each covariance form S in turn gives rise to a special state φ_S of the CCR C*-algebra $C^*(V, \sigma)$, the quasifree state of $C^*(V, \sigma)$ associated to S , by

$$\varphi_S(e^{ix}) = e^{-S(x,x)/2}, \quad x \in V.$$

Now the result in [5] is summarized as follows: Let S and T be covariance forms on a presymplectic vector space (V, σ) with the associated quasifree states denoted by φ_S and φ_T respectively. Then

$$(\varphi_S^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) = \sqrt{\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right)}.$$

Here positive forms A, B on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ are defined by

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(S^{1/2} + \overline{S}^{1/2})^2, \quad B = \frac{1}{2}(T^{1/2} + \overline{T}^{1/2})^2$$

with the functional calculus on positive forms performed in the Pusz-Woronowicz' sense. We also use the ratio notation $\frac{F}{G}$ for two positive forms F, G on a complex vector space K to stand for an operator satisfying $F(x, y) = G(x, \frac{F}{G}y)$ ($x, y \in K$)

if F is dominated by G . Thus $\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right)$ is the determinant of the operator

$\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B}$ fulfilling

$$2\sqrt{AB}(x, y) = (A+B)(x, \frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B}y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in V^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Related to the above transition amplitude formula, it is natural to introduce the following notion: Two covariance forms S, T are said to be **HS-equivalent** if the associated A and B are equivalent (i.e., dominated by each other) with their difference represented by a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator relative to the hilbertian topology induced from A or B . With this terminology, we have $0 \leq \det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right) \leq 1$, which is strictly positive if and only if S and T are HS-equivalent.

2. COHERENT STATES

Let (V, σ) be a presymplectic vector space. Given a linear functional $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the replacement $v \mapsto v + \lambda(v)1$ ($v \in V$) preserves the CCR's, whence it induces a *-automorphism of the CCR C*-algebra $C^*(V, \sigma)$ by

$$\Phi(e^{ix}) = e^{i\lambda(x)}e^{ix} \quad \text{for } x \in V,$$

which, in fact, gives rise to an automorphic action of the additive group V^* , the algebraic dual of V , on $C^*(V, \sigma)$.

If the automorphism Φ is applied to a quasifree state φ_S , then we obtain a **coherent state** (a quasifree state with mean) $\varphi_{S,\lambda}$: $\varphi_{S,\lambda}$ is a state of $C^*(V, \sigma)$ specified by

$$\varphi_{S,\lambda}(e^{ix}) = \varphi_S(\Phi(e^{ix})) = e^{i\lambda(x)} e^{-S(x)/2}, \quad x \in V.$$

3. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Here we shall establish a transition amplitude formula between coherent states under the assumption that V is finite-dimensional.

For the moment, we work with a covariance form S such that $S + \bar{S}$ is non-degenerate. Let $\rho_{S,\lambda}$ be the density operator associated to the coherent state $\varphi_{S,\lambda}$, which is an element in the Hilbert algebra $\mathfrak{S}(V, \sigma)$ of rapidly decreasing functions on V introduced in [5, §3]. The gauge automorphism Φ of $C^*(V, \sigma)$ is restricted to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{S}(V, \sigma)$ so that

$$\Phi \left(\int_V f(x) e^{ix} dx \right) = \int_V f(x) \Phi(e^{ix}) dx = \int_V e^{i\lambda(x)} f(x) e^{ix} dx,$$

namely $(\Phi f)(x) = e^{i\lambda(x)} f(x)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{S}(V, \sigma)$. Now

$$\varphi_{S,\lambda} \left(\int_V f(x) e^{ix} dx \right) = \varphi_S \left(\int_V (\Phi f)(x) e^{ix} dx \right) = \tau(\rho_S * (\Phi f)) = \tau((\Phi^{-1} \rho_S) * f)$$

shows that $\rho_{S,\lambda} = \Phi^{-1} \rho_S$ and then $\rho_{S,\lambda}^{1/2} = \Phi^{-1} \rho_S^{1/2}$;

