

Biquandles of Small Size and some Invariants of Virtual and Welded Knots

ANDREW BARTHOLOMEW, ROGER FENN

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Sussex

Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9RH, England

ABSTRACT

In this paper we give the results of a computer search for biracks of small size and we give various interpretations of these findings. The list includes biquandles, racks and quandles together with new invariants of welded knots and examples of welded knots which are shown to be non-trivial by the new invariants. These can be used to answer various questions concerning virtual and welded knots. As an application we reprove the result that the Burau map from braids to matrices is non injective and give an example of a non-trivial virtual (welded) knot which cannot be distinguished from the unknot by any linear biquandles.

Key words: birack, biquandle, rack, quandle, virtual and welded knot

1 Introduction

This paper is based on a computer search for biracks, biquandles, racks and quandles, [FJK, K, FR, J, M], of small size. The search is complete for size up to 4 and agrees with other searches, [N], where they overlap. Size $n = 5$ seems to be difficult but it may succumb with a different programming technique. However for $n = 5, 6$ we produce a complete list of racks and this allows us to produce a complete list of so called rack-related biracks and quandle-related biquandles of these sizes. We apply the fixed point invariants of these finite biquandles to show that various virtual knots are non-trivial including the Kishino knot. We also introduce various invariants of welded knots and invariants of classical knots based on racks. Using the first mentioned invariants we produce a list of non-trivial welded knots. At least one of these has trivial quandle answering a question in [FKM] and has a consequential torus with trivial group in \mathbb{R}^4 , see [F]. For reasons of space only the findings for n up to 3 are given in an appendix. For the complete list go to www.layer8.co.uk/maths/biquandles.

We are grateful for conversations with Colin Rourke and Lou Kauffman.

2 Notation and Definitions

We use the notation and definitions given in [FJK], where more details can be found.

Let X be a set with two binary operations, up and down, written

$$(a, b) \longrightarrow a^b \text{ and } (a, b) \longrightarrow a_b$$

The convenience of the exponential and suffix notation is that brackets can be inserted in an obvious fashion and so are not needed, For example

$$a^{bc} = (a^b)^c, a^{b_c} = a^{(b_c)}, a^b_c = (a^b)_c, \text{ etc.}$$

On the other hand expressions such as a_c^b are ambiguous and are not used.

Think of b as acting on a in both cases. We want these actions to be invertible. So there are binary operations

$$(a, b) \longrightarrow a^{b^{-1}} \text{ and } (a, b) \longrightarrow a_{b^{-1}}$$

satisfying

$$a^{bb^{-1}} = a^{b^{-1}b} = a \text{ and } a_{bb^{-1}} = a_{b^{-1}b} = a$$

It is convenient at this stage to introduce the function $S : X^2 \longrightarrow X^2$ defined by $S(a, b) = (b^a, a_b)$, known as a **switch**.

After these preliminaries we list the three axioms, B1-3 needed to define a **biquandle**.

B1: For all $a \in X$ there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $a^x = x, a = x_a$ and there is a unique $y \in X$ such that $a_y = y, a = y^a$

B2: S is invertible. So there is a function $\overline{S} : X^2 \longrightarrow X^2$ such that $S\overline{S} = \overline{S}S = id$

B3: Let $S_1 = S \times id$ and $S_2 = id \times S$ then $S_1S_2S_1 = S_2S_1S_2$

These axioms are a consequence of the three Reidemeister moves. If only B2 and B3 are satisfied then we have a **birack**.

Axiom B1 implies that the infinite tower and the infinite well

$$x = a^{a^{a^{\dots}}}, \quad y = a_{a_{a_{\dots}}}$$

make sense.

We have $x = a_{a^{-1}}, y = a^{a^{-1}}$ and so B1 is equivalent to $a^{a^{-1}} = a_{a^{-1}}$ and $a_{a^{-1}} = a^{a^{-1}}$.

In [Stan] it is shown that only one half of B1 is necessary.

Using B2 write $\overline{S}(a, b) = (b_{\overline{a}}, a_{\overline{b}})$. This defines two binary operations

$$(a, b) \longrightarrow a_{\overline{b}} \text{ and } (a, b) \longrightarrow a_{\overline{b}}$$

In terms of the previous operations \overline{a}^b acts down on b as a^{-1} and \overline{a}_b acts up on b as a^{-1} . So all these new operations are defined by the two initial up and down operations.

