

ROOT SYSTEMS AND DIAGRAM CALCULUS.
I. REGULAR EXTENSIONS OF CARTER DIAGRAMS AND THE
UNIQUENESS OF CONJUGACY CLASSES

RAFAEL STEKOLSHCHIK

ABSTRACT. In 1972, R. Carter introduced admissible diagrams to classify conjugacy classes in a finite Weyl group W . We say that an admissible diagram Γ is a *Carter diagram* if any edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ with inner product $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ (resp. $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$) is drawn as dotted (resp. solid) edge. We construct an explicit transformation of any Carter diagram containing long cycles (with the number of vertices $l > 4$) into another Carter diagram containing only 4-cycles. Thus, all Carter diagrams containing long cycles can be eliminated from the classification list.

There exist diagrams determining two conjugacy classes in W . It is shown that any connected Carter diagram Γ containing a 4-vertex pattern D_4 or $D_4(a_1)$ determines a single conjugacy class. The main approach is studying different extensions of Carter diagrams. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the Carter diagram obtained from a certain Carter diagram Γ by adding a single vertex α connected to Γ at n points, $n \leq 3$. Let a *socket* be the set of vertices of Γ connected to α . If the number of sockets available for extensions is equal to 2, then there is a pair of extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, called *mirror extensions* and the pair elements w_L and w_R associated with $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$. We show that $w_R = T^{-1}w_L T$ for some $T \in W$, where the map T is explicitly constructed for all mirror extensions.

In Carter's description of the conjugacy classes in a Weyl group a key result (Carter's theorem) states that every element in a Weyl group is a product of two involutions. One of the goals of this paper and its sequels is to prepare the notions and framework in which we give the proof of this fact without appealing to the classification of conjugacy classes.

CONTENTS

1. Preview	4
1.1. Surprising cycles and dotted edges	4
1.2. The Carter theorem and the theorem on a single conjugacy class	4
1.3. Theorem on eliminating long cycles	5
1.4. Mirror extensions	6
1.5. Extensions and the uniqueness theorem	6
1.6. The partial Cartan matrix and linear dependence	7
2. Introduction	10
2.1. Diagrams	10
2.1.1. Admissible and Carter diagrams	10
2.1.2. Connection diagrams	11
2.1.3. The 4-cycles in Carter diagrams and connection diagrams	11
2.1.4. Transformation of 4-cycles	12
2.2. Equivalence	12
2.2.1. Three transformations	12
2.2.2. The equivalence of connection diagrams	14
2.2.3. Two Γ -associated conjugacy classes	14
2.2.4. Two non-conjugate Γ -associated sets	14
2.3. Regular extensions	15
2.3.1. Single-track, mirror and threefold extensions	15
2.3.2. P1-, P2-, and P3-extensions	16
2.3.3. Examples of single-track and mirror extensions	16
2.3.4. Single-track Condition, Mirror Condition and Threefold Condition	17

2.4.	The main results	22
2.4.1.	Bridges	22
2.4.2.	Refining the classification of Carter diagrams	23
2.4.3.	Cases of linearly dependent roots	25
2.4.4.	The minimal elements of the partial order on Carter diagrams	26
2.4.5.	The three Principal Cases for the three extension types	27
2.4.6.	Mirror extensions and mirror maps	28
3.	Classification of Carter diagrams	29
3.1.	For the multiply-laced case, only a 4-cycle is possible	29
3.1.1.	Two intersecting cycles in the simply-laced case	29
3.2.	Classification of simply-laced Carter diagrams with cycles	31
3.2.1.	The Carter diagrams with cycles on 6 vertices	31
3.2.2.	The Carter diagrams with cycles on 7 vertices	31
3.2.3.	The Carter diagrams with cycles on 8 vertices	32
3.2.4.	The Carter diagrams with cycles on $l > 8$ vertices	33
4.	Exclusion of long cycles	34
4.1.	Equivalence $E_8(b_3) \simeq E_8(a_3)$	35
4.2.	Equivalences $E_7(b_2) \simeq E_7(a_2)$ and $D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2)$	36
4.3.	Equivalence $E_8(b_5) \simeq E_8(a_5)$	37
4.4.	Equivalence $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1}) \simeq D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$	39
4.4.1.	The case $l = 4k$	40
4.4.2.	The case $l = 4k - 2$	42
5.	Basic patterns	44
5.1.	The partial Cartan matrix	44
5.2.	Linear dependence and maximal roots	45
5.3.	The orbit of the Coxeter element, and the longest element	46
5.3.1.	The longest elements in $W(D_l)$ and $W(E_l)$	46
5.3.2.	Passage from D_{k+2} to $D_l(a_k)$	47
5.4.	Dipoles and subsets of mutually orthogonal roots	48
5.5.	Triangles, squares and diamonds	50
5.6.	Gluing two diagrams	52
5.6.1.	Gluing two $D_5(a_1)$ -associated subsets	54
5.6.2.	Gluing two $E_6(a_1)$ -associated subsets	55
5.6.3.	Corrective reflections for the diagram $E_7(a_4)$	55
6.	The uniqueness of conjugacy classes for Carter diagrams from C4 \amalg DE4	56
6.1.	The base cases of the uniqueness theorem: D_4 and $D_4(a_1)$	56
6.1.1.	Conjugate dipoles	56
6.1.2.	From conjugate dipoles to conjugate triples	57
6.1.3.	From conjugate triples to conjugate quadruples	59
6.2.	The uniqueness of conjugacy classes	61
6.3.	The induction step for homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements	61
6.3.1.	Principal Case 1: α is connected to γ	61
6.3.2.	Principal Case 2: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly independent of $\tilde{\Gamma}$	62
6.3.3.	Principal Case 3: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly dependent on $\tilde{\Gamma}$	63
7.	Mirror extensions and threefold extensions	65
7.1.	Why does A_2 determine a single conjugacy class?	65
7.2.	Conjugation T acting as a mirror, cases $D_4(a_1)$ and $D_5(a_1)$	66
7.3.	The mirror extensions of some Carter diagrams	67
7.3.1.	Proof of Proposition 7.5 for $D_{2k+2}(a_k) < D_{2k+3}(a_k)$	68
7.4.	Proof of Theorem 7.1 on mirror extensions	69
7.4.1.	Proof of Theorem 7.1 for mirror extensions $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$	69
7.4.2.	Proof of Theorem 7.1 for adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$	70

7.5. Threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$	73
Appendix A. Cycles	75
A.1. The ratio of lengths of roots	75
A.2. Cycles in the simply-laced case	76
A.2.1. The Carter and connection diagrams for trees	76
A.2.2. There are no cycles for the root system A_n	77
A.3. Cycles in the multiply-laced case	78
A.3.1. There are no 4-cycles with all angles obtuse	78
A.3.2. More of impossible cases of multiply-laced cycles	78
Appendix B. The 4-cycles in the root system D_l	79
B.1. The $D_4(a_1)$ -associated and D_4 -associated subsets	79
B.1.1. The 2-index and 4-index dipoles in 4-cycles	79
B.1.2. Example of equivalent 4-cycles	81
B.2. The Carter diagrams corresponding to different conjugacy classes	81
B.2.1. Intersection of E -supports	81
B.2.2. The Carter diagram A_3 determines different conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$	82
B.2.3. The Carter diagram $A_1 + A_1$ determines several conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$	84
Appendix C. Diagonal elements	84
C.1. The diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1} for $A_l, D_l, D_l(a_k)$	84
References	89
Index	90

The use of trees as diagrams for groups was anticipated in 1904, when C. Rodential [Rod04] was commenting on a set of models of cubic surfaces. He was analyzing the various rational double points that can occur on such a surface. In 1931, I used these diagrams in my enumeration of kaleidoscopes, where the dots represent mirrors. E.B.Dynkin re-invented the diagrams in 1946 for the classification of simple Lie algebras.

H. S. M. Coxeter, The evolution of Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams, [Cox91, p.224], 1991

1. Preview

1.1. Surprising cycles and dotted edges. Let W be a Weyl group and Φ the root system associated with W . Let us connect the non-orthogonal simple roots in Φ with each other. We get a graph called a *Dynkin diagram*. One may want to connect all (not only simple) non-orthogonal roots with each other. How does the graph thus obtained look like?

The graphs thus obtained are the beautiful color computer-generated pictures given on John Stembridge's home page (based on Peter McMullen's drawings). These pictures are projections of the root system of Φ into the Coxeter plane¹, see [Stm07]. Though beautiful, these graphs are not easy to grasp: For example, in the picture of the root system E_8 , there are 6720 edges, see [Ma10].

To see some details in Stembridge's pictures, one can confine oneself to only connected subsets of linearly independent roots. Then the graphs simplify drastically: There are $\leq n + 4$ edges in the connected diagram associated with every subset of linearly independent roots lying in the root system Φ of rank n , see Fig. 1.3. Essentially, such diagrams were presented by Carter in 1972, in [Ca70], [Ca72]. These graphs are said to be *admissible diagrams* and are designed to characterize elements of the Weyl group, see definition in §2.1.1.

Each element $w \in W$ can be expressed in the form

$$w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}, \text{ where } \alpha_i \in \Phi, \quad (1.1)$$

and $s_{\alpha_i} \in W$ are reflections corresponding to not necessarily simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Phi$.

Carter proved that k in the decomposition (1.1) is the smallest if and only if the subset of roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is linearly independent; such a decomposition is said to be *reduced*. The admissible diagram corresponding to the given element w is not unique, since the reduced decomposition of the element w is not unique.

When I first got acquainted with admissible diagrams I was surprised by the fact that these diagrams contain cycles, though the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{A}_l , cannot be a part of any admissible diagram (Lemma A.1). It turned out that the cycles in admissible diagrams essentially differ from the cycle \tilde{A}_l . Namely, in such a cycle, there is necessarily two pairs of roots: A pair with a positive inner product together with a pair with a negative inner product. This does not happen for \tilde{A}_l .

This observation motivated me to distinguish such pairs of roots: Let us draw the *dotted* (resp. *solid*) edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ if $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ (resp. $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$), see Fig. 2.4. Let the diagrams with properties of admissible diagrams and containing dotted edges be called *Carter diagrams*. Up to dotted edges, the classification of Carter diagrams coincides with the classification of admissible diagrams. Recall that $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ (resp. $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$) means that the angle between roots α and β is acute (resp. obtuse). For the Dynkin diagrams, all angles between simple roots are obtuse, thus all edges are solid.

1.2. The Carter theorem and the theorem on a single conjugacy class. Admissible and Carter diagrams Γ involve also the following restriction: If a subdiagram of Γ is a cycle, then it contains an even number of nodes. Denote the set of elements $w \in W$, each of which corresponds

¹The *Coxeter plane* P is the span of the real and imaginary parts of an eigenvector for the Coxeter element \mathbf{C} with eigenvalue $\cos(\frac{2\pi}{h}) + i \cdot \sin(\frac{2\pi}{h})$, where h is the Coxeter number associated with the root system Φ .

to an admissible diagram, by W_0 . The existence of an admissible diagram for the element w means that w can be decomposed into the product of two involutions as follows:

$$w = w_1 w_2, \quad \text{where} \quad w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}, \quad w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \dots s_{\beta_h}, \quad (1.2)$$

the roots $\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ being mutually orthogonal, and the roots $\{\beta_j \mid j = 1, \dots, h\}$ being also mutually orthogonal.

Thus, W_0 is the subset of elements $w \in W$ that can be decomposed as (1.2). It turns out that $W_0 = W$. This fact is one of the main results of [Ca72, Theorem C]. I call this result **the Carter theorem**. The proof of the Carter theorem is based on the classification of conjugacy classes. (By [Ca70, p. G-21], N. Burgoyne carried out a check of classification for E_7 and E_8 with a computer aid).

I would like to quote Carter from [Ca00, p. 4]:

“One remarkable feature of the theory of Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras is the number of key properties which at present have no uniform proof and can only be proved in a case-by-case manner. We mention three examples of such properties. In the first place every element of a Weyl group is a product of two involutions. This is a key property in Carter’s description of the conjugacy classes in the Weyl group [Ca72]. Secondly, every element of a finite Coxeter group can be transformed into an element of minimal length in its conjugacy class by a sequence of conjugations by simple reflections such that the length does not increase at any stage. This property is basic to the Geck-Pfeiffer approach to the conjugacy classes of Coxeter groups. Thirdly, there are basic properties of Lusztig’s a -function which at present have only case-by-case proofs. The a -function is an important invariant of irreducible characters of Coxeter groups. One cannot be satisfied with the theory of Coxeter groups until such case-by-case proofs are replaced by uniform proofs of a conceptual nature.”

One of the goals of this paper, and its sequels [St10] and [St11], is a *conceptual* proof of the Carter theorem, and a number of issues associated with this theorem.

I would like not to use the classification of conjugacy classes either for proving the Carter theorem or for other reasoning. My proof of the Carter theorem presented in [St10], [St11] does not rely on the classification of conjugacy classes; instead it is based on the classification of the graphs I introduced in [St10] and called *linkage diagrams*. This proof is also a case-by-case checking, but a much simpler one, and is obtained without aid of computers, see [St10].

In the current paper, we construct the framework for studies of basic patterns and facts concerning the Carter diagrams.

As I said above, each element $w \in W_0$, together with its conjugacy class $\{w\}$, is associated with either a certain Carter diagram or an admissible diagram. **One of the central questions** considered in this paper is: *Do all elements associated with a given Carter diagram constitute a single conjugacy class?* The answer is that, generally speaking, this is not so.

We show that **any Carter diagram containing the square $D_4(a_1)$ or the Dynkin diagram D_4 determines a single conjugacy class (Theorem 6.5)**, see Fig. 1.1. We call this result **the uniqueness theorem for conjugacy classes**.


Of course, this theorem can be derived from the classification of conjugacy classes. In accordance with the above, we do not use this classification. We use another approach based on the consideration of regular extensions of Carter diagrams and the induction in the number of vertices.

Consider the connection diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ obtained from a certain Carter diagram Γ by adding only one vertex α , where α is connected to Γ at v points, where $v = 1, 2$ or 3 . If $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is also a Carter diagram, this extension Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is said to be a *regular extension* and is denoted by

$$\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma} \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma \overset{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

The uniqueness theorem is proved by induction: If Γ contains $D_4(a_1)$ or D_4 , and Γ determines a single conjugacy class, then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ also determines a single conjugacy class (Proposition 6.6).

1.3. Theorem on eliminating long cycles. There are different decompositions (1.1) of w : They can be obtained from each other by some transformations. Transforming one Carter diagram Γ_1 to

FIGURE 1.1. Characterizing patterns $D_4(a_1)$, D_4

another Carter diagram Γ_2 we can get a certain intermediate diagram Γ' which is not necessarily a Carter diagram. Such an intermediate diagram will be called a *connection diagram*. This term is motivated by the fact that this diagram describes only the connectivity between roots, nothing more.

The study of certain properties of connection and Carter diagrams is one of the goals of this paper.

Consider an example of basic properties of connection and Carter diagrams. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ be 3 linearly independent and mutually orthogonal roots. There do not exist two non-connected roots β and γ connected to every α_i in such a way that $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, \gamma\}$ is a linearly independent quintuple. First of all, any cycle of linearly independent roots contains an odd number of dotted edges. Let n_1, n_2, n_3 be the odd numbers of dotted edges in every cycle $\{\alpha_i, \beta, \alpha_j, \gamma\}$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Therefore, $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is odd, contradicting the fact that every dotted edge appears twice, so $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is even (Corollary 3.4), see Fig. 3.20. This and similar properties allow us to simplify the classification of Carter diagrams. The main result obtained in this direction is the following one: **Any Carter diagram containing n -cycles ($n > 4$) is equivalent to another Carter diagram containing only 4-cycles** (Theorem 4.1). This is the theorem on eliminating Carter diagrams with long cycles, i.e., l -cycles with $l > 4$. To exclude long cycles **we construct explicit transformations mapping every Carter diagram with long cycles into a certain Carter diagram containing only 4-cycles**, see Table 4.10.

1.4. **Mirror extensions.** In the current paper, we use what we call regular extensions, see §1.2.

Extensions of Carter diagrams constitute the essential part of the study. Among different types of regular extensions there is one difficult case which we dubbed *mirror extensions*.

Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a regular extension. The Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ extends Γ by adding the vertex α ; the set of vertices connected to α is called a *socket*. The number of sockets available to get $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is said to be a *sockets number*. If the sockets number is $n = 2$, the corresponding regular extensions are called *mirror extensions*. For example, look at the three pairs of mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ depicted in Fig. 1.2. Speaking of mirror extensions, we mean two extensions: $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ that are said to be *left* and *right* extensions; they are presented in Tables 2.4 – 2.5. The main relevant fact concerning the mirror extensions is the equivalence of $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$, i.e., conjugacy of elements of the Weyl group corresponding to $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$: **Any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R are conjugate** (Theorem 7.1):

$$w_R = T^{-1}w_L T \text{ for some } T \in W.$$

1.5. **Extensions and the uniqueness theorem.** The regular extensions are distinguished by the sockets number $n = 1, 2, 3$. The regular extensions with $n = 1$ (resp. $n = 2$, resp. $n = 3$) are said to be *single-track* (resp. *mirror*, resp. *threefold*) extensions. Tables 2.3 – 2.6 contain sets of single-track, mirror and threefold extensions, see Fig. 1.2.

One more parameter characterizing extensions is the *pinholes number* meaning the number of vertices in the socket. The socket with the pinholes number equal to v is said to be a *v -socket*; a regular extension with a v -socket is said to be a Pv -extension. The first pair of mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ depicted in Fig. 1.2 constitutes a pair of 2-socket extensions, the second and third pairs are 3-socket extensions, see Table 1.1. Any Carter diagram can be obtained as the extension of a smaller Carter diagram by means of one of Pv -extension with $v = 1, 2, 3$. **The proof of the uniqueness theorem is derived from the consideration of different cases of the sockets number n and the pinholes number v .** Actually, there are fewer cases, not all cases are realized. From




FIGURE 1.2. Three pairs of mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma} = E_7(a_4)$, see Table 1.1

$E_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$	$E_6(a_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	$E_6(a_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	2-sockets
$D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$	$D_6(a_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	$D_6(a_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	3-sockets
$D_6(b_2) < E_7(a_4)$	$D_6(b_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	$D_6(b_2) \begin{smallmatrix} \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \\ \supset \\ \ominus \end{smallmatrix} E_7(a_4)$	3-sockets

TABLE 1.1. Mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma} = E_7(a_4)$, see Fig. 1.2

the point of view of the sockets number any regular extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a certain single-track, mirror or threefold extension, while from the point of view of pinholes numbers any regular extension is a certain P1-, P2-, P3-extension (Lemma 2.8). The process of adding a new root to a certain root subset S associated with a given Carter diagram Γ is depicted by different extensions. **This process is one of the representations of what I'd like to call the Diagram Calculus.**

In the proof of the uniqueness theorem we see that some symmetric Carter diagrams have several regular extensions caused by the symmetry of order 2 (resp. order 3) of a given Carter diagram, see Fig. 1.2; when this happens, mirror extensions (resp. threefold extensions) arise. Let C_4 be the class of connected simply-laced Carter diagrams each of which contains a 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$. Let DE_4 be the class of connected simply-laced Carter diagrams each of which is a *Dynkin diagram and contains D_4* . The regular extensions determine a partial order on the class of Carter diagrams $C_4 \sqcup DE_4$. **The partially ordered tree of Carter diagrams is depicted in Fig. 1.3.**

1.6. The partial Cartan matrix and linear dependence. Considering the regular extensions of Carter diagrams we frequently need a criterion that tells if a given root performing the extension is linearly dependent on a certain root subset S or not.


In this paper, I introduce a matrix called a *partial Cartan matrix* B_Γ . **There is a simple criterion that tells if γ connected with the root $\tau_i \in S$ is linearly dependent on S or not. This condition is formulated in terms of diagonal elements of the matrix B_Γ^{-1} , see §5.1.** In [St10], we use partial Cartan matrices to derive a criterion that tells if a given root γ is linearly independent of S or not.

For any diagram Γ , we consider a certain subset $S \subseteq \Phi$ of linearly independent roots such that there is a one-to-one correspondence between roots of S and vertices of Γ . The subset S is said to be Γ -associated. Let $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$. Consider the matrix B_Γ defined analogously to the Cartan

matrix associated with a given Dynkin diagram:


$$B_\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} (\tau_1, \tau_1) & \cdots & (\tau_1, \tau_l) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\tau_l, \tau_1) & \cdots & (\tau_l, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix B_Γ will be called a *partial Cartan matrix*. The off-diagonal elements of the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ might be positive integers. For example, B_Γ for D_5 and $D_5(a_1)$ are as follows:



$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{matrix}$$

B_Γ for D_5



$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \end{matrix}$$

B_Γ for $D_5(a_1)$

In the case $D_5(a_1)$, the matrix B_Γ contains 1 in the slot $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_1\}$ corresponding to the dotted edge.¹ Let V be spanned by the subset of simple roots $\Delta^+ \subset \Phi$. The subspace $L \subseteq V$ spanned by the root subset is said to be *S-associated* or Γ -associated. The root system Φ for D_5 , and the $D_5(a_1)$ -associated root subset S are as follows:

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \quad S = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_1\}.$$

Roots $\alpha_2 + \beta_1$ and $\alpha_1 + \beta_1$ are not simple in $\Phi = \Phi(D_5)$. In this case, we have $L = V$.

Let γ be a root linearly dependent on S , let γ be connected with only one root $\tau_i \in S$. We have

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^l t_j \tau_j. \tag{1.3}$$

Set $\gamma^\vee := \{(\gamma, \tau_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, l\}$. By (1.3), we have

$$\gamma^\vee := \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma, \tau_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\gamma, \tau_i) \\ \vdots \\ (\gamma, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum t_j (\tau_j, \tau_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sum t_j (\tau_j, \tau_i) \\ \vdots \\ \sum t_j (\tau_j, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix} = B_\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_i \\ \vdots \\ t_l \end{pmatrix} = B_\Gamma \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \pm 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} i, \text{ then } \gamma = B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee.$$

The root γ is linearly dependent on S and connected only with τ_i if and only if

$$b_{\tau_i, \tau_i}^\vee = 2,$$

where b_{τ_i, τ_i}^\vee is the i th diagonal element of B_Γ^{-1} . For D_5 , we have $b_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^\vee = 2$; for $D_5(a_1)$, only $b_{\tau_1, \tau_1}^\vee = 2$, where $\tau_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$; the corresponding slots are boxed:

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{8} & 4 & 4 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 2 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 & 12 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{matrix}$$

B_Γ^{-1} for D_5

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 2 & 4 & -2 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & \boxed{8} & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \end{matrix}$$

B_Γ^{-1} for $D_5(a_1)$

¹D. Leites pointed out that there are a number of other cases, where some off-diagonal elements of the Cartan matrix are positive integers. In particular, this is so in the case of Lorentzian algebras, see [GN02], [CCLL10]. However, note that in these cases the Cartan matrices are of *hyperbolic type*, whereas the partial Cartan matrices for Carter diagrams are *positive definite*, see Proposition 5.2.




FIGURE 1.3. The tree of Carter diagrams from $C4 \amalg DE4$

2. Introduction

2.1. Diagrams.

2.1.1. *Admissible and Carter diagrams.* Let Φ be the root system associated with a Weyl group W ; let s_{α_i} be the reflection in W corresponding to not necessarily simple root $\alpha_i \in \Phi$. Each element $w \in W$ can be expressed in the form

$$w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}, \text{ where } \alpha_i \in \Phi, \quad (2.1)$$

We denote by $l_C(w)$ the smallest value k in any expression like (2.1), see [Ca72, p. 3]. We always have $l_C(w) \leq l(w)$. Recall that $l(w)$ is the smallest value k in any expression like (2.1) such that all roots α_i are simple. The decomposition (2.1) is called *reduced* if $l_C(s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}) = k$.

Lemma 2.1. [Ca72, Lemma 3] *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Phi$. Then $s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}$ is reduced if and only if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ are linearly independent.* \square

A diagram Γ is said to be *admissible*, see [Ca72, p. 7], if

- (a) The nodes of Γ correspond to a set of linearly independent roots in Φ .
 - (b) If a subdiagram of Γ is a cycle, then it contains an even number of nodes.
- (2.2)

Any admissible diagram Γ is said to be a *Carter diagram* if any edge connecting a pair of roots $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ with inner product $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ (resp. $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$) is drawn as dotted (resp. solid) edge. Let

$$S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_h\} \quad (2.3)$$

be any set of linearly independent, not necessarily simple, roots associated with Γ , where roots of the set $S_\alpha := \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ are mutually orthogonal, roots of the set $S_\beta := \{\beta_j \mid j = 1, \dots, h\}$ are also mutually orthogonal. According to (2.2(a)), there exists the set (2.3) of linearly independent roots. Thanks to (2.2(b)), such a partitioning into the sum of two mutually orthogonal sets S_α and S_β is possible. The set S is said to be a Γ -associated set of roots. Let

$$w = w_1 w_2, \quad \text{where } w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \dots s_{\alpha_k}, \quad w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \dots s_{\beta_h}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since S is linearly independent, the decomposition (2.4) is reduced, see Lemma 2.1, and $k + h = l_C(w)$. The element w is said to be Γ -associated, and also S -associated. The decomposition (2.4) is said to be a *bicolored decomposition*. The set of roots S_α (resp. S_β) is said to be the α -set (resp. β -set) of roots corresponding to the bicolored decomposition (2.4).

Remark 2.2 (On the Carter theorem). “For any $w \in W$, there is a Carter diagram Γ such that w is the Γ -associated element.” The existence of such a Carter diagram means that any $w \in W$ can be decomposed into the product of two involutions. This statement is equivalent to the well-known theorem proved by Carter in [Ca72, Theorem C]. Carter’s proof is based on the description of all conjugacy classes for any Weyl group. For the Weyl groups G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 , the conjugacy classes are presented in [Ca72, Tables 7 – 11]. For another proof of the Carter theorem based on the classification of so-called *linkage diagrams* extending Carter diagrams, see [St10], [St11]. The classification of linkage diagrams presented in [St10] does not involve the use of a computer.

Remark 2.3 (On the semi-Coxeter conjugacy class). A conjugacy class of W that can be determined by a connected Carter diagram with number of nodes equal to the rank of W is called a *semi-Coxeter conjugacy class*, [CE72]. The conjugacy class, whose Carter diagram is the Dynkin diagram of W , is called the *Coxeter conjugacy class*. Any representative of a semi-Coxeter conjugacy class is called a *primitive element*, or a *semi-Coxeter element* [KP85], [B89], [St11].

2.1.2. *Connection diagrams.* Let Γ be the diagram characterizing connections between roots of a certain set S of linearly independent and not necessarily simple roots, o be the order of reflections in the decomposition (2.1). The pair (Γ, o) is said to be a *connection diagram*. We omit indicating order o in the description of the connection diagram if the order of reflections in the decomposition (2.1) is clear. The connection diagram determines the element w (and its inverse w^{-1}) obtained as the product of all reflections associated with the diagram, while the order o (resp. o^{-1}) describes the order of reflections in the decomposition of w (resp. w^{-1}). Similarly to Remark 2.3, we call w the *semi-Coxeter element associated with the connection diagram* (Γ, o) , or (Γ, o) -*semi-Coxeter element*.

Connection diagrams describe connected sets with any cycles in the diagram, not necessarily even. Converting a Carter diagram Γ_1 into another Carter diagram Γ_2 we sometimes get connection diagrams (but not Carter diagrams), and the “evenness” of cycles is violated during this conversion, see §4.

The Dynkin diagrams in this paper appear in two ways: (1) associated with Weyl groups (customary use); (2) representing conjugacy classes (CCI), i.e, a Carter diagram which looks like (and actually is) a Dynkin diagram. In a few cases Dynkin diagrams represent two (and even three!) conjugacy classes.

For the Carter diagrams and connection diagrams, we distinguish acute and obtuse angles between roots. Recall that a *solid edge* indicates an obtuse angle between the roots exactly as for Dynkin diagrams. A *dotted edge* indicates an acute angle between the roots considered, see §1.1 and Fig. 2.4.

2.1.3. *The 4-cycles in Carter diagrams and connection diagrams.* The Carter diagram for a 4-cycle in Fig. 2.4 determines a bicolored decomposition:

$$w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}.$$

Here, w is the $D_4(a_1)$ -associated element, where $D_4(a_1)$ denotes a 4-cycle, see [Ca72]. The diagrams in Fig. 2.4 differ in the order. In the case of Carter diagrams, the order is *trivial* (related with a given bicolored decomposition) and we do not indicate it. The connection diagram in Fig. 2.4 has order $o = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$:

$$w_o = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\beta_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_2}. \tag{2.5}$$

In (2.5), w_o is the (\mathcal{G}_4, o) -associated element, where \mathcal{G}_4 is a 4-cycle. We will omit the index o of the element w_o if the order o is clear from the context.

Remark 2.4. Hereafter, we suppose that every cycle contains only one dotted edge. Otherwise, we apply reflections $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$. These operations do not change the element w since $s_\alpha = s_{-\alpha}$. In this case, every dotted edge with an endpoint vertex α is changed to the solid one, the cycle with all edges solid cannot occur, see Lemma A.1. Note also that the dotted edge can be moved to any other edge of the cycle by means of reflections.




FIGURE 2.4. The Carter diagram $D_4(a_1)$ and connection diagram (\mathcal{G}_4, o)

The semi-Coxeter elements generated by reflections $s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\beta_1}, s_{\beta_2}$ constitute exactly two conjugacy classes, w and w_o being their representatives. In the basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$, we have:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

and their characteristic polynomials are:

$$\chi(w) = x^4 + 2x^2 + 1, \quad \chi(w_o) = x^4 + x^3 + x + 1. \quad (2.7)$$

2.1.4. *Transformation of 4-cycles.* Denote by $\stackrel{u}{\simeq}$ the conjugation $w \rightarrow u^{-1}wu$. Let us transform the element w_o from (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned} w_o &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\beta_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_2} = s_{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_2} \stackrel{s_{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} = \\ &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2} s_{\beta_2} = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{-(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)} s_{\beta_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_1) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_1) + (\beta_1, \alpha_1) + (\beta_2, \alpha_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_2) &= (\beta_1, \alpha_2) + (\beta_2, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \beta_2) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Hence, the roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)\}$ are mutually orthogonal, so in eq. (2.8), we obtained a bicolored decomposition. Thus, the connection diagram (\mathcal{G}_4, o_1) is reduced to the Carter diagram (D_4, o_4) , which is also the Dynkin diagram D_4 , see Fig. 2.5. That is why, in eq. (2.7) the characteristic polynomial $\chi(w_{o_1}) = x^4 + x^3 + x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)(x + 1)$ is equal to the characteristic polynomial of the D_4 -associated element, see [Ca72, Table 3], or [St08, Table 1].




