# arXiv:1004.5275v1 [q-bio.PE] 29 Apr 2010

# Spatial Rock–Paper–Scissors Models with Inhomogeneous Reaction Rates

Qian He,<sup>1,\*</sup> Mauro Mobilia,<sup>2,†</sup> and Uwe C. Täuber<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Center for Stochastic Processes in Science and Engineering,

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061-0435, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.

(Dated: November 24, 2018)

We comprehensively study several variants of the stochastic four-state rock-paper-scissors game or, equivalently, cyclic three-species predator-prey models with conserved total particle density, by means of Monte Carlo simulations on one- and two-dimensional lattices. Specifically, we investigate the influence of spatial variability of the reaction rates and site occupancy restrictions on the system's co-evolutionary dynamics. To this end, we focus on the time evolution and transient oscillations of the species' densities, the associated frequency power spectra, and spatial correlation functions in the steady state. For small systems, we also numerically determine the dependence of typical extinction times on the number of lattice sites. In stark contrast with two-species stochastic Lotka–Volterra systems, we find that for our three-species models with cyclic competition quenched disorder in the reaction rates has very little effect on the dynamics and stationary state properties.

PACS numbers: 87.23.Cc, 02.50.Ey, 05.40.-a, 05.70.Fh

# I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the origin of and maintaining biodiversity is of obvious paramount importance in ecology and biology [1–5]. In this context, paradigmatic schematic models of predator-prev interaction that build on the classic Lotka–Volterra system [6, 7] have been widely studied. Specifically, systems with cyclic dominance of competing populations have been suggested to provide a mechanism to promote species diversity; there are also natural connections to evolutionary game theory [8– 12]. A minimal yet non-trivial model for cyclic competition is the three-species cyclic predator-prey system with standard Lotka–Volterra predation interactions, essentially equivalent to the familiar rock-paper-scissors (RPS) game [8–11]. This RPS system has, for example, been used to model the cyclic competitions between three subspecies of certain Californian lizards [13, 14], and the coevolution of 3 strains of E. coli bacteria in microbial experiments [15].

In this simple RPS model, one lets 'rock' (species A) smash 'scissors' (species B), 'scissors' cut 'paper' (species C), and 'paper' wrap 'rock'. Already for a non-spatial RPS system, the presence of intrinsic stochastic fluctuations (reaction noise) makes the system eventually evolve to one of the three extinction states where only one species survives [16, 17]. For example, if the reaction rates in the system are not equal, one intriguingly observes the 'weakest' species, with the smallest predation rate, to survive, whereas the other two species always die out [17]. When the model is extended to include spatial degrees of freedom, say by allowing par-

ticles to hop to nearest-neighbor sites on a lattice and interact upon encounter, spatial fluctuations and correlations further complicate the picture. For example, species extinction still prevails in one-dimensional RPS models [18–20]. However, when species mixing is introduced through efficient particle exchange processes, the system with symmetric reaction and exchange rates instead reaches a three-species coexistence state. On the other hand, asymmetric reaction and exchange rates destroy biodiversity, and may invalidate the 'law of the weakest' [21]. In contrast, two-dimensional RPS systems are characterized by coexistence of the competing species, and the emergence of complex spatio-temporal structures such as spiral patterns [20, 22–30]. Recently, Reichenbach et al. extensively studied the four-state RPS model without conservation law [25-28], and it is now well-established that the cyclic reactions in conjunction with diffusion generate spiral patterns. In model variants that incorporate conservation of the total population density, on the other hand, spiral patterns do not occur [30]; also, when species mobility is drastically enhanced through fast particle exchange processes, the spiral patterns are destroyed as well, and the system eventually reaches an extinction state [25, 30].

In this paper, we study model variants that also allow for empty lattice sites (if there is at most one particle allowed per site, at any given time step each site can therefore assume one of four states), and consider reactions that locally conserve the total particle density. We shall refer to our model system as four-state RPS model with conservation law (even when we allow multiple species occupation per lattice site). We consequently do not expect to observe spiral patterns in our model [30]. In two-species Lotka–Volterra system, it has been found that spatially varying reaction rates may cause more localized clusters of activity and thereby enhance the fitness of both predator and prey species [31]. Also, it is known that imposing local carrying capacity restrictions

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: heq07@vt.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: M.Mobilia@leeds.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: tauber@vt.edu

on stochastic Lotka-Volterra models induce an extinction transition for the predator population that is governed by the directed percolation universality class [32]. In the species coexistence regime of such a predator-prey system, the qualitative behavior is similar as in systems without local restrictions, and characterized by spreading and interacting activity fronts resulting in erratic population oscillations with some characteristic frequency [33]. Therefore, to render the four-state RPS model more realistic, we here allow for spatial inhomogeneity in the species competition; i.e., we treat the nonlinear reaction rate on each site as a quenched random variable drawn from a normalized uniform distribution. In addition, we explore the effects of restricted site occupations mimicking locally constrained resources that in a global description would yield a finite carrying capacity limiting each species' reproduction.