B3 is sometimes called the set theoretic Yang-Baxter equation. If we follow the progress of the triple (a, b, c) through the two sides of the equation and swap variables we arrive at three relations true for all $a, b, c \in X$.

$$a^{c_b b^c} = a^{bc}, \quad a_{c_b a}^b = a_c^{b_{c_a}}, \quad a_{c_b b_c} = a_{bc}$$

3 Examples

If the down operation is trivial, so $a_b = a$ for all $a, b \in X$, then a biquandle becomes a quandle. Symmetrically, this is also the case if the up operation is trivial. A birack with trivial down (up) operation is a rack. For many examples of racks and quandles see [FR].

The simplest biquandle, with both up and down operations trivial, is the **twist**. In this case $S(a, b) = (b, a)$. If X has n elements denote the twist by \mathbb{I}_n .

If a biquandle has the property that the up operation (or the down operation) on its own defines a quandle then it is called a **quandle-related** biquandle associated to that quandle. In a similar fashion we can define a **rack related** birack.

A biquandle is said to be **linear** if it is determined by a 2×2 matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, where A, B, C, D are elements of an associative ring related by certain equations, see for example [BuF, BuF2, FT].

The only example of a commutative linear biquandle is given by

$$a^b = \lambda a + (1 - \lambda\mu)b, \quad a_b = \mu a$$

where $a, b \in X$, a $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{\pm 1}, \mu^{\pm 1}]$ -module [Swa]. This is called the **Alexander** biquandle and is denoted by $A_{\lambda\mu}(X)$. If $\mu = 1$ then resulting quandle is called the **Burau** quandle and is denoted by $B_\lambda(X)$. If $\mu = \lambda = 1$ then we have the twist.

There are many examples of linear non-commutative biquandles. For example let \mathbb{H} denote the quaternion algebra with standard generators $1, i, j, k$. If X is a left \mathbb{H} module then

$$a^b = ja + (1 + i)b, \quad a_b = -ja + (1 + i)b$$

is a biquandle. This is called the **Budapest** biquandle and is just one of a huge family of linear non-commutative biquandles described in [F2, BF, BuF, FT].

One example of a non-linear biquandle is due to Wada, [W]. Let X be a group and put $S(a, b) = (a^2b, b^{-1}a^{-1}b)$.

Another is due to Silver and Williams, [SW]. Let G be a group with a \mathbb{Z}^2 action, $a \rightarrow a \cdot x$ and $a \rightarrow a \cdot y$ where x, y are a basis for \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Define $S(a, b) = (b \cdot y, (b \cdot xy)^{-1}(a \cdot x)b)$.

Naturally most of the finite examples given in the appendix are non-linear and we will try to identify them when they are known.

4 Virtual and Weld Invariants

A biquandle is said to have **order** k if k is the smallest positive integer for which S^k is the identity. A pair of biquandles (S, T) is a **virtual** invariant if

$$\mathbf{V} . T \text{ has order two and } T_1 S_2 T_1 = T_2 S_1 T_2$$

A virtual invariant pair is said to be a **weld** invariant if the pair satisfy

$$\mathbf{W}_1 . T_1 S_2 S_1 = S_2 S_1 T_2$$

This condition is called the first **forbidden** move and the pair is **essential** if the second forbidden move

$$\mathbf{W}_2 . S_1 S_2 T_1 = T_2 S_1 S_2 .$$

is NOT satisfied; think abelian and non-abelian. Since the use of both forbidden moves unknots any virtual knot, [Kan, Nel], it is important to find essential weld invariants.

Theorem 4.1 *Let S be a biquandle and let T be the twist acting on the set X . Then the pair (S, T) is a virtual invariant. It is a weld invariant if and only if the down operation is commutative and the down operation is trivial upstairs: in symbols $a_{bc} = a_{cb}$ and $a^{bc} = a^b$. If S is linear then it is the Alexander biquandle.*

Proof

Condition **V** is easily checked and **W₁** implies the two conditions.