FIGURE 2.5. Eliminating of the cycle. The equivalence of (\mathcal{G}_4, o_1) and (D_4, o_4)

2.2. Equivalence.

2.2.1. *Three transformations.* Talking about a certain diagram Γ we actually have in mind a set of roots with orthogonality relations as it is prescribed by the diagram Γ . We try to find some common properties of sets of roots (from the root systems associated with the simple Lie algebras) and diagrams associated with these sets. These diagrams are not necessarily Dynkin diagrams since sets of roots we study are not necessarily sets of simple roots and are not root subsystems. We use the term ‘‘Dynkin diagram’’ to describe connected sets of linearly independent simple roots in the root system. Similarly, ‘‘Carter diagrams’’ describe connected sets of linearly independent roots, not necessarily simple, and such that any cycle is even.

Same as Dynkin diagrams describe simple Lie algebras, Carter diagrams describe conjugacy classes in Weyl groups¹. First of all, in this paper we will see that any Carter diagram with cycles of any length can be transformed into an *equivalent Carter diagram* with cycles of length 4. The

¹There is an interesting relationship between conjugacy classes in the Weyl group and unipotent conjugacy classes in the reductive algebraic group studied by G. Lusztig in [Lu08], [Lu11], [Lu12], [Lu12a].

equivalence of connection diagrams (and, in particular, of Carter diagrams) is discussed in §2.2.2. Below we consider a rather natural set of three transformations operating on connection diagrams: Similarities, conjugations and s -permutations.

Similarity. This is replacing a root with the opposite one:

$$\alpha \mapsto -\alpha. \quad (2.10)$$

Two connection diagrams obtained from each other by a sequence of reflections (2.10), are said to be *similar* connection diagrams, see Fig. 2.6. An equivalence transformation of connection diagrams obtained by a sequence of reflections (2.10) is said to be a *similarity transformation* or *similarity*.




FIGURE 2.6. Eight similar 4-cycles equivalent to $D_4(a_1)$

By applying similarity (2.10) any solid edge with an endpoint vertex being α can be changed to a dotted one and vice versa; this does not change, however, the corresponding reflection¹:

$$s_\alpha = s_{-\alpha}.$$

Remark 2.5 (On trees). For the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ forming a tree, we may assume that, up to the similarity, all non-zero inner products (α_i, α_j) are negative. Indeed, if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) > 0$, we apply similarity transformation $\alpha_j \mapsto -\alpha_j$, consider all inner products $(\alpha_k, \alpha_j) > 0$ and repeat similarity transformations $\alpha_k \mapsto -\alpha_k$ if necessary. This process converges since the diagram is a tree.

Conjugation. Let (Γ, o) be a connection diagram, $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a Γ -associated set. A conjugation sends all roots of a given set S to another set by means of the same element T from the Weyl group:

$$\alpha_1 \mapsto T\alpha_1, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_n \mapsto T\alpha_n. \quad (2.11)$$

Then

$$s_{\alpha_i} \mapsto s_{T\alpha_i} = T^{-1}s_{\alpha_i}T \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_i s_{\alpha_i} \mapsto \prod_i s_{T\alpha_i}.$$

If $o = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}\}$ is an order of roots, then the conjugation T sends o into $To = \{T\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, T\alpha_{i_n}\}$. Let Γ be a Carter diagram. Since T preserves relations between roots, T preserves Γ and the Γ -associated conjugacy class.

s -Permutation. The ‘‘evenness’’ of cycles is not violated by similarities (2.10) and conjugations (2.11). It can be violated by the transformations of the third type, we call them *s -permutations*:

$$s_\alpha s_\beta = \begin{cases} s_\beta s_{\alpha+\beta} = s_{\alpha+\beta} s_\alpha & \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) < 0, \\ s_\beta s_{\alpha-\beta} = s_{\alpha-\beta} s_\alpha & \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) > 0, \\ s_\beta s_\alpha & \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Relations (2.12) take place only for a simply-laced connection between vertices α and β . In the general case, the s -permutations satisfy the following relation:

$$s_\alpha s_\beta = s_\beta s_{s_\beta(\alpha)} = s_{s_\alpha(\beta)} s_\alpha.$$

¹Recently, for the case of Dynkin diagrams, Dynkin and Minchenko in [DM10] considered so-called *projective roots*, i.e., pairs $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$.

Clearly, the s -permutation (2.12) is non-trivial only if α and β are connected. A non-trivial s -permutation (2.12) yields a new set of roots in which α (or β) is changed to $\alpha + \beta$ or $\alpha - \beta$ according to whether the edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is solid or dotted. For the new set, we also draw the diagram which is not necessarily a Carter diagram anymore but is a certain connection diagram.

The set of transformations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) operates on a connection diagram Γ and the root subset S associated with the diagram Γ . Similarities (2.10) change a given connection diagram to a similar one; conjugations (2.11) preserve connection diagrams; s -permutations (2.12) essentially change connection diagrams. However, both similarities and s -permutations preserve the element w associated with the given diagram. Transformations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) preserve the conjugacy class containing w and also preserve the linear independence of the roots constituting the subset S .

2.2.2. The equivalence of connection diagrams. Similarities, conjugations and s -permutations are said to be *equivalence transformations*. The equivalence transformations preserve associated conjugacy classes. Connection diagrams (Γ_1, o_1) and (Γ_2, o_2) are said to be *equivalent* if for any (Γ_1, o_1) -associated element w_1 , there exists a (Γ_2, o_2) -associated element w'_2 such that w'_2 can be obtained from w_1 by means of equivalence transformations, and for any (Γ_2, o_2) -associated element w_2 , there exists a (Γ_2, o_1) -associated element w'_1 such that w_2 can be obtained from w'_1 by means of equivalence transformations. In this case, we will write

$$(\Gamma_1, o_1) \simeq (\Gamma_2, o_2).$$

Such a definition of the equivalence of connection diagrams does not require the uniqueness of the conjugacy class associated with Γ_1 (resp. Γ_2). However, if one of diagrams Γ_1 and Γ_2 determines a single conjugacy class, the same holds for another diagram. Indeed, let $\{w_1\}$ be a single Γ_1 -associated conjugacy class and w_2, w'_2 be arbitrary Γ_2 -associated elements, i.e., $w_2 \simeq w_1$, and $w'_2 \simeq w_1$. Then by transitivity, we have $w_2 \simeq w'_2$. For example, it will be shown in §4.1 that

$$E_8(b_3) \simeq E_8(a_3), \quad E_7(b_2) \simeq E_7(a_2), \quad D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2), \quad E_8(b_5) \simeq E_8(a_5). \quad (2.13)$$

By to Theorem 6.5, each of the diagrams $E_8(a_3)$, $E_7(a_2)$, $D_6(a_2)$ and $E_8(a_5)$ determine a single conjugacy class. Therefore, the same holds for diagrams $E_8(b_3)$, $E_7(b_2)$, $D_6(b_2)$ and $E_8(b_5)$.

Some of admissible and Carter diagram may be equivalent to a connection diagram and vice versa. In §4, we use this fact in the process of excluding diagrams with cycles of length > 4 from Carter's list [Ca72, p. 10], see Theorem 4.1. We exclude a number of diagrams from possible candidates for the role of admissible or Carter diagram, since they have a subdiagram equivalent to an extended Dynkin diagram, a case which cannot be (Proposition A.2, Lemma 3.5).

2.2.3. Two Γ -associated conjugacy classes. There exist Γ -associated elements w_1 and w_2 such that $w_1 \not\simeq w_2$. For example, the Carter diagram A_3 determines two different conjugacy classes in D_l , see Fig. 2.7; for details, see §B.2.2.




FIGURE 2.7. Elements $s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_2}$ and $s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l} s_{\alpha_{l-2}}$ are not conjugate

2.2.4. Two non-conjugate Γ -associated sets. Let $S_1 = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ and $S_2 = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$ be two Γ -associated sets of roots. The sets S_1 and S_2 are said to be *conjugate* if there exists an element $T \in W$ such that $T : \varphi_i \mapsto \delta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, we write

$$S_1 \simeq S_2 \text{ and } TS_1 = S_2.$$

Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be any S_1 -associated (resp. S_2 -associated) element. If $S_1 \simeq S_2$, then $w_1 \simeq w_2$.

There exist, however, conjugate elements w_1 and w_2 such that $S_1 \neq S_2$. Consider two 4-cycles in D_6 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{e_1 + e_2, e_4 - e_1, e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{e_1 + e_2, e_4 - e_1, e_3 - e_4, e_2 - e_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

These sets are non-conjugate: $\mathcal{C}_1 \neq \mathcal{C}_2$, see Fig. 2.8 and §B.1.2, but the \mathcal{C}_1 -associated element $w_1 = s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_1-e_2} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3}$ and the \mathcal{C}_2 -associated element $w_2 = s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_3-e_4} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3}$ are conjugate.




FIGURE 2.8. Equivalence of the \mathcal{C}_1 -associated element w_1 and the \mathcal{C}_2 -associated element w_2

2.3. Regular extensions.

2.3.1. *Single-track, mirror and threefold extensions.* For any diagram Γ , let α be a certain new vertex connected to Γ at several vertices. We say that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the *extension of Γ by the vertex α* . If α is considered as a root, not a vertex, the phrase “*extension of Γ by the root α* ” means that we attach α to a Γ -associated subset S and we get the $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated subset $\tilde{S} = S \cup \alpha$. Recall that the extension $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ is said to be a *regular* one if the initial diagram Γ and the extended diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are both connected Carter diagrams and α is connected to Γ at not more than three vertices. For the regular extension, we write

$$\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma} \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma \overset{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}. \quad (2.14)$$

If $\tilde{\Gamma}$ extends Γ by adding the vertex α , the set of vertices connected to α is called the *socket of the extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$* . If no ambiguity arises, this set will be simply called a *socket*. We denote the socket by

$$\Gamma \ni \tilde{\Gamma} \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma \overset{\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the set of socket’s vertices. For the extension (2.14), there can be several options for sockets. In this case, we call the extension (2.14) the *multi-option extension*. If only one socket is available for the extension (2.14) of the given Γ , we call this extension the *single-track extension*, see Table 2.3. Let

$$\Gamma \overset{\mathcal{P}_1}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}_1, \quad \Gamma \overset{\mathcal{P}_2}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \quad (2.16)$$

be two extensions with different sockets \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 . For any diagram Γ , denote by $\{\Gamma\}$ the set of vertices of Γ . Let M act on the set of vertices $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_1\} \cup \{\tilde{\Gamma}_2\}$ as the mirror map, translating one socket into another, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} M : \tilde{\Gamma}_1 &\longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_2, & M : \tilde{\Gamma}_2 &\longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_1, \\ M : \mathcal{P}_1 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_2, & M : \mathcal{P}_2 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_1, & M^2 &= I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Extensions (2.16) are said to be a pair of *mirror extensions*, see Tables 2.4, 2.5. Let

$$\Gamma \overset{\mathcal{P}_1}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}_1, \quad \Gamma \overset{\mathcal{P}_2}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \quad \Gamma \overset{\mathcal{P}_3}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}_3 \quad (2.18)$$

be three extensions with different sockets $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$. If any pair of extensions from (2.18) forms a pair of mirror extensions, the triple (2.18) is said to be *threefold extensions*, see Table 2.6.

Remark 2.6. By abuse of notation, we sometimes write

$$\text{mirror extensions } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma} \quad (\text{resp. threefold extensions } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma})$$

instead of

$$\text{mirror extensions } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \text{ and } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \quad (\text{resp. threefold extensions } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1, \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \text{ and } \Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_3)$$

Here, $\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \tilde{\Gamma}_3$) are isomorphic diagrams which differ by roots extending Γ . In this case, the notation $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ omits the roots corresponding to the vertices. \square

Remark 2.7. Tables 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 do not contain *all* possible single-track, mirror and threefold extensions, but only a certain set of extensions sufficient to get every Carter diagram from $C4 \amalg DE4$ with number of vertices $l \geq 5$ from a certain smaller Carter diagram, see Lemma 2.8. \square

Single-track, mirror and threefold extensions describe extensions from the point of view of the number of sockets available. This number is said to be the *sockets number*. In addition, it is important for us to describe extensions from the point of view of the number of vertices in the socket, this is said to be the *pinholes number*. The socket with the pinholes number equal to v is said to be a *v-socket*. For example, we can get the diagram $E_7(a_2)$ by the single-track extension $E_6 < E_7(a_2)$ with the 2-socket or by mirror extensions $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_2)$ with 1-sockets, or by mirror extensions $E_6(a_2) < E_7(a_2)$ with 1-sockets, see Fig. 2.10, Table 2.2.

2.3.2. P1-, P2-, and P3-extensions. The regular extension with the v -socket is said to be *Pv-extension*. Any Carter diagram can be obtained as an extension of a smaller Carter diagram by means of one of Pv-extensions, where $v = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 2.8. For any Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ from $C4 \amalg DE4$ with number of vertices $l \geq 5$, there exists a smaller Carter diagram Γ such that, from the point of view of the sockets number, any regular extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a certain single-track, mirror or threefold extension while from the point of view of the pinholes number any regular extension is a certain P1-, P2-, P3-extension.

Proof. Lemma follows from comparing Table 2.7 with Tables 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, see Remark 2.7. \square


We will also distinguish two types of P1-extensions to be used only in one specific case during the proof of Proposition 6.6. The P1-extension with an 1-socket belonging to $D_4(a_1)$ will be called *P1-extension of the D-joint type*. The P1-extension with an 1-socket belonging only to one edge will be called *P1-extension of the A-joint type*, see Tables 2.3, 2.4.

2.3.3. Examples of single-track and mirror extensions. In Fig. 2.9, for $\tilde{\Gamma} = E_8(a_2)$, we have the single-track extension $E_7(a_1) \stackrel{\alpha_2}{<} E_8(a_2)$, the 1-socket is as follows:

$$E_7(a_1) \stackrel{\{\beta_1\}}{\cong} E_8(a_2).$$

FIGURE 2.9. The single-track extension $E_7(a_1) \stackrel{\alpha_2}{<} E_8(a_2)$

In Fig. 1.2, for $\tilde{\Gamma} = E_7(a_4)$, we have three pairs of mirror extensions. There is no any other single-track extension for getting $E_7(a_4)$.


 FIGURE 2.10. The single-track extension and mirror extensions $\Gamma < E_7(a_2)$, see Table 2.2

$E_6 < E_7(a_2)$	$E_6 \xrightarrow{\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}} E_7(a_2)$		2-socket
$D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_2)$	$D_6(a_2) \xrightarrow{\{\alpha_1\}} E_7(a_2)$	$D_6(a_2) \xrightarrow{\{\alpha_2\}} E_7(a_2)$	1-sockets
$E_6(a_1) < E_7(a_2)$	$E_6(a_1) \xrightarrow{\{\alpha_2\}} E_7(a_2)$	$E_6(a_1) \xrightarrow{\{\beta_2\}} E_7(a_2)$	1-sockets

TABLE 2.2. The single-track extension and mirror extensions, Fig. 2.10

2.3.4. *Single-track Condition, Mirror Condition and Threefold Condition.* We consider the following three conditions which are fundamental for the proof of the uniqueness of the conjugacy classes, see Theorem 6.5.

Single-track Condition. Let Γ be a Carter diagram. Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \stackrel{\beta}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$ be two regular extensions with the same socket \mathcal{P} , where α and β are two different roots connected with socket \mathcal{P} . Let w be a Γ -associated element. If $ws_\alpha \simeq ws_\beta$ for any such roots α and β , then we say that *the Single-track Condition holds*, see Fig. 2.11.

Mirror Condition. Let Γ be a Carter diagram with the mirror map M , see §2.3.1. Let \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 be two sockets for mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$. We denote extensions of Γ corresponding to \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 by $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ (left extension) and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ (right extension), see Fig. 1.2, Fig. 2.10, Tables 2.4 – 2.5. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$) be obtained from Γ by adding a root α (resp. β) connected to \mathcal{P}_1 (resp. \mathcal{P}_2). Let w be a certain Γ -associated element. If for any root α connected to \mathcal{P}_1 , there exists a root β connected to \mathcal{P}_2 such that ws_α (resp. ws_β) is the $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated) element, and $ws_\alpha \simeq ws_\beta$, then we say that *the Mirror Condition holds*.

Threefold Condition. Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ and \mathcal{P}_3 be three sockets for threefold extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, see §2.3.1. We denote the three extensions of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ and \mathcal{P}_3 , by $\tilde{\Gamma}_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$, respectively, see Tables 2.6. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_j$), where $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i \neq j$, be obtained from Γ by adding roots α_i connected to the socket \mathcal{P}_i . Let w be a Γ -associated element. If for any root α_i connected to \mathcal{P}_i , there exists a root α'_j connected to \mathcal{P}_j such that ws_{α_i} (resp. $ws_{\alpha'_j}$) is $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_j$ -associated) element, and $ws_{\alpha_i} \simeq ws_{\alpha'_j}$, then we say that *the Threefold Condition holds*¹.

Proposition 2.9. *Let Γ be a Carter diagram determining a single conjugacy class. Let w_1, w_2 be Γ -associated, and $w_1 \simeq w_2$.*

(i) *Let α, β be roots extending Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the same socket. (Note that $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ is not necessarily a single-track extension.) If the Single-track Condition holds, then*

$$w_1 s_\alpha \simeq w_2 s_\beta. \quad (2.19)$$

In particular, it can be that $\alpha = \beta$.

(ii) *Let $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a single-track extension. If the Single-track Condition holds, then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ determines a single conjugacy class.*

(iii) *Let $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ be mirror extensions corresponding to sockets \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 , respectively. Let α (resp. β) be a root connected to the socket \mathcal{P}_1 (resp. \mathcal{P}_2) in the diagram Γ . If the Single-track Condition and the Mirror Condition hold for Γ , then*

$$w_1 s_\alpha \simeq w_2 s_\beta. \quad (2.20)$$

In other words, any two $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements $w_1 s_\alpha$ and $w_2 s_\beta$ are conjugate, i.e., $\tilde{\Gamma}$ determines a single conjugacy class.

(iv) *Let $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_i$ be threefold extensions corresponding to sockets \mathcal{P}_i , where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let α_i be roots connected to sockets \mathcal{P}_i in the diagram Γ . If the Single-track Condition and the Threefold Condition hold for Γ , then*

$$w_1 s_{\alpha_i} \simeq w_2 s_{\alpha_j}. \quad (2.21)$$

In other words, any two $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements $w_1 s_{\alpha_i}$ and $w_2 s_{\alpha_j}$ are conjugate, i.e., $\tilde{\Gamma}$ determines a single conjugacy class.

Proof. (i) Since $Pw_1P^{-1} = w_2$, then

$$w_2 s_\alpha = Pw_1P^{-1} s_\alpha \simeq w_1 P^{-1} s_\alpha P = w_1 s_{P\alpha}.$$

Since the Single-track Condition holds for w_1 , then $w_1 s_{P\alpha} \simeq w_1 s_\beta$ and $w_2 s_\alpha \simeq w_1 s_\beta$, i.e., (2.19) holds.

(ii) Let $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a single-track extension with the socket \mathcal{P} , let \tilde{w}, \tilde{v} be two $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements. Then \tilde{w} (resp. \tilde{v}) is the product of a certain Γ -associated element w_1 (resp. w_2) and some reflection s_α (resp. s_β), where α (resp. β) is connected to the same socket \mathcal{P} . By (i) we have

$$\tilde{w} = w_1 s_\alpha \simeq w_2 s_\beta = \tilde{v}.$$

(iii) By the Mirror Condition, for any β connected to \mathcal{P}_1 , there exists a root γ connected to \mathcal{P}_2 such that $ws_\gamma \simeq ws_\beta$. If α is another root connected to the socket \mathcal{P}_2 in Γ , then by the Single-track Condition we have

$$ws_\alpha \simeq ws_\gamma \simeq ws_\beta. \quad (2.22)$$

Then

$$w_1 s_\alpha \stackrel{\text{by (2.22)}}{\simeq} w_1 s_\beta \stackrel{\text{by (2.19)}}{\simeq} w_2 s_\beta.$$

¹The special features of the Dynkin diagram D_4 and objects associated with D_4 arise because the corresponding Weyl group has an outer automorphism of order three, see [A96]. The simple Lie group $\text{Spin}(8)$ has the most symmetrical Dynkin diagram D_4 . Outer automorphisms of $\text{Spin}(8)$ were discovered in 1925 by Élie Cartan, who called symmetries of D_4 *triality*, see [C25].

P1-extensions $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha_2}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}$ (<i>D</i> -joint type)		
$E_7(a_1) < E_8(a_2)$		
$E_7(a_2) < E_8(a_3)$		
$E_7(a_3) < E_8(a_5)$		
$D_l(a_1) < D_{l+1}(a_2)$		
P1-extensions $\Gamma \stackrel{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}$ (<i>A</i> -joint type)		
$D_l(a_k) < D_{l+1}(a_k)$		
$D_l < D_{l+1}$		
$E_7 < E_8$		
P2-extensions $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha_2}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}$		
$E_6 < E_7(a_2)$		
$E_7(a_3) < E_8(a_6)$		
$E_7(a_1) < E_8(a_4)$		
P3-extensions $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}$		
$E_7(a_4) < E_8(a_8)$		
$E_7(a_2) < E_8(a_7)$		

TABLE 2.3. Single-track extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$

Mirror P1-extensions, $\Gamma \stackrel{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \stackrel{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ (D -joint type)			
$D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_1)$			$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_1)$			$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_1)$			$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1),$ $(D_5(a_1) \subset E_i)$			$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1),$ $(D_5(a_1) \subset D_l, E_l)$			$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
Mirror P1-extensions, $\Gamma \stackrel{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \stackrel{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ (A -joint type)			
$D_5 < E_6$		$\begin{matrix} \varphi = \beta_3 \\ T = w_0 \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} T \beta_3 = \mu_{\max} \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$E_6 < E_7$		$\begin{matrix} \varphi = \alpha_4 \\ T = w_0 \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} T \alpha_4 = \mu_{\max} \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$
$D_{2k+2}(a_k) <$ $D_{2k+3}(a_k)$		$\begin{matrix} \varphi \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$	$\begin{matrix} T \\ \simeq \end{matrix}$

TABLE 2.4. Mirror extensions, $\Gamma \stackrel{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \stackrel{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, where $\gamma = T\varphi$ (or $\mu_{\max} = T\varphi$), see Proposition 7.5

Mirror P2-extensions, $\Gamma \overset{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \overset{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$			
$D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_2)$			$\cong T$
$D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_3)$			$\cong T$
$D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_4)$			$\cong T$
Mirror P3-extensions, $\Gamma \overset{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \overset{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$			
$D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$		$\cong T$	$\cong T$

TABLE 2.5. Mirror extensions (cont.), $\Gamma \overset{\varphi}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_L, \Gamma \overset{\gamma}{\prec} \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, where $\gamma = T\varphi$, see Proposition 7.5

Threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$			
$D_4 < D_5$ ($D_5 \subset E_l$)		\cong	\cong

TABLE 2.6. Threefold extensions

(iv) By the Threefold Condition, for any α_i connected to \mathcal{P}_i , there exists a root α'_j connected to \mathcal{P}_j , where $i \neq j$, such that $ws_{\alpha_i} \simeq ws_{\alpha'_j}$. If β_j another root connected to the socket \mathcal{P}_j in Γ , then by the Single-track Condition

$$ws_{\alpha_i} \simeq ws_{\alpha'_j} \simeq ws_{\beta_j}. \quad (2.23)$$

Then

$$w_1 s_{\alpha_i} \stackrel{\text{by(2.23)}}{\simeq} w_1 s_{\beta_j} \stackrel{\text{by(2.19)}}{\simeq} w_2 s_{\beta_j}.$$

□




FIGURE 2.11. For $n = 1, 2, 3$: The same v -socket for $\Gamma <^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma <^{\beta} \tilde{\Gamma}$

Remark 2.10. By Proposition 2.9, in order to prove Theorem 6.5, it suffices for any Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$, to find a smaller Carter diagram Γ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be obtained by extending Γ in one, two or three sockets, and extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ (see Remark 2.6) have the following property:

(a) if $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a single-track extension, then the Single-track Condition holds.

(b) if $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ are mirror extensions ($\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}$, see Remark 2.6), then the Single-track Condition and the Mirror Condition hold.

(c) if $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_3$ ($\tilde{\Gamma}_i \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}_j \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, see Remark 2.6), are threefold extensions, then the Single-track Condition and the Threefold Condition hold.

2.4. The main results.

2.4.1. *Bridges.* Consider Carter diagrams containing intersecting cycles, i.e., cycles having a common path, see Fig. 2.12(a). There are three cycles in this figure, see eq. (2.24). To speak about intersecting cycles we choose the two shortest ones. In the case of Fig. 2.12(a), we throw away from consideration the cycle \mathcal{C}_3 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_n\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{\beta_1, \alpha_4, \beta_m, \alpha_5, \beta_2, \alpha_2\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_3 &= \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_4, \beta_m, \alpha_5, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Then \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 have the common path $\{\beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$. We denote this path by $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$. It remains to consider the case, where 2 cycles have the same length, see Fig. 2.12(b) and eq. (2.25).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{\alpha_3, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{\beta_1, \alpha_4, \beta_m, \alpha_5, \beta_2, \alpha_2\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_3 &= \{\alpha_3, \beta_1, \alpha_4, \beta_m, \alpha_5, \beta_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

In Fig. 2.12(b), lengths of \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{C}_3 coincide. Then the choice of \mathcal{C}_2 or \mathcal{C}_3 does not matter. The common path will be called a *bridge*. For the pair $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\}$ (resp. $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_3\}$), the bridge is as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \{\beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}, \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_3 = \{\beta_1, \alpha_3, \beta_2\}).$$




FIGURE 2.12. Intersecting cycles

2.4.2. *Refining the classification of Carter diagrams.* In §3, we revise Carter’s classification of his diagrams. We add new arguments to get Carter’s classification, namely we use the method of equivalent diagrams. The following proposition makes the way leading to the classification shorter:

Proposition (On intersecting cycles and bridges (Proposition 3.3)). (i) *Let Γ be any Carter diagram, or connection diagram, containing two cycles with bridge \mathcal{P} . Then \mathcal{P} consists of exactly one edge.*

(ii) *Let Γ be any Carter diagram. Let $P_1, P_2 \subset \Gamma$ be two paths stemming from the opposite vertices of a 4-cycle in Γ ; let α_1 (resp. α_2) be the vertex lying in P_1 (resp. P_2). The diagram obtained from Γ by adding the edge $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is not a Carter diagram, see Fig. 2.13.*

(iii) *Let Γ be any Carter diagram containing two intersecting cycles. Then one of the cycles consists of 4 vertices, and the other one can contain only 4 or 6 edges.*




FIGURE 2.13. Two paths stemming from the opposite vertices of a 4-cycle

We repeatedly use the following corollary describing basic restrictions for possible configurations of linearly independent quintuples of roots.

Corollary (On restrictions on quintuples of roots (Corollary 3.4)). (i) *Let an α -set contain 3 roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. There does not exist two non-connected roots β and γ connected to every α_i so that the vectors of the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent, see Fig. 3.20(a).*

(ii) *Let $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ be a square in a connection diagram. There does not exist a root γ connected to all vertices of the square so that the vectors of the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent, see Fig. 3.20(b).*

The classification of the simply-laced Carter diagrams with cycles is given in §3.2, see Table 2.7.

In §4, we exclude all diagrams with cycles of length > 4 . (This fact is taken into account in the classification of §3.2).

Theorem (On exclusion of long cycles (Theorem 4.1)). *Any Carter diagram containing l -cycles, where $l > 4$, is equivalent to another Carter diagram containing only 4-cycles.*

4-5	<p style="text-align: center;">$D_4(a_1)$ $D_3(a_1)$</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;">$D_6(a_1)$ $E_6(a_1)$ $E_6(a_2)$ $D_6(a_2)$ \simeq $D_6(b_2)$</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;">$D_7(a_1)$ $E_7(a_2)$ $E_7(b_2)$ $D_7(a_2)$ $E_7(a_1)$ $E_7(a_3)$ $E_7(a_4)$</p>
8	<p style="text-align: center;">$D_8(a_1)$ $D_8(a_2)$ $D_8(a_3)$ $E_8(a_1)$ $E_8(a_2)$ $E_8(a_3)$ $E_8(b_3)$ $E_8(a_4)$ $E_8(a_5)$ $E_8(b_5)$ $E_8(a_6)$ $E_8(a_7)$ $E_8(a_8)$</p>
$l > 8$	<p style="text-align: center;">$D_1(a_k)$ $D_1(a_{l/2-1})$ $D_1(b_{l/2-1})$ $k = 1, 2, \dots, l/2 - 1$ $(l - \text{even})$</p>

TABLE 2.7. The simply-laced Carter diagrams with cycles

For the proof, we construct an explicit transformation of the element w determined by the Carter diagram Γ containing long cycles, i.e., l -cycles with $l > 4$, into an element w' from the same conjugacy class described by another Carter diagram Γ' containing only 4-cycles.

Remark 2.11. The possibility of excluding long cycles (Theorem 4.1) and the uniqueness of the conjugacy class (Theorem 6.5) can be derived from [Ca72] by means of classification of all conjugacy classes obtained by case-by-case computations. In the current paper, the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.5 is also divided into a number of cases, however:

- (i) we do not use the classification of conjugacy classes,
- (ii) reasoning is short enough for each case, see §4 and §6.2.

The orbit of $\mu_{max} \in \Phi(D_5)$ under $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \in W(D_4)$				
The Coxeter number $h(D_4) = 6$				
μ_{max}	$w_{\beta} \mu_{max}$	$w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} \mu_{max} = w \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w \mu_{max}$	
1 2 2 1	1 2 2 1	1 2 1 1	1 1 1 1	
1	1	1	1	
$w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} w \mu_{max} = w^2 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w^2 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\alpha} w_{\beta} w^2 \mu_{max} = w^3 \mu_{max}$		
0 1 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1		
0	0	0		

The orbit of $\mu_{max} \in \Phi(E_6)$ under $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \in W(D_5)$				
The Coxeter number $h(D_5) = 8$				
μ_{max}	$w_{\beta} \mu_{max}$	$w \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w \mu_{max}$	$w^2 \mu_{max}$
1 2 3 2 1	1 2 3 2 1	1 2 3 2 1	1 2 2 2 1	1 1 2 1 1
2	2	1	1	1
$w_{\beta} w^2 \mu_{max}$	$w^3 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w^3 \mu_{max}$	$w^4 \mu_{max}$	
0 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 1	
1	0	0	0	

The orbit of $\mu_{max} \in \Phi(E_7)$ under $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \in W(E_6)$				
The Coxeter number $h(E_6) = 12$				
μ_{max}	$w_{\beta} \mu_{max}$	$w \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w \mu_{max}$	$w^2 \mu_{max}$
2 3 4 3 2 1	1 3 4 3 2 1	1 2 4 3 2 1	1 2 3 3 2 1	1 2 3 2 2 1
2	2	2	2	1
$w_{\beta} w^2 \mu_{max}$	$w^3 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w^3 \mu_{max}$	$w^4 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w^4 \mu_{max}$
1 2 2 2 1 1	1 1 2 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 1 1
1	1	1	0	0
$w^5 \mu_{max}$	$w_{\beta} w^5 \mu_{max}$	$w^6 \mu_{max}$		
0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 1		
0	0	0		

TABLE 2.8. Orbits of the maximal roots, see Fig. 5.37

2.4.3. *Cases of linearly dependent roots.* In §5, we introduce *partial Cartan matrix* B_{Γ} corresponding to the Carter diagram Γ , the corresponding quadratic form is denoted by \mathcal{B}_{Γ} . Unlike Cartan matrices, a partial Cartan matrix B_{Γ} may have positive non-diagonal elements. These elements of B_{Γ} are associated with dotted edges of the Carter diagram. Let S be a certain Γ -associated subset of roots in W , see §2.1.1, and $L \subseteq V$ the subspace spanned by the subset S . For any $v \in L$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma}(v) = \mathcal{B}(v),$$

where \mathcal{B} is the quadratic form associated with the Weyl group W , see Proposition 5.2.

