

ON HOMEOMORPHISM GROUPS OF NON-COMPACT SURFACES, ENDOWED WITH THE WHITNEY TOPOLOGY

TARAS BANAKH, KOTARO MINE, KATSURO SAKAI, AND TATSUHIKO YAGASAKI

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any non-compact connected surface M the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ of compactly supported homeomorphisms of M endowed with the Whitney topology is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$ or $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to studying the topological structure of (the identity component $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ of) the homeomorphism group $\mathcal{H}(M)$ of a non-compact connected surface M . By a *surface* we understand a σ -compact 2-manifold M possibly with boundary ∂M . By [13], each surface M admits a combinatorial triangulation, unique up to PL-homeomorphisms. If necessary, we fix a triangulation of M and regard M as a PL 2-manifold. A *subpolyhedron* of M means a subpolyhedron with respect to a PL-structure on M .

For a surface M let $\mathcal{H}(M)$ denote the homeomorphism group of M endowed with the Whitney topology. This topology is generated by the base consisting of the sets

$$\Gamma_U = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(M) : \Gamma_f \subset U\},$$

where U runs over open subsets of $M \times M$ and $\Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in M\}$ stands for the graph of a function $f : M \rightarrow M$. If M is compact, then the Whitney topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ coincides with the compact-open topology. By [4, Proposition 3.2], $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is a topological group.

Given a subset $K \subset M$, consider the subgroup

$$\mathcal{H}(M; K) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(M) : f|_K = \text{id}_K\} \subset \mathcal{H}(M).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ be the identity connected component of $\mathcal{H}(M; K)$. This is the largest connected subset that contains the neutral element id_M of the group $\mathcal{H}(M; K)$. By [4, Proposition 3.3] $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ lies in the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ of $\mathcal{H}(M; K)$ that consists of all homeomorphisms $f \in \mathcal{H}(M; K)$ having compact support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \text{cl}_M\{x \in M : f(x) \neq x\} \subset M.$$

We write $\mathcal{H}(M)$, $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ instead of $\mathcal{H}(M; \emptyset)$, $\mathcal{H}_0(M; \emptyset)$, and $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \emptyset)$.

The local topological structure of the groups $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ and $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ was studied in [4] for the case when K is a subpolyhedron in a surface M . It was shown in [4] that the topological group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ is

- an l_2 -manifold if $\text{cl}_M(M \setminus K)$ is compact;
- an $(\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2)$ -manifold, otherwise.

Here \mathbb{R}^∞ is the direct limit of the tower

$$\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \dots$$

of Euclidean spaces, where each \mathbb{R}^n is identified with the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Another result of [4] says that the topological group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ is locally contractible and hence the connected component $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S05; 57N05; 57N20; 46A13.

Key words and phrases. homeomorphism group, surface, LF-space, mapping class group.

The last author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.22540081).

homeomorphic to the product $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K) \times \mathcal{M}_c(M; K)$ of the connected group $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ and the discrete quotient group

$$\mathcal{M}_c(M; K) = \mathcal{H}_c(M; K) / \mathcal{H}_0(M; K),$$

which can be called the *mapping class group* of the pair (M, K) . Therefore, the topological type of the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ is completely determined by that of $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ and the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}_c(M; K)$.

The topological structure of the group $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is well-understood in case of a compact connected surface M . The following classification result belongs to M. Hamstrom [8] (cf. [18]).

Theorem 1 (Hamstrom). *For a subpolyhedron $K \subsetneq M$ in a compact connected surface M the group $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is homeomorphic to*

- $\mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$ if the pair (M, K) is homeomorphic to one of the pairs:
 $(\mathbb{D}, \emptyset), (\mathbb{D}, \{0\}), (\mathbb{A}, \emptyset), (\mathbb{S}^2, 1pt), (\mathbb{S}^2, 2pt), (\mathbb{P}, 1pt), (\mathbb{M}, \emptyset)$ or (\mathbb{K}, \emptyset) ;
- $\mathbb{T} \times l_2$ if (M, K) is homeomorphic to (\mathbb{T}, \emptyset) ;
- $SO(3) \times l_2$ if (M, K) is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{S}^2, \emptyset)$ or (\mathbb{P}, \emptyset) ;
- l_2 in all other cases.

Here \mathbb{D} denotes the disk, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{D} \# \mathbb{D}$ the annulus, \mathbb{P} the projective plane, $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{P} \# \mathbb{D}$ the Möbius band, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{P} \# \mathbb{P}$ the Klein bottle, and \mathbb{T} the torus. As expected, \mathbb{S}^n denotes the n -dimensional sphere. For two surfaces M, N by $M \# N$ we denote their connected sum. The connected sum $M \# \mathbb{D}$ is homeomorphic to M with removed open disk.

The classification Theorem 1 is completed by the following theorem that will be proved in Section 3.

Theorem 2. *For a subpolyhedron $K \subsetneq M$ in a non-compact connected surface M the group $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is homeomorphic to*

- (1) l_2 if $\text{cl}_M(M \setminus K)$ is compact;
- (2) $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$, otherwise.