Our paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II, we define our model, the stochastic four-state spatial rock-paperscissors (RPS) game or cyclic three-species predator-prev system with conservation of total population density, and briefly review the results obtained from the meanfield rate equation approximation. In Sec. III, we introduce our Monte-Carlo simulation algorithm and discuss the detailed model variants we have explored. We then present results for the species' time-dependent densities, associated frequency power spectra, and spatial correlation functions to analyze the influence of quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates and site occupation restriction on the temporal evolution and quasi-stationary states of this system, both in two dimensions and for a one-dimensional lattice. We also compare our numerical findings with the mean-field predictions, and obtain the mean extinction time (for the first species to die out) as function of system size. Finally, we provide a summary of our results and concluding remarks.

# **II. MODEL AND RATE EQUATIONS**

The rock-paper-scissors model describes the cyclic competition of three interacting species that we label A, B, and C. We consider the following predator-prey type interactions:

$$A + B \rightarrow A + A \quad \text{with rate } k_a ,$$
  

$$B + C \rightarrow B + B \quad \text{with rate } k_b , \qquad (1)$$
  

$$C + A \rightarrow C + C \quad \text{with rate } k_c .$$

Note that these irreversible reactions strictly conserve the total number of particles. To generalize this reaction model to a spatially extended lattice version, we allow empty sites (as a fourth possible state) and let the reactions happen only between nearest neighbors. In addition, we introduce nearest-neighbor particle hopping with rate D (if at most one particle is allowed per lattice site, this process takes place only if an adjacent empty site becomes selected at each time step). Within the mean-field approximation, wherein any correlations and spatial variations are neglected, the following set of three coupled rate equations for homogeneous population densities a(t), b(t), and c(t), with fixed total population density  $a(t)+b(t)+c(t) = \rho = \text{const}$  describes the system's temporal evolution,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t a(t) &= a(t) [k_a b(t) - k_c c(t)], \\
\partial_t b(t) &= b(t) [k_b c(t) - k_a a(t)], \\
\partial_t c(t) &= c(t) [k_c a(t) - k_b b(t)].
\end{aligned}$$
(2)

These coupled rate equations possess a reactive fixed point, where all three species coexist,  $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (k_b, k_c, k_a)\rho/(k_a + k_b + k_c)$ , which is marginally stable. Indeed, introducing new variables  $\delta a(t) = a(t) - a^*$ ,  $\delta b(t) = b(t) - b^*$ ,  $\delta c(t) = c(t) - c^*$ , and utilizing the conservation law  $\delta a + \delta b + \delta c = 0$ , we may express the first two rate equations in terms of  $\delta a$  and  $\delta b$ . Linearizing about the reactive fixed point then gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \, \delta a \\ \partial_t \, \delta b \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} \delta a \\ \delta b \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3}$$

with the linear stability matrix

$$L = \frac{\rho}{k_a + k_b + k_c} \begin{pmatrix} k_b k_c & k_b (k_a + k_c) \\ -k_c (k_a + k_b) & -k_b k_c \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4)$$

with eigenvalues  $\lambda = \pm i \rho \sqrt{k_a k_b k_c / (k_a + k_b + k_c)} =$  $\pm i\omega$ , where  $f = \omega/2\pi$  represents a characteristic oscillation frequency, e.g., for total density  $\rho = 1$  and  $k_a = 0.2, k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8, \lambda = \pm i 2\sqrt{3}/15$ , and the typical frequency is  $f \approx 0.037$ . We will use these mean-field values later to compare with the simulation results. In the special case of symmetric reaction rates where  $k_a = k_b = k_c = k$ , we get  $\lambda = \pm i k / \sqrt{3}$ ; for example, if  $\rho = 1$  and k = 0.5, then  $\lambda = \pm i \sqrt{3}/6$  and  $f \approx 0.046$ . In addition, the system also has three absorbing states, with only a single species surviving ultimately:  $(\rho, 0, 0), (0, \rho, 0), \text{ and } (0, 0, \rho)$ . Within the mean-field approximation, these fixed points are all linearly unstable. However, in any stochastic model realization on a finite lattice, temporal evolution would ultimately terminate in one of these absorbing states, as we shall explore for small systems below.