There are two frequently occurring cases where the vector γ is linearly dependent on roots S :

(a) The root γ is connected with only one $\tau_i \in S$. Then, the following condition is necessary:

$$b_{i,i}^{\vee} = 2,$$

where $b_{i,i}^{\vee}$ is the i th diagonal element of B_{Γ}^{-1} , see Remark 5.4(ii).

(b) This case involves the use of the element w_0 , the longest element in W , see §5.3. Let Γ be the Dynkin diagram D_l or E_l , let h be the Coxeter number, i.e., the order of the Coxeter element w for cases $\Gamma = D_l$ or E_l . Let $w \in W$ be any Γ -associated element, and

$$S = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$$

the Γ -associated subset of linearly independent roots corresponding to the bicolored decomposition of w . Here, roots of the set $S_{\varphi} = \{\varphi_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ (resp. $S_{\delta} = \{\delta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$) are mutually orthogonal, see §2.1.1.

Proposition (On the maximal root μ_{max} and the longest element $w_0 \in W$ (Proposition 5.7)). Let Γ be extended to another Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by adding a root δ_{m+1} connected to S only at φ_k and linearly independent of S ; let μ_{max} be the maximal root in the root system $\Phi(\tilde{\Gamma})$.

(i) For $w_0 = w^{\frac{h}{2}} = (w_\delta w_\varphi)^{\frac{h}{2}}$, we have

$$w_0 \mu_{max} = \delta_{m+1}, \quad \mu_{max} = w_0 \delta_{m+1}.$$

(ii) The following conjugacy relation holds:

$$wS\mu_{max} \simeq wS\delta_{m+1}.$$

See Table 2.8, Fig. 5.37, and Fig. 5.36.

A number of basic patterns such as *dipoles*, *triangles*, *squares*, *diamonds* and other is presented in §5 where some facts pertaining to these patterns are discussed. We just present one more frequently used lemma related to the pattern consisting of two $D_5(a_1)$ -associated subsets differing only in one vertex.

Lemma (On necessarily connected roots (Lemma 5.20)). Let $\Gamma = D_5(a_1)$; let $S_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{\alpha_1, \varphi, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be two Γ -associated subsets, the vectors of each of which being linearly independent, let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \varphi, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets, let the root α_3 be connected only with β_1 , see Fig. 2.14.

(i) Configurations of Fig. 2.14(a),(b) are impossible: roots φ and α_2 are necessarily connected, see Fig. 2.14(c),(d).

(ii) Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be S_1 -associated (resp. S_2 -associated). Then $w_1 \simeq w_2$.




FIGURE 2.14. Two $D_5(a_1)$ -associated elements differing in one vertex

2.4.4. *The minimal elements of the partial order on Carter diagrams.* In §2.3, the regular extensions of Carter diagrams are introduced and a number of examples is given. In §6, we consider regular extensions of Carter diagrams in detail. The regular extensions of Carter diagrams determine a *partial ordering* $<$ on the class of Carter diagrams C4 \sqcup DE4: If $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a regular extension of Γ , we write $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, see Fig. 1.3. Two 4-vertex diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 are minimal elements in the partially ordered tree of Carter diagrams depicted in Fig. 1.3. In §6.1, we prove the uniqueness theorem (Theorem 6.5) for base diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 . The uniqueness theorem does not hold for the Carter diagram A_3 being the subdiagram of $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 , see §2.2.3. The pair of opposite vertices of any diagonal in $D_4(a_1)$ or the pair of endpoints of D_4 is said to be the *dipole*, see §5.4. For 4-vertex diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 , not every two dipoles are conjugate; however, for any two diagrams Γ_1 and Γ_2 which are both of type $D_4(a_1)$ (resp. D_4), there exist dipoles $d_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and $d_2 \in \Gamma_2$ such that d_1 and d_2 are conjugate dipoles. We ascend from conjugate dipoles to conjugate triples, and from conjugate triples to conjugate quadruples, see Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, 6.3.

Corollary (The base of induction (Corollary 6.4)). For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), let

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \varphi_1\}, \\ C_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\} \end{cases}$$

be two $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (resp. D_4 -associated subsets) and

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \\ w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\varphi_1}, \\ w_2 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \end{cases}$$

be C_i -associated elements, where $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists an element $T \in W$ such that $T^{-1}w_1T = w_2$. In other words, any two Γ -associated elements w_1 and w_2 are conjugate.




FIGURE 2.15. Compare the shaded tetragon $\{\delta_1, \beta_1, \delta_2, \varphi_1\}$ in $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4

Remark 2.12. Observe that although diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 do not look alike, occasionally they do have common features. Comparing diagrams (a) and (a'), or (b) and (b') in Fig. 2.15, see also Fig. 6.50, we can determine the key shape which is responsible for the pass from conjugate dipoles to conjugate triples in both cases: $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 . This shape is the shaded tetragon $\{\delta_1, \beta_1, \delta_2, \varphi_1\}$. For diagrams (a) and (a'), the equality

$$\varphi_1 + \beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0$$

holds, see Lemma 6.2. This property allows one to pass from conjugate dipoles to conjugate triples. For diagrams (b), (b'), we can accomplish this pass since $\beta_1 - \varphi_1$ is the root. For details, see §6.1.2.

2.4.5. *The three Principal Cases for the three extension types.* Two $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements are said to be *homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements* if they are both $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated or both $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated. In §6, we show that homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements are conjugate:

Proposition (On conjugacy of homogeneous Γ -associated elements (Proposition 6.6)). *Let Γ be a Carter diagram such that all Γ -associated elements are conjugate.*

- (i) *For any single-track extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, all $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements are also conjugate.*
- (ii) *For any mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, all homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated) elements are also conjugate.*
- (iii) *For any threefold extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_3$ all homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ -associated, resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$ -associated) elements are also conjugate.*

The proof of this proposition is based on considering the following *three Principal Cases*:

Principal Case 1: α is connected to γ .

Principal Case 2: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly independent of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Principal Case 3: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly dependent on $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Here, α (resp. γ) is the root extending Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$. For the exact wording of the Principal Cases 2 and 3, see eqs. (6.10) and (6.11). For mirror extensions, the extending diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$) for both roots (α and γ). For threefold extensions, the extending diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$, resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$) for both roots, see §2.3.1.

The proof of the three Principal Cases is given for the three extension types (relative the sockets number): single-track extension, mirror extensions and threefold extensions, each of which is

considered for the following Pv -extensions: P1-extensions, (D -joint type and A -joint type), P2-extensions and P3-extensions. Actually, there are fewer cases, some cases are similar. The proof of Principal Cases 1 and 2 is relatively simple, see §6.3.1 and 6.3.2. Principal Case 3 is the longest and it is proved separately for each type of Pv -extensions, see §6.3.3.

Conjugate elements of W are associated with the same Carter diagram Γ , or with one equivalent to Γ . In other words, a given conjugacy class is associated with the class of diagrams equivalent to Γ . The diagram Γ does not determine a single conjugacy class in W , see [Ca72, Lemma 27].

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition of the uniqueness of the conjugacy class determined by the Carter diagram Γ :

Theorem (On the conjugacy class of the diagram (Theorem 6.5)). *Let Γ be a connected Carter diagram from C4 \sqcup DE4. Then Γ determines a single conjugacy class.*

2.4.6. *Mirror extensions and mirror maps.* In §7, we consider mirror and threefold extensions. The following theorem is the main result of the section:

Theorem (On conjugacy of $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ - and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated elements (Theorem 7.1)). *Let Γ be a Carter diagram, $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ left and right extensions from Tables 2.4 – 2.5. Then any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R are conjugate, i.e.,*

$$w_R = T^{-1}w_L T \text{ for some } T \in W.$$

For any mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, we construct the map T for any pair elements w_L and w_R . In most cases, the map T is the composition of the longest element $w_0 \in W(\Gamma_0)$, where Γ_0 is a certain Dynkin subdiagram of Γ , and the corrective reflection $s_{\alpha+\beta}$ for some roots α and β , see §5.3.1 and Remark 5.19. The map T transfers sockets one to another, see §2.3.1. The element T is said to be the *mirror map*. In Table 2.9 we give an explicit expression for the map T for all mirror extensions from Tables 2.4 – 2.5.

Mirror Extensions	Mirror map T	Pv - extension	Tables	w_0 in	Reference
$D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_1)$ $D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_1)$ $D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_1)$ $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ for $D_5(a_1) \subset E_l, D_l$	$w_0 = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2})^2$	P1	Table 2.4	$W(A_3)$	Proposition 7.5, Remark 7.6
$D_5 < E_6$ $E_6 < E_7$	w_0	P1	Table 2.4	$W(D_5)$ $W(E_6)$	§5.3
$D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ for $D_5(a_1) \subset E_l$, $D_5(a_1) \not\subset D_l$	$T = T_1 T_2 P$ (T_1, T_2 are corrective reflections)	P1	Table 2.4	—	Proposition 7.8
$D_{2k+2}(a_k) < D_{2k+3}(a_k)$	$s_{w_0 \beta_1 - \beta_1} w_0$	P1	Table 2.4	$W(A_{2k+1})$	§7.3.1
$D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_2)$ $D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_3)$ $D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_4)$	$w_0 = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2})^2$	P2	Table 2.5	$W(A_3)$	Proposition 7.5, Remark 7.6
$D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$	$T = Q P w_0$, where $w_0 = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2})^2$ (Q, P are corrective reflections)	P3	Table 2.5	$W(A_3)$	Lemma 5.23, §7.4.1

TABLE 2.9. The mirror map T for P1-, P2-, P3-extensions

3. Classification of Carter diagrams

In this section, we add new arguments to obtain the list of Carter diagrams: We use the statement on intersecting cycles, Proposition 3.3; we exclude diagrams with cycles of length > 4 , see Theorem 4.1. The following proposition states that any Carter diagram, or connection diagram, without cycles is a Dynkin diagram.

Proposition 3.1 (Lemma 8, [Ca72]). *Let Γ be a Carter diagram or connection diagram. If Γ is a tree, then Γ is the Dynkin diagram.*

For the proof and examples, see §A.2.1. \square

Due to this proposition, to prove the Carter theorem (see §2.1), it suffices to consider only diagrams with cycles.

Remark 3.2. For G_2 and A_l , there are no Carter diagrams with cycles. Indeed, for G_2 , this fact is trivial, since there at most two linearly independent roots; for A_l , see A.2.2.

3.1. For the multiply-laced case, only a 4-cycle is possible. Consider a multiply-laced diagram containing cycles. If the root system Φ contains a cycle, then Φ constitutes the 4-cycle with one dotted edge, [Ca72, p. 13]. This case occurs in F_4 , see Fig. 3.16.




FIGURE 3.16. The 4-cycle root subset in F_4 . The angle $(\widehat{\beta, \gamma})$ is acute

If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are the simple roots in F_4 , then the quadruple

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \beta = \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad \gamma = \alpha_4, \quad \delta = \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$$

constitutes such a 4-cycle. The values of the Tits form on the corresponding pairs of roots are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_3) = -1, \\ (\beta, \gamma) &= (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_4) = (\alpha_4, \alpha_4) - (\alpha_3, \alpha_4) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{dotted edge}), \\ (\gamma, \delta) &= (\alpha_4, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) = 2(\alpha_4, \alpha_3) = -1, \\ (\delta, \alpha) &= (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2, \alpha_1) + 2(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) = 2 - 1 - 2 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

In §A.3, we prove that for multiply-laced cases, there are no other Carter diagrams with cycles.

3.1.1. Two intersecting cycles in the simply-laced case. From the foregoing in this section, it suffices to consider only simply-laced diagrams. First of all, we discuss Carter diagrams containing intersecting cycles and bridges, see §(2.4).

Proposition 3.3 (On intersecting cycles and bridges). (i) Let Γ be any Carter diagram, or connection diagram, containing two cycles with bridge \mathcal{P} . Then \mathcal{P} consists of exactly one edge.

(ii) Let Γ be any Carter diagram. Let $P_1, P_2 \subset \Gamma$ be two paths stemming from the opposite vertices of a 4-cycle in Γ ; let α_1 (resp. α_2) be the vertex lying in P_1 (resp. P_2). The diagram obtained from Γ by adding the edge $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is not a Carter diagram, see Fig. 2.13.

(iii) Let Γ be any Carter diagram containing two intersecting cycles. Then one of the cycles consists of 4 vertices, and the other one can contain only 4 or 6 edges.

Proof. (i) Every cycle contains an odd number of dotted edges, otherwise by several reflections we get a cycle containing only solid edges, a case which cannot happen, see Lemma A.1. Let n_1 be the number of dotted edges in the top cycle: $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_n\}$, and n_2 the number of dotted edges in the bottom cycle: $\{\alpha_4, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_5, \beta_m\}$. Both n_1 and n_2 are odd. Suppose the bridge \mathcal{P} with endpoints β_1 and β_2 contains an additional vertex α_2 (i.e., $\mathcal{P} = \{\beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$, see Fig. 2.12(a) or Fig. 2.12(b)). After discarding the vertex α_2 we get a bigger cycle $\mathcal{C}_3 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_4, \beta_m, \alpha_5, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_n\}$; in the generic case of the bridge \mathcal{P} , we discard from the bridge all vertices except β_1, β_2 . Let n_3 be the number of dotted edges in the cycle \mathcal{C}_3 ; n_3 is also odd. Therefore, $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is odd. On the other hand, every dotted edge enters twice, so $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is even. Thus, there is no vertex in the bridge $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ between β_1 and β_2 .

(ii) The diagram $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ contains the bridge $\{\beta_1, \gamma, \beta_2\}$ of length 2, see Fig.2.13. Thus, by (i), the diagram $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ in Fig.2.13 is not a Carter diagram.




FIGURE 3.17

(iii) By (i) the bridge consists of one edge $\{\beta_1, \alpha_2\}$, see Fig. 3.17. Then at least one of the cycles is of length 4. Otherwise, the Carter diagram contains the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{D}_5 contradicting Proposition A.2. As above, the dotted edge may be eliminated from \tilde{D}_5 by changing the sign of one of the roots.




FIGURE 3.18

The second cycle can be only of length 4 or 6 as in Fig. 3.18. It cannot be a cycle of length 8, otherwise the Carter diagram contains the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{E}_7 , see Fig. 3.19. According to (ii), we cannot add edges $\{\alpha_1, \beta_3\}$, $\{\alpha_1, \beta_5\}$, $\{\beta_2, \alpha_3\}$, or $\{\beta_2, \alpha_5\}$. \square




FIGURE 3.19

Corollary 3.4 (On restrictions on quintuples of roots). (i) Let an α -set contain 3 roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. There does not exist two non-connected roots β and γ connected to every α_i so that the vectors of the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent.

(ii) Let $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ be a square in a connection diagram. There does not exist a root γ connected to all vertices of the square so that the vectors of the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. (i) Suppose there exist roots β and γ connected to every α_i such that the vectors of the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta, \gamma\}$ are linearly independent, see Fig. 3.20(a). Then we have three cycles: $\{\alpha_i, \gamma, \alpha_j, \beta\}$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Every cycle should contain an odd number of dotted edges. Let

n_1, n_2, n_3 be the odd numbers of dotted edges in every cycle, therefore $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is odd. On the other hand, every dotted edge enters twice, so $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ is even, which is a contradiction.




FIGURE 3.20. Every cycle should contain an odd number of dotted edges, a case which cannot happen

(ii) Suppose a certain root γ is connected to all vertices of the square. Then we have 5 cycles: Four triangles $\{\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma\}$, where $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2$, and the square $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$, see Fig. 3.20(b). Every cycle should contain an odd number of dotted edges. Let n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 be the numbers of dotted edges in every cycle, therefore $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5$ is odd. On the other hand, every dotted edge enters twice, so $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5$ is even, which is a contradiction. For example, the left square in Fig. 3.20(b) is transformed to the right one by the reflection s_{α_1} , then the right square contains the cycle $\{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \gamma\}$ with 3 solid edges, i.e., the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{A}_2 , contradicting Proposition A.2. \square

3.2. Classification of simply-laced Carter diagrams with cycles. The classification of simply-laced Carter diagrams with cycles is based on the following statements:

- (i) the diagram containing any non-Dynkin diagram (in particular, any extended Dynkin diagram) is not a Carter diagram (Proposition 3.1).
- (ii) the diagram containing two cycles with a bridge of length > 1 is not a Carter diagram (Proposition 3.3(i)).
- (iii) the diagram which can be equivalently transformed into a diagram of type (i) or (ii) is not a Carter diagram. We use this fact in Lemma 3.5.
- (iv) the Carter diagrams containing cycles of length > 4 can be excluded from Carter’s list (Theorem 4.1).

3.2.1. The Carter diagrams with cycles on 6 vertices. There are only four 6-vertex simply-laced Carter diagrams containing cycles, see Table 2.7. As we show in §4.2, the diagram $D_6(b_2)$ is equivalent to $D_6(a_2)$, so $D_6(b_2)$ can be excluded from the list of Carter diagrams. The diagrams depicted in Fig. 3.21 are not Carter diagrams. One should discard the bold vertex and apply Corollary 3.4(i), see Fig. 3.20.




FIGURE 3.21. Not Carter diagrams on 6-vertices

3.2.2. The Carter diagrams with cycles on 7 vertices. There are only six 7-vertex simply-laced Carter diagrams containing cycles, see Table 2.7. According to §4.2, the diagram $E_7(b_2)$ is equivalent to $E_7(a_2)$. Thus, the diagram $E_7(b_2)$ is excluded from the list of Carter diagrams. Note that the diagrams (a) and (b) depicted in Fig. 3.22 are not Carter diagrams since each of them contains the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{D}_4 . The diagrams (c) and (d) are not Carter diagrams since for each of them there exist two cycles with the bridge of length > 1 , contradicting Proposition 3.3. In order to see that (e) and (f) are not Carter diagrams, one can discard bold vertices and apply Corollary 3.4(i) as in §3.2.1.




FIGURE 3.22

3.2.3. *The Carter diagrams with cycles on 8 vertices.* There are only eleven 8-vertex simply-laced Carter diagrams containing cycles, see Table 2.7.

The diagrams depicted in Fig. 3.23 are not Carter diagrams. One can discard the bold vertices to see that each of depicted diagrams contains an extended Dynkin diagram. The diagram (a) contains \tilde{E}_6 ; (b) and (c) contain \tilde{D}_5 ; (d) and (e) contain \tilde{D}_6 . For diagrams (f) and (g), see Lemma 3.5. The diagram (h) is not a Carter diagram since there exists the bridge of length > 1 , see Proposition 3.3¹.




FIGURE 3.23. 8-vertex diagrams are not Carter diagrams

Lemma 3.5. *Diagrams (f) and (g) in Fig. 3.23 are not Carter diagrams.*

Proof. In cases (f) and (g), we transform the given diagram to an equivalent one containing an extended Dynkin diagram. Let Γ be the diagram (f) in Fig. 3.23. The corresponding roots are depicted in the diagram in Fig. 3.24(1). Let w be the Γ -associated element:

$$w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4}.$$




FIGURE 3.24

¹We do not depict here the diagrams corresponding to Proposition 3.3(ii), see Fig. 2.13. For $l = 6$, they are depicted in Fig. 3.21; for $l = 7$, see diagrams (e), (f) from §3.2.2.

Since $s_{\alpha_3}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_3} = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_3}s_{\mu}$, where $\mu = \alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1$, we have

$$w = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_3}s_{\mu}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_4}.$$

Therefore, the element w is associated with the connection diagram depicted in Fig. 3.24(2). Discard the vertex β_3 , the remaining diagram is the extended Dynkin diagram \widetilde{E}_6 .

Let Γ be the diagram (g) in Fig. 3.23. The same diagram with corresponding roots is the diagram Γ_1 depicted in Fig. 3.25.




FIGURE 3.25. The equivalence transformation from Γ_1 to Γ_3

The Γ_1 -associated element is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3}s_{\beta_4} = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_4}(s_{\alpha_3}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3})s_{\beta_4} = \\ &= s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_3}s_{\mu}s_{\beta_4}, \text{ where } \mu = \alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1 + \beta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The last expression of w is a (Γ_2, o_2) -associated element, where the diagram Γ_2 in Fig. 3.25 is the connection diagram, not a Carter diagram, and the order o_2 is given by (3.1). Further,

$$w = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}(s_{\alpha_4}s_{\beta_2})s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_3}s_{\mu}s_{\beta_4} = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\widetilde{\beta}_2}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_3}s_{\mu}s_{\beta_4}, \text{ where } \widetilde{\beta}_2 = \alpha_4 + \beta_2, \quad (3.2)$$

The obtained expression of w is a (Γ_3, o_3) -associated element, where Γ_3 it the connection diagram in Fig. 3.25 and o_3 is the order given by (3.2). The diagram Γ_3 contains the extended Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{D}_5 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu, \widetilde{\beta}_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$, but this is impossible. \square

3.2.4. The Carter diagrams with cycles on $l > 8$ vertices. The Dynkin diagram A_l does not contain any Carter diagrams with cycles, see §A.2.2. For the Dynkin diagram D_l , we refer to Carter's discussion in [Ca72, p. 13]. In this case, there are the two types of Carter diagrams (Table 2.7, $l > 8$):

- (1) pure cycles $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ for l is even, $l \leq n$
- (2) $D_l(a_1), D_l(a_2), \dots, D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ for l is even, $l \leq n$.

In §4.4, we will show that any pure cycle $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ from (1) is equivalent to $D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ from (2), and hence pure cycles $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ can be excluded from Carter's list.











	The Carter diagram with n -cycles, $n > 4$	The equivalent Carter diagram Γ , only 4-cycles	The characteristic polynomial of the Γ -associated element
1	 $D_6(b_2), n = 6$	 $D_6(a_2)$	$(t^3 + 1)^2$
2	 $E_7(b_2), n = 6$	 $E_7(a_2)$	$(t^4 - t^2 + 1)(t^2 - t + 1)(t + 1)$
3	 $E_8(b_3), n = 6$	 $E_8(a_3)$	$(t^4 - t^2 + 1)^2$
4	 $E_8(b_5), n = 6$	 $E_8(a_5)$	$t^8 - t^7 + t^5 - t^4 + t^3 - t^2 + 1$
5	 $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1}), n = l, l \text{ even}$	 $D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1}), n = l, l \text{ even}$	$(t^{\frac{l}{2}} + 1)^2$

TABLE 4.10. Pairs of equivalent Carter diagrams

4. Exclusion of long cycles

In this section, we show that Carter diagrams containing cycles of length $n > 4$ can be discarded from the list.

Theorem 4.1 (On exclusion of long cycles). *Any Carter diagram containing n -cycles, where $n > 4$, is equivalent to another Carter diagram containing only 4-cycles.*

In all cases we construct a certain explicit transformation of the diagram containing n -cycles, where $n > 4$, to a diagram containing only 4-cycles. The corresponding pairs of equivalent diagrams are depicted in Table 4.10.

Note that the coincidence of characteristic polynomials of diagrams in pairs of Table 4.10 is the necessary condition of equivalence of these diagrams, see [Ca72, Table 3]. As it is shown in Theorem 4.1, this condition is also sufficient for the Carter diagrams.

For convenience, we consider the equivalence $D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2)$ as a separated case, though this is a particular case of the pair $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1}) \simeq D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ with $l = 6$, Table 4.10. The idea of explicit transformation connecting elements of every pair is similar for all pairs¹.

4.1. **Equivalence** $E_8(b_3) \simeq E_8(a_3)$. The $E_8(a_3)$ -associated element w is transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4} = \\ &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4} (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_3}) s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} = \\ &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\mu} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}, \text{ where } \mu = \beta_3 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$




FIGURE 4.26. Equivalence $E_8(b_3) \simeq E_8(a_3)$; the intermediate diagram $E_8^\mu(a_3)$ is a connection diagram, not a Carter diagram

The element w is $(E_8^\mu(a_3), o)$ -associated, where $E_8^\mu(a_3)$ is the connection diagram in Fig. 4.26, the order o is given by (4.1). From (4.1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w &\stackrel{s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4} (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\mu}) s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} = \\ &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\sigma} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1}, \text{ where } \sigma = \mu - \beta_2 + \beta_4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

So, $\sigma = \beta_3 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 - \beta_2 + \beta_4$, and it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma, \alpha_3) &= (\alpha_3, \alpha_3) + (\alpha_3, \beta_4) + (\alpha_3, \beta_3) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\sigma, \alpha_2) &= -(\alpha_2, \alpha_2) - (\alpha_2, \beta_2) + (\alpha_2, \beta_3) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\sigma, \beta_1) &= -(\alpha_2, \beta_1) + (\alpha_3, \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\sigma, \alpha_1) &= 0, \\ (\sigma, \beta_4) &= (\beta_4, \beta_4) + (\alpha_3, \beta_4) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ (\sigma, \beta_2) &= -(\beta_2, \beta_2) - (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (\sigma, \alpha_4) &= (\beta_3, \alpha_4) = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Relations (4.3) describe the Carter diagram $E_8(b_3)$, Fig. 4.26. We only need to check that the element w is conjugate to a product of two involutions:

$$\begin{aligned} w &\simeq s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\sigma} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \stackrel{s_{\alpha_4}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\sigma} (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \stackrel{s_{\sigma}}{\simeq} \\ &= s_{\alpha_1} (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}) s_{\beta_1} = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) (s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}) s_{\beta_1} \stackrel{s_{\beta_1}}{\simeq} \\ &= (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) (s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, $w_1 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}$ and $w_2 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}$ are two involutions, $w = w_1 w_2$, i.e., w is conjugate to the $E_8(b_3)$ -associated element, which was to be proven.

¹Redrawing elements of pairs as the projection of 3-dimensional cube in Fig. 4.26 – Fig. 4.32 may give, perhaps, a hint to a geometric interpretation of these explicit transformations.

4.2. **Equivalences $E_7(b_2) \simeq E_7(a_2)$ and $D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2)$.** These equivalences directly follow from the equivalence $E_8(b_3) \simeq E_8(a_3)$ that we see from Fig. 4.27 and Fig. 4.28. For the equivalence $E_7(b_2) \simeq E_7(a_2)$, we discard s_{α_1} in relations (4.1) – (4.4) as follows:




FIGURE 4.27. Equivalence $E_7(b_2) \simeq E_7(a_2)$; the intermediate diagram $E_7^\mu(a_2)$ is a connection diagram, not a Carter diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4} = \\
 & s_{\alpha_4} s_{\mu} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} \quad (\text{where } \mu = \beta_3 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2) \quad \overset{s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}}{\simeq} \\
 & s_{\alpha_4} s_{\sigma} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \quad (\text{where } \sigma = \mu - \beta_2 + \beta_4) \quad \overset{s_{\alpha_4}}{\simeq} \\
 & s_{\sigma} (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \quad \overset{s_{\sigma}}{\simeq} \quad (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}) s_{\beta_1} \quad \overset{s_{\beta_1}}{\simeq} \\
 & (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Here, $w_1 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_4}$ and $w_2 \simeq s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}$ are two involutions, $w \simeq w_1 w_2$ and the element w is $E_7(b_2)$ -associated, which was to be proven. For the equivalence $D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2)$, we discard s_{α_4} in relation (4.5):




FIGURE 4.28. Equivalence $D_6(b_2) \simeq D_6(a_2)$; the intermediate diagram $D_6^\mu(a_2)$ is a connection diagram, not a Carter diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4} = \\
 & s_{\mu} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} \quad (\text{where } \mu = \beta_3 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2) \quad \overset{s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}}{\simeq} \\
 & s_{\sigma} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \quad (\text{where } \sigma = \mu - \beta_2 + \beta_4) \quad \overset{s_{\sigma}}{\simeq} \quad (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}) s_{\beta_1} \quad \overset{s_{\beta_1}}{\simeq} \\
 & (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Here, $w_1 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4}$ and $w_2 = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\sigma}$ are two involutions, $w \simeq w_1 w_2$ and the element w is $E_7(b_2)$ -associated.

4.3. **Equivalence** $E_8(b_5) \simeq E_8(a_5)$. This equivalence is the most difficult.

Step 1. Let us transform the $E_8(b_5)$ -associated element w as follows:

$$w = (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\gamma})(s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_4}) \stackrel{s_{\alpha_4}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_4} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} (s_{\beta_1} s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}) = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\mu})(s_{\beta_1} s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}), \text{ where } \mu = \alpha_4 - \beta_2 + \beta_4. \quad (4.7)$$




FIGURE 4.29. Transformation of $E_8(b_5)$, step 1; the diagrams $E_8^\mu(b_5)$, $E_8^1(b_5)$ are connection ones, not Carter diagrams

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu, \alpha_3) &= (\beta_4, \alpha_3) = -\frac{1}{2}, & (\mu, \beta_4) &= (\beta_4, \beta_4) + (\beta_4, \alpha_4) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ (\mu, \alpha_2) &= -(\beta_2, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2}, & (\mu, \beta_2) &= -(\beta_2, \beta_2) + (\alpha_4, \beta_2) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (\mu, \alpha_1) &= -(\beta_2, \alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

see $E_8^\mu(b_5)$ in Fig. 4.29. Further,

$$w \simeq (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\mu} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} (s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha_1}) = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1})(s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_3})(s_{\gamma} s_{\alpha_1}), \text{ where } \beta_3 = \mu - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3. \quad (4.8)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_3, \alpha_3) &= (\mu, \alpha_3) + (\alpha_3, \alpha_3) = -\frac{1}{2} + 1 = \frac{1}{2}, & (\beta_3, \beta_4) &= (\mu, \beta_4) + (\alpha_3, \beta_4) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\ (\beta_3, \alpha_2) &= (\mu, \alpha_2) - (\alpha_2, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2}, & (\beta_3, \beta_2) &= (\mu, \beta_2) - (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

see $E_8^1(b_5)$ in Fig. 4.29.




FIGURE 4.30. Transformation of $E_8(b_5)$, step 2; diagrams $E_8^1(b_5)$, $E_8^2(b_5)$ are connection ones, not Carter diagrams

Step 2. From eq. (4.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w \stackrel{s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_3} (s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\gamma}) s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1} = \\
\alpha_2 s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} (s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\gamma} = s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} (s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\gamma} \stackrel{s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}}{\simeq} \\
(s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma} = s_{\beta_2} (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\beta_3, \alpha_1) + (\beta_3, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0,$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \gamma) = (\gamma, \gamma) + (\gamma, \alpha_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \alpha_2) = (\beta_2, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \beta_2) = (\beta_2, \beta_2) + (\beta_2, \alpha_1) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

see $E_8^2(b_5)$ in Fig. 4.30.