For non-compact graphs a counterpart of Theorem 2 was proved in [3].

Next, we calculate the cardinality of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_c(M) = \mathcal{H}_c(M) / \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ of a connected surface M . For compact connected surfaces the following classification is known, see [5], [7, Ch.2], [9], [10], [11], [15], [16, §4.4].

Theorem 3. *For a compact connected surface M the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is isomorphic to*

- the trivial group if M is homeomorphic to \mathbb{P} ;
- \mathbb{Z}_2 if M is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{D} , or \mathbb{M} ;
- D_4 if M is homeomorphic to \mathbb{A} or \mathbb{K} ;
- D_8 if M is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{M} \# \mathbb{D}$, the Möbius band with a hole;
- D_{12} if M is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{D} \# \mathbb{D} \# \mathbb{D}$, the disk with two holes;
- $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ if M is homeomorphic to the torus \mathbb{T} ;
- an infinite group in all other cases.

Here $D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = baba = 1 \rangle$ is the dihedral group (of isometries of the regular n -gon) having $2n$ elements. It should be mentioned that the group D_2 is isomorphic to (\cong) the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, D_6 is isomorphic to the symmetric group Σ_3 , and $D_{12} \cong D_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \Sigma_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

We complete Theorem 3 by the following theorem that will be proved in Section 4.

Theorem 4. *For a non-compact connected surface M , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is trivial;
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is a torsion group¹;
- (3) M is homeomorphic to $X \setminus K$, where $X = \mathbb{A}$, \mathbb{D} or \mathbb{M} , and K is a non-empty compact subset of a boundary circle of X .

To distinguish the surfaces appearing in Theorems 1, 3, 4, let us introduce the following terminology:

¹A group G is called *torsion* if each element of G has finite order.

Definition 1. A surface M is called *exceptional* if it is homeomorphic to one of the surfaces: \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{P} , \mathbb{K} , $\mathbb{M}\#\mathbb{D}$, $\mathbb{D}\#\mathbb{D}\#\mathbb{D}$ or $X \setminus K$, where $X = \mathbb{A}$, \mathbb{D} or \mathbb{M} and K is a (possibly empty) compact subset of a boundary circle of X .

Unifying Theorems 1–4, we get the following table describing all possible topological types of the homeomorphism groups $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ of a connected surface M . In this table cardinal numbers (identified with the sets of smaller ordinals) are endowed with the discrete topology.

M	$\mathcal{M}_c(M)$	$\mathcal{H}_0(M)$	$\mathcal{H}_c(M)$
\mathbb{P}	\mathbb{Z}_1	$SO(3) \times l_2$	$SO(3) \times l_2$
\mathbb{S}^2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$SO(3) \times l_2$	$2 \times SO(3) \times l_2$
\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$	$2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$
\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K}	D_4	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$	$4 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$
$\mathbb{M}\#\mathbb{D}$	D_8	l_2	$8 \times l_2$
$\mathbb{D}\#\mathbb{D}\#\mathbb{D}$	D_{12}	l_2	$12 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$
\mathbb{T}	$GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{T} \times l_2$	$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{T} \times l_2$
compact, non-exceptional	infinite	l_2	$\mathbb{N} \times l_2$
non-compact, exceptional	trivial	$\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$	$\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$
non-compact, non-exceptional	infinite	$\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$	$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$

It is interesting to compare the classification Theorem 2 with the following result on the compact-open topology due to the last author [18].

Theorem 5 (Yagasaki). *For a non-compact connected surface M the identity component of the homeomorphism group $\mathcal{H}(M)$ endowed with the compact-open topology is homeomorphic to:*

- (1) $\mathbb{S}^1 \times l_2$ if M is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \infty)$ or $\mathbb{M} \setminus \partial\mathbb{M}$;
- (2) l_2 in all other cases.

2. RECOGNIZING TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS HOMEOMORPHIC TO $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$

In this section we recall a criterion for recognizing topological groups homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$, which is proved in [2] and is based upon the topological characterization of the space $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$ given in [1]. First we recall some necessary definitions.

A subgroup H of a topological group G is called *locally topologically complemented* (LTC) in G if H is closed in G and the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/H = \{xH : x \in G\}$ is a locally trivial bundle, which happens if and only if q has a local section at some point of G/H . Here, a *local section* of a map $q : X \rightarrow Y$ at a point $y \in Y$ means a continuous map $s : U \rightarrow X$ defined on a neighborhood U of y in Y such that $q \circ s = \text{id}_U$. (As usual, id_U denotes the inclusion map $U \subset Y$.) We understand that the distinguished point of a group G is its neutral element e , and for a subgroup H the distinguished element of the quotient space G/H is the coset $\bar{e} = eH$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose G is a topological group and $K \subset H$ are closed subgroups of G .*

- (1) *If G is metrizable, then so is the quotient space G/H .*
- (2) *If K is LTC in H and H is LTC in G , then K is LTC in G .*
- (3) *If H is LTC in G , then the map $\pi : G/K \rightarrow G/H$, $\pi(gK) = gH$, is a locally trivial bundle with the fiber H/K .*