Suppose that S is linear, say $S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. Then the second condition implies that $AD = 0$. By [BuF2] $D = 1 - A^{-1}B^{-1}AB$ so A, B commute. This implies that S is Alexander. \square

There are no known linear essential weld pairs. Maybe they do not exist.

5 Finding Invariants

Any virtual or welded knot or link can be written as the closure of a virtual braid and so can be thought of as a word w in the variables σ_i, τ_i where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and n is some fixed positive integer. The variable σ_i corresponds to a positive classical crossing and its inverse σ_i^{-1} corresponds to a negative classical crossing. The variable τ_i corresponds to a virtual crossing or weld.

If a pair of biquandles (S, T) is a virtual or weld invariant pair let $S_i = (id)^{i-1} \times S \times (id)^{n-i-1}$ and similarly for T_i . Suppose w is a word representing some virtual braid and let K be its closure. Then the assignation $\sigma_i \rightarrow S_i, \tau \rightarrow T_i$ acting on the word w defines a permutation, $f_{(S,T)}$, of X^n which is an invariant of the braid. The classic example is the **Burau** map which uses the Burau quandle.

Let $\psi_1 = \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_4^3 \sigma_3 \sigma_2$, $\psi_2 = \sigma_4^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-2} \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_4^5$, $\phi_1 = \sigma_4 \sigma_5^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1$ and $\phi_2 = \sigma_4^{-1} \sigma_5^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-2}$. In [Big], Bigelow defines the braids $b_1 \in B_5$ and $b_2 \in B_6$ as

$$b_1 = [\psi_1^{-1} \sigma_4 \psi_1, \psi_2^{-1} \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \psi_2] \text{ and } b_2 = [\phi_1^{-1} \sigma_3 \phi_1, \phi_2^{-1} \sigma_3 \phi_2].$$

He goes on to show that b_1 and b_2 are non-trivial elements of the kernel of the Burau map. We will also prove that these are non-trivial by calculating $f_{(S,T)}$ for various biquandles from the computer output.

Theorem 5.2 (Bigelow) The Burau map is not injective. In particular the braids b_1, b_2 defined above are non-trivial and lie in the kernel.

Proof It is possible to show that b_1 and b_2 are mapped to the identity by the Burau map, see [Big]. There are many quandle pair representations which show that b_1 (having 5 strands) and b_2 (having 6 strands) are non-trivial. For example, using the following virtual pair (with notation developed in the appendix)

$$S : BQ_3^4 \quad U = (\iota, \iota, (243), (234)) \quad D = (\iota, (34), (34), (34))$$

$$T : BQ_9^4 \quad U = (\iota, (34), (34), (34)) \quad D = (\iota, (34), (34), (34))$$

the number of fixed points of $f_{(S,T)}$ corresponding to b_1 is 736. Whereas the number of fixed points of the identity permutation is of course $4^5 = 1024$.

The number of fixed points of $f_{(S,T)}$ corresponding to b_2 is 1648. Whereas the number of fixed points of the identity permutation is $4^6 = 4096$. \square

Any n -tuple of X^n fixed by $f_{(S,T)}$ defines a colouring of the arcs of K , the closure of the braid, and so the number of fixed points is also a useful invariant of K . Denote the fixed points of $f_{(S,T)}$ by F_n . Then $f_{(S,T)}$ is invariant under the conjugation Markov move. The other Markov move corresponds to $w \leftrightarrow w\rho$ where ρ is one of $\sigma_n, \sigma_n^{-1}, \tau_n$. This defines a bijection between F_n and F_{n+1} . So a useful invariant, if X is finite, is the size of F_n . This is referred to as the **fixed-point invariant** of K . If X is finite with n elements then the unlink with c components has fixed point invariant n^c .

Theorem 5.3 There exists a non-trivial virtual (welded) knot which cannot be distinguished

from the unknot by any linear biquandles.

Proof

With the notation above let $b = b_2\tau_1\sigma_2\tau_3\tau_4\tau_5\tau_6$. Then the closure K of bbb is a knot for which the fixed-point invariant using the virtual pair

$$S : BQ_3^3 \quad U = (\iota, (132), (123)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23))$$

$$T : BQ_5^3 \quad U = ((23), (23), (23)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23))$$

is 9. Here BQ_3^3 and BQ_5^3 refer to the numbering system in the appendix.