FIGURE 4.31. Transformations from $E_8^2(b_5)$ to $E_8^3(b_5)$ and from $E_8^3(b_5)$ to $E_8^4(b_5)$; the diagrams $E_8^2(b_5)$, $E_8^3(b_5)$, $E_8^4(b_5)$ are connection ones, not Carter diagrams

Step 3. Let us transform the $E_8^2(b_5)$ -associated element w from eq. (4.9) to a certain $E_8^3(b_5)$ -associated element (where $E_8^2(b_5)$ and $E_8^3(b_5)$ are connection diagrams, see Fig. 4.31):

$$\begin{aligned}
w \simeq s_{\beta_2} (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma}) = \\
(s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4}) (s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma}) = (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4}) (s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \alpha_2) - (\beta_2, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2} + (\beta_2, \alpha_2) = 0,$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma, \tau) = (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \tau) - (\beta_2, \tau) = 0 \text{ for } \tau = \beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4, \alpha_3,$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \gamma, \gamma) = -\frac{1}{2} + 1 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 4. Now, we transform the $E_8^3(b_5)$ -associated element w from eq. (4.10) into a certain $E_8^4(b_5)$ -associated element ($E_8^3(b_5)$ and $E_8^4(b_5)$ are connection diagrams, see Fig. 4.31):

$$\begin{aligned}
w \simeq (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_4}) (s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma}) (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\gamma}) \stackrel{s_{\gamma}}{\simeq} \\
s_{\gamma} s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_4}) s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}) = s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_4} (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

since $\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2 = \gamma + \beta_3 - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2)$, and $s_{\gamma} s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} = s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2}$.

Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \gamma) &= (\gamma + \beta_3, \alpha_1 + \gamma) - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \tau) &= (\gamma + \beta_3, \tau) - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \tau) = 0 \text{ for } \tau = \beta_1, \beta_4, \\
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \alpha_2) &= (\beta_3, \alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \beta_3) &= (\gamma + \beta_3, \beta_3) - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\gamma + \beta_3, \beta_3) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2) &= (\gamma + \beta_3, \alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2) - (\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2) = \\
 &(\gamma, \alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2) - 1 = \frac{1}{2} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2, \alpha_3) &= (\beta_3, \alpha_3) = -\frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 4.32. The last step of transformation: From $E_8^4(b_5)$ to $E_8(a_5)$

Step 5. The last step: From $E_8^4(b_5)$ to $E_8(a_5)$, see Fig. 4.32. The $E_8^4(b_5)$ -associated element w from (4.11) is transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &\simeq s_{\beta_3} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_4} (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}) = \\
 &s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\beta_4} (s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3}) \stackrel{s_{\alpha_3}}{\simeq} \\
 &(s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\beta_4} s_{\alpha_2} \stackrel{s_{\beta_4}}{\simeq} \\
 &(s_{\beta_4} s_{\beta_3} s_{\beta_1} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2}) (s_{\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1} s_{\beta_3 - \alpha_1 - \beta_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \gamma} s_{\alpha_2}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where¹

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \alpha_2) &= -(\beta_3, \alpha_2) + (\beta_1, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0, \\
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \tau) &= (\alpha_3, \tau) - (\beta_3, \tau) + (\beta_1, \tau) = 0 \text{ for } \tau = \alpha_1 + \gamma, \alpha_1 + \gamma + \beta_2, \\
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \beta_3) &= (\alpha_3, \beta_3) - (\beta_3, \beta_3) = \frac{1}{2} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \beta_1) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \beta_4) &= (\alpha_3, \beta_4) = -\frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + \beta_1, \beta_3 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) &= (\alpha_3, \beta_3 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) - (\beta_3, \beta_3 - \beta_1 - \beta_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.12) is a bicolored decomposition of the $E_8(a_5)$ -associated element w , see Fig. 4.32. The equivalence $E_8(b_5) \simeq E_8(a_5)$ is proven.

4.4. Equivalence $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1}) \simeq D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$. We consider the two cases of cycles $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ differing by length l , see Fig. 4.33.

Case 1). $l = 4k$. The opposite vertices, i.e., vertices at distance $2k$, are of the same type, for example, α_1 and α_{k+1} , see Fig. 4.33(a).

¹Recall that $\beta_3 = \mu - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 - \beta_2 + \beta_4 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, see (4.7), (4.8).




FIGURE 4.33. The two cases of even cycles $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$: 1) $l = 4k$; 2) $l = 4k - 2$

Case 2). $l = 4k - 2$. The opposite vertices, i.e., vertices at distance $2k - 1$, are of different types, for example, α_1 and β_k , see Fig. 4.33(b).

4.4.1. *The case $l = 4k$.* Consider the chains of vertices passing through the top vertex α_1 and with endpoints lying on the same horizontal level, see Fig. 4.33. Let L (resp. R) be the index of the left (resp. right) end of the chain. Then the endpoints of these chains are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta_L, \beta_R\}, \quad L = 2k - i + 1, R = i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ or} \\ \{\alpha_L, \alpha_R\}, \quad L = 2k - i + 2, R = i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Consider the following vectors associated with chains (4.13):

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) &= \alpha_1 - \sum_{i=1}^R \beta_i - \sum_{i=2}^R \alpha_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k} \beta_i + \sum_{i=L+1}^{2k} \alpha_i, \quad R + L = 2k + 1, \\ \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) &= \alpha_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{R-1} \beta_i - \sum_{i=2}^R \alpha_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k} \beta_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k} \alpha_i, \quad R + L = 2k + 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

We have the following actions on vectors (4.14):

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_{2k}} \alpha_1 &= \theta(\beta_1, \beta_{2k}), \\ s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_{2k}} \theta(\beta_1, \beta_{2k}) &= \theta(\alpha_2, \alpha_{2k}), \\ &\dots \\ s_{\beta_{L-1}} s_{\beta_R} \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) &= \theta(\beta_{L-1}, \beta_R), \\ s_{\alpha_L} s_{\alpha_{R+1}} \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) &= \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_{R+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, $\theta(\beta_L, \beta_R)$, $\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)$ from (4.14) are roots. The following orthogonality relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) \perp \beta_i, \quad i \neq R, L, \quad \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) \not\perp \beta_L, \beta_R, \\ \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) \perp \alpha_i, \quad i \neq R+1, L, \quad \theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) \not\perp \alpha_{R+1}, \alpha_L (R \neq k), \quad \theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k) \perp \alpha_{k+1}, \\ \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) \perp \beta_i, \quad i \neq L-1, R, \quad \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) \not\perp \beta_{L-1}, \beta_R, \\ \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) \perp \alpha_i, \quad i \neq R, L, \quad \theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) \not\perp \alpha_L, \alpha_R. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Lemma 4.2. *The following commutation relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\theta(\beta_L, \beta_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} \right) s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_{R+1})}, \quad \text{where } L + R = 2k + 1, \quad R \leq k, \\ s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{L-1}, \beta_R)}, \quad \text{where } L + R = 2k + 2, \quad R \leq k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Proof. According to the orthogonality relations (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\theta(\beta_L, \beta_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} &= \left(\prod_{\alpha_i \neq R+1, L} s_{\alpha_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_L, \beta_R)} s_{\alpha_{R+1}} s_{\alpha_L} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{\alpha_i \neq R+1, L} s_{\alpha_i} \right) s_{\alpha_{R+1}} s_{\alpha_L} s_{\theta(\beta_L, \beta_R) - \alpha_{R+1} + \alpha_L} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} \right) s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_{R+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} &= \left(\prod_{\beta_i \neq R, L-1} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)} s_{\beta_{L-1}} s_{\beta_R} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{\beta_i \neq R, L-1} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\beta_{L-1}} s_{\beta_R} s_{\theta(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) - \beta_R + \beta_{L-1}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{L-1}, \beta_R)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let*

$$w = w_{\beta} w_{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i}$$

be the $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ -associated element, where $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ is the cycle with $l = 4k$, see Fig. 4.33. The element w is conjugate to the element

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k)} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} \right). \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. First, we have

$$w = \prod s_{\beta_i} \prod s_{\alpha_j} \stackrel{s_{\alpha_1}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_1} (s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_{2k}}) \prod_{i \neq 1, 2k} s_{\beta_i} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_{2k}} s_{\theta(\beta_{2k}, \beta_1)} \prod_{i \neq 1, 2k} s_{\beta_i} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j}.$$

By relations (4.16), the elements $s_{\theta(\beta_1, \beta_{2k})}$ and $\prod_{i \neq 1, 2k} s_{\beta_i}$ commute, and we have:

$$w = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_{2k}} \left(\prod_{i \neq 1, 2k} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{2k}, \beta_1)} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = \left(\prod s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{2k}, \beta_1)} \left(\prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} \right).$$

Further, we use Lemma 4.2 to prove the equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \prod s_{\beta_i} \left(\prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} \right) s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k}, \alpha_2)} \stackrel{s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k}, \alpha_2)}}{\simeq} s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k}, \alpha_2)} \prod s_{\beta_i} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = \left(\prod s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{2k-1}, \beta_2)} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = \\ &= \prod s_{\beta_i} \left(\prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} \right) s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k-1}, \alpha_3)} \stackrel{s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k-1}, \alpha_3)}}{\simeq} s_{\theta(\alpha_{2k-1}, \alpha_3)} \prod s_{\beta_i} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = \left(\prod s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{2k-2}, \beta_3)} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j} = \\ &\dots \\ &= \left(\prod s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k)} \prod_{j \neq 1} s_{\alpha_j}. \end{aligned}$$

The relation (4.18) is proved. \square

Corollary 4.4. *The conjugacy class containing elements*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k} s_{\beta_i} \right) \left(s_{\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k)} \prod_{i=2}^{2k} s_{\alpha_i} \right) \quad (4.19)$$

is $D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ -associated (as well $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ -associated) conjugacy class for $l = 4k$, see Fig. 4.34.

Proof. For $i \neq k+1$, the orthogonality $\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k) \perp \alpha_i$ follows from (4.16). For $i = k+1$, it is easy to check:

$$(\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k), \alpha_{k+1}) = (\beta_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+1}) - (\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$




FIGURE 4.34. The equivalent diagrams $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ and $D_l(a_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$, where $l = 4k$

Besides, for $i \neq k, k+1$, we have $\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k) \perp \beta_i$, see (4.16). Finally, for $i = k, k+1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k), \beta_k) &= (-\beta_k, \beta_k) + (-\alpha_k, \beta_k) = -1 + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (\theta(\beta_{k+1}, \beta_k), \beta_{k+1}) &= (\beta_{k+1}, \beta_{k+1}) + (\alpha_{k+2}, \beta_{k+1}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4.4.2. *The case $l = 4k - 2$.* Similarly to the case (4.13), we consider chains

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta_L, \beta_R\}, \quad L = 2k - i, R = i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \text{ or} \\ \{\alpha_L, \alpha_R\}, \quad L = 2k - i + 1, R = i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Next, we consider the following vectors associated with the chains (4.20):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\beta_L, \beta_R) &= \alpha_1 - \sum_{i=1}^R \beta_i - \sum_{i=2}^R \alpha_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k-1} \beta_i + \sum_{i=L+1}^{2k-1} \alpha_i, \quad R + L = 2k, \\ \mu(\alpha_L, \alpha_R) &= \alpha_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{R-1} \beta_i - \sum_{i=2}^R \alpha_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k-1} \beta_i + \sum_{i=L}^{2k-1} \alpha_i, \quad R + L = 2k + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

As above, vectors $\mu(\beta_L, \beta_R)$, $\mu(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)$ from (4.21) are roots.

Lemma 4.5. *The following commutation relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mu(\beta_L, \beta_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\alpha_i} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\alpha_i} \right) s_{\mu(\alpha_L, \alpha_{R+1})}, \quad \text{where } L + R = 2k, \quad R \leq k-1, \\ s_{\mu(\alpha_L, \alpha_R)} \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\beta_i} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\beta_i} \right) s_{\mu(\beta_{L-1}, \beta_R)}, \quad \text{where } L + R = 2k + 1, \quad R \leq k. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Proof is as in Lemma 4.2. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let*

$$w = w_\beta w_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\alpha_i}$$

be the $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ -associated element, where $D_l(b_{\frac{l}{2}-1})$ is the cycle with $l = 4k - 2$, see Fig. 4.33. The element w is conjugate to the element

$$s_{\mu(\alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_k)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} s_{\beta_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=2}^{2k-1} s_{\alpha_i} \right). \quad (4.23)$$

5. Basic patterns

5.1. The partial Cartan matrix. Similarly to the Cartan matrix associated with a given Dynkin diagram we determine the *partial Cartan matrix* corresponding to the Carter diagram Γ as follows:

$$B_\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1, \alpha_1) & \dots & (\alpha_1, \alpha_k) & (\alpha_1, \beta_1) & \dots & (\alpha_1, \beta_h) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (\alpha_k, \alpha_1) & \dots & (\alpha_k, \alpha_k) & (\alpha_k, \beta_1) & \dots & (\alpha_k, \beta_h) \\ (\beta_1, \alpha_1) & \dots & (\beta_1, \alpha_k) & (\beta_1, \beta_1) & \dots & (\beta_1, \beta_h) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (\beta_h, \alpha_1) & \dots & (\beta_h, \alpha_k) & (\beta_h, \beta_1) & \dots & (\beta_h, \beta_h) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

Here, the α -set $S_\alpha = \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ and the β -set $S_\beta = \{\beta_j \mid j = 1, \dots, h\}$ match the bicolored decomposition of a certain $w \in W$ corresponding to Γ , see §2.1.1. We will write $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+h}\}$ instead of (2.3) if the bicolored decomposition is not important.

The symmetric bilinear form associated with the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_\Gamma$ and the corresponding quadratic form is denoted by \mathcal{B}_Γ . The subspace $L \subseteq V$ spanned by the root subset S is said to be the *S-associated subspace*. For $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$, we write $L = [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l]$.

Remark 5.1. From now on, we assume that entries (τ_i, τ_j) of the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ for simply-laced Carter diagrams take values $\{0, -1, 1\}$, not $\{0, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$. In other words, we assume that the symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\Gamma$ is obtained by doubling the usual inner product associated with the given Weyl group W .

Proposition 5.2. 1) *The restriction of the bilinear form associated with the Cartan matrix \mathbf{B} to the subspace L coincides with the bilinear form associated with the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ , i.e., for any pair of vectors $v, u \in L$, we have*

$$(v, u)_\Gamma = (v, u), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(v) = \mathcal{B}(v). \quad (5.2)$$

2) *For every Carter diagram, the matrix B_Γ is positive definite.*

Proof. 1) From eq. (5.1) we deduce:

$$(v, u)_\Gamma = \left(\sum_i t_i \tau_i, \sum_j q_j \tau_j \right)_\Gamma = \sum_{i,j} t_i q_j (\tau_i, \tau_j)_\Gamma = \sum_{i,j} t_i q_j (\tau_i, \tau_j) = (v, u).$$

2) This follows from 1). □

Remark 5.3 (The classical case). Recall that the $n \times n$ matrix K such that

- (C1) $k_{ii} = 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (C2) $-k_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for $i \neq j$,
- (C3) $k_{ij} = 0$ implies $k_{ji} = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$

is called a *generalized Cartan matrix*, [Kac80], [St08, §2.1].

The condition (C2) does not hold for the partial Cartan matrix: The values k_{ij} associated with dotted edges are positive.

If the Carter diagram does not contain any cycle, then the Carter diagram is the Dynkin diagram, the corresponding conjugacy class is the conjugacy class of the Coxeter element, and the partial Cartan matrix is the classical Cartan matrix, a particular case of a generalized Cartan matrix. □

5.2. Linear dependence and maximal roots. Let $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$ be a Γ -associated subset, S' be another Γ -associated subset, and $S' = uS$ for some element $u \in W$. The matrix B_Γ is the same for S and S' , since $(u\tau_i, u\tau_j) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ for any $\tau_i, \tau_j \in S$. Let γ be a root which is linearly dependent on roots of S as follows:

$$\gamma = t_1\tau_1 + \dots + t_l\tau_l. \quad (5.3)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\gamma, \tau_1) \\ \dots \\ (\gamma, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix} = B_\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \dots \\ t_l \end{pmatrix} = B_\Gamma \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \dots \\ t_l \end{pmatrix} = B_\Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma, \tau_1) \\ \dots \\ (\gamma, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.4)$$

If the root τ_i is replaced by $-\tau_i$ (for any $\tau_i \in S$), then the coefficient t_i is replaced by $-t_i$ in the decomposition (5.3).

Remark 5.4. Let the vector γ be linearly dependent on roots of $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l\}$, let γ be connected with only one $\tau_i \in S$. In further considerations, we have two frequently occurring cases:

(i) Suppose γ is connected to the same point as the maximal (or minimal) root in the root system S . In other words, in eq. (5.4), the orthogonality relations (γ, τ_i) coincide with orthogonality relations for the maximal (resp. minimal) root while the edge connecting with γ is dotted (resp. solid). Since equation (5.4) has a unique solution, we deduce that γ coincides with the maximal (resp. minimal) root.

(ii) Consider the necessary condition that γ is a root. We have

$$\gamma^\vee := \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma, \tau_1) \\ \dots \\ (\gamma, \tau_i) \\ \dots \\ (\gamma, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ \pm 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee, \quad (5.5)$$

where the sign $+$ (resp. $-$) corresponds to the dotted (resp. solid) edge connecting γ with τ_i . Let \mathcal{B} be the quadratic form associated with the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ . Then the value of \mathcal{B}_Γ on the root γ is as follows

$$\mathcal{B}_\Gamma(\gamma) = \langle B_\Gamma \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \langle B_\Gamma (B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee), B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee \rangle = \langle \gamma^\vee, B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee \rangle = b_{i,i}^\vee, \quad (5.6)$$

where $b_{i,i}^\vee$ is the i th diagonal element of B_Γ^{-1} . If γ is a root, then $\mathcal{B}(\gamma) = 2$, and the necessary condition that γ is a root is the following simple equality:

$$b_{i,i}^\vee = 2. \quad (5.7)$$

Remark 5.5. Let us call each vertex τ_i connected with a linearly dependent root γ an *attachment point* on Γ .

(i) Let Γ be a simply-laced Dynkin diagram. By Proposition C.1 and Table C.13, we have $b_{i,i}^\vee = 2$ if and only if τ_i is a single attachment point connected with the maximal (minimal) root. Since $\gamma = B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee$, then γ is uniquely defined and coincides with the maximal (minimal) root. There is only one attachment point. To see this, it suffices to check diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1} .

(ii) Let Γ be a simply-laced Carter diagram, but not a Dynkin diagram. In this case, there is no such thing as a maximal (minimal) root. However, there exists an attachment point, not necessarily unique. As in (i), $\gamma = B_\Gamma^{-1} \gamma^\vee$, so it is uniquely defined. For example, according to Table C.14:

For $E_6(a_2)$, we have $b_{\alpha_3, \alpha_3}^\vee = 2$ and $b_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^\vee = 2$. Thus, there exists a root α (resp. β) linearly dependent on vectors of S and connected to α_3 (resp. β_1).

For $D_6(a_1)$, we have $b_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^\vee = 2$, so there is the root linearly dependent on vectors of S and connected to α_1 .

For $D_6(a_2)$, we have $b_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^\vee = 2$ and $b_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^\vee = 2$. Thus, there exists a root β (resp. β') linearly dependent on vectors of S and connected to β_1 (resp. β_2). \square

5.3. The orbit of the Coxeter element, and the longest element. We use the following fact from the theory of Coxeter groups:

Proposition 5.6. ([Hu04, Sect. 5.7, Proposition]) *Let $w \in W$, let α be a positive (not necessarily simple) root. Let $s_\alpha \in W$ be the reflection corresponding to α . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} l(ws_\alpha) &> l(w) \text{ if and only if } w\alpha > 0, \\ l(s_\alpha w) &> l(w) \text{ if and only if } w^{-1}\alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the condition in the second line in Proposition 5.6 is equivalent to the one in the first line since $l(ws_\alpha) = l(s_\alpha w^{-1})$ and $l(w) = l(w^{-1})$. \square

5.3.1. The longest elements in $W(D_l)$ and $W(E_l)$. Let Γ be the Dynkin diagram D_l or E_l , let $w \in W$ be any Γ -associated element, and

$$S = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\} \quad (5.8)$$

the Γ -associated subset of linearly independent roots corresponding to the bicolored decomposition of w . Here, $w = w_\varphi w_\delta$ is the Coxeter element in the basis (5.8):

$$w = w_\varphi w_\delta, \quad \text{where} \quad w_\varphi = \prod_{i=1}^k s_{\varphi_i}, \quad w_\delta = \prod_{j=1}^m s_{\delta_j}. \quad (5.9)$$

Let h be the Coxeter number, the order of the Coxeter element w . Since $W(A_n)$ is excluded, then h is even: $h = 2g$. Let

$$w_0 = (w_\delta w_\varphi)^{\frac{h}{2}}, \quad w_0^2 = 1. \quad (5.10)$$

It is a well-known fact that w_0 is the longest element in $W(\Gamma)$. The element w_0 makes all positive roots negative.

Proposition 5.7 (On the maximal root μ_{max} and the longest element $w_0 \in W$). *Let Γ be the Dynkin diagram D_l or E_l . Suppose S is given by (5.8) and $w \in W(\Gamma)$ is the S -associated element. Let Γ be extended to another Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by adding a root δ_{m+1} connected to S only at φ_k and linearly independent of S ; let μ_{max} be the maximal root in the root system $\Phi(\tilde{\Gamma})$.*

(i) *For $w_0 = w^{\frac{h}{2}} = (w_\delta w_\varphi)^{\frac{h}{2}}$, we have*

$$w_0 \mu_{max} = \delta_{m+1}, \quad \mu_{max} = w_0 \delta_{m+1}. \quad (5.11)$$

(ii) *The following conjugacy relation holds:*

$$w s_{\mu_{max}} \simeq w s_{\delta_{m+1}}. \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. (i) Note that w_φ and w_δ do not act on the coordinate δ_{m+1} and this coordinate in $w_0 \mu_{max}$ is positive. Since $w_0 \mu_{max}$ is a root, we see that $w_0 \mu_{max} > 0$. In particular, $w_0 \mu_{max} \geq \delta_{m+1}$. Further, since w_0 is the longest element in $W(\Gamma)$, then $l(w_0 s_\beta) < l(w_0)$ for every reflection $s_\beta \in W(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 5.6, we have $w_0 \beta \leq 0$ for every positive simple root β . So $w_0 \gamma \leq 0$ for every positive vector $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$, where \mathcal{E} is the linear space spanned by all roots $\gamma \in S$. Let $w_0 \mu_{max}$ be decomposed into the two components:

$$w_0 \mu_{max} = \gamma + \delta_{m+1}, \quad \text{where } \gamma \in \Phi(\Gamma), \text{ and } \gamma \geq 0.$$

Since, $w_0^2 = 1$, we have

$$\mu_{max} - w_0 \gamma = w_0 \delta_{m+1}.$$

Thus, $\mu_{max} - w_0 \gamma$ is a root in $\Phi(\tilde{\Gamma})$. We have $\mu_{max} - w_0 \gamma \geq \mu_{max}$, whereas $w_0 \gamma \leq 0$. Since μ_{max} is the maximal root in $\Phi(\tilde{\Gamma})$, we have $w_0 \gamma = 0$. Thus, $\gamma = 0$, and $w_0 \mu_{max} = \delta_{m+1}$, as required.

(ii) Since $w_0 = w^{\frac{h}{2}}$, then w_0 and w commute. Therefore, we have

$$w s_{\mu_{max}} \stackrel{w_0}{\simeq} (w_0 w w_0) (w_0 s_{\mu_{max}} w_0) = w s_{w_0 \mu_{max}} = w s_{\delta_{m+1}}. \quad \square \quad (5.13)$$




FIGURE 5.36. Extending $D_{2t-1} < D_{2t}$ (resp. $D_{2t} < D_{2t+1}$) by adding α_{t+1} or μ_{max} (resp. β_t or μ_{max})




FIGURE 5.37. Extensions $D_4 \stackrel{\beta_2}{<} D_5$, $D_5 \stackrel{\beta_3}{<} E_6$ and $E_6 \stackrel{\alpha_4}{<} E_7$

Remark 5.8. In Fig. 5.36, we have:
 $\Gamma = D_{2t}, \tilde{\Gamma} = D_{2t+1}, \varphi_k = \beta_{t-1}, \delta_{h+1} = \alpha_{t+1};$
 $\Gamma = D_{2t+1}, \tilde{\Gamma} = D_{2t+2}, \varphi_k = \alpha_{t+1}, \delta_{h+1} = \beta_t.$

In Fig. 5.37, see Table 2.8, we have:
 $\Gamma = D_4, \tilde{\Gamma} = D_5, \varphi_k = \alpha_2, \delta_{h+1} = \beta_2;$
 $\Gamma = D_5, \tilde{\Gamma} = E_6, \varphi_k = \alpha_2, \delta_{h+1} = \beta_3;$
 $\Gamma = E_6, \tilde{\Gamma} = E_7, \varphi_k = \beta_3, \delta_{h+1} = \alpha_4;$

5.3.2. *Passage from D_{k+2} to $D_l(a_k)$.* We suppose that D_{k+2} is the subdiagram of $D_l(a_k)$ as it is depicted in Fig. 5.38. For any object O related with D_{k+2} , we denote by \tilde{O} the corresponding object related with $D_l(a_k)$. Let

$$S = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k\} \tag{5.14}$$

be a certain D_{k+2} -associated root subset, let

$$\tilde{S} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_p\} \tag{5.15}$$

be a $D_l(a_k)$ -associated subset, $S \subset \tilde{S}$, see Fig. 5.38. Let V (resp. \tilde{V}) be the space spanned by S (resp. \tilde{S}), $V \subset \tilde{V}$.




FIGURE 5.38. D_{k+2} and $D_l(a_k)$

Proposition 5.9. *Let w be the S -associated element, \tilde{w} be the \tilde{S} -associated element. Let μ_{max} be the maximal root in the root system $\Phi(D_{k+2})$. Let w_0 be the longest element in $W(D_{k+2})$, i.e., $w_0 = w^{\frac{h}{2}}$, where h is the Coxeter number in $W(D_{k+2})$.*

(i) *For $\tilde{w}_0 = \tilde{w}^{\frac{h}{2}} \in W(D_l(a_k))$, we have*

$$\tilde{w}_0 \mu_{max} = w_0 \mu_{max} = \varphi_k. \quad (5.16)$$

(ii) *The following conjugacy relation holds:*

$$\tilde{w} s_{\mu_{max}} \simeq \tilde{w} s_{\varphi_k}. \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. (i) The maximal root μ_{max} is as follows:

$$\mu_{max} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varphi_i + \varphi_k \quad (5.18)$$

Note that $s_{\alpha_2} z = z$ for any z having the same coordinates for components β_1 and β_2 . Indeed, if $z = b(\beta_1 + \beta_2) + \dots$, see Fig. 5.38, then

$$s_{\alpha_2} : z \longmapsto b(\beta_1 + \alpha_2) + b(\beta_2 - \alpha_2) + \dots = b(\beta_1 + \beta_2) + \dots \quad (5.19)$$

Let R (resp. \tilde{R}) be the set of reflections entering the decomposition of w (resp. \tilde{w}), suppose that $\tilde{R} \setminus R = \{s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\tau_2}, \dots, s_{\tau_p}\}$. Let $V' \subset V$ be the subspace of all vectors having the same coordinates for components β_1 and β_2 . In $\tilde{R} \setminus R$, the only reflection that can affect $z \in V'$ is s_{α_2} , so by (5.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w} z &= w z \text{ for any } z \in V', \\ \tilde{w}_0 z &= w_0 z \text{ for any } z \in V', \end{aligned}$$

By (5.18), we have $\mu_{max} \in V'$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.7

$$\tilde{w}_0 \mu_{max} = w_0 \mu_{max} = \varphi_k,$$

(here, φ_k plays the same role as δ_{k+1} in Proposition 5.7). So, (5.16) holds.

(ii) Since $\tilde{w}_0 = \tilde{w}^{\frac{h}{2}}$, it follows that \tilde{w}_0 and \tilde{w} commute. Therefore, by (5.16), we have

$$\tilde{w} s_{\mu_{max}} \stackrel{\tilde{w}_0}{\simeq} (\tilde{w}_0 \tilde{w} \tilde{w}_0) (\tilde{w}_0 s_{\mu_{max}} \tilde{w}_0) = \tilde{w} s_{\tilde{w}_0 \mu_{max}} = \tilde{w} s_{w_0 \mu_{max}} = \tilde{w} s_{\varphi_k}. \quad (5.20)$$


□

In the remaining part of §5 we consider root subsets forming the simplest diagrams: Dipoles, triangles, squares, diamonds. For every type of these diagrams, we describe properties helping to understand whether the given root subset is linearly independent or not, see Lemmas 5.13, 5.15, 5.16. Further on, we consider a little more complicated diagrams obtained by gluing two simpler ones, see §5.6.

5.4. Dipoles and subsets of mutually orthogonal roots. Let Γ be one of the diagram $D_4(a_1)$ or D_4 . The pair of opposite vertices of any diagonal in $D_4(a_1)$ or the pair of endpoints of D_4 is said to be a *dipole*. The pair of roots corresponding to vertices of the dipole are called a *dipole of roots*. The pair of points of the dipole are not connected by any edge. When no ambiguity arises we say ‘‘dipole’’ instead of ‘‘dipole of roots’’.

In the following lemma, we show that for E_6 (resp. E_8), any two subsets of 3 orthogonal roots are equivalent under $W = W(E_6)$ (resp. $W = W(E_8)$). For E_7 , the analogous statement does not hold because E_7 behaves differently: An ‘‘unlucky’’ location of the maximal root with respect to the Dynkin diagram, Fig. 5.39. Recall that the location of the maximal root is the same as that of the additional vertex in the extended Dynkin diagram, [Bo].