# **III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION RESULTS**

### A. Model variants and quantities of interest

We investigate stochastic RPS systems on one- and two-dimensional lattices with periodic boundary conditions. At each time step, one individual of any species is selected at random, then hops to a nearest-neighbor site, if the number of particles on the chosen target site is empty. Otherwise, one of the particles on the chosen neighboring site is selected randomly and undergoes a reaction with the center particle according to the scheme

Model	Reaction rates	Site restriction
1	homogeneous rate: $k = 0.5$	no restriction
2	homogeneous rate: $k = 0.5$	at most one particle
3	uniform rate distribution	no restriction
4	uniform rate distribution	at most one particle

TABLE I: List of stochastic lattice RPS model variants.

and rates specified by (1) if both particles are different. The outcome of the reaction then replaces the eliminated particle. If the selected and focal particle are of the same species, the center particle just hops to its chosen neighboring site. For our model variants with site occupancy restriction, the hopping process only takes place if the number of particles on the target site is less than the maximum occupancy number (local carrying capacity)  $n_m$ ; in this work, we set  $n_m = 1$ . Once on average each individual particle in the lattice has had the chance to react or move, one Monte Carlo step (MCS) is completed; thus the corresponding simulation time is increased by  $\delta t \sim N^{-1}$ . Also note that the hopping processes set the fundamental time scale; basically the reaction rates are measured in units of the diffusivity D (unless D = 0).

First, we shall study models with uniform symmetric reaction rates  $(k_a = k_b = k_c = k = 0.5)$ ; next we simulate systems with quenched spatial disorder by drawing the reaction probabilities k at each lattice site from a uniform distribution on the interval [0,1]. Therefore, this distribution has the same mean reaction rate 1/2as the homogeneous rate in the model with fixed reaction rates, allowing for direct comparison of the relevant numerical quantities. The four basic different model variants we have investigated are summarized in Table I. In addition, we have studied systems with asymmetric reaction rates, both uniform and subject to quenched randomness with flat distribution. Besides the timedependent population densities a(t), b(t), and c(t), averaged over typically 50 individual simulation runs, we also investigate their corresponding temporal Fourier transforms  $a(f) = \int a(t) e^{2\pi i f t} dt$ , and the equal-time twopoint occupation number correlation functions (cumulants)  $C_{AB}(x,t) = \langle n_A(i+x,t) n_B(i,t) \rangle - a(t) b(t)$ , where i denotes the site index, and similarly for the other species, as well as  $C_{AA}(x,t)$ , etc. In addition, for small systems with N lattice sites we have numerically computed the mean extinction time  $T_{ex}(N)$ , which following Ref. [34] we define as the average time for the first of the three species to die out. For the one-dimensional four-state RPS model, we have also determined the time evolution of the typical single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$ , see Sec. III D.



FIG. 1: (Color online.) (a) Temporal evolution for the population densities of species A, B, and C with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  and without site occupation restriction (model 1), with unequal initial densities a(0) = 2/3, b(0) = c(0) = 1/6, averaged over 50 Monte Carlo runs on a 256 × 256 square lattice. (b) Snapshot of the spatial particle distribution for a single simulation run at t = 50, and (c) at t = 500 MCS. (Red: species A, vellow: B, blue: C, black: empty.)

# B. Two-dimensional stochastic RPS lattice models: symmetric rates

We first report and discuss our Monte Carlo simulation results on a 256 × 256 square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The data are typically averaged over 50 Monte Carlo runs with different initial configurations, where the particles of each species are placed randomly on the lattice. Figure 1 depicts the temporal evolution of the total population densities and snapshots of their spatial distribution in a system without site occupation number restrictions and with equal reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  (labeled model 1 in Table I), but unequal initial densities a(0) = 2/3, b(0) = c(0) = 1/6. Since the selection and reproduction processes are combined into a single step in our model, the total population density  $\rho$  is strictly conserved, and as expected we therefore observe no spiral patterns that are characteristic of



FIG. 2: (Color online). Signal Fourier transform |a(f)| of species A density data on a 256 × 256 square lattice with initial population densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3 for the four model variants described in Table I, averaged over 50 Monte Carlo simulation runs.