So K is non-trivial as a virtual knot. However any linear S is equivalent to the Burau representation for classical braids, see lemma 2.2 in [F2]. So b_2 will be invisible and the sequence $\tau_1\sigma_2\tau_3\tau_4\tau_5\tau_6$ closes to the unknot.

Using the representation determined by the essential pair

$$S : BQ_3^3 \quad U = (\iota, (132), (123)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23))$$

$$T : Q_1^3 \quad U = \iota \quad D = \iota$$

the fixed-point invariant for the closure of bbb is 9 again, which shows that K is non-trivial as a welded knot. □

Finally the following application shows that the Kishino knot, K_3 in [FJK], is non-trivial as a virtual knot. Although this is now well known, see for example [F2] and [FT], this particular knot acts as a touchstone for the effectiveness of a virtual knot invariant. The following pair $S : BQ_{53}^4 \quad U = ((1234), (1432), (1234), (1432)) \quad D = ((1234), (1432), (1234), (1432))$
 $T : BQ_{26}^4 \quad U = (\iota, \iota, (12)(34), (12)(34)) \quad D = (\iota, \iota, (12)(34), (12)(34))$
 results in 16 fixed points for K_3 . Whereas the unknot would only give 4.

6 Infinite Series from Biracks

The **writhe** of a knot diagram is the excess of positive crossings over negative crossings. It is invariant under the last two Reidemeister moves but changes by ± 1 under the first. Let S be a birack. Suppose that a knot K has a diagram with writhe w . Let ϕ_w be some scalar associated to K by S . Define

$$\zeta_S(K)(t) = \sum_{w=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_w t^w$$

Then ζ is an invariant of K with any given writhe.

For example, let (S, T) be a finite virtual pair and let ϕ_w be the number of fixed points of $f_{(S, T)}$ as in the previous section. Then the invariants ϕ_w have the following properties.

Lemma 6.4

1. $\phi_0 = |X|$ if K is the unknot,
2. ϕ_1 is the order of the set $\{x \in X | x^{x_{x^{-1}}} = x_{x^{-1}}\}$ if K is the unknot,
3. $\phi_w = \phi_{-w}$.
4. If X is finite then ϕ_w repeats for all knots. The cycle length is no greater than $|X|$ for the unknot.

Proof

The unknot with positive writhe w can be represented as the plat closure of the braid σ_1^{-w} . In order to colour the arcs of this diagram we need to find invariant diagonal pairs of the operator $R = PQQPQP \dots$ where the number of factors is w and P, Q are defined by

$$P(a, b) = (b_{a^{-1}}, a^{b_{a^{-1}}}), \quad Q(a, b) = (b_{a^{b^{-1}}}, a^{b^{-1}}).$$

In other words, we need the number of pairs $(a, a), a \in X$ for which $R(a, a)$ is a pair (b, b) for some $b \in X$.

In [FJK] the operators P, Q are denoted S_+^+ and S_+^- respectively. They represent the transformation of the labels when the orientations of the strings are contrary and so are appropriate for plat closures.

Clearly $\phi_0 = |X|$ and ϕ_1 is the number of pairs (x, x) for which both components of $P(x, x)$ are equal, that is $x^{x_{x^{-1}}} = x_{x^{-1}}$. There are similar but more complicated formulæ for $\phi_w, w > 1$.

If $R(x, x) = (y, y)$ then $R^{-1}(y, y) = (x, x)$ and conversely. So $\phi_w = \phi_{-w}$.

The operator R defines a permutation of the subset of X for which $R(x, x)$ is another diagonal pair. This permutation cannot have order greater than $|X|$.

Finally, suppose K a knot or link represented as the plat closure of $\beta \in B_{2n}$. Then the appropriate operator to calculate ϕ is a word R in $S_i^{\pm 1}, P_i^{\pm 1}, Q_i^{\pm 1}, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Let Δ denote the 'pair' diagonal in X^{2n} given by

$$\Delta = \{(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_n) | x_i \in X\}.$$

Then ϕ_w for suitable w is the number of elements of Δ permuted by R . This permutation cannot have order greater than $|X|^n$ which is anyway finite. Similarly $\phi_w = \phi_{-w}$ generally. \square

This invariant can distinguish the trefoil from the figure eight knot as well as show they are non-trivial. It can also act as a birack invariant and distinguish some biracks of the same size. In the appendix some examples are given of this invariant.