Lemma 5.10. *Let α_{max} be the maximal root in the root system $\Phi(D)$, where D is given by Table 5.11, column 2. The maximal root $\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(D)$ is orthogonal to the root $\eta \in \Phi(D)$ if and only if $\eta \in D'$, where $D' \subset D$ are given by Table 5.11, column 4.*


 FIGURE 5.39. Subsets of roots in $\Phi(D_l)$, $\Phi(E_l)$ orthogonal to α_{max}

Proof. 1) Consider line 1 of Table 5.11. We need to prove that the maximal root α_{max} in $\Phi(E_6)$ is orthogonal to the root $\eta \in \Phi(E_6)$ if and only if $\eta \in \Phi(A_5) = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$, see Fig. 5.39. The maximal root α_{max} in $\Phi(E_6)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{max} &= \alpha_1 + 2\beta_1 + 3\alpha_0 + 2\beta_2 + \alpha_2 + 2\beta_3, \\ \alpha_{max} &\perp \alpha_i, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2; \quad \alpha_{max} \perp \beta_1, \beta_2, \text{ and } \alpha_{max} \not\perp \beta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, α_{max} is orthogonal to $\Phi(A_5)$ spanned by $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. Any root η from $\Phi(E_6)$ has the form $\eta = k_1 z + k_2 \beta_3$, where $z \in \Phi(A_5)$. Since $\alpha_{max} \perp z$ and $\alpha_{max} \not\perp \beta_3$, then $\alpha_{max} \perp \eta$ means that $k_2 = 0$, and $\eta \in \Phi(A_5)$. If $\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(A_5)$, then similar arguments show that $\eta \in \Phi(A_5)$, and $\eta \perp \alpha_{max}$ if and only if $\eta \in A_3$, see Fig. 5.39. The remaining cases 2) – 6) from Table 5.11 are similarly considered. \square

Corollary 5.11. *Any two dipoles in $\Phi(D)$, where $D = E_6, E_7$ or E_8 , are equivalent under $W(D)$.*

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ be any dipole of roots in $\Phi(D)$, where $D = E_6, E_7, E_8$. We send α_1 into $\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(D)$ by some $u \in W(D)$. By Lemma 5.10, for $D = E_6$ (resp. E_7, E_8), the element u sends α_2 to $\Phi(D')$, where $D' = A_5$ (resp. $\Phi(D_6), \Phi(A_5)$). By means of $W(D')$ the root α_2 can be sent to any other root in $\Phi(D')$ so that the image of α_1 (i.e., α_{max}) is not moved. \square

There are two dipoles of roots in $\Phi(D_l)$ which are not equivalent under $W(D_l)$, see Fig. 5.39 and Table 5.11. This fact is proved in Lemma B.1, see also Example B.1.2.

Corollary 5.12. ([Ca72, Lemma 11,(i), Lemma 27]) (i) *Any two sets of 3 mutually orthogonal roots in $\Phi(E_6)$ are equivalent under $W(E_6)$.*

(ii) *Any two sets of 3 mutually orthogonal roots in $\Phi(E_8)$ are equivalent under $W(E_8)$.*

(iii) *There are two sets of 3 orthogonal roots in $\Phi(E_7)$ which are not equivalent under $W(E_7)$.*

Proof. We will show that any triple of mutually orthogonal roots in E_6 can be transformed into the triple $\{\alpha_{max}(E_6), \alpha_{max}(A_5), \alpha_{max}(A_3)\}$, see Fig. 5.39, where $\alpha_{max}(D)$ means the maximal root in $\Phi(D)$. Then any triple of mutually orthogonal roots in E_6 can be transformed into each other. Let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$ be the triple of mutually orthogonal roots in E_6 . The root φ_1 can be transformed

	The root system D	The maximal root α_{max} in the root system $\Phi(D)$	The root $\eta \in \Phi(D)$, $\eta \perp \alpha_{max}$ if and only if $\eta \in \Phi(D')$, $D' \subset D$	Case in Fig. 5.39
1	E_6	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(E_6)$	$\eta \in \Phi(A_5)$	(a)
2	A_5	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(A_5)$	$\eta \in \Phi(A_3)$	(b)
3	E_7	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(E_7)$	$\eta \in \Phi(D_6)$	(c)
4	D_6	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(D_6)$	$\eta \in \Phi(D_4) \oplus \Phi(A_1)$	(d)
5	E_8	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(E_8)$	$\eta \in \Phi(E_7)$	(e)
6	D_l	$\alpha_{max} \in \Phi(D_l)$	$\eta \in \Phi(D_{l-2}) \oplus \Phi(A_1)$	(f)

TABLE 5.11. Roots $\eta \in \Phi(D)$ such that $\eta \perp \alpha_{max}$

into any root in $W(E_6)$. We transform φ_1 into the maximal root $\alpha_{max}(E_6)$. By Lemma 5.10, roots φ_2 and φ_3 are transformed into two elements in $\Phi(A_5)$ under $W(A_5)$. We transform φ_2 into $\alpha_{max}(A_5)$, see Fig. 5.39. Again, by Lemma 5.10, φ_3 is transformed under $W(A_3)$ into the maximal root in $\Phi(A_3)$. The triple of maximal roots $\{\alpha_{max}(E_6), \alpha_{max}(A_5), \alpha_{max}(A_3)\}$ corresponds to the following chain of root subsets:

$$\Phi(E_6) \supset \Phi(A_5) \supset \Phi(A_3).$$

Similarly, in the case E_8 , the triple of maximal roots $\{\alpha_{max}(E_8), \alpha_{max}(E_7), \alpha_{max}(D_6)\}$, see Fig. 5.39(e),(c) and (d), corresponds to the following chain:

$$\Phi(E_8) \supset \Phi(E_7) \supset \Phi(D_6).$$

In the case E_7 , the subset associated with the third maximal root is split up into 2 non-connected subsets:

$$\Phi(E_7) \supset \Phi(D_6) \supset \Phi(D_4) \oplus \Phi(A_1). \quad (5.21)$$

The decomposition $\Phi(D_4) \oplus \Phi(A_1)$ in (5.21) is responsible for the presence of two non-equivalent root subsets with 3 mutually orthogonal roots. \square

5.5. Triangles, squares and diamonds.

Lemma 5.13. *Let Γ be a 3-cycle, the triple of roots $S = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be a certain Γ -associated subset. The triple S is linearly independent if and only if the number of dotted edges of Γ is odd, see Fig. 5.40(c),(d).*

If all edges of Γ are solid, see Fig. 5.40(a), then

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

If only one edge of Γ is solid, for example, $\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ in Fig. 5.40(b), then

$$\alpha - \beta + \gamma = 0. \quad (5.23)$$




FIGURE 5.40. Linearly dependent triples of roots (a), (b); linearly independent triples of roots (c),(d).

Remark 5.14. Note that the change of sign of any root in S does not affect the linear dependence and does not change Γ -associated elements since $s_\alpha = s_{-\alpha}$. In Fig. 5.40, the case (d) turns into (c) under the change $\gamma \mapsto -\gamma$, the case (b) turns into (a) under the change $\beta \mapsto -\beta$. In Fig. 5.41, the case (d) turns into (c) under the change $\alpha_1 \mapsto -\alpha_1$, the case (b) turns into (a) under the change $\beta_1 \mapsto -\beta_1$.

Proof of Lemma 5.13. If S is linearly independent, then there exist 1 or 3 dotted edges, see (c) and (d) in Fig. 5.40, otherwise we have the diagram \tilde{A}_3 , contradicting Lemma A.1. Let S be linearly dependent and let there be only one dotted edge as in configuration (c). Let $\alpha = b\beta + c\gamma$. For $\Gamma_0 = \{\beta, \gamma\}$, by (5.4) we have

$$B_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{\Gamma_0}^{-1} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha, \beta) \\ (\alpha, \gamma) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} b = -\frac{1}{3}, \\ c = \frac{1}{3}, \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3}(\gamma - \beta), \quad \mathcal{B}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{9},$$

i.e., α is not a root. Thus, for S linearly dependent, only cases (a) and (b) are possible. Let $\gamma = a\alpha + b\beta$. Again, by (5.4) we have

$$B_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{\Gamma}^{-1} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma, \alpha) \\ (\gamma, \beta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} a = -1, \\ b = -1, \end{cases} \quad (5.25)$$

$$\gamma = -\alpha - \beta.$$

The root $-\alpha - \beta$ is the minimal root for $A_2 = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ in accordance with Remark 5.4(i). Eq. (5.22) is proved. Eq. (5.23) is obtained from eq. (5.22) by replacing $\beta \mapsto -\beta$. \square

Lemma 5.15. Let Γ be a 4-cycle, the quadruple of roots $S = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ be the Γ -associated subset. The quadruple S is linearly independent if and only if the number of dotted edges of Γ is odd, see Fig. 5.41(c),(d).

If all edges of Γ are solid, see Fig. 5.41(a), then:

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0. \quad (5.26)$$

If only two edges are dotted, for example, $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \beta_1\}$ in Fig. 5.41(b), then:

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0. \quad (5.27)$$




FIGURE 5.41. Linearly dependent quadruples of roots (a), (b); linearly independent quadruples of roots (c),(d).

Proof. If S is linearly independent, then there exist 1 or 3 dotted edges, see Fig. 5.41(c) and (d), otherwise we have the diagram \tilde{A}_4 , contradicting Lemma A.1. Let S be linearly dependent and let there be only one dotted edge as in configuration (c). Let $\beta_1 = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + b_1\beta_2$. For $\Gamma_0 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_2\}$, by (5.4), we have

$$B_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{\Gamma_0}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\beta_1, \alpha_1) \\ (\beta_1, \alpha_2) \\ (\beta_1, \beta_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \\ b_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.28)$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2}, \quad \mathcal{B}(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{4},$$

i.e., β_1 is not a root. Thus, for S linearly dependent, only cases (a) and (b) are possible. Let $\beta_2 = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + b_1\beta_1$. Again, by (5.4) we have

$$B_\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_\Gamma^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\beta_2, \alpha_1) \\ (\beta_2, \alpha_2) \\ (\beta_2, \beta_1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} a_1 = -1, \\ a_2 = -1, \\ b_1 = -1, \end{cases} \quad (5.29)$$

$$\beta_2 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1.$$

The root β_2 is the minimal root for A_3 in accordance with Remark 5.4(i). Eq. (5.26) is proved, eq. (5.27) is obtained from eq. (5.26) by replacing $\beta_1 \mapsto -\beta_1$. \square

A square with a diagonal consisting of two edges, as in Fig. 5.42, is said to be a *diamond*.

Lemma 5.16. *Let $\Gamma = D_4$, and $S = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ form a linearly independent quadruple of roots corresponding to Γ . There exists no root α_2 (even linearly dependent on S) completing S to a diamond such that every 4-cycle of the diamond contains an even number of dotted edges (such diamonds are depicted in Fig. 5.42).*




FIGURE 5.42. The impossible diamonds of roots

Proof. Suppose such a root α_2 exists. For example, for the case (a) in Fig. 5.42, by (5.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_3 &= 0, \\ \alpha_1 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2 - \beta_3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

We derive from (5.30) that $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, which is impossible. The remaining cases are considered in the same way. \square

5.6. Gluing two diagrams. Consider all possible triangles, each edge of which is either solid or dotted. There are 8 such triangles, four of which, by Lemma 5.13, constitute linearly independent triples: Cases (a), (c), (f) and (h) in Fig. 5.43, and the four remaining triangles constitute linearly dependent triples.

Lemma 5.17. *Let α_1 and α_2 be linearly independent roots. For triangles (a) – (d) in Fig. 5.43, we have $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$, then $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is a root and*

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} s_{\beta_i} &= s_{\beta_i} s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \\ s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} &= s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

For triangles (e) – (h) in Fig. 5.43, we have $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ is a root and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} s_{\beta_i} &= s_{\beta_i} s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}, \\ s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} &= s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Proof. The second relations in (5.31) and (5.32) follow from the relation $s_{\alpha + \beta} s_\beta = s_\beta s_{\alpha + \beta}$. Consider the first relations in (5.31) and (5.32). For cases (a), (c), we have $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_i) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$, i.e., $s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ and s_{β_i} commute. For case (b) (resp. (d)), by Lemma 5.13, we have $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_i = 0$ (resp. $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \beta_i = 0$), then $s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} = s_{\beta_i}$. Thus, eq. (5.31) holds. For cases (f) and (h), we have $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_i) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$, i.e., $s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$ and s_{β_i} commute. Finally, for case (e) (resp. (g)), we have $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \beta_i = 0$ (resp. $-\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \beta_i = 0$), i.e., $s_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} = s_{\beta_i}$. Eq. (5.32) is proved. \square




FIGURE 5.43. The eight possible triangles each edge of which is either solid or dotted.

Corollary 5.18. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ (resp. $\Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$) be the star diagram with the center vertex α_1 (resp. α_2), let vertices $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ be common for Γ_1 and Γ_2 , see Fig. 5.44(a),(b). Let α_1 and α_2 be connected by edge $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, see Fig. 5.44(c),(d). Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be Γ_1 -associated (resp. Γ_2 -associated) elements:

$$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} \prod_{i=1}^n s_{\beta_i}, \quad w_2 = s_{\alpha_2} \prod_{i=1}^n s_{\beta_i}, \tag{5.33}$$

If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\frac{1}{2}$), then $s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ (resp. $s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$) maps Γ_1 onto Γ_2 . The elements w_1 and w_2 are conjugate,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} w_1 s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} &= w_2 & \text{for } (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= -\frac{1}{2}, \\ s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} w_1 s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} &= w_2 & \text{for } (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

The conjugacy of w_1 and w_2 is preserved also for diagrams Γ_1 and Γ_2 containing other vertices τ_j not connected with α_1 and α_2 .





FIGURE 5.44. For $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2}$), the diagram $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ is depicted in (c) (resp. (d)).


Remark 5.19. The reflections $s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ and $s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$ from (5.34) behave like a map correcting the set $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ to the set $\{\alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$. This is the reason to call the reflection $s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ (resp. $s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$) a *corrective reflection*. In the context of the paper, the most frequently arising configuration of vertices $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ from Corollary 5.18 and Fig. 5.44 is the configuration with $n = 2$, see Fig. 5.45(a),(c). In this case, the configuration $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ coincides with the 4-cycle having one diagonal, see Fig. 5.45(b),(d).

FIGURE 5.45. Corrective reflections $s_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ and $s_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$ 5.6.1. *Gluing two $D_5(a_1)$ -associated subsets.*

Lemma 5.20 (On necessarily connected roots). *Let $\Gamma = D_5(a_1)$; let $S_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{\alpha_1, \varphi, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be two Γ -associated subsets, the vectors of each of which being linearly independent, let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \varphi, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets, let the root α_3 be connected only with β_1 , see Fig. 5.46.*

(i) *Configurations of Fig. 5.46(a),(b),(e),(f) are impossible: Roots φ and α_2 are necessarily connected, see Fig. 5.46(c),(d).*

(ii) *Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be S_1 -associated (resp. S_2 -associated). Then $w_1 \simeq w_2$.*

FIGURE 5.46. Two $D_5(a_1)$ -associated elements differing in one vertex

Proof. (i) Suppose α_2 and φ are disconnected as in Fig. 5.46(a), (b). Since $Q_0 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ is $D_4(a_1)$ -associated, we can assume that only the edge $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}$ is dotted in Q_0 , otherwise we transform Q_0 to this case. Since the vectors of the quadruple $Q_1 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \varphi, \beta_2\}$ are linearly independent, it follows that the number of dotted edges in Q_1 is either 1 or 3, see Fig. 5.46(a),(b),(e),(f). Since Q_0 contains an odd number of dotted edges, then the cases (e) and (f) are impossible.

For cases (a) and (b), the quadruple $Q_2 = \{\alpha_2, \beta_1, \varphi, \beta_2\}$ contains an even number of dotted edges. By Lemma 5.15, we have


$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2 + \varphi &= 0 \text{ for case (a),} \\ \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2 - \varphi &= 0 \text{ for case (b),} \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

i.e., $\varphi = \pm(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2)$. Thus, $(\varphi, \alpha_3) = (\beta_1, \alpha_3) \neq 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

(ii) Since α_2 and φ are connected, see Fig. 5.46(c),(d), we can use Corollary 5.18. By this corollary, the elements w_1 and w_2 are conjugate by means of the corrective reflection $s_{\varphi-\alpha_2}$ or $s_{\varphi+\alpha_2}$, see Remark 5.19. \square

Remark 5.21. If the edge $\{\alpha_3, \beta_1\}$ in Fig. 5.46 is missing, then vertices α_2 and φ are not necessarily connected, see the diamond¹ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \varphi\}$ in Fig. 5.47.

¹For definition and properties of diamonds, see §5.5



 FIGURE 5.47. A diamond in D_5 . Here α_2 and φ are not connected

 5.6.2. Gluing two $E_6(a_1)$ -associated subsets.

Lemma 5.22. Let $\Gamma = E_6(a_1)$, let $S_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ and $S_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \delta, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ be two Γ -associated subsets, each of which is linearly independent, let $Q_5 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ be the $D_5(a_1)$ -associated subset, α_3 (resp. δ) connected with Q_5 only through the vertex β_2 , see Fig. 5.48.

(i) Configurations of Fig. 5.48(a),(b) are impossible – roots δ and α_3 are necessarily connected, see Fig. 5.48(c) or (d).

(ii) Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be S_1 -associated (resp. S_2 -associated). Then $w_1 \simeq w_2$.


 FIGURE 5.48. Two $E_6(a_1)$ -associated elements differing in one point

Proof. (i) Consider quintuple $Q = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \delta\}$. If the vectors of Q are linearly independent, then we get the root subsystem \widetilde{D}_4 , which is impossible. If the vectors of Q are linearly dependent, then δ is the minimal (resp. maximal) root, i.e., $\delta = -(2\beta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$ (resp. $\delta = 2\beta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$) in the case of Fig. 5.48(a) (resp. Fig. 5.48(b)), see Remark 5.4(i). Then $(\delta, \beta_3) = (\alpha_1, \beta_3) \neq 0$, but this is impossible since the roots δ and β_3 are disconnected.

(ii) As in Lemma 5.20, this follows from Corollary 5.18. \square

 5.6.3. Corrective reflections for the diagram $E_7(a_4)$.

Lemma 5.23. Let $\Gamma = E_7(a_4)$, let $S_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma\}$ and $S_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \tilde{\gamma}\}$ be two Γ -associated subsets, see Fig. 5.49(a),(b), each of which is linearly independent. Let

$$Q_6 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \quad \tilde{Q}_6 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$$

be $D_6(a_2)$ -associated subsets, see Fig. 5.49(c). Let α_4 (resp. $\tilde{\alpha}_4$) be connected with Q_6 (resp. \tilde{Q}_6) only through β_2 , let γ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma}$) constitute P3-extension of Q_6 (resp. \tilde{Q}_6) through the socket $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ (resp. $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4\}$), see Fig. 5.49(c).

(i) The configuration of vertices of Fig. 5.49(d) (that is a fragment of Fig. 5.49(c)) is impossible: Roots γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are necessarily connected, see Fig. 5.49(e) or (f). Let P be the following corrective reflection:

$$P = s_{\gamma-\tilde{\gamma}} \text{ for Fig. 5.49(e),} \quad P = s_{\gamma+\tilde{\gamma}} \text{ for Fig. 5.49(f).} \quad (5.36)$$

The map P preserves $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$, sends S_2 into the set $S'_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, P\tilde{\alpha}_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma\}$, $\tilde{\gamma}$ into γ and $\tilde{\alpha}_4$ into $P\tilde{\alpha}_4$, see Fig. 5.49(g).

(ii) The configuration of vertices Fig. 5.49(h) (that is a fragment of Fig. 5.49(g)) is impossible: Roots α_4 and $P\tilde{\alpha}_4$ are necessarily connected, see Fig. 5.49(i) or (j). Let Q be the following corrective reflection:

$$Q = s_{\alpha_4-P\tilde{\alpha}_4} \text{ for Fig. 5.49(i),} \quad Q = s_{\alpha_4+P\tilde{\alpha}_4} \text{ for Fig. 5.49(j).} \quad (5.37)$$

The map Q preserves $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma\}$ and sends $P\tilde{\alpha}_4$ into α_4 . Finally, Q sends S'_2 into S_1 .

(iii) Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be S_1 -associated (resp. S_2 -associated) element, see Fig. 5.49(a),(b). Then $w_1 \simeq w_2$, the conjugacy is carried out by means of the map QP :

$$w_2 = (QP)^{-1}w_1QP. \quad (5.38)$$




FIGURE 5.49. Two $E_7(a_4)$ -associated subsets differing in two points: $\{\alpha_4, \gamma\}$ in the subset (a) and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_4, \tilde{\gamma}\}$ in the subset (b)

Proof. (i) The configuration of vertices of Fig. 5.49(d) is impossible due to Lemma 5.20. Therefore, γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are connected. Further, we apply the corrective reflection (5.36).

(ii) Analogously, the configuration of vertices of Fig. 5.49(h) is impossible. Therefore, α_4 and $P\tilde{\alpha}_4$ are connected. Finally, we apply the corrective reflection (5.37).

(iii) This follows from (i) and (ii). \square

6. The uniqueness of conjugacy classes for Carter diagrams from $C_4 \amalg DE_4$

6.1. The base cases of the uniqueness theorem: D_4 and $D_4(a_1)$.

6.1.1. Conjugate dipoles.

Lemma 6.1 (On conjugate dipoles). *For the case $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), let*

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \varphi_1\}, \\ C_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\} \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

be two $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (resp. D_4 -associated subsets) and

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \\ w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\varphi_1}, \\ w_2 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

be C_i -associated elements, where $i = 1, 2$.

Despite the fact that there exist non-conjugate dipoles in C_1 and C_2 (see Lemma B.1) for any C_1, C_2 given by (6.1), we can choose conjugate dipoles $d_1 \in C_1$ and $d_2 \in C_2$ such that $Td_2 = d_1$ for some $T \in W$. For example, let $T\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, then $T^{-1}w_2T = s_{T\beta_1} s_{T\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}$.

Proof. For E_6, E_7, E_8 , by Corollary 5.11, there is only one equivalence class of dipoles under the Weyl group. Consider $\Phi(D_l)$. By Corollary B.2(i) (resp. by Corollary B.2(ii)) each two 4-cycles in $\Phi(D_l)$ (resp. each two D_4 -associated subsets in $\Phi(D_l)$) contain dipoles equivalent to each other under the action of $W(D_l)$, i.e., there exist dipoles $d_1 \in C_1$ and $d_2 \in C_2$, and the element $T \in W$ sending d_2 into d_1 . \square




FIGURE 6.50. Two Γ -associated subsets C_1 and C_2 with a common dipole $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, where $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ or D_4 . There exists $T \in W$ such that TC_1 and C_2 coincide in 3 common roots, $T : \varphi_1 \mapsto \beta_1$, see Fig. 6.54

6.1.2. *From conjugate dipoles to conjugate triples.* In further considerations, we can assume that T had already transformed d_1 into d_2 , and we assume that $d_1 = d_2$, i.e., $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, see Fig. 6.50.

Lemma 6.2. *For the case $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), let*

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \varphi_1\}, \\ C_2 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\} \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

be two $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (resp. D_4 -associated subsets) and

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \\ w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\varphi_1}, \\ w_2 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

be C_i -associated elements, where $i = 1, 2$.

There exists $T \in W$ sending φ_1 to β_1 and preserving δ_1, δ_2 . In other words, if two Γ -associated subsets C_1 and C_2 have a common dipole, then there exists $T \in W$ such that TC_1 and C_2 coincide in 3 roots, see Fig. 6.50.

Proof. Cases (a),(a') in Fig. 6.50. In this case, there is no connection $\{\varphi_1, \beta_1\}$. By Corollary 3.4, φ_1 cannot be linearly independent of $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$. By Lemma 5.15, we have

$$\varphi_1 + \beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \varphi_1 = -(\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2),$$

For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$, case (a) in Fig. 6.50, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = s_{\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} \stackrel{s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}}{\simeq} \\ &\quad (s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} (s_{\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2} s_{\varphi_2}) s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}) s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\tilde{\varphi}_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = \tilde{w}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_2 = T\beta_2 = \beta_2 - \delta_2 + \delta_1$. For $\Gamma = D_4$, case (a') in Fig. 6.50, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= s_{\varphi_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} = s_{\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} \stackrel{s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}}{\simeq} \\ &\quad (s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} (s_{\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2}) s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3}) s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} = \tilde{w}_1. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, \tilde{w}_1 is a \tilde{C}_1 -associated element, where

$$\tilde{C}_1 = TC_1 = \begin{cases} \{\beta_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\} & \text{for } \Gamma = D_4(a_1), \\ \{\beta_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\} & \text{for } \Gamma = D_4. \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

Thus, \tilde{C}_1 and C_2 coincide in 3 roots $\{\beta_1, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$.




FIGURE 6.51

There are additional cases for gluing two diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ in the dipole $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, see Fig. 6.51. For the case (a₁), we replace φ_2 by $-\varphi_2$; the reflection s_{φ_2} is not changed, we get the case (a) in Fig. 6.50. Also by replacing φ_1 by $-\varphi_1$ we move from the case (a₃) to the case (a₂). The flip $\varphi_1 \leftrightarrow \varphi_2$ interchanges cases (a₂) and (a₁).

Cases (b),(b') in Fig. 6.50. There is a dotted connection (φ_1, β_1) , i.e., $(\varphi_1, \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, $\beta_1 - \varphi_1$ is the root. By Lemma 5.17, for cases of Fig. 6.50(b),(b'), the corrective reflection is $s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1}$. For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$, we have

$$w_1 \stackrel{s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1}}{\simeq} s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1} (s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}) s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1} = s_{\tilde{\varphi}_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = \tilde{w}_1,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_2 = s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1}(\varphi_2)$. For $\Gamma = D_4$, we have

$$w_1 \stackrel{s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1}}{\simeq} s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1} (s_{\varphi_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3}) s_{\beta_1 - \varphi_1} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} = \tilde{w}_1,$$

In both cases, \tilde{w}_1 is a \tilde{C}_1 -associated element, where \tilde{C}_1 is given in (6.5), and \tilde{C}_1 and C_2 again coincide in 3 roots.




FIGURE 6.52

Additional cases with the dotted edge $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1\}$ are depicted in Fig. 6.52. For the case (b₁), by replacing φ_2 by $-\varphi_2$ we get the case (b) in Fig. 6.50. For the case (b₂), we have the triangle $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1, \delta_2\}$ and the square $\{\delta_1, \beta_2, \delta_2, \varphi_1\}$ of linearly dependent roots:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi_1 + \beta_1 + \delta_2 &= 0, \\ -\delta_2 - \beta_2 + \delta_1 + \varphi_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

see Lemmas 5.13 and 5.15. Summing two equalities of (6.6) we get that the vectors of the triple $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1\}$ are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. For the case (b₃), we have the triangle $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1, \delta_1\}$ and the square $\{\delta_1, \beta_1, \delta_2, \varphi_2\}$ of linearly dependent roots:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi_1 + \beta_1 + \delta_1 &= 0, \\ \delta_1 + \beta_1 + \delta_2 + \varphi_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again, summing these two equalities we get that the vectors of the triple $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta_2\}$ are linearly dependent, which is impossible.

Cases (c), (c') in Fig. 6.50. Suppose $\{\varphi_1, \beta_1\}$ is the solid connection, i.e., $(\varphi_1, \beta_1) = -\frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 5.13, each of the triples $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1, \delta_1\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1, \delta_2\}$ consists of linearly dependent vectors. Then by (5.22) we have $\varphi_1 = -\delta_2 - \beta_2$ and $\varphi_1 = -\delta_1 - \beta_2$. Then $\delta_1 = \delta_2$, which is impossible.




FIGURE 6.53

Additional cases with the solid edge $\{\beta_1, \varphi_1\}$ are depicted in Fig. 6.53. For the case (c₁), by replacing φ_2 by $-\varphi_2$ we get the case (c) in Fig. 6.50. For the case (c₂) (resp. (c₃)), by replacing φ_1 by $-\varphi_1$ we get the case (b₃) (resp. (b₂)) in Fig. 6.52.

□

6.1.3. *From conjugate triples to conjugate quadruples.* Thanks to Lemma 6.2 we can assume that $\alpha_1 = \delta_1$, $\alpha_2 = \delta_2$ and $\varphi_1 = \beta_1$, see Fig. 6.54.

Lemma 6.3. *For the case $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), let*

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = \{\beta_1, \varphi_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \beta_1\}, \\ C_2 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\} \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

be two $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (resp. D_4 -associated subsets) and

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \\ w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\beta_1}, \\ w_2 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

be C_i -associated elements, where $i = 1, 2$.

For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), there exists $T \in W$ sending φ_2 to β_2 (resp. α_3 to δ_3) and preserving $\delta_1, \delta_2, \beta_1$. In other words, if two Γ -associated subsets C_1 and C_2 coincide in 3 roots, then there exists a certain $T \in W$ such that $TC_1 = C_2$, see Fig. 6.54.




FIGURE 6.54. If two Γ -associated subsets C_1 and C_2 coincide in 3 roots, then there exists $T \in W$ such that $TC_1 = C_2$. For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$, $T : \varphi_2 \mapsto \beta_2$; for $\Gamma = D_4$, $T : \delta_3 \mapsto \alpha_3$

Proof.

Case (a), (a') in Fig. 6.54. Here, $(\varphi_2, \beta_2) = 0$ for case (a), and $(\alpha_3, \delta_3) = 0$ for case (a'). For case (a), by Corollary 3.4 the root φ_2 and $\{\beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$ are linearly dependent. By Lemma 5.15, we have

$$\varphi_2 = -(\beta_2 - \delta_1 + \delta_2)$$

and

$$w_2 = s_{\beta_2 - \delta_1 + \delta_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2 - \delta_1 + \delta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\beta_2}.$$

Then

$$w_2 \stackrel{s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\beta_2}}{\simeq} w_1, \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 \stackrel{s_{\beta_1}}{\simeq} w_1. \quad (6.9)$$

For case (a'), the roots α_3 and $\{\beta_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ are linearly dependent, otherwise we get the extended Dynkin diagram \widetilde{D}_4 . By Remark 5.4(i), α_3 is the minimal root for D_4 , i.e., $\alpha_3 = -(2\beta_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$. We need to prove that $vs_{\alpha_3} = vs_{\delta_3}$, where $v = s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}$. It follows from Proposition 5.7, eq. (5.12).


Case (b), (b') in Fig. 6.54. For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$, case (b), we see that φ_2 is linearly independent of $\{\beta_2, \delta_1\}$, see Lemma 5.13. Further, $(\varphi_2, \beta_2) = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\beta_2 - \varphi_2$ is the root. By Corollary 5.18, we have the corrective reflection $s_{\beta_2 - \varphi_2}$:

$$w_2 \stackrel{s_{\beta_2 - \varphi_2}}{\simeq} s_{\beta_2 - \varphi_2} (s_{\varphi_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}) s_{\beta_2 - \varphi_2} = s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} = w_1.$$

For $\Gamma = D_4$, case (b'), we see that α_3 is linearly independent of $\{\beta_1, \delta_3\}$, and $(\alpha_3, \delta_3) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then the corrective reflection is $s_{\alpha_3 - \delta_3}$:

$$w_2 \stackrel{s_{\alpha_3 - \delta_3}}{\simeq} s_{\alpha_3 - \delta_3} (s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1}) s_{\alpha_3 - \delta_3} = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\beta_1} = w_1.$$

Case (c), (c') in Fig. 6.54. For $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$, case (c), we have $(\varphi_2, \beta_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and φ_2 is linearly dependent on $\{\beta_2, \delta_1\}$ and on $\{\beta_2, \delta_2\}$. By Lemma 5.13, eq. (5.22) we have $\varphi_2 = -\beta_2 + \delta_1$, and $\varphi_2 = -\beta_2 - \delta_1$, i.e., $\delta_1 = -\delta_2$, which is impossible. For $\Gamma = D_4$, case (c'), we have $(\alpha_3, \delta_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and α_3 is linearly dependent on $\{\beta_1, \delta_3\}$, i.e., $\alpha_3 = -\delta_3 - \beta_1$. Then $(\alpha_3, \delta_1) = -(\beta_1, \delta_1) = \frac{1}{2}$, but this is impossible since α_3 and δ_1 are disconnected.