$$\rho_{S,\lambda}^{1/2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_S}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}(S^{1/2} + \bar{S}^{1/2})^2(x) - i\lambda(x) \right)$$

with

$$N_S = \int_V e^{-(S^{1/2} + \bar{S}^{1/2})^2(x,x)/2} dx.$$

Let T be another covariance form on (V, σ) such that $T + \bar{T}$ is non-degenerate. Then, by using the density operator expression,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_T^{1/2} | \varphi_{S,\lambda}^{1/2}) &= \tau(\rho_T^{1/2} * \rho_{S,\lambda}^{1/2}) = \int_V \rho_T^{1/2}(-x) \rho_{S,\lambda}^{1/2}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_S N_T}} \int_V e^{-(A(x)+B(x))/2+i\lambda(x)} dx \\ &= \sqrt{\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right)} e^{-(A+B)^{-1}(\lambda)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall here that, given a positive sesquilinear form Q of a vector space K , the inverse form Q^{-1} (which is a quadratic form on the algebraic dual K^* taking values in $[0, +\infty]$) is defined as follows: Let $\alpha : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If we can find $a \in K_Q$ (the completion of K relative to Q) satisfying $\alpha(x) = Q(a, x)$ for $x \in K$, then $Q^{-1}(\alpha)$ is set to be $Q(a, a)$ and otherwise $Q^{-1}(\alpha) = +\infty$.

We shall now remove the non-degeneracy assumption on $(,)_S = S + \bar{S}$ and $(,)_T = T + \bar{T}$.

If there is an $x \in V$ such that $(x, x)_T = 0$ and $(x, x)_S \neq 0$, then the restrictions of φ_T and $\varphi_{S,\lambda}$ to $C^*(\mathbb{R}x, 0)$ are given by a Dirac measure δ and a gaussian measure respectively, whence they are disjoint and the determinant formula remains valid.

Henceforth suppose that $S + \overline{S}$ and $T + \overline{T}$ are equivalent as positive forms. If we can find an $x \in V$ such that $(x, x)_S = (x, x)_T = 0$ and $\lambda(x) \neq 0$, then the above argument is applied again to get Dirac measures with disjoint supports, showing the orthogonality of $\varphi_T^{1/2}$ and $\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2}$.

Finally, consider the case that $\lambda(x) = 0$ if $x \in V$ satisfies $(x, x)_S = 0$ (or equivalently $(x, x)_T = 0$). Let V' be the quotient of V by the kernel of $S + \overline{S}$ with λ' the quotient of λ . The quotient map $\phi : V \rightarrow V'$ satisfies $\lambda = \lambda' \circ \phi$ and then it induces a *-homomorphism $\pi : C^*(V, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(V', \sigma')$ so that $\varphi_{S, \lambda} = \varphi_{S', \lambda'} \circ \pi$ and $\varphi_T = \varphi_{T'} \circ \pi$. Thus the GNS-representation of $\varphi_{S, \lambda}$ is weakly approximated (π being an epimorphism) by that of $\varphi_{S', \lambda'}$ and [5, Proposition 4.3] is used to see

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_T^{1/2} | \varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2}) &= (\varphi_{T'}^{1/2} | \varphi_{S', \lambda'}^{1/2}) = \sqrt{\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{A'B'}}{A' + B'} \right)} e^{-(A'+B')^{-1}(\lambda)/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A + B} \right)} e^{-(A+B)^{-1}(\lambda)/2} \end{aligned}$$

without assuming the non-degeneracy of $S + \overline{S}$ and $T + \overline{T}$. Note that this particularly implies the inequality

$$(\varphi_T^{1/2} | \varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2}) \leq (\varphi_T^{1/2} | \varphi_S^{1/2}).$$

4. INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Let S, T be covariance forms on an infinite-dimensional presymplectic vector space (V, σ) and $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear functional.

Lemma 4.1.