7 Notation and invariants of the output

We will now define the notation used, look at isometries and define a few isometry invariants of biquandles.

A finite biquandle will be represented by permutations that represent the up and down actions. This will be in the form $U = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $D = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ where v_i represents the permutation on $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ defined by the up operation of i and δ_i represents the down operation of i . The identity is written ι . A whole row of identities, for example when the biquandle is a quandle, is written I .

As an illustration, the Alexander biquandle $A_{12}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ has

$$U = (\iota, (132), (123)), D = ((23), (23), (23))$$

From this we can read off the operations. So if we want to find 2^1 then we look for the up operation in U corresponding to 1. This is the identity. So $2^1 = 2$. Similarly $1^2 = 3, 1^3 = 2, 2_2 = 3$ etc.

The twist on a set with n elements. is denoted by, \mathbb{I}_n , and is defined by $\mathbb{I}(a, b) = (b, a)$. It is represented as a pair of identity permutations, $U = I, D = I$.

The list is defined up to isomorphism. If σ is a permutation of X_n and S is a biquandle defined by two operations, a^b and a_b then σ induces an isomorphic biquandle, $\sigma_{\#}(S)$, with operations

$$a \wedge b = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1}(b)) \text{ and } a \vee b = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1}(b))$$

For example consider the permutation (123) . This changes

$$U = ((23), \iota, \iota), D = ((23), \iota, \iota)$$

into

$$U = (\iota, (13), \iota), D = (\iota, (13), \iota)$$

A geometric symmetry is provided by changing the sign of each crossing of a diagram. Correspondingly S is replaced by \overline{S} the inverse of S . Then $\overline{S}(a, b) = (b_{\overline{a}}, a_{\overline{b}})$ defines the down operations and up operations respectively.

Another geometric symmetry is provided by changing the orientation of the knot. This swaps U and D .

These two can be composed to get a third symmetry in which U goes to \overline{D} and D goes to \overline{U} .

A quandle is a biquandle which has D (or U) equal to the identity. Define a **pseudo quandle** to be a biquandle in which all the permutations of D (or U) are equal. A **double pseudo quandle** has this property for both of D and U . A birack is **symmetric** if $U = D$.

A **constant point**, x , of the up operation U has $x^y = x^z$ for all y, z . Let u be the number of constant points for U and let d be the number of constant points for D . Then $c_1 = u + d$ and $c_2 = |u - d|$ are invariant under the various symmetries. For example consider $A_{12}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Then $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 0$.

8 References

[BF] **A. Bartholomew, R. Fenn**, *Quaternionic Invariants of Virtual Knots and Links*, JKTR 17 (2008) 231-251

[Big] **Stephen Bigelow** *The Burau representation is not faithful for $n = 5$* Geometry & Topology 3 (1999) 397-404

[BuF] **Stephen Budden, R. Fenn**, *Quaternion Algebras and Invariants of Virtual Knots and Links II: The Hyperbolic Case* JKTR 17 (2008) 279-304

[BuF2] **S. Budden and Roger Fenn**. *The equation, $[B, (A-1)(A, B)] = 0$ and virtual knots and links*, Fund Math 184 (2004) pp 19-29.

[F] **R. Fenn**, *A strange torus embedding*, preprint

[F2] **R. Fenn**, *Quaternion Algebras and Invariants of Virtual Knots and Links I: The Elliptic Case* JKTR 17 (2008) 279-304

[FR] **R. Fenn, C. Rourke**, *Racks and Links in Codimension Two*, JKTR, No. 4, 343-406 (1992).

[FJK] **R. Fenn, M. Jordan, L. Kauffman**, *Biquandles and Virtual Links*, Topology and its Applications, 145 (2004) 157-175

[FKM] **R. Fenn, L. Kauffman, V. Manturov**, *Virtual Knot Theory-Unsolved Problems* Fundamenta Mathematicae 188 (2005) 293-323

[FT] **R. Fenn, Vladimir Turaev**, *Weyl Algebras and Knots*, Journal of Geometry and Physics.57 (2007) 1313-1324.