Additional cases with the dotted edge $\{\delta_2, \varphi_2\}$ are depicted in Fig. 6.55. For the case (a₁) (resp. (b₁), resp. (c₁)), by replacing φ_2 by $-\varphi_2$, we get the case (a) (resp. (c), resp. (b)) in Fig. 6.54. \square

FIGURE 6.55

Corollary 6.4 (The base of induction). *For the case $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_4$), let*

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \varphi_1\}, \\ C_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\} \end{cases}$$

be two $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (resp. D_4 -associated subsets) and let

$$\begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\varphi_1} s_{\varphi_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}, \\ w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2}, \end{cases} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\delta_3} s_{\varphi_1}, \\ w_2 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_1} \end{cases}$$

be C_i -associated elements, where $i = 1, 2$.

Then there exists an element $T \in W$ such that $T^{-1}w_1T = w_2$. In other words, any two Γ -associated elements w_1 and w_2 are conjugate.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, 6.3. □

6.2. The uniqueness of conjugacy classes. Conjugate elements in the Weyl group W are associated with the same Carter diagram Γ , or more exactly, with the class of diagrams equivalent to Γ . The Carter diagram Γ does not determine a single conjugacy class in W , [Ca72, Lemma 27]. Recall that C4 (resp. DE4) is the class of connected simply-laced Carter diagrams each of which contains a 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$ (resp. is a Dynkin diagram and contains D_4), i.e., C4 consists of Carter diagrams $E_l(a_i), D_l(a_i)$ (resp. DE4 consists of Carter diagrams E_l for $l = 6, 7, 8$, and D_l for $l \geq 4$). Note that the 4-vertex diagram $D_4(a_1)$ (resp. D_4) is the characterizing pattern for diagrams from C4 (resp. DE4), see Fig. 1.1.

One of the main results of the paper is the following Theorem 6.5 providing a sufficient condition of the uniqueness of conjugacy classes determining by a given Carter diagram.

Theorem 6.5 (On the conjugacy class of the diagram). *If Γ is a connected simply-laced Carter diagram from C4 \sqcup DE4, then Γ determines a single conjugacy class.*

The theorem is derived from Corollary 6.4 (the base of induction), Proposition 6.6 (the induction step for homogeneous elements), and Theorem 7.1 (conjugacy of $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ - and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated elements for mirror extensions). □

6.3. The induction step for homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements. Two $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements are said to be *homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements* if they are both $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated or both $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated.

Proposition 6.6 (On conjugacy of homogeneous Γ -associated elements). *Let Γ be a Carter diagram such that all Γ -associated elements are conjugate.*

- (i) *For any single-track extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, all $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated elements are also conjugate.*
- (ii) *For any mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, all homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated) elements are also conjugate.*
- (iii) *For any threefold extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_3$ all homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ -associated, resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$ -associated) elements are also conjugate.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, it suffices to prove that the Single-track Condition holds for the extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, i.e., $ws_\alpha \simeq ws_\gamma$ for any Γ -associated element w , regardless of the pinholes number of the extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ (P1, P2, P3), see Fig. 6.56 and Fig. 6.59. The proof is based on consideration of the three principal cases:

6.3.1. Principal Case 1: α is connected to γ . In this case, it does not matter whether γ is linearly dependent on the subset of roots corresponding to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ or not. First, consider single-track extensions. We have $\Gamma \overset{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}, \Gamma \overset{\gamma}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$, see Fig. 6.56.




FIGURE 6.56. Principal Case 1: Single-track extensions

Corollary 5.18 implies that ws_α and ws_γ are conjugate. Since $s_{\alpha-\gamma}$ and w commute, then $s_{\alpha-\gamma}$ is the corrective reflection:

$$s_{\alpha-\gamma}(ws_\gamma)s_{\alpha-\gamma} = ws_\alpha.$$




FIGURE 6.60. Principal Cases 2 and 3: Homogeneous elements for mirror and three-fold P1- and P2-extensions

elements, in the case of mirror P2-extensions, see Fig. 6.60(e); for homogeneous elements, in the case of threefold P2-extensions, see Fig. 6.60(g). For P3-extensions, see Fig. 6.59(d): The path $\{\gamma, \beta_3, \alpha\}$ forms a bridge; for homogeneous elements, in the case of mirror P3-extensions, see Fig. 6.59(e): Here, the path $\{\gamma, \alpha_3, \delta\}$ forms a bridge.

6.3.3. *Principal Case 3: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly dependent on $\tilde{\Gamma}$.* The exact wording of this case is as follows:

- (i) α (resp. γ) is the root extending Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and $\alpha \perp \gamma$,
 - (ii) γ is linearly dependent on vectors of $S \cup \alpha$, where S is the Γ -associated root subset.
- (6.11)

Here, every type is considered separately.

P1-extensions, D-joint type. By Lemma 5.22, for all single-track P1-extensions from Table 2.3 except for the extension $D_l(a_1) < D_{l+1}(a_2)$, it cannot be that $\alpha \perp \gamma$ even if γ is linearly dependent on the vectors of $S \cup \alpha$, see Fig. 6.59(a). The same holds for homogeneous associated elements in the case of mirror P1-extensions from Table 2.4, see Fig. 6.60(a). For the case $D_l(a_1) < D_{l+1}(a_2)$ from Table 2.3, the statement follows from Proposition 5.9(ii).

P2-extensions. By Lemma 5.20, for all single-track P2-extensions from Table 2.3, it cannot be that $\alpha \perp \gamma$ even if γ is linearly dependent on the vectors of $S \cup \alpha$, see Fig. 6.59(c). The same holds for homogeneous associated elements in the case of mirror P2-extensions from Table 2.4, see Fig. 6.60(e).

P3-extensions. Consider the quintuple $Q_5 = \{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma\}$ as a part of $E_8(a_8)$, see Fig. 6.59(d). By Lemma 5.16, the quintuple Q_5 is impossible, see Fig. 6.61. The same is true for the diagram $E_8(a_7)$ from the single-track P3-extensions Table 2.3. For the mirror P3-extensions from Table 2.5, there is only one diagram $E_7(a_4)$, see Fig. 6.59(e). By Lemma 5.16, the quintuple $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \delta, \gamma\}$, a part of $E_7(a_4)$, is also impossible.

P1-extension, A-joint type. 1) First, we consider the extension $E_7 < E_8$ from Table 2.3. Let there exist a root γ connected with $\eta \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ as in Fig. 6.62(c), where $\eta = \alpha_4$. We have

$$b_{\alpha_4, \alpha_4}^\vee = 6 \text{ for } \Gamma = E_7 \text{ and } \tilde{\Gamma} = E_8,$$






FIGURE 6.61. Principle Case 3: P3-extensions

see Table C.13 and Fig. 6.62(c). If there exists a root $\tilde{\beta}_4$ such as in Fig. 6.62(c), it should be linearly dependent on the E_8 -associated subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$. Since $b_{\alpha_4, \alpha_4}^\vee > 2$, it follows by Remark 5.4 that there is no such a root γ for $\tilde{\Gamma} = E_8$.

FIGURE 6.62. Impossible roots: $\tilde{\beta}_3$ in E_6 ; $\tilde{\alpha}_4$ in E_7 ; $\tilde{\beta}_4$ in E_8 FIGURE 6.63. Maximal roots: $\tilde{\alpha}_{t+1}$ in D_{2t} ; $\tilde{\beta}_t$ in D_{2t+1}

Similarly, there is no such a root $\gamma = \tilde{\alpha}_4$ (resp. $\gamma = \tilde{\beta}_3$) connected to β_3 (resp. α_2) for mirror extensions $D_5 < E_6$ (resp. $E_6 < E_7$) from Table 2.5, see Fig. 6.62(a) (resp. Fig. 6.62(b)). The root γ is linearly dependent on the $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -associated subset, otherwise the diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$ contains \tilde{D}_6 (resp. \tilde{D}_7). Then, for extensions $D_5 < E_6$ and $E_6 < E_7$, Proposition 6.6 follows from Remark 5.4, we just check the value of the corresponding diagonal element of $B_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^\vee &= \frac{10}{3} > 2 \text{ for } \Gamma = D_5 \text{ and } \tilde{\Gamma} = E_6, \\ b_{\beta_3, \beta_3}^\vee &= 4 > 2 \text{ for } \Gamma = E_7 \text{ and } \tilde{\Gamma} = E_7. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

2) Consider the extension $D_l < D_{l+1}$ from Table 2.3, where D_{l+1} is obtained from D_l by adding the endpoint $\delta = \alpha_{t+1}$ for $l = 2t$ or $\delta = \beta_t$ for $l = 2t + 1$, see Fig. 6.63(a),(b). Let γ be linearly dependent on $D_l + \{\delta\}$; let γ also extend D_l to D_{l+1} . By Remark 5.4(i), such a root is necessarily the maximal root μ_{max} in the root system D_{l+1} , see §5.3. We have $\gamma = \mu_{max} = \alpha_{t+1}$ (resp. $\gamma = \mu_{max} = \beta_t$) for D_{2t} (resp. D_{2t+1}). By Proposition 5.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ws_{\mu_{max}} &= ws_\gamma \simeq ws_{\alpha_{t+1}} \text{ for the case } D_{2t}, \\ ws_{\mu_{max}} &= ws_\gamma \simeq ws_{\beta_t} \text{ for the case } D_{2t+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

where w is a certain D_l -associated element.

3) Consider the extension $D_l(a_k) < D_{l+1}(a_k)$ from Table 2.3. Let D_{k+2} be the bolded selected subdiagram of $D_{l+1}(a_k)$, see Fig. 6.64, let $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}, \varphi_k\}$ be the D_{k+2} -associated subset of linearly independent roots. Let μ_{max} be the maximal root of D_{k+2} . The root μ_{max} is




FIGURE 6.64. The Carter diagram $D_{l+1}(a_k)$ and bolded selected subdiagram $D_{k+2} \subset D_{l+1}(a_k)$

linearly dependent on roots of D_{k+2} , and therefore it is linearly dependent on roots of $D_{l+1}(a_k)$. Further, μ_{max} is orthogonal to any roots from S except for φ_{k-1} , see Fig. 6.64 (see also Fig. 5.38). In addition, μ_{max} is orthogonal to all remaining roots corresponding to $D_{l+1}(a_k)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{max} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 2\alpha_1 + 2\varphi_1 + \cdots + 2\varphi_{k-1} + \varphi_k, \\ \mu_{max} &\perp \tau_i, \text{ where } i = 2, \dots, p, \\ (\mu_{max}, \alpha_2) &= (\beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

see Fig. 6.64. Further, if \tilde{w} is a Γ -associated element for $\Gamma = D_l(a_k)$, then by Proposition 5.9(ii), we have

$$\tilde{w}s_{\mu_{max}} \simeq \tilde{w}s_{\varphi_k}. \tag{6.15}$$

Thus, Proposition 6.6 is proved also for the Principal Case 3. \square

7. Mirror extensions and threefold extensions

For the regular extension $\Gamma \stackrel{\varphi}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$, the diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is obtained from Γ by adding the vertex φ , where φ is connected to Γ at n points, $n = 1, 2$ or 3 . The set of vertices of Γ connected to φ is called a *socket*, see §2.3.1. Note that each of diagrams Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is considered up to similarities (2.10), i.e., all edges connected to the same vertex α can be simultaneously changed in the following way: Every solid edge turns into a dotted one and vice versa. The Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be obtained as an extension of different Carter diagrams Γ . For example, $E_7(a_4)$ can be obtained as the extension of $E_6(a_2)$, $D_6(a_2)$ and $D_6(b_2)$, see Fig. 1.2 and Table 1.1. Note also that sometimes the extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ can be obtained from the same diagram Γ in different ways (*multi-option extensions*, §2.3.1) caused by symmetries of Γ . For example, the extension $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$, where $D_5(a_1) \subset E_l$, can be obtained in 4 different ways, see Proposition 7.8. This section is devoted to the study of *mirror extensions*, see §2.3.1. We will show that for a symmetric Carter diagram, all mirror extensions are conjugate. In other words, any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element are conjugate.

Theorem 7.1 (On conjugacy of $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ - and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated elements). *Let Γ be a Carter diagram, $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ left and right extensions from Tables 2.4 – 2.5. Then any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R are conjugate, i.e.,*

$$w_R = T^{-1}w_L T \text{ for some } T \in W. \tag{7.1}$$

For any Carter diagram Γ and mirror extensions $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ from Tables 2.4 – 2.5, we explicitly construct the map T for any pair of elements w_L and w_R from (7.1). In most cases, the map T is the composition of the longest element $w_0 \in W(\Gamma_0)$, where Γ_0 is a certain Dynkin subdiagram of Γ , and the corrective reflection $s_{\alpha+\beta}$ for some roots α and β , see §5.3.1 and Remark 5.19. For the proof of Theorem 7.1, see §7.4.

7.1. Why does A_2 determine a single conjugacy class? Let us show that for any two pairs of non-orthogonal roots $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\varphi, \delta\}$, the elements $s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}$ and $s_{\varphi}s_{\delta}$ are conjugate: $s_{\alpha}s_{\beta} \simeq s_{\varphi}s_{\delta}$. First, there exists an element $U \in W$ sending α to φ . Since β is connected to α , it follows that $U\beta$ is a root connected to φ , for example, $U\beta = \gamma$, i.e., $U : \{\alpha, \beta\} \mapsto \{\varphi, \gamma\}$, see Fig. 7.65. This means that

$$U^{-1}(s_{\alpha}s_{\beta})U = s_{U\alpha}s_{U\beta} = s_{\varphi}s_{\gamma}.$$

We construct a certain element $T \in W$ such that

$$T^{-1}(s_\varphi s_\gamma)T = s_\varphi s_\delta.$$




FIGURE 7.65. Pairs of non-orthogonal roots $\{\varphi, \gamma\}$, $\{\varphi, \delta\}$ and $\{\varphi, \eta\}$ are equivalent

The square of the element $c = s_\delta s_\gamma s_\varphi$ sends the triple $\{\delta, \gamma, \varphi\}$ into the triple $\{-\gamma, -\delta, -\varphi\}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} c(\delta) &= \varphi + \gamma, & c(\gamma) &= \varphi + \delta, & c(\varphi) &= -\varphi - \delta - \gamma, \\ c^2(\delta) &= -\gamma, & c^2(\gamma) &= -\delta, & c^2(\varphi) &= -\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

We put $T := c^2$. By (7.2) we have

$$T^{-1}(s_\varphi s_\gamma)T = s_{c^2\varphi} s_{c^2\gamma} = s_{-\varphi} s_{-\delta} = s_\varphi s_\delta. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.2. Note that the element c is the Coxeter element in the Weyl group $W(A_3)$, and the Coxeter number is 4, i.e., $c^4 = 1$. The element $T = c^2$ is the longest element w_0 in $W(A_3)$.

7.2. Conjugation T acting as a mirror, cases $D_4(a_1)$ and $D_5(a_1)$. We will show that T is the conjugation which preserves $D_4(a_1)$ -associated elements and moves the “tail” of $D_5(a_1)$ to the opposite side of the dipole.




FIGURE 7.66. Element $T = (s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2})^2$ moves the “tail” $\{\varphi, \alpha_2\}$ to $\{T\varphi, -\alpha_1\}$, where $\gamma := T\varphi = \varphi + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 + \alpha_1$

Lemma 7.3. (i) Let $\mathbf{Q}_4 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ be a quadruple corresponding to the 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$, see Fig. 7.66(a). Let $c := s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$, and $T := c^2$. Then $T\mathbf{Q}_4 = \{-\alpha_2, \beta_1, -\alpha_1, -\beta_2\}$, and the \mathbf{Q}_4 -associated elements $v = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}$ and $T^{-1}vT$ coincide:

$$T^{-1}vT = v. \quad (7.3)$$

(ii) Let $\mathbf{Q}_5 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \varphi\}$ be a quintuple corresponding to $D_5(a_1)$, see Fig. 7.66(b). Then $T\mathbf{Q}_5 = \{-\alpha_2, \beta_1, -\alpha_1, -\beta_2, \gamma\}$, where $\gamma := T\varphi = \varphi + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 + \alpha_1$. Let vs_φ be a \mathbf{Q}_5 -associated element, where $\underline{\varphi}$ is connected only with α_2 . Then

$$T^{-1}vs_\varphi T = T^{-1}vT s_{T\varphi} = vs_{T\varphi} = vs_\gamma$$

is the \mathbf{Q}_5 -associated element, where $\underline{T\varphi} = \gamma$ is connected only with α_1 .

Proof. (i) For the quadruple Q_4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_1 - \beta_2, & c\alpha_2 &= -\alpha_2 - \beta_2, & c\beta_1 &= \beta_1 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, & c\beta_2 &= \beta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \\ T\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_2, & T\alpha_2 &= -\alpha_1, & T\beta_1 &= \beta_1, & T\beta_2 &= -\beta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

We derive from (7.4) that

$$T^{-1}vT = s_{T\alpha_1}s_{T\alpha_2}s_{T\beta_1}s_{T\beta_2} = s_{-\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\beta_1}s_{-\beta_2} = v,$$

i.e., eq. (7.3) holds.

(ii) For the quintuple Q_5 , we have

$$c\varphi = \varphi + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \quad T\varphi = \varphi + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2. \quad (7.5)$$

We derive from (7.3) and (7.5) that

$$T^{-1}vs_\varphi T = vT^{-1}s_\varphi T = vs_{T\varphi} = vs_\gamma. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.4. The main property that we want to get from Lemma 7.3 is that in the case of need, the “tail” of $D_5(a_1)$ can be transferred to the opposite side of the dipole, in other words, the “tail” s_φ in the $D_5(a_1)$ -associated element w can be transferred (by means of conjugation T) to s_γ :

$$\boxed{T^{-1}vs_\varphi T = vs_\gamma.} \quad (7.6)$$

Note that, the action of T on the subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ coincides with the action of the longest element w_0 in $W(A_3)$, see §7.1 and Remark 7.2.

7.3. The mirror extensions of some Carter diagrams. Let Γ be a diagram, and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ be a mirror extension from Tables 2.4, 2.5. For different Carter diagrams, we define the element T from Table 2.9. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_R = T\tilde{\Gamma}_L$. We say that $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ is the *mirror diagram* for $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$. The element T is said to be the *mirror map*. It turns out that the property (7.6) from Remark 7.4 can be carried over to other mirror extensions of Carter diagrams.

Proposition 7.5. *Let Γ be one of diagrams from Tables 2.4, 2.5 except for the following cases:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_4(a_1) &< D_5(a_1), \text{ where } D_5(a_1) \subset E_l, \\ D_6(a_2) &< E_7(a_4). \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Let

$$T := \begin{cases} w_0 = w_0(D_5) \text{ (resp. } w_0(E_6)) & \text{for } D_5 < E_6 \text{ (resp. } E_6 < E_7), \text{ see eq. (7.10),} \\ s_{\beta_1 - w_0\beta_1}, \text{ where } w_0 = w_0(A_{2k+1}), & \text{for } D_{2k+2}(a_k) < D_{2k+3}(a_k), \text{ see §7.3.1,} \\ (s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2})^2 & \text{for remaining cases of Tables 2.4 and 2.5.} \end{cases} \quad (7.8)$$

For any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L , the conjugate element w_R given as

$$w_R := T^{-1}w_L T \quad (7.9)$$

is the $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element.

Remark 7.6. In Proposition 7.5, we show that for any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L , there exists a conjugate $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R . In Theorem 7.1, we show (for the proof, see below §7.4) that any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element are conjugate.

Proof of Proposition 7.5. 1) If $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is one of diagrams $E_6(a_1)$ or $E_6(a_2)$ (resp. $E_7(a_1)$ or $E_7(a_3)$, resp. $E_8(a_1)$ or $E_8(a_4)$) then, in addition to eqs. (7.4) and (7.5), the conjugation T also preserves the root α_3 (resp. α_3, β_3 , resp. α_3, β_3, a_4), see Tables 2.4 and 2.5. The conjugacy of any $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element and any $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element follows from

- (a) the properties of the element T as in Lemma 7.3 and
- (b) the conjugacy of homogeneous elements as in Proposition 6.6.

2) Consider extensions $D_5 < E_6$ and $E_6 < E_7$, see Fig. 5.37. By relations (5.10) and Fig. 5.37, the longest element $w_0 = w_0(\Gamma)$ is as follows:

$$w_0(D_5) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{matrix}, \quad w_0(E_6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{matrix} \quad (7.10)$$

Note that w_0 is the product of reflections associated with Γ , see §5.3.1. However, w_0 acts also on roots associated with $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In particular, for $D_5 < E_6$, the element w_0 acts on β_3 as follows:

$$w_0\beta_3 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \mu_{\max}(E_6), \quad (7.11)$$

where $w_0 = w_0(D_5)$ in (7.10) is given in the basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$. For $E_6 < E_7$, the element w_0 acts on α_4 as follows:

$$w_0\alpha_4 = 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 4\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 = \mu_{\max}(E_7), \quad (7.12)$$

where $w_0 = w_0(E_6)$ in (7.10) is given in the basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$. We put

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \beta_3 & \text{for } D_5 < E_6, \\ \alpha_4 & \text{for } E_6 < E_7. \end{cases}$$

By (5.9), (5.10), we have

$$w_L = ws_\delta, \text{ where } w = w_\alpha w_\beta,$$

$$w_0 = w^{\frac{h}{2}}, \text{ where } h \text{ is the Coxeter number for } W(\Gamma).$$

By Proposition 5.7, we have

$$w_0^{-1}w_Lw_0 = (w_0^{-1}ww_0)(w_0^{-1}s_\delta w_0) = ws_{\mu_{\max}} = w_R.$$

Here,

$$\gamma = w_0\delta = \mu_{\max} = \begin{cases} \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 & & \end{matrix} & \text{for } D_5 < E_6, \\ \begin{matrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 & & \end{matrix} & \text{for } E_6 < E_7. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

7.3.1. *Proof of Proposition 7.5 for $D_{2k+2}(a_k) < D_{2k+3}(a_k)$.* Let w be a Γ -associated element, and $w_L = s_\varphi w$ a $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ is the extension of Γ by means of the vertex φ and the edge $\{\varphi_k, \varphi\}$, see Fig. 7.67(a). We will show that w_L is conjugate to some $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R . Let Γ' be the diagram A_{2k+1} obtained from $\Gamma = D_{2k+2}(a_k)$ by deleting the vertex β_1 and edges containing β_1 , see Fig. 7.67. Let S be the Γ -associated subset

$$S = \{\varphi_k, \varphi_{k-1}, \dots, \varphi_2, \varphi_1 = \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1, \alpha_1 = \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{k-1}, \delta_k\}.$$

By adding the edge $\{\varphi_k, \varphi\}$, we extend Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$:

$$\Gamma' \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\varphi} \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

It is a well-known fact that the longest element $w_0 \in W(A_{2k+1})$ determines the automorphism of the diagram A_{2k+1} , see [Bo, Ch.6, §4, n^o 7, (XI)], and w_0 acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &\mapsto -\delta_i, & \delta_i &\mapsto -\varphi_i & \text{for } i = 2, \dots, k, \\ \alpha_1 &\mapsto -\alpha_2, & \alpha_2 &\mapsto -\alpha_1, & \beta_2 &\mapsto -\beta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

For the proof of (7.13), see [Fr01, §1.2, p. 7]. We extend eq. (7.13) from Γ' to Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_0^{-1}(w_L)w_0 &= w_0^{-1}(s_\varphi w)w_0 = \\
 w_0^{-1}\left(s_\varphi \prod_{i \text{ even}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}\right)w_0 &= \\
 s_{w_0\varphi} \prod_{i \text{ even}} (s_{-\delta_i} s_{-\varphi_i}) s_{-\alpha_2} s_{-\alpha_1} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} (s_{-\delta_i} s_{-\varphi_i}) s_{w_0\beta_1} s_{-\beta_2} &= \\
 s_{w_0\varphi} \prod_{i \text{ even}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{w_0\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}, &
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

see equivalences (a) \simeq (b) and (b) \simeq (c) in Fig. 7.67. The latter equality in (7.14) holds since every s_{φ_i} commutes with every s_{δ_j} .




FIGURE 7.67. The case $D_{2k+2}(a_k)$. Mirror extensions $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_R = T\tilde{\Gamma}_L$, where $T = s_{\beta_1 - w_0\beta_1} w_0$

Now, we need only to fix the element $s_{w_0\beta_1}$. By Lemma 5.20, the vertices β_1 and $w_0\beta_1$ are necessarily connected. Further, we apply the corrective reflection $\tilde{s} = s_{\beta_1 - w_0\beta_1}$ (resp. $\tilde{s} = s_{\beta_1 + w_0\beta_1}$) if $(\beta, w_0\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $(\beta, w_0\beta_1) = -\frac{1}{2}$). By Corollary 5.18, the element \tilde{s} does not change any other reflection associated with roots from S . If, for example, $\tilde{s} = s_{\beta_1 - w_0\beta_1}$, we have


$$s_{w_0\varphi} \prod_{i \text{ even}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{w_0\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \stackrel{s_{\beta_1 - w_0\beta_1}}{\simeq} \tag{7.15}$$

$$s_{w_0\varphi} \prod_{i \text{ even}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \prod_{i \text{ odd}} (s_{\varphi_i} s_{\delta_i}) s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} = s_{w_0\varphi} w = w_R,$$

see equivalence (c) \simeq (d) in Fig. 7.67. Then (7.14) and (7.15) yield the equivalence (a) \simeq (d) in Fig. 7.67. \square

7.4. Proof of Theorem 7.1 on mirror extensions. For diagrams from Tables 2.4, 2.5, proof of Theorem 7.1 follows from Propositions 6.6(ii) and 7.5, and Lemma 7.3. For proof of Theorem 7.1 for $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$, see §7.4.1. For the case of adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$, see §7.4.2.

7.4.1. Proof of Theorem 7.1 for mirror extensions $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$. The Carter diagram $E_7(a_4)$ can be obtained from $D_6(a_2)$ by adding one vertex φ and 3 edges: $\{\varphi, \alpha_2\}$, $\{\varphi, \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\varphi, \alpha_4\}$, see Fig. 7.68(a),(b), or, alternatively, by adding the vertex γ and 3 edges: $\{\gamma, \alpha_2\}$, $\{\gamma, \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\gamma, \alpha_4\}$, see Fig. 7.68(c),(d). Let $\Gamma = D_6(a_2)$, and as above, in Proposition 7.5 and Tables 2.4, 2.5, let $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_L$ and $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}_R$ be two mirror extensions of Γ . As above, in Proposition 7.5 and Tables 2.4, 2.5, we will show that the elements associated with diagrams (b) and (d) in Fig. 7.68 are conjugate.

FIGURE 7.68. Mirror extensions $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$

Let w_L (resp. w_R) be a $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated (resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated) element. Let us prove that $w_L \simeq w_R$. Let $T_0 := c^2$, where $c := s_{\beta_2} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2}$. We have


$$\begin{aligned} c\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_1 - \beta_2, & c\alpha_2 &= -\alpha_1 - \beta_2, & c\alpha_3 &= \alpha_3, & c\alpha_4 &= \alpha_4 + \beta_2, \\ c\beta_1 &= \beta_1 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & c\beta_2 &= \beta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, & c\varphi &= \varphi + \alpha_1 + \beta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_0\alpha_1 &= -\alpha_2, & T_0\alpha_2 &= -\alpha_1, & T_0\alpha_3 &= \alpha_3, & T_0\alpha_4 &= 2\beta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \\ T_0\beta_1 &= \beta_1, & T_0\beta_2 &= -\beta_2, & T_0\varphi &= \varphi + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

By (7.16) the element T_0 maps the $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L , where $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ is the diagram on Fig. 7.68(b), to the $T_0\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element $w'_L = T_0^{-1}w_L T_0$, see Fig. 7.68(b),(e),(f). By passing from Fig. 7.68(f) to Fig. 7.68(g), the roots α_1 , α_2 , $T_0\alpha_4$, β_2 change the sign, the corresponding reflections are not changed, and w'_L is preserved. Diagrams (d) and (g) in Fig. 7.68 represent two $E_7(a_4)$ -associated subsets differing only in two points: α_4 and γ in the diagram (d), and $T_0\alpha_4$ and $T_0\varphi$ in the diagram (g). By Lemma 5.23, w'_L and w_R are conjugate, therefore w_L and w_R are also conjugate. \square

7.4.2. *Proof of Theorem 7.1 for adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$.* Let Γ be the 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$. The extensions $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are two instances of the diagram $D_5(a_1)$, are said to be *adjacent* if they are obtained by adding edges in adjacent vertices of $D_4(a_1)$, see Fig. 7.69. In this section, we consider extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ in D_l and in E_l and we find the

FIGURE 7.69. Adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ obtained by adding edge $\{\beta_2, \varphi\}$ (resp. $\{\alpha_1, \gamma\}$) to the vertex β_2 (resp. α_1)

conditions when these extensions may be adjacent.

In what follows, we need the notion of *2-index and 4-index dipoles in 4-cycles*. The roots in the root system D_l are given as

$$\pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq l), \quad \text{where } \{e_i \mid i = 1, \dots, l\} \text{ is an orthonormal basis in } \mathbb{R}^l, \quad (7.17)$$

see [Bo, Table IV]. Any dipole of every 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$ in D_l is determined either by 2 indices or by 4 indices. They are either

$$\{e_k - e_n, e_k + e_n\}, \text{ where } k \neq n, \quad (7.18)$$

or

$$\{e_i \pm e_j, e_k \pm e_n\}, \text{ where } i, j, k, n \text{ are different.} \quad (7.19)$$

We call the dipole of type (7.18) (resp. (7.19)) the *2-index dipole* (resp. the *4-index dipole*). For properties of 2-index and 4-index dipoles, see Lemma B.1 and Corollary B.2 in §B.1.1.

Lemma 7.7 (On adjacent extensions in D_l). *Let $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ be a 4-cycle in D_l .*

(i) *The 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$ can be extended to $D_5(a_1)$ only in the vertex lying in the 4-index dipole of $D_4(a_1)$.*

(ii) *If both dipoles of $D_4(a_1)$ are 4-index dipoles, then there is no extension $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$.*

(iii) *There are no adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ for any 4-cycle in D_l .*

Proof. (i) Let $d = \{e_k + e_n, e_k - e_n\}$ be a 2-index dipole in any 4-cycle, see §B.1 and Lemma B.1. If $\varphi = e_i + e_j$ is connected to one of vertices of d , then $\{k, n\} \cap \{i, j\} \neq \emptyset$, and φ is connected to another vertex of d . Thus, φ does not extend $D_4(a_1)$ to $D_5(a_1)$.

(ii) If d_1 and d_2 are two 4-index dipoles of $D_4(a_1)$, then they look, for example, as follows:

$$d_1 = \{\alpha_1 = e_k - e_n, \alpha_2 = e_p - e_q\}, \quad d_2 = \{\beta_1 = e_n - e_q, \beta_2 = -e_k - e_p\},$$

where $\{k, n, p, q\}$ are 4 different indices. Let φ be connected to one of the vertices, for example, to $e_k - e_n$. Then $\varphi = e_k \pm e_s$ or $\varphi = e_n \pm e_s$, where $s \notin \{k, n, p, q\}$. In the first case, φ is also connected to $-e_k - e_p$, in the second case, φ is also connected to $e_n - e_q$. In both cases, φ does not extend $D_4(a_1)$ to $D_5(a_1)$.