Proof. (1) Being metrizable, the group G admits a right invariant metric d generating the topology of G . Then the topology of the quotient space G/H is generated by the metric ρ defined by

$$\rho(xH, yH) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in xH, b \in yH\} \quad (xH, yH \in G/H).$$

(2) By the assumption, the projection $G \rightarrow G/H$ has a local section $\sigma : (W, \bar{e}) \rightarrow (G, e)$ at $\bar{e} \in G/H$ and the projection $H \rightarrow H/K$ also has a local section $\tau : U \rightarrow H$ at $\bar{e} \in H/K$. Consider the projection $\pi : G/K \rightarrow G/H$. The map σ determines the map $\sigma_0 : \pi^{-1}(W) \rightarrow H/K$, $\sigma_0(x) = \sigma(\pi(x))^{-1}x$. Since

$\sigma_0(\bar{e}) = \bar{e} \in U$, there exists an open neighborhood V of \bar{e} in $\pi^{-1}(W)$ such that $\sigma_0(V) \subset U$. The required local section $s : V \rightarrow G$ for the projection $G \rightarrow G/K$ is defined by $s(x) = \sigma(\pi(x))\tau(\sigma_0(x))$.

(3) The projection $G \rightarrow G/H$ has a local section $\sigma : W \rightarrow G$ at any point $x_0 \in G/H$. The associated trivialization $\phi : W \times H/K \approx \pi^{-1}(W)$ is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sigma(x)y$. \square

Remark 1. We can also show the following statements. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G and K is an open normal subgroup of H .

- (1) The natural map $\xi : G/K \rightarrow G/H$, $\xi(gK) = gH$, is a covering map with fiber H/K .
- (2) H is locally topologically complemented in G if and only if so is K in G .

Following [2], we say that a topological group G carries the *strong topology* with respect to a tower of subgroups

$$G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots$$

if $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$ and for any neighborhood U_n of the neutral element e in G_n , $n \in \omega$, the group product

$$\prod_{n \in \omega} U_n = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_0 U_1 \cdots U_n$$

is a neighborhood of e in G .

Let us recall that a closed subset A of a topological space X is called a (*strong*) *Z-set* in X if for any open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that f is \mathcal{U} -near to the identity $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$ and (the closure $\overline{f(X)}$ of) the set $f(X)$ does not intersect A . A point x of X is called a (*strong*) *Z-point* if the singleton $\{x\}$ is a (strong) *Z-set* in X . Every point in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifold is a strong *Z-point*.

The following theorem proved in [2] will be our main instrument in the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 6. *A non-metrizable topological group G is homeomorphic to the space $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$ if G carries the strong topology with respect to a tower of subgroups $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that each group G_n is homeomorphic to l_2 , is locally topologically complemented in G_{n+1} , and each *Z-point* of the quotient space G_{n+1}/G_n is a strong *Z-point*.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Suppose M is a non-compact connected surface and $K \subsetneq M$ is a subpolyhedron of M (with respect to some PL-structure τ of M). The boundary and interior of M as a manifold are denoted by ∂M and $\text{Int } M$, while the topological closure, interior and frontier of a subset A in M are denoted by the symbols $\text{cl}_M A$, $\text{int}_M A$ and $\text{bd}_M A$ respectively.

Case (1) follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *If $\text{cl}_M(M \setminus K)$ is compact, then $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K) \approx l_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is open in $\mathcal{H}(M; K)$.*

Proof. There exists a compact connected PL 2-submanifold N of (M, τ) such that $\text{cl}_M(M \setminus K) \subset N$. By the Hamstrom's Theorem 1, $\mathcal{H}_0(N; N \cap K) \approx l_2$. In fact, $N \cap K$ contains the frontier $\text{bd}_M N$, which is a 1-manifold and is nonempty since M is connected. By [18] $\mathcal{H}(N; N \cap K)$ is an l_2 -manifold (in particular, it is locally connected). The conclusion now follows from $(\mathcal{H}(M; K), \text{id}_M) \approx (\mathcal{H}(N; N \cap K), \text{id}_N)$. \square

Below we assume that $\text{cl}_M(M \setminus K)$ is non-compact. Then the surface M can be represented as the countable union $M = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_n$ of compact subpolyhedra M_n , $n \in \omega$, of (M, τ) such that $M_n \subset \text{int}_M M_{n+1}$ and $\text{int}_M M_n \not\subset K$ for each $n \in \omega$. Consider the subpolyhedra $\tilde{M}_n = M \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$ and $K_n = K \cup \tilde{M}_n$ ($n \in \omega$) of (M, τ) . Then we obtain the subgroups $H = \mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ and $H_n = \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ ($n \in \omega$) of $\mathcal{H}(M; K)$. Since $K_n \supset K_{n+1} \supset K$ we have $H_n \subset H_{n+1}$ ($n \in \omega$) and $H = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} H_n$.