RPS models without conservation law [30]. In the initial time regime, we see distinct decaying population oscillations in Fig. 1a, and inhomogeneous species clusters in the snapshot Fig. 1b. As time progresses, the amplitude of the oscillating fluctuations decreases quickly, and also the spatial distribution and species cluster size become more stable and homogeneous (Fig. 1c). Our (fairly large) system eventually settles in a coexistence state with small density fluctuations (Fig. 1a inset).

In Fig. 2 we show the absolute values of the Fourier transformed population density signals |a(f)|, as obtained from averaging 50 Monte Carlo simulation runs for the four different model variants listed in Table I, in this case with equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. Recall that mean-field theory predicts a regular, undamped oscillation frequency  $f \approx 0.046$ . From the simulation data, we determine the characteristic peak frequency  $f \approx 0.028$ , which evidently governs oscillatory fluctuations; however, the finite width of the Fourier peak in Fig. 2 reflects that the population oscillations are damped and will cease after a finite characteristic relaxation time.

Moreover, we see that even if spatial disorder and/or site occupancy restrictions are incorporated in the model, the Fourier-transformed density signals display practically the same frequency distribution and significant peak locations. Indeed, we find that in our simulations for model versions 1 and 3 with total density 1, the typical occupation number at each site remains  $n \leq 2$  throughout the runs, which explains why the exclusion constraints in model variants 2 and 4 do not have a large effect. Thus, neither spatial disorder nor site occupancy restrictions change the temporal evolution pattern of the system markedly. This is in stark contrast with results for the two-species stochastic lattice Lotka–Volterra model,



FIG. 3: (Color online.) (a) Static density autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(x)$  measured at t = 250 MCS for the four model variants described in Table I, with initial population densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
$l_{AA}$	$3.27\pm0.02$	$2.92\pm0.02$	$2.64\pm0.03$	$2.59\pm0.01$
$l_{AB}$	$2.86\pm0.08$	$2.35\pm0.09$	$2.40\pm0.06$	$1.99\pm0.09$

TABLE II: Correlation lengths  $l_{AA}$  for the autocorrelation function and  $l_{AB}$  for the cross-correlation function (in units of the lattice spacing) obtained for the four model variants of Table I with symmetric reaction rates.

for which one finds (i) very pronounced spatio-temporal structures in the species coexistence regime [32]; (ii) large fluctuations that strongly renormalize the characteristic population oscillation frequency [32, 33]; (iii) an extinction threshold for the predator species induced by local density restrictions on the prey [32]; and (iv) considerable enhancement of the asymptotic densities of both species caused by spatial variability of the predation rate [31].

In order to quantitatively study the effect of spatial disorder and site occupation restriction on emerging correlations in our stochastic RPS models, we have determined the steady-state equal-time two-point correlation functions. Figures 3a and 3b depict the autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and the cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(x)$  as obtained for our four models (see Table I), which all are seen to decay exponentially with distance. From these log-normal plots, we have extracted the associated correlation length  $l_{AA}$  and typical species separation distance  $l_{AB}$ ; the results are listed in Table II. Site occupation restrictions clearly have the effect of reducing both correlation lengths. Also, as is the case for the twospecies lattice Lotka–Volterra system [31], rendering the reaction rate a quenched random variable for each site leads to more localized population and activity patches, characterized by markedly smaller correlation and typical separation lengths.

The influence of varying (homogeneous and symmetric) reaction rates and modifying the total (conserved) population density is explored in Fig. 4, which shows the dependence of the characteristic Fourier peak frequency f on k and  $\rho$ . We find that f scales roughly linearly with both the total density  $\rho$  and the reaction rate k, in ac-



FIG. 4: (Color online.) Variation of the characteristic peak frequency in the density Fourier signal |a(f)| with the total density  $\rho$  and homogeneous, symmetric reaction rate k, for RPS simulations on a 256 × 256 square lattice with equal initial densities, run for 1000 MCS.

with equal mitial densities, full for 1000 MCS.