(iii) By (i) and (ii) any extension of $D_4(a_1)$ exists only for the case where one of the dipoles is a 4-index dipole, and another one is a 2-index dipole. Since there is no extension $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ in any vertex lying on a 2-index dipole, there are no adjacent extensions. \square

Proposition 7.8 (On adjacent extensions in E_l). (i) *Let $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ be a 4-cycle in E_l , where $l = 6, 7, 8$. For any extension $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$ (Γ_1 is a diagram of type $D_5(a_1)$), there exists an element $T \in W$ such that the extension $\Gamma_2 = T\Gamma_1$ constitutes the adjacent extension $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$.*

(ii) *Let $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$ be a 4-cycle in E_l , where $l = 6, 7, 8$. Let $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$ be two adjacent extensions, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are diagrams of type $D_5(a_1)$ (diagrams Γ_1 and Γ_2 are depicted in (a) and (e) in Fig. 7.70, respectively). Then any Γ_1 -associated element w_1 and any Γ_2 -associated element w_2 are conjugate.*





FIGURE 7.70. Adjacent extensions (a) and (d) of type $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$

(iii) *Let $\Gamma = D_l(a_1)$ be a 4-cycle in D_l or E_l , let $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$ be two extensions in the opposite vertices of the same dipole (the diagrams Γ_1 and Γ_2 are depicted in (a) and (c) in Fig. 7.71, respectively). Any Γ_1 -associated element w_1 and any Γ_2 -associated element w_2 are conjugate.*

FIGURE 7.71. Mirror extensions of type $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$

Proof. (i) For any extension $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$, we construct adjacent extension $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are diagrams of type $D_5(a_1)$. Let Γ_1 be an extension of $D_4(a_1)$ with an additional edge $\{\varphi, \beta_2\}$, see Fig. 7.70(a). By Corollary 5.11, for E_6, E_7, E_8 , all dipoles are equivalent. Then there exists $P \in W$ mapping the dipole $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ into the dipole $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Suppose P maps $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ to some $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P : \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \\ P : \{\beta_2, \varphi\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_2, P\varphi\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

see Fig. 7.70(a) and (b). By Lemma 5.20, α_1 is connected to β_1 , and α_2 is connected to β_2 ; if α_1 is connected to β_2 and α_2 is connected to β_1 , we just swap α_1 and α_2 in Fig. 7.70(b). Note that if α_1 is connected to β_1 and also to β_2 , then α_1 is connected to all vertices of the 4-cycle $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$ contradicting Corollary 3.4. Further, by Corollary 5.18, the corrective reflection $T_1 = s_{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}$ (resp. $T_2 = s_{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}$) maps α_1 to β_1 (resp. α_2 to β_2). Both corrective reflections preserve δ_1, δ_2 and $P\varphi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_2 : \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \\ T_1 T_2 : \{\delta_2, P\varphi\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_2, P\varphi\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

i.e., $T_1 T_2$ preserves the edge $\{\delta_2, P\varphi\}$. By (7.20) and (7.21)

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_2 P : \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \\ T_1 T_2 P : \{\beta_2, \varphi\} &\longrightarrow \{\delta_2, P\varphi\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $T = T_1 T_2 P$ preserves the 4-cycle $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and maps extension (a) to (d) in Fig. 7.70.

(ii) Consider the Γ_1 -associated element $w_1 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{P\varphi}$, and the Γ_2 -associated element $w_2 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{P\varphi}$, see Fig. 7.70(a),(e). By (i), we have

$$(T_1 T_2 P)^{-1} w_1 (T_1 T_2 P) = w'_1, \quad \text{where } w'_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{P\varphi}.$$

Since $s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}$ commutes with $s_{P\varphi}$, we have

$$w_1 \simeq w'_1 \stackrel{s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}}{\simeq} w_2,$$

i.e., the Mirror Condition holds. As in Proposition 6.6, the Single-track Condition holds for the extension $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$. By Proposition 2.9(iii) the Γ_1 -associated elements and Γ_2 -associated elements constitute the same conjugacy class.

The Single-track Condition for the extension $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$ is proved as follows. If $P\varphi$ and γ are not connected and $P\varphi$ is linearly independent of $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_2, \gamma\}$, it follows that S extended by $P\varphi$ constitutes \tilde{D}_4 , which is a contradiction. If $P\varphi$ and γ are not connected, and $P\varphi$ is linearly dependent on S , then by Remark 5.4(i), the root $-P\varphi$ is the maximal root in the root subsystem S :

$$-P\varphi = 2\delta_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad w'_1 = s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{2\delta_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma}.$$

Let $w_3 := s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\gamma}$. Since s_{δ_1} commutes with $s_{P\varphi}$, we have

$$w'_1 \stackrel{s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}}{\simeq} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{2\delta_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma} \stackrel{s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2}}{\simeq} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_2 + \gamma} \stackrel{s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2}}{\simeq} s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} s_{\delta_1} s_{\delta_2} s_{\gamma} = w_3.$$

If $P\varphi$ and γ are connected, then by Corollary 5.18 we also have $w'_1 \simeq w_3$, see Fig. 7.70(f).

(iii) We argue as in (ii). Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be the Γ_1 -associated (resp. Γ_2 -associated) element. By §7.2, $T^{-1}w_1T = w'_1$, where

$$w_1 = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\varphi}, \quad w'_1 = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{T\varphi}, \quad T = (s_{\beta_2}s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2})^2,$$

i.e., the Mirror Condition holds. Further reasoning is as in (ii). \square

7.5. Threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$.

Lemma 7.9. *There are no mirror or threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$ in the root system D_n .*




FIGURE 7.72. No extension $\Gamma_1 < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ is possible since D_n does not contain E_6

Proof. Suppose that $D_4 < D_5$ is a certain P1-extension, i.e., there exists a D_5 -associated subset in the root system D_n for $n \geq 5$. We will show that the extension $D_5 < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ obtained by adding some root β_2 and the edge $\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}$, as in Fig. 7.72, is impossible. This means that there are no simultaneous extensions of $\Gamma = D_4$ by $\{\beta_1, \alpha_1\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}$, i.e., there are no mirror or threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$ in D_n .

Case 1: β_1 and β_2 are not connected. Suppose a certain root β_2 is connected with α_2 as in Fig. 7.72, and is linearly dependent on the D_5 -associated subset $S_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_0, \beta_1\}$. By Remark 5.4(ii) the diagonal element $b_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^\vee$ of the inverse matrix $B_{\Gamma_1}^{-1}$ should be equal to 2. Actually, $b_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^\vee = \frac{5}{4}$.


Suppose now that β_2 is connected with α_2 , and β_2 is linearly independent of S_1 . Then the set $S' = \{S, \beta_2\}$ constitutes an E_6 -associated subset. Why the root system E_6 is not contained in D_n ? Roots of the root systems E_6 and D_n are as follows:

$$D_n \quad \left\{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq l), \right.$$

$$E_6 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 5), \\ \pm \frac{1}{2} \left(e_8 - e_7 - e_6 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (-1)^{\nu(i)} e_i \right), \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^5 \nu(i) \text{ is even,} \end{array} \right. \quad (7.22)$$

see [Bo, Tables IV and V]. It is clear that some roots of E_6 cannot be obtained as roots of D_n .

Case 2: β_1 and β_2 are connected, see Fig. 7.73. Suppose β_2 is linearly dependent on a certain D_5 -associated subset S_1 . Consider $\delta = B_{\Gamma_1}^{-1}\beta_2^\vee$, where β_2^\vee is the vector obtained by a doubling the

FIGURE 7.73. No extension $\Gamma_1 < \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ is possible: D_n does not contain $E_6(a_1)$

inner products given as in (5.5), and $B_{\Gamma_1}^{-1}$ is given in Table C.13:


$$\beta_2^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_2^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ -7 \\ -5 \\ -10 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.23)$$

According to (5.6), in the first case of (7.23), we have $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma_1}(\beta_2) = \langle \beta_2^\vee, \delta \rangle = \frac{5}{4}$ and, in the second one, $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma_1}(\beta_2) = \frac{13}{4}$, contradicting the condition $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma_1}(\beta_2) = 2$, see Remark 5.4(ii).

Now, suppose that β_2 is linearly independent of S_1 , i.e., the subset $S_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ is linearly independent. If we replace β_1 with $\gamma = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \alpha_2$, we get again a set of linearly independent roots, which constitutes an $E_6(a_1)$ -associated subset, see Fig. 7.73(b), since

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma, \beta_0) &= (\alpha_1, \beta_0) - (\alpha_2, \beta_0) = 0, & (\gamma, \alpha_1) &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_1) + (\beta_1, \alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2}, & (\gamma, \alpha_3) &= 0, \\ (\gamma, \alpha_2) &= -(\alpha_2, \alpha_2) - (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = -\frac{1}{2}, & (\gamma, \beta_2) &= -(\beta_2, \beta_2) + (\beta_1, \beta_2) - (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, by Lemma 7.7(iii), the root system D_n does not contain $E_6(a_1)$ -associated subsets. \square

FIGURE 7.74. Threefold extensions: $\Gamma < \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma < \Gamma_2$, $\Gamma < \Gamma_3$

Unlike the case D_n , for the root systems E_n , there exist mirror and threefold extensions $D_4 < D_5$. In the following proposition we show that these extensions are conjugate.

Proposition 7.10. *Let $\Gamma = D_4$ represent the conjugacy class in $W(E_n)$, where $n = 6, 7, 8$. Let $\Gamma < \Gamma_i$ be a P1-extension with socket α_i , where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then any Γ_i -associated element w_i and any Γ_j -associated element w_j are conjugate.*

Proof. We will show that a given Γ_1 -associated element w_1 and a given Γ_2 -associated element w_2 are conjugate, see Fig. 7.75. By Corollary 5.11, for E_6, E_7, E_8 , all dipoles are equivalent. Then there exists an element $P \in W(E_n)$ mapping the dipole $\{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_3\}$ to the dipole $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, see Fig. 7.75(a),(b). By Lemma 6.2 (see also Fig. 6.50), there exists a certain $\tilde{P} \in W(E_n)$ preserving the dipole $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ and sending $P\beta_0 \in \Gamma_2$ to $\beta_0 \in \Gamma_2$. Applying $\tilde{P}P$ we get the diagram depicted in Fig. 7.75(c). By Lemma 6.3, there exists $T \in W(E_n)$ preserving $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_0$ and sending $P\alpha'_2$

into α_1 , see Fig. 7.75(c),(d). Thus, the Threefold Condition is proved: For a given Γ_2 -associated subset $\{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3, \beta'_0, \beta'_2\}$, the Γ_1 -associated subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_0, TP\beta_2\}$ is constructed, see Fig. 7.75(a),(d).




FIGURE 7.75. Conjugacy of Γ_1 -associated element w_1 and Γ_2 -associated element w_2

The Single-track Condition for the extension $D_4 < D_5$ is proved as follows. Let $\gamma := TP\beta_2$. If γ and β_1 are not connected, and γ is linearly independent of the D_5 -associated subset $S = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, then S extended by γ constitutes \tilde{D}_5 , but this is impossible. If γ and β_1 are not connected and γ is linearly dependent on S , then by Remark 5.4(i), the root $-\gamma$ is the maximal root in the root subsystem D_5 . Let w be the D_4 -associated element, $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\beta_0}$. We need to prove that $ws_\gamma \simeq w\beta_1$. This follows from Proposition 5.7, eq. (5.12). If γ and β_1 are connected, then by Corollary 5.18 we also have $ws_\gamma \simeq w\beta_1$.

Since the Threefold Condition and Single-track Condition hold for the extension $D_4 < D_5$, then by Proposition 2.9(iv) the set of Γ_1 -associated elements and the set of Γ_2 -associated elements constitute the same conjugacy class. \square

APPENDIX A. Cycles

A.1. The ratio of lengths of roots. Let Γ be a Dynkin diagram, and \sqrt{t} be the ratio of the length of any long root to the length of any short root. The inner product between two long roots is

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \sqrt{t} \cdot \sqrt{t} \cdot \cos(\widehat{\alpha, \beta}) = \sqrt{t} \cdot \sqrt{t} \cdot \left(\pm \frac{1}{2}\right) = \pm \frac{t}{2}.$$

By Remark 2.5, we may put $(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{t}{2}$. The inner product between two short roots is

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \cos(\widehat{\alpha, \beta}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

Again, by Remark 2.5, we may put $(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{1}{2}$. The inner product (α, β) between roots of different lengths is

$$(\alpha, \beta) = 1 \cdot \sqrt{t} \cdot \cos(\widehat{\alpha, \beta}) = 1 \cdot \sqrt{t} \cdot \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right) = \pm \frac{t}{2}.$$

As above, we choose the obtuse angle and put $(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{t}{2}$.

We can summarize:

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \|\alpha\| = \|\beta\| = 1, \\ -1 & \text{for } \|\alpha\| = \|\beta\| = 2, \quad \text{or } \|\alpha\| = 1, \|\beta\| = 2, \\ -\frac{3}{2} & \text{for } \|\alpha\| = \|\beta\| = 3, \quad \text{or } \|\alpha\| = 1, \|\beta\| = 3, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where all angles $\widehat{\alpha, \beta}$ are obtuse.

A.2. Cycles in the simply-laced case.

A.2.1. The Carter and connection diagrams for trees.

Lemma A.1. *There is no root subset (in the root system associated with a Dynkin diagram) forming a simply-laced cycle containing only solid edges. Every cycle in the Carter diagram or in the connection diagram contains at least one solid edge and at least one dotted edge.*

Proof. Suppose a subset $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \Phi$ forms a cycle containing only solid edges. Consider the vector

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

The value of the quadratic Tits form \mathcal{B} (see [St08]) on v is equal to

$$\mathcal{B}(v) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma_0} 1 - \sum_{i \in \Gamma_1} 1 = n - n = 0,$$

where Γ_0 (resp. Γ_1) is the set of all vertices (resp. edges) of the diagram Γ associated with S . Therefore, $v = 0$ and elements of the root subset S are linearly dependent. \square

The following proposition is true only for trees.

Proposition A.2 (Lemma 8, [Ca72]). *Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a subset of linearly independent (not necessarily simple) roots of the root system Φ associated with a certain Dynkin diagram Γ , and Γ_S the Carter diagram or the connection diagram associated with S . If Γ_S is a tree, then Γ_S is a Dynkin diagram.*

Proof. If Γ_S is not a Dynkin diagram, then Γ_S contains an extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as a subdiagram. Since Γ_S is a tree, we can turn all dotted edges to solid ones¹, see Remark 2.5. Further, we consider the vector

$$v = \sum_{i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0} t_i \alpha_i, \tag{A.2}$$


where $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ is the set of all vertices of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and t_i (where $i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0$) are the coefficients of the nil-root, see [Kac80]. Let the remaining coefficients corresponding to $\Gamma_S \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}$ be equal to 0. Let \mathcal{B} be the positive definite quadratic Tits form (see [St08]) associated with the diagram Γ , and (\cdot, \cdot) the symmetric bilinear form associated with \mathcal{B} . Let $\{\delta_i \mid i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0\}$ be the set of simple roots associated with vertices $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$. For all $i, j \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0$, we have $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = (\delta_i, \delta_j)$, since this value is described by edges of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{B}(v) = \sum_{i, j \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0} t_i t_j (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \sum_{i, j \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0} t_i t_j (\delta_i, \delta_j) = \mathcal{B}\left(\sum_{i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0} t_i \delta_i\right) = 0.$$


Since \mathcal{B} is a positive definite form, we have $v = 0$, i.e., vectors α_i are linearly dependent. This contradicts the definition of the set S . \square

Example A.3 (multiply-laced cases). On Figs. A.76, A.77, A.78 and A.79, let the coefficients of linear dependence be as in the proof of Proposition A.2. The labels at vertices are coordinates of the nil-root of the corresponding extended Dynkin diagrams, [Kac80]. In all cases below, inner products are calculated in accordance with §A.1 and eq. (A.1). In all these calculations except for \tilde{G}_{21} and \tilde{G}_{22} , $t = 2$.

¹This fact is not true for cycles, since by Lemma A.1 we cannot eliminate all dotted edges.




Case \tilde{F}_{41} . We have $v = \alpha + 2\beta + 3\gamma + 2\delta + \varphi$. Then
 $\|v\| = 1 + 4 + 9 + 4t + t - 1 \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot 3 - 3 \cdot 2t - 2 \cdot t$
 $= 6 - 3t = 0$.



Case \tilde{F}_{42} . Here, $v = \alpha + 2\beta + 3\gamma + 4\delta + 2\varphi$, and
 $\|v\| = (1 + 4 + 9)t + 16 + 4 - 1 \cdot 2t - 2 \cdot 3t$
 $- 3 \cdot 4t - 4 \cdot 2 = 12 - 6t = 0$.

FIGURE A.76

Case \tilde{C}_2 . We have $v = \alpha + t\beta + \gamma$, where $t = 2$. Then
 $\|v\| = t + t^2 + t - t \cdot t - t \cdot t = 2t - t^2 = 0$.



Case \tilde{B}_2 . In this case, $v = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$. Then

$$\|v\| = 1 + 1 + t - t - t = 2 - t = 0.$$






FIGURE A.77



Case \tilde{C}_3 . Here, $v = \alpha + t\beta + t\gamma + \delta$, and
 $\|v\| = t + t + t^2 + t^2 - t^2 - t^2 - t^2 = 2t - t^2 = 0$.




Case \tilde{B}_3 . We have $v = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$. Then
 $\|v\| = 1 + 1 + t + t - t - t = 2 - t = 0$.

FIGURE A.78

For \tilde{C}_n , where $n \geq 4$, we have $v = \alpha_1 + t\alpha_2 + \cdots + t\alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1}$. Any new short edge adds $t^2 - t^2$, i.e., $\|v\| = 0$. For \tilde{B}_n , where $n \geq 4$, we have $v = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1}$. Any new long edge adds $t - t$, i.e., $\|v\| = 0$.

Case \tilde{G}_{21} . Here, $v = \alpha + 2\beta + \gamma$. Here, $t = 3$. Then
 $\|v\| = 1 + 4 + t - 1 \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot t = 3 - t = 0$.



Case \tilde{G}_{22} . In this case, $v = \alpha + 2\beta + 3\gamma$. Again, $t = 3$. Then
 $\|v\| = t + 4 \cdot t + 9 - 2 \cdot t - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot t = 9 - 3t = 0$. \square




FIGURE A.79

A.2.2. *There are no cycles for the root system A_n .* Recall that any root in A_n is of the form $\pm(e_i - e_j)$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n + 1$. Then, up to the similarity $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$, a cycle of roots is of one of the followings forms:

$$\{e_{i_1} - e_{i_2}, e_{i_2} - e_{i_3}, \dots, e_{i_{k-1}} - e_{i_k}, e_{i_k} - e_{i_1}\},$$

$$\{e_{i_1} - e_{i_2}, e_{i_2} - e_{i_3}, \dots, e_{i_{k-1}} - e_{i_k}, -(e_{i_k} - e_{i_1})\}.$$

In the first case, the sum of all these roots is equal to 0, and roots are linearly dependent. In the second case, the sum of the $k - 1$ first roots is equal to the last one, and roots are also linearly dependent. Thus, for A_n , there are no cycles of linearly independent roots.

A.3. Cycles in the multiply-laced case.

A.3.1. *There are no 4-cycles with all angles obtuse.* No root system R containing a 4-cycle with all angles obtuse can occur. Suppose this is possible, so a quadruple of roots $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ yields pairs with the following values of the Tits form:

$$(\alpha, \beta) = -1, \quad (\beta, \gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (\gamma, \delta) = -1, \quad (\delta, \alpha) = -1,$$

see Fig. A.80. Then we see that

$$s_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$s_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the semi-Coxeter element $\mathbf{C} = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\gamma s_\delta$ in the Weyl group generated by the quadruple $\{s_\alpha, s_\beta, s_\gamma, s_\delta\}$, and its characteristic polynomial is as follows:

$$\mathbf{C} = s_\alpha s_\beta s_\gamma s_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi(\mathbf{C}) = x^4 - 4x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 1.$$

Since the maximal root of $\chi(\mathbf{C})$ is $\lambda \approx 4.419 > 1$, then the semi-Coxeter element \mathbf{C} is of infinite order, but this is impossible.




FIGURE A.80

A.3.2. *More of impossible cases of multiply-laced cycles.* We consider several patterns (of multiply-laced diagrams) that are not a part of any Carter diagram. First of all, the arrows on the double edges connecting roots of different lengths should be directed face to face, otherwise we have 3 different lengths of roots, as depicted in Fig. A.81:

$$\|\delta\| > \|\gamma\| = \|\beta\| > \|\alpha\|.$$




FIGURE A.81



FIGURE A.82

Further, two double edges connecting roots of different lengths cannot be adjacent, as depicted in Fig. A.82. Otherwise, the root subset contains the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{B}_2 or \tilde{C}_2 which cannot occur.

For cycles of length 5 or more, the diagram contains the extended Dynkin diagram of type \tilde{B}_n or \tilde{C}_n which cannot happen. If the acute angle (resp. the dotted edge) lies on the part corresponding to \tilde{B}_n (or \tilde{C}_n), this obstacle can be easily eliminated by changing certain roots with their opposites; the procedure of eliminating the acute angle may be applied to any tree regardless of whether it contains roots of different lengths or not.




FIGURE A.83




FIGURE A.84

There are no “kites”, i.e., cycles of length 4 with an additional fifth edge, since any such subset contains the extended Dynkin diagram \tilde{CD}_2 or \tilde{DD}_2 which cannot be, see Fig. A.84. One should note that every cycle in the Carter diagram contains, by definition, an even number of vertices, so the connection like $\{\varphi, \alpha\}$ or $\{\varphi, \beta\}$ forming a triangle cannot occur.

APPENDIX B. The 4-cycles in the root system D_l

B.1. The $D_4(a_1)$ -associated and D_4 -associated subsets. In this section, we consider $D_4(a_1)$ -associated subsets (i.e., 4-cycles) and D_4 -associated subsets for $W(D_l)$.

B.1.1. The 2-index and 4-index dipoles in 4-cycles. For definition of 2-index and 4-index dipoles, see §7.4.2.

Lemma B.1. (i) Let \mathcal{C} be a 4-cycle with a certain 2-index (resp. 4-index) dipole d . Let w be any element from W . Then wd is also a 2-index (resp. 4-index) dipole.

(ii) If d_1 (resp. d_2) is a 2-index dipole of some 4-cycle \mathcal{C}_1 (resp. \mathcal{C}_2), then there exists an element $w \in W$ such that $wd_1 = d_2$.

(iii) If d_1 (resp. d_2) is a 4-index dipole from some 4-cycle \mathcal{C}_1 (resp. \mathcal{C}_2), then there exists an element $w \in W$ such that $wd_1 = d_2$.

(iv) For any 4-cycle \mathcal{C} , one of dipoles is a 4-index dipole. It can happen that both dipoles are 4-index dipoles.

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove this fact for reflections. Let $d = \{e_n + e_k, e_n - e_k\}$ be a 2-index dipole. By (7.17), any reflection looks as s_α , where α is the root $\pm e_i \pm e_j$ for some e_i, e_j . If $e_i, e_j \notin \{e_n, e_k\}$, then $\alpha \perp \{e_n + e_k, e_n - e_k\}$ and s_α acts trivially on d . If s_α acts nontrivially on $e_n - e_k$, then e_i or e_j belongs to $\{e_n, e_k\}$. Let $\alpha = e_k - e_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha, e_n - e_k) &= (e_k - e_j, e_n - e_k) = -\frac{1}{2}, \\
 (\alpha, e_n + e_k) &= (e_k - e_j, e_n + e_k) = \frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha(e_n - e_k) &= (e_n - e_k) + (e_k - e_j) = e_n - e_j, \\ s_\alpha(e_n + e_k) &= (e_n + e_k) - (e_k - e_j) = e_n + e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the 2-index dipole $\{e_n + e_j, e_n - e_j\}$, see Fig. B.85.




FIGURE B.85. Conjugation of 2-index dipoles (of 4-cycles).

We get the same result for $-\alpha = -e_k + e_j$. For $\alpha = e_k + e_j$, we get the 2-index dipole $\{e_n + e_j, e_n - e_j\}$. Let both e_i and e_j belong to $\{e_n, e_k\}$, for example, $\alpha = e_n - e_k$. Then $e_n - e_k \perp e_n + e_k$ and $s_\alpha(e_n - e_k) = e_k - e_n$, and $s_\alpha(e_n + e_k) = e_n + e_k$. We get the 2-index dipole $\{e_k - e_n, e_k + e_n\}$. For $\alpha = e_n + e_k$, we get the 2-index dipole $\{e_n - e_k, -e_k - e_n\}$.

It is clear that a 4-index dipole cannot be sent to a 2-index dipole by any $w \in W$, otherwise the inverse element w^{-1} sends a 2-index dipole to a 4-index dipole, contradicting the proved above.

(ii) This fact follows from Fig. B.85. The reflection $s_{e_k - e_j}$ changes one index: $k \mapsto j$, and the reflection $s_{e_n - e_i}$ changes another index: $n \mapsto i$.




FIGURE B.86. Conjugation of 4-index dipoles (of 4-cycles).

(iii) Here, every reflection changes only one index and does not touch three other indices as in Fig. B.86(a), or permutes two indices and does not touch two other indices as in Fig. B.86(b). Consistently using these reflections we send d_1 into d_2 .

(iv) Suppose both dipoles $d_1 = \{e_i + e_j, e_i - e_j\}$ and $d_2 = \{e_k + e_n, e_k - e_n\}$ are 2-index dipoles in \mathcal{C} . Let g be a number of coinciding indices from $\{k, n\}$ and $\{i, j\}$, i.e.,

$$g = |\{k, n\} \cap \{i, j\}|.$$

If $g = 0$, then $e_k + e_n \perp e_i + e_j$, contradicting the fact that the vertices of d_1 and d_2 are pairwise connected. If $g = 2$, then $d_1 = d_2$, which is impossible. So $g = 1$. For example, $e_n = e_j$, i.e.,

$$d_1 = \{\alpha_1 = e_i + e_j, \alpha_2 = e_i - e_j\}, \quad d_2 = \{\beta_1 = e_k + e_j, \beta_2 = e_k - e_j\}.$$

We have $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \beta_1 - \beta_2$, contradicting the fact of linear independence of $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. Therefore, one of dipoles is a 4-index dipole.

The following 4-cycle gives an example of two 4-index dipoles:

$$d_1 = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 + e_2, \alpha_2 = e_3 + e_4\}, \quad d_2 = \{\beta_1 = e_3 - e_1, \beta_2 = -e_2 - e_4\}. \quad \square$$

Corollary B.2. (i) Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be 4-cycles. There exist dipoles $d_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $d_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$, and an element $w \in W$ such that $wd_1 = d_2$.

(ii) Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be D_4 -associated subsets. There exist dipoles $d_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $d_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$, and an element $w \in W$ such that $wd_1 = d_2$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma B.1(iv) there exist 4-index dipoles $d_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$; by (iii) they are conjugate, i.e., there exists an element $w \in W$ such that $wd_1 = d_2$.

(ii) It cannot be that all 3 dipoles of \mathcal{C}_1 (resp. \mathcal{C}_2) are 2-index dipoles. Thus, there exist 4-index dipoles $d_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Further, as in (i). \square

B.1.2. *Example of equivalent 4-cycles.* Let us take the diagram D_6 with simple roots:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= e_1 - e_2, & \alpha_2 &= e_2 - e_3, & \alpha_3 &= e_3 - e_4, \\ \alpha_4 &= e_4 - e_5, & \alpha_5 &= e_5 - e_6, & \alpha_2 &= e_5 + e_6, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and the maximal root $\alpha = e_1 + e_2$, see Fig. 2.8(a). Consider the following two 4-cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{e_1 + e_2, e_4 - e_1, e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{e_1 + e_2, e_4 - e_1, e_3 - e_4, e_2 - e_3\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Since the 4-index dipole can be mapped only onto a 4-index dipole, see Lemma B.1, and \mathcal{C}_2 consists of two 4-index dipoles, it follows that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 can not be conjugate. However, \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 have the common second dipole $\{e_4 - e_1, e_2 - e_3\}$. Moreover, let w_1 (resp. w_2) be \mathcal{C}_1 -associated (resp. \mathcal{C}_2 -associated):

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_1-e_2} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3}, \\ w_2 &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_3-e_4} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

then elements w_1 and w_2 are conjugate:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_1-e_2} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3} = s_{e_1+e_2} (s_{e_1-e_2} s_{e_2-e_3}) s_{e_4-e_1} = \\ &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_2-e_3} s_{(e_2-e_3)+(e_1-e_2)} s_{e_4-e_1} = s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_2-e_3} (s_{e_1-e_3} s_{e_4-e_1}) = \\ &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_2-e_3} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{(e_4-e_1)+(e_1-e_3)} = s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_2-e_3} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_4-e_3} \stackrel{s_{e_4-e_3}}{\simeq} \\ &= s_{e_1+e_2} s_{e_3-e_4} s_{e_4-e_1} s_{e_2-e_3} = w_2. \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

B.2. The Carter diagrams corresponding to different conjugacy classes.

B.2.1. *Intersection of E -supports.* The simple roots in D_l are as follows:

$$\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \quad \alpha_l = e_{l-1} + e_l,$$

any other roots are of the form

$$\beta = e_k \pm e_n, \text{ for different } i \neq j,$$

see [Bo, Table IV]. The set of the vectors e_i entering any root β is said to be the E -support of β . We denote this set by $E(\beta)$. The E -supports for the simple and arbitrary roots are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha_i) &= \{e_i, e_{i+1}\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l-2, \\ E(\alpha_l) &= E(\alpha_{l-1}) = \{e_{l-1}, e_l\}, \\ E(\beta) &= \{e_k, e_n\}, \text{ where } \beta = e_k - e_n. \end{aligned}$$

For each pair of roots α and β , we set

$$E(\alpha, \beta) := E(\alpha) \cap E(\beta). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Note that $s_{e_p-e_q}$ acts non-trivially on the pair of indices $\{k, n\}$ of the root $e_k - e_n$ if and only if $|\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 1$. If $|\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 1$, let, for example, $p = k$. Then $s_{e_p-e_q}$ acts on $\{k, n\}$ by replacing k with q :

$$s_{e_p-e_q}(\{k, n\}) = \begin{cases} \{k, n\} & \text{if } |\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 0 \text{ or } 2, \\ \{q, n\} & \text{if } |\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 1 \text{ (for } k = p), \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

For the action (B.6) on the E -support $\{k, n\}$, there is the corresponding action by conjugation on the reflections $s_{e_k \pm e_n}$:

$$s_{e_p - e_q} s_{e_k \pm e_n} s_{e_p - e_q}^{-1} = \begin{cases} s_{e_k \pm e_n} & \text{if } |\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 0 \text{ or } 2, \\ s_{e_q \pm e_n} & \text{if } |\{p, q\} \cap \{k, n\}| = 1 \text{ (for } k = p). \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Lemma B.3. *Let $\gamma_k = e_{i_k} - e_{j_k}$ (or $e_{i_k} + e_{j_k}$), where $k = 1, 2, 3$, be 3 linearly independent roots in the root system D_l , and*

$$E(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = E(\gamma_1) \cap E(\gamma_2) \cap E(\gamma_3). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The cardinality $|E(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)|$ is invariant under the action (B.6), and therefore, under the conjugation (B.7).