[J] **D. Joyce**, *A classifying invariant of knots; the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Alg., 23 (1982) 37-65

[Kan] **T. Kanenobu**, *Forbidden moves unknot a virtual knot*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), 899-916.

[K] **L. Kauffman**. *Virtual Knot Theory*, European J. Comb. Vol 20, 663-690, (1999)

[M] **S. Matveev**, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Math. USSR Sbornik, 47 (1984) 73-83

[N] **Benita Ho, Sam Nelson**, *Matrices and Finite Quandles*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, Vol. 7 (2005), No. 1, pp.197-208

[Nel] **S. Nelson**, *Unknotting virtual knots with Gauss diagram forbidden moves*, J.Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), 931935.

[Stan] **D. Stanovsky** *On axioms of biquandles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 15/7 (2006) 931–933.

[SW] **D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams**, *Alexander Groups and Virtual Links*, to appear in JKTR.

[W] **M. Wada**, *Twisted Alexander Polynomial for finitely presentable group*. Topology 33 No. 2. 241-256 (1994)

9 Appendix: the computer output

In this section we will give the computer output up to size 3. For the full list go to the website www.layer8.co.uk/maths/biquandles

A quandle of size n , is indicated by Q_k^n where k is its position on the list of quandles of size n . Similarly BQ_k^n denotes a biquandle which is not a quandle, R_k^n a rack which is not a quandle and BR_k^n a birack which is neither a biquandle or a rack. The order of the examples is determined by the computer search for biracks, which enumerates permutations of $1, \dots, n^2$ to determine switches that are candidates to be biracks (axiom $B2$). For each candidate satisfying axiom $B3$ the current list is checked for isometries before adding the new birack to the end of list. During this process if the new birack is a rack whose representation has trivial up or down action it is always added to the list, swapping U and D if necessary so that the isometry with a trivial down action is added. Any existing isometry of such a birack is removed from the list, thereby ensuring that the list always contains representations of racks with trivial down actions. The order of the other lists is determined by the order of the list of biracks

In the list, a pseudo quandle is indicated by PQ , a double pseudo quandle by DPQ and a symmetric one by S . The first example is always the twist.

These are the results for $n = 2$. There is one quandle, one rack and one biquandle.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_2 &= Q_1^2 \quad U = I \quad D = I \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, c_1 = 4, c_2 = 0 \\ BQ_1^2 & \quad U = ((12), (12)) \quad D = ((12), (12)) \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, DPQ, c_1 = 4, c_2 = 0 \\ R_1^2 & \quad U = ((12), (12)) \quad D = I \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 4, c_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

These are the results for $n = 3$. There are 3 quandles, 3 racks, 7 biquandles and 3 biracks, BQ_3^3 is $A_{12}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$, BQ_5^3 is $A_{22}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ and Q_2^3 is $B_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_3 &= Q_1^3 \quad U = \iota \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \\ Q_2^3 \quad U &= ((23), \iota, \iota) \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 4, c_2 = 2 \\ Q_3^3 \quad U &= ((23), (13), (12)) \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 3 \quad c_1 = 3, c_2 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^3 \quad U &= ((132), (132), (132)) \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 6 \quad c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \\ R_2^3 \quad U &= ((13), \iota, (13)) \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 4, c_2 = 2 \\ R_3^3 \quad U &= ((13), (13), (13)) \quad D = \iota \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} BQ_1^3 \quad U &= (\iota, (23), (23)) \quad D = (\iota, (23), (23)) \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, c_1 = 2, c_2 = 0 \\ BQ_2^3 \quad U &= (\iota, (23), (23)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \quad \text{order } 4 \quad PQ, c_1 = 4, c_2 = 2 \\ BQ_3^3 \quad U &= (\iota, (132), (123)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \quad \text{order } 3 \quad PQ, c_1 = 3, c_2 = 3 \\ BQ_4^3 \quad U &= (\iota, \iota, (12)) \quad D = (\iota, \iota, (12)) \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, c_1 = 2, c_2 = 0 \\ BQ_5^3 \quad U &= ((23), (23), (23)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \quad \text{order } 2 \quad S, DPQ, c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \\ BQ_6^3 \quad U &= ((12), (23), (13)) \quad D = ((123), (123), (123)) \quad \text{order } 3 \quad PQ, c_1 = 3, c_2 = 3 \\ BQ_7^3 \quad U &= ((123), (123), (123)) \quad D = ((132), (132), (132)) \quad \text{order } 2 \quad DPQ, c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} BR_1^3 \quad U &= (\iota, (23), (23)) \quad D = ((23), \iota, \iota) \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 2, c_2 = 0 \\ BR_2^3 \quad U &= ((23), \iota, \iota) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \quad \text{order } 4 \quad c_1 = 4, c_2 = 2 \\ BR_3^3 \quad U &= ((123), (123), (123)) \quad D = ((123), (123), (123)) \quad \text{order } 6 \quad S, c_1 = 6, c_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 4$ There are 7 quandles, 12 racks, 57 biquandles and 71 biracks, the lists are available from www.layer8.co.uk/maths/biquandles.