Our main concern is the identity connected components $G = \mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ and $G_n = \mathcal{H}_0(M; K_n)$ ($n \in \omega$). Note that G is not metrizable and it coincides with the identity connected component of the group H . In Lemmas 3–5 below we show that the tower of subgroups G_n , $n \in \omega$, of the group G satisfies the conditions in Theorem 6. This implies Case (2).

Lemma 3. (1) $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$. (2) $G_n \approx l_2$ and G_n is open in $H_n = \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Proof. (1) First note that $G = \mathcal{H}_0(M; K)$ is path-connected since the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ is locally path-connected by [4, Theorem 6.5] and G is the identity connected component of $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$. Hence any $h \in G$ can be joined to id_M by an arc A in G . By [4, Proposition 3.3] the compact subset A lies in $\mathcal{H}(M; \check{M}_n)$ for some $n \in \omega$. Since

$$\text{id}_M \in A \subset \mathcal{H}(M; \check{M}_n) \cap \mathcal{H}_0(M; K) \subset \mathcal{H}(M; K_n),$$

the connectedness of A implies that $h \in A \subset G_n = \mathcal{H}_0(M; K_n)$.

(2) The assertion follows from Lemma 2 since $K_n \subsetneq M$ and $M \setminus K_n$ is included in the compact subset \check{M}_n . \square

To check the LTC condition and the Z -point property in Theorem 6 for the tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ we need a result on embedding spaces. For closed subsets $L \subset N$ of M let $\mathcal{E}_L^*(N, M)$ denote the space of closed embeddings $f : N \rightarrow M$ such that $f|_L = \text{id}_L$ and $f^{-1}(\partial M) = N \cap \partial M$. The space $\mathcal{E}_L^*(N, M)$ is endowed with the compact open topology. The following basic fact is due to Yagasaki [17], and in its present form can be found in [4, Theorem 6.7]. One should note that the assertion was verified in [12] in the important case that $K = \emptyset$ and L is either a proper arc, an orientation-preserving circle or a compact 2-submanifold of M .

Theorem 7 (Yagasaki). *Suppose $L \subset N$ are two subpolyhedra of a surface M with compact closure $\text{cl}_M(N \setminus K)$.*

(1) *The restriction map*

$$R : \mathcal{H}(M, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_L^*(N, M), \quad R(h) = h|_N$$

has a local section $s : (\mathcal{U}, \text{id}_N) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_0(M, L), \text{id}_M)$ at the inclusion $\text{id}_N : N \subset M$.

(2) (i) *$\text{Im } R$ is an open neighborhood of the inclusion id_N in $\mathcal{E}_L^*(N, M)$.*

(ii) *The map $R : \mathcal{H}(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Im } R$ is a principal $\mathcal{H}(M, N)$ -bundle. (In particular, the map R is an open map.)*

(3) *The space $\mathcal{E}_L^*(N, M)$ is an l_2 -manifold if $\dim(N \setminus L) \geq 1$.*

Lemma 4. (1) *The subgroup H_m is LTC in H_n and the quotient space H_n/H_m is an l_2 -manifold for any $m \leq n$ in ω .*

(2) *The subgroup G_m is LTC in G_n and the quotient space G_n/G_m is an l_2 -manifold (in particular, every point of G_n/G_m is a strong Z -point) for any $m \leq n$ in ω .*

Proof. (1) The group $H_n = \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ acts continuously on the space $\mathcal{E}_{K_n}^*(K_m, M)$ by the left composition. Under this action the subgroup H_m is the isotropy group of id_{K_m} and the restriction map

$$R : H_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{K_n}^*(K_m, M), \quad R : h \mapsto h|_{K_m},$$

coincides with the orbit map at id_{K_m} . Hence we have the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_n & \\ r \swarrow & & \searrow R \\ H_n/H_m & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi} & \text{Im } R \subset \mathcal{E}_{K_n}^*(K_m, M), \end{array}$$

where the map r is the natural projection and the induced map ϕ is defined by $\phi(hH) = h|_{K_m}$. Note that the map ϕ is a homeomorphism since ϕ is bijective and both maps r and R are open continuous surjections. Therefore, by Theorem 7 the map r has a continuous section and H_n/H_m is an l_2 -manifold.

(2) First consider the subgroups $H_n \supset H_m \supset G_m$. By Lemma 3 the subgroup G_m is open in H_m and H_m/G_m is discrete. Hence G_m is TC in H_m and by (1) and Lemma 1 (2) it follows that G_m is LTC in H_n . From (1) and Lemma 1 (3) it also follows that the projection $\pi : H_n/G_m \rightarrow H_n/H_m$ is a locally trivial bundle with the discrete fiber H_m/G_m . This implies that H_n/G_m is also an l_2 -manifold. In fact,

H_n/G_m is locally homeomorphic to l_2 since H_n/H_m is an l_2 -manifold by (1) and the metrizability of H_n/G_m follows from that of H_n and Lemma 1 (1).