Proof. Since roots $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are linearly independent, we have

$$|E(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)| < 2.$$

Let $|E(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)| = 1$; then the E -supports are

$$E(\gamma_1) = \{i, j_1\}, \quad E(\gamma_2) = \{i, j_2\}, \quad E(\gamma_3) = \{i, j_3\}.$$

By (B.6), the action $s_\beta = s_{e_p - e_i}$ moves the E -supports of $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ as follows:

$$\{\{i, j_1\}, \{i, j_2\}, \{i, j_3\}\} \mapsto \begin{cases} \{\{i, j_1\}, \{j_1, j_2\}, \{j_1, j_3\}\} & \text{if } s_\beta = s_{e_{j_1} - e_i}, \\ \{\{p, j_1\}, \{p, j_2\}, \{p, j_3\}\} & \text{if } s_\beta = s_{e_p - e_i}, p \notin \{j_1, j_2, j_3\} \\ \{\{i, p\}, \{i, j_2\}, \{i, j_3\}\} & \text{if } s_\beta = s_{e_p - e_{j_1}}, p \neq i \\ \{\{i, j_1\}, \{i, j_2\}, \{i, j_3\}\} & \text{if } s_\beta = s_{e_p - e_q}, p, q \notin \{i, j_1, j_2, j_3\}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

In all cases of (B.9), $|E(s_\beta \gamma_1, s_\beta \gamma_2, s_\beta \gamma_3)| = 1$. Let $|E(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)| = 0$, then the E -supports are

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{i, j\}, \{j, k\}, \{k, i\}\}, \text{ i.e., } E(\gamma_f) \cap E(\gamma_g) \neq 0, \text{ where } 1 \leq f < g \leq 3, \text{ or} \\ & \{\{i, j\}, \{k, n\}, \{k, r\}\}, \text{ i.e., } E(\gamma_1) \cap E(\gamma_g) = 0, E(\gamma_2) \cap E(\gamma_3) \neq 0, \text{ where } g = 2, 3, \text{ or} \\ & \{\{i, j\}, \{k, n\}, \{t, r\}\}, \text{ i.e., } E(\gamma_f) \cap E(\gamma_g) = 0, \text{ where } 1 \leq f < g \leq 3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Consider the action by $s_\beta = s_{e_p - e_i}$. For $p \notin \{j, k, r, t\}$, the reflection s_β only replaces i with p . For $p \in \{j, k, r, t\}$, for example, $p = k$, the reflection s_β swaps i and k . In all cases of (B.10), $|E(s_\beta \gamma_1, s_\beta \gamma_2, s_\beta \gamma_3)|$ is not changed. \square

B.2.2. *The Carter diagram A_3 determines different conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$.*

Proposition B.4. *Let D be a Dynkin diagram from Table B.12, let Γ be the Carter diagram associated with a root subset in $\Phi(D)$, see columns 2 and 3. The two elements w_1, w_2 corresponding to Γ and given by column 4 are not conjugate.*

Proof. Line 1) in Table B.12. Here, $D = D_5$, and $W = W(D_5)$; $\Gamma = A_1 + A_1$ is the Carter diagram consisting of two not connected points; $w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}$ and $w_2 = s_{\alpha_4} s_{\alpha_5}$ the two elements corresponding to Γ . By (B.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) &= \{e_1, e_2\} \cap \{e_3, e_4\}, & |E(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)| &= 0, \\ E(\alpha_4, \alpha_5) &= \{e_4, e_5\} \cap \{e_4, e_5\}, & |E(\alpha_4, \alpha_5)| &= 2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

To prove the statement, it suffices to prove that cardinalities $|E(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)|$ and $|E_{45}|$ from eq. (B.11) do not change under conjugation by any reflection. Let us conjugate w_2 by means of $s_{e_i - e_j}$, where $i, j \notin \{4, 5\}$, then

$$s_{e_i - e_j} w_2 s_{e_i - e_j} = s_{e_i - e_j} s_{e_4 - e_5} s_{e_4 + e_5} s_{e_i - e_j} = s_{e_4 - e_5} s_{e_4 + e_5} = w_2$$

If, for example, $i = 4$, we have

$$s_{e_4 - e_j} w_2 s_{e_4 - e_j} = s_{e_4 - e_j} s_{e_4 - e_5} s_{e_4 + e_5} s_{e_4 - e_j} = s_{e_j - e_5} s_{e_j + e_5}$$

Thus, the intersection $E(\alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ turns into $\{e_j, e_5\} \cap \{e_j, e_5\}$ with the same cardinality 2.





	Dynkin diagram D	Carter diagram Γ in the root system $\Phi(D)$	Non-conjugate elements w_1 and w_2
1	 D_5	$\circ \quad \circ$ $A_1 + A_1$	$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}$ $w_2 = s_{\alpha_4} s_{\alpha_5}$
2	 D_l	$\circ \quad \circ$ $A_1 + A_1$	$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}$ $w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l}$
3	 D_l	$\circ \quad \circ \quad \dots \quad \circ \quad \circ$ $\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{k+1}$ $(k+1)A_1$	$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} \dots s_{\alpha_{2k+1}}$ $w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} \dots s_{\alpha_{2k-3}}$
4	 D_l	$\circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ$ A_3	$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_2}$ $w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l} s_{\alpha_{l-2}}$

 TABLE B.12. Non-conjugate elements w_1 and w_2 corresponding to the Carter diagram Γ

Line 2) in Table B.12. Here, $D = D_l$, and $W = W(D_l)$; $\Gamma = A_1 + A_1$, $w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}$, $w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l}$. In this case,

$$w_1 = s_{e_1 - e_2} s_{e_3 - e_4}, \quad w_2 = s_{e_{l-1} - e_l} s_{e_{l-1} + e_l}.$$

The proof is the same as for Line 1).

Line 3) in Table B.12. Here, $D = D_l$, and $W = W(D_l)$; $\Gamma = (k+1)A_1$ is the Carter diagram consisting of $(k+1)$ not connected points, where $2k+1 \leq l-2$. Let $w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} \dots s_{\alpha_{2k+1}}$ and $w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} \dots s_{\alpha_{2k-3}}$ be two elements corresponding to Γ . We have

$$w_1 = s_{e_1 - e_2} s_{e_3 - e_4} \dots s_{e_{2k-1} - e_{2k}} s_{e_{2k+1} - e_{2k+2}},$$

$$w_2 = s_{e_{l-1} - e_l} s_{e_{l-1} + e_l} s_{e_1 - e_2} s_{e_3 - e_4} \dots s_{e_{2k-3} - e_{2k-2}}.$$

As above, we put

$$E(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+2}) = E(\alpha_i) \cap E(\alpha_{i+2}) = \{e_i, e_{i-1}\} \cap \{e_{i+2}, e_{i+1}\} \text{ for } i+2 \leq 2k+1,$$

$$E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l) = E(\alpha_{l-1}) \cap E(\alpha_l) = \{e_l, e_{l-1}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$|E(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+2})| = 0 \text{ and } |E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l)| = 2.$$

As for Line 1), any conjugation of w_2 preserves the $|E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l)|$ and it cannot be changed to 0.

Line 4) in Table B.12. Consider the following two elements in the Weyl group D_l :

$$w_1 = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3} s_{\alpha_2}, \quad w_2 = s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l} s_{\alpha_{l-2}}, \text{ where} \tag{B.12}$$

$$\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \text{ and } \alpha_l = e_{l-1} + e_l,$$

see Fig. 2.7. The elements w_1 and w_2 are A_3 -associated. From (B.12) we get

$$|E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)| = 0, \quad |E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l, \alpha_{l-2})| = 1.$$

By Lemma B.3, any conjugation of w_1 and w_2 preserves intersections of E -supports $|E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)|$ and $|E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l, \alpha_{l-2})|$. Thus w_1 and w_2 are not conjugate. \square

Remark B.5. Note that the A_3 -associated subset of roots $\{\alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l\}$ cannot be extended to any A_4 -associated subset. Suppose $\{\alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l, \beta\}$ constitutes an A_4 -associated subset. Then the support $E(\beta)$ does not contain e_l and e_{l-1} , otherwise we get a cycle, see (B.12). Therefore, β is not connected to α_{l-1} and α_l .

B.2.3. The Carter diagram $A_1 + A_1$ determines several conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$. In this paper we consider only connected simply-laced Carter diagrams except for the diagram $A_1 + A_1$. This exception is very important to us for the following reason. The diagram $A_1 + A_1$ is the essential subdiagram (dipole) of the two diagrams, from which we start our considerations. These diagrams are $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 , see Fig. 1.1. The diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 lie in the base of the two non-intersecting chains of Carter diagrams: **C4** and **DE4**, see Fig. 1.3; **C4** consists of the Carter diagrams $E_l(a_i)$ and $D_l(a_i)$; **DE4** consists of the Carter diagrams E_l for $n = 6, 7, 8$, and D_l for $l \geq 4$.

In the case $D_4(a_1)$, both dipoles are diagrams $A_1 + A_1$; in the case D_4 , the three dipoles are diagrams of type $A_1 + A_1$. *Despite the fact that $A_1 + A_1$ determines several conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$, the diagrams $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 (which contain $A_1 + A_1$) determine only one conjugacy classes in $W(D_l)$.* For $W(E_l)$, the situation is simpler: $A_1 + A_1$ determines a single conjugacy class, and also $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 determine only one conjugacy classes in $W(E_l)$. These facts constitute the base of induction in the proof of the main theorem, see Theorem 6.5, stating that every connected Carter diagram containing $D_4(a_1)$ and D_4 determines a single conjugacy class in a given Weyl group W . The base case is proved in §6.1.

Consider the following two elements in $W(D_l)$, see Fig. 2.7:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_3}, \quad \text{where } \alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \quad \alpha_3 = e_3 - e_4, \\ w_2 &= s_{\alpha_{l-1}} s_{\alpha_l}, \quad \text{where } \alpha_{l-1} = e_{l-1} - e_l, \quad \alpha_l = e_{l-1} + e_l. \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

The elements w_1 and w_2 are associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma = A_1 + A_1$. From (B.13) we deduce that

$$|E(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)| = 0, \quad |E(\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l)| = 2.$$

As above, in the proof of Proposition B.4 (see Line 3) any conjugation of w_1 preserves the cardinality of $E(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)$ and it cannot be changed to 2. Therefore, w_1 and w_2 are not conjugate.

APPENDIX C. Diagonal elements

C.1. The diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1} for $A_l, D_l, D_l(a_k)$. Let η be one of vertices of $\Gamma = D_l(a_k)$ (resp. $\Gamma = D_l$) such that $\eta \neq \alpha_2, \alpha_3$, see Fig. C.87,

$$\eta \in \begin{cases} \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{l-k-3}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\} & \text{for } \Gamma = D_l(a_k), \\ \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-3}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\} & \text{for } \Gamma = D_l, \end{cases} \tag{C.1}$$

Removing the vertex η with its bonds from Γ we get the diagram Γ' which is decomposed, except for $\eta = \tau_{k-1}$ and $\eta = \varphi_{l-k-3}$, into the union of two connected subdiagrams:

$$\Gamma' = \begin{cases} A_{d-1} \oplus D_{l-p-1}, & \text{where } d = l - k - 2 \text{ (resp. } d = k), \\ & \text{for } \eta = \beta_1 \text{ (resp. } \eta = \beta_2), \\ A_{d-1} \oplus D_{l-p-1(a_i)}, & \text{where } d = k - i, \text{ (resp. } d = l - k - 2 - i), \\ & \text{for } \eta = \tau_i \text{ (} 1 \leq i \leq k - 1 \text{) (resp. } \eta = \varphi_i \text{ (} 1 \leq i \leq l - k - 3 \text{))}. \end{cases} \tag{C.2}$$

For $\eta = \tau_{k-1}$ (resp. $\eta = \varphi_{l-k-3}$), we have $d = 1$ and $\Gamma' = D_{l-1}(a_{k-1})$ (resp. $\Gamma' = D_{l-1}(a_{l-k-3})$). In Fig. C.87(b) and (d), we associate the numerical label d with the corresponding vertex η .




FIGURE C.87. The numerical labels in the diagrams in the right hand side are the diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1}

Proposition C.1. (i) *The determinant of the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ is as follows:*

$$\det B_\Gamma = \begin{cases} l+1 & \text{for } A_l, \text{ where } l \geq 2; \text{ here } B_\Gamma = \mathbf{B}, \\ 4 & \text{for } D_l \text{ and } D_l(a_k), \text{ where } l \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

(ii) *Let $b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee$ be diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1} , where η is given by (C.1). For $\Gamma = D_l(a_k)$ or $\Gamma = D_l$,*

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \begin{cases} \frac{l}{4} & \text{for } \eta = \alpha_2, \text{ or } \eta = \alpha_3, \\ d & \text{for } \eta \text{ given in (C.1),} \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

where d is given in the vertex η in Fig. C.87(b) and (d), and by the relation (C.2).

(iii) *For $\Gamma = A_l$, let $b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee$ be diagonal elements of B_Γ^{-1} , where*

$$\eta \in \begin{cases} \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n & \text{for } l = 2n, \\ \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \alpha_{n+1} & \text{for } l = 2n+1, \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

see Fig. C.88. Then

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = d - \frac{d^2}{l+1}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

where d is the sequential number of the vertex in eq. (C.5) or in Fig. C.88.



FIGURE C.88. The Dynkin diagrams A_l

Proof. (i) This statement is easily verified for A_1, A_2, D_4, D_5 and $D_4(a_1)$. By induction

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{B}(A_{l+1}) &= 2 \det \mathbf{B}(A_l) - \det \mathbf{B}(A_{l-1}) = 2(l+1) - l = l+2, \\ \det \mathbf{B}(D_{l+1}) &= 2 \det \mathbf{B}(D_l) - \det \mathbf{B}(D_{l-1}) = 4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{B}(A_l)$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}(D_l)$) is the Cartan matrix for A_l (resp. D_l).

To get the determinant of the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ for the diagram $\Gamma = D_{l+1}(a_k)$, we expand $\det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_k))$ with respect to the minors corresponding to the i -th line (associated with the vertex i of Γ). By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_k)) &= 2 \det B_\Gamma(D_l(a_{k-1})) - \det B_\Gamma(D_{l-1}(a_{k-2})) = 4 && \text{for } k > 2, \\ \det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_{l-k-1})) &= 2 \det B_\Gamma(D_l(a_{l-k-2})) - \det B_\Gamma(D_{l-1}(a_{l-k-3})) = 4 && \text{for } l-k > 3, \\ \det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_2)) &= 2 \det B_\Gamma(D_l(a_1)) - \det \mathbf{B}(D_{l-1}) = 4 && \text{for } k = 2 \text{ or } l-k = 3. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $k < 2$ and $l - k < 3$, we have $l < 5$, i.e., $\Gamma = D_4(a_1)$.

(ii) For $\Gamma = D_l(a_k)$, we have

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \begin{cases} \frac{\det B_\Gamma(D_l(a_k))}{\det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_k))} = \frac{4}{4} = 1 & \text{for } d = 1, \\ \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(A_{d-1}) \det B_\Gamma(D_{l-d}(a_k))}{\det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_k))} = \frac{d \cdot 4}{4} = d & \text{for } d > 1, \\ \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(A_{l-1})}{\det B_\Gamma(D_{l+1}(a_k))} = \frac{l}{4} & \text{for } \eta = \alpha_2, \alpha_3. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

For $\Gamma = D_l$, we have

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \begin{cases} \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(D_l)}{\det \mathbf{B}(D_{l+1})} = \frac{4}{4} = 1 & \text{for } d = 1, \\ \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(A_{d-1}) \det \mathbf{B}(D_{l-d})}{\det \mathbf{B}(D_{l+1})} = \frac{d \cdot 4}{4} = d & \text{for } d > 1, \\ \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(A_{l-1})}{\det \mathbf{B}(D_{l+1})} = \frac{l}{4} & \text{for } \eta = \alpha_2, \alpha_3. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

(iii) For $\Gamma = A_l$, we have:

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \frac{\det \overline{B}_{\eta,\eta}}{\det \mathbf{B}(A_l)}, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

where $\overline{B}_{\eta,\eta}$ is the matrix obtained from B_Γ by deleting the η th column and η th row. The matrix $\overline{B}_{\eta,\eta}$ splits into the direct sum:

$$\overline{B}_{\eta,\eta} = \mathbf{B}(A_{d-1}) \oplus \mathbf{B}(A_{l-d}).$$

Hence,

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \frac{\det \mathbf{B}(A_{d-1}) \times \det \mathbf{B}(A_{l-d})}{\det \mathbf{B}(A_l)}. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

By (C.3) $\det \mathbf{B}(A_{l-1}) = l$ and by (C.10) we have

$$b_{\eta,\eta}^\vee = \frac{d \times (l + 1 - d)}{l + 1} = d - \frac{d^2}{l + 1}. \quad \square$$

For expressions \mathbf{B}^{-1} for the Dynkin diagram A_l , see [OV90, p. 295]. For completeness sake, we give the partial Cartan matrices and its inverse for some Cartan diagrams in Tables C.13 and C.14. For the Dynkin diagrams, the Cartan matrix \mathbf{B} coincides with the partial Cartan matrix B_Γ .





The diagram Γ	The Cartan matrix B_Γ	The inverse matrix B_Γ^{-1}
 <p style="text-align: center;">D_5</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 2 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 & 12 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
 <p style="text-align: center;">E_6</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 8 & 6 & 12 & 5 & 4 \\ 8 & 10 & 6 & 12 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 & 9 & 3 & 3 \\ 12 & 12 & 9 & 18 & 6 & 6 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
 <p style="text-align: center;">E_7</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 6 & 8 \\ 12 & 15 & 9 & 5 & 18 & 6 & 10 \\ 8 & 9 & 7 & 3 & 12 & 4 & 6 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\ 16 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 24 & 8 & 12 \\ 6 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 4 \\ 8 & 10 & 6 & 4 & 12 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$
 <p style="text-align: center;">E_8</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 14 & 16 & 10 & 8 & 20 & 7 & 12 & 4 \\ 16 & 20 & 12 & 10 & 24 & 8 & 15 & 5 \\ 10 & 12 & 8 & 6 & 15 & 5 & 9 & 3 \\ 8 & 10 & 6 & 6 & 12 & 4 & 8 & 3 \\ 20 & 24 & 15 & 12 & 30 & 10 & 18 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 5 & 4 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 2 \\ 12 & 15 & 9 & 8 & 18 & 6 & 12 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

TABLE C.13. The partial Cartan matrix B_Γ and its inverse matrix B_Γ^{-1}

The Carter diagram Γ	The partial Cartan matrix B_Γ	The inverse matrix B_Γ^{-1}
<p>$D_5(\mathbf{a}_1) = D_5(\mathbf{a}_2)$</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 & 4 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
<p>$E_6(\mathbf{a}_2)$</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 3 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
<p>$D_6(\mathbf{a}_1) = D_6(\mathbf{a}_3)$</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
<p>$D_6(\mathbf{a}_2)$</p>	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

TABLE C.14. The partial Cartan matrix B_Γ and the inverse matrix B_Γ^{-1} for Carter diagrams with the number of vertices $l < 7$

REFERENCES

- [A96] J. F. Adams, *Lectures on exceptional Lie groups*. With a foreword by J. Peter May. Edited by Zafer Mahmud and Mamoru Mimura. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996. xiv+122 pp.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4,5,6*. Paris, Hermann, 1968.
- [B89] P. Bouwknegt, *Lie algebra automorphisms, the Weyl group and tables of shift vectors*. J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 571–584
- [C25] É. Cartan, *Le principe de dualité et la théorie des groupes simple et semi-simples*, Bull. Sci. Math. 49 (1925), 361–374.
- [Ca70] R. W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*. 1970 Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69) pp . 297–318 Springer, Berlin
- [Ca72] R. W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*. Compositio Math. 25 (1972), 1–59
- [CE72] R. W. Carter, G. B. Elkington, *A Note on the Parametrization of Conjugacy Classes*. J. Algebra 20 (1972), 350–354
- [Ca00] R. W. Carter, *Book Review, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, by M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 39, no. 2, 267–272, 2001.
- [Ch84] R. N. Cahn, *Semi-Simple Lie Algebras and Their Representations*. Berkeley, Benjamin-Cummings publishing company, 1984.
- [Cox91] H. S. M. Coxeter, *The evolution of Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams*. Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4), 9(3), 233–248, 1991.
- [CCLL10] D. Chapovalov, M. Chapovalov, A. Lebedev, D. Leites, *The classification of almost affine (hyperbolic) Lie superalgebras*. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 17 (2010), suppl. 1, 103–161.
- [DM10] E. B. Dynkin, A. N. Minchenko, *Enhanced Dynkin diagrams and Weyl orbits*. Transform. Groups 15 (2010), no. 4, 813–841
- [Fr01] W. N. Franzsen, *Automorphisms of Coxeter Groups*, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Univeristy of Sydney, 2001, Ph.D. <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/PG/Theses/franzsen.pdf> thesis,
- [GN02] V. A. Gritsenko, V. V. Nikulin, *On the classification of Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), no. 5, 921–979.
- [Hu04] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. xii+204 pp.
- [Kac80] V. Kac, *Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory*. Invent. Math. 56 (1980), no. 1, 57–92
- [KP85] V. Kac, D. Peterson, *112 constructions of the basic representation of the loop group of E_8* . Symposium on anomalies, geometry, topology (Chicago, Ill, 1985), 276–298, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1985.
- [Lu08] G. Lusztig, *From unipotent classes to conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, preprint arXiv:math.RT/arXiv:1008.2692v1
- [Lu11] G. Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes*, Represent. Theory 15 (2011), 494–530.
- [Lu12] G. Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, II*. Represent. Theory 16 (2012), 189–211.
- [Lu12a] G. Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, III*. Represent. Theory 16 (2012), 450–488.
- [Ma10] D. Madore, *The E_8 root system*, 2010, <http://www.madore.org/~david/math/e8w.html>
- [OV90] A. L. Onishchik, È. B. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. xx+328 pp.
- [Rod04] C. Rodenberg, *Modelle von Flächen dritter Ordnung*, In: Mathematische Abhandlungen aus dem Verlage Mathematischer Modelle von Martin Schilling, Halle a. S, 1904.
- [St08] R. Stekolshchik, *Notes on Coxeter Transformations and the McKay Correspondence*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2008, XX, 240 p.
- [St10] R. Stekolshchik, *Root systems and diagram calculus. II. Quadratic forms for the Carter diagrams*, arXiv:math.RT/1010.5684v5.
- [St11] R. Stekolshchik, *Root systems and diagram calculus. III. Semi-Coxeter orbits of linkage diagrams and the Carter theorem*, arXiv:math.RT/1105.2875v1.
- [Stm07] J. Stembridge, *Coxeter Planes*, 2007, <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~jrs/coxplane.html>

INDEX

- (Γ, o) (connection diagram), 11
- (Γ, o)-semi-Coxeter element, 11
- 1-socket, 16
- 2-index dipole, 71
- 4-index dipole, 71
- B_{Γ}^{-1} , the inverse of the partial Cartan matrix, 25, 44
- S (set of linearly independent roots), 10
- S -associated element, 10
- S -associated subspace, 44
- S_{α} (subset $\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$), 10
- S_{β} (subset $\{\beta_j \mid j = 1, \dots, h\}$), 10
- Γ -associated element, 10
- Γ -associated set of roots, 10
- α -set, 10
- β -set, 10
- C4 \sqcup DE4, 6, 8
- C4 (class of Carter diagrams), 6, 28
- DE4 (class of Carter diagrams), 6, 28
- P1-, P2-, and P3-extensions, 16
- P1-extension of the A -joint type, 16
- P1-extension of the D -joint type, 16
- P v -extensions ($v = 1, 2, 3$), 16
- μ_{max} (maximal root), 25, 46, 48, 49
- $\overset{u}{z}$ (conjugation $w \rightarrow u^{-1}wu$), 12
- $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$ (diagram extending $\tilde{\Gamma}$), 63
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ (left extension), 17
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element, 17, 61
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated element w_L , 66
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ (right extension), 17
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element, 17, 61
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated element w_R , 66
- $\{\Gamma\}$ (set of vertices of Γ), 15
- $b_{\eta, \eta}^{\vee}$ (diagonal element of B_{Γ}^{-1}), 8, 25, 45, 64, 86
- s -permutation of Carter diagrams, 13
- v -socket, 16

- acute angle between roots, 11, 29, 80
- adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$, 71, 72
- admissible diagram, 10
- ambiguity of conjugacy classes, 85
- attachment point, 45

- bridge, 22, 30, 31, 63

- Cartan matrix
 - generalized, 44
 - of hyperbolic type, 8
 - partial, 25, 44
- Carter diagram, 10
- Carter diagram looked like a Dynkin diagram, 11
- CC1 (conjugacy class), 11
- class of Carter diagrams C4, 6, 28
 - $D_4(a_1)$, $D_5(a_1)$, $D_6(a_1)$, $D_6(a_2)$, 18
 - $D_7(a_1)$, $D_7(a_2)$, $D_8(a_1)$, $D_8(a_2)$, $D_8(a_3)$, 18
 - $D_n(a_k)$, ($n > 8$), 18
 - $E_6(a_1)$, $E_6(a_2)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_1)$, $E_7(a_2)$, $E_7(a_3)$, $E_7(a_4)$, 18
 - $E_8(a_1)$, $E_8(a_2)$, $E_8(a_3)$, $E_8(a_4)$, 18
 - $E_8(a_5)$, $E_8(a_6)$, $E_8(a_7)$, $E_8(a_8)$, 18
- class of Carter diagrams DE4, 6, 28
 - $D_4, D_5, D_6, D_7, D_8, E_6, E_7, E_8$, 18
 - D_n , ($n > 8$), 18
- conjugacy class
 - ambiguity, 85
 - uniqueness, 28
- conjugate sets, 14
- conjugation of Carter diagrams, 13
- connected simply-laced Carter diagrams, 28, 61, 85
- connection diagram, 11
- Coxeter element, 4, 25, 44, 46, 66
- Coxeter groups, 5, 46
- Coxeter number, 26, 46, 47, 66
- Coxeter plane, 4

- diagram
 - admissible diagram, 10
 - Carter diagram, 10
 - characterizing pattern D_4 , 12
 - characterizing pattern $D_4(a_1)$, 11
 - connection diagram, 11
- diamond, 26, 52, 54
- dipole, 26, 71
- dotted edge, 11
- Dynkin diagram associated with a Weyl group (customary use), 11
- Dynkin diagram representing a conjugacy class, 11

- equivalence transformations, 12
- equivalent connection diagrams, 14
- explicit transformation, 23, 34
- extension
 - P1-, P2-, and P3-extensions, 16
 - P1-extension of the A -joint type, 16
 - P1-extension of the D -joint type, 16
 - P v -extensions ($v = 1, 2, 3$), 16
 - adjacent extensions $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)$, 71
 - left extension $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$, 17
 - mirror extensions, 15
 - regular extension, 15
 - right extension $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$, 17
 - single-track extension, 15
 - threefold extension, 15
 - with a v -socket, 16
- generalized Cartan matrix, 44

- homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_L$ -associated elements, 61
- homogeneous $\tilde{\Gamma}_R$ -associated elements, 61
- homogeneous elements, 63

- linearly dependent root, 7, 25, 27, 45, 55, 58, 59, 62
- longest element w_0 , 46, 69

- maximal root μ_{max} , 46, 48, 49
- mirror P1-extension (A -joint type)
 - $D_5 < E_6$, 18
 - $D_{2k+2}(a_k) < D_{2k+3}(a_k)$, 18
 - $E_6 < E_7$, 18
- mirror P1-extension (D -joint type)
 - $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)(D_5(a_1) \subset D_l, E_l)$, 18
 - $D_4(a_1) < D_5(a_1)(D_5(a_1) \subset E_l)$, 18

- $D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_1)$, 18
- $D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_1)$, 18
- $D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_1)$, 18
- mirror P2-extension
 - $D_5(a_1) < E_6(a_2)$, 18
 - $D_6(a_1) < E_7(a_3)$, 18
 - $D_7(a_1) < E_8(a_4)$, 18
- mirror P3-extension
 - $D_6(a_2) < E_7(a_4)$, 18
- Mirror Condition, 17
- mirror diagrams $\tilde{\Gamma}_L, \tilde{\Gamma}_R$, 68
- mirror extensions, 15
- mirror map T , 15, 17, 68

- non-conjugate Γ -associated sets, 14
- non-conjugate dipoles, 57

- obtuse angle between roots, 11, 77, 79
- orbit of μ_{max} , 25
- orbit of the Coxeter element, 46

- partial Cartan matrix B_Γ , 25, 44
- partial ordering of Carter diagrams, 26
- pinholes number, 16, 62
- primitive element, 10
- Principal Case 1: α is connected to γ , 62
- Principal Case 2: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly independent of $\tilde{\Gamma}$., 62
- Principal Case 3: $\alpha \perp \gamma$, where γ is linearly dependent on $\tilde{\Gamma}$, 63
- projective roots, 13

- quadratic form \mathcal{B}_Γ , 25, 44
- quintuple of roots, 23, 55, 63, 67

- regular extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$ (or $\Gamma \stackrel{\alpha}{<} \tilde{\Gamma}$), 15
- regular extensions, 15
- root system, 4, 10, 25, 29, 45

- semi-Coxeter element, 10, 11, 79
- semi-Coxeter element associated with the connection diagram (Γ, o) , 11
- similar Carter diagrams, 13
- similarity of Carter diagrams, 13, 14
- similarity transformation for Carter diagrams, 79
- single-track P1-extension (A -joint type)
 - $D_l < D_{l+1}$, 18
 - $D_l(a_k) < D_{l+1}(a_k)$, 18
 - $E_7 < E_8$, 18
- single-track P1-extension (D -joint type)
 - $D_l(a_1) < D_{l+1}(a_2)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_1) < E_8(a_2)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_2) < E_8(a_3)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_3) < E_8(a_5)$, 18
- single-track P2-extension
 - $E_6 < E_7(a_2)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_1) < E_8(a_4)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_3) < E_8(a_6)$, 18
- single-track P3-extension
 - $E_7(a_2) < E_8(a_7)$, 18
 - $E_7(a_4) < E_8(a_8)$, 18
- Single-track Condition, 17
- single-track extension, 15

- socket, 15
- socket $\Gamma \ni \tilde{\Gamma}$ or $\Gamma \stackrel{\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}}{\ni} \tilde{\Gamma}$, 15
- socket of the extension $\Gamma < \tilde{\Gamma}$, 15
- sockets number, 16
- solid edge, 11
- square, 26

- three equivalence transformations, 12
- Threefold Condition, 17
- threefold extensions, 15
- trialeity, 17
- triangle, 26
- triangle of roots, 31, 52, 59, 60
- trivial order, 11

- unipotent conjugacy classes, 12
- uniqueness of conjugacy classes, 28

- Weyl group, 4, 5, 10, 17, 25, 44, 57