FIG. 5: (a) Mean extinction time as function of lattice size N, obtained from averages over 50 Monte Carlo runs, for small two-dimensional lattice RPS systems in the absence of site restrictions and with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  (model 1), and equal initial population densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. The data are for lattices with  $N = 5 \times 5$ ,  $7 \times 7$ ,  $10 \times 10$ ,  $12 \times 12$ ,  $15 \times 15$ ,  $17 \times 17$ , and  $20 \times 20$  sites. (b) Histogram of measured extinction times for N = 100 sites.

cord with the mean-field prediction  $f \propto \rho k$ , see Sec. II. We also remark that we have checked that switching off nearest-neighbor hopping (setting D = 0), thus allowing particle spreading only via the nonlinear reaction processes (1), essentially leaves the stochastic RPS system's features intact.

Finally, we have also studied the mean extinction time as function of lattice size N for small two-dimensional stochastic lattice RPS systems, here of the model 1 variety with homogeneous symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  and equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. We recall that in any finite system displaying an absorbing stationary state, stochastic fluctuations will eventually reach this absorbing configuration. In the stochastic RPS model, one therefore expects two species to eventually become extinct; however, reaching this absorbing state may take an enormous amount of time,



FIG. 6: (Color online.) (a) Temporal evolution for the population densities of species A, B, and C with asymmetric reaction rates  $k_a = 0.2$ ,  $k_b = 0.5$ ,  $k_c = 0.8$  and without site occupation restriction (model 1), with equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3, averaged over 50 runs on a 256 × 256 square lattice. (b) Snapshot of the spatial particle distribution in a single simulation run at t = 50, and (c) at t = 500 MCS. (Red: A, yellow: B, blue: C, black: empty.)

and will thus become practially unobservable on large lattices. In fact, in two and higher dimensions one expects the mean extinction time  $T_{\rm ex}$  (here measured for the first species to die out) to scale exponentially with system size N, since random fluctuations effectively have to overcome a finite barrier in order to follow an 'optimal' path towards extinction. As depicted in Fig. 5a, we indeed observe  $\ln T_{\rm ex}(N) \sim N$ , consistent with the prediction on the coexistence state stability reported in Refs. [25, 28]. The associated distributions of extinction times are described by neither Poisson nor Gaussian distributions (e.g., the means are considerably larger than the most likely values), but display long 'fat' tails at large extinction times, see Fig. 5b.

Model	Reaction rates	Site restriction
1	$k_a = 0.2, k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	no restriction
2	$k_a = 0.2, k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	at most one
3	$k_a \in [0, 0.4], \ k_b = 0.5, \ k_c = 0.8$	no restriction
4	$k_a \in [0, 0.4], \ k_b = 0.5, \ k_c = 0.8$	at most one

TABLE III: List of stochastic lattice RPS model variants with asymmetric rates. While  $k_b = 0.5$  and  $k_c = 0.8$  are held fixed in all four variants, we set  $k_a = 0.2$  in models 1 and 2, whereas we took  $k_a$  to be a random variable uniformly distributed in [0, 0.4] in models 3 and 4.



FIG. 7: (Color online.) (a) Equal-time autocorrelation functions  $C_{AA}(x)$ ,  $C_{BB}(x)$ ,  $C_{CC}(x)$  at t = 1000 MCS for the model described in Fig. 6. (b) Equal-time crosscorrelation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$ ,  $C_{BC}(x)$ ,  $C_{AC}(x)$ .

$l_{AA}$	$l_{BB}$	$l_{CC}$
$5.24\pm0.03$	$5.67\pm0.08$	$3.46{\pm}0.05$
$l_{AB}$	$l_{BC}$	$l_{AC}$
$6.68\pm0.20$	$3.68\pm0.07$	$3.33\pm0.05$

TABLE IV: Correlation lengths (top) inferred from the autocorrelation functions and typical separation distances (bottom) obtained from the cross-correlation functions (in units of the lattice spacing) measured for the RPS model with asymmetric but homogeneous reaction

rates  $k_a = 0.2$ ,  $k_b = 0.5$ , and  $k_c = 0.8$ .