Since G_n is an open subgroup of H_n and $G_m \subset G_n$, one sees that G_n/G_m is an open neighborhood of the distinguished element $\bar{e} = eG_m$ in H_n/G_m . Hence any local section $s : (U, \bar{e}) \rightarrow (H_n, e)$ of the projection $H_n \rightarrow H_n/G_m$ restricts to a local section of the projection $G_n \rightarrow G_n/G_m$. This means that G_m is LTC in G_n . Since H_n/G_m is an l_2 -manifold, so is the open subset G_n/G_m . This completes the proof. \square

It remains to check the strong topology condition for the tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$. This would be derived from Theorem 7 and general arguments on transformation groups with strong topology in [4, Section 5]. However, for the convenience of the reader, here we include its direct self-contained proof and avoid exceeding generality on related subjects.

Let us recall some notation. For covers \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} of a space M and a subset $A \subset M$ we put $\mathcal{St}(A, \mathcal{V}) = \cup\{V \in \mathcal{V} : A \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = \{\mathcal{St}(U, \mathcal{V}) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, and $\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$. We write $\mathcal{U} \prec \mathcal{V}$ if each set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ lies in some set $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

For two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow M$ we write $(f, g) \prec \mathcal{U}$ if for each point $x \in X$ the doubleton $\{f(x), g(x)\}$ lies in some subset $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

By [4, Proposition 3.1] the sets $B(\text{id}_M, \mathcal{U}) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}(M) : (h, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{U}\}$, $\mathcal{U} \in \text{cov}(M)$, form a neighborhood base of the Whitney topology at the neutral element id_M of the homeomorphism group $\mathcal{H}(M)$. Here $\text{cov}(M)$ denotes the family of all open covers of M .

Lemma 5. *For any neighborhood U_n of id_M in $G_n = \mathcal{H}_0(M; K_n)$, $n \in \omega$, the product $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{n \in \omega} U_n$ is a neighborhood of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$.*

Proof. We may assume that each U_n is symmetric (i.e., $U_n = U_n^{-1}$). It suffices to show that the set

$$\left(\overrightarrow{\prod}_{n \in \omega} U_n \right)^{-1} = \overleftarrow{\prod}_{n \in \omega} U_n^{-1} = \overleftarrow{\prod}_{n \in \omega} U_n = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \cdots U_0$$

is a neighborhood of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$.

By Theorem 7, for every $n \in \omega$ the restriction map

$$R_n : G_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{K_n}^*(K_{n-1}, M), \quad R_n : h \mapsto h|_{K_{n-1}}$$

has a local section $s_n : (V_n, \text{id}_{K_{n-1}}) \rightarrow (G_n, \text{id}_M)$ at $\text{id}_{K_{n-1}}$.

Inductively we can find covers $\mathcal{U}_n, \mathcal{V}_n \in \text{cov}(M)$, $n \in \omega$, satisfying the next conditions for each $n \in \omega$:

- (1) (i) $\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_n) \prec \mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ (where $\mathcal{V}_{-1} = \{M\}$);
- (ii) If $h \in \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ and $(h, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{U}_n$, then $h \in U_n$;
- (2) (i) $\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_n) \prec \mathcal{U}_n$;
- (ii) If $f \in \mathcal{E}_{K_n}^*(K_{n-1}, M)$ and $(f, \text{id}_{K_{n-1}}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_n)$, then $f \in V_n$ and $(s_n(f), \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{U}_n$.

By the induction on $n \in \omega$ we shall verify the following assertion:

$(*)_n$ If $h \in \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ and $(h|_{K_{m-1}}, \text{id}_{K_{m-1}}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1}))$ for each $m \in \omega$ with $m \leq n$, then $h \in U_n \cdots U_0$.

$(*)_0$: Since $h \in \mathcal{H}(M; K_0)$ and

$$(h, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_1)) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_0) = \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_0) \prec \mathcal{U}_0,$$

(1)(ii) implies $h \in U_0$.

$(*)_n \Rightarrow (*)_{n+1}$: Suppose $h \in \mathcal{H}(M; K_{n+1})$ satisfies the condition in $(*)_{n+1}$. Since

$$(h|_{K_n}, \text{id}_{K_n}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+2})) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}, \mathcal{V}_{n+1}) = \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}),$$

the homeomorphism $g = s_{n+1}(h|_{K_n})$ is well-defined and satisfies $(g, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ by (2)(ii) and $g \in U_{n+1}$ by (1)(ii).

Next we see that $f := g^{-1}h$ satisfies the condition in $(*)_n$. Since $h|_{K_n} = g|_{K_n}$, we have $f := g^{-1}h \in \mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$. For each $m \leq n$, since

$$(h|_{K_{m-1}}, \text{id}_{K_{m-1}}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+2})) \quad \text{and} \quad (g^{-1}, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{U}_{n+1},$$

it follows that

$$(f|_{K_{m-1}}, \text{id}_{K_{m-1}}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+2})), \mathcal{U}_{n+1}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{U}_{n+1}), \mathcal{U}_{n+1}) \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})).$$

The assumption $(*)_n$ now implies $f \in U_n \cdots U_0$ and so $h = gf \in U_{n+1}U_n \cdots U_0$ as required.