- (i) Unless $S + \overline{S}$ and $T + \overline{T}$ are equivalent, we have $(\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) = 0$.
- (ii) If $S + \overline{S}$ and $T + \overline{T}$ are equivalent and $\lambda \neq 0$ on $\ker(S + \overline{S}) = \ker(T + \overline{T})$, then $(\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) = 0$.
- (iii) If $S + \overline{S}$ and $T + \overline{T}$ are equivalent and $\lambda = 0$ on $\ker(S + \overline{S}) = \ker(T + \overline{T})$, then

$$(\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) = (\varphi_{S', \lambda'}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T'}^{1/2}).$$

Here S', T' and λ' are induced on the completion of quotient space $V' = V / \ker(S + \overline{S})$ with respect to the inner product $S + \overline{S}$.

Proof. (i) Repeat the argument in [5, §4.1] for (i), whereas (ii) and (iii) are already discussed in the previous section. \square

To check the validity of the transition amplitude formula, it therefore suffices to deal with the case where V is hilbertian with S and T admissible covariance forms (the transition amplitudes being approximated under the process of taking completion, see [4, Proposition 4.3]).

Thanks to the decomposition into separable subspaces (cf. [5, §4.3]), we can further assume that V is a separable hilbertian space. Choose an increasing sequence $\{V_n\}$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of V with $\cup_n V_n$ dense in V . Let S_n, T_n and λ_n be the restrictions of S, T and λ_n to the subspace $V_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively. Set $2A_n = (S_n^{1/2} + \overline{S}_n^{-1/2})^2$, $2A = (S^{1/2} + \overline{S}^{-1/2})^2$, $2B_n = (T_n^{1/2} + \overline{T}_n^{-1/2})^2$, and $2B = (T^{1/2} + \overline{T}^{-1/2})^2$ as before.

Warning: A_n and B_n are *not* necessarily restrictions of A and B .

Lemma 4.2. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{A_n B_n}}{A_n + B_n} \right) = \begin{cases} \det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right) & \text{if } S \text{ and } T \text{ are HS-equivalent,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from the determinant formula for the transition amplitude $(\varphi_S^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2})$ along with the equality $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_{S_n}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T_n}^{1/2}) = (\varphi_S^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2})$. \square

In view of

$$(\varphi_{S_n, \lambda_n}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T_n}^{1/2}) = (\varphi_{S_n}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T_n}^{1/2}) e^{-(A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n)/2},$$

the above convergence formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_{S_n, \lambda_n}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T_n}^{1/2}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_{S_n}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T_n}^{1/2}) e^{-(A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n)/2} \\ &= (\varphi_S^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n)/2} \end{aligned}$$

Particularly, we observe that $(\varphi_{S, \lambda}^{1/2} | \varphi_T^{1/2}) = 0$ if S and T are not HS-equivalent, and our task is reduced to showing that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} (A + B)^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is bounded,} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

under the assumption that S and T are HS-equivalent.

To deal with this problem, we use $R \equiv S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}$ as a reference inner product with R_n restriction to $V_n^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $g_n : V \rightarrow V_n$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to R .

For $x, y \in V_n^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(x, y) &= S(x, y) = R(x, \frac{S}{R}y) = R(x, g_n \frac{S}{R} g_n y) \\ &= (S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n)(x, g_n \frac{S}{R} g_n y), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\frac{S_n}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} = g_n \frac{S}{S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}} g_n \Big|_{V_n^{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

Thus we have the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(S_n^{1/2} + \overline{S}_n^{1/2})^2}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} &= \frac{S_n + \overline{S}_n}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} \frac{(S_n^{1/2} + \overline{S}_n^{1/2})^2}{S_n + \overline{S}_n} \\ &= g_n \frac{S + \overline{S}}{S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}} g_n \left(\left(e_n \frac{S}{S + \overline{S}} e_n \right)^{1/2} + \left(e_n \frac{\overline{S}}{S + \overline{S}} e_n \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \Big|_{V_n^{\mathbb{C}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n \frac{(S_n^{1/2} + \overline{S}_n^{-1/2})^2}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} g_n \\ &= \frac{S + \overline{S}}{S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}} \left(\left(\frac{S}{S + \overline{S}} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{\overline{S}}{S + \overline{S}} \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{(S^{1/2} + \overline{S}^{1/2})^2}{S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}} \end{aligned}$$