# C. Two-dimensional stochastic RPS system: asymmetric rates

Next we turn to a stochastic RPS system with asymmetric reaction rates and consider the various model variants specified in Table III together with the reactions (1). Figure 6a shows the time evolution for the three species' densities in a system with constant rates  $k_a = 0.2$ ,  $k_b = 0.5$ , and  $k_c = 0.8$ . From our simulations for model version 1, we infer the asymptotic population densities (with statistical errors)  $(0.40\pm0.01, 0.45\pm0.01, 0.15\pm0.01)$ , which follow the trends of the mean-field results  $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (0.33, 0.53, 0.13)$ . As becomes apparent in the snapshots 6b and 6c, particles of the same species form distinctive spatial clusters. The effect of the reaction rate asymmetry on the equal-time auto- and cross-correlation func-



FIG. 8: (Color online.) Time evolution for the population density a(t) of species A for four model variants with asymmetric reaction rates, namely with  $k_b = 0.5$ ,  $k_c = 0.8$  and either uniformly  $k_a = 0.2$ , or drawn from a flat distribution [0, 0.4], with and without site restrictions (see the listing in Table III). The initial densities are a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3, and the data stem from averages over 50 runs on a 256 × 256 square lattice.



FIG. 9: (Color online.) Signal Fourier transform |a(f)| for the four model variants described in Table III. The characteristic frequency comes out to be  $f \approx 0.021$ .

tions is shown in Figs. 7a and 7b, respectively, with the ensuing correlation lengths and typical separation distances listed in Table IV. Note that the autocorrelation length  $l_{CC}$  for species C is smaller than  $l_{AA}$ , and  $l_{BB}$ , which is largest. This is consistent with the steady-state population densities, given our observation that the overall particle density is roughly uniform.

As a last model variation, we allow the reaction rate  $k_a$  to be a quenched spatial random variable drawn from the flat distribution [0, 0.4], such that its average is still 0.2, but hold  $k_b = 0.5$  and  $k_c = 0.8$  fixed. Fig. 8 compares the time evolution for these disordered systems with and without site restrictions with the corresponding homogeneous models. Once again, we see that spatial variability in the reaction rate even in this asymmetric setting has



FIG. 10: (Color online.) (a) Equal-time autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) cross-correlation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$  at t = 1000 MCS for the four model variants described in Table III.



FIG. 11: (Color online.) Time evolution (up to 1000 Monte Carlo steps; from top to bottom) for a onedimensional RPS model run with equal, homogeneous reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$ , equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3, and in the absence of site occupancy restriction. (Only 10000 of the total 50000 lattice sites in this run are shown; red: A, yellow: B, blue: C, black: empty.)

very little effect. As can be seen from the Fourier signal peak in Fig. 9, the characteristic frequency comes out to be  $f \approx 0.021$  for all four asymmetric model variants investigated here, and Figs. 10a and 10b demonstrate that the disorder hardly modifies the spatial decay of the auto-and cross-correlation functions either.

# D. One-dimensional Monte Carlo simulations

We have run simulations for all four model variants listed in Table I, i.e., with/without site occupancy restriction; with/without quenched spatial randomness in the reaction rates, in one dimension. We find that only a single species ultimately survives and eventually occupies the whole lattice no matter whether spatial disorder or site restrictions are included in the model: as expected, the one-dimensional system will always evolve towards one of the three extinction states where two of the three species will die out. For equal (mean) reaction rates and initial densities, each species has equal survival probability. For comparison, the space-time plots of one-dimensional lattice simulations without and with



FIG. 12: (Color online.) Time evolution (up to 1000 Monte Carlo steps; from top to bottom) for onedimensional RPS model runs with equal, homogeneous reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$ , equal initial densities (a) a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3, and (b) model 2': a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 0.2 (model 2' and 4' refer to the corresponding model variants listed in Table I with total particle density less than 1), where at most one particle of either species is allowed per site. (Only 10000 of the total 50000 lattice sites in these runs are shown; red: A,

yellow: B, blue: C, black: empty.)



FIG. 13: (Color online.) Signal Fourier transform |a(f)|for the four RPS model variants listed in Table I, in one dimension. The data is averaged over 50 Monte Carlo simulations on lattices with 50000 sites.

site occupancy restriction are depicted in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. It is seen that individuals of identical species cluster together, and any reactions are confined to the boundary separating the single-species domains. When the occupancy of any site is restricted to a single particle of either species, these domains form quickly and are very robust, even if not all sites are filled, see Figs. 12a and 12b.