Finally, we choose a cover $\mathcal{V} \in \text{cov}(M)$ such that

$$\{\mathcal{St}(x, \mathcal{V}) : x \in \check{M}_{n-1}\} \prec \mathcal{V}_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \omega.$$

Then, $B(\text{id}_M, \mathcal{V}) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_c(M; K) : (h, \text{id}_M) \prec \mathcal{V}\}$ is a neighborhood of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_c(M; K)$ and we have $B(\text{id}_M, \mathcal{V}) \subset \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$. Indeed, any $h \in B(\text{id}_M, \mathcal{V})$ lies some $\mathcal{H}(M; K_n)$ and the choice of the cover \mathcal{V} implies that

$$(h|_{K_{m-1}}, \text{id}_{K_{m-1}}) \prec \mathcal{V}_m \prec \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{St}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})) \quad \text{for all } m \leq n.$$

Therefore, the assertion $(*)_n$ yields $h \in U_n \cdots U_0$. □

4. MAPPING CLASS GROUPS OF NON-COMPACT SURFACES

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 4 announced in Introduction. For a non-compact connected surface M we need to check the equivalence of the following three conditions:

- (1) the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is trivial;
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is a torsion group;
- (3) the surface M is exceptional.

Let us recall that a non-compact surface M is exceptional if it is homeomorphic to $(\approx) X \setminus K$ where X is the annulus \mathbb{A} , the disk \mathbb{D} or the Möbius band \mathbb{M} and K is a non-empty compact subset of a boundary circle of X . A subsurface of M means a subpolyhedron N of M such that N is a 2-manifold and $\text{bd}_M N$ is transverse to ∂M so that $\text{bd}_M N$ is a proper 1-submanifold of M and $M \setminus \text{int}_M N$ is also a 2-manifold.

We shall establish the implications $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$, the first of which is trivial. First we verify the implication $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Lemma 6. *If M is exceptional, then the group $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Take any $h \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$. We have to show that $h \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$. In the case $X = \mathbb{A}$, let C_0 denote the boundary circle of X which does not meet K . We can find a compact subsurface $N \subset M$ such that $\text{supp}(h) \subset N$, $N \approx X$ and $C_0 \subset N$ if $X = \mathbb{A}$. Let C denote the boundary circle of N different from C_0 . The frontier $\text{bd}_M N$ of N in M is a compact 1-submanifold of C , which is nonempty by the connectedness of M .

Since $N \approx \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}$ or \mathbb{A} and C is a boundary circle of N , it follows that $\mathcal{H}(N, C)$ is path-connected (apply [6, Theorem 3.4] in the case $N \approx \mathbb{M}$). As is easily observed, any $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}(N, \text{bd}_M N)$ is isotopic to some $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}(N, C)$ in $\mathcal{H}(N, \text{bd}_M N)$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(N, \text{bd}_M N)$ is also path-connected. Since $\mathcal{H}(M, M \setminus \text{int}_M N) \approx \mathcal{H}(N, \text{bd}_M N)$, we have $h \in \mathcal{H}(M, M \setminus \text{int}_M N) \subset \mathcal{H}_0(M)$. This completes the proof. □

The proof of the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is a bit longer and is preceded by three lemmas. The first of them is taken from [18, Fact 3.2(ii)].

Lemma 7. *Every boundary circle C of a non-compact connected surface M is a retract of M .*

We shall say that an isotopy $h : M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$ has *compact support* if there is a compact set $K \subset M$ such that $h(x, t) = x$ for all $x \in M \setminus K$ and $t \in \mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$.

Lemma 8. *A homeomorphism $h \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ if and only if there is an isotopy $H : M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$ from h to id_M with compact support.*

Proof. The “if” part is obvious because each isotopy from $h \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ to id_M with compact support induces a continuous path in $\mathcal{H}(M)$ from h to id_M , which yields $h \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$.

Conversely, each $h \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ can be joined to id_M by a path $\gamma : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ (because $\mathcal{H}_0(M) \approx \mathbb{R}^\infty \times l_2$ is path-connected). Since $\gamma(\mathbb{I})$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$, by [4, Proposition 3.3] $\gamma(\mathbb{I}) \subset \mathcal{H}(M, M \setminus K)$ for some compact subset K of M . This means that the isotopy induced from γ has compact support. \square

Our third lemma detects some surfaces M with non-torsion mapping class groups.

Lemma 9. $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ contains an infinite cyclic subgroup in any of the following cases:

- (1) M contains a handle;
- (2) M contains at least two disjoint Möbius bands (i.e., M contains a Klein bottle with a hole);
- (3) M contains at least two boundary circles;
- (4) M contains a Möbius band and a boundary circle;
- (5) M is separated by a circle $C \subset \text{Int } M$ into two non-compact connected subsurfaces L_1 and L_2 .

Proof. (1) Assume that M contains a handle H . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let h_n denote the n -fold Dehn twist along the meridian m of the handle H . Then, $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ iff $n = 0$. In fact, if h_n is isotopic to id_M , then $h_n \ell \simeq \ell$ in M for the longitude ℓ of H . Since there is a retraction of M onto H by Lemma 7, we have $h_n \ell \simeq \ell$ in H , and this implies that $n = 0$.