For the cases $n = 5, 6$ our current programming techniques are not able to produce a full list of distinct biracks (i.e with isometries removed) in a reasonable time. However we are able to produce a full list of distinct quandles. From these lists we are able to produce a list of quandle-related biracks and consequently quandle-related biquandles by setting D to be a quandle and searching for matrices U for which $S = U, D$ is a birack. In particular, since the trivial operation I is a quandle, the search for quandle-related biracks discovers the full list of racks. The results for the cases $n = 5, 6$ are summarized in the following table, the full lists are presented at the above website.

n	quandles	racks	quandle-related biquandles	quandle-related biracks
5	21	52	113	517
6	72	280	1506	11704

9.1 Welded knots

Having calculated lists of biquandles it is trivial to determine essential pairs of biquandles. There are two such pairs of size 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P1. } S : BQ_3^3 \quad U &= (\iota, (132), (123)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \\ T : Q_1^3 = \mathbb{I}_3 \quad U &= \iota \quad D = \iota \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P2. } S : Q_3^3 \quad U &= ((23), (13), (12)) \quad D = \iota \\ T : BQ_5^3 \quad U &= ((23), (23), (23)) \quad D = ((23), (23), (23)) \end{aligned}$$

and 8 of size 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P3. } S : BQ_3^4 \quad U &= (\iota, \iota, (243), (234)) \quad D = (\iota, (34), (34), (34)) \\ T : Q_1^4 = \mathbb{I}_4 \quad U &= \iota \quad D = \iota \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P4. } S : BQ_{19}^4 \quad U &= (\iota, (13)(24), (14)(23), (12)(34)) \quad D = ((243), (243), (243), (243)) \\ T : Q_1^4 = \mathbb{I}_4 \quad U &= \iota \quad D = \iota \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P5. } S : BQ_{34}^4 \quad U &= (\iota, \iota, \iota, (132)) \quad D = ((23), (13), (12), (123)) \\ T : BQ_{23}^4 \quad U &= (\iota, \iota, \iota, (132)) \quad D = (\iota, \iota, \iota, (123)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P6. } S : BQ_{38}^4 \quad U &= ((234), (234), (234), (234)) \quad D = ((243), (23), (34), (24)) \\ T : BQ_{39}^4 \quad U &= ((234), (234), (234), (234)) \quad D = ((243), (243), (243), (243)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P7. } S : BQ_{41}^4 \quad U &= ((234), (132), (143), (124)) \quad D = ((234), (234), (234), (234)) \\ T : BQ_{39}^4 \quad U &= ((234), (234), (234), (234)) \quad D = ((243), (243), (243), (243)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P8. } S : BQ_{56}^4 \quad U &= ((12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34)) \quad D = ((132), (124), (143), (234)) \\ T : BQ_{50}^4 \quad U &= ((12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34)) \quad D = ((12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P9. } S : Q_6^4 \quad U &= ((24), (13), (24), (13)) \quad D = \iota \\ T : BQ_{50}^4 \quad U &= ((12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34)) \quad D = ((12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34), (12)(34)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P10. } S : BQ_{51}^4 \quad U &= ((12)(34), (13)(24), (13)(24), (12)(34)) \quad D = ((1243), (1243), (1243), (1243)) \\ T : Q_1^4 = \mathbb{I}_4 \quad U &= \iota \quad D = \iota \end{aligned}$$