The population density signal Fourier transform |a(f)|, shown for species A in Fig. 13, confirms the absence of any population oscillations through the absence of any peak at nonzero frequency f, and the width of the peak at f = 0 reflects the decay time to the stationary extinction state. As in two dimensions, we observe very



FIG. 14: (Color online.) The time evolution (log-log plot) of the mean single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$  measured in one-dimensional Monte Carlo simulation runs with 10000 lattice sites for RPS models with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  and equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. The upper (black) curve shows the data for model 2 with site occupation restriction, see Fig. 12a, whereas the lower (red) graph pertains to model variant 1 without site occupancy restrictions, see Fig. 11. For comparison, the dashed (blue)

line represents the predicted  $t^{3/4}$  power law.

little effect of either site occupation number restrictions or spatial variability of the reaction rates on the Fourier signal, compare Figs. 2 and 9. We have also measured the mean single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$  and investigated its growth with time t, shown in Fig. 14. As was predicted in Refs. [18, 19], for the implementation with site occupancy restriction (model 2) to at most a single particle per site, we observe  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle \sim t^{3/4}$ ; we find the same asymptotic growth law when arbitrarily many particles are allowed on each lattice site. The domain stability is illustrated also by the very slow temporal decay of the on-site auto- and cross-correlation functions (see Figs. 15a and 15b). Notice that quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates does not affect the time evolution of the autocorrelation functions, in contrast with site occupancy restrictions; here the results depend on the presence or absence of empty sites, see Fig. 15a. However, the cross-correlation functions in Fig. 15b look essentially indistinguishable for all these model variations.

Figures 16a and 16b respectively depict the equaltime auto- and cross-correlation functions for the various model variants listed in Table I obtained for a onedimensional lattice with 50000 sites. We observe exponential decay with similar large correlation lengths for all model variants up to about 50 lattice sites, followed by a cutoff (which extends to larger x as time increases).

Finally, we investigate the mean extinction time as function of system size N in one dimension. As becomes apparent in Figs. 17a, in all one-dimensional model variants we have considered, within our (large) error bars



FIG. 15: (Color online.) Time evolution for the on-site (a) autocorrelation  $C_{AA}(0,t)$  and (b) cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(0,t)$  in one-dimensional RPS model variants with 500 sites, averaged over 1000 simulation runs. Shown are the results for model variants 1–4 with initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3; model 2' refers to a system with site occupancy restriction 1 and lower particle density a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/4.



FIG. 16: (Color online.) (a) Static autocorrelation functions  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) static cross-correlation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$  measured at t = 250 MCS for the four RPS model variants described in Table I on a one-dimensional lattice with 50000 sites, averaged over 50 simulation runs.



FIG. 17: (Color online.) (a) Mean extinction time as function of lattice size N, obtained from averages over 1000 Monte Carlo runs, in one dimension. (b) Histogram of the measured extinction times for model variant 2 with N = 30; compare Figs. 5a and 5b.

the mean extinction time appears to follow a power law  $T_{\rm ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$ , as proposed in Refs. [16, 34–36]. However, a best power-law fit yields variable effective exponents  $T_{\rm ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma$  ranging from  $\sim 1.5$  to  $\sim 1.8$  if we fit the data up to N = 50 or N = 200, respectively, rather than  $\gamma = 2$  [34] or  $\gamma = 1$  [16, 35, 36]. Biasing the data towards smaller systems for which the statistical errors are likely better controlled, our results may even be consistent with the mean-field value  $\gamma = 1$ . Note, however, that the extinction time distribution acquires even fatter tails at large times than in two dimensions, see Fig. 17b, and rare long survival events dominate the averages and induce large statistical fluctuations. The mean extinction time alone therefore poorly characterizes the extinction kinetics. When the reaction rates are chosen asymmetric, we have checked that only the 'weakest' species with the smallest predation rate survives, whereas the other two species are driven to extinction [17].

### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied several variants of the stochastic four-state rock-paper-scissors (RPS) game on two- and one-dimensional lattices with periodic boundary conditions. In two dimensions, owing to the strict (local) conservation of the total particle number, one does not observe the formation of spiral patterns; the three species simply form small clusters. In fact, spatial correlations are weak in the stationary state, and the system is remarkably well described by the mean-field rate equation approximation. Typical extinction times scale exponentially with system size [28], resulting in coexistence of all three species already on moderately large lattices. We find the characteristic initial oscillation frequency to be proportional to the reaction rate and total particle density, as predicted by mean-field theory.