(2) When M contains two disjoint Möbius bands, we can connect them by a band to obtain a Klein bottle K with a hole. We can find two circles m and ℓ (a meridian and a longitude) in K which meet transversely at one point a and such that K is obtained by attaching a 2-disk to the wedge $\ell \cup m$ along the loop $\ell m \ell^{-1} m$ and removing a small disk from this disk (cf. [14, p. 107]). For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let h_n denote the n -fold Dehn twist along m . Then, $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ iff $n = 0$. In fact, if h_n is isotopic to id_M , then $m^n \ell \simeq h_n \ell \simeq \ell$ in M . Since M retracts onto K by Lemma 7 and K retracts onto $\ell \cup m$, we have $m^n \ell \simeq \ell$ in $\ell \cup m$. By contracting ℓ onto the point a , we have $m^n \simeq *$ in m , which implies that $n = 0$.

(3) If M contains two boundary circles C_1, C_2 , then we can connect them by an arc and take a regular neighborhood of their union to obtain a disk D with two holes, which has the two boundary circles C_1, C_2 and another boundary circle $C_0 = \text{bd}_M D \subset M \setminus \partial M$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let h_n denote the n -fold Dehn twist along a circle in D parallel to C_0 . Then, $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ iff $n = 0$. In fact, if $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$, then by Lemma 8 there is an isotopy $h_n \simeq \text{id}_M$ with a compact support K . Take a path ℓ in M connecting a point $a \in C_1$ with a point $b \in M \setminus (D \cup K)$ and crossing the circle C_0 once. Then, we have $\ell_n \equiv h_n \ell \simeq \ell$ in M , where the homotopy keeps the end point a in C_1 and fixes the end point b . Thus, if we retract M onto D and collapse the circle C_1 to a point, then we obtain an annulus A with the boundary circles C_2 and C_0 and a homotopy $\hat{\ell}_n \simeq \hat{\ell}$ rel. endpoints in A . This induces a homotopy of the loops $C_0^n \simeq \hat{\ell}_n \hat{\ell}^{-1} \simeq *$ in A , which means that $n = 0$.

(4) If M contains a boundary circle and a Möbius band, then we can connect them by an arc and take a regular neighborhood of their union to obtain a Möbius band N with a hole. Then, $C_0 = \text{bd}_M N$ is a circle in $M \setminus \partial M$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let h_n denote the n -fold Dehn twist along a circle in N parallel to C_0 . Then, $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ iff $n = 0$. Indeed, if $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$, then we can proceed in the same way as in (3) to obtain a Möbius band with the boundary circle C_0 and a homotopy $C_0^n \simeq *$, which means $n = 0$.

(5) For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by h_n the n -fold Dehn twist along the circle C . Then, $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ iff $n = 0$. In fact, if $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_0(M)$, then there exists an isotopy $h_n \simeq \text{id}_M$ with a compact support K . We can find a path ℓ in M connecting a point in $L_1 \setminus K$ with a point of $L_2 \setminus K$ and crossing C once. Then, $h_n \ell \simeq \ell$ in M rel. end points and so $C^n \simeq (h_n \ell) \ell^{-1} \simeq *$ in M . Since M retracts onto C by Lemma 7, we have $C^n \simeq *$ in C , which implies that $n = 0$. \square

With Lemma 9 in hands, we are now able to prove the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) of Theorem 4.

Lemma 10. If $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is a torsion group, then the surface M is exceptional.

Proof. Assuming that $\mathcal{M}_c(M)$ is a torsion group and applying Lemma 9, we conclude that M contains at most one Möbius band, at most one boundary circle (but not simultaneously), no handle, and no circle separating M into two non-compact connected subsurfaces.

Write M as the union $M = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_n$ of compact connected subsurfaces M_n such that

- (1) $M_n \subset \text{int}_M M_{n+1}$,
- (2) if L is a connected component of $\check{M}_n = M \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$, then L is non-compact and $L \cap M_{n+1}$ is connected,
- (3) if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ then $M_0 \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$.

First we shall show the following claim:

Claim. *Every M_n has exactly one boundary circle meeting \check{M}_n .*

Each connected component L of \check{M}_n meets exactly one boundary circle of M_n . In fact, if L meets two boundary circles C_1 and C_2 of M_n , then we can find a circle C_0 which meets C_1 exactly at one point. This implies that M contains a handle or a Klein bottle with a hole. But this contradicts Lemma 9 (1), (2).

Now, assume that M_n has two boundary circles C_1, C_2 meeting \check{M}_n . Then, the center circle C of a collar neighborhood A of C_1 in M_n separates M into two non-compact connected subsurfaces L_1 and L_2 , where L_1 contains all connected components of \check{M}_n meeting C_1 and L_2 contains $M_n \setminus A$ and all remaining connected components of \check{M}_n . This contradicts Lemma 9 (5).

Now, we consider three possible cases.