in strong operator topology. Thus, if we set

$$C_n = 1 - g_n + g_n \frac{(S_n^{1/2} + \overline{S}_n^{-1/2})^2}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} g_n + g_n \frac{(T_n^{1/2} + \overline{T}_n^{-1/2})^2}{S_n + \overline{S}_n + T_n + \overline{T}_n} g_n,$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = C \equiv \frac{(S^{1/2} + \overline{S}^{1/2})^2 + (T^{1/2} + \overline{T}^{1/2})^2}{S + \overline{S} + T + \overline{T}}$$

again in strong operator topology.

From the definition, the operator C_n is R -positive. Since $R_n \leq 2(A_n + B_n) \leq 2R_n$, we see $1 \leq C_n \leq 2$. Note also that, for $x, y \in V_n$,

$$R(x, C_n y) = R_n \left(x, \frac{2(A_n + B_n)}{R_n} y \right) = 2(A_n + B_n)(x, y).$$

Define $x_n \in V_n$ by

$$2(A_n + B_n)(x_n, x) = R(x_n, C_n x) = \lambda(x) \quad \text{for } x \in V_n$$

and set $y_n = C_n x_n \in V_n$. Then

$$2^{-1}(A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n) = 2(A_n + B_n)(x_n, x_n) = R(x_n, C_n x_n)$$

and the relation $R(y_n, x) = \lambda(x)$ for $x \in V_n$ implies that $g_m y_n = y_m$ for $m \leq n$.

If λ is bounded with $y_\infty \in V$ defined by $R(y_\infty, x) = \lambda(x)$ for $x \in V$, then $y_n \rightarrow y_\infty$ in norm topology. Since $C_n^{-1} \rightarrow C^{-1}$ in strong operator topology, we see that $x_n = C_n^{-1} y_n \rightarrow C^{-1} y_\infty$ in norm topology as well. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-1}(A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(x_n, C_n x_n) = R(C^{-1} y_\infty, y_\infty) = 2^{-1}(A + B)^{-1}(\lambda).$$

Assume now that λ is not bounded and we shall show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(x_n, C_n x_n) = +\infty.$$

Otherwise,

$$\liminf R(x_n, x_n) \leq \liminf R(x_n, C_n x_n) < +\infty$$

and we can find a subsequence $\{x_{n'}\}$ so that $x_{n'}$ converges weakly to some $x_\infty \in V$. Then, for any $x \in V$,

$$R(x, C_{n'} x_{n'}) = R((C_{n'} - C)x, x_{n'}) + R(Cx, x_{n'}) \rightarrow R(Cx, x_\infty) = R(x, Cx_\infty)$$

means $y_{n'} \rightarrow Cx_\infty$ weakly and therefore $\{R(y_{n'}, y_{n'})\}$ is bounded by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, which contradicts with the unboundedness of λ , concluding that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n + B_n)^{-1}(\lambda_n) = +\infty$$

if λ is not bounded.

Theorem 4.3. Let S, T be covariance forms on a presymplectic vector space (V, σ) and α, β be linear functionals of V . Then

$$(\varphi_{S,\alpha}^{1/2} | \varphi_{T,\beta}^{1/2}) = \sqrt{\det \left(\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{A+B} \right)} e^{-(A+B)^{-1}(\alpha-\beta)/2},$$

where $2A = (S^{1/2} + \overline{S}^{1/2})^2$ and $2B = (T^{1/2} + \overline{T}^{1/2})^2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.I. Bogachev, *Gaussian Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [2] S. Janson, *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [3] W. Pusz and S.L. Woronowicz, Functional calculus for sesquilinear forms and the purification map, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 8(1975), 159–170.
- [4] S. Yamagami, Geometric mean of states and transition amplitudes, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 84(2008), 123–137.
- [5] _____, Geometry of quasi-free states of CCR algebras, arXiv:0801.0858.