We observe that in contrast with the related twospecies stochastic Lotka–Volterra predator–prey system,

- R. M. May, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974).
- [2] S. J. Maynard, *Models in Ecology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974).
- [3] R. E. Michod, *Darwinian Dynamics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2000).
- [4] R. V. Sole and J. Basecompte, Self-Organization in Complex Ecosystems (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2006).
- [5] D. Neal, Introduction to Population Biology (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [6] A. J. Lotka, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 42, 1595 (1920).
- [7] V. Volterra, Mem. Accad. Lincei. 2, 31 (1926).
- [8] S. J. Maynard, *Evolution and the Theory of Games* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
- J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, Evolutionary games and population dynamics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [10] R. M. Nowak, Evolutionary Dynamics (Belknap Press, Cambridge(USA), 2006).
- [11] G. Szabó, Evolutionary games on graphs, Phys. Rep. 446, 97 (2007).
- [12] D. Volovik, M. Mobilia, and S. Redner, Eur. Phys. Lett.

9

neither site occupation number restrictions nor quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates markedly modify the populations' temporal evolution, species density Fourier signals, or equal-time spatial correlation functions. This observation holds for models with symmetric as well as asymmetric reaction rates, and even if spatial variability is introduced only for the competition of one species pair. On the basis of the mean-field results, this very weak disorder effect is a consequence of the essentially linear dependence of the stationary densities on the reaction rates k; averaging over a symmetric distribution just yields the average. In the two-species Lotka–Volterra model, both the asymptotic predator and prey densities are inversely proportional to the predation rate, and averaging over a distribution of the latter strongly biases towards small rate values and large densities [31]. Both in the twoand cyclic three-species systems, spatially variable rates induce stronger localization of the species clusters.

In one dimension, two species are driven towards extinction with the mean extinction time  $T_{\rm ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma \approx 1...1.8$  (with large error bars), and only a single species survives. The distribution of extinction times displays fat long-time tails. We confirm that the single-species domains grow with the predicted power law  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle \sim t^{3/4}$ [18, 19].

## Acknowledgments

This work is in part supported by Virginia Tech's Institute for Critical Technology and Applied Science (IC-TAS) through a Doctoral Scholarship. We gratefully acknowledge inspiring discussions with Sven Dorosz, Michel Pleimling, Matthew Raum, and Siddharth Venkat.

**85**, 480003 (2009).

- [13] B. Sinervo and C.M. Lively, Nature **380**, 240 (1996).
- [14] K. R. Zamudio and B. Sinervo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97, 14427 (2000).
- [15] B. Kerr, M. A. Riley, M. W. Feldman, and B. J. M. Bohannan, Nature 418, 171 (2002).
- [16] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E. 74, 051906 (2006).
- [17] M. Berr, T. Reichenbach, M. Schottenloer, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 048102 (2009).
- [18] L. Frachebourg, P. L. Krapivsky, and E. Ben-Naim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2125 (1996).
- [19] L. Frachebourg, P. L. Krapivsky, and E. Ben-Naim, Phys. Rev. E. 54, 6186 (1996).
- [20] A. Provata, G. Nicolis, and F. Baras, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 8361 (1999).
- [21] S. Venkat and M. Pleimling, Phys. Rev. E 81, 021917 (2010).
- [22] K. Tainaka, Phys. Rev. E 50, 3401 (1994).
- [23] G. Szabó and A. Szolnoki, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036115 (2002).
- [24] M. Perc, A. Szolnoki and G. Szabo, Phys. Rev. E 65, 052102 (2007).

- [25] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Nature 448, 1046-1049(2007).
- [26] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 238105 (2007).
- [27] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Banach Center Publications Vol.80, 259 (2008).
- [28] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, J. Theor. Biol. 254, 368 (2008).
- [29] G. A. Tsekouras and A. Provata, Phys. Rev. E. 65, 016204 (2001).
- [30] M. Peltomäki and M. Alava, Phys. Rev. E. 78, 031906 (2008).

- [31] U. Dobramysl and U. C. Täuber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 258102 (2008).
- [32] M. Mobilia, I. T. Georgiev, and U. C. Täuber, J. Stat. Phys. **128**, 447 (2007); and Refs. therein.
- [33] M. J. Washenberger, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 19, 065139 (2007).
- [34] M. Schütt and J.C. Claussen, e-print arXiv:1003.2427.
- [35] M. Parker and A. Kamenev, Phys. Rev. E 80, 021129 (2009).
- [36] A. Dobrienski and E. Frey, e-print arXiv:1001.5235.