Case (i): M contains no boundary circle and no Möbius band. In this case, each subsurface M_n must be a disk. In fact, the subsurface M_n contains no handle and no Möbius band because so does M . Then, M_n is homeomorphic to the sphere with k holes. The connectedness and non-compactness of M imply that $k \geq 1$. Taking into account Claim and the fact that M has no boundary circle, we conclude that $k = 1$, which means that each M_n is a disk.

If $\partial M = \emptyset$, then $M_n \subset \text{Int } M_{n+1}$ and $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{Int } M_n$ is an annulus, and hence $M = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_n$ is homeomorphic to an open disk $\mathbb{D} \setminus \partial \mathbb{D}$. If $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, then $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$ consists of disks, each of which is attached to M_n along an arc in ∂M_n . This information can be used to show that M is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$ for some non-empty (0-dimensional) compact subset $K \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Case (ii): M contains a Möbius band. In this case, we may assume that M_0 contains a Möbius band. Since M contains no boundary circle, the same argument as in Case (i) implies that every M_n is a Möbius band.

If $\partial M = \emptyset$, then each $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{Int } M_n$ is an annulus and then M is homeomorphic to an open Möbius band $\mathbb{M} \setminus \partial \mathbb{M}$. If $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, then $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$ consists of disks, each of which is attached to M_n along an arc in ∂M_n . In this case, M is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{M} \setminus K$, where K is a non-empty compact subset of $\partial \mathbb{M}$.

Case (iii): M contains a boundary circle C . In this case, we may assume that C belongs to M_0 . Since M contains no Möbius band, we conclude that every M_n is an annulus. Let C_1 and C_2 denote the boundary circles of the annulus A .

If $\partial M = C$, then each $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$ is an annulus and the pair (M, C) is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{A} \setminus C_2, C_1)$. If $\partial M \neq C$, then we may assume that M_0 meets $\partial M \setminus C$. In this case, $M_{n+1} \setminus \text{int}_M M_n$ consists of disks, each of which is attached to M_n along an arc in $\partial M_n \setminus C$, and hence the pair (M, C) is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{A} \setminus K, C_1)$, where K is a non-empty compact subset of C_2 . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their sincere thanks to Sergiy Maksymenko and Błażej Szepietowski, for fruitful and stimulating discussions related to mapping class groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banakh, D. Repovš, *A topological characterization of LF-spaces*, Topology Appl. **159**:5 (2012), 1475–1488. (available at arXiv:0911.0609).
- [2] T. Banakh, K. Mine, D. Repovš, K. Sakai, T. Yagasaki, *Detecting topological groups which are (locally) homeomorphic to LF-spaces*, preprint (arXiv:1004.0305).
- [3] T. Banakh, K. Mine, K. Sakai, *Classifying homeomorphism groups of infinite graphs*, Topology Appl. **156**:17 (2009) 2845–2869.
- [4] T. Banakh, K. Mine, K. Sakai, T. Yagasaki, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism groups of non-compact manifolds with the Whitney topology*, Topology Proc. **37** (2011) 61–93.
- [5] J. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [6] D. Epstein, *Curves on 2-manifolds and isotopies*, Acta Math. **115**(1) (1966), 83–107.
- [7] B. Farb, D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2011.
- [8] M.E. Hamstrom, *Homotopy groups of the space of homeomorphisms on a 2-manifold*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 563–573.
- [9] M. Korkmaz, *Mapping class groups of nonorientable surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata **89** (2002), 109–133.
- [10] W.B.R. Lickorish, *Homeomorphisms of non-orientable two-manifolds*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **59** (1963) 307–317.
- [11] W.B.R. Lickorish, *A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a 2-manifold*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **60** (1964) 769–778.
- [12] R. Luke, W.K. Mason, *The space of homeomorphisms on a compact two-manifold is an absolute neighborhood retract*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **164** (1972), 275–285.
- [13] E.E. Moise, *Geometric Topology in Dimensions 2 and 3*, GTM **47**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [14] G.P. Scott, *The space of homeomorphisms of 2-manifold*, Topology **9** (1970), 97–109.
- [15] B. Szepietowski, *A presentation for the mapping class group of a non-orientable surface from the action on the complex of curves*, Osaka J. Math. **45**:2 (2008), 283–326.
- [16] I.Yu. Vlasenko, S.I. Maksymenko, E.A. Polulyakh, *Topological methods in studying transformation groups of manifolds*, Inst. Math. Ukrainian Acad. of Sci., Kyiv, 2006 (in Russian).
- [17] T. Yagasaki, *Spaces of embeddings of compact polyhedra into 2-manifolds*, Topology Appl. **108** (2000), 107–122.
- [18] T. Yagasaki, *Homotopy types of homeomorphism groups of noncompact 2-manifolds*, Topology Appl. **108** (2000), 123–136.

(T. Banakh) INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY, KIELCE, POLAND, AND
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE
E-mail address: tbanakh@yahoo.com

(K. Mine) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, 305-8571, JAPAN
E-mail address: pen@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

(K. Sakai) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, 305-8571, JAPAN
E-mail address: sakaiktr@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp

(T. Yagasaki) DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KYOTO, 606-8585, JAPAN
E-mail address: yagasaki@kit.ac.jp