The website also lists the 17 essential pairs of quandle-related biquandles of size 5 and the 271 essential pairs of quandle-related biquandles of size 6. However, we include three essential pairs of size 6 here for reference below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P11. } S : BQ_{10}^6 \quad U = (\iota, (13456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = ((3465), (16543), (1645), (1563), (1436), (1354)) \\ T : BQ_{1494}^6 \quad U = (\iota, (13456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = (\iota, (16543), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P12. } S : BQ_{22}^6 \quad U = (\iota, (13456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = ((36)(45), (16543), (14)(56), (16)(35), (13)(46), (15)(34)) \\ T : BQ_{1494}^6 \quad U = (\iota, (13456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = (\iota, (16543), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P13. } S : BQ_{49}^6 \quad U = ((3456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = ((3654), (3456), (2465), (2536), (2643), (2354)) \\ T : BQ_{230}^6 \quad U = ((3456), \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) \quad D = ((3654), i, i, i, i, i) \end{aligned}$$

Given that the fixed-point invariant F_n takes the value n for the unknot, it is possible to use the list of essential pairs to search for distinct non-trivial welded knots. Using this technique the following knots have been distinguished.

knot	braid word	Size of F_n for pair				
		P3	P4	P11	P12	P13
w3.1	$\sigma 1 \tau 2 \sigma 3 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 1 \tau 2 - \sigma 3 \sigma 2$	10	4	6	6	6
w3.2	$\tau 1 - \sigma 2 \tau 1 - \sigma 1 - \sigma 1 \tau 2$	10	16	6	6	6
w4.1	$\sigma 1 \tau 1 - \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 1 \tau 1 - \sigma 1 - \sigma 2$	10	4	26	6	6
w4.2	$-\sigma 1 - \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 2 \sigma 1 - \sigma 4 \sigma 3 \tau 2 \sigma 3 \sigma 4 - \sigma 3 - \sigma 2$	10	4	6	6	26
w4.3	$-\sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 2 \sigma 1 - \sigma 4 \sigma 3 \tau 2 \sigma 3 \sigma 4 - \sigma 3 \sigma 2$	4	4	26	26	6
w4.4	$-\sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 2 \sigma 1 - \sigma 4 \sigma 3 - \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \sigma 4 - \sigma 3 \tau 2$	4	4	6	26	6
w4.5	$\tau 1 \sigma 2 - \sigma 1 \tau 1 \sigma 1 \sigma 2$	4	16	6	6	6
w4.6	$-\sigma 1 - \sigma 2 \tau 3 - \sigma 2 \sigma 1 - \sigma 4 \tau 3 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 3 \sigma 4 - \sigma 3 \sigma 2$	4	4	6	26	26
w6.1	$-\sigma 1 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 2 \sigma 1 - \sigma 3 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 2 - \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 2$	28	28			

The non-trivial welded knot w4.5 has trivial fundamental quandle and so trivial fundamental group, [F]. This answers a question in [FKM].

9.2 Birack Series

The series of coefficients described in section 6 can be used to distinguish classical and virtual knots from the unknot. We append here a table of coefficients which generate the repeating invariants for the unknot, the trefoil, the figure eight and two of the Kishino knots, see [FJK]. The virtual pairs (S, T) were constructed with S chosen to be a rack or birack, shown in the table using the above numbering scheme, and T set to the twist in each case. Many such examples exist, note in fact that the column corresponding to R_{40}^5 is redundant.

knot	braid word	Coefficient series for virtual pair constructed from		
		R_{40}^5	R_{114}^6	BR_{125}^6
	unknot	5 3	6 4	6 3 3
3.1	$\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_1$	11 9	18 16	12 9 9
4.1	$\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}$	5 3	18 16	6 3 3
K1	$\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\tau_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\tau_2$	11 9	6 4	6 3 3
K2	$\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\tau_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1\tau_2$	5 3	6 4	12 9 9